>We thank both reviewers for thoughtful suggestions that have helped us improve the manuscript.

Reviewer 1

General Comments:

The study presents aerosol measurements over two years in Tucson, a major city surrounded by weakly populated desert. The measurements include particle size distributions, aerosol composition, CCN and total number concentrations. By means of several assumptions and simplified models, CCN closure is attempted. The data set is clearly unique for this location and also exceeds many other data sets that are often limited to a single season or few months at a time. A new clustering method has been used to sort size distributions based on their likely origin and history. Conclusions on the sources of aerosol particles and reasons for distribution shapes are drawn based on the observed evolution of size distributions in various seasons and the skills of the CCN closure models. Therefore, the current study exceeds previous ones in terms of the measurement period and tools that are used to interpret data. However, I think previous literature should be more carefully taken into account and discussed.

I have several more comments below that should be taken into account before this manuscript can be recommended for publication.

Major comments

1) Introduction

The introduction is very long and quite disorganized. It should review the current knowledge of data that are similar to those as used in the current study, e.g. data sets of size distributions and composition that are used to perform CCN closure. Details on specific organic aerosol properties such as surface tension etc. (p. 3867) distract only from the main focus of the current paper. In addition, it seems that the last paragraph on p. 3868 is redundant as it is repeated in the following.

>Response: The introduction has been shortened, removing less relevant sections relating to organic aerosol chemistry.

2) Wording

At many places, quite inaccurate or misleading terminology is used. E.g. 'aerosol chemistry' or 'particle chemistry' is often used and it does not become clear whether chemical processes or composition is meant.

>Response: Cases with ambiguous terms have been amended.

Other instances include p. 3865, l. 23: 'cloud droplet distribution' – I don't think that any of the studies cited here compared their data to cloud droplet distributions.

>Response: McFiggans et al. 2006 shows droplet distribution for an idealized salt activation case; however, we have changed "distribution" to "number" which better reflects the purpose of this statement.

p. 3866, l. 13; p. 3874, l. 18; p. 3880, l. 14; p. 3882, l. 28/9: which processes are referred to here?

>Response: These instances have either been removed or revised.

3) Data discussion

In the discussion part of the paper (Sections 3 and 4) often words like 'maybe', 'likely', 'probably' etc are used. While I understand that it might be difficult to give a clear and unambiguous interpretation of the data due to the somewhat limited number of measured parameters, a somewhat more detailed discussion should be given that weights the possible processes/effects in a more quantitative way.

>Response: It is simply not possible to quantify cause-and-effect relationships definitively using purely the observations that we have reported. We have tried to use language appropriate with the level of certainty associated with interpretations made on the reported data.

4) Previous literature

In the introduction, some previous CCN studies are cited together with their challenges and difficulties therein. However, in the discussion section not a single previous study is cited even though there are numerous studies that have been performed in regions where similar mixtures of fresh and aged aerosol exist. Also effects on number concentration, size distribution shapes etc due to daily, seasonal and source-dependent effects have been discussed there. One large aspect that has been highlighted in detail in many studies is the mixing state of fresh vs aged aerosol. The current study has to take into account findings from prior studies and put the current data set in their context. (see also next comment)

>Response: We thank the reviewer for pointing this out and while we are not entirely sure what specific references the reviewer had in mind, we have examined the archives of papers more strictly and try to make such references in the Discussion Section. A few representative papers are chosen that focused on urban environments and we added the following text:

"To put the TACO results in more context, fresh pollution aerosol in other urban areas such as Riverside and Houston could not be fully represented without knowledge of size-resolved composition (Cubison et al., 2008; Ervens et al., 2010). A number of other studies have shown that mixing state can help improve predictive capability of CCN behavior (Wex et al., 2010), including Atlanta (Padro et al., 2012) and during early morning rush hour near Mexico City (Lance et al., 2013); but studies also report that hydrophobic particles emitted in urban areas quickly (~ few hours) become internal mixtures via condensation of secondary hygroscopic species (e.g., Wang et al., 2010; Mei et al., 2013)."

5) Mixing state

In the current paper, mixing state is largely neglected and the inability of the simplified model approaches used here to predict CCN is explained by 'probably associated with the complexity of the aerosol mixing state' (p. 3880, l. 26). Given that the mixing state might play an important role for part of the current data set, the question arises how meaningful a single kappa is to capture the hygroscopicity of the total aerosol population. This approach should be either better justified or revised. Mixing state should be also discussed in the context of the relative role of various parameters that determine the activated fraction of an aerosol population, e.g., on p. 3881, l. 28/9 and p. 3882, l. 10.

>Response: While the reviewer raises a good point regarding the physical meaning of parameters included in a simple model, we do not believe it is unjustified to use a knowingly oversimplified parameterization as a way of identifying the occasions when it is not satisfactory. We have added an extra discussion at the beginning of this section to identify that this is our intention: "One major simplification is the limitation of the treatment of hygroscopicity to a bulk measurement, which is permitted to vary temporally but does not isolate size dependent changes in hygroscopicity nor the hygroscopicity distribution, which may be an important component in relation to external mixing. These aspects are beyond the scope of these parameterizations and are likely to contribute to model shortfalls. Forthcoming work will separately study the degree of correspondence of hygroscopicity between the sub- and supersaturated regimes, size-dependent hygroscopicity and composition, and the closure of hygroscopicity from composition measurements."

Minor comments

p. 3864, l. 15: 'can be parameterized' should be specified here.

>Response: In handling comment 2, this should now be clearer.

p. 3865, l. 6: Cloud microphysical and optical properties are not only governed by aerosol number but also by the total amount of liquid water, which in turn is a function of cooling rates.

>Response: We have replaced "governs" to "contributes to governing"

p. 3865, l. 25: This list should also include mixing state already. It is only discussed later even though it has been shown by detailed studies that it might be one of the determining factors in CCN closure studies.

>Response: We have made this addition.

p. 3866, l. 24: In the two cited studies, CCN closure was quite satisfactory if mixing state was taken into account. This sentence should be reworded.

>Response: Agreed, the current wording is ambiguous. The phrase "under assumptions of bulk hygroscopic properties has been added" to clarify the intended meaning.

p. 3866, l. 25-27: This sentence seems out of place here.

>Response: We have revised this text.

1. 3867, 1. 5: Did any of the cited studies indeed look at the effect of chemical processes and/or coagulation on size distributions and CCN properties?

>Response: These references have been revised. Also the text was somewhat misleading in the manner it connected the statement with the references, so it has been adjusted to be more concise.

p. 3867, l. 12ff (cf. my comment 1)): This information is irrelevant for the current study. If you choose to keep it in the (already quite lengthy) introduction, a more balanced discussion should be given. E.g. sensitivity studies have shown that surface tension effects are rather negligible for CCN effects (Ervens et al., JGR, 2005).

>Response: The introduction has been revised in the process of addressing Comment 1.

p. 3874, l. 25: Is there any explanation for the higher particle concentration during weekends?

>Response: The CCN concentration is higher during the evening, of which we make mention, however, we do not have a full explanation for whether the enhancement is a result of different evening emissions patterns on weekends or some other pathway.

p. 3875, l. 13ff. (i) This paragraph should be a separate section.

>Response: We respectfully disagree with this suggestions as this section is still part of the discussion on diurnal and weekly cycles

(ii) Add to the numbers in parentheses 'kappa = '

>Response: We have made this addition.

p. 3875, l. 1-12: Have the described effects such as a shift in size-distributions due to condensation of semivolatile compounds and the switch from the importance of semivolatiles to more biomass burning been observed in previous studies?

>Response: Not specifically in Tucson. The presence of domestic wood burning emissions is unique to the winter season and is mentioned as a possible explanation for the difference seen in the diurnal cycle in winter which is not present in other seasons. There was no direct measurement of a tracer which could be used to separate quantitatively the contribution of biomass burning.

p. 3877, l. 14: Has it been observed previously that increasing partitioning of nitrate can indeed affect size distributions to an observable extent?

>Response: Indirectly this is discussed in Andreae and Rosenfeld (2008, p29) referring to the tendency for submicron nitrate to partition onto existing particles (in their discussion, they cite Kleeman et al., (1999)), which increases activation ratio but does not increase CN.

>We thank both reviewers for thoughtful suggestions that have helped us improve the manuscript.

Reviewer 2

General Comments:

This paper presents a detailed climatology of CCN concentrations, characteristics, and related aerosol properties based on two years of measurements in an "urbanized desert." In addition, CCN closure analyses are conducted and the predictive skill of parameterized models of CCN concentrations are explored. This paper makes important contributions to the field by presenting long-term measurements in an under-studied environment; however, this paper would benefit from a more thorough discussion of some of the methodology and the broader implications of this work. I recommend publication after the consideration of the following comments.

Specific Comments

1. Two years of CCN measurements conducted at a supersaturation of 0.2% are presented. The authors should consider noting briefly why this value was chosen. Is this somewhat arbitrary or does it reflect a "typical" updraft condition/conditions specific to the climate of interest?

>Response: It is not an especially relevant supersaturation for the Tucson climate, however, it is a value that has been used in a number of other field measurements. It is also a worthy choice from the perspective of understanding CCN variability since it results in an activation diameter in the 100-200 nm range, for typical particle hygroscopicities. This range is close to the peak in the number distribution and hence CCN at 0.2% supersaturation is well suited to making comparisons with variability in the aerosol size distribution. The latter point is not relevant to the conclusions, and so we do not feel it is beneficial to attempt to try and offer further explanation for this choice in the manuscript.

2. Details regarding the cluster analysis are provided in the supplement; however, some of this information should be provided in the main text. In addition, further information is needed to describe this portion of the methodology, either in the supplement or the main text. Specifically:

- The reasoning for the selection of 4 clusters in the K-means clustering analysis should be included in the main text, as should a brief description of the definition of cluster associations/cluster assignment weights, especially given the presentation of this latter metric in Figure 5.

>Response: We have made this addition.

- Because the "fuzzying" of the cluster associations is outside of the more traditional application of K-means clustering, in which distributions are assigned to a single cluster, a justification of this choice should be provided. Would changing the number of clusters be another method by which the authors could achieve their goal of considering the transitions between/combination of physical process/regimes?

>Response: Part of this has been covered in the additional text added in response to the comment

above. K-means is most successful in isolating patterns when there are distinct low-density regions separating high-density regions that are in a small neighborhood surrounding the centroid. In this case the data are far more continuous and thus the transition "region" between two clusters can have a significant effect on the cluster centroid and respective mean properties, since there are a large number of observations in this category. The implication of this is two-fold: 1) it is difficult to objectively determine the optimal number of clusters; and 2) the decision on how the cluster boundary is defined has an important effect on the cluster. Fuzzying helps to relieve the sensitivity to the latter, which could also be achieved by increasing the number of clusters, but this carries the penalty of more degrees of freedom i.e. 100% membership of C could be adequately approximated by 60% A + 40% B.

While the objective was to retain the smallest number of clusters, was there evidence that other potentially important physical processes/aerosol regimes contributed to variability in particle size distribution properties when more clusters were considered?

>Response: The answer to the question is "no". Retaining the 4 clusters revealed the important result of the split seen in the summer between the "nucleation" cluster and "coagulation/condensation" cluster. Adding more clusters did not yield additional non-linear behavior (at least for moderate increases in the number of clusters) such that intermediate states could be well represented by combinations of the current clusters, which is permitted by the fuzzy boundaries.

3. Under some circumstances, cluster-derived parameters led to improvements in model performance. How do the authors envision the application of the presented clustering methodology in future field studies in other geographic regions and climates? I am particularly interested to know if there is evidence for the potential of a more generalized approach that could be applied to a large number of sites. In other words, some of the clusters presented here are likely to be specific to the region of interest or other very similar climates/sites. In their clustering analysis, did the authors see evidence for the potential for defining the clusters such that they more broadly represent the processes influencing size distributions at a wide number of sites?

>Response: We acknowledge that there could be many ways to implement this type of clustering to a more generalized problem. The method relies on using training data to first establish the cluster shapes – but following that, any data could be classified. If there is the potential for a regime not included in the training data to be important then some minimum threshold should be established to define an "undefined" cluster association such that it could be flagged that a particular site is not well reflected in the clusters. The critical aspect of establishing generalized clusters would be to capture variability that is sufficiently "extreme" to describe the salient modes in the size distribution, while sufficiently frequent to garner enough member associations for quality statistics. We do not claim to be able to optimally determine this, however, it is an interesting problem.

4. Along similar lines, with the aim of improving the representation of cloud properties and processes in large-scale models, in what ways does this work inform future similar campaigns in which long-term measurements of CCN and aerosol properties are measured? What measurements are crucial to this effort?

>Response: The community could benefit from studies of this type (arid and non-arid) regarding the feasibility of simplistic closure assumptions to identify how consistent this is. We would suggest that, at minimum, continuous CCN and aerosol size distribution data are needed to

perform sensitivity studies that assess the respective role of number, size and hygroscopicity on CCN variability. We feel no additional text is required in the manuscript to address this comment.

5. Particle composition measurements are for PM2.5, while size distribution measurements cover a range of 13 - 748 nm. Could differences in composition and/or mixing state for particles larger and smaller than 748 nm contribute to heterogeneity in the degree of variance in CCN concentrations explained by size and composition? Could the influence of this vary temporally, on hourly and seasonal scales?

>Response: Yes, without doubt, one could consider cases where size dependent composition effects significantly affect the inferences that can be made from $PM_{2.5}$ speciation on CCN relevant composition. A good example of this in Tucson would be the prevalence of dust in $PM_{2.5}$ as seen in the IMPROVE fine soil mass concentration. However, where we focus on emissions from urban mobile sources and secondary aerosol, we anticipate that the majority of the mass be attributable to sizes relevant to CCN. While we acknowledge that the mixing state and composition may be function of size within the CCN relevant range, we feel that it still offers some insight into CCN variability. Future efforts in the region should consider the use of measurements of size resolved composition. We feel that no additional text is required in the manuscript to address this comment.

- 1 On the competition among aerosol number, size and composition in predicting CCN
- 2 variability: a multi-annual field study in an urbanized desert
- 3
- 4 Ewan Crosbie¹, Jong-Sang Youn², Brian Balch³, Anna Wonaschütz⁴, Taylor Shingler³, Zhen Wang³, William C. Conant¹, Eric A. Betterton¹, Armin Sorooshian^{3,1,2}
- 5
- 6
- 7 1. Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA.
- 8 2. Mel and Enid Zuckerman College of Public Health, University of Arizona, Tucson, 9 AZ, USA.
- 10 3. Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, University of Arizona,
- 11 Tucson, AZ, USA.
- 12 4. University of Vienna, Faculty of Physics, Vienna, Austria.
- 13
- 14 Correspondence to: A. Sorooshian (armin@email.arizona.edu)
- 15

16 17 Abstract

18

19 A two-year dataset of measured CCN concentrations at 0.2% supersaturation is 20 combined with aerosol size distribution and aerosol composition data to probe the effects of aerosol number concentrations, size distribution and composition on CCN 21 patterns. Data have been collected over a period of two years (2012-2014) in 22 23 central Tucson, Arizona: a significant urban area surrounded by a sparsely populated desert. Average CCN concentrations are typically lowest in spring (233 24 25 cm⁻³), highest in winter (430 cm⁻³) and have a secondary peak during the North American Monsoon season (July to September; 372 cm⁻³). There is significant 26 27 variability outside of seasonal patterns with extreme concentrations (1% and 99%) 28 levels) ranging from 56 cm⁻³ to 1945 cm⁻³ as measured during the winter, the season 29 with highest variability.

