



Technical Note:
**Optical properties of
desert dust**

P. Koepke et al.

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ACP if available.

Technical Note: Optical properties of desert dust with non-spherical particles: data incorporated to OPAC

P. Koepke¹, J. Gasteiger¹, and M. Hess^{2,3}

¹L-M-University Munich, Meteorological Institute, Theresienstr. 37, 80333 Muenchen, Germany

²DLR – German Aerospace Center, Remote Sensing Technology Institute, Oberpfaffenhofen, 82234 Weßling, Germany

³RASCIN, Thalkirchner Str. 284, 81371 Muenchen, Germany

Received: 4 December 2014 – Accepted: 12 January 2015 – Published: 12 February 2015

Correspondence to: P. Koepke (peter.koepke@lmu.de)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Abstract

Mineral desert dust particles in general are no spheres and assuming spherical particles, instead of more realistic shapes, has significant effects on modeled optical dust properties and so on the belonging remote sensing procedures for desert dust and the derived radiative forcing. Thus in a new version of the data base OPAC (Optical Properties of Aerosols and Clouds; Hess et al., 1998), the optical properties of the mineral particles are modeled describing the particles as spheroids with size dependent aspect ratio distributions, but with the size distributions and the spectral refractive indices not changed against the previous version of OPAC. The spheroid assumption strongly improves the scattering functions, but pays regard to the limited knowledge on particle shapes in an actual case. The relative deviations of the phase functions of non-spherical mineral particles from those of spherical particles are up to +60% at scattering angles of about 130° and up to -60% in the backscatter region, but the deviations are generally small for optical properties that are independent of the scattering angle. The improved version of OPAC (4.0) is freely available under www.rascin.net.

1 Introduction

The optical properties of aerosol particles are the basis for modeling their direct radiative forcing (Lacis and Mishchenko, 1995; Haywood and Boucher, 2000; Yi et al., 2011) and thus for their effect on climate (McCormick and Ludwig, 1967; Myhre et al., 2013). Moreover, the optical properties are necessary for all inversion techniques used for aerosol remote sensing (Koepke and Quenzel, 1979; Kaufmann, 1993; Kalashnikova and Sokolik, 2002; Nousiainen, 2009). Thus, for an easy availability of spectral optical properties of aerosol particles, the software package OPAC, Optical Properties of Aerosols and Clouds, had been created (Hess et al., 1998).

The optical properties of aerosol particles in general are modeled with a scattering theory using the size distribution and the spectral refractive indices of the particles.

ACPD

15, 3995–4023, 2015

Technical Note: Optical properties of desert dust

P. Koepke et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Technical Note:
**Optical properties of
desert dust**

P. Koepke et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

In the past, commonly the assumption has been made that the particles are spheres, using Mie-theory (Mie, 1908). This has three reasons: on the one hand, the assumption of spherical particles is reasonable in many cases, especially for water soluble aerosol types under typical meteorological conditions with relative humidity higher than 50 %.

5 On the other hand, the shape of individual particles is known only for a limited number of examples, because it needs electron microscopy measurements. Thus, for actual conditions, for practical use, the shape of particles, particularly as function of size, is not available. But even if the particle shape would be available, the problem remains that modeling of non-spherical particles is complex and time consuming (Mishchenko and Travis, 1998). Thus the use of Mie-theory often is a good or the only possible
10 assumption and it has also been used in OPAC.

Desert dust, besides sea salt, forms the biggest part of the atmospheric particles (D'Almeida et al., 1991; Kinne et al., 2006). Thus desert dust is very important for the radiation budget and consequently for the climate, especially because it is distributed,
15 often with high optical depth, over large areas. Since its amount shows very strong spatial and temporal variations (Sokolik et al., 2001) it generally is investigated with remote sensing methods, which are always based on the assumed particle characteristics.

Especially for desert dust the optical properties modeled under the assumption of spherical particles are insufficient, since the mineral particles are generated by mechanical processes which give rise to highly irregular particle shapes, as to be seen by
20 electron micrographs (Falkovich et al., 2001; Kandler et al., 2011).

In comparison to spherical particles the phase function of irregular particles generally shows increased sideward, but reduced backward scattering (Zerull et al., 1980; Koepke and Hess, 1988; Nousiainen, 2009; and see Fig. 1). Thus, if measured radiation data are used to derive aerosol properties, the assumption of spheres may lead
25 to wrong results. Errors in particle properties derived from backscatter-lidar measurements (Gobbi et al., 2002; Wiegner et al., 2009; Sakai et al., 2014) may result from the lidar ratio that has to be taken into account, which combines backward scattering with the extinction coefficient. For passive remote sensing from satellite, the retrieval

Technical Note: Optical properties of desert dust

P. Koepke et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



microphysical properties of the components, the size distribution and the spectral refractive indices, have not been changed against the previous OPAC. During the Saharan Mineral Dust Experiment field campaign (SAMUM-1), which was located close to the Sahara and its mineral sources and used a lot of different aerosol measurement systems (Heintzenberg, 2009), desert dust size distributions have been measured both in situ at an air plane (Weinzierl et al., 2009) and inferred by the AERONET network inversion algorithm from ground-based photometer measurements. The results differ considerably (Müller et al., 2010), but the OPAC size distributions are in-between. Moreover, photometer measurements in the solar aureole (where the non-sphericity has no influence) and values modeled with OPAC type “desert” agree very well (Gasteiger, 2011). Also optical properties of Saharan dust measured by aircraft in 1999 compare very favorably with OPAC results (Haywood et al., 2001) for radiative properties that are independent of the scattering angle, like asymmetry parameter, single scattering albedo and specific extinction coefficient, for which the non-sphericity has negligible influence. Thus the OPAC size distributions for mineral desert aerosol are assumed to be adequate for combination with the information on particle shape from SAMUM.

