

## *Interactive comment on* "Tropospheric mercury vertical profiles between 500 and 10000 m in central Europe" by A. Weigelt et al.

M. Gustin

mgustin@cabnr.unr.edu

Received and published: 2 November 2015

With no pyrolyzer in-line they cannot be sure their TGM measurement is TGM. They need to address this significant limitation.

Assuming their Teflon inlet does not remove GOM is not a good assumption See Gustin et al 2013 RAMIX EST paper

The significant limitations of the KCl denuder need to be discussed. See Gustin et al.2015 ACP paper

A quartz wool trap will not quantitatively collect GOM and will be influenced by relative humidity.

C8820

The authors need to read the recent literature cited in Gustin et al., 2015, and totally rethink their data.

I think it is interesting that operationally defined TGM>GEM.

Why do they discuss the Lumex measurement when they do not show data from this instrument?

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 15, 28217, 2015.