30

Modeled CCN concentrations based on fixed chemical composition achieve better 31 32 closure in winter, with size and number alone able to predict 82% of the variance in 33 CCN concentration. Changes in aerosol chemical composition are typically aligned with changes in size and aerosol number, such that hygroscopicity can be 34 parameterized even though it is still variable. In summer, models based on fixed 35 36 chemical composition explain at best only 41% (pre-monsoon) and 36% (monsoon) of the variance. This is attributed to the effects of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) 37 production, the competition between new particle formation and condensational 38 39 growth, and the complex interaction of meteorology, regional and local emissions,

40 and multi-phase chemistry during the North American Monsoon. Chemical

- 41 composition is found to be an important factor for improving predictability in spring
- 42 and on longer timescales in winter.
- 43

44 Parameterized models typically exhibit improved predictive skill when there are

45 strong relationships between CCN concentrations and the prevailing meteorology

Ewan Crosbie 4/18/15 3:39 PM Deleted: chemistry

Ewan Crosbie 4/18/15 3:39 PM Deleted: chemistry Ewan Crosbie 4/18/15 3:40 PM Deleted: composition

Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 1:27 PM Deleted: Regimes, where p Deleted: Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 1:27 PM Deleted: are typically explained by

52 and dominant aerosol physicochemical processes, suggesting that similar findings

53 could be possible in other locations with comparable climates and geography.

54

55 1. Introduction

56

57 The influence of atmospheric aerosol particles on cloud properties and the 58 consequential changes in radiative forcing carry the largest source of uncertainty in 59 climate change prediction (IPCC, 2013). Cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) are the 60 subset of aerosol particles that activate into droplets at a given supersaturation and 61 their concentration therefore contributes to governing the microphysical and 62 optical properties of clouds (Twomey, 1977; Albrecht, 1989). The global spatial and 63 temporal variability of CCN concentrations consequently hold significant weight in 64 predicting the droplet distribution in clouds and the ensuing microphysical and radiative properties (McFiggans et al., 2006; Andreae and Rosenfeld, 2008). 65 66 Ultimately, CCN have been found to be a major factor in modulating cloud dynamics in both clean and polluted environments, with direct consequences on the 67 hydrological cycle (Andreae et al., 2004; Altaratz et al., 2008; Stevens and Feingold, 68 2009).

69 70

71 While laboratory experiments involving the activation of single salt species (e.g. 72 ammonium sulfate) or simple mixtures of organic compounds have offered 73 satisfactory experimental validation (e.g., Brechtel and Kreidenweis, 2000) of the 74 original underlying physical theory of droplet activation (Köhler, 1936), the 75 extension to ambient atmospheric aerosol has proven more elusive (Covert et al., 76 1998; Chuang et al., 2000; Roberts et al., 2002; McFiggans et al., 2006; Ervens et al., 77 2010). Recent field studies (e.g., Broekhuizen et al., 2006; Dusek et al., 2006; Ervens 78 et al., 2007; Hudson, 2007; Cubison et al., 2008; Quinn et al., 2008; Ervens et al., 79 2010; Burkart et al., 2011), spanning a range of aerosol scenarios, have not vet 80 provided a comprehensive agreement on the relative importance of factors which 81 affect CCN and the cloud droplet <u>number</u>, namely the following: the aerosol number, 82 size distribution, composition, supersaturation and aerosol mixing state (Lance et al., 2004; Rissman et al., 2004; McFiggans et al., 2006; Andreae and Rosenfeld, 2008; 83

84 Partridge et al., 2012).

85

86 During cloud formation, the supersaturation is driven by a combination of the aerosol related properties and dynamics (i.e., the updraft velocity) and therefore a 87 88 complete description of the cloud system involves a two-way coupling of aerosol 89 microphysics with circulation dynamics (Feingold, 2003). Modeling studies have 90 shown that typically the supersaturation adjusts to large changes in aerosol 91 properties (i.e., number, size and composition) to dampen the resulting variability 92 observed in cloud droplet number concentration (Feingold, 2003); however, it has 93 also been found that the distribution of CCN can have a significant impact on the 94 cloud microphysics by affecting the droplet distribution (Feingold et al., 1999; 95 McFiggans et al. 2006). The dynamics of initial droplet growth are affected by CCN 96 properties (Feingold and Chuang, 2002; Raymond and Pandis, 2002, 2003; Chuang,

2

Ewan Crosbie 4/18/15 3:43 PM **Deleted:** chemistry mechanisms

Ewan Crosbie 4/18/15 12:40 PM Deleted: governs

Ewan Crosbie 5/5/15 9:57 AM Deleted: distribution Ewan Crosbie 4/18/15 12:41 PM Deleted: and 101 2003) and interstitial gas chemistry (Nenes et al., 2002; Lim et al., 2005) affecting

102 gas-particle partitioning through cloud processing.103

104 Excluding the environmental factors that regulate supersaturation and droplet 105 growth kinetics, and focusing only on aerosol related properties. that drive the initial 106 activation, yields important information relating to hygroscopicity. CCN closure 107 studies typically attempt to model the CCN concentration from measured aerosol 108 number, size and composition and then compare the modeled CCN to direct 109 measurements under a controlled set of supersaturated conditions (e.g., Dusek et al., 110 2006; Ervens et al., 2007; Cubison et al., 2008; Bougiatioti et al., 2009; Lance et al., 111 2009; Ervens et al., 2010; Jurányi et al., 2011, Martin et al., 2011; Levin et al., 2012; 112 Moore et al., 2012; Lathem et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2013; Almeida et al., 2014). The 113 respective importance of composition and size distribution on CCN activation 114 remains an outstanding question. Closure studies have generally been successful for 115 background and remote sites (e.g., lurányi et al., 2010), but less so in urban areas 116 (e.g., Burkart et al., 2012). The complexity of the aerosol composition and variability 117 in the aerosol mixing state are often the explanation for unsatisfactory closure, 118 under assumptions of bulk hygroscopic properties (Cubison et al., 2008; Ervens et 119 al., 2010). The single hygroscopicity parameter κ -Köhler Theory (Petters and 120 Kreidenweis, 2007, 2008) provides a theoretical framework to derive bulk hygroscopicity for internal mixtures, based on a volume weighted mixing rule, While 121 122 this simplicity is advantageous for closure models, this approach may not be 123 suitable for particles with complex morphology (e.g., Dusek et al., 2011; Hersey et 124 al., 2013). 125

126 Physical aging processes such as coagulation and condensational growth tend to 127 shift the aerosol population towards a more uniform mixing state when compared 128 to fresh emissions (Covert and Heintzenberg, 1993; Ervens et al., 2010). While 129 condensational growth processes increase CCN concentration by growing ultrafine 130 particles into the critical range for droplet activation, coagulation may result in 131 either increasing or decreasing CCN concentration since increased size comes at the 132 expense of aerosol number (Riipinen et al., 2011). Uncertainties in nucleation rates 133 and primary emissions have been shown to have significant impacts on global 134 estimates of CCN concentration (Pierce and Adams, 2009).

135

136 The study of CCN activation within an urban environment offers unique 137 opportunities to address the challenges associated with the inhomogeneity of 138 sources and aerosol aging, which gives rise to difficulties in predicting water uptake 139 behavior. Field studies purporting to quantify the influences of aerosol number, size 140 and compositional factors on CCN activity are often carried out over a limited, but 141 intense, period and hence offer a worthy characterization of the duration of the 142 study but perhaps lack climatological context, even related to sub-seasonal 143 The current study addresses the two aforementioned issues by variability. reporting on long-term measurements of CCN, submicron size distributions and 144 145 composition taken jointly over multiple years in an urban area, specifically Tucson, 146 Arizona.

Deleted: , Ewan Crosbie 5/5/15 10:57 AM Deleted: which Ewan Crosbie 5/5/15 10:58 AM **Deleted:** the physical and chemical Ewan Crosbie 5/5/15 2:44 PM Deleted: Ewan Crosbie 5/5/15 2:50 PM Deleted: is Ewan Crosbie 5/5/15 2:50 PM Deleted: to describe the solute effect of an internally mixed aerosol population Armin Sorooshian 5/8/15 10:08 AM Deleted: ; Armin Sorooshian 5/8/15 10:08 AM Deleted: however, Armin Sorooshian 5/8/15 10:09 AM Deleted: , however its simplicity is advantageous for closure models Ewan Crosbie 5/6/15 3:19 PM Deleted: impact CCN concentrations by changing the size distribution of aerosol particles in the range critical to droplet activation, which, in combination with chemical processing, Deleted: s Ewan Crosbie 5/6/15 3:23 PM Deleted: Weingartner et al, 2002; Ewan Crosbie 5/6/15 3:19 PM Deleted: 07; Andreae and Rosenfeld, 2008 Ewan Crosbie 4/18/15 3:35 PM **Deleted:** The degree to which compositional and compositional and mixing state variation affects the hygroscopic properties of an aerosol population in polluted air masses seems to be strongly dependent on location and proximity to emissions (Covert a [1] Ewan Crosbie 4/18/15 3:36 PM Deleted: The degree to which ... [2] Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 8:22 PM Deleted: We present Armin Sorooshian 5/8/15 10:13 AM Deleted: bulk Deleted: and Armin Sorooshian 5/8/15 10:14 AM Deleted: under-studied type of ... [3]

