Manuscript prepared for Atmos. Chem. Phys. with version 2014/09/16 7.15 Copernicus papers of the $\[Mathebaselefted]$ class copernicus.cls. Date: 12 June 2015

Sensitivities of Lagrangian modeling of mid-latitude cirrus clouds to trajectory data quality

Erika Kienast-Sjögren^{1,2}, Annette Miltenberger^{1,3}, Beiping Luo¹, and Tom Peter¹ ¹Institute for Atmospheric and Climate Science, ETH Zurich, Switzerland ²Now at Office of Meteorology and Climatology MeteoSwiss, Federal Department of Home Affairs, Zurich-Airport, Switzerland ³Now at Institute for Climate and Atmospheric Science, University of Leeds, United Kingdom *Correspondence to:* Erika Kienast-Sjögren (Erika.Kienast@meteoswiss.ch)

Abstract. Simulations of cirrus are subject to uncertainties in model physics and meteorological input data. Here we model cirrus clouds along air mass trajectories, whose extinction has been measured with an elastic backscatter Lidar at Jungfraujoch research station in the Swiss Alps, with a microphysical stacked box model. The sensitivities of these simulations to input data uncertainties

5 (trajectory resolution, unresolved vertical velocities, ice nuclei number density and upstream specific humidity) are investigated.

Variations of the temporal resolution of the wind field data (COSMO-model at 2.2 km resolution) between 20 s and 1 h have only a marginal impact on the trajectory path, while the representation of the vertical velocity variability and therefore the cooling rate distribution are significantly affected.

- 10 A temporal resolution better than 5 minutes must be chosen in order to resolve cooling rates required to explain the measured extinction. A further increase of the temporal resolution improves the simulation results slightly. The close match between the modeled and observed extinction profile for high resolution trajectories suggests that the cooling rate spectra calculated by COSMO-2 suffice on the selected day. The modeled cooling rate spectra are, however, characterized by signif-
- 15 icantly lower vertical velocity amplitudes than those found previously in some aircraft campaigns (SUCCESS, MACPEX). A climatological analysis of the vertical velocity amplitude in the Alpine region based on COSMO-2 analyses and balloon sounding data suggests large day-to-day variability in small-scale temperature fluctuations. This demonstrates the necessity to apply numerical weather prediction models with high spatial and temporal resolutions in cirrus modeling, whereas using cli-
- 20 matological means for the amplitude of the unresolved air motions does generally not suffice. The box model simulations further suggest that uncertainties in the upstream specific humidity $(\pm 10\% \text{ of the model prediction})$ and in the ice nuclei number density $(0-100 \text{ L}^{-1})$ are more im-

portant for the modeled cirrus cloud than the unresolved temperature fluctuations, if temporally highly resolved trajectories are used. For the presented case the simulations are incompatible with ice nuclei number densities larger than $20 L^{-1}$ and insensitive to variations below this value.

25

1 Introduction

Cirrus clouds are an important component of the climate system, because they influence the radiative budget by scattering solar radiation back to space and by trapping longwave radiation in the troposphere. The balance between these two mechanisms determines the overall radiative effect of

- 30 cirrus clouds on the climate. In general it is assumed that cirrus clouds have a warming effect on climate (e.g., Chen et al., 2000), but its magnitude depends strongly the optical thickness and cloud top temperature (e.g., Stephens et al, 1990; Corti and Peter, 2009). The optical thickness of cirrus depends on the nucleation properties of the preexisting aerosols and on the local cooling rates, which both determine the ice crystal number density and crystal size. The optical thickness further depends
- 35 on the atmospheric relative humidity profile, limiting the geometric thickness of the cloud. Finally, the cloud top temperature determines the cloud emissivity (Platt and Harshvardhan, 1988; Ebert and Curry, 1992; Lin et al., 1998; Chen et al., 2000). In addition, the shape and orientation of the ice crystals influence the radiative properties of cirrus clouds, but both variables are in general not known and hard to determine from measurements (e.g., Stephens et al , 1990). While mid-latitude cirrus
- have been studied by several authors (e.g., Chen et al., 2000; Fusina et al., 2007; Cziczo and Froyd, 40 2014), the magnitude of the positive cloud radiative forcing remains uncertain. The microphysical processes leading to cirrus formation are not yet completely understood. Further, the coarse parameterization of cirrus clouds contributes to the uncertainties of radiative forcing predicted by climate models (Dessler and Yang, 2003; Solomon et al., 2007; Myhre et al., 2013). We note here, that the
- overall radiative forcing effect by cirrus clouds is smaller than the effect of liquid and mixed-phase 45 clouds situated further down in the troposphere (Chen et al., 2000; Sherwood et al., 2014; Kienast-Sjögren et al., 2015). Thus, the uncertainties in the radiative forcing of clouds in climate models can to a large part be attributed to uncertainties considering low clouds and convective mixing (Sherwood et al., 2014).
- 50

To better understand mid-latitude cirrus clouds and their effect on climate, we need to improve our knowledge on their formation mechanisms and to better constrain the uncertainties involved in cloud modeling. For this purpose, the formation of cirrus clouds has been investigated with detailed microphysical box models applied in case studies (or with column models, i.e. stacked box models,

which allow to take sedimentation into account). The box model simulations are usually conducted 55 along backward trajectories, which provide the required temperature and pressure history of the air parcels (e.g. Jensen et al., 1994a, b; Haag and Kärcher, 2004; Hoyle et al., 2005; Comstock et al.,

2008; Brabec et al., 2012; Rolf et al., 2012; Jensen et al., 2013; Cirisan et al., 2014)). The Zurich Optical and Microphysical Model (ZOMM, Luo et al. (2003a, b)), the Model for Aerosol and Ice

- 60 Dynamics (MAID, Bunz et al. (2008)) and the Advanced Particle Simulation Code (APSC, Kärcher (2003)) are some of the models used. Studies using these models entail a number of uncertainties in the following quantities:
 - (a) In the trajectory path as well as the traced thermodynamic fields T and p resulting either from uncertainties in the dynamic fields v or the trajectory calculation method,
- (b) the representation of small-scale vertical motions leading to small-scale temperature fluctuations $(dT/dt)_{ss}$, which are not resolved by the underlying numerical model,
 - (c) the initial specific humidity $q_v(t=0)$, and
 - (d) simulations with homogeneous nucleation only and simulations with homogeneous as well as heterogeneous nucleation with varying initial ice nuclei number densities $n_{IN}(t=0)$.
- 70 These uncertainties and their implications for cirrus cloud modeling are investigated in this study.

Uncertainty (a) concerns the motion of the cloud-forming parcels and their thermodynamic history. The calculation of the paths of these air parcels relies on the advection of point masses with the wind field predicted by a numerical weather prediction (NWP) model. Accordingly, their accu-

- 75 racy depends on the accuracy of the modeled wind fields as well as the used trajectory calculation method. While current state-of-the-art high-resolution NWP models have a sufficient resolution to resolve mesoscale motions for instance over mountainous areas, the predicted wind field may suffer from errors in the initial and boundary conditions and deficiencies in the model physics, particularly regarding parameterized processes. Uncertainties due to the trajectory calculation method are mainly
- 80 linked to the applied integration method and the spatial and temporal interpolation of the wind field to the actual parcel location (e.g., Stohl, 1998). Previous studies have shown that excessive temporal interpolation can strongly affect the resulting trajectories (e.g., Stohl et al., 1995, 2001). However, the impact of these uncertainties on cirrus cloud modeling has received little attention so far.
- 85 Uncertainty (b) relates to the cooling rate in the very moment of the nucleation event, which influences the number of nucleated ice crystals and thus determines the cirrus morphology (Kärcher and Ström, 2003; Haag and Kärcher, 2004; Koop, 2004; Hoyle et al., 2005). While temperature variations at spatial scales of several tens of kilometers can be captured by regional NWP models, vertical velocity and temperature fluctuations at smaller spatial scales remain unresolved due to
- 90 limited spatial resolution. Several studies have resorted to include the unresolved vertical motions in cirrus cloud modeling by superimposing artificial fluctuations on the trajectory data (Hoyle et al., 2005; Brabec et al., 2012; Rolf et al., 2012; Spichtinger and Krämer, 2013; Cirisan et al., 2014;

Murphy, 2014; Dinh et al., 2015). Amplitudes and frequency distributions of the unresolved motions are typically taken from field measurements, which are unrelated to investigation at hand. For this

- 95 most previous studies utilized measured power spectral densities (PSDs) of temperature, e.g from the SUCCESS campaign (Hoyle et al., 2005) or from the INCA campaign (e.g, Haag and Kärcher, 2004). Whether these PSDs are applicable to geographic locations and meteorological conditions other than during the measurement campaigns remains unclear. As an alternative NWP model data becomes available at successively higher spatial resolution, but here it remains unclear, which frac-
- 100 tion of the vertical velocity variability is actually explicitly resolved by the NWP model and in the derived trajectory data.

Uncertainty (c) limits the accuracy of the relative humidity of an air parcel. The humidity is usually retrieved from state-of-the-art NWP models, however with large uncertainties in the upper tropo-

- 105 sphere (Kunz et al., 2014). As ice nucleation occurs at a certain ice supersaturation humidity errors can lead to significant shifts in the onset of ice nucleation as well as in the number of nucleated ice crystals (Dinh et al., 2015).
- Finally, uncertainty (d), i.e. the lack of knowledge on ice nuclei number density n_{IN} in the investigated air parcel, affects the results of cirrus cloud modeling. Ice nuclei, whose number densities are typically between 10 and 100 L⁻¹ (per standard liter) (DeMott et al., 2010), lead to heterogeneous nucleation on solid particles such as for instance dust and ash (Pruppacher and Klett, 1997; Kärcher and Lohmann, 2003; Wiacek et al., 2010; Cziczo et al., 2013; Cziczo and Froyd, 2014). Heterogeneous nucleation results in cirrus with lower number densities than homogeneous nucle-
- 115 ation in metastable solution droplets (Lin et al., 1998; Koop et al., 2000; Kärcher and Ström, 2003). In addition, the nucleation mode determines the ice supersaturation, at which the nucleation starts (Koop et al., 2000; Koop, 2004), and thus the location of nucleation onset. Knowledge of n_{IN} is available only under the special conditions of concomitant aircraft-borne ice nuclei measurements.
- 120 In general, the uncertainties discussed above pertain also to the modelling of cirrus clouds with Eulerian models, except for the uncertainty in the trajectory path (a). Several studies have adressed these uncertainties in individual case studies in Lagrangian and Eulerian modeling frameworks (e.g., Jensen et al., 1994b; Hoyle et al., 2005; Jensen et al., 2013; Cirisan et al., 2014; Muhlbauer et al., 2014). Most studies focussed on the role of different representations of ice nucleation and small-
- 125 scale temperature fluctuations, while the impact of the temporal resolution of the trajectory data and forecast errors in the initial moisture content has so far received less attention. In this study we investigate the representation of small-scale temperature and vertical velocity fluctuations in the COSMO-2 model and along trajectories computed with wind fields at different temporal resolutions between 20 s and one hour for a Lidar measurement case study above Jungfraujoch in the Swiss



Figure 1. Lidar measurement at Jungfraujoch on 22 November 2011. Colors: aerosol extinctions retrieved from measured backscatter.

