Water soluble aerosols and gases at a UK background site. Part 1: Controls of PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ aerosol composition

3

M.M. Twigg,¹ C.F. Di Marco¹, S. Leeson¹, N. van Dijk¹, M. R. Jones¹, I. D. Leith¹,
E. Morrison^{1,3}, M. Coyle¹, R. Proost², A. N. M. Peeters², E. Lemon², T. Frelink²,
C.F. Braban¹, E. Nemitz¹ and J.N. Cape¹

7

8 [1] Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Bush Estate, Penicuik, EH26 0QB

9 [2] Metrohm Applikon B.V. De Brauwweg 13, 3125 AE Schiedam, The Netherlands

10 [3] School of Chemistry, West Mains Road, Edinburgh, Scotland EH9 3JJ.

11 Correspondence to: M. M. Twigg (sail@ceh.ac.uk)

12 Abstract

13 There is limited availability of long-term, high temporal resolution, chemically speciated 14 aerosol measurements, which can lead to further insight into the health and environmental 15 impacts of particulate matter. The Monitor for AeRosols and Gases (MARGA, Applikon B.V. 16 NL) allows characterisation of the inorganic components of PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ ((NH₄⁺, NO₃⁻, SO₄²⁻, Cl⁻, Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺) and inorganic reactive gases (NH₃, SO₂, HCl, HONO and 17 18 HNO₃) at hourly resolution. The following study presents 6.5 years (June 2006 to December 19 2012) of quasi-continuous observations of PM2.5 and PM10 using the MARGA at the UK EMEP 20 'Supersite', Auchencorth Moss, SE Scotland. Auchencorth Moss was found to be representative of a remote European site with average total water soluble inorganic mass of $PM_{2.5}$ of 3.82 µg 21 m⁻³. Anthropogenically derived secondary inorganic aerosols (sum of NH₄⁺, NO₃⁻ and nss-SO₄²⁻ 22 23), were the dominating species (63%) of PM_{2.5}. In terms of equivalent concentrations, NH_{4^+} 24 provided the single largest contribution to PM_{2.5} fraction in all seasons. Sea salt was the main 25 component (73%) of the PM_{coarse} fraction (PM_{10} - $PM_{2.5}$), though NO_3^- was also found to make a 26 relatively large contribution to the measured mass (17%) providing evidence of considerable 27 processing of sea salt in the coarse mode. There was on occasions evidence of aerosol from combustion events being transported to the site in 2012 as high K⁺ concentrations (deviating 28 29 from the known ratio in sea salt) coincided with increases in black carbon at the site. Pollution

events in PM₁₀ (defined as concentrations >12 μ g m⁻³) were on average dominated by NH₄⁺ and 1 2 NO_3^{-} , where as smaller loadings at the site tended to be dominated by sea salt. As with other 3 Western European sites, the charge balance of the inorganic components resolved were biased 4 towards cations, suggesting the aerosol was basic or more likely, that organic acids contributed to the charge balance. This study demonstrates the UK background atmospheric composition is 5 6 primarily driven by meteorology with sea salt dominating air masses from the Atlantic Ocean 7 and the Arctic, whereas secondary inorganic aerosols tended to dominate air masses from 8 continental Europe.

9

10 **1** Introduction

11 Gravimetric methods have long been used to monitor the long-term trends of the bulk aerosol 12 mass contained in particulate matter (PM) with an aerodynamic diameter of less than 2.5µm or 13 $10 \,\mu\text{m}$ (i.e. PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀); however, these generally provide no information on the chemical 14 speciation of the aerosol. Off-line chemical analysis after capture of aerosol on filters by high 15 or low volume filter samplers (e.g. Partisol Sampler; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) is quite widely used but limited to daily or lower frequency measurements and therefore poorly captures 16 17 diurnal patterns caused, e.g. by changes in emission and gas-aerosol partitioning. It is important 18 to understand the composition and the role of aerosols as they can have a direct and indirect 19 effect on climate. The current level of scientific understanding for aerosol properties in terms 20 of their role in the climate system is low and recently it has been suggested that the major 21 component of the uncertainty globally is with the biogenic fraction (Carslaw et al., 2013). As 22 well as having an impact on climate, aerosols affect both environment and human health. Recent 23 epidemiological research has suggested that health effects of aerosol may be less closely linked 24 to the total mass of PM_{2.5} or PM₁₀ than to the physicochemical characteristic of the aerosol, 25 however there is still much to be understood (Fuzzi and Gilardoni, 2013). Establishment of epidemiological links to individual aerosol chemical compounds is hampered by a lack of 26 27 available measurements.

In addition, secondary aerosols and their precursor gases are required to be monitored to understand atmospheric processes and to validate chemical transport models, which are used to inform policy, such as the UNECE Convention for Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution (CLRTAP), and the revised European Air Quality Framework Directive (Directive 2008/50/EC) where the measurement of aerosol chemical composition is statutory. In addition,

prior to 2008, EU member countries were fined for exceeding the total PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ limits 1 2 set in the directive, regardless whether the exceedance was due to anthropogenic or natural sources. In the current revised European Air Quality Framework Directive (Directive 3 2008/50/EC), countries are now allowed to subtract significant 'natural' contributions of 4 5 aerosol from the total mass, if their contribution can clearly be quantified. This is important for large 'natural' pollution events, such as the long-range transport of Saharan dust which have 6 7 been observed across Southern Europe and on occasions Northern Europe (Ansmann et al., 8 2003;Karanasiou et al., 2012). While many member countries have chosen to make daily filter 9 measurements at a very few sites, the UK has opted for a strategy to combine a large number 10 of sites that make monthly measurements (Tang et al., 2009) with a couple of 'supersites' that 11 resolve hourly concentrations, as an optimum strategy for capturing the spatial and temporal 12 variability.

