

Interactive comment on “Modeling the reactive halogen plume from Ambrym volcano and its impact on the troposphere with the CCATT-BRAMS mesoscale model” by L. Jourdain et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 19 April 2016

This paper presents a thorough multi-scale chemistry-transport modeling analysis of a volcanic plume from the Ambrym volcano (Vanuatu). Model simulations are evaluated against ground-based and satellite observations of SO₂ and BrO. A lot of care is put in estimating as accurately as possible model inputs, notably the mix (sulphur, halogen), vertical distribution and rates of volcanic emissions. The authors set up a complex model configuration to generate a realistic transport of volcanic emissions. In order to resolve the plume at different scales and phases, the atmospheric model domain is 3 nested grids centred over the volcano going to a resolution of 500 x 500 m; ECMWF meteorological analyses (wind, temperature, water vapour) are used to initialize and nudge the model. A range of model simulations is performed to cover the uncertainties

C13482

in model inputs and chemistry (e.g. high temperature chemistry, plume height, ...).

The introduction on volcanic halogen is rather thorough. However, it does mention the issue of the transport of volcanic halogen to the stratosphere and the possible implications for stratospheric ozone. It is a bit surprising because this point pops out a few times in the manuscript. For example, it is mentioned in section 4.2.2: “Such transport of volcanic bromine to the upper troposphere and the stratosphere is of strong interest. Indeed, the stratospheric bromine burden is underestimated by global models that take only into account long lived halons and methyl bromide”. Again, in the conclusion, the authors recall an important finding in their model simulations: “There is also evidence in the simulations of a subsequent transport of bromine to the stratosphere from Ambrym”. They also state that “longer duration simulations should be performed to fully quantify the impact of Ambrym on chemical composition of the troposphere at the regional scale. In particular, flux of bromine to upper troposphere and to the stratosphere from this extreme continuous degassing event”. They never provide references and this point is not mentioned in the introduction. The references are about the impact of volcanic halogen on tropospheric chemistry. I would suggest to mention the impact of volcanic halogens on the stratosphere, notably the ozone layer, in the introduction with references. This issue has been largely overlooked in the literature. But, several recent studies have shown that volcanic halogen, notably bromine, from very large volcanic events could have had a drastic effect on the evolution of stratospheric ozone in the past, when the atmospheric chlorine and bromine loadings were low (in contrast to the present-day atmosphere). The key uncertainty in assessing the impact of volcanic halogen from massive eruptions on stratospheric ozone is the fraction of bromine and chlorine emissions reaching the stratosphere which depend on the form of the volcanic halogen injected. If bromine and chlorine are in the form of HBr and HCl (acidic molecules, soluble), they would tend to be eliminated very quickly within the plume by dissolution in aqueous phases or/and adsorption on solid particles. In contrast, bromine and chlorine radicals are much less soluble, so they would survive longer in the volcanic plume and hence are more likely to reach the stratosphere. Therefore, the

C13483

process of halogen activation in volcanic plumes is highly relevant to the question of the potential impact of volcanic halogen on stratospheric ozone.

The first part of the paper is devoted to the analysis of near field plume focusing on the higher resolution model nests. Overall, the results are encouraging. Comparisons between simulations and ground-based/satellite SO₂ observations indicate that the model performs rather well regarding the transport and dispersion of the plume. Sensitivity simulations confirms that the high temperature chemistry in the vent of the volcano is important because, by taking it into account, the model is able to reproduce the general evolution of BrO/SO₂ seen in DOAD data downwind from the vent. The main discrepancy is about the magnitude of BrO columns that the model underestimates by about a factor 3. The authors point out that the fact that BrO formation is limited by the flux of ozone in the near-downwind plume could explain some of the discrepancy. Unlike a 1-D plume model, the level of mixing between the plume and the ambient air is a factor whose influence they cannot easily explore in their 3-D model configuration. Plume chemistry and the associated changes in chemical composition strongly depend on the entrainment flux of outside air. Clearly, the different changes, notably ozone destruction and BrO formation, do not have the same dependency on the mixing rate. For instance, the lower the mixing is, the more pronounced the local ozone destruction, but the lower the formation and hence the levels of BrO are. This discrepancy may indicate that the level of mixing is not quite right yet. The balance between mixing and chemistry is difficult to strike. Anyway, I think the authors went as far as possible with their model configuration. Another possible cause for the discrepancy is the halogen activation scheme because there are large uncertainties pertaining to aqueous phase chemistry.

The second part of the paper is devoted to the analysis of far field plume focusing on the large-scale model domain where the resolution is 50x50 km. Large-scale model simulations are evaluated against SO₂ columns. It is a pity that simultaneous BrO observations are not available. The authors discuss the model-calculated impact on the

C13484

lifetimes and budget of several trace gases (e.g. ozone, methane, OH, SO₂). All the results confirm that volcanic halogen emissions may play a significant role in atmospheric chemistry, at least on a regional scale.

In conclusion, I congratulate the authors for this solid piece of multi-scale volcanic plume modelling. This work is a big step forward from the simple 1-D plume approach. It allows a more critical testing of model simulations against observations because the transport and dispersion of the plume are much better constrained. The model results confirm the potential importance of volcanic halogen on the budget of a range of trace gases. The magma of some volcano is extremely rich in halogen. Therefore, when assessing their impact on atmospheric composition and climate, the halogen component should be not neglected. I recommend publication. However, it might be good for the authors to take on board some of my comments and suggestions listed above.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 15, 35313, 2015.

C13485