130 Alps. We further analyze the impact of variations in the initial humidity and ice nuclei number density within their respective uncertainty range on the modeling results for the same case study.

2 Methods and Data

2.1 Lidar Measurement

The cirrus cloud measurements used in this study were conducted with an elastic backscatter Li-135 dar (LIght Detection And Ranging, Leosphere Lidar ALS 450). This commercial Lidar emits laser pulses with a wavelength of 355 nm, a repetition rate of 20 Hz, and an average pulse energy of 16 mJ. It detects attenuated backscatter, both in parallel and perpendicular polarization enabling a determination of the sphericity and thus the physical state of the scattering particles (Schotland et al., 1971; Kovalev and Eichinger, 2004; Zieger et al., 2012).

140 The Lidar was situated at the high alpine research station Jungfraujoch at 3580 m above sea level (a.s.l.) in the Swiss Alps. Jungfraujoch enables Lidar measurements of the highest quality due to its unique location above the polluted boundary layer. The high altitude also shortens the distance between the Lidar and the scatterer, which further improves the quality of the range-corrected attenuated backscatter signal.

145 The retrieved signal can be described using the Lidar equation (Ansmann et al., 1992; Kovalev and Eichinger, 2004):

$$r^{2}P(r) = C[\beta_{m}(r) + \beta_{p}(r)] \exp\left(-2\int_{0}^{r} [\alpha_{m}(r') + \alpha_{p}(r')] dr'\right)$$
(1)

where r²P(r) describes the range corrected signal detected by the Lidar, β_m and β_p denote the backscatter coefficient by the molecules and particles, respectively, and α_m and α_p specify molec150 ular and particulate extinction coefficient at the range r above the Lidar. The constant C describes instrumental properties such as, for instance, the calibration and overlap functions. The molecular backscatter and extinction coefficients are calculated using COSMO-2 analysis data of pressure and temperature. We will compare the model results with the Lidar measurements in terms of the cloud extinction coefficient, because the simulated extinction coefficient can be calculated directly from
155 the surface area density of the simulated particles from the microphysical boxmodel ZOMM. The extinction coefficient is related to the backscatter coefficient via the "Lidar ratio" (i.e., the ratio be-

- tween optical extinction and 180° backscatter at the laser wavelength). We use a Lidar Ratio of 20 sr⁻¹. This value was determined as the most suitable using a Lidar inversion with far-end as well as a near-end boundary condition (Klett, 1981). Using the Lidar inversion described in Kovalev and
 Eichinger (2004), the particulate backscatter ratio and extinction coefficient are determined. The pro-
- files are corrected for multiple scattering using the model of Hogan (2008) by the method described in Wandinger (1998) or Seifert et al. (2007).
- In the evaluation of the Lidar data we have taken into account uncertainties in the Lidar signal itself (due to statistic uncertainty in the counting of photons), uncertainties in the assumption of Lidar ratio, as well as uncertainties in the molecular properties retrieved from COSMO-2 analysis data. While these measurement uncertainties influence the absolute extinction value, they do not affect the detected vertical position of the measured cloud (e.g., Fig. 8 below).
- 170 Figure 1 shows the Lidar measurements of 22 November 2011 used in the current study. On this day an almost persistent cirrus cloud cover is observed over Jungfraujoch from 4 UTC onwards. The cirrus cloud had a vertical extent of about 1.5 km with the cloud top located at approximately 11.5 km a.s.l. For the investigation in this paper we focus on 9 UTC. For the comparison to the modeling results we use the mean extinction profile in a 20 min interval around 9 UTC. While the
- 175 observations show an almost constant height of the cloud top and bottom during this time period, the measured extinction varies somewhat during this time interval. This, however, does not influence our conclusions as for the modeled extinction profiles variations in the extinction are almost always coupled to changes in cloud height. The optical depth of the cirrus cloud during the considered time interval was 0.06, which is classified according to Sassen and Comstock (2001) as a thin cirrus, on
- 180 the limit to subvisible ($\tau < 0.03$).

2.2 Numerical Model Data

2.2.1 Eulerian Model Set-Up

The non-hydrostatic, regional numerical weather prediction model COSMO (Baldauf et al., 2011) was used to predict the motion of the air masses, in which the observed cirrus cloud forms. The simulations were performed for the time period between 12 UTC 20 November 2011 and 12 UTC 22 November 2011 at a spatial resolution of 2.2 km. The domain of the simulation covers the area between approximately 42.7°N and 49.6°N and 0°E and 17°E. In the vertical we use a Gal-Chen hybrid coordinate system with 60 levels, which provides an average vertical resolution of 388 m. The spacing of levels gradually increases from 13 m close to the model surface to 1190 m at the

- 190 model top (23 km). For the initial and boundary conditions we used the operational analysis of the Swiss weather service at 6.6 km horizontal resolution. The topography was filtered with a 4th-order low-pass filter based on Raymond (1988), resulting in a cut-off of orographic features at approximately $4\Delta x$. In addition the impact of sub-grid scale orography on the drag and gravity wave generation is parameterized based on Lott and Miller (1997). In the simulation turbulence, soil processes,
- 195 radiation and shallow convection (Tiedtke scheme) are all parameterized. Deep convection is not parameterized as it is explicitly resolved. Microphysical processes are parameterized by the standard single moment scheme with 5 hydrometeor classes operationally used in the model (Reinhardt, 2006) (which is replaced by the comprehensive ZOMM microphysics in the subsequent trajectory calculations (sec. 2.3)). The time step for the model simulation is 20 s. The model output is available
- either as Eulerian fields at a temporal resolution of 5 minutes or directly along online calculated trajectories at a temporal resolution of 20 s (sec. 2.2.2).
 In order to obtain the best possible representation of the real atmospheric conditions observational data routinely used for the COSMO analysis by MeteoSwiss was used for nudging of the simulation (based on the method of Schraff, 1996, 1997). The COSMO-2 simulation predicts an ice-phase
- 205 cloud above Jungfraujoch in the same time and altitude range at which it was observed by the Lidar (not shown). While this indicates a suitable representation of the thermodynamic conditions in the model, the rather coarse vertical resolution of the model in the upper troposphere with only 3 levels between 10.5 and 11.0 km may hamper the representation of processes with small vertical scales. The vertical resolution is increased to 100 m by vertical interpolation (s. sec. 2.2.2) for the ZOMM
- 210 simulations. This allows a better representation of cloud microphysical processes, while presumable rather smooth field over the considered height interval as the upstream moisture profile, gravity wave amplitude and phase are not affected by the interpolation.

2.2.2 Trajectory Data

The wind fields from the COSMO-2 model simulation described above were used offline to calculate air mass trajectories at temporal resolutions between 1 min and 1 h with LAGRANTO (Wernli and Davies, 1997). These trajectories are referred to in the following as "offline trajectories". In addition, a new module of the COSMO-2 model was used, which allows to calculate trajectories during the model integration (Miltenberger et al., 2013). This novel method makes use of the wind fields at every Eulerian model time step (here: 20 s) and therefore provides very accurate and temporally highly resolved trajectories. These are referred to as "online trajectories".

Offline trajectories. These have been calculated in the standard manner as backward trajectories, based on the conventional COSMO output fields. The backward trajectories start above Jungfraujoch between 8 km and 12 km altitude with a spacing of 100 m at 09 UTC on 22 November 2011. The

225 wind fields were taken from COSMO-2 model output of the nudged simulation either at hourly or at 5 min temporal resolution. When hourly wind fields were used (i.e., ignoring 11 of the 12 output fields at 5 min resolution), the trajectory integration time step was chosen as 5 min, and the trajectory output was made available every 5 min. For the 5-min COSMO-2 output fields (nudged forecast), the trajectory integration time step was 1 min. Trajectory output is used at 5 min and 1 min intervals.

230

220

Online trajectories. Online trajectories can only be computed forward in time. In the COSMO model simulation trajectories were started every 15 min between 21 UTC 21 November and 00 UTC 22 November 2011 along the western domain boundary at a horizontal spacing of 0.02° and with a vertical spacing of 25 m at altitudes between 8 km and 12 km. This gives a total of 1964200 trajecto-

- ries. This large number is required to get enough trajectories over the Jungfraujoch at the right time and at a reasonable vertical spacing. For the analysis we consider only air parcels traveling through a column of 0.01° x 0.01° geographic extent centered at the Jungfraujoch (i.e. roughly 1 km x 1 km), which results in 9793 trajectories (about 0.5% of all trajectories). This includes a subset of 354 trajectories, which pass over Jungfraujoch between 08:50 UTC and 09:10 UTC, the period chosen for
- 240 closer comparison with the Lidar measurements. Above Jungfraujoch, these selected online trajectories have a vertical spacing varying between 86 m and 124 m. with a mean of 100 m. Temperature, pressure, specific humidity, vertical velocity, and ice water content are traced along the trajectories.

2.3 Microphysical Box Model ZOMM

In order to investigate the microphysical evolution of the cirrus cloud observed above Jungfraujoch, we use the microphysical box model ZOMM (Zurich Optical and Microphysical Model), which was previously developed to investigate polar stratospheric clouds (e.g., Luo et al., 2003b; Engel et al., 2013) and cirrus clouds (e.g., Luo et al., 2003a; Hoyle et al., 2005; Brabec et al., 2012; Cirisan et al., 2014). ZOMM is forced with thermodynamic data (T, p) from the trajectories and is initialized with the COSMO-2 humidity at the trajectory starting point. ZOMM takes uptake and release of

250 water vapour by ice crystals as well as solution droplets into account. For further details on ZOMM we refer to section 3.4 in Cirisan et al. (2014). We treat ice nucleation either as pure homogeneous

nucleation of metastable solution droplets based on Koop et al. (2000), or with additional heterogeneous nucleation on solid particles such as for example dust or ash as suggested by Marcolli et al. (2007) for Arizona test dust. For simulations including heterogeneous nucleation we used ice nuclei

255 concentrations of 10 L⁻¹, 20 L⁻¹, 50 L⁻¹ and 100 L⁻¹. These concentrations cover the range of free tropospheric background ice nuclei number densities at mid-latitudes, typically ranging between 10 L⁻¹ and 30 L⁻¹ (Haag and Kärcher, 2004; DeMott et al., 2010).