13 Instrumentation has in the past decade become available for on-line monitoring of aerosol 14 chemical composition, at varying levels of complexity. In particular, the wet chemistry 15 MARGA instrument (Measurement of Aerosols and Reactive Gases Analyser, Metrohm Applikon B.V., NL) provides hourly measurements of water-soluble nitrate, chloride, sulphate, 16 sodium, ammonium, potassium, magnesium and calcium (hereafter NO₃⁻, Cl⁻, SO₄²⁻, Na⁺, NH₄⁺, 17 K^+ , Mg^{2+} and Ca^{2+} respectively) and in addition the gas phase basic and acid gases: ammonia, 18 nitric acid, nitrous acid, hydrochloric acid and sulphur dioxide (hereafter NH₃, HNO₃, HONO, 19 20 HCl, SO₂ respectively) (Makkonen et al., 2012;Rumsey et al., 2014;ten Brink et al., 2009), 21 based on aerosol collection via a steam-jet aerosol collector (SJAC; (Khlystov et al., 1995)). 22 Other similar IC based systems are available, including the Ambient Ion Monitor - Ion 23 Chromatograph system (AIM- IC, URG Corp and Dionex Inc) (Markovic et al., 2012) as well as the Particle into Liquid Sampler with Ion Chromatography (PILS-IC, Metrohm AG, Herisau, 24 25 Switzerland), and a range of custom-built wet-chemistry instruments based on the Particle Into 26 Liquid Sampler (Weber et al., 2001).

In parallel, there has been progress in developing monitoring instruments based on aerosol mass spectrometry. While there are now some studies using the standard Aerosol Mass Spectrometers (AMS; Aerodyne Research Inc, USA) for long-term measurements, a simplified version, the Aerosol Chemical Speciation Monitor (ACSM, Aerodyne Inc), is being installed at supersites globally (Ng et al., 2011). Both AMS and ACSM currently measure an aerosol fraction that is close to PM₁ although work is in progress to extend this to PM_{2.5}. While SJAC and PILS based instruments measure water soluble aerosol components, similar to the filter-pack reference
 method, the mass spectrometer detects the aerosol components that volatilise efficiently at ≈
 600°C, which has the advantage of also characterising the organic fraction of the aerosol,
 whereas it does not efficiently quantify the refractory chemical components such as sea salt and
 crustal aerosol.

6 A dual MARGA system measuring both PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} has been in operation at Auchencorth 7 Moss, South East Scotland since June 2006 as part of measurements being made by the UK 8 Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra) air quality monitoring network 9 (http://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/). To our knowledge the Auchencorth Moss MARGA is the longest known quasi-continuous operation of a dual MARGA system to date. Auchencorth Moss has 10 11 been developed as a Level II/III 'supersite' within the European Monitoring and Evaluation 12 Program (EMEP) (Aas et al., 2012). EMEP monitoring sites feed into the EMEP database which serves to underpin the organisation's modelling and policy role to provide governments 13 14 information on the deposition and concentration of air pollutants, and long-range transport of 15 air pollutants (Tørseth et al., 2012;UNECE, 2004).

The following study focuses on the first 6.5 years of data (1st June 2006 to 1st January 2013) from Auchencorth Moss, in conjunction with co-located measurements and air mass back trajectories. Daily, seasonal and annual variation of inorganic aerosol species and the influences of long-range transport for this remote rural site are discussed. The trace gases measured concurrently with the aerosol composition and gas/aerosol partitioning are described in a companion paper (Twigg et al., in preparation).

22

23 2 Methodology

24 **2.1 Field site description**

Auchencorth Moss, South East Scotland (55°47'36" N, 3°14'41" W), is an ombotrophic mire with an extensive fetch at an elevation of 270 m, lying 18 km SSW of Edinburgh, and can be categorised as a transitional lowland raised bog. The moss is extensively grazed by sheep all year round with < 1 sheep ha⁻¹. Under the European Environment Agency classification scheme, the site is classed as a rural background site (Larssen et al., 1999). This has recently been confirmed by Malley et al. (2014) who demonstrated that the site was remote in the context of O₃ measurements at EMEP stations. The meteorology is typical of a temperate system in the north of the UK. A summary of the meteorological conditions from June 2006 to the end of December 2012 can be found in Table 1. During 2010 atypical low rainfall was observed, however an additional 588 mm of precipitation fell as snow. Annual windroses for 2006 -2012 (Figure 1) show that the field site is dominated by a SW wind with a secondary NE flow occurring also.

7 Auchencorth Moss has been a long-term monitoring site for a number of trace gases including 8 NH₃, SO₂ and CO₂ (Famulari et al., 2010;Drewer et al., 2010;Flechard and Fowler, 1998). The 9 site belongs to a number of UK national networks including: UK Defra Automatic urban and 10 rural network (AURN), UK Acid gas and aerosol network (AGANet), UK National Ammonia 11 Monitoring Network (NAMN), UK Precip-Net (two-weekly bulk composition), UK PAH 12 Network, UK Automatic Hydrocarbon Monitoring Network, UK Black Carbon Network and 13 UK Toxic organic micropollutants (TOMPS) network. Details of the networks can be found on 14 the Defra website (http://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/networks/site-info?site id=ACTH). The site is 15 also a European 'Supersite' within the Co-operative Programme for Monitoring and Evaluation 16 of the Long-range Transmission of Air Pollutants in Europe (EMEP) (Tørseth et al., 2012), as well as one of 9 sites within the EU FP7 ÉCLAIRE project (http://www.eclaire-fp7.eu/) and a 17 18 TransNational Access (TNA) site within the European FP7 Infrastructure Network ACTRIS 19 (Aerosol, Clouds, and Trace gases Research InfraStructure Network). It was a Level 3 site within the EU FP6 NitroEurope IP (Sutton et al., 2007). In 2014, it became a World 20 21 Meteorological Organisation Global Atmosphere Watch (WMO GAW) regional site.

22 2.2 MARGA instrument

23 The MARGA 2S system (Metrohm Applikon B.V. Schiedam, NL) consists of two sampling 24 boxes and utilises ion chromatography to analyze for a range of water soluble trace gases and 25 aerosols. The MARGA 2S was set up to measure both PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} aerosol. Air is first drawn 26 through a common PM₁₀ Teflon coated inlet (URG Corporation, Chapel Hill, NC, USA) at 3.55 27 m. above ground level into a 0.89 m long polyethylene (PE) 14 mm ID inlet line, which is housed in the centre of an 11 cm OD polyvinyl chloride (PVC) conduit. The conduit has an 28 extractor fan at the base to draw air through based on the design used by Trebs et al. (2004) 29 aimed at keeping the sample at the temperature of the measurement height for as long as 30 possible. The PE tubing entering the air conditioned cabin (21°C) is split into two ¹/₄" PE sample 31