Ewan Crosbie 5/5/15 10:57 AM

198		
199	Tucson is located in the heart of the Sonoran Desert in the semi-arid southwestern	
200	United States. This location offers some unique opportunities for the study of CCN	
201	activation primarily since there have been comparatively fewer documented	
202	measurements of CCN in arid regions. In addition, southern Arizona is situated in	
203	the region affected by the North American Monsoon (NAM) and as a result the	
204	highest monthly rainfall occurs during July and August and is accompanied by a	
205	strong influx of tropical moisture. The onset of the NAM in late June or early July	
206	leads to a rapid change from very hot and dry pre-monsoon conditions to the humid	
207	conditions associated with the monsoon and leads to changes in the aerosol	
208	properties (Sorooshian et al., 2011; Youn et al., 2013). Aside from the NAM,	
209	southern Arizona is situated in a relatively stable synoptic weather pattern, which	
210	gives rise to generally clear skies and light surface winds. The strong insolation	
211	produces a deep convective boundary layer in the afternoon and clear conditions	
212	lead to significant nocturnal cooling which together produce a significant but	
213	predictable diurnal cycle in temperature, humidity and convective boundary layer	
214	<u>mixing.</u>	
215		
216	The paper is subdivided as follows: (i) experimental methods and data collection are	
217	provided in Section 2; (ii) an overview of the "climatological" results is given in	
218	Section 3; (iii) the influence of size distribution and its relationship with	
219	composition is discussed in Section 4; (iv) CCN closure analysis is presented in	
220	Section 5; and (v) conclusions are presented in Section 6.	
220 221	Section 5; and (v) conclusions are presented in Section 6.	
220 221 222 222	2. Data and Methods	Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 8:31 PM
220 221 222 223 224	2. Data and Methods	Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 8:31 PM Deleted:
220 221 222 223 224 225	2. Data and Methods 2.1 Tucson Aerosol Characterization Observatory (TACO)	Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 8:31 PM Deleted: [4]
220 221 222 223 224 225 226	 2. Data and Methods 2.1 Tucson Aerosol Characterization Observatory (TACO) The study site is located at a roofton location (approximately 30 m above ground). 	Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 8:31 PM Deleted:
220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227	 2. Data and Methods 2.1 Tucson Aerosol Characterization Observatory (TACO) The study site is located at a rooftop location (approximately 30 m above ground) on the University of Arizona campus (32 2299°N 110 9538°W 720 m ASL) in 	Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 8:31 PM Deleted:[4]
220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228	 2. Data and Methods 2.1 Tucson Aerosol Characterization Observatory (TACO) The study site is located at a rooftop location (approximately 30 m above ground) on the University of Arizona campus (32.2299°N, 110.9538°W, 720 m ASL) in central Tucson (metro population ~1 million: U.S. Census Bureau 2011) The 	Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 8:31 PM Deleted:
220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229	 Section 5; and (v) conclusions are presented in Section 6. 2. Data and Methods 2.1 Tucson Aerosol Characterization Observatory (TACO) The study site is located at a rooftop location (approximately 30 m above ground) on the University of Arizona campus (32.2299°N, 110.9538°W, 720 m ASL) in central Tucson (metro population ~1 million; U.S. Census Bureau, 2011). The sample inlet was located at rooftop level, approximately at the same height as 	Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 8:31 PM Deleted: . (4)
220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230	 Section 5; and (v) conclusions are presented in Section 6. 2. Data and Methods 2.1 Tucson Aerosol Characterization Observatory (TACO) The study site is located at a rooftop location (approximately 30 m above ground) on the University of Arizona campus (32.2299°N, 110.9538°W, 720 m ASL) in central Tucson (metro population ~1 million; U.S. Census Bureau, 2011). The sample inlet was located at rooftop level, approximately at the same height as nearby buildings, and 2 km northeast of downtown Tucson. The study period 	Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 8:31 PM Deleted: [4]
220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 231	 Section 5; and (v) conclusions are presented in Section 6. 2. Data and Methods 2.1 Tucson Aerosol Characterization Observatory (TACO) The study site is located at a rooftop location (approximately 30 m above ground) on the University of Arizona campus (32.2299°N, 110.9538°W, 720 m ASL) in central Tucson (metro population ~1 million; U.S. Census Bureau, 2011). The sample inlet was located at rooftop level, approximately at the same height as nearby buildings, and 2 km northeast of downtown Tucson. The study period spanned more than two years (April 2012 – August 2014) and comprised long-term 	Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 8:31 PM Deleted:[4]
220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 231 232	 Section 5; and (v) conclusions are presented in Section 6. 2. Data and Methods 2.1 Tucson Aerosol Characterization Observatory (TACO) The study site is located at a rooftop location (approximately 30 m above ground) on the University of Arizona campus (32.2299°N, 110.9538°W, 720 m ASL) in central Tucson (metro population ~1 million; U.S. Census Bureau, 2011). The sample inlet was located at rooftop level, approximately at the same height as nearby buildings, and 2 km northeast of downtown Tucson. The study period spanned more than two years (April 2012 – August 2014) and comprised long-term continuous measurements of CCN and related quantities, with a constant 	Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 8:31 PM Deleted: [4]
220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 231 232 233	 Section 5; and (v) conclusions are presented in Section 6. 2. Data and Methods 2.1 Tucson Aerosol Characterization Observatory (TACO) The study site is located at a rooftop location (approximately 30 m above ground) on the University of Arizona campus (32.2299°N, 110.9538°W, 720 m ASL) in central Tucson (metro population ~1 million; U.S. Census Bureau, 2011). The sample inlet was located at rooftop level, approximately at the same height as nearby buildings, and 2 km northeast of downtown Tucson. The study period spanned more than two years (April 2012 – August 2014) and comprised long-term continuous measurements of CCN and related quantities, with a constant experimental setup. 	Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 8:31 PM Deleted:
220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 231 232 233 234	 Section 5; and (v) conclusions are presented in Section 6. 2. Data and Methods 2.1 Tucson Aerosol Characterization Observatory (TACO) The study site is located at a rooftop location (approximately 30 m above ground) on the University of Arizona campus (32.2299°N, 110.9538°W, 720 m ASL) in central Tucson (metro population ~1 million; U.S. Census Bureau, 2011). The sample inlet was located at rooftop level, approximately at the same height as nearby buildings, and 2 km northeast of downtown Tucson. The study period spanned more than two years (April 2012 – August 2014) and comprised long-term continuous measurements of CCN and related quantities, with a constant experimental setup. 	Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 8:31 PM Deleted:[4]
220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 231 232 233 234 235	 Section 5; and (v) conclusions are presented in Section 6. 2. Data and Methods 2.1 Tucson Aerosol Characterization Observatory (TACO) The study site is located at a rooftop location (approximately 30 m above ground) on the University of Arizona campus (32.2299°N, 110.9538°W, 720 m ASL) in central Tucson (metro population ~1 million; U.S. Census Bureau, 2011). The sample inlet was located at rooftop level, approximately at the same height as nearby buildings, and 2 km northeast of downtown Tucson. The study period spanned more than two years (April 2012 – August 2014) and comprised long-term continuous measurements of CCN and related quantities, with a constant experimental setup. 2.2 Aerosol Instrumentation 	Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 8:31 PM Deleted:[4]
220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 231 232 233 234 235 236	 Section 5; and (v) conclusions are presented in Section 6. 2. Data and Methods 2.1 Tucson Aerosol Characterization Observatory (TACO) The study site is located at a rooftop location (approximately 30 m above ground) on the University of Arizona campus (32.2299°N, 110.9538°W, 720 m ASL) in central Tucson (metro population ~1 million; U.S. Census Bureau, 2011). The sample inlet was located at rooftop level, approximately at the same height as nearby buildings, and 2 km northeast of downtown Tucson. The study period spanned more than two years (April 2012 – August 2014) and comprised long-term continuous measurements of CCN and related quantities, with a constant experimental setup. 2.2 Aerosol Instrumentation 	Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 8:31 PM Deleted:[4]
220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237	 Section 5; and (v) conclusions are presented in Section 6. 2. Data and Methods 2.1 Tucson Aerosol Characterization Observatory (TACO) The study site is located at a rooftop location (approximately 30 m above ground) on the University of Arizona campus (32.2299°N, 110.9538°W, 720 m ASL) in central Tucson (metro population ~1 million; U.S. Census Bureau, 2011). The sample inlet was located at rooftop level, approximately at the same height as nearby buildings, and 2 km northeast of downtown Tucson. The study period spanned more than two years (April 2012 – August 2014) and comprised long-term continuous measurements of CCN and related quantities, with a constant experimental setup. 2.2 Aerosol Instrumentation Bulk CCN concentrations were measured using a CCN counter at fixed 0.2% super- 	Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 8:31 PM Deleted:[4]
220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238	 Section 5; and (v) conclusions are presented in Section 6. 2. Data and Methods 2.1 Tucson Aerosol Characterization Observatory (TACO) The study site is located at a rooftop location (approximately 30 m above ground) on the University of Arizona campus (32.2299°N, 110.9538°W, 720 m ASL) in central Tucson (metro population ~1 million; U.S. Census Bureau, 2011). The sample inlet was located at rooftop level, approximately at the same height as nearby buildings, and 2 km northeast of downtown Tucson. The study period spanned more than two years (April 2012 – August 2014) and comprised long-term continuous measurements of CCN and related quantities, with a constant experimental setup. 2.2 Aerosol Instrumentation Bulk CCN concentrations were measured using a CCN counter at fixed 0.2% supersaturation (CCN-100 Droplet Measurement Technologies; Roberts and Nenes, 	Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 8:31 PM Deleted:
220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238 237 238 239 238 239 239 230 231 232 239 230 231 232 239 230 231 239 230 231 239 230 231 239 230 231 239 230 230 231 232 230 230 231 232 230 231 232 230 231 232 230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238 239 230 231 236 237 238 239 230 239 230 239 230 239 230 239 239	 Section 5; and (v) conclusions are presented in Section 6. 2. Data and Methods 2.1 Tucson Aerosol Characterization Observatory (TACO) The study site is located at a rooftop location (approximately 30 m above ground) on the University of Arizona campus (32.2299°N, 110.9538°W, 720 m ASL) in central Tucson (metro population ~1 million; U.S. Census Bureau, 2011). The sample inlet was located at rooftop level, approximately at the same height as nearby buildings, and 2 km northeast of downtown Tucson. The study period spanned more than two years (April 2012 – August 2014) and comprised long-term continuous measurements of CCN and related quantities, with a constant experimental setup. 2.2 Aerosol Instrumentation Bulk CCN concentrations were measured using a CCN counter at fixed 0.2% supersaturation (CCN-100 Droplet Measurement Technologies; Roberts and Nenes, 2005). Particle size-resolved number concentrations were obtained using a 	Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 8:31 PM Deleted:
220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238 239 239 239 240	 Section 5; and (v) conclusions are presented in Section 6. 2. Data and Methods 2.1 Tucson Aerosol Characterization Observatory (TACO) The study site is located at a rooftop location (approximately 30 m above ground) on the University of Arizona campus (32.2299°N, 110.9538°W, 720 m ASL) in central Tucson (metro population ~1 million; U.S. Census Bureau, 2011). The sample inlet was located at rooftop level, approximately at the same height as nearby buildings, and 2 km northeast of downtown Tucson. The study period spanned more than two years (April 2012 – August 2014) and comprised long-term continuous measurements of CCN and related quantities, with a constant experimental setup. 2.2 Aerosol Instrumentation Bulk CCN concentrations were measured using a CCN counter at fixed 0.2% supersaturation (CCN-100 Droplet Measurement Technologies; Roberts and Nenes, 2005). Particle size-resolved number concentrations were obtained using a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS 3080, TSI Inc.) coupled to a condensation 	Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 8:31 PM Deleted:
220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238 239 238 239 240 241	 Section 5; and (v) conclusions are presented in Section 6. 2. Data and Methods 2.1 Tucson Aerosol Characterization Observatory (TACO) The study site is located at a rooftop location (approximately 30 m above ground) on the University of Arizona campus (32.2299°N, 110.9538°W, 720 m ASL) in central Tucson (metro population ~1 million; U.S. Census Bureau, 2011). The sample inlet was located at rooftop level, approximately at the same height as nearby buildings, and 2 km northeast of downtown Tucson. The study period spanned more than two years (April 2012 – August 2014) and comprised long-term continuous measurements of CCN and related quantities, with a constant experimental setup. 2.2 Aerosol Instrumentation Bulk CCN concentrations were measured using a CCN counter at fixed 0.2% supersaturation (CCN-100 Droplet Measurement Technologies; Roberts and Nenes, 2005). Particle size-resolved number concentrations were obtained using a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS 3080, TSI Inc.) coupled to a condensation particle counter (CPC 3772, TSI Inc.). The SMPS operated at 10:1 sheath-to-sample 	Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 8:31 PM Deleted:
220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238 240 241 242	 Section 5; and (v) conclusions are presented in Section 6. 2. Data and Methods 2.1 Tucson Aerosol Characterization Observatory (TACO) The study site is located at a rooftop location (approximately 30 m above ground) on the University of Arizona campus (32.2299°N, 110.9538°W, 720 m ASL) in central Tucson (metro population ~1 million; U.S. Census Bureau, 2011). The sample inlet was located at rooftop level, approximately at the same height as nearby buildings, and 2 km northeast of downtown Tucson. The study period spanned more than two years (April 2012 – August 2014) and comprised long-term continuous measurements of CCN and related quantities, with a constant experimental setup. 2.2 Aerosol Instrumentation Bulk CCN concentrations were measured using a CCN counter at fixed 0.2% supersaturation (CCN-100 Droplet Measurement Technologies; Roberts and Nenes, 2005). Particle size-resolved number concentrations were obtained using a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS 3080, TSI Inc.) coupled to a condensation particle counter (CPC 3772, TSI Inc.). The SMPS operated at 10:1 sheath-to-sample flow ratio and with a mobility diameter range from 13-748 nm. The integration of 	Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 8:31 PM Deleted: [4] Armin Sorooshian 5/8/15 10:21 AM Deleted: Armin Sorooshian 5/8/15 10:21 AM

248 condensation nuclei (CN). The CCN counter was calibrated twice during the study 249 period using the method described in Rose et al. (2008) and exhibited a 250 supersaturation of 0.192% ± 0.005% at the nominal 0.2% set-point value. A semi-251 continuous OC/EC analyzer (Sunset Laboratories Inc.) measured hourly organic 252 carbon (OC) and elemental carbon (EC) concentrations in PM_{2.5}. Limits of detection 253 were 0.2 μ g/m³ and 1.0 μ g/m³ for EC and OC, respectively. Water-soluble organic 254 carbon (WSOC) was measured in PM_{2.5} using a particle-into-liquid sampler (PILS, 255 Brechtel Manufacturing Inc.) coupled to a total organic carbon analyzer (TOC; 256 Sievers Model 800) (Sullivan et al., 2006; Duong et al., 2011; Wonaschütz et al., 257 2011). The overall measurement uncertainty associated with the reported WSOC 258 concentrations is estimated to be approximately 10% with a limit of detection of 0.1 259 $\mu g/m^3$.

- 260
- 261 2.3 Local Meteorology
- 262

263 Collocated measurements of basic meteorological variables (including temperature, 264 pressure, humidity, wind speed, wind direction and rainfall) were obtained at 5-265 second time resolution and archived as 1-minute and hourly averages. In addition, 1-minute direct normal irradiance (DNI) was obtained from the NREL Observed 266 267 Solar Information System Atmospheric and (OASIS; 268 http://www.nrel.gov/midc/ua_oasis/) site on an adjacent building on the university 269 campus. SuomiNet GPS derived precipitable water vapor (PW) (Ware et al., 2000) 270 data were obtained from the University of Arizona SA46 site (32.2298°N, 271 110.9539°W, 762 m ASL) resolved to 30-minute mean estimates. Finally, 272 radiosonde data from the nearby National Weather Service were obtained from 273 twice-daily balloon launches at 4 AM and 4 PM local time.

- 274
- 275 2.4 EPA IMPROVE

276

277 PM_{2.5} aerosol composition measurements were obtained from two sites in the Inter-278 agency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments (IMPROVE) network of filter 279 samples (Malm et al., 1994). The Saguaro National Monument site (32.1742°N, 280 110.7372°W, 933 m ASL) is located within the foothills of the Rincon Mountains at 281 the eastern extent of the Tucson metropolitan area and approximately 21 km east of 282 TACO. The Saguaro West site (32.2486°N, 111.2178°W, 718 m ASL) is located on the 283 western side of the topographically less prominent Tucson Mountains 284 approximately 25 km west of TACO. 24-hour filter samples are collected at each site 285 every three days. Data were obtained to coincide with as much of the study period 286 as possible and were available up to December 2013 at the time of writing. Filter 287 samples were analyzed for ions, metal and non-metal elements, and carbon 288 (elemental and organic). Details on the extraction and analysis methodology are 289 provided elsewhere extensively 290 (http://vista.cira.colostate.edu/improve/Publications/IMPROVE SOPs.htm). In

- addition to direct measurement, the IMPROVE network reports empirically derived
 - 5

- 292 concentrations relevant to atmospheric aerosol including fine soil, sea salt, 293 ammonium sulfate and ammonium nitrate (Malm et al., 1994).
- 294
- 295 2.5 Data Organization and Quality Control

296 297 All TACO data (CCN, SMPS, OC/EC and meteorology) are time synchronized and 298 archived as averages at hourly increments. Sub-hourly variability in both the CCN 299 concentration and the aerosol size distribution is highly influenced by localized 300 intermittent sources, atmospheric turbulence and measurement related lags and 301 noise. Since many of the metrics used in the interpretation of CCN variability 302 involve ratios (or other non-linear functions) combining CCN and SMPS data, pre-303 filtering data to 1-hour reduces extraneous influences caused by sub-hourly 304 covariance. All meteorological fields (except PW and radiosonde data) were 305 additionally archived at 1-minute resolution. SMPS data from May and June 2013 306 are removed owing to sub-optimal data quality resulting from an instrument 307 malfunction.

- 308
- 309 3. Climatological Results

310

- 311 3.1 Monthly and Seasonal Statistics
- 312

313 Monthly statistics of CN and CCN concentrations (henceforth referred to as CN and 314 CCN) illustrate different trends as CN reveals a more stable annual cycle with minor 315 reduction towards a minimum in June (Figure 1). CCN is more variable annually, 316 and has two distinct peaks with a primary peak in December and a secondary peak 317 in August. April has the lowest average CCN and also the lowest variability, as 318 indicated by the interquartile range in Figure 1 for both CN and CCN. Conversely the 319 interquartile range in CN for April is one of the highest, although in general CN 320 exhibits significant sub-monthly variability when compared to the mean annual 321 trends. OC and EC mass concentrations (Figure 1c) exhibit similar annual cycles, 322 which suggests that aerosol related to urban combustion sources are ubiquitous; 323 however, in summer the contribution is diluted by higher mixing heights (Figure 1f). 324 Seasonal temperature (T; Figure 1d), relative humidity (RH; Figure 1e) and direct 325 normal irradiance (DNI; Figure 1f) illustrate the impact of the NAM on local 326 meteorology, where strong increases in moisture are accompanied by slight 327 temperature reductions and increased cloud cover.

328

329 Henceforth, data are grouped seasonally rather than monthly to analyze the annual 330 cycle. Five seasons are defined to reflect the significant difference in meteorology 331 between the pre-monsoon summer and the onset of the NAM. These are winter (W 332 = DJF), spring (S = MA), pre-monsoon (PM = MJ), monsoon (M = JAS), and fall (F = 333 ON). Table 1 provides a summary of seasonal CN and CCN statistics and includes 334 only periods when both measurements are available. Winter and fall have the highest mean CN concentrations (~ 5200 cm⁻³), while pre-monsoon has the lowest 335 336 with a mean just below 3900 cm⁻³. Extremes are quantified by 1% and 99% 337 statistics and range between 749 cm⁻³ and 14406 cm⁻³ with winter showing the

- 338 highest variability. Average CCN concentrations are typically lowest in spring (233
- cm⁻³), highest in winter (430 cm⁻³) and have a secondary peak during the monsoon
 (372 cm⁻³). Extremes in CCN range between 56 cm⁻³ and 1945 cm⁻³ and winter
 variability far exceeds that of any other season.
- 342

343 Fine mode aerosol composition may help to explain the seasonal patterns in CCN 344 and are illustrated using the IMPROVE data (Figure 2). Data are presented as an 345 average of the two sites to the east and west of Tucson and can be interpreted as a 346 suburban/semi-rural background reflecting regional scale aerosol composition onto 347 which local urban sources are superimposed. Aerosol loading is highest during the 348 pre-monsoon (PM) season, mainly due to the combined increase in the fine soil 349 fraction, from windblown dust which occurs mainly in the spring and pre-monsoon 350 seasons, and from the increase in sulfate during the pre-monsoon and monsoon 351 (Sorooshian et al., 2013). Regional wildfire emissions are also most significant 352 during pre-monsoon (Sorooshian et al., 2013). While dust particles may themselves 353 act as CCN, they can also enhance the removal of CN and CCN by coalescence, while 354 contributions from regional wildfire smoke may periodically enhance CN and CCN 355 concentrations. Nitrate is more abundant in winter ($\sim 14\%$) compared to other 356 seasons and may be a factor in the observed winter maximum in CCN 357 concentrations. Sea salt contributes a modest fraction ($\sim 4.5\%$) of pre-monsoon 358 aerosol when mid-tropospheric air originates mainly from the sub-tropical Pacific. 359 The sum of the constituents presented in Figure 2 constitute between 93% and 360 101% of the seasonal average PM_{2.5} as reported by gravimetric analysis.

