Response to the first referee:

The authors thank the referee for his positive evaluation of the paper as an "solid and authoritative attempt to reconcile the results" obtained during the Megapoli Paris campaign.

In the following, we give detailed answers to the mostly technical or stylistic comments.

General: The authors have made use of footnotes on a few occasions, but the use of these is discouraged in ACP. Given that the information presented is in the form of additional information rather than technical details, these should be worked into the main text or removed.

In the revised text, footnotes have been, as recommended, worked into the main text, or omitted (in one case, where the information was also available in the supplementary material).

P8650, L28: 'Charbroiling' is probably too specific a term for cooking here, as other cooking techniques (e.g. stir frying) are also known to be significant.

'Charbroiling' has been replaced by 'cooking activities'.

P8651, L20: If you are citing a specific population estimate, can you be specific about the number rather than simply saying 'more than 10 million'?

The exact number of inhabitants given in the reference for the year 2015 is put in the revised text (10,84 millions).

P8653, L1: 'Aethalometer' is a proper noun and should have a capital 'A'. The model number should also be specified.

'Aethalometer' has been written with a capital 'A' and the model number has been specified (Magee Scientific, model AE-31) in the text.

P8654, L16: By 'error analysis', do you refer to the ambiguity of the PMF outputs? If so, this should be made more specific to avoid confusion with the errors used in the weighting matrix.

Yes, we refer to the ambiguity, or better uncertainty, of the PMF outputs. To make this more clear, we have rewrote the sentence as follows:

'Error analysis for PMF output is performed by varying the algorithm parameters (seeds, fpeak). A relative uncertainty for different factors of usually several tens of percent has been obtained (Freutel et al., 2013).'

P8655, L2: Does automotive fuel in Paris contain ethanol? If so, this could alter the modern percentage, although the effect could be negligible if it is assumed the HOA originates solely from lubricating oils rather than fuel.

In 2009, SP-95 gasoline (to my knowledge the only sold ethanol containing gasoline in France) could contain up to 5 % ethanol. This part would indeed be modern. As the referee says, POA (HOA) sources are multiple. In France, only about 30 -40% of distributed fuel is gasoline, the largest part is diesel. In conclusion, the effect of modern HOA due to ethanol is largely within the error bars of the source apportionment analysis, and thus is neglected here.

P8662, L22: 'The broader picture' is perhaps too informal. Consider revising.

The section title 'The broader picture' has been replaced by 'Comparison to other Megacities'.

Figure 1: The text on the map is difficult to read against the colours. Consider a different scheme, such as numbered markers with a legend outsider of the plot.

The text on the map appears now on white background, which makes it easily readable.

Figure 4: Rather than 'left' or 'right', please use 'a' and 'b' to refer to the sub-figures. Also move the text above the plots rather than overlaying, for the sake of clarity.

This is done.

Figure 5: Again, please use letters to refer to the sub-figures. Also, the text in the rightmost plot is so small as to be unreadable, so please revise.

This is done.

Figure 10: What is the basis for the ordering of the cities on this plot?

The basis for ordering is the BC or EC concentration measured in a megacity. If several entries are present, then the largest one has been considered (e.g. for Bejing, EC and BC measurements, or for Tokyo, with two different time series).

Table S2: This data should perhaps be included in the main article; by placing the references in the supplement, the citations will not be included in the main references (which is used by indexing services), however given that their data is used in figure 10, it would seem that they should.

We recognize the point raised by the referee. Table S2 and the references included there are shifted into the main paper (as new Table 1).

Response to the second referee:

The authors thank the referee for his positive evaluation.

In the following, we respond to the referees specific comments, which all have been taken into account.

P8650, L12: Use 'the' North-Eastern US. This is done.

P8654, L16: Do you mean that the uncertainty of PMF analysis is corrected by the error analysis? Or, the error analysis of PMF analysis yields an uncertainty of several percent? It should be made more clear so the ambiguity with PMF analysis and thus the confusion with the errors used in the weighting matrix can be avoided.

We mean the second statement: The error analysis of PMF analysis yields an uncertainty of several percent tens of %. To make this more clear, we have rewrote the sentence as follows:

'Error analysis for PMF output is performed by varying the algorithm parameters (seeds, fpeak). A relative uncertainty for different factors of usually several tens of percent has been obtained (Freutel et al., 2013).'

P8656, Last paragraph continued to P8657: Please make the sentence and concept clear. The statement is contradictory to previous statement on P8655,L22-24. Does it mean that the larger concentrations for OA in winter were failed to detect due to the non availability of AMS measurments on three days of pollution episode in January?

Both statements are not contradictory. On P8655, L22-24, we stated the much larger PM1 concentrations in winter than in summer on the basis of observations from the three urban and sub-urban sites. In the last paragraph of page P8656, we present results from a sector analysis performed for the NE suburban site only. The missing data during a polluted period in January 26-28 with winds from NE cause indeed more pronounced differences for *a subset* of data (NE sub-urban site, winds from te NE sector), than for the combined data set of winter measurements at three sites.

P8657, L1-4: Make the sentence clear. Please explain what is meant by 'having accumulated the corresponding emissions'.

To make this point clear, the sentence is rewritten to:

'Thus contrary to intuitive expectations, PM levels for most components are larger in air masses advected to the agglomeration (from NE) than in air masses (from SW) leaving Paris and having accumulated urban emissions when crossing the city.'

P8658, L23: Is it PMF analysis the authors mean by saying 'a specific source apportionment method'? Or do you use any other methods? Make it clear here in order to avoid confusion.

Skyllakou et al. (2014) used a tagging method in their simulations with the PMCAMx model to discern local and advected contributions to particulate matter. This is made clear in the following sentence in the revised text:

'They are similar to those obtained by Skyllakou et al. (2014) for Paris using a specific tagging method imbedded in their PMCAMx model simulations, and capable to discern the geographical origin of fine PM.'

P8663, L14: Which meteorology are you using in the model? The surface winds in march shows Cyclonic circulations over most of Europe in March in the ECMWF meteorology. The authors might have meant Cyclonic circulation, which could explain the continental air bringing pollutants to Paris. Clarify this part.

In the revised text, we precise the dates of this pollution period, from 7. to 18. March. During this period, indeed anticyclonic conditions were prevailing over Western and Central Europe advecting polluted continental form Central Europe to Paris, and causing additional local pollution build-up due to very light winds. This anticyclonic situation is for example shown by surface pressure maps which can be consulted at http://meteocentre.com/analyses/.

Figure 1: Increase the colour contrast between the map and the labelling on the figure. Otherwise use numbers or symbols to represent the locations and give the legend outside of the map.

The text on the map appears now on white background, which makes it easily readable.

Figure 3: What is the undefined here? Is it the uncertainty percentage?

"Undefined" denotes the mass fraction that could not be attributed to any species in the mass closure exercise presented in Bressi et al. (2013). It is synonymous to "undetermined".

Figure 4: Figures are very small. Make the figures larger to fit to the page.

Figure 4 has been enlarged to fit to the page.

Figure 5: Again figures are very small and not clear. Make it fit to the page.

Figure 5 has been again enlarged to fit to the page. Also text in figure 5c has been made easier to read.

Figure 6: Figures small and unclear. Make larger fit to the page figures. The labelling on the colour bar is not readable, also this needs to made with a larger font size.

Figure 6 has been enlarged to fit to the page. Labelling of the font size is now easier to read.

Figure 7: The legend within the figure is not readable. Increase the font size.

Figure 7 has been made larger, so that the font size become readable

Figure 9 and 10: Make figures larger and fit to the page so that it is properly readable.

Figures 9 and 10 have been enlarged to fit to the page.

References:

Bressi, M., Sciare, J., Ghersi, V., Bonnaire, N., Nicolas, J. B., Petit, J.-E., Moukhtar, S., Rosso, A., Mihalopoulos, N., and Féron, A.: A one-year comprehensive chemical

characterisation of fine aerosol ($PM_{2.5}$) at urban, suburban and rural background sites in the region of Paris (France), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 7825-7844, 2013.

Skyllakou, K., Murphy, B. N., Megaritis, A. G., Fountoukis, C., and Pandis, S. N.: Contributions of local and regional sources to fine PM in the megacity of Paris, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 2343-2352, 2014.

In-situ, satellite measurement and model evidence for a dominant regional contribution to fine particulate matter levels in the Paris Megacity

M. Beekmann¹*, A. S. H. Prévôt², F. Drewnick³, J. Sciare^{4,20}, S. N. Pandis⁵, H. A. C. Denier van der Gon⁶, M. Crippa², F. Freutel³, L. Poulain⁷, V. Ghersi⁸, E. Rodriguez⁹, S. Beirle¹⁰, P. Zotter^{2,22}, S.-L. von der Weiden-Reinmüller³, M. Bressi⁴, C. Fountoukis⁵, H. Petetin^{1,8}, S. Szidat¹¹, J. Schneider³, A. Rosso⁸, I. El Haddad², A. Megaritis⁵, Q.J. Zhang^{1,12}, V. Michoud^{1,21}, J.G. Slowik², S. Moukhtar⁸, P. Kolmonen⁹, A. Stohl¹³, S. Eckhardt¹³, A. Borbon¹, V. Gros⁴, N. Marchand¹⁴, J.L. Jaffrezo¹⁵, A. Schwarzenboeck¹⁶, A. Colomb^{1,16}, A. Wiedensohler⁷, S. Borrmann^{3,17}, M. Lawrence¹⁸, A. Baklanov¹⁹, U. Baltensperger²

¹LISA/IPSL, Laboratoire Interuniversitaire des Systèmes Atmosphériques, UMR CNRS 7583, Université Paris Est Créteil (UPEC) et Université Paris Diderot (UPD), France.

²Laboratory of Atmospheric Chemistry, Paul Scherrer Institute, PSI Villigen, Switzerland.

³Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Particle Chemistry Department, Mainz, Germany.

⁴Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement (LSCE/IPSL), Laboratoire CEA-CNRS-UVSQ, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.

⁵Institute of Chemical Engineering Sciences (ICEHT), Foundation for Research and Technology Hellas (FORTH), Patra, Greece.

⁶ TNO, Dept. Climate, Air and Sustainability, Utrecht, The Netherlands.

⁷Leibniz Institute for Tropospheric Research, Leipzig, Germany.

⁸AIRPARIF, Agence de Surveillance de la Qualité de l'Air, Paris, France.

⁹Finnish Meteorological Institute, Climate Change Unit, FI-00101.

¹⁰Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Satellite Group, Mainz, Germany.

¹¹Dept. of Chemistry and Biochemistry & Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland.

¹²Aria Technologies, Boulogne Billancourt, France.

¹³NILU - Norwegian Institute for Air Research, Kjeller, Norway.

¹⁴Laboratoire Chimie Provence, Equipe Instrumentation et Réactivité Atmosphérique (IRA), Université d'Aix-Marseille -CNRS, Marseille, France.

¹⁵Laboratoire de Glaciologie et Géophysique de l'Environnement (LGGE), Université Joseph Fourier, CNRS, Grenoble, France.