Also not changed against the previous OPAC is the possibility of the flexible mixing of the components and the outcome of OPAC, like optical properties depending on relative humidity and available for a large wavelength range. In the new version of OPAC (4.0), which is freely available for non-commercial use, now the optical properties modeled for non-spherical mineral particles are taken into account, directly for practical use.

2 Methods

2.1 Non-spherical particle scattering

The most suitable method to model the optical properties of mineral aerosol particles on a systematic basis (Wiegner et al., 2009) is the T-matrix method, TMM. It provides a solution of Maxwell’s equations for the interaction of radiation with arbitrarily-shaped

particles (Waterman, 1971) and is most efficient for rotationally symmetric particles. In our model the desert dust particles are given as spheroids, originating from rotation of ellipses about one of their axis. Thus, an additional microphysical parameter that has to be taken into account is the aspect ratio ε , which is the ratio between the rotational axis and the axis perpendicular to it (Dubovik et al., 2006). Moreover, the particles can be prolate (cigar like) and oblate (disk like) spheroids.

For the results in this paper and the new version of OPAC, the state-of-the-art TMM code from Mishchenko and Travis (1998) for randomly oriented particles has been used for the mineral components. The T-matrix calculations are supplemented by geometric optics calculations with the code of Yang et al. (2007) for large particles not covered by the TMM code. Wiegner et al. (2009) show the size coverage of the TMM code, which can model dust spheroids up to size parameters, $x = 2\pi r/\lambda$, around 110–120 for aspect ratio 1.6 and smaller. For aspect ratio 3.0 the maximum size parameter of TMM is around 25. These codes have been used to create a data set of single particle scattering properties of spheroids covering a wide range of particle sizes, aspect ratios, and refractive indices. The grid of particle parameters in this data set is given in Gasteiger et al. (2011). The optical properties of the OPAC mineral components were calculated from this data set according to their microphysical properties described below. For the selection of the adequate aspect ratio distributions depending on particle size, measurements of the Saharan Mineral Dust Experiments (SAMUM I and SAMUM II) have been used (Kandler et al., 2009, 2011).

2.2 Particle properties

This paper presents an improvement of OPAC, by modifying the shape of mineral dust particles. The other microphysical parameters used in OPAC, as the particle size distribution and the spectral refractive indices, have been left unchanged.

In OPAC the aerosol particles are given as components (Shettle and Fenn, 1979; Deepak and Gerber, 1983) resulting from an internal mixture of particles of a certain

Technical Note:
**Optical properties of
desert dust**

P. Koepke et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



origin. The particles of a component i have a log-normal size distribution (Eq. 1).

$$\frac{dN_i(r)}{dr} = \frac{N_i}{r\sqrt{2\pi}\log\sigma_i\ln 10} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\log r - \log r_{\text{mod},i}}{\log\sigma_i}\right)^2\right) \quad (1)$$

N_i is the total number of particles of the component i per cubic centimeter, r the particle radius, $r_{\text{mod},i}$ the mode radius of component i with respect to the particle number, and σ_i measures the width of the distribution. The radius r of each spheroid is assumed to be the radius of a sphere with the orientation-averaged geometric cross section of the spheroid. The relative optical properties do not depend on N , thus they are given always for $N = 1$. For absolute values of optical properties, for actual or individual conditions, N_i must be chosen adequately for each component that will be taken into account.

The mineral dust is described in OPAC with three components as given in Table 1: Mineral Nucleation Mode (MINM), Mineral Accumulation Mode (MIAM), and Mineral Coarse Mode (MICM), with r_{mod} and σ the data of the size distributions, and r_{min} and r_{max} the borders that have been taken into account for modeling the optical properties.

These mineral components can be mixed externally, also together with other components, to form individual aerosol types. In general, both over deserts and for other aerosol conditions with a dominant mass of mineral particles, also water-soluble particles (WASO) are present. These particles can be assumed to be spherical. Their amount usually is small with respect to their mass per volume, but since the particles are small their numbers per volume may be large.

In OPAC the aerosol type “desert” is a mixture of more than $200\ \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ mineral particles and only $4\ \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ water soluble particles (WASO), however, resulting in 2000 particles per cm^3 of WASO, and 300 mineral particles per cm^3 belonging to the three components. A small amount of WASO generally is taken into account in the following results, which show optical properties of mixtures of mineral particles.

The refractive indices of the components are wavelength dependent (d’Almeida et al., 1991; Koepke et al., 1997). The particles of the mineral components all have

Technical Note:
**Optical properties of
desert dust**

P. Koepke et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



the same refractive indices, since they are assumed to result from the same sources at the surface. The refractive index is given with an imaginary part that is responsible for the absorption properties of the particles.

