

[Interactive
Comment](#)

Interactive comment on “Regional differences in Chinese SO₂ emission control efficiency and policy implications” by Q. Q. Zhang et al.

Q. Q. Zhang et al.

zhangqq10@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn

Received and published: 13 May 2015

1. SO₂ control will accompany with the change of NO_X and hydrocarbons emissions which can induce the O₃, OH and H₂O₂ variation. This would lead to gas phase and aqueous oxidation process of SO₂. The uncertainty analysis should be done to consider these processes.

Response: We agree with the reviewer that SO₂ emission control may accompany with changing emissions of NO_X and VOCs, because these pollutants have common sources as SO₂. However, while coal combustion is the dominant source of SO₂, it is not the most important source for NO_X or VOCs (transportation being another equally important source for those species). In addition, the technologies used to control SO₂

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

[Discussion Paper](#)



emissions from coal power plants cannot remove NO_x or VOCs to the same extent as SO₂, and they may not affect NO_x and VOCs equally. To address the impact of changing emissions of co-emitted species on SO₂ chemistry, we conducted a new set of sensitivity tests considering the extreme circumstance in which NO_x and VOCs emissions are also decreased by 8%, equal to the magnitude of SO₂ emission change in the S1 scenario. The results from this sensitivity test are within $\pm 2\%$ of those from S1 for all the metrics we considered. For example, the decrease of national mean SO₂ and sulfate concentrations is 7.80% and 5.76%, respectively; the corresponding value is 7.90% and 5.70% from the S1 simulation. This indicates that the change in NO_x and VOCs emissions as a result of SO₂ emission control processes has a negligible impact on SO₂ oxidation and as such it will not affect the conclusion of this study. Please refer to the revised manuscript in Section 4.3.

2. In Page 14, the authors analyzed SO₂ conversion and emphasized that the aqueous oxidation is very important process. However in page 15, the results showed that all three regions, the relative decrease of gas phase oxidation is greater than that of aqueous oxidation, more explanations should be given to this point.

Response: Aqueous phase oxidation (mainly by H₂O₂) of SO₂ contributes 45%, 64% and 73% to sulfate over NC, SC and SWC, respectively. The lower humidity over NC inhibits the aqueous phase oxidation, and the stronger NO_x emissions from NC raise the OH concentration and then enhance the gas phase oxidation, so both of them are the reasons for the higher percentage of gas phase SO₂ oxidation over North China. SO₂ emission changes affect both gas- and aqueous-phase oxidation processes, but the magnitude of the influence depends on whether the process is SO₂ limited or not. In most-polluted areas with high NO_x emissions (like China), the aqueous oxidation tends to be oxidants limited rather than SO₂-limited, because of the impact of high-NO_x concentrations on OH, H₂O₂, and O₃. Previous sensitivity studies (Berglen et al., 2004) have found that the gas-phase oxidation is more limited by SO₂. Therefore, SO₂ emission change will have a stronger impact on gas phase oxidation than aqueous

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

phase oxidation and this explains why when SO₂ emissions decrease, the relative decrease of gas phase oxidation is larger than that of aqueous phase oxidation. We have clarified this point in the text; please refer to the revised manuscript in section 4.1.

3. More detailed information should be added to describe how to calculate the sulfur outflow flux. Some references should be cited to show the Winter and Spring are the significant seasons for pollutants export.

Response: Previous studies have found that pollution outflow from East Asia to the Pacific peaks in spring (Chin et al., 2007; Clarisse et al., 2011), while winter is also a significant contributor (Feng et al., 2007), so we calculate the sulfur flux to Western Pacific in each scenario for the winter and spring seasons. We have added those references in the manuscript. The transport flux is evaluated at the boundary of 123°E and 22°N - 42°N within the troposphere, and the sulfur flux includes both SO₂ and sulfate. We define eastward flux as positive, the sulfur fluxes for both seasons are positive, indicating the net export of sulfur compounds from China to the Western Pacific. Please refer to the revised section 3.4 in the manuscript.

4. Why SO₂ concentration is not one of the impact metrics?

Response: The reviewer's point is well taken. We have added SO₂ as one of the impact metrics. Comparing SO₂ metrics from the four emission scenarios, the national mean SO₂ concentration is also most sensitive to SO₂ emission changes from NC (S2 scenario), resulting in the emission control efficiency factor of 1.0. SO₂ emissions from NC are 36% and 129% higher than those from SC and SWC, respectively. Because of the short lifetime of SO₂, reducing SO₂ emissions from one region has a small effect on SO₂ concentrations over the other regions, and thus the national-mean SO₂ concentration is most sensitive to SO₂ emissions from NC. This result is also robust without a strong dependence on meteorology or magnitude of SO₂ emission reduction. Please refer to Section 3.2, 4.3 and the new Figure 6a in the revised manuscript.

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)