¹⁶Laboratoire de Météorologie Physique (LaMP), Université Blaise Pascal, CNRS, Aubière, France.

¹⁷Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, Germany.

¹⁸Now at Institute for Advanced Sustainability Studies, Potsdam, Germany.

¹⁹Danish Meteorological Institute (DMI), Research Department, Copenhagen, Denmark.

²⁰Energy Environment Water Research Center (EEWRC), The Cyprus Institute, Nicosia, Cyprus.

²¹Mines de Douai, Douai, France.

²²now at: Lucerne School of Engineering and Architecture, Bioenergy Research,

Lucerne University of Applied Sciences and Arts, 6048 Horw, Switzerland.

*Corresponding author: Matthias Beekmann, LISA/IPSL, Université Paris Est Créteil, 61, avenue du Général de Gaulle, 94010 Créteil, France (<u>matthias.beekmann@lisa.u-pec.fr</u>).

Abstract

A detailed characterization of air quality in Paris (France), a megacity of more than 10 million inhabitants, during two one month intensive campaigns and from additional one year observations, revealed that about 70% of the fine particulate matter (PM) at urban background is transported on average into the megacity from upwind regions. This dominant influence of regional sources was confirmed by in-situ measurements during short intensive and longer term campaigns, aerosol optical depth (AOD) measurements from ENVISAT, and modeling results from PMCAMx and CHIMERE. While advection of sulfate is well documented for other megacities, there was surprisingly high contribution from long-range transport for both nitrate and organic aerosol. The origin of organic PM was investigated by a comprehensive analysis of aerosol mass spectrometer (AMS), radiocarbon and tracer measurements during two intensive campaigns. Primary fossil fuel combustion emissions contributed less than 20% in winter and 40% in summer to carbonaceous fine PM, unexpectedly little for a megacity. Cooking activities and, during winter, residential wood burning are the major primary organic PM sources. This analysis suggests that the major part of secondary organic aerosol is of modern origin, i.e. from biogenic precursors and from wood burning. Black carbon concentrations are on the lower end of values encountered in megacities worldwide, but still represent an issue for air quality. These comparatively low air pollution levels are due to a combination of low emissions per inhabitant, flat terrain, and a meteorology that is in general not conducive to local pollution build-up. This revised picture of a megacity only controlling

part of its own average and pea	ak PM levels has	s important	implications	for air pollut	ion
regulation policies.					

1. Introduction

Megacities, defined as agglomerations with a population above 10 million inhabitants (Gurjar and Lelieveld, 2005) often concentrate in a small area a substantial part of a country's population, economic activities, and thus air pollutant emissions. Emitted primary and subsequently formed secondary gas or particulate phase pollutants cause substantial health problems especially in megacities with rapidly growing industry and low pollution control (e.g. Gurjar et al., 2010). The impact of local emissions on air quality in many of these megacities was shown to be large (e.g. Molina and Molina (2004) for a general overview; Hand et al. (2011) and Parrish et al. (2011) for Los Angeles; Molina et al. (2010) for Mexico City; Chan and Yao, 2008, for Chines Megacities). In addition to the local contribution, levels of gaseous species and of fine particulate matter (fine PM, with an aerodynamic diameter below 2.5 µm, PM_{2.5}) are also affected by long-range transport from outside the cities. This important role of pollutant transport has been first shown for sulfate in New York City, a megacity located in a highly industrial belt in the North-Eastern US (Rahn and Lowenthal, 1985; Dutkiewicz et al., 2004; Quin et al., 2006). Indeed, as a secondary aerosol component, sulfate needs some time to be formed from SO₂ emissions by gaseous or aqueous phase oxidation pathways (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006), important SO₂ emissions of industrial origin are often located outside of a megacity. For nitrate, another important secondary inorganic aerosol component, and for organic aerosol (OA, both of primary and secondary origin), only few explicit estimations of the local versus advected contributions to their burden in megacities are available. While for Chicago (with about 9.4 million inhabitants nearly a megacity) the advected part for both nitrate and OA is dominant (about 60% (Hand et al., 2011)), it is only minor for New York City (about 30 – 40%) (Lall and Thurston, 2006; Hand et al., 2011). Important contributions to nitrate from advection were qualitatively estimated for London (Harrison et al., 2012).

Inorganic secondary particulate species are directly related to their precursor gases SO₂, NO_x and NH₃, with rather well known sources mostly related to fossil fuel burning (SO₂, NO_x) and agriculture (NH₃). By contrast, sources of organic aerosol are widespread (traffic, residential heating including wood burning, open biomass burning, cooking activitiescharbroiling, secondary formation from biogenic as well as anthropogenic VOC precursors) and their relative contributions remain uncertain (Hallquist et al., 2009). Early source apportionment work on PM was based on the analysis of daily filter samples and analysis of correlations between organic aerosol and tracers for specific sources using different statistical methods (for a review of methods and results, see Viana et al. (2008)). In these earlier studies the secondary OA was often determined as the part of OA which could not be attributed to primary sources.

More recently, the combination of aerosol mass spectrometer (AMS) measurements (DeCarlo et al., 2006, Drewnick et al., 2005) and factor analysis techniques (e.g. positive matrix factorization, PMF, Paatero et al., 1994; Lanz et al., 2007; Ulbrich et al., 2009) has improved the high temporal resolution of source apportionment of primary and secondary OA (e.g., Jimenez et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2011). Nevertheless, the biogenic versus anthropogenic origin of secondary aerosol in megacities and in megacity plumes is still an open question (Hallquist et al., 2009). The combination of radiocarbon (¹⁴C) measurements and AMS based source apportionment can provide valuable insights about the share of fossil and contemporary organic aerosol sources (Lanz et al., 2007; Minguillón et al., 2011; El-Haddad et al., 2013; Zotter et al., 2014). Despite this progress, sources of organic aerosol in megacities with respect to their local versus advected and fossil versus contemporary

contribution are still highly uncertain. Comprehensive data sets are needed to better quantify these sources.

Here, we report on measurements of air pollution by fine particles in the Paris agglomeration, a mid-latitude, post-industrial megacity with more than 10.84 million inhabitants (United Nations, 20154). We conducted two intensive field campaigns in the Greater Paris area during July 2009 and from mid-January to mid-February 2010 as part of the MEGAPOLI (Megacities: Emissions, urban, regional and Global Atmospheric POLlution and climate effects, and Integrated tools for assessment and mitigation) project (Butler, 2008; Baklanov et al., 2010). In addition, during one year (September 2009 - September 2010) daily PM_{2.5} chemical composition measurements were performed at one urban background and several rural sites during the PARTICULES project (Ghersi et al., 2010, Bressi et al., 2013 & 2014). Our major aim is to quantify the contribution of local versus advected sources of fine aerosol in a post-industrial megacity, with a special focus on organic aerosol sources. This will be addressed by combining observations from both the MEGAPOLI and PARTICULES campaigns, and considering also results from chemical transport modeling and satellite observations. The analysis of organic aerosol sources will be addressed by a synthesis of AMS, radiocarbon and tracer measurements. Finally, we will place results found for Paris in a larger context of particulate matter origin in other selected megacities.

2. Measurements and methods

In Section 2.1, we will present the sites and measurements used for this study during the MEGAPOLI and PARTICULES campaigns. The processing of the measurements will be described in Section 2.2. The analysis for attribution of local versus advected contributions is outlined in Section 2.3. Details of the combined use of ¹⁴C and AMS data are given in the supplementary information Section S1. Additional data sets used in this study are described in

the supplementary information: satellite data sets (AOD from the Advanced Along Track Scanning Radiometer (AATSR), NO₂ columns from the SCIAMACHY instrument, both on the ENVISAT platform) in Section S2, black carbon (BC) and elemental carbon (EC) observations from other megacities than Paris in Section S3, emission inventories in Section S4 and modeling simulations with the PMCAMx and the CHIMERE models in Section S5.

2.1 Sampling sites and strategy

MEGAPOLI summer and winter campaigns took place from 1 to 31 July 2009 and 15 January to 15 February 2010. The measurement design included 3 primary, and several secondary sites (Crippa et al., 2013a; Freutel et al., 2013), mobile platforms (Royer et al., 2011; von der Weiden-Reinmüller et al., 2014a), and an aircraft (Freney et al., 2014). Measurements at primary sites include gas phase pollutants, size-resolved chemical composition of fine PM, and aerosol physical properties. In this paper, we use in particular concurrent AMS and black carbon measurements derived either from a MAAP (Multi Angle Absorption Photometer; Thermo) or an Aaethalometer (Magee Scientific, model AE-31, USA) at the three primary sites. The urban background site (LHVP, Laboratoire d'Hygiène de la Ville de Paris) was located near the agglomeration center, in the 13th district of Paris. Two sub-urban background sites were located respectively at the south-western (SW) and northeastern (NE) edges of the agglomeration at about 20 km from the city center (Figure 1): the SIRTA (Site Instrumental de Recherche par Télédétection Atmosphérique, Haeffelin et al., 2005) site at Ecole Polytechnique, Palaiseau (later on referred to as SW suburban site), and the Golf Départemental de la Poudrerie site at Livry Gargan (NE suburban site). The sites are later on referred to as the SW and the NE suburban site, respectively). The sites are described in more details in Freutel et al. (2013) and Crippa et al. (2013a). At the urban site, also filter sampling for ¹⁴C analysis of PM₁ aerosol was performed in order to distinguish between fossil and modern fuel origin (see Section S1 for a detailed method description). Briefly, in these

samples TC (total carbon) was isolated for ¹⁴C measurements using the THEODORE system (combustion in O₂ at 640°C for 12 min and subsequent cryo-trapping of the evolving CO₂, see Szidat et al. (2004) for more details). ¹⁴C was then measured with the accelerator mass spectrometry system MICADAS (Synal et al., 2007).

The French PARTICULES project (Ghersi et al., 2010; Bressi et al., 2013) was designed to identify the major sources of PM2.5 in the city of Paris and document their geographical origin in order to better define effective local abatement strategies. A one-year survey of the daily PM_{2.5} chemical composition (from 11 September 2009 to 10 September 2010) was performed at five background locations in the region of Paris (Figure 1)¹: one urban background, one suburban background (not used in this study), and three rural background sites at about 70 km distance from the city center. Additional measurements (not used in this study) were performed at two traffic sites. Each site was equipped with two automated lowvolume samplers (Leckel SEQ47/50) collecting 24-h PM_{2.5} samples (from midnight to midnight) in order to determine the concentrations of PM2.5, EC, OC, the major water-soluble inorganic ions, metals, and selected organic tracers such as levoglucosan (a marker for woodburning) as described in Bressi et al. (2013). From filter samples, total PM2.5 mass was obtained from gravimetric measurements. Chloride, nitrate, sulfate, sodium, ammonium, potassium, magnesium and calcium concentrations were determined by Ion Chromatography (IC). Elemental carbon (EC) and organic carbon (OC) were determined by a thermal-optical method using a Sunset Laboratory Carbon Analyzer (Sunset Lab., OR, USA) and the EUSAAR 2 protocol defined by Cavalli et al. (2010).