To describe the shape properties of mineral particles of different size, for each of the three mineral components, the data of the “reference” case of SAMUM-1 have been used (Wiegner et al., 2009). The reference case was a situation with a very homogeneous mineral dust layer up to 5 km a.s.l. which was very stable in time. The aspect ratio distribution of the particles was measured using electron microscopy and is given depending on particle size intervals by Kandler et al. (2009). For modeling the optical properties of mineral aerosols these wide aspect ratio distributions are applied, to account for the large variety of the natural dust particle shapes. The belonging modeling results, compared to measured phase functions, are remarkably better than results when using only a single aspect ratio (Mishchenko et al., 1997; Nousiainen and Vermeulen, 2003). Moreover, all mineral particles are assumed to be prolate, because this gives better agreement with measured scattering matrix elements of dust particles than those of using oblate or mixtures of prolate and oblate spheroids (Nousiainen and Vermeulen, 2003).

It is worth mentioning that the aspect ratio distribution of mineral particles did not vary significantly during SAMUM-1 and also not during the SAMUM-2 campaign, which was conducted further away from the dust source Sahara (Kandler et al., 2009, 2011). Thus the selected aspect ratio distribution might be regarded as representative for Saharan dust.

The aspect ratio distributions depend on the size of the particles. For the reference case the relative frequency of particles with a given aspect ratio is available for 6 ranges of particle size (Kandler et al., 2009; Wiegner et al., 2009). Some of them have similar aspect ratio distributions so that only three radius ranges must be differentiated: for particles with $r < 0.25 \mu\text{m}$ the frequency decreases strongly with increasing aspect ratio. For particles with $r > 0.5 \mu\text{m}$ the shape distributions for all analyzed size intervals are similar with a small maximum for the aspect ratio of about 1.5. Between these

Technical Note:
**Optical properties of
desert dust**P. Koepke et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



two regimes the particles between $r = 0.25 \mu\text{m}$ and $r = 0.5 \mu\text{m}$ have an aspect ratio distribution that gives a transition of the other two regimes (see Table 2).

Each OPAC mineral component contains particles in all radius ranges given in Table 2, with proportions that are varying according to the size distribution of the components (Table 1). To check the shape effects, as a first test (Kandler A) each mineral component is divided into the three radius ranges of Table 2 and the belonging aspect ratio distribution of each range is applied. This test is the most exact approach based on the available aspect ratio data. As a second test – with respect of the idea of OPAC to keep things simple – for all particles of each of the three OPAC mineral components a fixed aspect ratio distribution has been used: the distribution of $r < 0.25 \mu\text{m}$ for MINM, of $0.25 \mu\text{m} < r < 0.5 \mu\text{m}$ for MIAM, and of $r > 0.5 \mu\text{m}$ for MICM (Kandler B). This test setup seems appropriate since the mode radii of the three components (Table 1) fall into these three radius intervals used to separate the aspect ratio distributions (Table 2). As a third test (Kandler C), the second test is modified by assuming also for all particles of the accumulation mode (MIAM) the aspect ratio distribution that has been measured for particles with $r > 0.5 \mu\text{m}$. This use of the aspect ratio distribution measured for the larger particles also for MIAM was tested, since the maximum of the surface area distribution of MIAM is close to a radius of $1 \mu\text{m}$. Finally a further association of radius and aspect ratio distribution has been tested: Dubovik et al. (2006) has derived aspect ratio distributions by analyzing measured phase functions, with the assumption that they are independent of the particle size. These are investigated as a fourth test (Dubovik) for the particle shape effects.

As example for the different considerations of the aspect ratio distributions, in Fig. 1 are shown the phase functions under the assumption of spherical particles and for non-spherical particles after the 4 tested radius dependent aspect ratio distributions. The phase functions are given for a wavelength $0.55 \mu\text{m}$ (however the results at other wavelengths are similar, see Fig. 2), and as size distribution the combination of the three mineral components of the aerosol type “desert” after OPAC, including WASO at 0% relative humidity, has been used.

Technical Note: Optical properties of desert dust

P. Koepke et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Technical Note:
Optical properties of
desert dust**

P. Koepke et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

In Fig. 1 the increased sideward and reduced backward scattering clearly is to be seen which holds for all phase functions resulting from particles with non-spherical shape. The phase function after Dubovik is clearly separated against those after Kandler A to C. But this result is not really astonishing, since the direct electron microscopic investigations show that the aspect ratio distributions are size dependent, in contrast to the size-independent assumption by Dubovik. The phase functions after Kandler A (exact approach) and Kandler C are nearly identical, which means that the simpler assumptions in Kandler C give already correct results. Thus for all optical property modeling of non-spherical mineral particles, both for the results shown in the following and for the new OPAC, the size dependent aspect ratio distributions after Kandler C is used.

3 Results

The effects of the particle shape are different for different optical properties, which is shown in this paragraph for a variation of the optical properties available from OPAC. Examples are presented for the deviations between optical properties caused by mineral particles that are assumed as spheres, on the one hand, and with the aspect ratio distributions after Kandler C, on the other hand.

As mentioned above, the phase function is very important for remote sensing of desert dust and for its radiative forcing, and moreover, for this optical quantity the effect due to non-sphericity is especially large.