lines (0.4 m). The first line feeds directly into the first sampling box and the second sampling 1 2 line goes through a further PM_{2.5} cyclone (URG Coporation, Chapel Hill, NC, USA) before the 3 second sampling box. The flow rate in each sampling box is regulated to a volumetric flow of 4 1 m³ hr⁻¹ using a mass flow controller downstream of the sampling box. In the sampling box air 5 passes through a horizontal annular wet rotating denuder (WRD) (Keuken et al., 1988). The WRD is continuously coated with a thin film of solution which strips water soluble gases from 6 7 the laminar air stream; the addition of 10 ppm H₂O₂ acts as a biocide and also promotes oxidation of SO₂ initially trapped as HSO_3^- through to SO_4^{2-} . Water soluble aerosols do not 8 9 diffuse into the stripping solution due to their lower diffusion velocity. The air flow then enters 10 a steam jet aerosol collector (SJAC). The steam in the SJAC promotes rapid growth of water 11 soluble aerosols which are then separated out from the air flow mechanically in a cyclone. Details of the principles of the SJAC are described by Khlystov et al. (1995). The sampling 12 13 solutions are continuously drawn from the WRDs and SJACs to the analyzer box at a rate of 25 ml hr⁻¹ using syringe pumps. Samples are then analysed online by anion and cation 14 chromatography (Metrohm AG, Herisau, Switzerland). The system is continuously calibrated 15 16 by mixing the sample with a 325 mg/L internal standard of LiBr, prior to injection into the IC 17 columns. Anions are concentrated on a Metrosep A PCC 1 HC IC Preconcentration column 18 (2.29 ml) and then separated using a Metrosep A Supp 10-75 column (75 mm x 4.0 mm) using 19 a 7 mmol l⁻¹ Na₂CO₃ /8 mmol l¹ NaHCO₃ eluent. Cations are concentrated on a Metrosep C 20 PCC1 HC IC Preconcentration column (3.21 ml) and separated using a Metrosep C4 (100mm x 4.0mm) cation column. A 3.5 mmol l⁻¹ methanesulfonic acid (MSA) eluent was used for the 21 cation column, rather than the recommended 3.2 mmol l⁻¹ HNO₃ eluent used in other similar 22 systems. This was in order to eliminate a potential NO3⁻ artefact, which has been reported (ten 23 24 Brink et al. (2009); Makkonen et al. (2012)). For the anion column a 1M H₃PO₄ solution was 25 used for chemical suppression. Detection was by conductivity, where concentrations were 26 calculated based on their specific conductivities relative to the internal standard ions (Li⁺ and 27 Br⁻). These standard set-up conditions and all significant operational changes over the 6.5 years, 28 which could be considered to have affected performance or data capture, are summarised in Table 2. The increase in diameter of the SJACs described in table 2, reduced the restriction in 29 maintaining a flow rate, which was mass flow controlled at 1 m³ hr⁻¹ at standard temperature 30 and pressure (STP) until November 2011, whereas thereafter it was controlled to keep the 31 32 volumetric flow rate at ambient temperature and pressure through the size cuts constant.

The performance of the MARGA has been further discussed by Rumsey et al. (2014);Makkonen et al. (2012);ten Brink et al. (2009);Cowen et al. (2011). The deployment of pre-concentration columns sets our MARGA instrument aside from the others, with the exception of Makkonen *et al.* (2014), allowing quantitative detection of the low concentrations encountered at this clean Scottish site.

6

7 Quality analysis and quality Assurance

8 As discussed previously the MARGA used in this work was one of the first to be field deployed. 9 Processes were developed over the first several years which could be used to identify potential 10 sources of error or contamination in the MARGA data. Firstly, periodic field blanks were 11 carried out until 2011 by installing Whatman HEPA filters placed in front of the denuders and left on for ~24 hours. The filters removed aerosols but not the gas phase components from the 12 13 air stream. The resultant change in PM concentrations allowed a blank value for the PM analytes 14 to be assessed. Following the upgrade of the instrument in November 2011 (Table 2), automated 15 monthly blanks were implemented in 2012, where the air pump and SJAC water supply and heaters were turned off, allowing for blanks for both aerosol and gas phase to be carried out. 16 17 The blanks were not used to correct the data as they were usually below the detection limit (DL) of the instrument. Instead blanks were used to provide evidence of contamination in the system 18 19 and to identify periods to be removed in the data ratification process. Prior to 2012 verification and instrument maintenance protocols has been experimental and the authors are in the process 20 21 of finalising the protocols including calibration, which will be published separately. There was 22 a step change in 2012, when the initial developmental protocols for maintenance were replaced 23 by final protocols including quarterly replacement of inlets, cleaning of PM₁₀ head and PM_{2.5} 24 cyclone, monthly air flow calibrations and independent analysis of internal standards by a UKAS accredited laboratory. 25

In the data ratification process values reported as $0 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ were replaced with half the detection limit (DL). The method to determine the DL has changed over the 6 year period. From 2002 to 2011, the DL was taken as the average of the reported values below the manufacturers published DL. From 2012, the DL was calculated by the analysis of the logarithmic distribution of the measurements previously described by Kentisbeer et al. (2014), presented in table 3. The methodology for analysing the DL and calibrating this type of on-line IC instrument is an area of research in of itself and we plan to publish separately on this aspect of the MARGAoperation.

3

4 **2.3** Back trajectories and associated analysis

5 To relate the aerosol species to air masses, back trajectory analysis was carried out. Four-day 6 back trajectories at 3 hour intervals for Auchencorth Moss were obtained for the years 2007 to 7 2012 through the OpenAir software package (Carslaw, 2013), which calculates back trajectories 8 with the HYSPLIT Trajectory Model (Hybrid Single Model Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory 9 Model, (Draxler and Hess, 1997) using Global NOAA-NCEP/NCAR reanalysis data. A cluster analysis was then carried out using a routine in the OpenAir software, where data were clustered 10 11 using a distance matrix, in this case according to the similarity of the angle from their origin. 12 Further details of the calculations of the cluster analysis can be found in Carslaw (2013).

13 **3 Results and Discussion**

14 **3.1 Overview**

Table 4 summarises the annual data capture statistics for each compound. The Auchencorth MARGA was one of the first long-term field deployment of the MARGA instrument. Through troubleshooting and instrument improvements the data capture improved over the period reported with a highest data capture of 64% (average overall) in 2012. Though not reported here, data capture for 2013 is on average 83% for ratified data (http://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/). As seen in Table 4, Auchencorth Moss being a rural to remote site, the aerosol concentrations were low as there are no large local point pollution sources in the dominant SW wind direction.