2.2 Aerosol measurements processing

AMS measurements provide the chemical speciation (sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, chloride, and the organic fraction) of the non-refractory fraction of aerosols with a diameter

Mis en forme : Police :(Par défaut) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Mis en forme : Police : (Par défaut) Times New Roman, 12 pt

⁴ and also one traffic site, close to the Boulevard périphérique (the ring road highway around Paris).

below 1 µm (PM₁). The uncertainty in these measurements has been estimated at about 30% (Crippa et al., 2013a; Freutel et al., 2013). Positive matrix factorization (PMF) (Paatero et al., 1994; Lanz et al., 2007; Ulbrich et al., 2009) allows deconvolution of the OA mass spectra into several factors that can be assigned most of the time to specific OA sources. Error analysis for PMF output is performed by varying the algorithm parameters (seeds, fpeak). A relative uncertainty for different factors of usually several tens of percent has been obtained (Freutel et al., 2013). Error analysis for PMF analysis is performed by varying the algorithm parameters and yields an uncertainty of usually several tens of percent (e.g. Freutel et al., 2013). The PMF analysis was applied here to the AMS measurements at the three primary MEGAPOLI sites indicated in Figure 1. For the summer campaign a three factor solution comprising HOA (hydrocarbon-like organic aerosol), OOA (oxygenated organic aerosol) and COA (cooking related organic aerosol) was selected (Freutel et al., 2013). For the winter campaign a four factor solution with an additional BBOA (biomass-burning related organic aerosol) component was selected (Crippa et al., 2013a).

The combination of radiocarbon (¹⁴C) analysis with PMF analysis of AMS measurements allows quantification of the fossil fuel and modern fractions of different OA sources (Minguillón et al., 2011; El Haddad et al., 2013; Zotter et al., 2014). All PMF factors (as obtained with a three factor analysis by Freutel et al. (2013)) are attributed *a priori* to fossil- or modern (non-fossil) fuel carbon. HOA, which largely originates from traffic, was assumed to be 100% fossil, thus neglecting the small biofuel contribution. COA and BBOA were assumed to be 100% non-fossil. Only for OOA this attribution is not possible *a priori*, but is obtained as a result of the combined ¹⁴C/AMS-PMF analysis. For more detailed description of this analysis, see Section 1 of the supplementary information.

The measurement set-up, data processing, and the data sets obtained from the PARTICULES project are described in detail in Bressi et al. (2013). Chemical mass closure

was successfully achieved at all sites leading to a large quality controlled aerosol dataset (Bressi et al., 2013).

2.3 Data processing for determining local versus advected contributions

The geographical origins of the various PM components measured during the PARTICULES campaign were determined assuming that the difference in PM chemical composition between the urban background site and the appropriate upwind rural site can be attributed to the emissions within the agglomeration (Lenschow et al., 2001). Backward trajectories calculated with the HYSPLIT model (Draxler and Hess, 1997) have been used to choose the convenient rural background site upwind of the agglomeration among the three sites located at the north-east, north-west and south of the agglomeration (as described in more details in Petetin et al. (2014)). Uncertainties in the annual advected fractions are below 5% (Petetin et al., 2014).

3. Aerosol origin: Regional or local?

3.1 Megapoli intensive campaign perspective

A first striking result is that PM1 levels in the Paris agglomeration were much lower during the MEGAPOLI summer campaign in July 2009 than during the winter campaign (in January/ February 2010): average PM₁ levels were between 5.3 and 7.5 μg m⁻³ and between 15.2 and 18.5 μg m⁻³ in summer and winter, respectively, at the three primary sites (Table S1 in supplementary material; Freutel et al., 2013, Crippa et al., 2013a). This seasonal variation of PM₁ is confirmed at the SW suburban site by two years long (June 2011 – June 2013) combined Q-ACSM (Quadripole Aerosol Chemical Speciation Monitor, Aerodyne, MA, USA) and Aeethalometer measurements (Petit et al., 2015): Summer PM₁ were on average 4.4 μg/m³ while winter reached on average 14.5 μg/m³. Also on a longer term perspective,

PM levels in the Paris megacity appear moderate², when compared to the European background: average urban background PM_{2.5} concentrations levels in and near Paris suburbs over the period 2007 – 2013 are about 18 μg/m³ (Petit, 2014). This value is similar to the median value of the annual PM_{2.5} means of 17 μg/m³ derived from eight European rural background sites (Putaud et al., 2010). Nevertheless, Paris urban PM_{2.5} background exceeds the WHO health guideline of 10 μg/m³ on an annual average; also for traffic sites European PM₁₀ standards are exceeded. This Average PM2.5 levels in Paris rather close to European background argument suggests a strong regional contribution to the Paris background urban fine PM burden which needs to be confirmed by quantitative analysis presented here.

Mis en forme : Police :(Par défaut) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Firstly, time series have been analyzed (Crippa et al., 2013a; Freutel, et al., 2013). For all major aerosol components except black carbon, the average concentrations (Table S1 in the supplementary material) and their temporal variability are rather similar between the urban LHVP and the NE and SW suburban sites, located at the edge of Paris. This similarity implies indeed a major regionally controlled fine PM burden.

Second, we compared levels of different PM₁ components and NO_x at the NE suburban site for air masses originating from (see Section S6 in the supplementary material): (1) the NE sector coming from Central Europe and (2) the SW sector and after passing over the Paris agglomeration before reaching the measurement site. Inorganic ions (sulfate, nitrate and ammonium) and OA levels were substantially higher for air masses originating from the NE sector both during summer and winter (Figure 2). This increase is less apparent for OA in winter, because AMS measurements were not available during January 26 to 28 when heavily polluted air masses were transported to the agglomeration, and absent for BC and NO_x, both primary pollutants. Thus contrary to intuitive expectations, PM levels for most components are larger in air masses advected to the agglomeration (from NE) than in air masses (from

 $^{^2}$ Nevertheless, Paris urban PM_{2.5} background exceeds the WHO health guideline of 10 μ g/m 3 on an annual average; also for traffic sites European PM₁₀ standards are exceeded.

Mis en forme : Police :(Par défaut) Times New Roman, 12 pt

3.2 One-year measurements perspective

The dominance of regional pollution for fine PM levels was additionally quantified by the analysis of the year-long measurements from the PARTICULES project. Using the appropriate upwind rural site (see Section 2.2, Figure 1) as background, we estimated that on an annual basis more than 70% of the urban $PM_{2.5}$ was advected to Paris from outside (Figure 3). Results from this analysis are very similar for the summer (JJA) and winter (DJF) seasons (72% and 71%, respectively). During the polluted periods ($PM_{2.5} > 40 \mu g m^{-3}$ at the urban site), especially during spring, the regional contribution can even be larger, around 90%. Thus the variability of fine PM levels in Paris background atmosphere is mostly controlled by advection from outside.

The three major fine aerosol species sulfate, nitrate and organic aerosol on average contributed at the urban background site 13%, 19% and 36%, respectively, to total PM_{2.5}. The predominance of advected aerosol compounds is observed as expected for sulfate (nearly 95% of total fine PM), but also for nitrate (nearly 80%) and for organic matter (about 70%). Such an important advected fraction of OA has to our knowledge not yet been shown before for a megacity. Possible reasons for this behavior will be discussed in Section 5.

3.3 Satellite observations

The homogeneous regional distribution of fine PM is also apparent from satellite AOD measurements, showing no significant gradient around Paris, in contrast to tropospheric NO₂ column measurements (derived from SCIAMACHY measurements on ENVISAT) which are clearly enhanced over the Paris agglomeration (Figure 4). This strong gradient in NO₂ is

directly related to NO_x emissions in the Paris agglomeration and is enhanced due to its short lifetime of several hours during daytime and summer (Beirle et al., 2011).

Figure 4 also shows the AOD results obtained with the Advanced Along Track Scanning Radiometer (AATSR) instrument on ENVISAT (Veefkind et al., 1998) over Western Europe from March to October 2009. Around Paris (from 48.70° to 49.0° North and from 2.10° to 2.55° East) AOD has a value of around 0.15 ± 0.04 , while the average over Northern France (from 49° to 50° North and from 2° to 6° East) was 0.14 ± 0.04 . Thus, unlike NO₂, AOD is not affected by the Paris agglomeration. Therefore a megacity such as Paris does not significantly change the local AOD, which confirms results from surface observations of long-range transport dominance of the aerosol burden over the Paris area.

3.4 Modeling results

Results from Chemical Transport Model (CTM) simulations (for model descriptions, see Section S5 in the supplementary material) for the MEGAPOLI campaign and the year of PARTICULES measurements confirm the dominant advective contribution to PM levels over the Paris agglomeration. Simulations with the PMCAMx model show only a 15% and 25% local origin of fine PM during the summer and winter campaign periods, respectively (Figure 5). These values are about 10%, 20% and 10% for sulfate, nitrate and OA, respectively, during summer. For winter, the respective local contributions are more than 20%, 5% and 20% for the same compounds (Figure 6). These values are obtained by comparing simulations with and without emissions from the Paris region. They are similar to those obtained by Skyllakou et al. (2014) for Paris using a specific tagging method imbedded in their PMCAMx model simulations, and capable to discern the geographical origin of fine PM. They are similar to those obtained by Skyllakou et al. (2014) for Paris using a specific source apportionment

method. Also simulations with the Polair3D/Polyphemus chemistry transport model (Sartelet

Mis en forme : Police :(Par défaut) Times New Roman, 12 pt et al., 2007) show a minor local contribution for OA (30-38%) for the Paris urban background during the MEGAPOLI summer campaign (Couvidat et al., 2013).

Year-round simulations with the CHIMERE model also show, consistently with the observation-based estimates, that around 65% of the fine PM_{2.5} over Paris is advected to the megacity from other areas (Petetin et al., 2014). For individual compounds larger errors of different sign occur, for instance the advected part of OA is underestimated by CHIMERE by about a factor of two, while nitrate is overestimated by a factor of 1.5. The strong underestimation of OA is most pronounced during wintertime and is thought to be related both to underestimated regional woodburning emissions and missing formation pathways in the model (Petetin et al. 2014). As a conclusion, CTM simulations are able to reproduce and confirm the general picture of a dominant advected fine PM burden over the Paris domain, although errors can occur for individual aerosol species.

4. Sources and origin of organic and inorganic aerosols

The MEGAPOLI campaign results also provide important insights into specific sources of carbonaceous PM (the sum of OA and BC), which represents on average almost 70% (summer) and 43% (winter) of PM₁ at the urban background site. Radiocarbon (14 C) analysis clearly shows a dominant non-fossil fraction of carbonaceous PM₁: \sim 62% \pm 8% (uncertainty) during summer and \sim 78% \pm 14% during winter (Figure 7). These values are much higher than those for other megacities reported in Hodzic et al. (2010), where contributions from non-fossil carbon are about 30 and 40%, respectively during summer and winter, for Tokyo, about 30% during summer for Los Angeles, about 30% during spring in Mexico City for days without significant contribution of wild-fires, and about 50% and 30% in summer and winter

in Beijing, respectively. Thus sources of non-fossil carbon are much more important for Paris than for many other megacities.