We ran the box model along offline backward trajectories and online trajectories derived from the nudged COSMO-simulation. Sedimentational fluxes from higher to lower level parcels are taken

- 260 into account by ZOMM. The total water in the level is increasing due to sedimentation from the level above and decreasing due to sedimentation to the lower level. The ice particles falling from the level above will grow and decrease the supersaturation, if the air mass is super saturated and vice versa. In the model, we apply a dynamic time step. The composition of liquid solution will change maximal 0.1 % in the nucleation regions and 1% for other regions during one time step. By
- 265 its nature, the model does not account for vertical or horizontal shear of the trajectories. This is an approximation that ZOMM shares with all column models. This might be acceptable given that the cloud observed by Lidar on 22 November 2011 above Jungfraujoch extended over many hours and has a comparable small geometrical thickness, though there is significant wind shear in the upper troposphere during the considered case study (sec. 3.1).

270 2.4 Evaluation Method for Simulations

We compare the cirrus clouds modeled along different trajectory data sets to the cirrus cloud observed by Lidar above Jungfraujoch. For this comparison we calculate the extinction from the surface area density in the ZOMM model output. We chose to analyse the extinction (instead of the backscatter) as it can be directly calculated from the surface area of the nucleated particles. The
backscatter ratio requires an assumption about the aspect ratio of the ice particles to use the T-matrix method to solve the Maxwell equations describing 180°-scattering of light by not perfectly spherical particles (Mishchenko et al., 2010). Extinction, in turn, is much less sensitive to shape effects.

- SAL metric. To compare the simulated and the measured extinction profiles an objective evaluation measure is needed: One option are bulk error measures as for instance the root mean squared error or the logarithmic error measure introduced by Cirisan et al. (2014). These measures allow a point-by-point comparison between the profiles, but do not allow a more thorough analysis of the reason for any forecasting deficiency. Therefore we use the SAL error measure introduced by Wernli et al. (2008) and adopt it to our 1D-profiles. The SAL consists of three different components: The
- 285 first term, structure S, compares the shape of the formed cloud, e.g. whether there is a very narrow cloud or a cloud with a large vertical extend. S can take values between -2 and 2. The cloud is predicted to have a larger (smaller) vertical extend than in the observations, if S is positive (negative).

The second term, amplitude A, quantifies the error in terms of under- or overestimating the measured cloud extinction, regardless of the vertical position of the cloud. A can take values between -2 and

290 2; A = 1 (A = -1), when the modeled average extinction is larger (smaller) by a factor of 3 than the observed extinction. Finally, the location L describes the error in the vertical position of the center of mass of the cloud. L can take values between 0 and 2, where L = 2 means that the cloud occurs at the top of the vertical profile in one data set and at the bottom in the other. In a perfect forecast all three components (S, A, L) are equal to zero.

295 **3** Trajectories and Cooling Rates

3.1 Air Mass Origin and Path

The origin of the air masses, in which the measured cirrus cloud forms, is crucial for process understanding and modeling, because it controls the amount of water vapor available for condensation and the potential degree of ice supersaturation. In particular, the path of the air parcel determines the location and time of the first nucleation event via controlling the supersaturation and the number of

300 location and time of the first nucleation event via controlling the supersaturation and the number of nucleating ice crystals via controlling the cooling rate during nucleation. The path of the air parcels, which arrived above Jungfraujoch at the time the cirrus cloud was observed, is shown in Fig. 2. The trajectories shown in the left panel are based on wind fields at a temporal resolution of 5 minutes, while the right panel shows online trajectories, i.e., based on 20 second wind field data. The color bar indicates the altitudes, at which the trajectories arrived at Jungfraujoch on 09 UTC on 22 November 2011.

In general parcels arriving at altitudes between 8 km and 12 km above Jungfraujoch are located just north of the Massif Central 10 h earlier and impinge from a north-westerly direction on the Alps. A 310 significant spread of the trajectories in the horizontal is observed. In addition, there are significant differences in travel speeds between online- and offline-trajectories. The spread and the different travel speed on the air parcels can be problematic for column microphysical models, because these models do not take horizontal or vertical shear into account. This may lead to significant errors as sedimenting ice crystals may enter lower trajectories at unrealistic times. If only trajectories relevant

- 315 for the observed cirrus cloud are considered, i.e., those arriving between 10.5 km and 11.5 km a.s.l., the spread is somewhat reduced (yellow to orange colors in Fig. 2). More importantly, the simulation results show that the air parcels most crucial for the formation of the observed cirrus cloud are not affected by ice crystals falling out of higher level parcels (Fig. 6 later). Accordingly, these parcels can be considered as decoupled from the trajectory stack and therefore as unaffected by any assump-
- 320 tions on horizontal and temporal alignment for the sedimentation treatment. The evaporation of the ice crystals in lower parcels may, however, be affected by the treatment of sedimentation, as crystals from earlier nucleation events modify the specific moisture content in these parcels (Fig. 6 later).

The horizontal travel path of online and offline trajectories is rather similar. In the 10 hours prior to arrival at the Jungfraujoch paths deviate by less than 100 km. In the vertical the paths of online and

- 325 offline trajectories are also very similar, with a maximum vertical deviation of about 500 m. Despite the overall similarity of the trajectory paths some distinct differences particularly in the vertical path can be observed: For instance, the most northerly trajectory from the online and offline data set show a very similar geographical path but reach Jungfraujoch at different altitudes, 500 m apart. Ten hours before reaching Jungfraujoch, the two trajectories are almost at the same location. Their horizontal
- 330 winds are very similar, but their vertical winds differ significantly. The online trajectories ascent strongly by 300 m about 2 hours before reaching the Jungfraujoch. The offline trajectories, on the other hand, display a slight descent in the same time period (not shown). These differences have considerable impact on the cirrus formation, as they display very different cooling rates (see below).
- 335 According to the rather similar horizontal and vertical location of the source region, the specific water vapor concentration 10 h before arrival at Jungfraujoch is almost identical in the different trajectory data sets (not shown). The initial moisture content of trajectories arriving at around 9 km a.s.l. is about 80 mg/kg and decreases almost linearly with elevation to about 10 mg/kg at 12 km a.s.l.

340 3.2 Temperature Fluctuations

Small-scale temperature fluctuations have been shown to be very important for cirrus cloud formation (e.g., Hoyle et al., 2005; Brabec et al., 2012; Rolf et al., 2012; Engel et al., 2013; Cirisan et al., 2014), because the cooling rate in the very moment of the nucleation event affects the nucleation rate (in particular for homogeneous nucleation). These temperature fluctuations remain largely un-

345 resolved in state-of-the-art NWP models due to their limited spatial resolution.

With $\Delta x = 2.2$ km horizontal grid spacing only waves with wavelengths larger than $4\Delta x = 8.8$ km can be resolved. Recent studies have shown that the effective model resolution is typically even somewhat lower, depending on the treatment of turbulence and numerical diffusion: Bierdel et al.

- 350 (2012) find an effective model resolution of about 4-5 Δx for the COSMO-2 model domain over Germany (COSMO-DE), while Skamarock (2004) finds an effective resolution of about 7 Δx for WRF simulations during the BAMEX campaign. Here we continue to assume a resolution of 4 Δx , which for stationary waves and air parcels traveling with velocities between 10 m/s and 50 m/s (typical for the middle and upper troposphere) corresponds to wave periods between 2.9 min to 14.7 min. In
- 355 order to correctly represent all waves resolved by the Eulerian model in the trajectory data, at least 4 points are required during the wave period. This requires a temporal resolution of 44 s to 3.7 min depending on the travel speed.



Figure 2. Offline (left) and online (right) trajectories arriving above Jungfraujoch at 09 UTC on 22 November 2011. Offline trajectories: based on 5-minute wind field data. Trajectory lengths: plotted for 10 h or until they leave the domain. Color coding: trajectory altitude upon their arrival above Jungfraujoch. Thick contours: Swiss border as well as coast of the Mediterranean Sea. Grey contour lines: topography from COSMO-2.

In stark contrast, most trajectory calculation tools rely on NWP model output at a temporal reso-

- 360 lution between 1 h and 6 h. Calculating trajectory data at the required temporal resolution calls for massive temporal interpolation of the wind-fields. Such interpolation (e.g. from 1-hourly Eulerian output fields to 5 minute trajectory steps) has been used extensively in past investigations, and it has been shown that the interpolated data provide cooling and heating rates which are in much better agreement with 5-min based datasets, than trajectory data providing only the hourly trajectory
- 365 data (see Appendix C of Brabec et al. (2012)). This suggests that interpolating trajectory data is actually a microphysically sensible procedure, because the interpolated trajectory points pick up the high spatial resolution of the underlying Eulerian grid, including orography and weather systems, even when the temporal storage is only hourly. Horizontal winds chase the air parcels faster across this texture than the texture changes itself as function of time (Brabec et al., 2012). Nevertheless
- 370 the required temporal interpolation can introduce significant errors in wave amplitude and phase, in particular when trajectories pass through non-stationary waves. By means of the online trajectories with 20 s time steps a new trajectory calculation tool is available, which mitigates the problems introduced by temporal interpolation of wind field data (Miltenberger et al., 2013). The mean power spectral density of temperature fluctuations is shown in Fig. 3 for trajectories based on wind fields
- at a temporal resolution of 20 s (red curve), 5 min (blue curve) and 1 h (green curve). Displayed are also trajectories with a temporal resolution of 1 min, which have been interpolated from 5 min and 1h COSMO-2 output. While the power spectral densities are overall very similar, it is clear that increasing the temporal resolution increases the spectrum of resolvable waves to larger frequencies. As discussed above, waves with wavelength smaller $4\Delta x$ cannot be resolved on the Eulerian grid,
- 380 which corresponds to wave frequencies higher than about 2×10^{-3} s⁻¹ using the average horizontal velocity of 17.4 m/s along the trajectories (vertical black dashed-dotted line in Fig. 3). Waves with slightly larger wavelength also suffer from amplitude and phase errors in the Eulerian model up to about wavelengths of $8\Delta x$ (corresponding frequency indicated by vertical dashed line in Fig. 3). Comparing these limiting frequencies to the frequencies resolved in the trajectory data, it becomes
- 385 clear that an hourly temporal resolution is completely insufficient to capture the temperature variability represented on the Eulerian grid (only frequencies up to about 10^{-4} s⁻¹ can be resolved). In contrast, trajectories based on 5 min wind field data are able to represent almost all frequencies that can be represented on the Eulerian grid. Online trajectories cover even a larger frequency range. Accordingly, trajectory data based on NWP simulations with $\Delta x = 2.2$ km should be used at least
- 390 at a temporal resolution of 5 min.