Thus Fig. 2a shows the phase function for the two particle shape assumptions, for the mixture “desert” (Hess et al., 1998) for different wavelengths. The assumed shape variation (spherical or non-spherical) is modeled only for the mineral particles: MINM 269.5 cm^{-3} ; MIAM 30.5 cm^{-3} , MICM 0.142 cm^{-3} . The 2000 cm^{-3} particles of the WASO component always are assumed as spherical.

The phase functions show the known strong forward peak of aerosol particles, which is not influenced by the particle shape. It is increasing with increasing size parameter,

and thus decreasing with wavelength. The particle shape effect is clearly to be seen in Fig. 2a in the backward scattering region, but more pronounced in Fig. 2b, where the belonging percentage deviations between the phase functions for particles with size dependent aspect ratio distributions and for spherical particles are shown.

5 The effect of the particle shape is up to almost +60% at scattering angles around 130° and -60% around 170°, in the backscatter region. The effect decreases with increasing wavelength, since the shape properties of the particles become less relevant if the wavelength of the radiation becomes larger relative to the particle size. In contrary, the effect of the particle shape is relatively low at 350 nm, but this results from the strong
10 absorption of the mineral particles at this wavelength, which reduces the scattering effects in general and thus overcompensates the shape effect. As to be seen, the effect of the particle shape is strongest in the solar wavelength range, which is generally used for aerosol remote sensing and which is essential for radiative forcing and thus for climate effects. This documents again the need to take the non-spherical particle
15 shape of desert dust into account for remote sensing or climate studies.

As mentioned, the aspect ratio distribution depends on the particle size. Thus size distributions with different amount of small and large particles may result in different variations of the phase function compared to that under the assumption of spheres. Since the life time of big particles in the atmosphere is less than that of smaller particles, in a dust storm not only the total amount of mineral particles in the air is high, but also the relative amount of large particles. During the transport, i.e. with the time after
20 the dust generation, the particle amount will be reduced due to sedimentation, but this effect is stronger for larger particles. Finally, for background conditions, the total amount of mineral particles is low, with strongest reduction for large particles (d'Almeida, 1987; Longtin et al., 1988; Tanré et al., 1988). The relative increasing amount of large particles with increasing turbidity is given in Eqs. (2)–(4) (d'Almeida, 1987; Koepke et al., 1997). Given are correlations between the total number of desert dust particles and the

Technical Note:
**Optical properties of
desert dust**P. Koepke et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

belonging numbers for the three mineral components.

$$\ln N_{\text{MINM}} = 0.104 + 0.963 \ln N_{\text{mineral}} \quad (2)$$

$$\ln N_{\text{MIAM}} = -3.94 + 1.29 \ln N_{\text{mineral}} \quad (3)$$

$$\ln N_{\text{MICM}} = -13.7 + 2.06 \ln N_{\text{mineral}} \quad (4)$$

5 For desert dust with different turbidity, given with different total particle number and belonging different number of particles of the three mineral components, in Fig. 3 the phase functions for non-spherical desert particles are shown. N gives the total number of mineral particles, the value of N_{mineral} in Eqs. (2)–(4). $N = 75$ stands for “background desert” conditions, $N = 300$ for average “desert” and $N = 1200$ for “dust storm”. It can be seen that the general effect of the non-spherical particle shape is always given, but does not differ considerably for the different size distributions, as result of different total particle number. The effect of varying size distribution is more pronounced in the forward peak and the sideward scattering.

15 As mentioned, the WASO particles are spheres, with the consequence that the variation of their amount changes the phase function of the mixture. This is shown in Fig. 4 for “desert” with different amount of WASO, on the one hand, and for average amount of 2000 WASO particles, but in combination with mineral particles for “background” and for “dust storm” conditions, on the other hand.

20 Figure 4 shows that the effects due to the particle shape increase from background over desert to dust storm if the number of WASO is fixed, simply due to the increasing amount of non-spherical mineral particles. In contrary, the effect due to non-spherical shape is reduced, to be seen for the type “desert”, if the amount of spherical WASO particles is increased. But it should be mentioned that the effect due to doubling or omitting WASO for the relative deviations of the phase function is less than the effect due to the variation of the amount of the mineral particles.

25 For the determination of the height dependent aerosol extinction coefficients, often backscatter lidar systems or ceilometers are used, because they are cheaper than higher sophisticated lidar instruments (Mona et al., 2012; Wiegner et al., 2014). How-

Technical Note:
**Optical properties of
desert dust**

P. Koepke et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



ever, for these instruments the measured signal is result of both the scattering coefficient and the phase function at 180° . Thus, to get the interesting height dependent extinction coefficient, it is necessary to use a quantity “lidar ratio”, which depends on the phase function and thus on the particle shape.

Figure 5 shows the lidar ratio for the aerosol type “desert”, both under the assumption of non-spherical and spherical mineral particles. The values are given for a wavelength range up to $40\ \mu\text{m}$, although no lidar instruments are available for wavelength larger $\approx 2\ \mu\text{m}$. Moreover for the large wavelengths, the particles behave more and more like spheres, as already to be seen in Fig. 2b. For the interesting wavelength range around and below $1\ \mu\text{m}$, however, the consideration of non-sphericity is essential. With respect to independently measured lidar ratios, the agreement with modeled values is much better under the assumption of spheroids than of spheres (Gobbi et al., 2002). The lidar ratios to be seen in Fig. 5 are in good agreement with measured values from SAMUM (Groß et al., 2011). This also generally is valid for all lidar-wavelengths that have been used during SAMUM, but here the agreement between measured and modeled lidar ratios was reduced for $355\ \text{nm}$, probably due to wrong assumptions with respect to the refractive index (Wiegner et al., 2009).