Cooking-related OA (e.g. modern carbon) contributes to carbonaceous PM₁ up to 30% in summer and nearly 15% in winter. The high values at the urban site could be partly due to a large number of restaurants nearby, although the cooking source has also been identified at the SW suburban site (Crippa et al., 2013a) and in the Paris pollution plume (von der Weiden-Reinmüller et al., 2014a, 2014b). This finding for Paris is consistent with the importance of cooking contributions found in several other cities (London (Allan et al., 2010), Barcelona (Mohr et al., 2012), Beijing (Huang et al., 2010), New York City (Sun et al., 2011), and Toronto (Slowik et al., 2010)).

During summer, the PMF OOA factor, a proxy for secondary organic aerosol (SOA), accounted for nearly 40% of OA. Combined ¹⁴C/AMS-PMF analysis suggests that more than 90% of this OOA is of non-fossil origin with an uncertainty range between 60 and 100% (Figure 7). Secondary organic aerosol formation from non-fossil precursors is thus clearly the dominant source for SOA advected to the Paris megacity during summer. Modeling results using CHIMERE including the volatility basis set (description in Section S5) confirm the origin of SOA: more than 60% of SOA is of non-fossil and mostly biogenic origin, which is within, albeit at the lower end, of the experimentally derived range of values (see Figure 8). The simulations indicate two transport patterns during high SOA periods in Paris: either transport from the north-east with SOA of mixed anthropogenic and biogenic origin, or transport from the south with predominantly biogenic SOA, from large biogenic VOC emissions over South-Western France and North-Eastern Spain (Zhang et al., 2013). Apparently, rapid SOA formation from anthropogenic VOC precursors as for example observed in Mexico City (e.g. Volkamer et al., 2006) is not strong and fast enough within the Paris agglomeration to compete with the imported biogenic SOA fraction. However, SOA

within the Paris pollution plume at about 100 km downwind of the city is enriched with anthropogenic SOA after several hours of processing time, based on the analysis of aircraft data obtained during the summer campaign (Freney et al., 2014). It should also be noted that alternative PMF calculations of summer campaign AMS data slightly alter results. For instance, the five factor PMF analysis for the urban background site shows an additional factor related to biogenic marine emissions with high sulfur content and contributing a little more than 10% to summer PM₁ OA (Crippa et al., 2013b).

During winter, PMF analysis of AMS measurements directly attributes 12% of carbonaceous PM₁ to woodburning. A large fraction (44%) of carbonaceous PM₁ is attributed to OOA (Figure 7), similar to other megacities during winter (New York City and Tokyo (Zhang et al., 2007)). From the combined ¹⁴C/AMS-PMF analysis, at least about 80% of this fraction are estimated to be of non-fossil origin (Figure 7). This points to either strong contributions of aged organic aerosol from woodburning or of SOA formation from biogenic VOCs, even if in wintertime biogenic emissions are expected to be much lower than during summer. Estimated woodburning contributions to OA from the Aethalometer model (Sandradewi et al., 2008) and a tracer approach (using levoglucosan as a tracer for woodburning emission) for this period are also compatible with a part of the identified OOA fraction originating from wood-burning emissions, within their large error bars (Crippa et al., 2013c). For instance Grieshop et al. (2009) and Heringa et al. (2011) showed that domestic wood burning emissions from logwood stoves form significant amounts of secondary organic aerosol. A question arises of the local versus regional origin of woodburning OA in the Paris agglomeration since the dominant OA fraction was assigned to advection from outside in Section 3.1. The regional origin of primary or secondary woodburning emissions is made evident by the strong correlation and similar levels of levoglucosan between the urban

³-The so called aethalometer model allows calculating the traffic and woodburning contribution to BC from different wavelength dependencies of optical absorption (Sandradewi et al., 2008).

background and rural (south) Paris sites of the PARTICULES project (R²=0.83, Figure 9). However, more work is required at a regional scale to better separate woodburning emissions from urban, suburban and rural areas. A large wood-burning contribution to OA (up to 60% during wintertime) was also found for the Grenoble agglomeration in the French Alps (~650,000 inhabitants) (Favez et al., 2010). In general, in Alpine valleys, woodburning contributions to OA around 50% were found (Herich et al., 2014). Crippa et al. (2014) showed that wood or biomass burning related organic aerosol concentrations were significant across Europe even in spring and autumn.

Fossil fuel EC and HOA contribute about 20% and 15%, respectively, of the carbonaceous PM₁ in summer, and about 20% and 10%, respectively, in winter (Figure 7). According to emission inventories like those developed by MACC (Monitoring Atmospheric Composition and Climate, Pouliot et al., 2012) and EMEP (European Monitoring and Evaluation Program, Vestreng et al., 2007), the major contributor to this fossil fuel related fraction is traffic. Unlike biomass burning emissions, these contributions are expected to be dominated by local emissions within the agglomeration. This is confirmed for example by simulations with the PMCAMx model which attribute about 60% of EC to emissions within the agglomeration (during the MEGAPOLI summer campaign).

The secondary inorganic aerosol fraction, mostly associated with regional transport (>70%, see Section 3.2), represents about 30% of PM₁ during summer (19% sulfate, 6% nitrate and 7% ammonium) and more than 50% during winter (16% sulfate, 28% nitrate and 12% ammonium). Again, MACC and EMEP emission inventories (Pouliot et al., 2012; Vestreng et al., 2007) indicate that inorganic aerosol precursors SO₂, NO_x and NH₃ are mainly of anthropogenic origin. SO₂ is mostly emitted by industrial sources (including energy production) and shipping. NO_x emissions are dominated by transportation including shipping while NH₃ is mainly emitted by agriculture. Thus, unlike for organic aerosol with major non-

fossil sources, transport and industry related fossil fuel combustion strongly contributes to fine inorganic PM. The dominant advected fraction of inorganic aerosol is explained by two factors: first, major source areas of its gaseous precursors are located outside the agglomeration (especially for SO₂ and NH₃), second the time necessary for oxidation of the precursors does not allow for efficient aerosol formation from emissions within the megacity (as for nitrate formation from NOx emissions).

5. <u>Comparison to other megacities</u> The broader picture

The strong regional control of fine PM in Paris is here compared to the situation in other megacities. We use BC as a tracer for the local megacity contribution to fine PM. A compilation of urban background BC and EC measurements in several megacities (Figure 10 and Table 182 in the supplementary material) shows that values for Paris (1.8 μg/m³) are at the lower end, within a cluster of megacities like Los Angeles, London, or New York City (1-2 μg m⁻³). Several American and Asian megacities have intermediate BC levels (Rio de Janeiro, Mexico City, Seoul, 3-5 μg m⁻³), while Asian megacities in fast developing countries (Beijing, Delhi, etc.) and Cairo display the largest values (> 6 μg m⁻³). Despite uncertainties due to different measurement protocols (Bond et al., 2013; Petzold et al., 2013) and site representativeness (approximately a factor of two, Section S2), the general tendency towards lower values in post-industrial megacities is clear. Nevertheless, since BC and associated compounds like polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons are known to have strong adverse health effects (e.g. Janssen et al., 2012), BC still represents an important air quality problem even for megacities with lower concentrations like the densely populated Paris agglomeration.

During specific pollution episodes, high PM concentrations can be encountered also in the Paris agglomeration. For instance, during March 2014 (during 10 days from 7 to 18

March), exceptionally high PM₁₀ concentrations exceeded pollution alert levels (daily average of 80 μg m⁻³ PM₁₀) on several occasions. During this episode, anticyclonic conditions led to regional PM accumulation over North-Western Europe. ACSM measurements at the SIRTA site showed a prevailing contribution of ammonium nitrate (~50% of PM₁) and ammonium sulfate (~15%) suggesting a strong advective impact from outside the agglomeration, in line with the results of our study (Sciare, 2014). In addition, low dispersive conditions during this period also favored additional local pollution build-up.

London is another European megacity with expected low average local contributions to PM levels. Indeed, the regional contribution for organic aerosol, nitrate and sulfate was found to dominate based on observations during the REPARTEE campaigns in autumns 2006 and 2007 (Harrison et al., 2012), although a quantitative assessment could not be made with the available data at only one central London site. These dominant regional and correspondingly smaller local emission contributions to urban background PM levels are reflected in recently revised emission inventories. For both the Paris and London agglomerations, bottom-up emission inventories using geographically specific information on activity and emission factors yield about a factor three lower per capita emission values for BC and PM than for national averages (Timmermans et al., 2013), due to lower per capita fuel consumption for large cities with increased population density (Grimm et al., 2008), and possibly also due to lower emission factors.

In contrast, Mexico City is a good example of a megacity with larger local pollution impact. PMCAMx simulations for the MILAGRO campaign in March 2006 (Molina et al., 2010) indicated a much higher contribution of local emissions to fine PM for Mexico City (60% for PM_{2.5}, 60% for OA, 80% for nitrate, less than 10% for sulfate (Karydis et al., 2011)) than for Paris (Figures 5 and 6). Mexico City is surrounded by mountain ridges, leading to less favorable dispersion conditions with average summer wind speed of about 4 m s⁻¹ during

MILAGRO at about 300 m agl. (Fast et al., 2007) as compared to about 8 m s⁻¹ in Paris during both the MEGAPOLI summer and winter campaign (from SODAR measurements at the SW suburban site at 200 m agl.). Also increased radiation intensity (enhancing the build-up of secondary pollutants already within the agglomeration), and higher per capita emissions than for Paris (consistent with larger BC values, Figure 10) contribute to this enhanced local pollution impact. These different conditions result in a local PM_{2.5} contribution of about 1.4 μg m⁻³ per million inhabitants for Mexico City (with 210.4 million inhabitants (United Nations, 2015) simulated during MILAGRO, but only 0.3 μg m⁻³ per million inhabitants for Paris (with 10.846 million inhabitants), observed on an annual average basis during PARTICULES. Thus, in terms of local versus advected contributions to PM, these two megacities might be two extremes.

6. Conclusions

Analysis of the MEGAPOLI and PARTICULES campaign observations, of satellite data, and of modeling results have allowed the quantification of the advected/regional versus local origin of particulate matter (PM) and especially carbonaceous aerosol in the Paris agglomeration. On average over one year, about 70% of the fine PM mass is transported into the megacity from upwind regions of France and continental Europe. Note that This, this number refers to urban background. For traffic sites, the local contribution would be larger. For organic aerosol (OA) and nitrate, also more than 70% of their urban background concentrations, respectively, are advected to Paris. For high PM periods, the advected fractions can be even larger. At a measurement site located at the NE edge of the agglomeration, the strong advective source caused higher PM levels for air masses originating

Mis en forme : Police :12 pt, Non Gras

Mis en forme : Police :Non Gras,

Anglais (États Unis)

Mis en forme : Police :12 pt, Non Gras

⁴This refers to urban background. For traffic sites, the local contribution would be larger.

in the NE sector (continental origin) than those originating in SW, but having crossed the agglomeration before arriving at the site. Satellite AOD measurements and chemistry-transport modeling simulations further confirm these results.