For comparison to our model data we use the high-resolution temperature data from the SUCCESS campaign taking place over the central and western United States (Toon et al., 1998) and from the MACPEX campaign taking place mainly over the Gulf of Mexico and the south-eastern United



Figure 3. Power spectral densities (PSDs) of the temperature calculated for trajectories arriving above Jungfraujoch at 09 UTC at 10.0-11.5 km, where the cirrus cloud was observed by the Lidar. (a): Grey lines: PSDs of individual 20-s online trajectories. Red line: average of the online trajectories. Orange: online trajectory with superimposed temperature fluctuations. Light green: offline trajectories based on 5-min model output, interpolated to 1 min resolution. Dark green: based on 1-h model output, interpolated to 1 min. Dark blue: offline trajectories based on 5-min COSMO-2 model output. Light blue: offline trajectories based on 5-min model output, interpolated to 1 min resolution. Purple: offline trajectories based on 1-h model output. Colored vertical lines: Nyquist frequency for each specific temporal resolution. Black solid curve: PSD derived from aircraft data sampled during the SUCCESS campaign. Black dashed curve: same for the MACPEX campaign. Dashed vertical line: maximum frequency that can be resolved on the COSMO-grid given the finite horizontal resolution and the mean horizontal velocity along the trajectories ($f_{max} = 17.4 \text{ m/s}/(4 \text{ x } 2.2 \text{ km}) \approx 2 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$). Dashed-dotted vertical line: Cut-off frequency using $8\Delta x$ as effective model resolution.

395 States (Rollins et al., 2014). Both campaigns show significantly higher intensity for all frequencies, as will be further analyzed below.

3.3 Vertical Velocity Fluctuations

A different, though related question is the quality of the representation of small-scale fluctuations in the Eulerian model itself. This can be assessed by comparing the power spectral density (PSD)
of the model to PSDs measured during field campaigns in the upper troposphere. For comparison to our model data we use the vertical velocity data from SUCCESS (Toon et al., 1998) and MACPEX (Rollins et al., 2014) and furthermore from the ALPEX campaign carried out over the Alps (Kuettner, 1981). In a dry atmosphere the PSD of vertical velocities is directly linked to the occurring cooling rates by the dry adiabatic lapse rate, however, it is not directly related to the temperature PSD. The
PSDs of the vertical velocity from SUCCESS, MACPEX, ALPEX and our COSMO-2 model sim-

- ulation are shown in Fig. 4. The PSDs of the model simulation and the SUCCESS/MACPEX data show a very different power density even for very low frequencies, which should not be affected by the grid resolution of the model: The COSMO-2 model shows an almost constant PSD of $5 \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-1}$ for frequencies between 10^{-3}s^{-1} and 10^{-4}s^{-1} , whereas the PSDs during SUCCESS and MACPEX
- 410 varied between 10 m²s⁻¹ and 100 m²s⁻¹ for the same frequency range. To understand the differences in the mesoscale range it is important that the model simulations and the SUCCESS and MACPEX measurements took place a different geographic locations and under different meteorological conditions. Mesoscale gravity waves may be excited by a number of different phenomena, including flow over topography, fronts, convection, large wind shear and jet streams (e.g., Nastrom et al., 1992;
- 415 Fritts et al., 1992), and their vertical propagation depends on the state of the atmosphere, particularly the low-level stability (e.g., Nastrom et al., 1992). The spread between the power spectral densities observed during SUCCESS and MACPEX and those simulated for the cirrus case study corresponds to the variation of the PSD observed by Ecklund et al. (1985) for different meteorological situations during ALPEX. The PSDs observed during ALPEX for active and quite days are shown by the blue
- 420 lines in Fig. 4. Active days have been characterized by strong surface winds (Mistral), while the horizontal wind velocities are rather small during quiet days. MACPEX and SUCCESS PSDs resemble the ALPEX PSD during active days. Conversely, the low-frequency PSD of the COSMO-2 model resembles the PSD for quiet days during the ALPEX campaign. This resemblance agrees with the meteorological situation over central Europe, which on the 22 November 2011 was dominated by a
- 425 high pressure system over Eastern Europe.

To further assess the representation of vertical velocities w in the COSMO-2 model, we investigated 71 ballon soundings conducted from Payerne and the vicinity of Zurich in the years 2010-2014 (see green dashed histogram in Fig. 5). We follow the work by (Gallice et al., 2011), who showed

430 that information on air vertical motion w can be derived from the ascent rate of sounding balloons.

The deviation of the observed ascent of a sounding balloon from the one expected in vertically quiet air, as derived from a detailed treatment of the balloon motion, is caused by the vertical motion of the air w. Much simplified, but agreeing in general with this work, w can be estimated from the original ascent data of the sounding balloons by subtracting a 500 s running mean (boxcar over 500

- 435 one-second GPS measurements), which represents approximately the ascent of the ballon in quiet air (Cirisan et al., 2014). We cannot derive PSDs from sonde measurements since sondes measure the vertical wind only along quasi-vertical paths in very limited regions. Rather, for comparison we constructed a COSMO-2-based climatology of the squared vertical velocity amplitudes w^2 over the Alpine region for the years 2010-2014. For this we used hourly domain-averaged (COSMO-2, i.e.,
- Alpine region) values of w^2 at altitudes between approximately 7 and 9 km. Both data sets are depicted together with the SUCCESS and the MACPEX campaign data in Fig. 5. w^2 derived from the COSMO-2 analysis and the balloon sounding agree very well, showing w^2 in the range 10^{-3} m²s⁻² to 2 m²s⁻². This range corresponds very well to previous observational data reporting w^2 between 0.005 m²s⁻² to 0.4 m²s⁻² (Ecklund et al., 1986; Gage et al., 1986). In contrast, only w^2 larger than
- 445 about 0.02 m²s⁻² were observed during the SUCCESS- and the MACPEX campaigns. The reason for this discrepancy remains unclear, but possibly SUCCESS and MACPEX sampled mainly active periods, while the balloon data set covers quiet and active days.

We conclude from this comparison that the COSMO-2 model is able to simulate a reasonable climatological distribution of w^2 , though w^2 of individual days may be underestimated due to the missing sub-grid scale vertical motions. The power density at the unresolved frequencies higher than 10^{-3} s⁻¹ is much lower than at smaller frequencies and hence has only a small impact on the w^2 -distribution in Fig. 5. A future study should perform an in-depth evaluation of the model performance on a day-by-day basis using vertical velocity measurements. The mean w^2 over the

- 455 Alpine region for the day thoroughly analyzed in this paper is indicated by an orange line in Fig. 5: Compared to the climatological distribution this day belongs clearly to the rather very quiet days. In addition w^2 of an active day is shown by the yellow line. This active day is further discussed in the Appendix. The comparison of the *w* PSD from COSMO-2 simulations and from ALPEX suggests that the spectral densities up to a frequency of about $6 - 8 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ are well represented along
- 460 online trajectories. This is approximately the frequency range, in which power density biases due to the spatial resolution of the COSMO-2 model would be expected to be small. Higher frequency fluctuations, which may affect cirrus cloud formation, are, however, not represented in the trajectory data and have to be added artificially. To tackle this issue, we take a similar approach as previous studies dealing with this issue (e.g., Hoyle et al., 2005; Brabec et al., 2012; Rolf et al., 2012; Engel
- 465 et al., 2013; Cirisan et al., 2014): High-frequency temperature fluctuations, which are constructed from a measured PSD, are superimposed at random phase on the trajectory's temperature time series. To construct proper small-scale temperature fluctuations the mean PSD of the MACPEX and



Figure 4. Power spectral density (PSD) of the vertical wind calculated from online trajectories (grey) passing above Jungfraujoch at 09 UTC between 10.0 km and 11.5 km. Red curve: average of online trajectories. Solid and dashed black lines: PSDs of vertical velocities measured during SUCCESS (Toon et al., 1998) and MACPEX (Rollins et al., 2014), respectively. Blue dashed and dashed-dotted lines: data from the ALPEX campaign for active and quiet days, respectively (Kuettner, 1981).

SUCCESS campaign is fitted to the power spectral density from the trajectory data at a frequency of $8 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Fig. 3). The high-frequency part of this scaled PSD is then Fourier transformed using 20 different random phase time series resulting in 20 different small-scale temperature series, which are subsequently superimposed on the original temperature series. The resulting PSD of temperature along the trajectories is shown in Fig. 3 by the orange lines (online trajectories) and the cyan lines (offline trajectories based on 5 min wind field data).

4 Cirrus Cloud Modeling

475 By means of the microphysical box model ZOMM forced by (p,T)-time series from the introduced trajectory data sets we assess the implications of temporal resolution (sec. 4.1), small-scale temperature fluctuations (sec. 4.2), initial moisture content (sec. 4.3) and the number of available ice nuclei (sec. 4.4) for the modeled cirrus cloud properties. We evaluate the modeling results against Lidar measurements of extinction profiles above Jungfraujoch.

480 4.1 Influence of the Temporal Resolution of the Trajectory Data

The ice water content and ice crystal number density simulated by ZOMM are shown in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7 for different trajectory data-sets: The upper row shows simulations results using directly the



Figure 5. Normalized distribution $(df/dlnw^2)$ of hourly mean values of w^2 . Blue and red: aircraft measurements during SUCCESS and MACPEX, respectively. Solid green line: daily mean w^2 in the COSMO-2 analysis for the Alpine region for the time period from 2010 to 2014. Dashed green line: distribution of vertical winds for 71 balloon-soundings from Zurich and Payerne (Switzerland). Orange bar: mean vertical wind along the online trajectories on 2011-11-22. Yellow bar: mean w^2 along offline trajectories on an active day analyzed in Appendix A.

online trajectory data (right panel) and offline trajectories at a temporal resolution of 1 min (middle panel) and 5 min (left panel). Both offline trajectory data sets have been computed with wind field
data at a temporal resolution of 5 min. The different lines in each panel display the vertical position of each trajectories in the 10 hours prior to their arrival at the Jungfraujoch (at the right edge of each panel), while the color coding shows the modeled ice water content (Fig. 6) or the ice crystal number density (Fig. 7). Both figures show results from simulations with homogeneous nucleation only and a slightly reduced initial moisture content compared to the COSMO-2 data (95 %), as no cirrus cloud
occurs above Jungfraujoch in simulations using the unmodified initial moisture content. The lower

490 occurs above Jungfraujoch in simulations using the unmodified initial moisture content. The lower rows in both figures show simulations with superimposed small-scale temperature fluctuations and will be discussed in the next section.