22

3.2 Concentration trends from June 2006 to December 2012

The annual average concentrations from June 2006 to December 2012 are summarised in Table 4. Overall, the concentrations of individual species were generally low (< 1.5 μ g m⁻³). When compared with speciated PM_{2.5} measurements from a background site in the Midlands, UK (Harrison and Yin, 2010), Cl⁻ concentrations were higher at Auchencorth Moss based on annual averages (Table 4) but are in a similar range to other UK sites (Abdalmogith and Harrison, 2006). The average annual concentration of NO₃⁻ and SO₄²⁻ in the PM₁₀, on the other hand, were

larger in other parts of the UK including the other rural EMEP supersite at Harwell (Harrison 1 and Yin, 2010; Abdalmogith and Harrison, 2006). The maximum concentrations of the aerosol 2 3 components, however, show that there were periods where large PM pollution events took 4 place, which are hypothesised to have been due to long-range transport of polluted air masses. 5 In both the $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} , the largest concentrations of NH_4^+ and NO_3^- were recorded during 2012. SO₄²⁻ maximum concentrations were observed in 2007 and other species varied (Table 6 7 4). It is interesting that specific local events can be picked out from the data record, for example the maximum K⁺ concentration in 2012 of 2.61 µg m⁻³ occurred at 00:00 GMT on the 06 8 November2012 - Guy Fawkes or "fireworks" night in the UK. The meteorological conditions 9 10 that night were cool, with an average temperature of -0.1°C at midnight and the wind direction 11 was from the dominant wind sector (SW). An increase of K⁺ is not unexpected as such an increase is reported to occur following firework events (Vecchi et al., 2008;Drewnick et al., 12 13 2006). This example illustrates the utility of the hourly composition measurements to 14 understand specific atmospheric events.

15

16 The monthly median concentrations for all 6.5 years are presented in Figure 2. There is a clear 17 seasonal variation for Na⁺ and Cl⁻ for all years, with the exception of 2009, with lower 18 concentrations in the summer and higher concentrations in winter. This seasonality reflects 19 higher average wind speeds in winter leading to more marine aerosol in the atmosphere, as 20 previously observed at other sites in the UK, but for Cl⁻ is also consistent with increased NaCl 21 reaction with HNO₃ which also peaks in summer. Of the secondary inorganic pollutants, NO₃⁻ 22 shows individual peak concentrations only during the colder months, but not consistently while SO₄²⁻ and NH₄⁺, do not have particularly strong annual variation. The largest monthly median 23 concentration for NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ was observed in March 2012. SO₄²⁻ on the other hand does 24 not show the same feature; the maximum monthly concentrations were observed in July 2012. 25 Comparing the average diurnal cycles for 2007 to 2012 (Figure 3) it is apparent that the 26

27 contribution of PM_{coarse} is small compared with PM_{2.5}, where:

28
$$PM_{coarse} = PM_{10} - PM_{2.5}$$
 (1)

PM_{coarse} is dominated by sea salt (Na⁺ and Cl⁻). In the fine fraction (PM_{2.5}) NH₄⁺ aerosol dominates, as it is the major base in secondary inorganic aerosol (refer to Section 3.4). In the PM_{2.5} there is a decrease of NO₃⁻ during the afternoon in all seasons, though this feature is strongest in winter. This behaviour is consistent with that previously reported from other North

European sites (Nemitz et al., in preparation) including Harwell (UK) (Revuelta et al., 2012), 1 Cabauw (Netherlands) (Mensah et al., 2012), Melpitz (Germany) (Poulain et al., 2011) and 2 3 SMEAR II (Finland) (Makkonen et al., 2012). It is assumed that the majority of fine NO₃⁻ will 4 be in the form of NH₄NO₃ and that the relationship between the gas precursors, temperature, 5 RH and chemical composition explain the observed cycle (see the discussion on gas 6 concentrations at this site Twigg et al. (in preparation)). Timonen et al. (2011), who had also 7 reported a decrease of daytime NO_3^- in Helsinki, explained the decrease to be the result of 8 increased boundary layer mixing as the same feature was observed in black carbon. At 9 Auchencorth Moss this behaviour of black carbon is not observed, instead the annual diurnal 10 average shows an increase of black carbon during the day (Cape et al., 2012). It is therefore 11 probable that diurnal variation in temperature and relative humidity exert a stronger influence on the PM_{2.5} NO₃⁻ at this site than the depth of the mixing layer. PM_{2.5} SO₄²⁻ at Auchencorth 12 13 Moss, on the other hand, shows an increase in concentration during the day, with the feature strongest in the summer. The increase in SO_4^{2-} is interpreted to be the effect of stronger 14 insolation in summer, which drives the oxidation of SO_2 to form sulphuric acid and finally SO_4^{2-} 15 16 , due to the increase in OH radicals.

17 **3.3 Ion Balance**

The ion balance was calculated for PM_{2.5} and PM_{coarse} for the year 2012. Figure 4a) and b) show 18 19 the ion balance of the secondary inorganic species, while figs. 4c) and d) show the full ion 20 balance of the measured species. In both the PM_{2.5} and PM_{coarse} it is clear that though there is 21 good correlation, there appears to be an excess of NH_4^+ . This is not the first time excess NH_4^+ 22 has been observed in aerosol measurements (Mensah et al., 2012). It is thought that water soluble organic acids such as oxalate may be the missing species to close the ion balance. Some 23 of the Cl⁻ measured by the MARGA is likely to represent NH₄Cl which would affect the partial 24 25 ion balance of Fig. 4a, but not the full ion balance of Fig. 4b. However, Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (AMS) measurements suggest that this contribution is negligible in S Scotland 26 27 (Nemitz et al., in preparation). On the other hand, some of the NO_3^- in the partial ion balance is 28 expected to represent NaNO₃, even in PM_{2.5}, and the excess NH₄⁺ may be even larger than suggested by Figure 4a. Makkonen et al. (2012) observed that in Finland the ion balance was 29 30 seasonal, with acidic aerosol in winter and a basic ion balance in spring. This seasonal trend 31 was not observed at Auchencorth Moss, with the average seasonal ion balance always basic (i.e. excess NH4⁺) in character and is consistent with AMS measurements, that have 32

demonstrated that in Europe acidic aerosol is only found in NE, E and S Europe, while there is
 always excess ammonia in NW and W Central Europe (Nemitz et al., in preparation; Morgan et
 al., 2010).