In contrast to other megacities, primary fossil fuel combustion emissions contribute little, less than about 20% in winter and less than 40% in summer, to carbonaceous fine PM. Instead, cooking activities and, during winter, residential woodburning are the major primary organic PM sources and contribute to non-fossil fuel aerosol. From combined ¹⁴C and PMF analysis of AMS data, a mainly non-fossil fuel origin of secondary organic aerosol can be inferred both during summer and winter, either due to formation of secondary aerosol from biogenic VOC precursors or to processing of woodburning emissions.

Low BC/EC levels (in comparison to those at other megacities worldwide, and not precluding large episodic BC and PM levels) are consistent with relatively low emissions in a post-industrial megacity as Paris. Indeed, more efficient per capita energy use, and lower emission factors than on national average contribute to these low emissions. Other post-industrial, mid-latitude, flat terrain megacities like New York City, London, and Tokyo probably show a similar type of behavior, while local sources are prevailing for megacities as Mexico City or Los Angeles affected by one or several factors as larger local emissions, dispersion limited by orography and larger radiation.

Acknowledgments:

This research in the context of the MEGAPOLI project is financially supported by the European Community's Framework Program FP/2007-2011 under grant agreement n°212520. Support from the French ANR project MEGAPOLI – PARIS (ANR-09-BLAN-0356), from the CNRS-INSU/FEFE via l'ADEME (n° 0962c0018) and via support of publication fees, the Ile de France/SEPPE as well as from internal Max Planck Institute for Chemistry funds are acknowledged. We are very grateful for strong logistical support in the field by IPSL/SIRTA, by Laboratoire d'Hygiène de la Ville de Paris (LHVP) and by the staff of the Golf Départemental de la Poudrerie. In addition, we thank the teams of participating laboratories for support during the campaign.

References

- Aiken, A. C., Decarlo, P. F., Kroll, J. H., Worsnop, D. R., Huffman, J. A., Docherty, K. S.,
 Ulbrich I. M., Mohr, C., Kimmel, J. R., Sueper, D., Sun, Y., Zhang, Q., Trimborn, A.,
 Northway, M., Ziemann, P. J., Canagaratna, M. R., Onasch, T. B., Alfarra, M. R., Prevot,
 A. S., Dommen, J., Duplissy, J., Metzger, A., Baltensperger, U., and Jimenez, J. L..:
 O/C and OM/OC ratios of primary, secondary, and ambient organic aerosols with high-resolution time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometry, Environ. Sci. Technol., 42, 4478-4485, 2008.
- Allan, J. D., Williams, P. I., Morgan, W. T., Martin, C. L., Flynn, M. J., Lee, J., Nemitz, E., Phillips, G. J., Gallagher, M. W., and Coe, H.: Contributions from transport, solid fuel burning and cooking to primary organic aerosols in two UK cities, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 647-668, 2010.
- Baklanov, A., Lawrence, M., Pandis, S., Mahura, A., Finardi, S., Moussiopoulos, N.,
 Beekmann, M., Laj, P., Gomes, L., Jaffrezo, J.-L., Borbon, A., Coll, I., Gros, V.,
 Sciare, J., Kukkonen, J., Galmarini, S., Giorgi, F., Grimmond, S., Esau, I., Stohl, A.,
 Denby, B., Wagner, T., Butler, T., Baltensperger, U., Builtjes, P., van den Hout, D.,
 van der Gon, H. D., Collins, B., Schluenzen, H., Kulmala, M., Zilitinkevich, S.,
 Sokhi, R., Friedrich, R., Theloke, J., Kummer, U., Jalkinen, L., Halenka, T.,
 Wiedensholer, A., Pyle, J., and Rossow, W. B.: MEGAPOLI: concept of multi-scale
 modelling of megacity impact on air quality and climate, Adv. Sci. Res. 4, 115-120,
 2010.

- Bano, T., Singh, S., Gupta, N. C., Soni K., Tanwar, R.S., Nath S., Arya, B.C., and Gera, B.S.:

 Variation in aerosol black carbon concentration and its emission estimates at the megacity Delhi, International Journal of Remote Sensing, 32, 6749-6764, 2011.
- Beirle S., Boersma, K. F., Platt, U., Lawrence, M. G. and Wagner, T.: Megacity emissions and lifetimes of nitrogen oxides probed from space, Science 333, 1737-1739, 2011.
- Bressi, M., Sciare, J., Ghersi, V., Bonnaire, N., Nicolas, J. B., Petit, J.-E., Moukhtar, S., Rosso, A., Mihalopoulos, N., and Féron, A.: A one-year comprehensive chemical characterisation of fine aerosol (PM_{2.5}) at urban, suburban and rural background sites in the region of Paris (France), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 7825-7844, 2013.
- Bressi, M., Sciare, J., Ghersi, V., Mihalopoulos, N., Petit, J.-E., Nicolas, J. B., Moukhtar, S., Rosso, A., Féron, A., Bonnaire, N., Poulakis, E., and Theodosi, C.: Sources and geographical origins of fine aerosols in Paris (France), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 8813-8839, doi:10.5194/acp-14-8813-2014, 2014.
- Bond, T. C., Doherty, S. J., Fahey, D. W., Forster, P. M., Berntsen, T., DeAngelo, B. J.,
 Flanner, M. G., Ghan, S., Kärcher, B., Koch, D., Kinne, S., Kondo, Y., Quinn, P. K.,
 Sarofim, M. C., Schultz, M. G., Schulz, M., Venkataraman, C., Zhang, H., Zhang, S.,
 Bellouin, N., Guttikunda, S. K., Hopke, P. K., Jacobson, M. Z., Kaiser, J. W., Klimont,
 Z., Lohmann, U., Schwarz, J. P., Shindell, D., Storelvmo, T., Warren, S. G., and Zender,
 C. S.: Bounding the role of black carbon in the climate system: A scientific assessment,
 J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 118, 5380-5552, 2013.
- Butler D.: Megacity project seeks to gauge urban pollution, Nature 455, 142-143, 2008.
- Cavalli, F., Viana, M., Yttri, K. E., Genberg, J., and Putaud, J. P.: Toward a standardised thermal-optical protocol for measuring atmospheric organic and elemental carbon: the EUSAAR protocol, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 3, 79-89, 2010.

- Chan, C.K., and Yao, X.H.: Air pollution in mega cities in China, Atmos. Environ., 42, 1–42, 2008.
- Couvidat, F., Kim, Y., Sartelet, K., Seigneur, C., Marchand, N., and Sciare, J.: Modeling secondary organic aerosol in an urban area: application to Paris, France, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 983-996, (2013).
- Crippa, M., DeCarlo, P. F., Slowik, J. G., Mohr, C., Heringa, M. F., Chirico, R., Poulain, L.,
 Freutel, F., Sciare, J., Cozic, J., Di Marco, C. F., Elsasser, M., Nicolas, J. B.,
 Marchand, N., Abidi, E., Wiedensohler, A., Drewnick, F., Schneider, J., Borrmann, S.,
 Nemitz, E., Zimmermann, R., Jaffrezo, J.-L., Prévôt, A. S. H., and Baltensperger, U.:
 Wintertime aerosol chemical composition and source apportionment of the organic
 fraction in the metropolitan area of Paris, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 961-981, 2013a.
- Crippa, M., El Haddad, I., Slowik, J. G., DeCarlo, P. F., Mohr, C., Heringa, M. F., Chirico, R., Marchand, N., Sciare, J., Baltensperger, U. and A. S. H. Prévôt: Identification of marine and continental aerosol sources in Paris using high resolution aerosol mass spectrometry, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 1950–1963, 2013b.
- Crippa, M., Canonaco, F., Slowik, J. G., El Haddad, I., DeCarlo, P. F., Mohr, C.,
 Heringa, M. F., Chirico, R., Marchand, N., Temime-Roussel, B., Abidi, E., Poulain, L.,
 Wiedensohler, A., Baltensperger, U., and Prévôt, A. S. H.: Primary and secondary
 organic aerosol origin by combined gas-particle phase source apportionment, Atmos.
 Chem. Phys., 13, 8411-8426, 2013c.
- Crippa, M., Canonaco, F., Lanz, V. A., Äijälä, M., Allan, J. D., Carbone, S., Capes, G., Ceburnis, D., Dall'Osto, M., Day, D. A., DeCarlo, P. F., Ehn, M., Eriksson, A., Freney, E., Hildebrandt Ruiz, L., Hillamo, R., Jimenez, J. L., Junninen, H., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Kortelainen, A.-M., Kulmala, M., Laaksonen, A., Mensah, A. A., Mohr, C.,

- Nemitz, E., O'Dowd, C., Ovadnevaite, J., Pandis, S. N., Petäjä, T., Poulain, L., Saarikoski, S., Sellegri, K., Swietlicki, E., Tiitta, P., Worsnop, D. R., Baltensperger, U., and Prévôt, A. S. H.: Organic aerosol components derived from 25 AMS data sets across Europe using a consistent ME-2 based source apportionment approach, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 6159-6176, 2014.
- Dan M., Zhuang G., Li X., Tao H., Zhuang Y., The characteristics of carbonaceous species and their sources in PM2.5 in Beijing, Atmos. Environ., 38, 3443, 2004.
- DeCarlo, P. F., Kimmel, J. R., Trimborn, A., Northway, M. J., Jayne, J. T., Aiken, A. C., Gonin, M., Fuhrer, K., Horvath, T., Docherty, K. S., Worsnop, D. R., and Jimenez, J. L.: Field-deployable, high-resolution, time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer, Analytical Chemistry, 78, 8281-8289, 2006.
- Draxler, R.R., and Hess, G.D.: Description of the HYSPLIT_4 modeling system. NOAA

 Tech. Memo. ERL ARL-224, NOAA Air Resources Laboratory, Silver Spring, MD, 24

 pp., 1997.
- Drewnick, F., Hings, S. S., DeCarlo, P., Jayne, J. T., Gonin, M., Fuhrer, K., Weimer, S., Jimenez, J. L., Demerjian, K. L., Borrmann, S., and Worsnop, D. R.: A new time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer (ToF-AMS) instrument description and first field deployment, Aerosol Science and Technology, 39, 637-658, 2005.
- Dutkiewicz, V. A., Qureshi, S., Khana, A. R., Ferraro, V., Schwab, J., Demerjian, K., and Husain, L.: Sources of fine particulate sulfate in New York, *Atmos. Environ.*, 38, 3179-3189, 2004.
- El Haddad, I., D'Anna, B., Temime-Roussel, B., Nicolas, M., Boreave, A., Favez, O., Voisin, D., Sciare, J., George, C., Jaffrezo, J. L., Wortham, H., and Marchand, N.: Towards a better understanding of the origins, chemical composition and aging of oxygenated