Optical quantities that are independent of the scattering angle or given as ratios between wavelengths are expected to be less sensitive with respect to the particle shape. To investigate this aspect, in Fig. 6 relative differences between spherical and non-spherical desert particles are presented for the spectral scattering-, absorption- and extinction-coefficients and for the asymmetry parameter. For all these quantities the deviations are less than 6 % and even less than 4 % in the part of the solar spectrum that is most relevant for climate effects. The same low dependency on the particle shape also holds for the single scattering albedo and the Ångström coefficient, not shown in a figure.

Technical Note:
**Optical properties of
desert dust**P. Koepke et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



4 New version: OPAC (4.0)

The main improvement of the new version of OPAC is the consideration of the non-sphericity of desert dust particles. Additionally new in OPAC (4.0) is the possibility to model PM_{10} , $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_1 for the individual mixtures of components. On the other hand, the component “mineral transported”, MITR, no longer is considered. This component had been used to describe desert aerosol under very remote conditions, as part of aerosol in polar regions. However, the amount of desert dust particles should be reduced continuously depending on their life time, which is possible with the remaining mineral components (e.g. using Eqs. 2–4), instead of switching to MITR. Thus the type “antarctic” has been modified.

As discussed in the paper, the shape of the mineral particles has been improved. To avoid mistakes, the new mineral components are named with an *N* at the end, standing for non-spherical.

The change from spheres to spheroids was made on the basis of cross section equivalence, resulting in a small reduction of the particle volume and thus the particle mass, with the reduction factors shown in Table 3.

All the other microphysical aerosol properties are unchanged against the previous version of OPAC. Also the new version of OPAC gives the possibility to combine different aerosol components, in each case with individually decided particle number density for each component.

Results of OPAC (4.0) are microphysical properties, like particle mass per volume and PM_{10} , and the large number of optical properties (like phase function, scattering-absorption- and extinction-coefficient, asymmetry parameter, single scattering albedo, Ångstrom coefficient, and lidar ratio). All properties can be modeled for different relative humidity and the optical properties are available as spectral values for the wide wavelength range of 0.25 to 40 μm and spectrally weighted for the solar and terrestrial range. For non-commercial use OPAC (4.0) is freely available: www.rascin.net.

Technical Note: Optical properties of desert dust

P. Koepke et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



5 Conclusions

Aerosol particles are one of the main gaps in the present knowledge of radiative forcing (Myhre et al., 2013), and mineral particles are especially essential due to their large amount and temporal and spatial variability. Since mineral particles in general are no spheres, Mie-theory as scattering theory may lead to wrong values of their optical properties, if they are modelled based on size distribution and refractive index, and vice versa, if remote sensing data are used to get aerosol properties. Thus, as a major improvement, the optical properties of mineral particles in the new version of OPAC are derived using the T-Matrix method for spheroids. In this paper the non-sphericity is described by typical size dependent aspect ratio distributions of spheroids which have been derived from measurements at observation campaigns. The predefined components, now also for non-spherical mineral particles, are an advantage of OPAC, because users do not need to decide for individual single particle properties, as available from various studies and data bases (Nousiainen, 2009; Meng et al., 2010).

The differences between spherical and non-spherical particles are shown for a wide range of optical properties of desert dust. They are small, nearly negligible, for angular-independent optical quantities, like extinction-, scattering- and absorption-coefficient, asymmetry factor, single scattering albedo and Ångström coefficient. However the differences between spherical and non-spherical particles are large, up to 60 %, in the sideward and backward scattering regions of the phase functions. As a consequence the deviations also are large in the lidar ratio, a parameter required to get height dependent extinction values from often used backscatter lidar measurements. The effect of the particle shape decreases with wavelength, since for wavelengths that are rather large with respect to the particle size, the irregular particle shape is of less relevance. However, in the solar spectral range the shape effects can be strong. Since this is the wavelength range that is generally used for remote sensing of aerosol particles, on the one hand, and relevant for aerosol radiative forcing, on the other hand, the use of the phase functions of non-spherical mineral particles will be a real improvement. To allow

Technical Note: Optical properties of desert dust

P. Koepke et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



an easy use of the optical properties of desert aerosol with non-spherical particles, the data are made available in OPAC (4.0).