All simulations display a first nucleation event about 7-8 hours before the arrival at Jungfraujoch,
but all ice particles formed in this event sediment out before the air parcel reaches Jungfraujoch (Fig. 6). In the model runs using trajectories with a temporal resolution of 1 min and 20 s (left and middle panel) a second nucleation occurs about 2 h before the arrival at Jungfraujoch. The ice



Figure 6. Vertical position of the trajectories displayed as function of the time prior to their arrival at Jungfraujoch at 09 UTC (right edge of each panel). Color coding: ZOMM-simulations of the ice water content q_i in ppmv. First column: Offline trajectories with a temporal resolution of 5 min. Second column: Offline trajectories with a temporal resolution of 1 min. Third colump Online trajectories (20 s temporal resolution). Upper row: Simulations along trajectories based directly on COSMO-2 output. Lower row: Simulations with smallscale temperature fluctuations superimposed on the original temperature time series. All simulations: assuming nucleation to be only homogeneous, and with initial humidity reduced by 5 % with respect to the COSMO-2



Figure 7. Same as Fig. 6 but for the ice crystal number density n_{ice} in cm⁻³ (color coding).

crystals nucleated in this event reach the Jungfraujoch at altitudes between 10.5 km and 11.5 km, which corresponds to the observed cloud height in the Lidar measurements (Fig. 1), as also shown

500 by the rightmost panel in Figs. 6 and 7.. The ice water content and the ice crystal number density is slightly larger in simulations based on online trajectories (rightmost panels in Figs. 6 and 7). A closer examination of this nucleation event shows that the nucleation occurs slightly earlier in the online trajectories data-set. Accordingly the cooling rates are slightly higher and the nucleation events lasts longer due to a longer time period in the updraft.

505

The reason for these differences are likely small differences in the removal of water vapor after the first nucleation event and slight temporal shifts in the ascent of the parcel related to the different temporal resolution of the wind fields. The important second nucleation event does not occur in simulations using trajectories at a temporal resolution of 5 min.

510

SAL metric. The extinction profiles from the three simulations are shown by the blue lines in Fig. 9. The model extinction profiles compare well with the extinction profile retrieved from the Lidar measurement (black lines) in terms of the amplitude as well as in the vertical positioning of the cloud for trajectory data at a temporal resolution of 20 s and 1 min (Fig. 9 (b) and (c)). Accordingly the location, amplitude and structure error in the SAL metric are small for all simulations (see or-

515 the location, amplitude and structure error in the SAL metric are small for all simulations (see orange upward-pointing triangles in Fig. 10 below). In contrast, no cloud forms above Jungfraujoch in simulations with trajectories with 5 min temporal resolution (Fig. 9 (a)).

4.2 Influence of Small Scale Temperature Fluctuations

- Small-scale temperature fluctuations, which are not resolved in the NWP model, can modify the cooling rate at the time of nucleation and therefore alter the number of nucleated ice crystals in case of a homogeneous nucleation event. To assess the impact of these temperature fluctuations we superimposed additional temperature fluctuations, which are derived from measurements during the SUCCESS- and MACPEX-campaigns on the original trajectory temperature series (secs. 3.2 and 3.3). The influence of this modification of the temperature series on the microphysical evolution can
- 525 be seen by comparing the upper and lower rows of Figs. 6 and 7. The influence on the corresponding extinction profile is shown by the grey lines in Figs. 8 and9 (compare to green line). From these figures it is obvious that the added temperature fluctuation have a significant impact on the modeled extinction profiles if trajectories are used at minute scale temporal resolution. They can modify the amplitude of the extinction signal as well as the vertical position of the cloud. For online trajectories
- 530 the superimposed temperature fluctuations have, however, no significant influence on the modeled extinction profile (Fig. 9a). The physical reason for the strongly different impact of superimposed temperature fluctuations for online trajectories and 1 min trajectories is not evident from our analysis as the temperature PSDs and the initial conditions for both trajectory sets are almost identical. This

issue needs to be addressed in a future study.

535

SAL metric. In terms of the SAL metric, the influence of the additionally superimposed smallscale temperature fluctuations influences particularly the amplitude of the modelled extinction profile (open and filled symbols in Fig. 10 below). In general, the location and shape of the cloud (L-component) is not positively affected by adding small-scale temperature fluctuations. Consistent with the previous discussion the impact is largest for simulations with a small temporal resolution of

540

the trajectory data.



Figure 8. Extinction profiles above Jungfraujoch at 09 UTC 22 November 2011 calculated by ZOMM along (a) offline trajectories with a temproal resolution of 5 min, (b) 1 min, and (c) online trajectories. All simulations assume homogeneous nucleation only. Thick black line: measured Lidar profile. Thin black lines: measurement uncertainty, i.e., uncertainties in the Lidar signal, the assumption of Lidar ratio and the molecular properties retrieved from COSMO-2 analysis data. Different colors: calculated profiles for simulations with modified initial specific humidities $q_v(t=0)$ of the trajectories. Grey lines: simulations of the reference run (100 % $q_v(t=0)$) with 20 different sets of superimposed small-scale temperature fluctuations.



Figure 9. Extinction profiles as in Fig. 8, but assuming different ice nuclei number densities and an initial humidity $q_v(t=0)$ of 5 % lower than the value derived from the COSMO-2 model. Reference run (without heterogeneous ice nucleation): identical to the simulation shown by the cyan line in Fig. 8. Grey curves: simulations of homogeneous run with superimposed small-scale temperature fluctuations.

4.3 Influence of Variations in the Initial Moisture Content

As it is known that the moisture content in weather prediction models are very uncertain in the upper troposphere (Kunz et al., 2014), simulations with different specific humidity at the trajectory starting points were performed. We used initial humidities between 90 % and 110 % of the values calculated by the COSMO-2 model. The extinctions resulting from these sensitivity runs are shown in Fig. 8 (assuming homogeneous nucleation only). Offline trajectories with a temporal resolution of 1 and 5 minutes are displayed in panels (a) and (b). Simulations using online trajectories are displayed in panel (c). For the simulations using online trajectories, all runs except the 100 % and 105 % cases

550 display a cloud at the right altitude with very good agreement with the measured extinction profiles. For the 1-min offline trajectories, enhanced humidity produces clouds at a too low altitudes because of a too early nucleation and subsequent sedimentation of the formed ice crystals. For the offline trajectories with a temporal resolution of 5 min, the variation of the initial humid-

ity leads in almost all cases to a disappearance of the cloud (Fig. 8a). Using offline trajectories with

a temporal resolution of 1 min (Fig. 8b) results in profiles similar to the ones using online trajectories.

SAL metric. The conclusion that increasing temporal resolution of the trajectory data leads to better matches between the observed and modeled extinction profiles and to decreasing importance of the unresolved small-scale temperature fluctuations hold for any initial humidity modification investi-

560

gated. However, the differences between simulations with different initial humidities are very large. While all terms in the SAL metric are influenced by changes in the initial humidity, the impact on the cloud location is particularly large (Fig. 8 and 10).



Figure 10. SAL for ZOMM simulations with modified initial moisture content (colors), different nucleation modes (filled symbols) and with additional superimposed small scale temperature fluctuations (colored lines and open symbols). Open symbols: best and worst prediction in terms of the SAL metric from the 20 runs with different superimposed small scale temperature fluctuations. Left column: SAL for offline trajectories with a temporal resolution of 5 min. Middle column: SAL for offline trajectories with a temporal resolution of 1 min. Right column: SAL for online trajectories.

4.4 Influence of the Ice Nuclei Number Density

565

An additional uncertainty in modeling the microphysical evolution of cirrus clouds is the potential presence of ice nuclei (IN). These can affect the microphysical evolution as they influence the supersaturation and temperature required for nucleation. Further, IN can lead to a reduction of the nucleated ice crystal number density, which may affect the sedimentation velocity of the ice crystals and hence the total water content of the respective air parcel.

- We performed simulations including heterogeneous nucleation on different IN concentrations. Sig-570 nificant differences can be observed between the results from these simulations even for a single trajectory data set (Fig. 9). The general finding is that simulations with 0, 10 or 20 IN L^{-1} show good agreement with observations, with differences amongst each other smaller than uncertainties due to unresolved small-scale temperature fluctuations and smaller than uncertainties in the obser-
- vations. Conversely, simulations with more than 20 IN L^{-1} do not provide good agreement with 575 observations.

In the case of online trajectories (20 s) the almost complete loss of extinction for IN concentration of $100 L^{-1}$ is due to a fast evaporation of ice crystals, once they enter the subsaturated region be-

- low about 10 km a.s.l. The evaporation timescale strongly depends on the relative humidity, which 580 is likely not very robust in our simulations due to the affect of previous nucleation events on the moisture content on lower level parcels. As discussed in section 3.1 these modifications of the moisture content depend on the treatment of sedimentation and assumptions on temporal and horizontal alignment of the parcels. These assumptions may not hold for the present case due to the comparable
- large horizontal spread of trajectories. For the 1-min and 5-min offline trajectories the evaporation is 585 much slower leading to larger extinction values between 9.5 and 10 km altitude (red lines in Fig. 9a, b). Slight differences in the vertical paths between the trajectory data sets contribute additionally to the variation of the evaporation timescale, as these also impact the relative humidity.
- 590 The similarity of the extinction profiles for the simulations with only homogeneous nucleation and those with low IN concentrations is linked to the very fast sedimentation of the ice crystals forming in the early phase of the simulated 10-h time period. The very fast sedimentation of the ice crystals allows for multiple nucleation events along the trajectory and these gradually remove all IN from the air parcel. Hence the last nucleation leading to the cloud present at arrival above Jungfraujoch is 595
- formed exclusively by homogeneous nucleation.

The simulations using offline trajectories at 5 min resolution (Fig. 9a) show a very different behavior for the simulation with $10 L^{-1}$: the formed cloud sediments out before reaching Jungfraujoch and no second nucleation event occurs. Using the offline trajectories without superimposing temper-

ature fluctuations, the model produces a cloud only when assuming an IN concentration of $20 L^{-1}$. 600 For simulations using IN-concentrations larger than 50 L^{-1} , clouds only exist at lower levels. However, if we use offline trajectories with a temporal resolution of 1 minute, the model results resemble again those using online trajectories (Fig. 9b).