- organic aerosols: case study of a Mediterranean industrialized environment, Marseille, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 7875-7894, 2013.
- Fast, J. D., de Foy, B., Acevedo Rosas, F., Caetano, E., Carmichael, G., Emmons, L., McKenna, D., Mena, M., Skamarock, W., Tie, X., Coulter, R. L., Barnard, J. C., Wiedinmyer, C., and Madronich, S.: A meteorological overview of the MILAGRO field campaigns, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 2233-2257, 2007.
- Favez, O., Cachier H., Sciare J., El-Araby T.M., Alfaro, S., Harhash and M.A., Abdel Wahab
 M.M., Seasonality of major aerosol species in Greater Cairo (Egypt): Atmos. Environ.
 42, 1503-1516, 2008.
- Favez, O., El Haddad, I., Piot, C., Boréave, A., Abidi, E., Marchand, N., Jaffrezo, J.-L., Besombes, J.-L., Personnaz, M.-B., Sciare, J., Wortham, H., George, C., and D'Anna, B.: Inter-comparison of source apportionment models for the estimation of wood burning aerosols during wintertime in an Alpine city (Grenoble, France), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 5295-5314, 2011.
- Freney, E. J., Sellegri, K., Canonaco, F., Colomb, A., Borbon, A., Michoud, V., Doussin, J.F., Crumeyrolle, S., Amarouche, N., Pichon, J.-M., Bourianne, T., Gomes, L.,
 Prevot, A. S. H., Beekmann, M., and Schwarzenböeck, A.: Characterizing the impact of urban emissions on regional aerosol particles: airborne measurements during the
 MEGAPOLI experiment, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 1397-1412, 2014.
- Freutel, F., Schneider, J., Drewnick, F., von der Weiden-Reinmüller, S.-L., Crippa, M.,
 Prévôt, A. S. H., Baltensperger, U., Poulain, L., Wiedensohler, A., Sciare, J., SardaEstève, R., Burkhart, J. F., Eckhardt, S., Stohl, A., Gros, V., Colomb, A., Michoud, V.,
 Doussin, J. F., Borbon, A., Haeffelin, M., Morille, Y., Beekmann, M., and Borrmann, S.:
 Aerosol particle measurements at three stationary sites in the megacity of Paris during

- summer 2009: meteorology and air mass origin dominate aerosol particle composition and size distribution, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 933-959, 2013.
- Ghersi V., Rosso, A., Moukthar, S., Leger, K., Sciare, J., Bressi, M., Ferron, A., Bonnaire, N.: A comprehensive source apportionment study of fine aerosols (PM_{2.5}) in the region of Paris, France, Pollution Atmosphérique, <u>Numéro Spécial</u>, 63-72, 2010.
- Grimm N. B., Faeth, S. H., Golubiewski, N. E., Redman, C. L., Wu, J., Bai, X. and Briggs, J.M.: Global change and the ecology of cities, Science, 319, 756-760, 2008.
- Grieshop, A. P., Logue, J. M., Donahue, N. M., and Robinson, A. L.: Laboratory investigation of photochemical oxidation of organic aerosol from wood fires 1: measurement and simulation of organic aerosol evolution, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 1263-1277, 2009.
- Gros V., Sciare J., and Tong Yu: Air-quality measurements in megacities: Focus on gaseous organic and particulate pollutants and comparison between two contrasted cities, Paris and Beijing, C. R. Geoscience, 339, 764-774, 2007.
- Gurjar, B. R., and Lelieveld, J.: New directions: megacities and global change, Atmos. Environ., 39, 391-393, 2005.
- Gurjar, B.R., Jain, A., Sharma, A., Agarwal, A., Gupta, P., Nagpure, A.S. and Lelieveld, J.,

 Human health risksin megacities due to air pollution, Atmos. Env. 44, 4606-4613, 2010.
- Haeffelin, M., Barthes, L., Bock, O., Boitel, C., Bony, S., Bouniol, D., Chepfer, H., Chiriaco, M., Cuesta, J., Delanoe, .J, Drobinski, P., Dufresne, J.-L., Flamant, C., Grall, M.,
 Hodzic, A., Hourdin, F., Lapouge, F., Lemaitre, Y., Mathieu, A., Morille, Y., Naud, C.,
 Noel, V., O'Hirok, W., Pelon, J., Pietras, C., Protat, A., Romand, B., Scialom, G.,
 Vautard, R.: SIRTA, a ground-based atmospheric observatory for cloud and aerosol
 research, Ann. Geophys. 23, 253–275, 2005.

- Hallquist, M., Wenger, J. C., Baltensperger, U., Rudich, Y., Simpson, D., Claeys, M.,
 Dommen, J., Donahue, N. M., George, C., Goldstein, A. H., Hamilton, J. F.,
 Herrmann, H., Hoffmann, T., Iinuma, Y., Jang, M., Jenkin, M. E., Jimenez, J. L.,
 Kiendler-Scharr, A., Maenhaut, W., McFiggans, G., Mentel, Th. F., Monod, A.,
 Prévôt, A. S. H., Seinfeld, J. H., Surratt, J. D., Szmigielski, R., and Wildt, J.: The
 formation, properties and impact of secondary organic aerosol: current and emerging
 issues, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 5155-5236, 2009.
- Hand J. L., Copeland, S. A., Day, D. E., Dillner, A. M., Indresand, H., Malm, W. C., McDade, C. E., Moore, C. T., Pitchford, M. L., Schichtel, B. A. and Watson, J. G.: Spatial and seasonal patterns and temporal variability of haze and its constituents in the United States: IMPROVE Report V, June 2011, available at http://vista.cira.colostate.edu/IMPROVE/Publications/Reports/2011/2011.htm.
- Harrison, R. M., Dall'Osto, M., Beddows, D. C. S., Thorpe, A. J., Bloss, W. J., Allan, J. D.,
 Coe, H., Dorsey, J. R., Gallagher, M., Martin, C., Whitehead, J., Williams, P. I.,
 Jones, R. L., Langridge, J. M., Benton, A. K., Ball, S. M., Langford, B., Hewitt, C. N.,
 Davison, B., Martin, D., Petersson, K. F., Henshaw, S. J., White, I. R., Shallcross, D. E.,
 Barlow, J. F., Dunbar, T., Davies, F., Nemitz, E., Phillips, G. J., Helfter, C.,
 Di Marco, C. F., and Smith, S.: Atmospheric chemistry and physics in the atmosphere of
 a developed megacity (London): an overview of the REPARTEE experiment and its
 conclusions, Atmos. Chem. Phys. 12, 3065-3114, 2012.
- Herich, H, Gianini, M, Piot, C, Mocnik, G, Jaffrezo, J.L., Prévôt, A.S.H. and Hueglin, C.:

 Overview of the impact of wood burning emissions on carbonaceous aerosols and PM in large territory of the Alpine region, Atmos. Envir., 89, 64-75, 2014.
- Heringa, M. F., DeCarlo, P. F., Chirico, R., Tritscher, T., Dommen, J., Weingartner, E., Richter, R., Wehrle, G., Prevot, A. S. H. and Baltensperger, U.: Investigations of

primary and secondary particulate matter of different wood combustion appliances with a high-resolution time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11, 5945-5957, 2011.

- Ho, K. F., Lee, S. C., Cao, J. J., Li, Y. S., Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., and Fung, K.: Variability of organic and elemental carbon, water soluble organic carbon, and isotopes in Hong Kong, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6., 4569-4576.
- Hodzic, A., Jimenez, J. L., Prévôt, A. S. H., Szidat, S., Fast, J. D., and Madronich, S., Can 3-D models explain the observed fractions of fossil and non-fossil carbon in and near Mexico City?, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 10997-11016, 2010.
- Huang, X.-F., He, L.-Y., Hu, M., Canagaratna, M. R., Sun, Y., Zhang, Q., Zhu, T., Xue, L.,
 Zeng, L.-W., Liu, X.-G., Zhang, Y.-H., Jayne, J. T., Ng, N. L., and Worsnop, D. R.:
 Highly time-resolved chemical characterization of atmospheric submicron particles
 during 2008 Beijing Olympic Games using an Aerodyne High-Resolution Aerosol Mass
 Spectrometer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 8933-8945, 2010.
- Janssen N. A. H., Gerlofs-Nijland, M. E., Lanki, T., Salonen, R.O., Cassee, F., Hoek, G., Fischer, P., Brunekreef, P. and Krzyzanowski, M.: Health effects of black carbon. WHO Regional Office for Europe, World Health Organization, Copenhagen, 1-96, 2012.
- Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Donahue, N. M., Prevot, A. S. H., Zhang, Q., Kroll, J. H.,
 DeCarlo, P. F., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., Ng, N. L., Aiken, A. C., Docherty, K. S., Ulbrich, I.
 M., Grieshop, A. P., Robinson, A. L., Duplissy, J., Smith, J. D., Wilson, K. R., Lanz, V.
 A., Hueglin, C., Sun, Y. L., Tian, J., Laaksonen, A., Raatikainen, T., Rautiainen, J.,
 Vaattovaara, P., Ehn, M., Kulmala, M., Tomlinson, J. M., Collins, D. R., Cubison, M. J.,
 Dunlea, E. J., Hu_man, J. A., Onasch, T. B., Alfarra, M. R., Williams, P. I., Bower, K.,

- Kondo, Y., Schneider, J., Drewnick, F., Borrmann, S., Weimer, S., Demerjian, K., Salcedo, D., Cottrell, L., Gri_n, R., Takami, A., Miyoshi, T., Hatakeyama, S., Shimono, A., Sun, J. Y., Zhang, Y. M., Dzepina, K., Kimmel, J. R., Sueper, D., Jayne, J. T., Herndon, S. C., Trimborn, A. M., Williams, L. R., Wood, E. C., Middlebrook, A. M., Kolb, C. E., Baltensperger, U., and Worsnop, D. R.: "Evolution of Organic Aerosols in the Atmosphere." Science, 326,1525-1529, 2009.
- Karydis V. A., Tsimpidi, A. P. Lei, W., Molina, L. T. and Pandis, S. N.: Formation of semivolatile inorganic aerosols in the Mexico City Metropolitan Area during the MILAGRO campaign, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 13305-13323,2011.
- Kim, H. S., Huh, J. B., Hopke, P. K., Holsen, T. M. and Yi, S. M.: Characteristics of the major chemical constituents of PM_{2.5} and smog events in Seoul, Korea in 2003 and 2004, Atmos. Environ., 41, 6762-6770, 2007.
- Kondo, Y., Ram, K., Takegawa, N., Salu, L., Morino, Y., Liu, X., and Ohara T., Reduction of black carbon aerosols in Tokyo: Comparison of real-time observations with emission estimates, Atmos. Environ., 54, 242-249, 2012.
- Lall R., and Thurston G.D, Identifying and quantifying transported vs. local sources of New York city PM2.5 fine particulate matter air pollution, Atmos. Environ., 40, 2, 333-346, 2006.
- Lanz, V. A., Alfarra, M. R., Baltensperger, U., Buchmann, B., Hueglin, C., and Prevot, A.S. H.: Source apportionment of submicron organic aerosols at an urban site by linear unmixing of aerosol mass spectra, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 1503-1522, 2007.
- Latha K. M., and Badarinath K. V. S.: Seasonal variations of black carbon aerosols and total aerosol mass concentrations over urban environment in India, Atmos. Environ., 39, 4129-4141, 2005.