4 **3.4** Sea salt and sea salt processing

~

5 An overview of the average inorganic $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{coarse} composition based on mass is 6 presented in Figure 5. Sea salt is presented as the individual species of measured Na⁺, sea salt 7 Cl⁻ (ssCl⁻), sea salt SO₄²⁻ (ssSO₄²⁻) sea salt Mg²⁺ (ssMg²⁺), sea salt Ca²⁺ (ssCa²⁺) and sea salt 8 K⁺ (ssK⁺), which were calculated based on the known mass ratio to Na⁺ in sea water (Seinfeld 9 and Pandis, 2006):

10
$$[ssCl^{-}] = 1.8 \times [Na^{+}]$$
 (2)

11
$$[ssSO_4^{2-}] = 0.252 \times [Na^+]$$
 (3)

12
$$[ssMg^{2+}] = 0.12 \times [Na^+]$$
 (4)

13
$$[ssCa^{2+}] \text{ or } [ssK^+] = 0.04 \times [Na^+]$$
 (5)

As would be expected, the dominant fraction of the coarse aerosol at this site is from sea salt 14 (73%); this is larger than reported at other European sites such as SMEAR III, near Helsinki 15 16 (Makkonen et al., 2012), probably because of proximity to the ocean in all wind directions. 17 There is also a large contribution from NO_3^{-1} in the coarse fraction. This is not the first time that 18 a large proportion of NO₃⁻ has been reported in the coarse mode; the same was observed in 19 Melpitz, Germany and has been explained to be the result of chloride-nitrate exchange that takes place on coarse aerosol during long range transport of sea salt (Spindler et al., 20 2012:Dasgupta et al., 2007). This was further evident as the average non-sea salt Cl⁻ mass was 21 -0.17 μ g m⁻³ and -0.08 μ g m⁻³ for PM_{2.5} and PM_{coarse} respectively, where: 22

23 Non-sea salt
$$Cl^{-} = [Cl^{-}]_{measured} - [ssCl^{-}]_{calculated}$$
 (6)

To investigate the process of sea salt substitution by reaction with HNO₃ further, the ratios of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ were compared with NO₃⁻ in the coarse mode for the year 2012. In general, larger NO₃⁻ concentrations tended to be observed on occasions where a depletion of Cl⁻ was observed, though this was not true for all cases (Figure 6). It should be noted, however, that the concentrations of PM_{coarse} are calculated as differences (Eq. 1) and therefore subject to considerable uncertainty.

1 $PM_{2.5}$ on the other hand is dominated by the secondary inorganic aerosol (SIA) (NH_4^+ , NO_3^-) 2 and SO_4^{2-}) (Figure 5), with a total contribution of 63% to the total measured mass by the 3 MARGA, which is to be anticipated. NO_3^{-1} is the dominant mass of the SIA at Auchencorth 4 Moss accounting for 26% for the total water soluble species detected by the MARGA. A similar 5 comparison has been carried out by a MARGA operated at SMEAR III (near Helsinki, Finland) where SO_4^{2-} was the dominating mass responsible for 50.4% of the total inorganic PM_{2.5} mass 6 7 reported by the MARGA (Makkonen et al., 2012). This is not surprising as it has also been 8 shown by Nemitz et al. (in preparation), from AMS studies, that in Finland PM₁ is dominated by SO_4^{2-} , whereas in the UK and the rest of NW Europe, NO_3^{-} is the dominant SIA. Sea salt, 9 10 however, still makes a considerable contribution (35%) to the average measured PM_{2.5} by the 11 MARGA, bearing in mind that the cut-off might have been somewhat larger than 2.5 µm until November 2011 (see above). In 2012, sea salt still made a major contribution to the total mass 12 of the $PM_{2.5}$ (30%), where there is the greatest confidence in the cut-off of the cyclone. There 13 14 was a clear increase of PM_{2.5} Na⁺ with wind speed for 2012 (Figure 7) in the dominant wind 15 sector (refer to Figure 1), suggesting that PM_{2.5} Na⁺ was related to sea salt and its presence at 16 the site is driven by meteorology.

Potassium (K⁺) is present in sea salt and when the available 2012 PM_{2.5} data was compared to 17 18 the concentration of Na⁺ it tended to follow the known ratio in sea water (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006), Figure 8. The greatest deviation from this curve appears to be in periods of high black 19 20 carbon (BC) concentrations. PM_{coarse} however had much scatter. High concentrations of black 21 carbon are often associated with combustion processes, though K⁺ can also occur as a product 22 of other anthropogenic sources. There was clear evidence in the PM_{2.5} that high concentrations of K⁺ were associated with increased BC pointing to a contribution from combustion sources 23 or biomass burning (Figure 8). The measured Mg^{2+}/Na^{+} ratio in $PM_{2.5}$ followed the known 24 25 ratio in sea water (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006). The same comparison was done for PM_{coarse}, 26 however there was much more scatter in the data.

3.5 Comparison of total inorganic aerosol with TEOM-FDMS measurements

The total average water-soluble inorganic aerosol mass measured by the MARGA for the period January 2007 to December 2012 was 3.82 μ g m⁻³ and 5.04 μ g m⁻³ for PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀, respectively. The measured mass by the MARGA was compared to the Tapered Element Oscillating Microbalance Filter Dynamic Measurement System (TEOM-FDMS) which

1 measures the total aerosol mass; total mass data were obtained from the AURN network 2 (http://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/networks/network-info?view=aurn) for the 6 years of interest (2007 to 2012). It was found that the PM_{10} mass measured by the MARGA accounted for 78% of total 3 PM₁₀ measured by the TEOM-FDMS, on average. It is not the first time that inorganic water 4 5 soluble aerosols have been found to be major contributors to the total mass in Europe (Putaud et al., 2010). Aerosol components not resolved by the MARGA include organic aerosols, BC, 6 7 water and crustal elements such as silicate. Organic aerosol often accounts for a larger fraction 8 of the PM₁₀ mass at central European background sites than the missing mass at Auchencorth 9 allows for. This is consistent with AMS measurements in S.Scotland that also indicate relatively 10 low contributions from organic aerosol (Nemitz et al., in preparation).