References

- Cheng, R. J.: Physical properties of atmospheric particulates, in: Light Scattering by Irregularly Shaped Particles, edited by: Schuerman, D. W., Plenum Press, New York, USA, 69–78, 1980.
- d'Almeida, G. A.: On the variability of desert aerosol radiative characteristics, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 92, 3017–3026, 1987.
- d'Almeida, G. A., Koepke, P., and Shettle, E. P.: Atmospheric Aerosols, A. Deepak Publ., Hampton, VA, USA, 561 pp., 1991.
- Deepak, A. and Gerber, H. E.: Report of the Experts Meeting on Aerosols and Their Climatic Effects, WCP-55, World Meteorolog. Org., Geneva, Switzerland, 107 pp., 1983.
- Dubovik, O., Sinyuk, A., Lapyonok, T., Holben, B. N., Mishchenko, M. I., Yang, P., Eck, T. F., Volten, H., Munoz, O., Veihermann, B., van der Zande, W. J., Leon, J. F., Sorokin, M., and Slutsker, I.: Application of spheroid models to account for aerosol particle non-sphericity in remote sensing of desert dust, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 111, D11208, 1–34, 2006.
- Falkovich, A. H., Gomez, E., Lewin, Z., Formenti, P., and Rudich, Y.: Analysis of individual dust particles, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 106, 18029–18036, 2001.
- Gasteiger, J. K.: Retrieval of Microphysical Properties of Desert Dust and Volcanic Ash Aeosols from Ground-Based Remote Sensing, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. Muenchen, 124 pp., 2011.
- Gasteiger, J., Groß, S., Freudenthaler, V., and Wiegner, M.: Volcanic ash from Iceland over Munich: mass concentration retrieved from ground-based remote sensing measurements, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 2209–2223, doi:10.5194/acp-11-2209-2011, 2011.
- Gobbi, G. P., Barnaba, F., Blumthaler, M., Labow, G., and Herman, J. R.: Observed effects of particles non-sphericity on the retrieval of marine and desert dust aerosol optical depth by lidar, *Atmos. Res.*, 61, 1–14, 2002.
- Groß, S., Tesche, M., Freudenthaler, V., Toledano, C., Wiegner, M., Ansmann, A., Althausen, D., and Seefeldner, M.: Characterization of Saharan dust, marine aerosols and mixtures of biomass-burning aerosols and dust by means of multi-wavelength depolarization and Raman lidar measurements during SAMUM 2, *Tellus B*, 63, 706–724, 2011.

Technical Note: Optical properties of desert dust

P. Koepke et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Technical Note:
**Optical properties of
desert dust**

P. Koepke et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

- Haywood, J. M. and Boucher, O.: Estimates of direct and indirect radiative forcing due to tropospheric aerosol: a review, *Rev. Geophys.*, 38, 513–543, 2000.
- Haywood, J. M., Francis, P. N., Glew, M. D., and Taylor, J. P.: Optical properties and direct radiative effect of Saharan dust: a case study of two Saharan dust outbreaks using aircraft data, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 106, 18417–18430, 2001.
- Heintzenberg, J.: The SAMUM-1 experiment over Southern Morocco: overview and introduction, *Tellus B*, 61, 2–11, 2009.
- Hess, M., Koepke, P., and Schult, I.: Optical properties of aerosols and clouds: the software package OPAC, *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 79, 5, 831–844, 1998.
- Horvath, H., Kasahara, M., Tohno, S., and Kocifaj, M.: Angular scattering of the Gobi desert aerosol and its influence on radiative forcing, *J. Aerosol Sci.*, 37, 1287–1302, 2006.
- Kalashnikova, O. V. and Sokolik, I. N.: Importance of shapes and compositions of wind-blown dust particles for remote sensing at solar wavelengths, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 29, 38-1–38-4, 2002.
- Kahnert, M., Nousiainen, T., and Veihelmann, B.: Spherical and spheroidal model particles as an error source in aerosol climate forcing and radiance computations: a case study for feldspar aerosols, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 110, D18S13, doi:10.1029/2004JD005558, 2005.
- Kandler, K., Schütz, L., Deutscher, C., Ebert, M., Hofmann, H., Jäckel, S., Jaenicke, R., Knipertz, P., Lieke, K., Massling, A., Petzold, A., Schladitz, A., Weinzierl, B., Wiedensohler, A., Zorn, S., and Weinbruch, S.: Size distributions, mass concentrations, chemical and mineralogical composition, and derived optical parameters of the boundary layer aerosol at Tinfou, Morocco, during SAMUM 2006, *Tellus B*, 61, 32–50, 2009.
- Kandler, K., Lieke, K., Benker, N., Emmel, C., Küpper, M., Müller-Ebert, D., Scheuven, D., Schladitz, A., Schütz, L., and Weinbruch, S.: Electron microscopy of particles collected at Praia, Cap Verde, during the Saharan mineral dust experiment: particle chemistry, shape, mixing state and complex refractive indices, *Tellus B*, 63, 457–496, 2011.
- Kaufmann, Y. J.: Measurements of the optical thickness and the path radiance – implications on aerosol remote sensing and atmospheric corrections, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 98, 2677–2692, 1993.
- Kinne, S., Schulz, M., Textor, C., Guibert, S., Balkanski, Y., Bauer, S. E., Berntsen, T., Berglen, T. F., Boucher, O., Chin, M., Collins, W., Dentener, F., Diehl, T., Easter, R., Fehichter, J., Fillmore, D., Ghan, S., Ginoux, P., Gong, S., Grini, A., Hendricks, J., Herzog, M.,

Technical Note: Optical properties of desert dust

P. Koepke et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Horowitz, L., Isaksen, I., Iversen, T., Kirkevåg, A., Kloster, S., Koch, D., Kristjansson, J. E., Krol, M., Lauer, A., Lamarque, J. F., Lesins, G., Liu, X., Lohmann, U., Montanaro, V., Myhre, G., Penner, J., Pitari, G., Reddy, S., Seland, O., Stier, P., Takemura, T., and Tie, X.: An AeroCom initial assessment – optical properties in aerosol component modules of global models, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 1815–1834, doi:10.5194/acp-6-1815-2006, 2006.