- Lenschow P., <u>Abraham</u>, H.J., <u>Kutzner</u>, K., <u>Lutz</u>, M., <u>Preuß</u>, J.D., and <u>Reichenbächer</u>, W.: Some ideas about the sources of PM10, Atmos. Environ., 35, 23-33, 2001.
- Minguillón, M. C., Perron, N., Querol, X., Szidat, S., Fahrni, S. M., Alastuey, A.,
 Jimenez, J. L., Mohr, C., Ortega, A. M., Day, D. A., Lanz, V. A., Wacker, L., Reche, C.,
 Cusack, M., Amato, F., Kiss, G., Hoffer, A., Decesari, S., Moretti, F., Hillamo, R.,
 Teinilä, K., Seco, R., Peñuelas, J., Metzger, A., Schallhart, S., Müller, M., Hansel, A.,
 Burkhart, J. F., Baltensperger, U., and Prévôt, A. S. H.: Fossil versus contemporary
 sources of fine elemental and organic carbonaceous particulate matter during the
 DAURE campaign in Northeast Spain, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 12067-12084, 2011.
- Miranda, R., Andrade, M. F., Fornaro, A., André, P. A., and Saldiva, P. H., Urban air pollution: a representative survey of PM2.5 mass concentrations in six Brazilian cities,

 Air Qual. Atmos. Health, 5, 63-77, 2012.
- Mohr, C., DeCarlo, P. F., Heringa, M. F., Chirico, R., Slowik, J.G., Richter, R., Reche, C., Alastuey, A., Querol, X., Seco, R., Penuelas, J., Jimenez, J. L., Crippa, M., Zimmermann, R., Baltensperger, U., and Prevot, A. S. H.: Identification and quantification of organic aerosol from cooking and other sources in Barcelona using aerosol mass spectrometer data, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 1649-1665, 2012,.
- Molina M. J., and Molina L. T., Megacities and atmospheric pollution, Air & Waste Manage. Assoc., 54, 644-680, 2004.
- Molina, L. T., Madronich, S., Gaffney, J. S., Apel, E., de Foy, B., Fast, J., Ferrare, R.,
 Herndon, S., Jimenez, J. L., Lamb, B., Osornio-Vargas, A. R., Russell, P., Schauer, J. J.,
 Stevens, P. S., Volkamer, R., and Zavala, M.: An overview of the MILAGRO 2006
 Campaign: Mexico City emissions and their transport and transformation, Atmos. Chem.
 Phys., 10, 8697-8760, 2010.

- Paatero, P. and Tapper, U.: Positive matrix factorization a nonnegative factor model with optimal utilization of error-estimates of data values, Environmetrics, 5, 111–126, 1994.
- Parrish D. D., Singh, B. H. and Molina, L. T.: <u>Air quality progress in North American</u> megacities: A review, Atmos. Environ., 45, 7015-7025, 2011.
- Petetin, H., Beekmann, M., Sciare, J., Bressi, M., Rosso, A., Sanchez, O., and Ghersi, V.: A novel model evaluation approach focussing on local and advected contributions to urban PM2.5 levels application to Paris, Geosci. Model Dev., 7, 1483-1505, 2014.
- Petit, J.-E.: Compréhension des sources et des processus de formation de la pollution particulaire en région Ile-de-France, Thèse de Doctorat de l'Université Versailles Saint Quentin en Yvelines, Versailles, November 2014.
- Petit, J.-E., Favez, O., Sciare, J., Crenn, V., Sarda-Estève, R., Bonnaire, N., Močnik, G., Dupont, J.-C., Haeffelin, M., and Leoz-Garziandia, E.: Two years of near real-time chemical composition of submicron aerosols in the region of Paris using an Aerosol Chemical Speciation Monitor (ACSM) and a multi-wavelength Aethalometer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 2985-3005, doi:10.5194/acp-15-2985-2015, 2015.
- Petzold, A., Ogren, J. A., Fiebig, M., Laj, P., Li, S. M., Baltensperger, U., Holzer-Popp, T., Kinne, S., Pappalardo, G., Sugimoto, N., Wehrli, C., Wiedensohler, A., Zhang, X. Y.: Recommendations for reporting "black carbon" measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 8365-8379, 2013.
- Pouliot G., Pierce, T., Denier van der Gon, H., Schaap, M. and Nopmongcol, U.: Comparing emissions inventories and model-ready emissions datasets between Europe and North America for the AQMEII Project, Atmos. Environ., 53, 4-14, 2012.

- Putaud, J. P., Van Dingenen, R., Alastuey, A., Bauer, H., Birmili, W., Cyrys, J., Flentje, H., Fuzzi, S., Gehrig, R., Hansson, H. C., Harrison, R. M., Herrmann, H., Hitzenberger, R., Hüglin, C., Jones, A. M., Kasper-Giebl, A., Kiss, G., Kousa, A., Kuhlbusch, T. A. J., Löschau, G., Maenhaut, W., Molnar, A., Moreno, T., Pekkanen, J., Perrino, C., Pitz, M., Puxbaum, H., Querol, X., Rodríguez, S., Salma, I., Schwarz, J., Smolik, J., Schneider, J., Spindler, G., ten Brink, H., Tursic, J., Viana, M., Wiedensohler, A., and Raes, F.: A European aerosol phenomenology 3: Physical and chemical characteristics of particulate matter from 60 rural, urban, and kerbside sites across Europe, Atmos. Environ., 44, 1308-1320, 2010.
- Quin Y., Kim, E. and Hopke, P. K., The concentrations and sources of PM2.5 in metropolitan New York City, Atmos. Environ., 40, 312-332, 2006.
- Rahn K. A., and Lowenthal, D. H.: Pollution aerosol in the Northeast: Northeastern-Midwestern contributions, Science, 19, 275-284, 1985.
- Rattigan, O. V., Felton, H.D., Bae, M.S., Schwab, J.J., and Demerjian, K.L.: Comparison of long-term PM_{2.5} carbon measurements at an urban and rural location in New York,

 Atmos. Environ., 45, 3328-3336, 2011.
- Reche, C., Querol, X., Alastuey, A., Viana, M., Pey, J., Moreno, T., Rodríguez, S., González, Y., Fernández-Camacho, R., de la Rosa, J., Dall'Osto, M., Prévôt, A. S. H., Hueglin, C., Harrison, R. M., and Quincey, P.: New considerations for PM, Black Carbon and particle number concentration for air quality monitoring across different European cities, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 2011.
- Royer, P., Chazette, P., Sartelet, K., Zhang, Q. J., Beekmann, M., and Raut, J.-C.:

 Comparison of lidar-derived PM₁₀ with regional modeling and ground-based

- observations in the frame of MEGAPOLI experiment, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 10705-10726, 2011.
- Sahu, L. K., Kondo, Y., Miyazaki, Y., Pongkiatkul, P., and Kim Oanh, N. T.: Seasonal and diurnal variations of black carbon and organic carbon aerosols in Bangkok, J. Geophys. Res., 116, D15302, doi:10.1029/2010JD015563, 2011.
- Sandradewi, J., Prévôt, A.S.H., Szidat, S., Perron, N., Alfarra, M.R., Lanz, V.A., Weingartner, E. and Baltensperger, U.: Using aerosol light absorption measurements for the quantitative determination of wood burning and traffic emission contributions to particulate matter, Environ. Sci. Technol., 42, 3316-3323, 2008.
- Sartelet, K., Debry, E., Fahey, K., Roustan, Y., Tombette, M., and Sportisse, B.: Simulation of aerosols and gas-phase species over Europe with the Polyphemus system. Part I: model-to-data comparison for 2001, Atmos. Environ., 41, 6116–6131, 2007.
- Sciare, J., CNRS press communiqué, available at:

 http://www2.cnrs.fr/presse/communique/3481.htm?&theme1=6&debut=16 (last access: July 2015), 2014.
- Seinfeld J. H. and Pandis S. N.: Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics From Air Pollution to Climate Change, ^{2nd} Edition, John Wiley & Sons, 2006.
- Skyllakou, K., Murphy, B. N., Megaritis, A. G., Fountoukis, C., and Pandis, S. N.: Contributions of local and regional sources to fine PM in the megacity of Paris, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 2343-2352, 2014.
- Slowik J. G., Vlasenko, A., McGuire, M., Evans, G. J. and Abbatt, J. P. D.: Simultaneous factor analysis of organic particle and gas mass spectra: AMS and PTR-MS measurements at an urban site, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 1969-1988, 2010.

- Sun, Y.-L., Zhang, Q., Schwab, J. J., Demerjian, K. L., Chen, W.-N., Bae, M.-S., Hung, H.-M., Hogrefe, O., Frank, B., Rattigan, O. V., and Lin, Y.-C.: Characterization of the sources and processes of organic and inorganic aerosols in New York City with a high-resolution time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 1581-1602, 2011.
- Synal, H. A., Stocker, M. and Suter, M.: MICADAS: A new compact radiocarbon AMS system. in Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms, 259, 7-13, 2007.
- Szidat, S., Jenk, T.M., Gäggler, H.W., Synal, H.A., Hajdas, I., Bonani, G., and Saurer, M.: THEODORE, a two-step heating system for the EC/OC determination of radiocarbon (14C) in the environment, in Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms, 223-224, 829-836, 2004.
- Theodosi C., Im, U., Bougatioti, A., Zarmpas, P., Yenigun, O., and Mihalopoulos, N.:

 Aerosol chemical composition over Istanbul, Science of the Total Environment, 408, 2482-2491, 2010.
- Timmermans, R.M.A., Denier van der Gon, H.A.C., Kuenen, J.J.P., Segers, A.J., Honoré, C., Perrussel, O., Builtjes, P.J.H., and Schaap, M., Quantification of the urban air pollution increment and its dependency on the use of down-scaled and bottom-up city emission inventories Urban Climate 6, 46-62, 2013.
- Ulbrich, I. M., Canagaratna, M. R., Zhang, Q., Worsnop, D. R., and Jimenez, J. L.: Interpretation of organic components from Positive Matrix Factorization of aerosol mass spectrometric data, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 2891–2918, 2009.
- United Nations: World Urbanization Prospects, the 2015 Revision, available at : esa.un.org/unpd/wup/index.htm (last access: July 2015), 2015.