Table 5 summarises the annual mass fraction that is accounted for by the MARGA instrument 11 12 when compared with the TEOM-FDMS for both PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$. It is very clear that there are 13 discrepancies between the measured PM_{2.5} by the MARGA and that by TEOM-FDMS. Mass 14 closure improved in 2012, probably in response to the improved flow control implemented in 15 November 2011 on the MARGA (see above). An alternative explanation is that the PM concentrations at Auchencorth are close to the detection limits of the TEOM-FDMS, which is 16 17 indicated by the large percentage of negative values reported by the instrument over the period January 2007 to December 2012 (26% and 10% respectively for PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀), the annual 18 19 variation of which can be found in Table 5. During the 6 years presented, the fraction of 20 negative values for PM₁₀ declined, while it stayed constant for PM_{2.5}. It therefore can be 21 concluded that the PM_{2.5} TEOM-FDMS at Auchencorth Moss has an offset, as has 22 previously been commented by Laxen et al. (2012). It is therefore not possible to comment on 23 what the true contribution of the measured water soluble inorganic mass measured by the 24 MARGA is to the total PM_{2.5}.

25 **3.6** Influence of air mass on aerosol composition

Due to the remote location of the site, the origin of air masses at the site influences the aerosol composition. Back trajectories, run over a 96 hour period, were obtained at 3-hour intervals for the years 2007 to 2012, which were then clustered, details of which can be found in Section 2.3. Figure 9 displays the mean trajectory for each of the 6 clusters assigned. The average concentration over the 6 year period for each cluster and the % species contribution to the total measured concentration by the MARGA are summarised in Figure 10. When calculating the average associated with each cluster, data were only used when all species were available. As

1 would be expected, the air masses from the Atlantic Ocean and the Arctic (clusters 1, 2 and 4) 2 are dominated by Na⁺ and Cl⁻ aerosol in PM_{coarse}. In the PM_{2.5}, the same clusters show a large 3 contribution from Na⁺ and Cl⁻, with the largest contribution in the Atlantic air mass (Cluster 1). 4 Air masses which go over land tend to have the greatest contribution from secondary inorganic 5 aerosols, as seen in clusters 5 and 6. Air masses, in particular from continental Europe (Cluster 6), have the largest average molar concentrations of NH_4^+ and NO_3^- , even in the coarse fraction. 6 The dominance of NO_3^- compared to SO_4^{2-} from air trajectories from continental Europe has 7 previously been highlighted by Abdalmogith and Harrison (2005), who explained this to be the 8 9 result of high NO_x/SO₂ emissions ratios in Western Europe.

10 **3.7** Aerosol composition during high pollution events

In order to optimise emission controls for the protection of human health against high 11 12 concentration episodes, it is important to know which chemical components dominate when air concentrations are large. Figure 11 shows the average relative aerosol contribution as a function 13 14 of total aerosol concentration for 2012 as an example, together with the histogram of the frequency with which different aerosol concentrations occur. The period with the highest 15 concentrations recorded at the site by the MARGA are dominated by secondary inorganic 16 aerosols, in particular by NH_4^+ and NO_3^- , with a smaller contribution from SO_4^{2-} . This is not 17 18 the first time that NO₃⁻ has been found to be a dominating species during pollution events in the 19 UK. Vieno et al. (2014) reported NO_3^- as a dominating fraction during pollution events at a site 20 (Bush) approximately 10 km NE from the Auchencorth Moss field site. They show that the NO_3^{-} during pollution events at this site arise from a combination of emissions from the UK 21 22 and continental Europe, but that the relative importance depends on synoptic conditions and 23 differs greatly between episodes with the UK contribution ranging from 35% and 80% (Vieno 24 et al., 2014). The Auchencorth measurements demonstrate the importance of controlling the 25 emissions of NH₄NO₃ precursor gas concentrations (NH₃ and NO_x) in both the UK and the rest of Europe for controlling the high pollution episodes. 26

The concentration dependence of the relative aerosol composition (Figure 11) also shows that sea salt dominates the aerosol composition at moderate aerosol loading (2 to 12 μ g m⁻³) while the relative contribution of K⁺ and Ca²⁺ increases at very low concentrations (<2 μ g m⁻³). Even under very clean conditions, there is a basic concentration of crustal material.

1 4 Summary and conclusions

2 The first six and a half years of chemically speciated PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ measurements from the 3 MARGA at Auchencorth Moss have been analysed. This study has provided greater detail in 4 the long term temporal variations of inorganic species in the UK background atmosphere and 5 confirmed the status of the field site as a background site in the European context, where concentrations of the inorganic species were low over the 6.5 years. The dynamic changes 6 7 between air masses dominated by anthropogenic and natural sources is clearly observable on 8 an inter-annual scale and continuation of these long term measurements will be a valuable 9 resource to understand long term trends in PM composition in response to climate and policy 10 drivers.

11 The average ion balance at this site was biased towards cations some of which would probably 12 have been neutralised by organic acids such as oxalic acid. Additional studies to identify the missing water soluble species would therefore be beneficial at this site to close the ion balance. 13 14 Comparison with the TEOM-FDMS bulk mass method found that the compounds resolved by the MARGA instrument accounted on average for 78% of the PM₁₀ mass measured at 15 16 Auchencorth Moss, with considerable uncertainty due to changes in the MARGA configuration 17 over the period and the detection limits of the TEOM-FMDS and possible difference in the 18 characteristics of the PM₁₀ inlets. One recommendation is to add a continuous measurement of 19 the organic aerosol mass at Auchencorth Moss to determine its contribution to the total mass, 20 due to the regional importance of this site.

Based on monthly median concentration, Na⁺ and Cl⁻ generally were found to vary seasonally 21 22 due to the meteorology of the site, with the highest concentrations in winter when the average wind speed was greatest. As expected NH₄⁺ dominated the finer PM_{2.5} aerosol in terms of µmol 23 24 m^{-3} , as it is the major base for aerosol in the atmosphere and free ammonia is always available in NW Europe. The influence of long-range transport at this site is evident, with sea salt 25 26 dominating air masses originating from the Arctic and Atlantic Ocean, whereas SIA dominate 27 air masses that originate over land, with largest contributions from continental Europe. It 28 therefore supports the importance of a transboundary co-operation, in controlling precursor 29 gases such as NO_x and NH_3 as highlighted in this long term study, where NH_4^+ and NO_3^- tended 30 to be the drivers of the (regional) pollution events observed at this background site. The dominance of NO_3^{-1} compared to SO_4^{2-1} was evident too in the diurnal cycles, with the exception 31 32 of summer and provides evidence of a shift in recent decades from sulphur to nitrogen driven 1 chemical climate. The air quality implications of the NH_4^+ and NO_3^- predominance during high 2 PM loading events provide insight for future mitigation of PM impacts. Additional studies of 3 gas-to-particle conversions at this field site will help to understand sulphur-nitrogen budget and 4 atmospheric chemical processing to form PM (Twigg et al., in preparation).

