

Interactive comment on “Direct measurements of OH and other product yields from the HO₂+ CH₃C(O)O₂ reaction” by F. A. F. Winiberg et al.

G. Tyndall (Referee)

tyndall@ucar.edu

Received and published: 21 November 2015

We would like to thank the referee for their constructive comments and thorough reading of this manuscript. We address the suggested minor corrections below, with our comments [in blue](#).

28819, 21. “linked to ...high OH concentrations:, not “linked to an... concentrations”

[Corrected.](#)

28820, 13. These branching ratios look like those from Hasson, not Jenkin (cf Table 4)

[Correct. The reference has been changed to Hasson et al.](#)

28833, 24. Do you really mean removal of CH₃CHO? Isn't the primary removal by Cl atoms? Probably meant to be CH₃CH(OH)O₂.

[This line was meant to refer to the CH₃CH\(OH\)O₂ radical, and not the CH₃CHO precursor. Corrected.](#)

28834, 24. HO₂ radicals are initially produced from CH₃O radicals (produced by AcO₂ + CH₃O₂); no need to wait for HCHO to build up.

[Quite right. P28834, L23 now reads:](#)

[“...through Reaction \(R19\). HO₂ radicals were produced almost instantaneously in the system through the decomposition of CH₃O \(R22\) from the reaction of CH₃C\(O\)O₂ with CH₃O₂, \(Reaction R20b\), as well as the subsequent reaction of Cl with HCHO, where HCHO was produced in reaction R20b and R22. However, reduced yields for reaction R5 were calculated as there was no excess of HO₂ in the system. This trend has...”](#)

28836, 5. Which branching ratio? Reaction 5?

Yes. Corrected.

28842. I think a little extra clarification as to the PAN/NO_x is required. I think that the logic is that the faster reaction rate leads to lower CH₃C(O)O₂, and in turn lower PAN over source regions. Since the PAN is transported to remote regions where it releases NO_x, the overall effect in remote regions is less NO_x. This is clear from the red and blue colors in Supplemental figure, but not immediately from the text.

The manuscript has been changed to clarify this point. The paragraph now reads:

“The enhanced rate coefficients for Reaction (R5) of this work and of Groß et al. show up to a 30% decrease in PAN concentrations (Fig. 9a) by reducing the available acetylperoxy radicals for reaction with NO₂. As PAN is responsible for the transport of NO_x to remote regions, such as the marine boundary layer, the reduction in PAN results in less background NO, as shown in Fig. 9b, and hence less remote O₃ (see Supplementary Information). Only very slight increases in O₃ are observed over the tropics as the direct O₃ yield from R5 is only significant when NO concentration. In these plots the comparison is between the branching ratios and rate coefficients of this work and the IUPAC recommendations. Further comparisons, including vertical profiles, can be found in the supplementary information.”

28852. Table 2. The rate coefficient for the pressure-independent channel of HO₂ + HO₂ should have a pre exponential factor of 2.2E-13, not 2.2E-15. As written, the overall rate coefficient is about half the true value. Is this a typo, or was the wrong value used in the model?

Values in the model are correct, the text is wrong. This has been corrected in the manuscript.

28853, Table 2. I think there are two reactions of CH₃CH(OH)O₂ that ought to give CH₃COOH as product (currently written as HCOOH product); the reaction with CH₃C(O)O₂ and with HOCH₂O₂.

Quite correct. The error lies in the table. These reactions were correctly included in the model (CH₃OOH instead of HCOOH).