- 605 **SAL metric.** While there is clearly a strong impact of the assumed nucleation mode and the IN number density on the microphysical evolution, its influence may vary in a non-linear fashion with other uncertainties, such as variations in the initial humidity. This becomes also clear from the SAL-analysis shown in Fig. 10: The comparison of different symbols with the same color indicates no consistent improvement for a single nucleation mode in any of the three error components.
- 610 Similarly to the experiments with modified initial moisture content, the assumed IN number density does not affect the conclusions on the importance of small-scale temperature fluctuations and increasing temporal resolution of the trajectory data. Adding small IN number densities (≤ 20 L⁻¹) has little effect on the simulated extinction profiles for trajectories with a high temporal resolution, while adding 50 L⁻¹ or more significantly deteriorates the position, amplitude and structure of the cloud (Fig. 9 and 10).
 - 5 Conclusions

620

An analysis of the uncertainties involved in Lagrangian cirrus modeling has been presented. The investigated sensitivities include the effects of (i) the temporal resolution of the trajectory data and of the underlying wind fields (20 s to 1 h), (ii) the superposition of unresolved small-scale temperature fluctuations, (iii) small perturbations to the specific humidity at the trajectory starting points (± 10 %), and (iv) different ice nuclei concentrations.

The temporal resolution of the wind field data has a pronounced impact on vertical velocities and therefore the temperature variability captured in the trajectory data. To capture most of the variability that is represented in NWP models with a horizontal grid spacing of 2.2 km, trajectory data should

- be used at least at a 5 min temporal resolution. For the cirrus cloud investigated in this study, the modeled extinction profile matches very well with the observations if trajectory data is used at a temporal resolution of 1 min or higher and using wind field data at a resolution of 5 min or higher.
- 630 Vertical velocity fluctuations occurring at highest frequencies are not resolved in state-of-the-art numerical weather prediction model due to the finite grid resolution. Yet, tese high frequency fluctuations may alter the cooling rates locally and thus influence ice nucleation events. To investigate the impact of the unresolved fluctuations we superimposed onto the original temperature series the missing frequencies of the temperature fluctuations, which are derived from observed power spectral
- 635 densities of temperature fluctuations from the SUCCESS and MACPEX campaigns. (The observational PSD are scaled to the model PSD at the cut-off frequency to obtain a continuous PSD.) The influence of these superimposed temperature fluctuations is significant for trajectories with a temporal resolution of 5 min and successively decreases for trajectories with a temporal resolution of 1 min

and 20 s, respectively. While the modelled extinction profile for trajectory data at 20 s temporal

- resolution matches the observations very well even without superimposed small-scale temperature fluctuations, the superposition is essential for modeling of the cirrus cloud along trajectory data with at temporal resolution of 1 min or 5 min. In the Appendix we show that the imposed small scale temperature fluctuations have significant impact on the cirrus clouds both for the quiet and active periods i.e., with strongly different w^2 , using 1 h wind data and 1 min trajectory temporal resolution
- 645 (Fig. 14). Even for a regional model with 2.2 km resolution, the superposition of small-scale temperature fluctuation should be considered in cirrus simulations.

In order to obtain physically meaningful small-scale temperature fluctuations some assumption about the shape and amplitude of the power spectral density of the vertical velocity and temperature are re-

- 650 quired. A comparison of the PSD and amplitudes of the vertical velocity predicted by the COSMO-2 model for the present case study shows significant differences to observational data from the SUC-CESS and MACPEX campaigns, which have been used previously to superimpose small-scale temperature fluctuations. Significant differences in the wave energy occur even for low-frequency waves with wavelength on the order of 100 km, which should not be affected by the grid resolution. How-
- ever, the modeled PSD agrees well with those observed during quiet days in the ALPEX-campaign. Further indication of a large day-to-day variability of w^2 is provided by the analysis of balloon sounding data from the Alpine region. A climatological analysis of w^2 in the COSMO-2 analysis suggests that the model can capture the entire range of observed w^2 . However, future studies should perform an in-depth evaluation of the model capability to predict the vertical velocity PSD for dif-
- 660 ferent regions and large-scale meteorological conditions.

665

perature fluctuations.

The specific moisture content at the starting point of each trajectory determines the absolute values of saturation with respect to ice. We observe significant changes in the modeled cirrus cloud properties and microphysical evolution if the initial specific humidity is varied by ± 10 % of the model value. For high-resolution trajectory data (5 min or better), the sensitivity of the modeled cirrus cloud to perturbations in the parcel's humidity are generally larger than the sensitivity to small-scale tem-

Finally, for the cirrus cloud investigated in this study only a weak sensitivity with respect to ice nuclei number density is found, i.e., very small changes of the modeled extinction profile occur if the ice nuclei number density is raised from $0 L^{-1}$ to $20 L^{-1}$. This insensitivity is related to the occurrence of two nucleation events along the trajectories, which leads to the sedimentational removal of ice nuclei by the first cloud. Conversely, if the ice nuclei number density is increased further to 50 L^{-1} or $100 L^{-1}$, the modeled extinction profile cannot reproduce the observation. The cirrus clouds

675 either disappear completely or are located at much lower altitude than in the homogeneous case, as

the fewer but larger ice particles sediment more quickly (see Figure 9). Also in a case with dynamically active conditions as treated in the Appendix, the calculated extinction coefficients using an IN concentrations of 50 or 100 L^{-1} are too small compared to measurements (Fig. 14), even when small-scale temperature fluctuations are superimposed. Thus, heterogeneous ice number densities of

680 50 L^{-1} or more appear to be very unlikely. The major fraction of the ice particles originate from homogeneous nucleation.

Lagrangian cirrus cloud modeling shows large sensitivities to all investigated factors. However, the order of their importance can vary from case to case. The presented case study of an isolated temporally persistent cirrus cloud with rather small horizontal extent may only be representative for cirrus

- 685 cloud formation under some specific conditions. In addition, the wave activity on the investigated day is clearly below the climatological average. Therefore we present a case with dynamically more active conditions in the appendix. For the active day, the modelled cirrus clouds shows different responses to modifications of the initial humidity and the assumed ice nuclei number density: variations of both parameters lead in general to a variation of the optical thickness of the cloud, while
- 690 they affected also the vertical location of the cloud on the quiet day. Similar to the quiet day, simulations with ice nuclei number density in excess of $20 L^{-1}$ are found to be inconsistent with the observations. The best match with the observed extinction profile is obtained for an increased initial moisture content. A high sensitivity of Lagrangian cirrus cloud modeling to initial humidity was also found by Dinh et al. (2015) in simulations for cirrus clouds in the tropical tropopause layer.

695

While the use of high resolution trajectory data is shown to be mandatory for cirrus cloud modeling, there are significant uncertainties tied to the specific humidity and ice nuclei number density, turning cirrus cloud modeling into a challenging task with many non-linearly linked uncertainties. The representation of small-scale temperature fluctuations remains an issue for cirrus cloud model-

700 ing, particularly due to the large day-to-day variations in the wave activity, but the results presented here indicate that high-resolution numerical weather prediction models are capable to capture these variations. A careful consideration of these uncertainties is necessary before any conclusion about the formation of a cirrus cloud can be drawn.

Appendix A: An active day

- A comparison of the vertical velocity distribution, which is predicted by the COSMO model for the case study presented in this paper, shows significantly lower amplitudes than measurement data from either the SUCCESS or the MACPEX campaign (Figs. 4 and 5). As discussed in sec. 3.3 this is likely related to the synoptic-scale situation over central Europe on this particular day. A statistical analysis of w^2 from 71 balloon soundings and the COSMO-2 analysis suggests a reasonable performance of
- 710 COSMO-2 in a climatological sense (Fig. 5), but it remains unclear how the NWP models perform

on a day with w^2 in the upper range indicated in Fig. 5. To analyze this further, we investigate 12 March 2012, which is an "active day" featuring a cirrus cloud above Jungfraujoch, and compare the wind fields from the COSMO-2 analysis at hourly resolution. Based on these wind fields backward trajectories from the Jungfraujoch were calculated with LAGRANTO, using the LAGRANTO output with an interpolated 1-min temporal resolution.

715

During this active day strong northeasterly flow against the Alps occurred (Fig. 11), which resulted in a high gravity wave activity. The trajectories pass through a strong updraft zone at approximately 22 UTC (Fig. 12), which has a significant impact on the ice supersaturation and accordingly on the

- 720 location of first ice nucleation. The PSD of temperature shows significantly larger values also at small frequencies than the quiet day analysed in the main part of the paper (Fig. 13). The power spectral density for this case agrees very well with the measurements from SUCCESS and MACPEX. This corroborates that the amplitude of the temperature fluctuations at smaller frequencies is dependent on the wave activity (and the presence of convection), which varies with the synoptical scale situation. The variation seems to be reasonably captured by high-resolution numerical models.
 - On the chosen active day a cirrus cloud was observed by the Lidar on Jungfraujoch. The for

On the chosen active day a cirrus cloud was observed by the Lidar on Jungfraujoch. The formation of this cirrus cloud was modelled with the ZOMM model based on the described trajectory data set. In these simulations the ice nucleation occurs always towards the end of the rapid ascent of the

- 730 trajectories around 23 UTC (Fig. 12). About one hour before reaching Jungfraujoch, the air parcels start to descend, which causes some of the nucleated ice particles to evaporate, particularly close to the cloud top. A maximum ice water content between 40 and 60 ppmv is simulated for this case. As comparison, maximum values of around 20 ppmv were obtained for the quiet case. A comparison of the modelled and observed extinction profiles above the Jungfraujoch is shown in Fig. 14:
- 735 From this comparison it becomes evident that the upper cloud edge is not represented well in any simulation. While the superposition of small-scale temperature fluctuations, variation of the initial moisture content and the ice nuclei number density significantly alters the absolute extinction value, they have little impact on the position of the modelled cirrus cloud. As the cirrus cloud formation in this case is largely determined by the strong ascent several hours before the arrival at Jungfraujoch,
- 740 the small-scale temperature fluctuations have less impact on the extinction profile than on the quiet day. One effect that might explain the underestimated extinction in this case are the radiative dynamical effects, that were not considered in this study. Radiatively induced updrafts and water vapor flux convergence could help to maintain the cirrus cloud and produce an optically thicker cloud as well as a higher cloud top (Dinh et al. (2010)). It would be interesting to investigate, whether the position
- 745 error reduces if trajectories are calculated at a higher temporal resolution. The trajectory calculation should be particularly sensitive to the temporal resolution of the wind field data, if large spatial and temporal gradients of the vertical velocity are present.



Figure 11. Path of the trajectories arriving at Jungfraujoch on 12 March 2012 3 UTC.

Acknowledgements. We thank Daniel Leuenberger and André Walser from MeteoSwiss for their assistance in performing nudged COSMO model runs. In addition we thank the soundingteam of MeteoSwiss in Payerne, in particular Gonzague Romanens, for conducting the COBALD soundings and providing operational sonde data. Thanks to Andrew Huisman and Laura Revell for proofreading and providing valuable input. This work has been funded by GAW-CH as well as ETH research grant ETH-38 11-1.

750



Figure 12. Ice water content from the ZOMM simulation along the trajectories.