361

The strong influence of urban sources on the fine mode carbonaceous aerosol in central Tucson is demonstrated by the elevated seasonal mean OC and EC mass concentrations at TACO versus the IMPROVE data (Table 2). This result is consistent with comparisons made by Sorooshian et al. (2011) for urban and rural sites in Arizona, which showed that carbonaceous mass concentrations varied strongly between urban and rural sites, whereas sulfate was more regionally homogenous.

369

370 3.2 Diurnal and Weekly Cycles

371

372 The diurnal cycle of CN illustrates a clear pattern involving a complex interaction of 373 sources and sinks (Figure 3a). During weekdays, early mornings (7 AM to 9 AM) are 374 characterized by traffic emissions, which increase the CN and EC concentrations 375 (Figure 3d) indicative of fresh fossil combustion aerosol. Mean CN concentrations at 376 8 AM on weekdays (7925 cm⁻³) are more than 160% of the equivalent weekend 377 concentrations (4887 cm⁻³). During the late morning, the convective boundary layer 378 develops and dilutes the surface layer with relatively clean air from the free 379 troposphere and/or residual layer leading to a marked drop in EC, OC (Figure 3d) 380 and CN. Through the middle of the day, the convective boundary layer is still 381 growing; however, a subtle reduction in the rate of decrease in CN (Noon to 2 PM) is 382 suggestive of nucleation and growth of new particles which contribute as a source of 383 CN. This is supported by the following: (i) concurrent enhancement in WSOC:OC

- 384 ratios (Figure 4c), which can be used as a proxy for secondary organic aerosol (SOA)
- away from biomass burning sources (Miyazaki et al., 2006; Kondo et al., 2007;
- 386 Weber et al., 2007); (ii) increasing OC:EC ratios (Figure 4c); and (iii) a second dip in
- 387 the mean aerosol diameter (Figure 4b). The latter two results are particularly clear
- 388 on weekends when the morning traffic signature is suppressed.
- 389

390 By mid-afternoon (2 PM to 4 PM), the convective boundary layer reaches its peak 391 depth and photochemical processes begin to slow down, leaving transport (vertical 392 and horizontal) and coagulation as the dominant mechanisms, producing a net 393 reduction in CN concentrations (Figure 3a) and increase in mean diameter (Figure 394 4b) while integrated aerosol volume concentration (used as a proxy for relative 395 trends in PM_1) remains flat (Figure 4b). By late afternoon (4 PM to 6 PM) the 396 convective boundary layer decouples from the surface and aerosol number and 397 mass concentrations build again in the surface layer due to the evening peak in 398 traffic emissions, with accompanying increases in EC and OC and reductions in mean 399 diameter. During this time, secondary aerosol may still be influential once the 400 boundary layer is decoupled, since residual ozone concentrations near the surface 401 may still be sufficient to drive SOA production in the now thin surface layer.

402

403 The annualized diurnal cycle of CCN (Figure 3b) is less pronounced than that of CN 404 mainly since CCN are typically unaffected by contributions from ultrafine particles 405 with diameters less than 50 nm, which are highly variable. There is an increase in 406 CCN during the evening, reaching a daily maximum at 10 PM and, interestingly, 407 concentrations on weekends (429 cm⁻³) are higher than on weekdays (380 cm⁻³). 408 There is a large range of CCN variability observed within each hour when compared 409 to the hourly composite mean trend which is partially explained by the seasonal 410 differences in the CCN diurnal cycle (Figure 3c). During winter, there is a significant 411 diurnal cycle in CCN, while in other seasons the diurnal pattern is relatively flat. 412 Due to reduced winter temperatures, semi-volatile organics are more likely to 413 partition to the particle phase, which may incrementally shift the size distribution of 414 freshly emitted particles associated with morning traffic towards larger sizes. In 415 addition, nitrate also forms a larger component of the regional aerosol than in other 416 seasons, which helps to increase the hygroscopicity and to reduce the diameter 417 required for droplet activation. Both factors likely work in tandem with the diurnal 418 emissions cycle, which results in a CCN pattern which more closely follows CN than 419 other seasons. The other notable feature is that the peak CCN concentration occurs 420 during the night in winter while it occurs during the afternoon in summer. In 421 addition to partitioning of semi-volatiles, emissions from domestic wood burning 422 are another potential contributor to CCN in the winter, while in summer it is likely 423 SOA production, driven by photochemistry and moisture during the day (Youn et al., 424 2013). 425

426 A bulk hygroscopicity parameter (κ) is derived using the method of Petters and 427 Kreidenweis (2007) and by assuming total activation above a critical activation 428 diameter, such that the CCN concentration exactly matches the concentration of

- 429 particles exceeding this critical diameter (Furutani et al., 2008; Burkart et al., 2011;
 - 8

Ewan Crosbie 5/5/15 11:40 AM **Deleted:** processes

431 Wonaschütz et al., 2013). Hygroscopicity decreases concurrently with the morning 432 traffic signature (Figure 4a) and then rebounds through the day to produce a peak 433 between 2 PM and 4 PM matching expectations of organic aging and condensational 434 growth by photochemically oxidized organics and sulfate. As expected, the morning 435 minimum is less extreme on weekends ($\kappa = 0.15$) compared to weekdays ($\kappa = 0.10$) 436 due to reduced traffic and this trend remains through the day with weekend maxima 437 ($\kappa = 0.21$) exceeding weekday values ($\kappa = 0.19$). During the evening and night, the 438 offset is far smaller ($\Delta \kappa \approx 0.005$). The κ parameter tracks the diurnal pattern of 439 activation ratio (Figure 4a), defined as the ratio of CCN to CN, which on first glance, 440 together with the rather modest changes in mean aerosol diameter (Figure 4b), 441 would indicate that chemical composition is driving the CCN variability at least on 442 diurnal scales. However, two corollaries should be highlighted: a) the mean aerosol diameter is a rather simplistic representation of changes in the size distribution, and 443 444 b) as mentioned earlier, the majority of the CCN variability is not described by 445 composite mean hourly trends, at least in an annual sense, and thus, as will be examined in the forthcoming section, a more rigorous treatment of the size 446 447 distribution is needed to better explain overall CCN variability. 448

449 4. Size distribution

450

451 Several studies (e.g., Conant et al., 2004; Dusek et al., 2006; Ervens et al., 2007) have 452 suggested that the size distribution alone can explain CCN variability, however there 453 are other examples (e.g., Hudson 2007; Burkart et al., 2011), which refute this 454 particularly in cases where the aerosol is externally mixed. If the physical and 455 chemical processes which govern size and composition changes are intrinsically tied 456 to a single governing mechanism, a parameterization involving one component may 457 suitably capture the variability in the other, at least when considering a fixed 458 supersaturation. Furutani et al. (2008) reported the activation diameter to be well 459 correlated with activation ratio during a ship-borne study in the eastern North 460 Pacific, suggesting compositional changes as a result of aging (where size also 461 increases) to be the major driver for CCN variability. In contrast, Burkart et al. 462 (2011) examined the same relationship but found poor correlation between 463 activation ratio and activation diameter in Vienna, Austria, suggesting a more 464 complex relationship between size and composition.

465

466 The shape of the size distribution can be used to interpret physical processes (e.g., 467 condensation, evaporation, nucleation, coagulation), while relative changes in CN 468 concentration, combined with changes in shape, offer insight into atmospheric 469 processes (e.g., advection and diffusion) and emissions. The well-established "K-470 means" clustering algorithm (Hartigan and Wong, 1979; Lloyd, 1982) was used here 471 as a statistical tool to group size distributions by shape. The method was 472 implemented with four clusters and the resulting four cluster centroids denoted 473 archetypal size distribution shapes (Figure 5), to which the observations were 474 assigned, according to their degree of association. The selection of four clusters 475 struck a balance between capturing the salient patterns, while maintaining

9

Ewan Crosbie 5/11/15 11:20 AM Deleted: ~ 477 simplicity; however, we do not claim that this choice was optimal for all 478 applications. Cluster associations were "fuzzy", and therefore an observation could 479 be partially assigned to multiple clusters to reflect the continuity of transitions 480 between clusters in the dataset. This provides the added advantage that smooth 481 transitions in cluster properties can be represented without the additional 482 complexity of defining intermediate clusters. A full description of the clustering 483 method and the method by which associations are made is provided in Appendix A. 484 The mean diurnal cycle of cluster associations (Figure 5) and their mean properties 485 (Table 3) provide a physical description of the clusters and are hereafter given the 486 following identifiers, which are indicative of the physical process or 'regime' that is 487 suggested by the cluster properties: nucleation (N), fresh fossil (FF), 488 winter/nocturnal (WN), and coagulation/condensation (CC).

489

490 Winter (W) and summer (PM and M) exhibit substantially different patterns in 491 cluster associations on diurnal scales, while the transition seasons (S and F) contain 492 features of both winter and summer and are therefore more mixed in terms of the 493 driving mechanisms. During winter (W), large swings in the size distribution shape 494 are uncommon; however, with activation at 0.2% supersaturation occurring at 495 diameters as low as 100 nm, the growth that accompanies a shift from FF to WN is 496 sufficient to significantly increase the activation ratio. Unlike other seasons, it is 497 likely that the main driver for size distribution changes occurring during winter is 498 the equilibrium partitioning of semi-volatile species between gas and particle phase 499 (e.g., nitrate). An additional contributor may result from the offset in emissions 500 patterns between traffic (day) and domestic wood burning (night). Anomalously 501 colder or more humid conditions tend to result in larger and more hygroscopic 502 particle distributions and are typically also associated with more stable near-surface 503 conditions leading to suppressed mixing and higher aerosol loading as seen in the 504 WN CN, EC and OC concentrations (Table 3). In the extreme, the infrequent winter 505 occurrence of the CC cluster is merely an extension of this trend occurring during 506 the coldest winter nights where average hygroscopicity reaches κ =0.23 and average 507 CCN concentrations are 811 cm⁻³. The fact that number, size and hygroscopicity tend 508 to act in association is perhaps the reason why CCN variability is highest in winter 509 on both synoptic and diurnal scales.

510

511 Conversely, in summer (PM and M) the shape of the size distribution is very variable 512 and exhibits large swings between N and CC clusters (Figure 5). After primary 513 emissions associated with the morning traffic peak (FF cluster) have been diluted 514 through boundary layer mixing, competition between the N and CC cluster takes 515 over. Unlike winter, there is no monotonic relationship between meteorology and 516 size. Instead, hotter conditions with higher solar exposure tend to bifurcate the size 517 distribution more between N and CC clusters with cooler and cloudy conditions 518 favoring the retention of the intermediate FF or WN clusters. This suggests that the 519 N and CC clusters are partially driven by photochemically produced secondary 520 aerosol. Higher temperature and stronger direct normal irradiance (DNI) are likely 521 coupled with higher hydroxyl concentrations, and ozone concentrations are 522 typically 30-40% higher for N and CC clusters (Table 3), which accelerates the

523 production of reduced volatility oxidized organic vapors from precursor volatile 524 organic compounds (VOCs). The partitioning of these vapors between condensation 525 on existing particles and nucleation of new particles is likely a function of the 526 aerosol surface area and the production rate of the low-volatility organics. 527 Anomalously dry conditions are a feature of the N cluster, suggestive of reduced 528 aerosol water reducing the available surface area. Another possible mechanism 529 affecting the N cluster during the summer (PM and M) is the evaporation, or lack of 530 condensation, of semi-volatile organic compounds associated with traffic emissions 531 (Robinson et al., 2007) such that the FF cluster takes on some of the features of the 532 N cluster. This mechanism would be supported by the anomalous contribution of 533 EC to the N cluster during the PM and M seasons. Further analysis of the aerosol 534 and gas phase composition is needed, before and during the monsoon, in order to 535 fully understand the balance of regional and local processes in driving the 536 preference of N and CC clusters.

537

538 Tucson often is under the influence of very light mean surface winds and so during 539 the day, the predominant mechanism for ventilation of urban aerosol is through 540 vertical mixing of the convective boundary layer, which is supported by 541 measurements at a nearby mountain site (Shaw, 2007). Furthermore, the 542 climatological mesoscale surface wind pattern, particularly in summer, is light 543 southeasterly winds during the night and morning, followed by northwesterlies in 544 the afternoon and evening, induced by regional topography (Philippin and 545 Betterton, 1997). It is therefore possible for urban aerosol particles and precursor 546 gases to be recycled over the site during the course of the day, through both these 547 mechanisms. Processes which control the cluster associations may be also 548 dependent on regional (e.g., nucleation of biogenic SOA) as well as local effects (e.g., 549 recycling of urban emissions), which happened at an earlier time. The complex 550 influences of this 'memory effect', together with the interaction of meteorology and 551 emissions may be one of the contributing factors which cause evening and overnight 552 CCN concentrations to be higher on weekends (Figure 3b).

553

554 5. CCN closure

555

556 Studies aimed at achieving a predictive model of CCN concentrations from measured 557 number, size and composition (i.e., CCN closure) have shown mixed ability to 558 predict CCN concentrations across a range of aerosol scenarios. To examine these 559 dependencies, in the context of the present study, we consider the effect that 560 simplifying assumptions have on the ability to predict CCN. Traditionally, closure 561 studies aim to predict the hygroscopic properties from measured composition or 562 sub-saturated growth factors, which are then combined with size distribution measurements to predict CCN (e.g., Ervens et al., 2010). With this method the inter-563 564 comparison of various scenarios, and the resulting degree to which CCN 565 concentrations are predicted, is affected by both the model assumptions and the 566 accuracy by which aerosol physicochemical properties are measured. Our focus 567 here is to study the degree of CCN variability explained by incremental 568 simplifications in a predictive model considered across a range of timescales. One

569 major simplification is the limitation of the treatment of hygroscopicity to a bulk 570 measurement, which is permitted to vary temporally but does not isolate size 571 dependent changes in hygroscopicity nor the hygroscopicity distribution, which 572 may be an important component in relation to external mixing. These aspects are 573 beyond the scope of these parameterizations and are likely to contribute to model 574 shortfalls. Forthcoming work will separately study the degree of correspondence of 575 hygroscopicity between the sub- and supersaturated regimes, size-dependent hygroscopicity and composition, and the closure of hygroscopicity from composition 576 577 measurements.

