
Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 15, C5416–C5418, 2015 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/15/C5416/2015/ © Author(s) 2015. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



ACPD 15, C5416–C5418, 2015

> Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Ice melt, sea level rise and superstorms: evidence from paleoclimate data, climate modeling, and modern observations that 2 °C global warming is highly dangerous" by J. Hansen et al.

J. Dale

jackdale@telus.net

Received and published: 29 July 2015

About the only folks who deny the GHE are the sky dragon slayers at Principia Scientifica, whom even the skeptics dismiss.

Time for the Slayers to Put Up or Shut Up

May 10th, 2013 by Roy W. Spencer, Ph. D.



Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



I have allowed the Sky Dragon Slayers to post hundreds of comments here containing their views of how the climate system works (or maybe I should say how they think it doesn't work).

As far as I can tell, their central non-traditional view seems to be that the atmosphere does not have so-called "greenhouse gases" that emit thermal infrared radiation downward. A variation on this theme is that even if those gases exist, they emit energy at the same rate they absorb, and so have no net effect on temperature.

I have repeatedly addressed these views and why they are false.

http://www.drroyspencer.com/2013/05/time-for-the-slayers-to-put-up-or-shut-up/

JC comment. Grant was unaware of the previous skydragon threads at Climate Etc. The skydragons continue to expect me to debate them, their preferred forum is a radio debate. While I will never shut the door on skeptical challenges to the science and encourage contributions from those from different areas of expertise, this group beggars belief. I will continue to (barely) follow Claes Johnson's work to see if he is able to come with anything interesting or publishable. IMO, this group has damaged the credibility of skepticism about climate change and provides a convenient target when people want to refer to "deniers" and crackpots.

http://judithcurry.com/2011/10/15/letter-to-the-dragon-slayers/

A misinterpreted claim about a NASA press release, CO2, solar flares, and the thermosphere is making the rounds

Anthony Watts / March 28, 2013

I loathe having to write this story because I truly dislike giving any attention to the people who are known as the "slayers" from the "Slaying the Sky Dragon" book. They

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



now operate under the moniker of "Principia Scientific".

But, somebody has to do it because some really bad mangling of the intent of a NASA press release by the "slayers" group is getting some traction. They have completely misread the NASA study and reinterpreted it for their purpose, claiming in a story titled "New Discovery: NASA Study Proves Carbon Dioxide Cools Atmosphere" :

http://tinyurl.com/c223hjx

And these are well known skeptics.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 15, 20059, 2015.



Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