Koepke, P. and Hess, M.: Scattering functions of tropospheric aerosols: the effects of non-spherical particles, *Appl. Optics*, 27, 2422–2430, 1988.

Koepke, P. and Quenzel, H.: Turbidity of the atmosphere determined from satellite, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 84, 7847–7856, 1979.

Koepke, P., Hess, M., Schult, I., and Shettle, E.P.: Global Aerosol Data Set, Report No. 243, MPI Hamburg, Germany, 44 pp., 1997.

Lacis, A. A. and Mishchenko, M. I.: Climate forcing, climate sensitivity, and climate response: a radiative modeling perspective on atmospheric aerosols, in: *Aerosol Forcing of Climate*, edited by: Charlson, R. J. and Heintzenberg, J., John Wiley & Sons, 11–42, 1995.

Longtin, D. R., Shettle, E. P., Hummel, J. R., and Pryce, J. D.: A desert aerosol model for radiative transfer studies, in: *Aerosols and Climate*, edited by: Hobbs, P. V. and McCormick, M. P., A. Deepak Publ., Hampton, VA, USA, 261–269, 1988.

McCormick, R. A. and Ludwig, J. H.: Climate modification by atmospheric aerosols, *Science*, 156, 1358–1359, 1967.

Meng, Z., Yang, P., Kattawar, G. W., Bi, L., Liou, K. N., and Laszlo, I.: Single-scattering properties of tri-axial ellipsoidal mineral dust aerosols: a database for application to radiative transfer calculations, *J. Aerosol Sci.*, 41, 501–512, 2010.

Mie, G.: Beiträge zur Optik trüber Medien, speziell kolloidaler Metallösungen, *Ann. Phys.*, 25, 377–445, 1908.

Mishchenko, M. I. and Travis, L. D.: Capabilities and limitations of a current Fortran implementation of the T-Matrix method for randomly oriented, rotationally symmetric scatterers, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Ra.*, 60, 309–324, 1998.

Mishchenko, M. I., Travis, L. D., Kahn, R. A., and West, R. A.: Modeling phase functions for dust-like tropospheric aerosols using a shape mixture of randomly oriented polydisperse spheroids, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 13543–13553, 1997.

Mona, L., Liu, Z., Müller, D., Omar, A., Papayannis, A., Pappalardo, G., Sugimoto, N., and Vaughan, M.: Lidar measurements for desert dust characterization: an overview, *Adv. Meteorology*, 2012, ID356265, doi:10.1155/2012/356265, 2012.

Technical Note: Optical properties of desert dust

P. Koepke et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Müller, D., Weinzierl, B., Petzold, A., Kandler, K., Ansmann, A., Müller, T., Tesche, M., Freudenthaler, V., Esselborn, M., Heese, B., Althausen, D., Schaditz, A., Otto, S., and Knippertz, P.: Mineral dust observed with AERONET Sun photometer, Raman lidar, and in situ instruments during SAMUM 2006: shape-independent particle properties, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 115, D07202, doi:10.1029/2009JD012520, 2010.

Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Bréon, F.-M., Collins, W., Fuglestedt, J., Huang, J., Koch, D., Lamarque, J.-F., Lee, D., Mendoza, B., Nakajima, T., Robock, A., Stephens, G., Takemura, T., and Zhanh, H.: Anthropogenic and natural radiative forcing, in: *Climate Change 2013*, edited by: Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P. M., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, and New York, USA, 2013.

Nousiainen, T.: Optical modeling of mineral dust particles: a review, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Ra.*, 110, 1261–1279, 2009.

Nousiainen, T. and Vermeulen, K.: Comparison of measured single-scattering matrix of feldspar with T-matrix simulations using spheroids, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Ra.*, 79, 1031–1042, 2003.

Sakai, T., Orikasa, N., Nagai, T., Murakami, M., Tajiri, T., Saito, A., Yamashita, K., and Hashimoto, A.: Balloon-borne and Raman lidar observations of Asian dust and cirrus cloud properties over Tsukuba, Japan, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 119, 3295–3308, 2014.

Shettle, E. P. and Fenn, R. W.: Models for the aerosols of the lower atmosphere and the effects of humidity variations on their optical properties, Report AFGL-TR-79-0214, AFGL, Hanscom AFB, MA, USA, 94 pp., 1979.

Sokolik, I. N., Winker, D. M., Bergametti, G., Gilette, D. A., Carmichel, G., Kaufmann, Y. J., Gomes, L., Schmetz, I., and Penner, J. E.: Introduction to special section: outstanding problems in quantifying the radiative impacts of mineral dust, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 106, 18015–18027, 2001.

Tanré, D., Devaux, C., Herman, M., and Santer, R.: Radiative properties of desert aerosols by optical ground-based measurements at solar wavelengths, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 93, 14223–14231, 1988.

Waterman, P.: Symmetry, unitarity, and geometry in electromagnetic scattering, *Phys. Rev. D*, 4, 825–839, 1971.

Weinzierl, B., Petzold, A., Esselborn, M., Wirth, M., Rasp, K., Kandler, K., Schütz, L., Koepke, P., and Fiebig, M.: Airborne measurements of dust layer properties, particle size distribution and mixing state of Saharan dust during SAMUM 2006, *Tellus B*, 61, 96–117, 2009.