579 Seven, highly simplified, predictive models are used to estimate CCN over the entire 580 study period: (i) constant CCN (baseline); (ii) constant activation ratio (assesses the 581 effect of number only); (iii) constant hygroscopicity (effect of number and size 582 distribution); (iv) constant size distribution (effect of number and hygroscopicity); 583 (v) measured number with size distribution shape and hygroscopicity derived from 584 cluster associations; (vi) measured size and number with cluster derived 585 hygroscopicity; and (vii) all parameters (a reconstruction, for reference only). The 586 inclusion of models (v) and (vi) assesses whether the predictive skill can be 587 improved by the use of a reduced order representation of the size distribution and 588 hygroscopicity parameter (κ). Models (v) and (vi) can be considered an incremental 589 refinement to models (ii) and (iii) where the assumption is that there is prior 590 knowledge of expected cluster properties and associations.

591

578

592 Predicted CCN concentrations are compared to those measured and two 593 performance metrics are evaluated: (i) "percentage variance explained" (VE) 594 metric, which is the variance of the measured CCN explained by the model as 595 determined by mean square residuals; and (ii) a "normalized mean error" (NME) 596 metric, defined as the root-mean-square residual between modeled and measured 597 CCN concentrations expressed as a percentage of the mean measured CCN 598 concentration for the epoch. While both these metrics are connected, the VE is a 599 better descriptor of the specific performance of the model, whereas the NME puts 600 the model in the context of overall predictability. Models are first tested using (i) 601 the cumulative dataset and (ii) for the five predefined seasons with model 602 parameters set using seasonal best-fit values. The models (except (v) and (vi)) are 603 then tested, using the same methodology, on data that have been filtered using a 24-604 hour running average and seven day average, with the underlying motivation to 605 determine if environmental factors which control CCN predictability differ between 606 diurnally and synoptically driven timescales.

607

The results (Table 4) show that when all seasons are considered, a constant hygroscopicity assumption explains more of the measured variance (~63% VE) than a constant size distribution (~44% VE) suggesting that overall the size distribution is generally a more important driver for CCN variability than composition. However, the goodness-of-fit (VE) is far lower than that presented by Dusek et al. (2006) and is probably associated with the complexity of the aerosol mixing state and spatiotemporal variability in composition, due to the proximity of

12

Ewan Crosbie 5/5/15 11:47 AM

Deleted: how well the model represents the process

617 the TACO site to fresh emission sources as compared to the Dusek et al. (2006) 618 study site. To put the TACO results in more context, fresh pollution aerosol in other 619 urban areas such as Riverside and Houston could not be fully represented without 620 knowledge of size-resolved composition (Cubison et al., 2008; Ervens et al., 2010). 621 A number of other studies have shown that mixing state can help improve predictive 622 capability of CCN behavior (Wex et al., 2010), including Atlanta (Padro et al., 2012) and during early morning rush hour near Mexico City (Lance et al., 2013); but 623 624 studies also report that hydrophobic particles emitted in urban areas quickly (~ few 625 hours) become internal mixtures via condensation of secondary hygroscopic species 626 (e.g., Wang et al., 2010; Mei et al., 2013).

627

628 In the daily and weekly filtered cases, the relative balance between size and 629 composition is also similar. Using the submicron number concentration as a 630 predictive model for CCN (i.e., a constant activation ratio assumption) performs 631 poorly in all annual cases (and all seasonal cases except winter) since it is strongly 632 affected by variability in nucleation and small Aitken mode particles from fresh 633 emissions that do not contribute to CCN at the supersaturation levels considered 634 here.

635

636 Compared to other seasons, the simplified predictive models perform the best in 637 winter in terms of VE, however, this season also has far higher variability in CCN 638 than any other season across the three timescales considered. Winter is also the 639 only season where a constant activation ratio assumption offers any skill in CCN 640 predictability suggesting that the modulation of CCN is more tied to bulk aerosol 641 sources and sinks than compositional or size dependent changes or that these 642 processes are strongly interlinked. Winter aerosol is mainly controlled by an 643 interplay of urban emissions balanced by transport and mixing such that there is a 644 strong correlation between the diurnal cycle of CN and EC, which serves as a 645 combustion tracer. Strong nocturnal surface inversions, in conjunction with a lack 646 of surface wind induced mixing, trap urban emissions close to the surface before the 647 convective boundary layer develops, which happens later in the day than other 648 seasons. Intermittent synoptic scale influences, such as frontal passages, affect 649 aerosol sinks directly through wet scavenging, although this effect is presumably 650 much weaker than less arid regions, and drive regional transport in the lower 651 troposphere, which ventilates the urban plume. Synoptic systems affect column 652 stability, which indirectly affects aerosol loading by regulating the extent of 653 diurnally driven vertical mixing. Chemical aging processes and photochemically 654 driven secondary aerosol formation are suppressed in winter compared to other 655 seasons simplifying the diurnal changes in hygroscopicity and size distribution, 656 although size and hygroscopicity appear to be tied to the diurnal cycle through 657 temperature changes. Both size (constant κ , Model (iii)) and hygroscopicity 658 (constant size distribution, Model (iv)) simplified models explain 82% and 73% of 659 the CCN variance, respectively, reiterating that size and hygroscopicity changes are 660 strongly coupled. The weekly filtered data indicate that hygroscopicity becomes 661 marginally more influential than size changes over longer timescales and is perhaps

662 a consequence of regional sources associated with long-range transport competing

663 with local emissions.

664

665 Regional scale transport is also an important feature of spring, which is a transition 666 season where mid-latitude meteorology still affects the region, boundary layer 667 mixing becomes more vigorous and surface winds are strongest on average. Dust loading is highest and temperature changes on diurnal and synoptic scales are also 668 669 greatest which affects the partitioning of semi-volatile species (e.g., nitrate). The 670 complex mixing state and highly variable aerosol composition makes CCN prediction difficult as reflected in the poor performance of the simplified models. 671 672 The modeled predictability indicates that composition is far more important than 673 size during spring and in fact the daily-filtered data suggests that using the size 674 distribution (Model (iii)) to predict CCN is worse than assuming a constant seasonal 675 average concentration, indicative of complex aerosol mixing states, morphology and 676 scale-dependent mechanisms.

677

678 The pre-monsoon summer reveals a steady improvement in the model performance 679 towards longer timescales (i.e., weekly) and the increasing relative importance of hygroscopicity. Intense solar radiation during this season increases the importance 680 681 of VOC and SO₂ chemistry to form secondary aerosol species. Aerosol number may 682 be strongly influenced by nucleation and therefore knowledge of the size 683 distribution becomes essential on sub-diurnal scales. Over longer timescales all 684 simplified approximations become reasonable suggesting a more stable 685 meteorological pattern, which is typical of this season: as the jet migrates 686 northward, synoptic steering becomes lighter and the circulation pattern becomes 687 more driven by mesoscale circulations. The increased importance of hygroscopicity 688 on timescales longer than a week is perhaps indicative of the influence of wildfire 689 smoke and intermittent regional dust transport which periodically affect southern 690 Arizona during this season.

691

692 The monsoon season exhibits the poorest performance of the simplified models out 693 of all seasons, which is perhaps expected given the very complex meteorological 694 pattern and the interplay between secondary aerosol production at the regional 695 (e.g., biogenic SOA and sulfate) and local scale (e.g., urban SOA). Knowledge of the 696 size distribution is essential since it is highly variable across all scales driven by 697 both meteorological influences, in the form of monsoon thunderstorms, and 698 secondary aerosol processes. Even considering size variability alone does not yield 699 very satisfactory results implying that aerosol <u>composition</u> is very closely tied to 700 changes in size distribution during the monsoon season. However, CCN variability is 701 also lowest of all seasons, while the mean CCN concentration is relatively high 702 implying partial cancellation in the effects caused by changes in size, number and 703 composition. The consequence is that the NME metric is actually lowest in monsoon 704 when a constant hygroscopicity model is used, which is the opposite of the situation 705 during winter. Fall shows the opposite pattern to spring and pre-monsoon in that 706 hygroscopicity has decreasing influence over longer timescales, and for the weekly Ewan Crosbie 4/18/15 3:44 PM **Deleted:** chemistry

Ewan Crosbie 5/5/15 1:42 PM Deleted: processes

Ewan Crosbie 4/18/15 3:43 PM Deleted: chemistry

- 710 filtered case, the constant hygroscopicity model provides a very satisfactory model
- 711 of CCN variability.
- 712

713 The inclusion of the cluster associations to estimate κ (Model vi) provides an 714 incremental improvement in the predictive skill (+3% to +15% additional %VE) 715 when compared to a seasonally constant κ (Model iii), with the exception of the premonsoon summer season, where a reduction in %VE was observed (\sim -7%). 716 717 Annually, the increase was approximately +5% on %VE. The comparison between 718 the cluster-derived activation ratio (Model v) and a constant activation ratio (Model 719 ii) was far more significant with an annual increase of +59% on %VE suggesting that 720 a low-order representation of the size distribution shape, where other data is 721 unavailable (e.g., from remote sensing methods), may offer a worthwhile 722 improvement to the estimation of CCN concentration.

723 724 6. Conclusions

725

726 This study investigates the respective importance of aerosol number concentration, 727 size distribution and composition in driving CCN variability in Tucson, Arizona. In 728 doing so, a long-term characterization of the seasonal, weekly and diurnal patterns 729 in aerosol number concentration, size distribution and selected particle speciation 730 has been achieved. Seasonally, the average CN concentration exhibits a moderate 731 trend towards a minimum during summer, while CCN concentrations exhibit 732 significant winter and summer peaks. Weekday and weekend CN concentrations 733 track the respective diurnal weekday and weekend EC and OC mass concentrations, indicating a strong influence of local combustion aerosol, predominantly from 734 735 vehicle emissions but also, in winter, from domestic biomass burning. Activation 736 ratio and hygroscopicity, as estimated by κ , track the morning peak in fossil fuel 737 emissions, by concurrently showing a marked reduction, particularly on weekdays. 738 This helps to support the notion that CCN concentrations are not significantly 739 enhanced by fresh fossil emissions. The effects of local emissions are typically offset 740 by those of boundary layer mixing; however, during the warmer and more 741 photochemically active seasons, secondary aerosol processes become more 742 influential. 743

744 During winter, the interplay between chemistry and dynamics is such that 745 increasing size is accompanied by increasing hygroscopicity. This occurs most 746 commonly at night and during anomalously cold periods, when boundary layer 747 mixing is suppressed and aerosol loading is high, thus increasing CCN 748 concentrations. Conversely, during the day and particularly during anomalously 749 warm and dry periods, there is sufficient convective mixing to dilute the aerosol, 750 evaporate hygroscopic semi-volatile species and generally promote the abundance 751 of smaller particles, reducing CCN concentrations. The combined result of these 752 effects is to increase the variability in CCN, since each of these contributing factors 753 act together to enhance or suppress CCN concentrations. The added consequence is

15

Ewan Crosbie 4/18/15 3:45 PM **Deleted:** chemistry

- that simplified models offer substantial predictive skill for CCN variability even
- though the observed changes in the size distribution are relatively subtle.
- 757

758 The summer is divided by the arrival of the North American Monsoon (July -759 September), which rapidly increases the abundance of moisture compared to the verv hot and dry months that precede it (May - June). Secondary production of 760 761 sulfate and organics becomes more influential during both summer seasons, and 762 photochemically produced aerosol appears to be the mechanism responsible for an 763 afternoon maximum in CCN concentration, compared to a nocturnal maximum in winter. The diurnal cycle of the boundary layer follows a similar pattern to other 764 765 seasons, except that mixing heights are generally higher and nocturnal surface inversions are less pronounced, especially during the monsoon. While CN 766 767 concentrations drop off during the day similar to other seasons, CCN concentrations 768 remain relatively more stable indicating that condensed SOA and sulfate play a 769 significant role in offsetting the loss in CCN caused by dilution.

770

771 Another important feature of the summer is the bifurcation in the size distribution 772 shape, where the pattern swings back and forth from (i) an abundance of ultrafine 773 particles that are potentially tied to a nucleation event to (ii) a deficiency of Aitken 774 mode particles, and a growth in the number of particles larger than 100 nm that are 775 more in line with a background aerosol population. While the meteorological 776 conditions favoring both regimes are similar and likely explained by SOA and sulfate 777 production, the mechanisms responsible for the bifurcation are still unclear. 778 Possible mechanisms include aerosol water uptake, leading to increased aerosol 779 surface area for condensation, which is supported by lower humidity on days when 780 ultrafine particles are present, particularly before the monsoon. During the 781 monsoon, regional biogenic SOA produced as a result of increased vegetation may 782 explain the periodic import of small SOA particles into the urban plume. Finally, the 783 role of the monsoon thunderstorms may also be responsible for erratic changes to 784 the size distribution simply through the sporadic disruption of the local and regional 785 circulation pattern.

786

787 The sensitivities of CCN concentration to changes in aerosol number, size and 788 composition can be well represented in a theoretical framework as described by 789 Köhler Theory and its various refinements. However, the extent to which these 790 driving components vary, and the mechanisms through which they interact, is the 791 primary limitation in consolidating parametric representations suitable for 792 predictive models. Achieving satisfactory CCN closure using measurements of 793 chemical composition and size has generally been most successful with background 794 aerosol where substantial changes in composition are dampened by aging 795 processes. However, the results of this study suggest that in certain regimes (e.g., 796 during winter), where composition, size and number concentration have a more 797 deterministic relationship, there are still opportunities for parametric 798 simplifications to be successful even when <u>chemical processes</u> are, relatively 799 Since the relationship can be explained by somewhat broad complex. 800 environmental mechanisms not entirely specific to Tucson, similar conclusions can

Ewan Crosbie 4/18/15 3:43 PM **Deleted:** aerosol chemistry

Ewan Crosbie 4/18/15 3:44 PM Deleted: aerosol chemistry Ewan Crosbie 4/18/15 3:44 PM Deleted: is



804 be drawn for other urban areas with comparable geographical and climatological 805 settings.

806

807 Future work will focus on the predictability of k using measurements of 808 composition, patterns in the environmental conditions (e.g., emissions, meteorology 809 and other auxiliary measures), and sub-saturated aerosol hygroscopicity with the 810 primary goal being to determine if a single-parameter representation of CCN 811 activation is suitable for this environment. In addition, we will focus on addressing 812 the factors which control the summertime size distribution bifurcations and the 813 extent to which they are influenced by biogenic and anthropogenic SOA production 814 pathways.

- 815
- 816 Acknowledgements:
- 817

818 This research was supported in part by Grant 2 P42 ES04940–11 from the National 819 Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS) Superfund Research Program, NIH, the University of Arizona Foundation, the Institute of the Environment at the 820 821 University of Arizona, and the Center for Environmentally Sustainable Mining 822 through TRIF Water Sustainability Program funding. Funding is also acknowledged 823 from NASA grants NNX14AK79H, NNX12AC10G, and NNX14AP75G. Glenn Shaw is 824 acknowledged for helpful suggestions during the preparation of the manuscript. We 825 acknowledge the sponsors of the IMPROVE network, the Pima County Department 826 of Environmental Quality, NREL, UCAR and SuomiNet for data used in this study.