References

Ansmann, A., Wandinger, U., Riebesell, M., Weitkamp, C., and Michaelis, W.: Independent measurement of

- 755 extinction and backscatter profiles in cirrus clouds by using a combined Raman elastic-backscatter Lidar. Appl. Opt., 31, 7113–7131, 1992.
 - Baldauf, M., Seifert, A., Förstner, J., Majewski, D., and Raschendorfer, M.: Operational convective-scale numerical weather prediction with the COSMO model: Description and Sensitivities. Mon. Wea. Rev., 139, 3887–3905, 2011.
- 760 Bierdel, L., Friedrichs, P., and Bentzien, S.: Spatial kinetic energy spectra in the convection permitting limitedarea NWP model COSMO-DE. Meteorol. Z., 21, 245-258, 2012.
 - Brabec, M., Wienhold, F. G., Luo, B. P., Vömel, H., Immler, F., Steiner, P., Hausammann, E., Weers, U., and Peter, T.: Particle backscatter and relative humidity measured across cirrus clouds and comparison with microphysical cirrus modelling. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 9135–9148, doi:10.5194/acp-12-9135-2012, 2012.
- 765 Bunz, H., Benz, S., Gensch, I., and Krämer, M.: MAID: A model to simulate UT/LS aerosols and ice clouds. Environ. Res. Lett., 3, 035001, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/3/3/035001, 2008.
 - Chen, T., Rossow, W.B. and Zhang, Y.: Radiative effects of cloud-type variations. J. Climate, 13, 264–286, 2000.



Figure 13. Power spectral density of the temperature calculated for trajectories arriving above Jungfraujoch as compared to the SUCCESS and MACPEX campaign. Green line: PSD for quiet case on 22 November 2011 (identical to Fig. 3). Purple line: shows active case on 12 March 2012 discussed in the Appendix.

Cirisan, A., Luo, B. P., Engel, I., Wienhold, F. G., Krieger, U. K., Weers, U., Romanens, G., Levrat, G., Jeannet,
 P., Ruffieux, D., Philipona, R., Calpini, B, Spichtinger, P., and Peter, T.: Balloon-borne match measurements

- of mid-latitude cirrus clouds. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 7341–7365, doi:10.5194/acp-14-7341-2014, 2014.
 Comstock, J. M., Lin, R.-F., Starr, D. OC. and Yang, P.: Understanding ice supersaturation, particle growth, and number concentration in cirrus clouds, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D23211, doi:10.1029/2008JD010332, 2008.
 Corti, T. and Peter, T.: A simple model for cloud radiative forcing, 9, 5751-5857, 2009.
- 775 COSMO: Consortium for Small-scale Modeling. http://www.cosmo-model.org/ as per 07.08.2014. Cziczo, D. J., Froyd, K. D., Hoose, C., Jensen, E. J., Diao, M., Zondlo, M. A., Smith, J. B., Twohy, C. H., and Murphy, D. M.: Clarifying the dominant sources and mechanisms of cirrus cloud formation. Science, 340, 1320–1324, doi:10.1126/science.1234145, 2013.

Cziczo, D., and Froyd, K. D.: Sampling the composition of cirrus ice residuals. Atmos. Res., 142, 15-31, 2014.

- 780 DeMott, P. J., Prenni, A. J., Liu, X., Kreidenweis, S. M., Petters, M. D., Twohy, C. H., Richardson, M. S., Eidhammer, T. and Rogers, D. C.:Predicting global atmospheric ice nuclei distributions and their impacts on climate, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 107, 25, 11217-11222, doi:10.1073/pnas.0910818107,2010.
- Dessler, A. E., and Yang, P.: The distribution of tropical thin cirrus clouds inferred from Terra MODIS data. J.
 Clim., 16, 1241–1247, doi:10.1175/1520-0442, 2003.
- Dinh, T. P. and Durran, D. R. and Ackerman, T. P.: Maintenance of tropical tropopause layer cirrus, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D2, 2156-2202, doi:10.1029/2009JD012735, 2010.



Figure 14. Extinction profiles above Jungfraujoch at 09 UTC 22 November 2011 (quiet day) as well as 03 UTC 12 March 2012 (active day) calculated from ZOMM-simulations along trajectories with a temporal resolution of 1 min. (a) and (b): Sensitivity to the initial humidity. (c) and (d): Sensitivity to the nucleation mode assuming 95 % (quiet day) and 105 % (active) of the initial humidity derived from COSMO, respectively. Grey curves: simulations with superimposed small-scale temperature fluctuations.

- Dinh, T. and Podglajen, A. and Hertzog, A. and Legras, B. and Plougonven, R.: Effect of gravity wave temperature fluctuations on homogeneous ice nucleation in the tropical tropopause layer, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Disc.,
- 790 15, 6, 8771–8799, doi: 10.5194/acpd-15-8771-2015, 2015.

795

800

Ecklund, W. L., Balsley, B. B., Carter, D. A., Riddle, A. C., Crochet, M., and Garello, R.: Observations of vertical motions in the troposphere and lower stratosphere using three closely spaced ST radars. Radio Science, 20, 6, 1196–1206, 1985.

Ecklund, W. L., Gage, K. S., Nastrom, G. D., and Balsley, B. B.: A preliminary climatology of the spectrum of vertical velocity observed by clear-air Doppler Radar. J. Climate Appl. Meteor., 25, 885–892, 1986.

- Ebert, E., and Curry, J.: A parameterization of ice cloud optical properties for climate models. J. Geophys. Res., 97, 3831–3836, 1992.
- Engel, I., Luo, B.P., Pitts, M. C., Poole, L. R., Hoyle, C. R., Grooß, J.-U., Dörnbrack, A., and Peter, T.: Heterogeneous formation of polar stratospheric clouds. Part 2: Nucleation of ice on synoptic scales, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 10769–10785, doi:10.5194/acpd-13-8831-2013, 2013.
- Fritts, D. C., and Nastrom, G. D.: Sources of mesoscale variability of gravity waves. Part II: Frontal, convective, and jet stream excitation. J. Atmos. Sci., 49, 111–127, 1992.

Fusina, F., Spichtinger, P., and Lohmann, U.: Impact of ice supersaturated regions and thin cirrus on radiation in the midlatitudes. J. Geophys. Res., 112, D24S14, doi:10.1029/2007JD008449, 2007.

- 805 Fusina, F., Spichtinger, P., and Lohmann, U.: Impact of ice supersaturated regions and thin cirrus on radiation in the midlatitudes. J. Geophys. Res., 112, D24S14, doi:10.1029/2007JD008449, 2007.
 - Gage, K. S., Balsley, B. B., and Garello, R.: Comparisons of horizontal and vertical velocity spectra in the mesosphere, stratosphere and troposphere: observations and theory. Geophys. Res. Lett. 14: 1125–1128, 1986.
- 810 Gallice, A., Wienhold, F. G., Hoyle, C. R., Immler, F., and Peter, T.: Modeling the ascent of sounding balloons: derivation of the vertical air motion. Atmos. Meas. Tech. 4: 2235–2253, 2011.
 - Grabowski, W. W., and Smolarkiewicz, P. K.: A multiscale anelastic model for meteorological research. Mon. Weather Rev. 130: 939–956, 2002.
- Haag, W., and Kärcher, B: The impact of aerosols and gravity waves on cirrus clouds at midlatitudes. J. Geophys. Res., 109, D12202, 2004.
 - Heymsfield, A. J., and Sabin, R. M. 1989: Cirrus crystal nucleation by homogeneous freezing of solution droplets. J. Atmos. Sci., 46, 2252–2264, 1989.
 - Hogan, R. J.: Fast Lidar and Radar multiple-scattering models. Part I: Small-angle scattering using the photon variance-covariance method. J. Atmos. Sci., 65, 3621–3635, doi:10.1175/2008JAS2642.1, 2008.
- 820 Hoyle, C. R, Luo, B. P., and Peter, T.: The origin of high ice crystal number densities in cirrus clouds. J. Atmos. Sci., 62, 2568–2579, 2005.
 - Jensen, E.J., Toon, O. B., Westphal, D.L., Kinne, S., Heymsfield, A.J.: Microphysical modeling of cirrus 1. Comparison with 1986 FIRE IFO measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 99 (D5), 10421–10442, 1994.
 - Jensen, E.J., Toon, O. B., Westphal, D.L., Kinne, S., Heymsfield, A.J.: Microphysical modeling of cirrus 2.
- Sensitivity studies, J. Geophys. Res., 99 (D5), 1994.
 - Jensen, E. J., Lawson, R. P., Bergman, J.W., Pfister, L., Bui, T. P. and Schmitt, C. G.: Physical processes controlling ice concentrations in synoptically forced, midlatitude cirrus, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50421, 2013.
 - Kärcher, B.: Simulating gas-aerosol-cirrus interactions: Process-oriented microphysical model and applications.
- Atmos. Chem. Phys, 3, 1645–1664, 2003.
 Kärcher, B., and Lohmann, U.: A parameterization of cirrus cloud formation: Heterogeneous freezing. J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4402, 2003.
 - Kärcher, B., and Ström, J.: The roles of dynamical variability and aerosols in cirrus cloud formation. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 823–838, 2003.
- Klett, J.: Stable analytical inversion solution for processing Lidar returns. Appl. Opt., 20, 211–220, 1981.
 Kienast-Sjögren, E. and Rolf, C. and Seifert, P. and Krieger, U.K. and Luo, B.P. and Wienhold, F.G. and Krämer, M. and Peter, T: Radiative properties of mid-latitude cirrus clouds derived by automatic evaluation of lidar measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., to be submitted, 2015.
- Koop, T., Luo, B., Tsias, A., and Peter, T.: Water activity as the determinant for homogeneous ice nucleation in
 aqueous solutions. Nature, 406, 611–614, doi:10.1038/35020537, 2000.
 - Koop, T.: Homogeneous ice nucleation in water and aqueous solutions. Z. Phys. Chem., 218 (11), 1231–1258, 2004.

Kovalev, V., and Eichinger, W.: Elastic Lidar. Wiley Online Library, 2004.

Kuettner, J. P., and O'Neill, T. H. R.: ALPEX - The GARP mountain subprogram. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc. 67,

845 793-805, 1981.