Mis en forme : Police :(Par défaut) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Mis en forme : Police :(Par défaut) Times New Roman, 12 pt

- Veefkind, J. P., De Leeuw, G. D. and Durkee, P. A., Retrieval of aerosol optical depth over land using two-angle view satellite radiometry during TARFOX, Geophys. Res. Lett., 25, 3135-3138, 1998.
- Vestreng, V., Mareckova, K., Kakareka, S., Malchykhina, A. and Kukharchyk, T.: Inventory Review 2007; Emission Data reported to LRTAP Convention and NEC Directive, MSC-W Technical Report 1/2007.
- Viana, M., Kuhlbusch, T.A.J., Querol, X., Alastuey, A., Harrison, R.M., Hopke, P.K., Winiwarter, W., Vallius, M., Szidat, S., Prévôt, A.S.H., Hueglin, C., Bloemen, H., Wahlin, P., Vecchi, R., Miranda, A.I., Kasper-Giebl, A., Maenhaut, W.and Hitzenberger, R.: Source apportionment of particulate matter in Europe: a review of methods and results, Journal of Aerosol Science 39 (10), 827–849, 2008.
- Volkamer, R., Jimenez, J. L., Martini, F. S., Dzepina, K., Zhang, Q., Salcedo, D., Molina, L. T., Worsnop, D. R., and Molina, M. J.:, Secondary organic aerosol formation from anthropogenic air pollution: Rapid and higher than expected, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L17811, 2006.
- von der Weiden-Reinmüller, S.-L., Drewnick, F., Crippa, M., Prévôt, A. S. H., Meleux, F., Baltensperger, U., Beekmann, M., and Borrmann, S.: Application of mobile aerosol and trace gas measurements for the investigation of megacity air pollution emissions: the Paris metropolitan area, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 7, 279-299, 2014a.
- von der Weiden-Reinmüller, S.-L., Drewnick, F., Zhang, Q. J., Freutel, F., Beekmann, M., and Borrmann, S.: Megacity emission plume characteristics in summer and winter investigated by mobile aerosol and trace gas measurements: the Paris metropolitan area, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, 11249-11299, 2014b.Zhang Q. et al.: Ubiquity and dominance of oxygenated species in organic aerosols in anthropogenically-influenced Northern Hemisphere midlatitudes, Geophys. Res. Let. 34, L13801, 2007.

- Yang, F., Tan, J., Zhao, Q., Du, Z., He, K., Ma, Y., Duan, F., Chen, G., and Zhao, Q.: Characteristics of PM_{2.5} speciation in representative megacities and across China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 5207-5219, 2011.
- Ye, B. M., Ji, X., Yang, H., Yao, X., Chan, C.K., Cadle, S.H., Chan, T., and Mulawa P.A.:

 Concentration and chemical composition of PM_{2.5} in Shanghai for a 1-year period,

 Atmos. Environ. 37, 499-510, 2003.
- Zhang Q et al: Ubiquity and dominance of oxygenated species in organic aerosols in anthropogenically-influenced northern hemisphere mid-latitudes. Geophys Res Lett 34: L13801. oi:10.1029/2007GL029979, 2007.
- Zhang, Q., Jimenez, J.L., Canagatna, , M.R., Ulbrich, I.M., Ng, N.L., Worsnop, D.R., and Sun, Y.: Understanding atmospheric organic aerosols via factor analysis of aerosol mass spectrometry: a review." Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry, 401, 3045-3067, 2011
- Zhang, Q. J., Beekmann, M., Drewnick, F., 5 Freutel, F., Schneider, J., Crippa, M., Prevot, A.
 S. H., Baltensperger, U., Poulain, L., Wiedensohler, A., Sciare, J., Gros, V., Borbon, A.,
 Colomb, A., Michoud, V., Doussin, J.-F., Denier van der Gon, H. A. C., Haeffelin, M.,
 Dupont, J.-C., Siour, G., Petetin, H., Bessagnet, B., Pandis, S. N., Hodzic, A., Sanchez,
 O., Honoré, C., and Perrussel, O.: Formation of organic aerosol in the Paris region
 during the MEGAPOLI summer campaign: evaluation of the volatility-basis-set
 approach within the CHIMERE model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 5767–5790, 2013.
- Zotter, P., El-Haddad, I., Zhang, Y., Hayes, P. L., Zhang, X., Lin, Y.-H., Wacker, L., Schnelle-Kreis, J., Abbaszade, G., Zimmermann, R., Surratt, J. D., Weber, R., Jimenez, J. L., Szidat, S., Baltensperger, U., and Prévôt, A. S. H.: Diurnal cycle of fossil and non-fossil carbon using radiocarbon analyses during CalNex, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 2013JD021114, doi: 10.1002/2013JD021114, 119, 6818-6835, 2014.

Figure captions:

Fig. 1. Location of the sampling sites from the MEGAPOLI and PARTICULES projects in the Ile-de-France region. The grey zone stands for the urban area of the Paris agglomeration. The white circle shows the three rural background sites, all at about 70 km distance from the PARTICULES urban background site. The purple lines indicate administrative boundaries. Map source: Google Earth.

Fig. 2. PM₁ components (μg m⁻³) and NO_x (ppb*10) at the NE suburban site for air masses arriving from SW (red bars) and NE sectors (blue bars); air masses originating from the SW sector sample emissions from Paris, those from the NE sector represent continental type air masses moving towards Paris: (a) for summer and (b) for winter.

Fig. 3. Local (hatched) and imported contributions to $PM_{2.5}$ in Paris (PARTICULES urban background site) for the period September 2009 to September 2010, for different $PM_{2.5}$ levels. An uncertainty range of $\pm 5\%$ (absolute value) is estimated for the local and imported $PM_{2.5}$ contribution.

Fig. 4. On the left, AOD (aerosol optical depth) from the AATSR (Advanced Along Track Scanning Radiometer instrument) (a), on the right, tropospheric NO₂ columns from SCIAMACHY observations, both averaged over the period March–October 2009 (b). The black circle marks the Paris agglomeration. AOD values are only given over land areas (black over sea).

Fig. 5. Predicted relative decrease in $PM_{2.5}$ levels when local emissions of the megacity are set to zero; calculated with the PMCAMx model for the summer (<u>aleft</u>) and winter (<u>bmiddle</u>) MEGAPOLI campaigns for the greater Paris region, and during the MILAGRO campaign

(cright) for Mexico City. Domain widths: $5400 \times 5832 \text{ km}^2 \text{ plus a subdomain of } 216 \times 180 \text{ km}^2 \text{ for Paris, } 210 \text{ x } 210 \text{ km}^2 \text{ for Mexico City.}$

Fig. 6. Simulated fractional decrease in PM_{2.5} sulfate (upper panels), nitrate (medium panels), and organic aerosol (OA, lower panels) levels for the summer (<u>a.c.dleft</u>) and winter (<u>b.d.fright</u>) during the MEGAPOLI campaign period, when Paris agglomeration emissions are set to zero in the PMCAMx model.

Fig. 7. Combined analysis of AMS derived PM₁ OA fractions and elemental carbon with results from non-fossil and fossil fuel carbon ¹⁴C analysis, for the summer (aleft) and the winter (bright) campaign at the urban downtown site. The left columns for each season show the source apportionment from AMS and EC measurements, the right columns the fossil and non-fossil fractions of carbonaceous PM₁. Combined, both columns allow the attribution of the individual aerosol types to fossil and non-fossil parts. EM denotes elemental carbon matter, BBOA biomass burning OA, COA cooking OA, OOA oxygenated OA, HOA hydrocarbon-like OA (associated with traffic). The dashed lines represent the combined uncertainties of the ¹⁴C measurement and the splits between OC and EC, fossil and non-fossil EM and OOA and their variability as a fractional contribution to carbonaceous PM₁. Note that for winter time, the fossil fuel EC and primary OA fractions identified from AMS-PMF analysis are somewhat larger than the total fossil fuel carbonaceous PM₁ from the ¹⁴C analysis, but agree within their uncertainties.

Fig. 8. Contributions to secondary organic aerosol during the MEGAPOLI summer campaign period, simulated with the CHIMERE model: BGOA (background organic aerosol), BSOA

(biogenic SOA), ASOA (anthropogenic SOA), OPOA (oxidized primary OA of anthropogenic origin). BSOA and BGOA are considered as of non-fossil, ASOA and OPOA as of fossil origin.

Fig. 9. Daily levoglucosan values observed during the PARTICULES project from September 2009 to September 2010 at the downtown urban and southern rural sites. The rural site is located far from direct sources. Levoglucosan is a tracer for wood burning emissions. The similar values at both sites ($R^2 = 0.83$, slope rural vs. downtown site 0.84) suggest a strong regional control of woodburning related organic aerosol.

Fig. 10. Concentrations of BC (black) and EC (grey) observed in megacities across the world. Note that Bangkok, Barcelona, Chicago, Chongqing Hyderabad and London have fewer inhabitants (Table <u>1S2</u>) than the 10 million accepted as a limit for megacities. The uncertainty due to differences in the measurement methods and in the representativeness for urban background conditions is estimated to approximately 50% (Section S3 in the supplementary material). References for the studies used here are given in Table <u>1S2</u>.

Table 1.

Origin of black and elemental carbon data and observation periods used in Figure 10, and megacity population in year 2015.

City	Observation Period	Reference	Population in 2015 (in 10 ⁶)
Bangkok	April 2008 – March 2008	Sahu et al. (2008)	9.27
Barcelona	January – December 2009	Reche et al. (2011)	5.26
Beijing	August 2004	Gros et al. (2007)	20.38
	Summer (July – August 2001; June – July 2002)		
	Winter (December 2001 – January 2002 and December 2002);	Dan et al. (2004)	
	Spring (March 2003).		
Beijing (EC)	March 2005 - February 2006	Yang et al. (2011)	
Cairo	March - April 2005	Favez et al. (2008)	18.77
Chicago	January 2005 – December 2008	Hand et al. (2011)	8.75
Chongqing	March 2005 - February 2006	Yang et al. (2011)	13.33
Delhi	January 2006 - January 2007	Bano et al. (2011)	25.7
Guangzhou	December 2008 - February 2009	Yang et al. (2011)	12.46
Hyderabad	January – December 2003	Latha and Badarinath (2005)	8.94
Hong Kong	November 2000 - February 2001 and June to August 2001	Ho et al. (2006)	7.31
Istanbul	November 2007 - June 2009	Theodosi et al. (2010)	14.16
London	January – December 2009	Reche et al. (2011)	10.31
Los Angeles	January 2005 – December 2008	Hand et al. (2011)	12.31
Mexico City	March 2006	Aiken et al. (2010)	21.0
New York City	2006 – 2008	Rattigan et al. (2011)	18.99
Paris	September 2009 – September 2010	This study	10.84
Rio de Janeiro	June 2007 - August 2008	Miranda et al. (2012)	12.9

São Paulo	June 2007 - August 2008	Miranda et al. (2012)	21.07
Seoul	2003 – 2004	Kim et al. (2007)	9.77
Shanghai	March 1999 - May 2000	Ye et al. (2003)	23.74
T-1	2003 - 2005	Wanda at al. (2012)	20.0
Tokyo	2010	Kondo et al. (2012)	38.0