827

- 828 References
- 829

Albrecht, B. A.: Aerosols, cloud microphysics, and fractional cloudiness, Science, 245,
1227-1230, doi:10.1126/science.245.4923.1227, 1989.

832

Almeida, G. P., Brito, J., Morales, C. A., Andrade, M. F., and Artaxo, P.: Measured and
modelled cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) concentration in Sao Paulo, Brazil: the
importance of aerosol size-resolved chemical composition on CCN concentration
prediction, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 7559-7572, doi:10.5194/acp-14-7559-2014,
2014.

838

Altaratz, O., Koren, I., Reisin, T., Kostinski, A., Feingold, G., Levin, Z., and Yin, Y.:
Aerosols' influence on the interplay between condensation, evaporation and rain in

841 warm cumulus cloud, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 15-24, 2008.

842

Andreae, M. O., Rosenfeld, D., Artaxo, P., Costa, A. A., Frank, G. P., Longo, K. M., and

- 844 Silva-Dias, M. A. F.: Smoking rain clouds over the Amazon, Science, 303, 1337-1342,
- 845 doi:10.1126/science.1092779, 2004.
- 846

847 Andreae, M. O., and Rosenfeld, D.: Aerosol-cloud-precipitation interactions. Part 1.

- 848 The nature and sources of cloud-active aerosols, Earth Sci. Rev., 89, 13-41,
- 849 doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2008.03.001, 2008.

- Bougiatioti, A., Fountoukis, C., Kalivitis, N., Pandis, S. N., Nenes, A., and Mihalopoulos,
 N.: Cloud condensation nuclei measurements in the marine boundary layer of the
 eastern Mediterranean: CCN closure and droplet growth kinetics, Atmos. Chem.
 Phys., 9, 7053-7066, 2009.
- Brechtel, F. J., and Kreidenweis, S. M.: Predicting particle critical supersaturation
 from hygroscopic growth measurements in the humidified TDMA. Part II:
 Laboratory and ambient studies, J. Atmos. Sci., 57, 1872-1887, 2000.
- 859

- Broekhuizen, K., Chang, R. Y. W., Leaitch, W. R., Li, S. M., and Abbatt, J. P. D.: Closure
 between measured and modeled cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) using sizeresolved aerosol compositions in downtown Toronto, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 25132524, 2006.
- 864
- Burkart, J., Steiner, G., Reischl, G., and Hitzenberger, R.: Long-term study of cloud
 condensation nuclei (CCN) activation of the atmospheric aerosol in Vienna, Atmos.
 Environ., 45, 5751-5759, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.07.022, 2011.
- 868
- Burkart, J., Hitzenberger, R., Reischl, G., Bauer, H., Leder, K., and Puxbaum, H.:
 Activation of "synthetic ambient" aerosols Relation to chemical composition of
 particles < 100 nm, Atmos. Environ., 54, 583-591,
 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.01.063, 2012.
- 873

Chuang, P. Y.: Measurement of the timescale of hygroscopic growth for atmospheric
aerosols, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4282, doi:10.1029/2002jd002757, 2003.

876

Chuang, P. Y., Collins, D. R., Pawlowska, H., Snider, J. R., Jonsson, H. H., Brenguier, J. L.,
Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: CCN measurements during ACE-2 and their
relationship to cloud microphysical properties, Tellus B, 52, 843-867,
doi:10.1034/j.1600-0889.2000.00018.x, 2000.

- Conant, W. C., VanReken, T. M., Rissman, T. A., Varutbangkul, V., Jonsson, H. H.,
 Nenes, A., Jimenez, J. L., Delia, A. E., Bahreini, R., Roberts, G. C., Flagan, R. C., and
 Seinfeld, J. H.: Aerosol-cloud drop concentration closure in warm cumulus, J.
 Geophys. Res. 109, D13204, doi:10.1029/2003jd004324, 2004.
- 886

Covert, D. S., Gras, J. L., Wiedensohler, A., and Stratmann, F.: Comparison of directly
measured CCN with CCN modeled from the number-size distribution in the marine
boundary layer during ACE 1 at Cape Grim, Tasmania, J. Geophys. Res. 103, 1659716608, doi:10.1029/98jd01093, 1998.

- 891
- Covert, D. S., and Heintzenberg, J.: Size Distributions and Chemical-Properties of
 Aerosol at Ny Alesund, Svalbard, Atmos. Environ., 27, 2989-2997,
 doi:10.1016/0960-1686(93)90331-R, 1993.
- 895

- Cubison, M. J., Ervens, B., Feingold, G., Docherty, K. S., Ulbrich, I. M., Shields, L.,
 Prather, K., Hering, S., and Jimenez, J. L.: The influence of chemical composition and
 mixing state of Los Angeles urban aerosol on CCN number and cloud properties,
 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 5649-5667, 2008.
- 900

Drozd, G., Woo, J., Hakkinen, S. A. K., Nenes, A., and McNeill, V. F.: Inorganic salts
interact with oxalic acid in submicron particles to form material with low
hygroscopicity and volatility, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 5205-5215, doi:10.5194/acp14-5205-2014, 2014.

905

Duong, H. T., Sorooshian, A., Craven, J. S., Hersey, S. P., Metcalf, A. R., Zhang, X. L.,
Weber, R. J., Jonsson, H., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Water-soluble organic
aerosol in the Los Angeles Basin and outflow regions: Airborne and ground
measurements during the 2010 CalNex field campaign, J. Geophys. Res., 116,
D00v04. doi:10.1029/2011id016674. 2011.

911

Dusek, U., Frank, G. P., Hildebrandt, L., Curtius, J., Schneider, J., Walter, S., Chand, D.,
Drewnick, F., Hings, S., Jung, D., Borrmann, S., and Andreae, M. O.: Size matters more

- than chemistry for cloud-nucleating ability of aerosol particles, Science, 312, 13751378, doi:10.1126/science.1125261, 2006.
- 916

917 Dusek, U., Frank, G. P., Massling, A., Zeromskiene, K., Iinuma, Y., Schmid, O., Helas, G.,

- 918 Hennig, T., Wiedensohler, A., and Andreae, M. O.: Water uptake by biomass burning
- 919 aerosol at sub- and supersaturated conditions: closure studies and implications for
- 920 the role of organics, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 9519-9532, doi:10.5194/acp-11-9519-921 2011, 2011.
- 921 201 922

923 Ervens, B., Cubison, M., Andrews, E., Feingold, G., Ogren, J. A., Jimenez, J. L., DeCarlo,
924 P., and Nenes, A.: Prediction of cloud condensation nucleus number concentration
925 using measurements of aerosol size distributions and composition and light
926 scattering enhancement due to humidity, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D10s32,
927 doi:10.1029/2006jd007426, 2007.

928

- Ervens, B., Cubison, M. J., Andrews, E., Feingold, G., Ogren, J. A., Jimenez, J. L., Quinn,
 P. K., Bates, T. S., Wang, J., Zhang, Q., Coe, H., Flynn, M., and Allan, J. D.: CCN
 predictions using simplified assumptions of organic aerosol composition and mixing
 state: a synthesis from six different locations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 4795-4807,
 doi:10.5194/acp-10-4795-2010, 2010.
- 934

Facchini, M. C., Decesari, S., Mircea, M., Fuzzi, S., and Loglio, G.: Surface tension of
atmospheric wet aerosol and cloud/fog droplets in relation to their organic carbon
content and chemical composition, Atmos. Environ., 34, 4853-4857,

- 938 doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(00)00237-5, 2000.
- 939

940 Feingold, G., Cotton, W. R., Kreidenweis, S. M., and Davis, J. T.: The impact of giant 941 cloud condensation nuclei on drizzle formation in stratocumulus: Implications for



942 943	cloud radiative properties, J. Atmos. Sci., 56, 4100-4117, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1999)056<4100:Tiogcc>2.0.Co;2, 1999.
944	
945	Feingold, G.: Modeling of the first indirect effect: Analysis of measurement
946	requirements, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30, 1997, doi:10.1029/2003gl017967, 2003.
947	
948	Feingold, G., and Chuang, P. Y.: Analysis of the influence of film-forming compounds
949	on droplet growth: Implications for cloud microphysical processes and climate, J.
950	Atmos. Sci., 59, 2006-2018, doi:10.1175/1520-
951	0469(2002)059<2006:Aotiof>2.0.Co;2, 2002.
952	
953	Furutani, H., Dall'osto, M., Roberts, G. C., and Prather, K. A.: Assessment of the
954	relative importance of atmospheric aging on CCN activity derived from field
955	observations, Atmos. Environ., 42, 3130-3142, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.09.024,
956	2008.
957	
958	Gao, S., Ng, N. L., Keywood, M., Varutbangkul, V., Bahreini, R., Nenes, A., He, J. W., Yoo,
959	K. Y., Beauchamp, J. L., Hodyss, R. P., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Particle phase
960	acidity and oligomer formation in secondary organic aerosol, Environ. Sci. Technol.,
961	38, 6582-6589, doi:10.1021/Es049125k, 2004.
962	
963	Gill, P. S., Graedel, T. E., and Weschler, C. J.: Organic films on atmospheric aerosol-
964	particles, fog droplets, cloud droplets, raindrops, and snowflakes, Rev. Geophys., 21,
965	903-920, doi:10.1029/Rg021i004p00903, 1983.
966	
967	Gilman, J. B., Eliason, T. L., Fast, A., and Vaida, V.: Selectivity and stability of organic
968	films at the air-aqueous interface, J. Colloid Interf. Sci., 280, 234-243,
969	doi:10.1016/j.jcis.2004.07.019, 2004.
970	
971	Hartigan, J. A., and Wong, M. A.: A K-means clustering algorithm. J. R. Stat. Soc., Series
972	С, 28 (1), 100-108, 1979.
973	
974	Hartz, K. E. H., Tischuk, J. E., Chan, M. N., Chan, C. K., Donahue, N. M., and Pandis, S. N.:
975	Cloud condensation nuclei activation of limited solubility organic aerosol, Atmos.
976	Environ., 40, 605-617, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.09.076, 2006.
977	
978	Hersey, S. P., Craven, J. S., Metcalf, A. R., Lin, J., Lathem, T., Suski, K. J., Cahill, J. F.,
979	Duong, H. T., Sorooshian, A., Jonsson, H. H., Shiraiwa, M., Zuend, A., Nenes, A.,

Duong, H. T., Sorooshian, A., Jonsson, H. H., Shiraiwa, M., Zuend, A., Nenes, A.,
Prather, K. A., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Composition and hygroscopicity of the
Los Angeles Aerosol: CalNex, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 3016-3036,
doi:10.1002/Jgrd.50307, 2013.

983
984 Hudson, J. G.: Variability of the relationship between particle size and cloud985 nucleating ability, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L08801, doi:10.1029/2006gl028850,
986 2007.

987

- 988 IPCC: Summary for Policymakers. In: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science
 989 Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the
 990 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M.
 991 Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)].
 992 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
 993 2013.
- 994
- Jurányi, Z., Gysel, M., Weingartner, E., DeCarlo, P. F., Kammermann, L., and
 Baltensperger, U.: Measured and modelled cloud condensation nuclei number
 concentration at the high alpine site Jungfraujoch, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 78917906, doi:10.5194/acp-10-7891-2010, 2010.
- 999

Jurányi, Z., Gysel, M., Weingartner, E., Bukowiecki, N., Kammermann, L., and
Baltensperger, U.: A 17 month climatology of the cloud condensation nuclei number
concentration at the high alpine site Jungfraujoch, J. Geophys. Res., 116, D10204,
doi:10.1029/2010jd015199, 2011.

1004

Kalberer, M., Paulsen, D., Sax, M., Steinbacher, M., Dommen, J., Prevot, A. S. H.,
Fisseha, R., Weingartner, E., Frankevich, V., Zenobi, R., and Baltensperger, U.:
Identification of polymers as major components of atmospheric organic aerosols.

1008 Science, 303, 1659-1662, DOI 10.1126/science.1092185, 2004.

1009

1010 Kanakidou, M., Seinfeld, J. H., Pandis, S. N., Barnes, I., Dentener, F. J., Facchini, M. C.,

1011 Van Dingenen, R., Ervens, B., Nenes, A., Nielsen, C. J., Swietlicki, E., Putaud, J. P.,

1012 Balkanski, Y., Fuzzi, S., Horth, J., Moortgat, G. K., Winterhalter, R., Myhre, C. E. L., 1013 Tsigaridis, K., Vignati, E., Stephanou, E. G., and Wilson, J.: Organic aerosol and global

1014 climate modelling: a review, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 1053-1123, 2005.

1015

1016 Köhler, H.: The nucleus in and the growth of hygroscopic droplets., T. Faraday Soc.,1017 32, 1152-1161, doi:10.1039/Tf9363201152, 1936.

1018

Kondo, Y., Miyazaki, Y., Takegawa, N., Miyakawa, T., Weber, R. J., Jimenez, J. L., Zhang,
Q., and Worsnop, D. R.: Oxygenated and water-soluble organic aerosols in Tokyo, J.
Geophys. Res., 112, D01203, doi:10.1029/2006jd007056, 2007.

1021 Geophys. Res., 112, D01203, doi:10.1029/2006jd00/056, 1022

Lance, S., Nenes, A., and Rissman, T. A.: Chemical and dynamical effects on cloud
droplet number: Implications for estimates of the aerosol indirect effect, J. Geophys.
Res., 109, D22208, doi:10.1029/2004jd004596, 2004.

1026

1027 Lance, S., Nenes, A., Mazzoleni, C., Dubey, M. K., Gates, H., Varutbangkul, V., Rissman, T. A., Murphy, S. M., Sorooshian, A., Flagan, R. C., Seinfeld, J. H., Feingold, G., and 1028 1029 Jonsson, H. H.: Cloud condensation nuclei activity, closure, and droplet growth 1030 kinetics of Houston aerosol during the Gulf of Mexico Atmospheric Composition and 1031 Study Climate (GoMACCS), J. Geophys. Res., 114, D00f15,

1032 doi:10.1029/2008jd011699, 2009.

1033

Lance, S., Raatikainen, T., Onasch, T. B., Worsnop, D. R., Yu, X. Y., Alexander, M. L.,
 Stolzenburg, M. R., McMurry, P. H., Smith, J. N., and Nenes, A.: Aerosol mixing state,
 hygroscopic growth and cloud activation efficiency during MIRAGE 2006, Atmos.
 Cham, Phys. 12, 5040, 5062, doi:10.5104/acm.12,5040, 2012, 2012.

 1037
 Chem. Phys., 13, 5049-5062, doi:10.5194/acp-13-5049-2013, 2013.

 1038

Lathem, T. L., Beyersdorf, A. J., Thornhill, K. L., Winstead, E. L., Cubison, M. J.,
Hecobian, A., Jimenez, J. L., Weber, R. J., Anderson, B. E., and Nenes, A.: Analysis of
CCN activity of Arctic aerosol and Canadian biomass burning during summer 2008,
Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 2735-2756, doi:10.5194/acp-13-2735-2013, 2013.

1043
1044 Levin, E. J. T., Prenni, A. J., Petters, M. D., Kreidenweis, S. M., Sullivan, R. C., Atwood, S.
1045 A., Ortega, J., DeMott, P. J., and Smith, J. N.: An annual cycle of size-resolved aerosol
1046 hygroscopicity at a forested site in Colorado, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D06201,
1047 doi:10.1029/2011jd016854, 2012.