Technical Note: Optical properties of desert dust

P. Koepke et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Wiegner, M., Gasteiger, J., Kandler, K., Weinzierl, B., Rasp, K., Esselborn, M., Freudenthaler, V., Heese, B., Toledano, C., Tesche, M., and Althausen, D.: Numerical simulations of optical properties of Saharan dust aerosols with emphasis on lidar applications, *Tellus B*, 61, 180–194, 2009.
- 5 Wiegner, M., Madonna, F., Binietoglou, I., Forkel, R., Gasteiger, J., Geiß, A., Pappalardo, G., Schäfer, K., and Thomas, W.: What is the benefit of ceilometers for aerosol remote sensing? An answer from EARLINET, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 7, 1979–1997, doi:10.5194/amt-7-1979-2014, 2014.
- 10 Yang, P., Feng, Q., Hong, G., Kattawar, G. W., Wiscombe, W. J., Mishchenko, M. I., Dubovik, O., Laszlo, I., and Sokolik, I. N.: Modeling of the scattering and radiative properties of nonspherical dust-like aerosols, *J. Aerosol Sci.*, 38, 995–1014, 2007.
- Yi, B., Hsu, C. N., Yang, P., and Tsay, S.-C.: Radiative transfer simulation of dust-like aerosols: uncertainties from particle shape and refractive index, *J. Aerosol Sci.*, 42, 631–644, 2011.
- 15 Zerull, R. H., Giese, R. H., Schwill, S., and Weiss, K.: Scattering by particles of nonspherical shape, in: *Light Scattering by Irregularly Shaped Particles*, edited by: Schuerman, D. W., Plenum Press, New York, USA, 273–282, 1980.

Technical Note: Optical properties of desert dust

P. Koepke et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Table 1. Microphysical properties of mineral aerosol components.

Component Mineral . . .		r_{mod} [μm]	σ	r_{min} [μm]	r_{max} [μm]
Nucleation mode	MINM	0.07	1.95	0.005	20
Accumulation mode	MIAM	0.39	2.00	0.005	20
Coarse mode	MICM	1.90	2.15	0.005	60

Technical Note: Optical properties of desert dust

P. Koepke et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Table 2. Aspect ratio distributions as function of particle radius interval discretized from measurement data of Kandler et al. (2009). The first line covers the measurement data from $\varepsilon = 1.0$ to 1.3, the last line covers $\varepsilon > 2.9$ and the other values cover ε -intervals of 0.2.

ε	$r < 0.25 \mu\text{m}$	$0.25 \mu\text{m} < r < 0.5 \mu\text{m}$	$r > 0.5 \mu\text{m}$
1.2	0.535	0.225	0.103
1.4	0.289	0.212	0.234
1.6	0.108	0.156	0.218
1.8	0.040	0.110	0.157
2.0	0.015	0.075	0.101
2.2	0.007	0.054	0.065
2.4	0.003	0.390	0.041
2.6	0.001	0.280	0.027
2.8	0.001	0.022	0.018
3.0	0.001	0.079	0.036

**Technical Note:
Optical properties of
desert dust**

P. Koepke et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Table 3. Reduction factors for particle volume and mass for the non-spherical mineral components, compared to the old components.

MINM \Rightarrow MINN	0.9754
MIAM \Rightarrow MIAN	0.9273
MICM \Rightarrow MICN	0.9273




Figure 1. Phase functions at $0.55\ \mu\text{m}$ for the mixture of the mineral components after the aerosol type “desert”, under the assumption of spherical mineral particles and for mineral particles with various aspect ratio distributions (see text for details).

Technical Note:
**Optical properties of
 desert dust**

P. Koepke et al.

Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
◀	▶
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	



Technical Note:
**Optical properties of
desert dust**

P. Koepke et al.




Figure 2. (a) Phase functions of “desert dust” for different wavelengths, assuming spherical and spheroidal mineral particles with a size dependent aspect ratio distribution after Kandler C. For details see text. The scale of the phase functions for the different wavelengths is shifted by a factor 10 in each case. (b) Relative deviations (%) of phase functions assuming spheroidal mineral particles from phase functions of spherical particles, for “desert dust” and the conditions shown in (a).




Figure 3. Phase functions of non-spherical desert aerosol particles at $0.8\ \mu\text{m}$, with a mixture of the components MINM, MIAM and MICM after the Eqs. (2)–(4), using the total number of mineral particles given in the figure. In each case 2000 WASO particles assuming 0 % RH are included.

Technical Note:
Optical properties of
desert dust

P. Koepke et al.

Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
◀	▶
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	






Figure 4. Relative deviations (%) of phase functions at $0.55 \mu\text{m}$, assuming spheroidal mineral particles, from phase functions of spherical particles, for different combinations of the components WASO, MINM, MIAM and MICM (for details see text).




Figure 5. Modeled values of the lidar ratio for “desert” aerosol under the assumption of spherical and non-spherical particles.

Technical Note:
Optical properties of
desert dust

P. Koepke et al.

Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
◀	▶
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	






Figure 6. Deviation (%), between spherical and non-spherical “desert” aerosol for different optical quantities.

Technical Note:
**Optical properties of
 desert dust**

P. Koepke et al.

Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
◀	▶
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	