- Kunz, A., Spelten, N., Konopka, P., Mülcer, R., Forbes, R. M., and Wernli, H.: Comparison of in-situ FISH measurements of water vapor in the UTLS with ECMWF (re)analysis data. Atmos. Chem. Phys. Diss, 14, 14399–14438, doi:10.5194/acpd-14-14399-2014, 2014.
- Lin, H., Noone, K. J., Ström, J., and Heymsfield, A. J.: Small ice crystals in cirrus clouds: A model study and
 comparison with in situ observations. J. Atmos. Sci., 55, 1928–1939, doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1998)055<1928:SICICC>2.0.CO;2, 1998.
 - Lin, H., Noone, K. J., Ström, J., and Heymsfield, A. J.: Dynamical influences on cirrus cloud formation process. J. Atmos. Sci., 55, 1940–1949, doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1998)055<1940:DIOCCF>2.0.CO;2, 1998.
- 855 Lott, F., and Miller, M. J.: A new subgrid-scale orographic drag parameterization: Its formulation and testing. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 123, 101–127, 1997.
 - Luo, B. P., Peter, T., Fueglistaler, S., Wernli, H., Wirth, M., Kiemle, C., Flentje, H., Yushkov, V. A., Khattatov, V., Rudakov, V., Thomas, A., Borrmann, S., Toci, S. G, Mazzinghi, P., Beuermann, J., Schiller, C., Cairo, F., Di Donfrancesco, G., Adriani, A., Volk, C. M., Strom, J., Noone, K., Mitev, V., MacKezie, R. A., Carslaw,
- 860 K. S., Trautmann, T., Santacesaria, V., and Stefanutti, L.: Dehydration potential of ultrathin clouds at the tropical tropopause. Geophys. Res. Lett., 30, 1557, doi:10.1029/2002GL016737, 2003a.
 - Luo, B. P., Voigt, C., Fueglistaler, S., and Peter, T.: Extreme NAT supersaturations in mountain wave ice PSCs: A clue to NAT formation. J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4441, doi:10.1029/2002JD003104, 2003b.
 - Marcolli, C., Gedamke, S., Peter, T., and Zobrist, B.: Efficiency of immersion mode ice nucleation on surrogates
- of mineral dust. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 5081–5091, 2007.
 - Miltenberger, A. K., Pfahl, S., and Wernli, H.: An online trajectory module (version 1.0) for the nonhydrostatic numerical weather prediction model COSMO. Geosci. Model Dev., 6, 1989–2004, doi:10.5194/gmd-6-1989-2013, 2013.

Mishchenko, M. I., Travis, L. D. and Mackowski, D.W.: T-MATRIX COMPUTATIONS OF LIGHT SCAT-

- TERING BY NONSPHERICAL PARTICLES: A REVIEW (Reprinted from vol 55, pg 535-575, 1996), J.
 Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer, 111,11,1704-1744,doi:10.1016/0022-4073(96)00002-7,2010.
 - Murphy, D. M.: Rare temperature histories and cirrus ice number density in a parcel and one-dimensional model. Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, 10701–10723, doi:10.5194/acpd-14-10701-2014, 2014.
 - Muhlbauer, A., Ackerman, T. P., Comstock, J. M., Diskin, G. S., Evans, S. M., Lawson, R. P., and Marchand,
- R. T.: Impact of large-scale dynamics on the microphysical properties of midlatitude cirrus. J. Geophys. Res.
 Atmos., 119, 3976–1996, doi:10.1002/2013JD020035, 2014.
 - Muhlbauer, A., Berry, E., Comstock, J. M., and Mace, G. G.: Perturbed physics ensemble simulations of cirrus on the cloud system-resolving scale. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 119, 4709–4735, doi:10.1002/2013JD020709, 2014.
- 880 Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Breon, F.-M., Collins, W., Fuglestvedt, J., Huang, J., Koch, D., Lamarque, J.-F., Lee, D., Mendoza, B., Nakajima, T., Robock, A., Stephens, G., Takemura, T., and Zhang, H.: Climate change 2013: The physical science basis. Contribution of working group I to the fifth assessment report of the

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press Cambridge,

- United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2013.
 - Nastrom, G. D., and Fritts, D. C.: Sources of mesoscale variability of gravity waves. Part I: Topographic excitation. J. Atmos. Sci., 49, 101–110, 1992.
 - Platt, C.M.R., and Harshvardhan: Temperature dependence of cirrus extinction: Implications for climate feedback. J. Geophys. Res., 93, D9, 11051–11058, 1988.
- 890 Pruppacher, H. R., and Klett, J. D.: Microphysics of clouds and precipitation. Kluwer Academic Publishers New York, ISBN: 0-7923-4211-9, 1997.
 - Raymond, W. H.: High-order low-pass implicit tangent filters for use in finite area calculations. Mon. Wea. Rev., 116, 2132–2141, 1988.
 - Reinhardt, T., and A. Seifert: A three-category ice scheme for LMK. COSMO Newsletter, 6, 115–120, 2006.
- 895 Rolf, C., Krämer, M., Schiller, C., Hildebrandt, M., and Riese, M.: Lidar observation and model simulation of a volcanic-ash-induced cirrus cloud during the Eyjafjallajökull eruption. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 10281– 10294, doi:10.5194/acp-12-10281-2012, 2012.
 - Rollins, A. W., Thornberry, T. D., Gao, R. S., Smith, J. B., Sayres, D. S., Sargent, M. R., Schiller, C., Krämer, M., Spelten, N., Hurst, D. F., Jordan, A. F., Hall, E. G., Vömel, H., Diskin, G. S., Podolske, J. R., Chris-
- 900 tensen, L. E., Rosenlof, K. H., Jensen, E. J., and Fahey, D. W.: Evaluation of UT/LS hygrometer accuracy by intercomparison during the NASA MACPEX mission. J. Geophys. Res., 119, 1915–1935, doi:10.1002/ 2013JD020817, 2014.
 - Sassen, K., and Comstock, J. M.: A midlatitude cirrus cloud climatology from the facility for atmospheric remote sensing. Part III: Radiative properties. J. Atmos. Sci., 58, 2113–2127, 2001.
- 905 Schmidt, C. T. and Garrett, T. J.: A simple framework for the dynamic response of cirrus clouds to local diabatic radiative heating, J. Atmos. Sci., 70, 1409–1422, doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-12-056.1, 2013.
 - Schotland, R.M., Sassen, K. and Stone, R.:Observations by Lidar of Linear Depolarization Ratios for Hydrometeors, J. Appl. Meteor., 10, 1011–1017, http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1971)010<1011:OBLOLD>2.0.CO;2, 1971.
- 910 Schraff, C. H.: Data assimilation and mesoscale weather prediction: A study with a forecast model in the Alpine Region. PhD dissertation No. 11627, Federal Institute of Technology, Zurich, 1996.
 - Schraff, C. H.: Mesoscale data assimilation and prediction of low stratus in the Alpine region. Meteor. Atmos. Phys., 64, 21–50, 1997.
 - Seifert, P. and Ansmann, A. and Müller, D. and Wandinger, U. and Althausen, D. and Heymsfield, A. J. and
- 915 Massie, S. T. and Schmitt, C.: Cirrus optical properties observed with Lidar, radiosonde, and satellite over the tropical Indian Ocean during the aerosol-polluted northeast and clean maritime southwest monsoon, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 112, D17, 2156-2202, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2006JD008352, doi:10.1029/2006JD008352, 2007.
- Sherwood, S. C. and Bony, S. and Dufresne J.-L.: Spread in model climate sensitivity traced to atmospheric convective mixing, Nature, 505, 37-42, doi:10.1038/nature12829, 2014.
 - Skamarock, W. C.: Evaluating mesoscale NWP models using kinetic energy spectra. Mon. Wea. Rev., 132, 3019-3032, 2004.

Sölch, I., and Kärcher, B.: A large-eddy model for cirrus clouds with explicit aerosol and ice microphysics and Lagrangian ice particle tracking. Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., Volume 136, 2074–2093, 2010.

- 925 Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K.B., Tignor, M., and Miller, H.L. (eds.): IPCC 2007: Climate change 2007: The physical science basis. Contribution of working group I to the fourth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, 2007.
 - Spichtinger, P. and Krämer, M.: Tropical tropopause ice clouds: a dynamic approach to the mystery of low crystal numbers. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13,19,9801-9818, doi:10.5194/acp-13-9801-2013, 2013.
- Stephens, G. L., Tsay, S.-C., Stackhouse, Jr., P. W., and Flatau, P. J. (1990). The relevance of the microphysical and radiative properties of cirrus clouds to climate and climatic feedback. J. Atmos. Sci., 47, 1742-1753.
 Stohl, A.: Computation, accuracy and applications of trajectories a review and bibliography. Atmos. Environ., Volume 32, 947–966, 1998.
 - Stohl, A., Wotawa, G., Seibert, P., and Kromp-Kolb, H.: Interpolation errors in wind fields as a function of
- spatial and temporal resolution and their impact on different types of kinematic trajectories. J. Appl. Meteor.,
 Volume 34, 2149–2165, 1995.
 - Stohl, A., Haimberger, L., Scheele, M. P., and Wernli, H.: An intercomparison of results from three trajectory models. Meteorol. Appl., Volume 8, 127–135, 2001.
 - Stohl, A., Forster, C., Eckhardt, S., Spichtinger, N., Huntrieser, H., Heland, J., Schlager, H., Wilhelm, S., Arnold,
- 940 F., and Cooper, O.: A backward modeling study of intercontinental pollution transport using aircraft measurements. J. Geophys. Res., 108(D12), 4370, doi:10.1029/2002JD002862, 2003.
 - Toon, O. B., and Miake-Lye, R. C.: Subsonic aircraft: Contrail and cloud effects special study (SUCCESS). Geophys. Res. Let., 25, 1109–1112, 1998.

Wandinger, U.: Multiple-scattering influence on extinction- and backscatter-coefficient measurements with Ra man and high-spectral-resolution Lidars. Appl. Opt., 37, 417–427, 1998.

Wernli, H., and Davies, H. C.: A lagrangian-based analysis of extratropical cyclones. I: The method and some applications. Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 123, 467–489, doi:10.1002/qj.49712353811, 1997.
Wernli, H., Paulat, M., Hagen, M., and Frei, C.: SAL - A novel quality measure for the verification of quanti-

tative precipitation forecasts. Mon. Wea. Rev., 136, 4470–4487, 2008.

- 950 Wiacek, A., Peter, T., and Lohmann, U.: The potential influence of Asian and African mineral dust on ice, mixed-phase and liquid water clouds. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 8649–8667,doi:10.5194/acp-10-8649-2010, 2010.
 - Zieger, P., Kienast-Sjögren, E., Starace, M., von Bismarck, J., Bukowiecki, N., Baltensperger, U., Wienhold, F.G., Peter, T., Ruhtz, T., Collaud Coen, M., Vuilleumier, L., Maier, O., Emili, E., Popp, C., and Weingartner,
- E.: Spatial variation of aerosol optical properties around the high-alpine site Jungfraujoch (3580 m a.s.l.).
 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 7231–7249, doi:10.5194/acp-12-7231-2012, 2012.