Lim, H. J., Carlton, A. G., and Turpin, B. J.: Isoprene forms secondary organic aerosol
through cloud processing: Model simulations, Environ. Sci. Technol., 39, 4441-4446,
doi:10.1021/Es048039h, 2005.

1052

1048

1053 Lloyd, S. P.: Least-Squares Quantization in PCM, IEEE T. Inform. Theory, 28, 129-137,
1054 doi:10.1109/Tit.1982.1056489, 1982.
1055

1056 Malm, W. C., Sisler, J. F., Huffman, D., Eldred, R. A., and Cahill, T. A.: Spatial and 1057 Seasonal Trends in Particle Concentration and Optical Extinction in the United-1058 States, J. Geophys. Res., 99, 1347-1370, doi:10.1029/93jd02916, 1994.

1059
1060 Marcolli, C., Luo, B. P., Peter, T., and Wienhold, F. G.: Internal mixing of the organic
1061 aerosol by gas phase diffusion of semivolatile organic compounds, Atmos. Chem.
1062 Phys., 4, 2593-2599, 2004.

1063
1064 Martin, M., Chang, R. Y. W., Sierau, B., Sjogren, S., Swietlicki, E., Abbatt, J. P. D., Leck,
1065 C., and Lohmann, U.: Cloud condensation nuclei closure study on summer arctic
1066 aerosol, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 11335-11350, doi:10.5194/acp-11-11335-2011,
1067 2011.

1068

McFiggans, G., Artaxo, P., Baltensperger, U., Coe, H., Facchini, M. C., Feingold, G.,
Fuzzi, S., Gysel, M., Laaksonen, A., Lohmann, U., Mentel, T. F., Murphy, D. M., O'Dowd,
C. D., Snider, J. R., and Weingartner, E.: The effect of physical and chemical aerosol
properties on warm cloud droplet activation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 2593-2649,
2006.

1074

1078

Mei, F., Setyan, A., Zhang, Q., and Wang, J.: CCN activity of organic aerosols observed
 downwind of urban emissions during CARES, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 12155-12169,
 doi:10.5194/acp-13-12155-2013, 2013.

Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 9:08 PM Formatted: Font:+Theme Body

Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 9:11 PM Formatted: Font:+Theme Body

Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 9:11 PM Formatted: Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers

- Michaud, V., El Haddad, I., Liu, Y., Sellegri, K., Laj, P., Villani, P., Picard, D., Marchand,
 N., and Monod, A.: In-cloud processes of methacrolein under simulated conditions Part 3: Hygroscopic and volatility properties of the formed secondary organic
 aerosol, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 5119-5130, 2009.
- 1083

Miyazaki, Y., Kondo, Y., Takegawa, N., Komazaki, Y., Fukuda, M., Kawamura, K.,
Mochida, M., Okuzawa, K., and Weber, R. J.: Time-resolved measurements of watersoluble organic carbon in Tokyo, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D23206,
doi:10.1029/2006jd007125, 2006.

1088

- Molina, M. J., Ivanov, A. V., Trakhtenberg, S., and Molina, L. T.: Atmospheric evolution
 of organic aerosol, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, L22104, doi:10.1029/2004gl020910,
 2004.
- 1092
 1093 Moore, R. H., Ingall, E. D., Sorooshian, A., and Nenes, A.: Molar mass, surface tension,
 1094 and droplet growth kinetics of marine organics from measurements of CCN activity,
 1095 Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L07801, doi:10.1029/2008gl033350, 2008.
- 1096
 1097 Moore, R. H., Cerully, K., Bahreini, R., Brock, C. A., Middlebrook, A. M., and Nenes, A.:
 1098 Hygroscopicity and composition of California CCN during summer 2010, J. Geophys.
 1099 Res., 117, D00v12, doi:10.1029/2011jd017352, 2012.
- 1100
- Nenes, A., Charlson, R. J., Facchini, M. C., Kulmala, M., Laaksonen, A., and Seinfeld, J.
 H.: Can chemical effects on cloud droplet number rival the first indirect effect?,
 Geophys. Res. Lett., 29, 1848, doi:10.1029/2002gl015295, 2002.
- Padro, L. T., Moore, R. H., Zhang, X., Rastogi, N., Weber, R. J., and Nenes, A.: Mixing
 state and compositional effects on CCN activity and droplet growth kinetics of sizeresolved CCN in an urban environment, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 10239-10255,
 doi:10.5194/acp-12-10239-2012, 2012.
- 1109

1104

Pankow, J. F.: An absorption-model of gas-particle partitioning of organiccompounds in the atmosphere, Atmos. Environ., 28, 185-188, doi:10.1016/13522310(94)90093-0, 1994a.

Pankow, J. F.: An absorption-model of the gas aerosol partitioning involved in the
formation of secondary organic aerosol, Atmos. Environ., 28, 189-193,
doi:10.1016/1352-2310(94)90094-9, 1994b.

- 11171118 Partridge, D. G., Vrugt, J. A., Tunved, P., Ekman, A. M. L., Struthers, H., and Sorooshian,
- 1119 A.: Inverse modelling of cloud-aerosol interactions Part 2: Sensitivity tests on
- 1120 liquid phase clouds using a Markov chain Monte Carlo based simulation approach,
- 1121 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 2823-2847, doi:10.5194/acp-12-2823-2012, 2012. 1122
- 1123 Petters, M. D., Prenni, A. J., Kreidenweis, S. M., DeMott, P. J., Matsunaga, A., Lim, Y. B.,
- and Ziemann, P. J.: Chemical aging and the hydrophobic-to-hydrophilic conversion of
 - 23

Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 9:06 PM Formatted: Font:+Theme Body

Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 9:06 PM

Formatted: Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers

- 1125carbonaceous aerosol, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L24806, doi:10.1029/2006gl027249,11262006.
- 1120
- Petters, M. D., and Kreidenweis, S. M.: A single parameter representation of
 hygroscopic growth and cloud condensation nucleus activity, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7,
 1961-1971, 2007.
- 1131
- Petters, M. D., and Kreidenweis, S. M.: A single parameter representation of
 hygroscopic growth and cloud condensation nucleus activity Part 2: Including
 solubility, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 6273-6279, 2008.
- Philippin, S., and Betterton, E. A.: Cloud condensation nuclei concentrations in
 southern Arizona: Instrumentation and early observations, Atmos. Res., 43, 263275, doi:10.1016/S0169-8095(96)00046-4, 1997.
- 1139

- Pierce, J. R., and Adams, P. J.: Uncertainty in global CCN concentrations from
 uncertain aerosol nucleation and primary emission rates, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9,
 1339-1356, 2009.
- Quinn, P. K., Bates, T. S., Coffman, D. J., and Covert, D. S.: Influence of particle size and
 chemistry on the cloud nucleating properties of aerosols, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8,
 1029-1042, doi:10.5194/acp-8-1029-2008, 2008.
- 1147
 1148 Raymond, T. M., and Pandis, S. N.: Cloud activation of single-component organic
 1149 aerosol particles, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4787, doi:10.1029/2002jd002159, 2002.
- 11501151 Raymond, T. M., and Pandis, S. N.: Formation of cloud droplets by multicomponent
- 1152 organic particles, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4469, doi:10.1029/2003jd003503, 2003. 1153
- Riipinen, I., Pierce, J. R., Yli-Juuti, T., Nieminen, T., Hakkinen, S., Ehn, M., Junninen, H.,
 Lehtipalo, K., Petaja, T., Slowik, J., Chang, R., Shantz, N. C., Abbatt, J., Leaitch, W. R.,
 Kerminen, V. M., Worsnop, D. R., Pandis, S. N., Donahue, N. M., and Kulmala, M.:
 Organic condensation: a vital link connecting aerosol formation to cloud
 condensation nuclei (CCN) concentrations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 3865-3878,
 doi:10.5194/acp-11-3865-2011, 2011.
- Rissman, T. A., Nenes, A., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Chemical amplification (or dampening)
 of the Twomey effect: Conditions derived from droplet activation theory, J. Atmos.
 Sci., 61, 919-930, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(2004)061<0919:Caodot>2.0.Co;2, 2004.
- 1164
- 1165 Roberts, G. C., Artaxo, P., Zhou, J. C., Swietlicki, E., and Andreae, M. O.: Sensitivity of
- 1166 CCN spectra on chemical and physical properties of aerosol: A case study from the 1167 Amazon Basin, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 8070, doi:10.1029/2001jd000583, 2002.
- 1168

- 1169 Roberts, G. C., and Nenes, A.: A continuous-flow streamwise thermal-gradient CCN 1170 chamber for atmospheric measurements, Aerosol Sci. Tech., 39, 206-221, 1171 doi:10.1080/027868290913988, 2005.
- 1172
- Robinson, A. L., Donahue, N. M., Shrivastava, M. K., Weitkamp, E. A., Sage, A. M., 1173 1174 Grieshop, A. P., Lane, T. E., Pierce, J. R., and Pandis, S. N.: Rethinking organic aerosols: 1175 Semivolatile emissions and photochemical aging, Science, 315, 1259-1262, 1176 doi:10.1126/science.1133061, 2007.
- 1177 1178 Rose, D., Gunthe, S. S., Mikhailov, E., Frank, G. P., Dusek, U., Andreae, M. O., and 1179 Poschl, U.: Calibration and measurement uncertainties of a continuous-flow cloud 1180 condensation nuclei counter (DMT-CCNC): CCN activation of ammonium sulfate and 1181 sodium chloride aerosol particles in theory and experiment, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 1182 1153-1179, 2008.
- 1183
- 1184 Rudich, Y.: Laboratory perspectives on the chemical transformations of organic 1185 particles, 5097-5124, atmospheric Chem. Rev., 103, matter in 1186 doi:10.1021/Cr020508f, 2003.
- 1187
- 1188 Saxena, P., and Hildemann, L. M.: Water-soluble organics in atmospheric particles: A 1189 critical review of the literature and application of thermodynamics to identify 1190 candidate compounds, J. Atmos. Chem., 24, 57-109, doi:10.1007/Bf00053823, 1996. 1191
- 1192 Seinfeld, J. H., and Pankow, J. F.: Organic atmospheric particulate matter. Annu. Rev. 1193 Phys. Chem. 54, 121-140, 2003.
- 1194
- 1195 Shaw, G. E.: Aerosols at a mountaintop observatory in Arizona, J. Geophys. Res., 112, 1196 D07206, doi:10.1029/2005jd006893, 2007.
- 1197 1198 Shulman, M. L., Jacobson, M. C., Carlson, R. J., Synovec, R. E., and Young, T. E.: 1199 Dissolution behavior and surface tension effects of organic compounds in nucleating 1200 cloud droplets, Geophys. Res. Lett., 23, 277-280, doi:10.1029/95gl03810, 1996.
- 1201
- 1202 Sorooshian, A., Wonaschütz, A., Jarjour, E. G., Hashimoto, B. I., Schichtel, B. A., and 1203 Betterton, E. A.: An aerosol climatology for a rapidly growing arid region (southern 1204 Arizona): Major aerosol species and remotely sensed aerosol properties, J. Geophys. 1205 Res., 116, D19205, doi:10.1029/2011jd016197, 2011.
- 1206
- 1207 Sorooshian, A., Shingler, T., Harpold, A., Feagles, C. W., Meixner, T., and Brooks, P. D.: Aerosol and precipitation chemistry in the southwestern United States: 1208 1209 spatiotemporal trends and interrelationships, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 7361-7379, 1210 doi:10.5194/acp-13-7361-2013, 2013.
- 1211
- 1212 Stevens, B., and Feingold, G.: Untangling aerosol effects on clouds and precipitation 1213
 - in a buffered system, Nature, 461, 607-613, doi:10.1038/Nature08281, 2009.
- 1214

- 1215 Sullivan, A. P., Peltier, R. E., Brock, C. A., de Gouw, J. A., Holloway, J. S., Warneke, C., 1216 Wollny, A. G., and Weber, R. J.: Airborne measurements of carbonaceous aerosol 1217 soluble in water over northeastern United States: Method development and an 1218 investigation into water-soluble organic carbon sources, J. Geophys. Res., 111, 1219 D23s46, doi:10.1029/2006jd007072, 2006. 1220 1221 Twomey, S.: Influence of Pollution on Shortwave Albedo of Clouds, J. Atmos. Sci., 34, 1222 1149-1152, 1977. 1223 1224 Wang, J., Cubison, M. J., Aiken, A. C., Jimenez, J. L., and Collins, D. R.: The importance Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 9:03 PM 1225 of aerosol mixing state and size-resolved composition on CCN concentration and the Formatted: Font:+Theme Body variation of the importance with atmospheric aging of aerosols, Atmos, Chem, Phys, 1226 Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 9:03 PM 1227 10, 7267-7283, doi:10.5194/acp-10-7267-2010, 2010. Formatted: Font:+Theme Body 1228 1229 Ware, R. H., Fulker, D. W., Stein, S. A., Anderson, D. N., Avery, S. K., Clark, R. D., 1230 Droegemeier, K. K., Kuettner, J. P., Minster, J. B., and Sorooshian, S.: SuomiNet: A real-1231 time national GPS network for atmospheric research and education, B. Am. Meteorol. doi:10.1175/1520-1232 677-694, Soc., 81, Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 9:03 PM 0477(2000)081<0677:Sarngn>2.3.Co;2, 2000. 1233 1234 1235 Weber, R. J., Sullivan, A. P., Peltier, R. E., Russell, A., Yan, B., Zheng, M., de Gouw, J., 1236 Warneke, C., Brock, C., Holloway, J. S., Atlas, E. L., and Edgerton, E.: A study of 1237 secondary organic aerosol formation in the anthropogenic-influenced southeastern 1238 United States, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D13302, doi:10.1029/2007jd008408, 2007. 1239 1240 Wex, H., McFiggans, G., Henning, S., and Stratmann, F.: Influence of the external Deleted: 1241 mixing state of atmospheric aerosol on derived CCN number concentrations, 1242 Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, Artn L10805, doi:10.1029/2010gl043337, 2010. 1243 1244 Wonaschütz, A., Hersey, S. P., Sorooshian, A., Craven, J. S., Metcalf, A. R., Flagan, R. C., 1245 and Seinfeld, J. H.: Impact of a large wildfire on water-soluble organic aerosol in a 1246 major urban area: the 2009 Station Fire in Los Angeles County, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 1247 11, 8257-8270, doi:10.5194/acp-11-8257-2011, 2011. 1248 1249 Wonaschütz, A., Coggon, M., Sorooshian, A., Modini, R., Frossard, A. A., Ahlm, L., 1250 Mulmenstadt, J., Roberts, G. C., Russell, L. M., Dey, S., Brechtel, F. J., and Seinfeld, J. H.: 1251 Hygroscopic properties of smoke-generated organic aerosol particles emitted in the marine atmosphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 9819-9835, doi:10.5194/acp-13-9819-1252 1253 2013, 2013. 1254 1255 Wu, Z. J., Poulain, L., Henning, S., Dieckmann, K., Birmili, W., Merkel, M., van
- 1256 Pinxteren, D., Spindler, G., Muller, K., Stratmann, F., Herrmann, H., and Wiedensohler, A.: Relating particle hygroscopicity and CCN activity to chemical composition during 1257 1258 the HCCT-2010 field campaign, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 7983-7996, 1259 doi:10.5194/acp-13-7983-2013, 2013. 1260

Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 9:03 PM Formatted: Font:+Theme Body Armin Sorooshian 5/7/15 9:03 PM Formatted: Font:+Theme Body

Formatted: Font:+Theme Body

Ewan Crosbie 5/6/15 3:23 PM

Sorooshian 5/8/15 10:57 AM

Formatted: Font:(Default) +Theme Body, Do not check spelling or grammar

Armin Sorooshian 5/8/15 10:57 AM

Formatted: Font:(Default) +Theme Body, Do not check spelling or grammar

Ewan Crosbie 5/6/15 3:23 PM

Deleted: Weingartner, E., Gysel, M., and Baltensperger, U.: Hygroscopicity of aerosol particles at low temperatures. 1. New lowtemperature H-TDMA instrument: Setup and first applications, Environ. Sci. Technol.. 36, 55-62, doi:10.1021/Es010054o, 2002.

