

Author response to Interactive Comment by M. J. Tang  
28 July 2015

Interactive comment on  
“Solar geoengineering using solid aerosol in the stratosphere”  
by D. K. Weisenstein and D. W. Keith

M. J. Tang [mingjintang@gmail.com](mailto:mingjintang@gmail.com)

Received and published: 28 April 2015

This study is quite novel and interesting for the SRM research community.  
Congratulations.

In the manuscript it is stated that important reactions on the surface of these solid SRM particles are not studied. May I bring your attention to the laboratory measurements funded by the UK SPICE project? We have investigated the heterogeneous reactions of these SRM particles (mainly  $\text{TiO}_2$ , but also  $\text{SiO}_2$  and other solid particles) with  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_5$ ,  $\text{ClONO}_2$ , and  $\text{O}_3$ .

The work on  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_5$  was published recently (Tang et al., 2014a; Tang et al., 2014b), and the effect of heterogeneous reactions of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_5$  with  $\text{TiO}_2$  particles on ozone was also assessed using a 3-D global model (Tang et al., 2014a). Our work on  $\text{ClONO}_2$  and  $\text{O}_3$  will be submitted soon.

Reference:

Tang, M. J., Telford, P. J., Pope, F. D., Rkiouak, L., Abraham, N. L., Archibald, A. T., Braesicke, P., Pyle, J. A., McGregor, J., Watson, I. M., Cox, R. A., and Kalberer, M.: Heterogeneous reaction of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_5$  with airborne  $\text{TiO}_2$  particles and its implication for stratospheric particle injection, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 6035-6048, 2014a.

Tang, M. J., Camp, J. C. J., Rkiouak, L., McGregor, J., Watson, I. M., Cox, R. A., Kalberer, M., Ward, A. D., and Pope, F. D.: Heterogeneous Interaction of  $\text{SiO}_2$  with  $\text{N}_2\text{O}_5$ : Aerosol Flow Tube and Single Particle Optical Levitation-Raman Spectroscopy Studies, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 118, 8817-8827, 2014.

Interactive comment on *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 15, 11799, 2015.

We thank M. J. Tang for reading and commenting on our manuscript. We are aware of the works mentioned and appreciate their significance. Because these studies are not directly applicable, as neither alumina nor diamond were tested, we did not cite them in the initial manuscript. However, they are applicable to the topic in general and a valuable reference in this developing field, so we have added a citation to these studies in the introduction. And we replaced the

statement that “rates of important chemical reactions remain unmeasured” with “the rates of many potentially important chemical reactions remain unmeasured”.

Now the 7<sup>th</sup> paragraph of the introduction reads, in part:

“The use of solid aerosols, however, introduces new risks that require evaluation. The dry surfaces of the solid aerosols, for example, may catalyze reactions that cause ozone loss (Tang et al., 2014a; 2014b). This risk is hard to evaluate because the rates of many potentially important chemical reactions remain unmeasured for substances such as diamond that are novel in the stratosphere.”