

Interactive
Comment

Interactive comment on “Effect of tropical cyclones on the tropical tropopause parameters observed using COSMIC GPS RO data” by S. Ravindra Babu et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 19 May 2015

The paper is well written and the abstract well summarizes the paper and the title is adequate. The authors describe the impact of tropical cyclone into the tropopause parameters (altitude, temperature and humidity) in the Indian ocean. They selected 16 tropical cyclones and studied the tropopause variation within 2000 km of radius from the center of the cyclone by using GPS radio occultation profiles.

Major comment I have 2 major concerns about the analysis: 1) I'm afraid that 2000 km of radius is too large working at tropical latitudes. As known the tropopause has large variation approximately between 30° and 40° and the variation that the authors attribute to the cyclone could easily due to the latitudinal effect. I strongly suggest reducing the

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



area of interest at no more than 1000 km from the cyclone center. 2) The authors did a cumulative analysis without considering the intensity of the cyclone. According to its intensity, the storm/cyclone can reach different altitudes and can affect the tropopause characteristics in different ways. Doing a cumulative analysis much information is lost so I strongly suggest to separate the study by selecting the storms according to the intensity.

Comments section by section

Introduction Lines 1-20: I suggest adding some references in the first paragraph. Almost each sentence of this paragraph needs a citation.

Database page 13047 Line 5: the authors should write here, where the data are coming from, I guess they have used the COSMIC Data Analysis and Archive Center (CDAAC) website (<http://cosmic-io.cosmic.ucar.edu/cdaac/index.html>) Line 13: the authors should specify here the type of data that have used, atmospheric profiles (atm-Prf) Page 13048 Line 6: the authors should explain here how they selected the 16 TCs out of 44. Here they just wrote "... based on life time ..." but we need to arrive at the section Summary and conclusions to know that the selection criterion is that the cyclone lasted at least 4 days. Line 2: what is the cyclone intensity number (CI T-number)? Line 11: what is the grade? Line 14: Table 1 is introduced here for the first time. Going to read the table, the reader do not know what is the grade, and what the acronyms mean (i.e. CS, SUCS, VSCS, SCS). The cyclone intensity number is neither described. The authors should add these information into the Table caption and describe the grade, cyclone intensity number and acronyms in this section.

Classification of the TCs Page 13049 Lines 17-21: what TC classification is this? Why they did not uses the common classification Saffir-xxxx with the 5 cyclone intensity category?

Tropopause parameters observed during VSCS Nargis Page13051 Line 1-9: it is hard to follow the description without any reference to the Figure. They should report step

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

by step what panel they are referring to. Line 8: “. . . can be partly attributed to the latitudinal change itself . . .” this is one of my main concerns about the results. According to Table 1, we are talking about TCs centered at latitudes between 11° and 23.5° and the analysis is done in a radius of 2000 km from the TC center which approximately means 20°. The tropopause altitudes between 30° and 40° has a big variation and the large area considered in this analysis mostly falls in this latitude range. I suggest reducing the area of interest at maximum 1000 km so that the results are not affected by the latitudinal variation.

Spatial variation of tropopause parameters from the centre of TC Page 13052 Line24-25: the authors, describing Figure 5, says that they did the analyses irrespective of the TC intensity. In this paper they also refer a few times to Biondi et al., 2015 which shows that the atmospheric thermal structure is strongly related to the intensity of the storm/cyclone. Looking at Biondi et al., 2015 in the Indian Ocean the cloud top altitudes (and related tropopause uplift) could change by 1.5/2 km depending on the storm intensity. This means that analyzing the data irrespective to the intensity could lead to wrong results. I suggest to improve this part and re-do the analyses according to the different intensities.

Spatial variation of water vapor from the centre of TC Page 13054 Lines 1-9: I'm afraid that the humidity in the layer 10-15 km of altitude is mostly coming from the model and not from the RO measurement. The enhancement of water vapor by 30-50 ppmv can't be visible by the ROs since they are not sensitive to such a small variation.

Vertical thermal structure of UTLS within 500 km from TC centre Page 13055 Line 27: “. . . Multiple tropopause structures . . .” Double tropopauses were already seen by Corti et al., 2008, Biondi et al., 2011, Davis et al., 2014, I suggest citing them here. Are the multiple tropopauses evident just at 1° distance from the TC centre or is this visible just in this case due to the small number of averaged profiles, as reported by Biondi et al., 2015?

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

Corti, T., Luo, B. P., deReus, M., Brunner, D., Cairo, F., Mahoney, M. J., Matucci, G., Matthey, R., Mitev, V., dos Santos, F. H., Schiller, C., Shur, G., Sitnikov, N. M., Spelten, N., Vossing, H. J., Borrmann, S., and Peter, T.: Unprecedented evidence for overshooting convection hydrating the tropical stratosphere, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35, L10810, doi:10.1029/2008GL033641, 2008. Biondi, R., Neubert, T., Syndergaard, S., and Nielsen, J. K.: Radio occultation bending angle anomalies during tropical cyclones, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 4, 1053–1060, doi:10.5194/amt-4-1053-2011, 2011. Davis, C. A., Ahijevych, D. A., Haggerty, J. A., and Mahoney, M. J.: Observations of Temperature in the Upper Troposphere and Lower Stratosphere of Tropical Weather Disturbances, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 71, 1593–1608, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-13-0278.1, 2014.

Interactive comment on *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 15, 13043, 2015.

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)