- 1268 Youn, J. S., Wang, Z., Wonaschütz, A., Arellano, A., Betterton, E. A., and Sorooshian, A.:
- 1269 Evidence of aqueous secondary organic aerosol formation from biogenic emissions
- 1270 in the North American Sonoran Desert, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 3468-3472,
- 1271 doi:10.1002/Grl.50644, 2013.

1272 T 1273 a 1274 p 1275 Table 1: Seasonal mean and extreme CN and CCN concentrations from hourly averaged data. Seasons are defined as follows: winter (W = DJF), spring (S=MA), pre-monsoon (PM = MJ), monsoon (M = JAS), fall (F = ON).

	Concentration (cm ⁻³)	W	S	РМ	М	F
	Mean	5189	4853	3872	4200	5200
CN	Max (99%)	14406	13799	10869	11606	13682
	Min (1%)	749	686	807	1070	853
	Mean	430	233	301	372	303
$\text{CCN}_{\text{SS=0.2\%}}$	Max (99%)	1945	809	667	741	951
	Min (1%)	56	59	101	100	81

1276

1277 Ta 1278 de 1279 _____ Table 2: Seasonal mean OC and EC concentrations, and associated standard deviations, at the TACO and IMPROVE sites.

_	Site	Concentration (µg m ⁻³)	W	S	PM	М	F
	TACO	EC	0.69 ± 0.66	0.38 ± 0.38	0.27 ± 0.36	0.40 ± 0.34	0.54 ± 0.46
_	IACO	OC	6.96 ± 3.40	5.05 ± 2.25	4.87 ± 1.98	4.40 ± 1.60	5.31 ± 2.20
SAG N SAG	SAGUARO	EC	0.15 ± 0.07	0.11 ± 0.05	0.10 ± 0.05	0.12 ± 0.04	0.13 ± 0.07
	NM	OC	0.51 ± 0.18	0.50 ± 0.17	0.63 ± 0.33	0.63 ± 0.27	0.45 ± 0.20
	SAGUARO	EC	0.22 ± 0.13	0.12 ± 0.06	0.11 ± 0.05	0.13 ± 0.04	0.18 ± 0.08
_	WEST	OC	0.61 ± 0.30	0.49 ± 0.17	0.74 ± 0.32	0.69 ± 0.28	0.55 ± 0.20
`							

1284 Table 3: Seasonally derived mean cluster properties and associated environmental 1285 conditions (AR = activation ratio). Meteorological variables (T, RH and direct 1286 normal irradiance (DNI)) are presented as anomalies, based on departure from 1287 hourly means for each month. Entries in parentheses indicate that the cluster occurs 1288 less than 15% of the time in that season. An asterisk (*) next to EC denotes a case 1289 when the concentration is below LOD. O_3 data are obtained from a surface pollutant monitoring site (~ 9 km from TACO) operated by the Pima County Department of 1290 1291 Environmental Quality (Children's Park Station).

1292

		CN	CCN	AR	к	EC	OC	WSOC:OC	03	ΔΤ	ΔRH	ΔDNI
Cluster	Season	cm-3	cm-3	-	-	$\mu g \ m^{-3}$	$\mu g \ m^{-3}$	-	ppb	°C	%	Wm-2
	W	(4007)	(195)	(0.065)	(0.19)	(0.21)	(4.81)	(0.38)	(37)	(2.60)	(-3.8)	(35)
	S	(4966)	(228)	(0.057)	(0.16)	(0.17)*	(4.51)	(0.19)	(45)	(0.97)	(-1.7)	(75)
Ν	РМ	4328	276	0.076	0.15	0.23	4.48	0.29	44	0.53	-0.3	23
	М	5687	351	0.086	0.17	0.38	4.35	0.38	36	0.44	-2.6	38
	F	6674	249	0.067	0.17	0.33	4.35	0.20	33	0.68	0.9	40
	W	4985	249	0.064	0.17	0.75	7.20	0.23	25	0.85	-1.7	4
	S	5161	198	0.050	0.13	0.36	5.35	0.18	32	-0.30	-0.8	-3
FF	PM	4935	278	0.067	0.12	0.10*	5.32	0.20	33	-0.77	-1.4	-49
	М	5536	360	0.084	0.15	0.46	5.09	0.32	29	-0.64	1.7	-41
	F	7256	282	0.058	0.14	0.56	5.55	0.26	19	-0.16	-1.2	-15
	W	6337	490	0.093	0.19	1.79	11.0	0.18	16	-0.42	-0.1	-4
	S	4980	278	0.071	0.16	0.36	5.63	0.18	29	-0.25	2.0	-43
WN	PM	(4042)	(334)	(0.098)	(0.15)	(0.07)*	(5.09)	(0.20)	(35)	(-0.72)	(0.5)	(-40)
	М	(4382)	(392)	(0.106)	(0.16)	(0.40)	(5.33)	(0.34)	(29)	(-0.96)	(5.8)	(-60)
	F	7743	363	0.080	0.16	0.62	5.94	0.33	16	-1.06	0.9	-26
	W	(6203)	(811)	(0.153)	(0.23)	(1.08)	(9.15)	(0.27)	(18)	(-0.39)	(5.6)	(-13)
	S	(2659)	(267)	(0.124)	(0.18)	(0.17)*	(4.63)	(0.19)	(44)	(1.30)	(-0.3)	(-21)
CC	PM	2412	349	0.166	0.15	0.09*	5.03	0.28	46	0.41	1.3	17
	М	2884	414	0.173	0.17	0.27	4.43	0.37	38	0.26	0.2	-24
	F	(3964)	(356)	(0.145)	(0.20)	(0.33)	(4.93)	(0.27)	(30)	(1.48)	(0.9)	(-25)

1295Table 4: Closure model performance as quantified by variance explained (top) and1296normalized mean error (bottom). Models (i)-(iv) include holding constant either1297CCN, activation ratio (AR), κ , or size distribution (SD). Model (v) uses the cluster1298properties and associations (see Figure 5 and Table 3), Model (vi) uses the same1299assumptions as Model (iii) except that κ is determined from cluster associations,1300and Model (vii) is a reconstruction for reference only. A dash (-) indicates that the1301result is not available or performed so poorly it cannot be quantified by the metric.

		Model (%VE)							
		(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)	(v)	(vi)	(vii)	
		Const. CCN	Const. AR	Const. к	Const. SD	Clus. only	Clus. к	Ref.	
	ALL	-	3.7	63.2	43.9	62.3	68.4	99.6	
	W	-	44.9	81.6	72.5	78.4	84.1	99.7	
A 11	S	-	-	25.3	55.3	3.5	37.5	99.7	
All	PM	-	-	40.5	-	43.1	34.2	99.4	
	М	-	-	35.5	-	-	42.3	99.1	
	F	-	-	40.3	31.1	3.4	54.6	99.4	
	ALL	-	6.1	70.0	47.0	-	-	99.4	
	W	-	35.9	81.2	71.6	-	-	99.5	
Daily	S	-	6.5	-	62.1	-	-	99.0	
Dally	PM	-	0.2	52.5	15.4	-	-	98.7	
	М	-	-	64.0	-	-	-	98.5	
	F	-	-	59.9	17.8	-	-	98.1	
	ALL	-	7.1	67.7	43.3	-	-	99.0	
	W	-	15.8	66.4	77.8	-	-	98.8	
Wookhr	S	-	6.0	33.7	74.1	-	-	98.3	
Weekly	PM	-	45.4	72.9	75.8	-	-	96.9	
	М	-	-	43.9	-	-	-	96.3	
	F	-	3.9	89.5	0.3	-	-	97.9	

		Model (%NME)						
		(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)	(v)	(vi)	(vii)
		Const. CCN	Const. AR	Const. κ	Const. SD	Clus. only	Clus. к	Ref.
	ALL	73	72	45	55	45	41	4.4
	W	94	70	40	49	44	38	5.2
A 11	S	70	73	60	47	69	55	4.0
All	PM	46	59	36	53	35	38	3.7
	М	34	58	27	58	36	26	3.3
	F	53	60	41	44	52	36	4.0
	ALL	53	52	29	40	-	-	4.2
	W	63	51	27	34	-	-	4.6
Daily	S	48	47	60	30	-	-	4.7
Dally	PM	33	32	22	30	-	-	3.7
	М	26	37	16	37	-	-	3.2
	F	31	34	20	28	-	-	4.2
	ALL	36	35	20	27	-	-	3.6
	W	36	33	21	17	-	-	4.0
Weelshr	S	27	26	22	14	-	-	3.5
weekly	PM	22	16	11	11	-	-	3.8
	М	16	20	12	25	-	-	3.1
	F	21	21	6.8	21	-	-	3.1



 $\begin{array}{c} 1305\\ 1306 \end{array}$

Figure 1: Monthly statistics of (a) CN, (b) CCN (0.2%), (c) OC and EC, (d) 1307 1308 temperature, (e) RH, and (f) direct normal irradiance (DNI). Circles, diamonds, and 1309 the lines connecting them represent monthly averages. For (a) CN and (b) CCN, bars represent median and interquartile range of sub-monthly variability of the 1-hr 1310 averaged data. For (d) temperature and (e) relative humidity, bars represent 1311 1312 monthly extremes, as measured by 5% and 95% levels of the 1-min average data. 1313 DNI is presented using 24-hour averages so that it includes the effect of the 1314 changing length of day with season, and peak mixing depth is calculated using the 4 1315 PM radiosonde data.



1317 1318 Figure 2: Seasonal $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ speciation from the averaged Saguaro National Park and

1319 Saguaro West IMPROVE sites. Six major groupings comprising the $\rm PM_{2.5}$ mass are 1320 shown: FS = fine soil, OA = organic aerosol, EC = elemental carbon, AS = ammonium

1321 sulfate, AN = ammonium nitrate, SS = sea salt.





Figure 3: Hourly trends of (a) CN and (b) CCN (0.2%). Bars indicate median and
interquartile range of the variability within each hour. Mean CN and CCN
concentrations are shown for both weekdays (red) and weekends (blue). Hourly
trends of CCN are shown in (c) for each season. Mean EC (solid) and OC (dashed)
concentrations (d) are shown for weekdays (red) and weekends (blue).





1333 Figure 4: Hourly trends of activation related properties, OC:EC ratio, and WSOC:OC

- ratio for weekdays (red) and weekends (blue). Note the applicability of the OC:EC
- 1335 ratio starts to become less well defined on weekends above 25 since EC
- 1336 concentrations are typically below LOD.
- 1337 1338
- 1000



1339 1340

1341 Figure 5: Size distribution cluster centroids, as derived by the K-means algorithm,

- and the hourly distribution of cluster associations separated by season. Clusters are
- 1343 assigned the following identifiers: Nucleation (N; blue), Fresh Fossil (FF; red),
- 1344 Winter/Nocturnal (WN; green) and Condensation/Coagulation (CC; black).
- 1345 1346

With regard to chemical factors, one of the largest uncertainties in predicting CCN concentration is the nature of the organic fraction of aerosol, which typically comprises many hundreds of compounds with a wide range of chemical structures, affecting solubility, volatility and water uptake (Saxena and Hildemann, 1996; Marcolli et al., 2004; Kanakidou et al., 2005). Furthermore, the existence of lowsolubility organic species can, in parallel, cause surface tension depression (the surfactant effect) which enhances droplet activation more than their low solubility would suggest (Shulman et al., 1996; Facchini et al., 2000; Raymond and Pandis, 2002; Hartz et al., 2006; Moore et al., 2008). The photochemically driven oxidation of organic species in the particle phase (Rudich, 2003; Molina et al., 2004; Kanakidou et al., 2005), oligomerization of unsaturated hydrocarbons and carbonyls (Gao et al., 2004; Kalberer et al., 2004) and the partitioning of semi-volatile species from the gas phase (Pankow, 1994a,b; Seinfeld and Pankow, 2003) leads to changes in aerosol properties over timescales from hours to days and may enhance or suppress hygroscopicity (Petters et al., 2006; Michaud et al., 2009). Organics can also cause liquid phase separation with the formation of hydrophobic organic film coatings (Gill et al., 1983; Gilman et al., 2004) or metal-organic interactions which form hard shell insoluble coatings (Drozd et al., 2014), both of which would tend to oppose the surfactant effect, in terms of droplet activation.

Page 3: [2] Deleted	Ewan Crosbie	4/18/15 3:36 PM
The degree to which	compositional and mixing	state variation affects the
hygroscopic properties o	f an aerosol population in pol	luted air masses seems to be
strongly dependent or	location and proximity	to emissions (Covert and
Heintzenberg, 1993; Cub	son et al., 2008; Ervens et al., 2	2010).

Page 3: [3] Deleted	Armin Sorooshian	5/8/15 10:14 AM
under-studied type of env	vironment, specifically a populated	desert.
Page 4: [4] Deleted	Armin Sorooshian	5/7/15 8:31 PM

The present study takes place at a rooftop location in central Tucson. Tucson, Arizona is located in the heart of the Sonoran Desert in the semi-arid southwestern United States. This location offers some unique opportunities for the study of CCN activation primarily since there have been comparatively fewer documented measurements of CCN in arid regions. In addition, southern Arizona is situated in the region affected by the North American Monsoon (NAM) and as a result the highest monthly rainfall occurs during July and August and is accompanied by a strong influx of tropical moisture. The onset of the NAM in late June or early July leads to a rapid change from very hot and dry pre-monsoon conditions to the humid conditions associated with the monsoon and leads to changes in the aerosol properties (Sorooshian et al., 2011; Youn et al., 2013). Aside from the NAM, southern Arizona is situated in a relatively stable synoptic weather pattern, which gives rise to generally clear skies and light surface winds. The strong insolation produces a deep convective boundary layer in the afternoon and clear conditions

lead to significant nocturnal cooling which together produce a significant but predictable diurnal cycle in temperature, humidity and convective boundary layer mixing.

The paper is subdivided as follows: (i) experimental methods and data collection are provided in Section 2; (ii) an overview of the "climatological" results is given in Section 3; (iii) the influence of size distribution and its relationship with composition is discussed in Section 4; (iv) CCN closure analysis is presented in Section 5; and (v) conclusions are presented in Section 6.