5

6 5 Acknowledgements

7 Back trajectories were calculated on the NOAA ARL Ready Website using the HYSPLIT 8 (HYbrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory) Model (Draxler, R. R. and Hess, G. 9 D.,2003, NOAA Air Resources Laboratory, Silver Spring, MD, USA). The authors would like 10 to thank the following for funding this work: the UK Department for Environment, Food and 11 Rural Affairs (Defra) and the Devolved Administrations, through the projects "Operation and Management of the EMEP Supersite project" (AQ0618) and the "UK Eutrophying and 12 Acidifying Atmospheric Pollutants (UKEAP) project" (AQ0647). Auchencorth Moss is 13 supported by the EU FP7 Infrastructure Project "ACTRIS". Thanks go to Ms Margaret 14 15 Anderson, Mr Ivan Simmons, Mr Frank Harvey, Dr Gavin J Phillips and Mr Robert Storeton-West for their assistance in the operation of the instrument. 16

1 6 References

- 2 Aas, W., Tsyro, S., Bieber, E., Bergström, R., Ceburnis, D., Ellermann, T., Fagerli, H., Frölich, M., Gehrig, R., Makkonen, U., Nemitz, E., Otjes, R., Perez, N., Perrino, C., Prévôt, A. S. H.,
- 3 Putaud, J. P., Simpson, D., Spindler, G., Vana, M., and Yttri, K. E.: Lessons learnt from the
- 4
- 5 first EMEP intensive measurement periods, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 8073-8094, 10.5194/acp-
- 6 12-8073-2012, 2012.
- 7 Abdalmogith, S. S., and Harrison, R. M.: The use of trajectory cluster analysis to examine the long-range transport of secondary inorganic aerosol in the UK, Atmospheric Environment, 39, 8
- 9 6686-6695, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.07.059, 2005.
- 10 Abdalmogith, S. S., and Harrison, R. M.: An analysis of spatial and temporal properties of daily sulfate, nitrate and chloride concentrations at UK urban and rural sites, Journal of 11
- 12 Environmental Monitoring, 8, 691-699, 2006.
- 13 Ansmann, A., Bösenberg, J., Chaikovsky, A., Comerón, A., Eckhardt, S., Eixmann, R.,
- 14 Freudenthaler, V., Ginoux, P., Komguem, L., Linné, H., Márquez, M. Á. L., Matthias, V.,
- 15 Mattis, I., Mitev, V., Müller, D., Music, S., Nickovic, S., Pelon, J., Sauvage, L., Sobolewsky,
- P., Srivastava, M. K., Stohl, A., Torres, O., Vaughan, G., Wandinger, U., and Wiegner, M.: 16
- 17 Long-range transport of Saharan dust to northern Europe: The 11–16 October 2001 outbreak
- 18 observed with EARLINET, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 108, 4783,
- 19 10.1029/2003jd003757, 2003.
- 20 Cape, J. N., Coyle, M., and Dumitrean, P.: The atmospheric lifetime of black carbon,
- 21 Atmospheric Environment, 59, 256-263, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.05.030,
- 22 2012.
- 23 Carslaw, D. C., and Ropkins, K.: Openair - an R package for air quality data analysis. , 24 Environmental Modelling & Software., 27-28, 52-61., 2012.
- 25 Carslaw, D. C.: The openair manual — open-source tools for analysing air pollution data. 26 Manual for version 0.8-0., King's College London., 2013.
- Carslaw, K. S., Lee, L. A., Reddington, C. L., Pringle, K. J., Rap, A., Forster, P. M., Mann, G. 27
- 28 W., Spracklen, D. V., Woodhouse, M. T., Regayre, L. A., and Pierce, J. R.: Large contribution 29 of natural aerosols to uncertainty in indirect forcing, Nature, 503, 67-71, 2013.
- Cowen, K., Hanft, E., Kelly, T., Dindal, A., and McKernan, J.: Environmental technology 30
- 31 verification report, ETV advanced monitoring systems center, Applikon MARGA semi-
- 32 continuous ambient air monitoring system, US EPA, Battelle, 2011.
- Crippa, M., Canonaco, F., Lanz, V. A., Äijälä, M., Allan, J. D., Carbone, S., Capes, G., 33
- 34 Ceburnis, D., Dall'Osto, M., Day, D. A., DeCarlo, P. F., Ehn, M., Eriksson, A., Frenev, E.,
- 35 Hildebrandt Ruiz, L., Hillamo, R., Jimenez, J. L., Junninen, H., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Kortelainen, A. M., Kulmala, M., Laaksonen, A., Mensah, A. A., Mohr, C., Nemitz, E.,
- 36 37 O'Dowd, C., Ovadnevaite, J., Pandis, S. N., Petäjä, T., Poulain, L., Saarikoski, S., Sellegri, K.,
- 38 Swietlicki, E., Tiitta, P., Worsnop, D. R., Baltensperger, U., and Prévôt, A. S. H.: Organic
- 39 aerosol components derived from 25 AMS data sets across Europe using a consistent ME-2
- 40 based source apportionment approach, Atmos Chem Phys, 14, 6159-6176, 10.5194/acp-14-
- 41 6159-2014, 2014.
- 42 Dasgupta, P. K., Campbell, S. W., Al-Horr, R. S., Rahmat Ullah, S. M., Li, J., Amalfitano, C.,
- 43 and Poor, N. D. A. E.: Convention of sea salt aerosol to NaNO3 and the production of HCl:

- analysis of temporal behaviour of aerosol chloride/nitrate and gaseous HCl/HNO3
 concentrations with AIM., Atmospheric Environement, 41, 4242–4257 2007.
- 3 Draxler, R. R., and Hess, G. D.: Description of the HYSPLIT_4 modeling system. , NOAA Air
- 4 Resources Laboratory, Silver Spring, MD, 24, 1997.
- 5 Drewer, J., Lohila, A., Aurela, M., Laurila, T., Minkkinen, K., Penttilä, T., Dinsmore, K. J.,
- 6 Mckenzie, R. M., Helfter, C., Flechard, C., Sutton, M. A., and Skiba, U. M.: Comparison of
- 7 greenhouse gas fluxes and nitrogen budgets from an ombotrophic bog in Scotland and a
- 8 minerotrophic sedge fen in Finland, Eur J Soil Sci, 61, 640-650, DOI 10.1111/j.1365-
- 9 2389.2010.01267.x, 2010.
- 10 Drewnick, F., Hings, S. S., Curtius, J., Eerdekens, G., and Williams, J.: Measurement of fine
- 11 particulate and gas-phase species during the New Year's fireworks 2005 in Mainz, Germany,
- 12 Atmospheric Environment, 40, 4316-4327, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.03.040</u>,
- 13 2006.
- 14 Famulari, D., Fowler, D., Nemitz, E., Hargreaves, K. J., Storeton-West, R. L., Rutherford, G.,
- 15 Tang, Y. S., Sutton, M. A., and Weston, K. J.: Development of a low-cost system for measuring
- 16 conditional time-averaged gradients of SO2 and NH3, Environ Monit Assess, 161, 11-27, DOI
- 17 10.1007/s10661-008-0723-6, 2010.
- 18 Flechard, C., and Fowler, D.: Atmospheric ammonia at a moorland site. I: The meteorological
- 19 control of ambient ammonia concentrations and the influence of local sources. , Quarterly
- 20 Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society 124 733 -757, 1998
- Harrison, R. M., and Yin, J.: Chemical speciation of PM2.5 particles at urban background and
 rural sites in the UK atmosphere, Journal of Environmental Monitoring, 12, 1404-1414,
 10.1039/c000329h, 2010.
- 24 Karanasiou, A., Moreno, N., Moreno, T., Viana, M., de Leeuw, F., and Querol, X.: Health
- 25 effects from Sahara dust episodes in Europe: Literature review and research gaps, Environment
- 26 International, 47, 107-114, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2012.06.012</u>, 2012.
- 27 Kentisbeer, J., Leeson, S. R., Malcolm, H. M., Leith, I. D., Braban, C. F., and Cape, J. N.: Patterns
- and source analysis for atmospheric mercury at Auchencorth Moss, Scotland, Environmental
 Science: Processes & Impacts, 16, 1112-1123, doi: 10.1039/c3em00700f, 2014.
- 29 Science. Processes & impacts, 10, 1112-1125, 001. 10.1059/C5em007001, 2014.
- Keuken, M. P., Schoonebeek, C. A. M., van Wensveen-Louter, A., and Slanina, J.:
 Simultaneous sampling of NH₃, HNO₃, HCl, SO₂ and H₂O₂ in ambient air by wet annular
 denuder system, Atmospheric Environment, 22, 2541-2548, 1988.
- 32 Khlystov, A., Wyers, G. P., and Slanina, J.: The steam-jet aerosol collector, Atmospheric
- 34 Environment, 29, 2229-2234, 1995.
- Laxen, D., Heal, M., Vieno, M., and Bigg, M.: PM_{2.5} in Scotland: A report for SEPA., Air
 Quality Consultants Ltd, Bristol, UK.1408/1/F2, 2012.
- 37 Makkonen, U., Virkkula, A., Mäntykenttä, J., Hakola, H., Keronen, P., Vakkari, V., and Aalto,
- 38 P. P.: Semi-continuous gas and inorganic aerosol measurements at a Finnish urban site:
- 39 comparisons with filters, nitrogen in aerosol and gas phases, and aerosol acidity, Atmos. Chem.
- 40 Phys., 12, 5617-5631, 10.5194/acp-12-5617-2012, 2012.
- 41 Makkonen, U., Virkkula, A., Hellén, H., Hemmilä, M., Sund, J., Äijälä, M., Ehn, M., Junninen,
- 42 H., Keronen, P., Petäjä, T., Worsnop, D. R., Kulmala, M. and Hakola, H.: Semi-continuous gas

- 1 and inorganic aerosol measurements at a boreal forest site: seasonal and diurnal cycles of NH3,
- 2 HONO and HNO₃. Boreal Env. Res. 19 (suppl. B): 311–328. 2014,
- 3 Malley, C. S., Braban, C. F., and Heal, M. R.: The application of hierarchical cluster analysis
- 4 and non-negative matrix factorization to European atmospheric monitoring site classification,
- 5 Atmospheric Research, 138, 30-40, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2013.10.019</u>, 2014.
- 6 Markovic, M. Z., VandenBoer, T. C., and Murphy, J. G.: Characterization and optimization of
- 7 an online system for the simultanous mesurement of atmospheric water-soluble constituents in
- 8 the gas and particle phases. , J. Environ. Monitor., 10.1039/c2em00004k, 2012.
- 9 Mensah, A. A., Holzinger, R., Otjes, R., Trimborn, A., Mentel, T. F., ten Brink, H., Henzing,
- 10 B., and Kiendler-Scharr, A.: Aerosol chemical composition at Cabauw, The Netherlands as
- observed in two intensive periods in May 2008 and March 2009, Atmos Chem Phys, 12, 4723-
- 12 4742, DOI 10.5194/acp-12-4723-2012, 2012.
- 13 Morgan, W. T., Allan, J. D., Bower, K. N., Highwood, E. J., Liu, D., McMeeking, G. R.,
- Northway, M. J., Williams, P. I., Krejci, R., and Coe, H.: Airborne measurements of the spatial
 distribution of aerosol chemical composition across Europe and evolution of the organic
 fraction Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10 4065 4083 2010.
- 17 Nemitz, E., Prevot, A. S. H., Vieno, M., Äijälä, M., Allan, J., Berresheim, H., Cabone, S.,
- 18 Canagaratna, M., Capes, G., Ceburnis, D., Choularton, T., Coe, H., Cubison, M., Dall'Osto,
- 19 M., Di Marco, C. F., DeCarlo, P., Ehn, M., Eriksson, A., Freney, E., Herrmann, H., Jimenez,
- 20 J.-L., Hildebrandt, L., Juninen, H., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Laaksonen, A., Lanz, V., McFiggans,
- 21 G., Mensah, A., Mentel, T. F., Mohr, C., O'Dowd, C., Ortega, A., Ovadnevaite, J., Pagels, J.,
- 22 Pandis, S., Phillips, G. J., Poulain, L., Raatikainen, T., Saarikoski, S., Sellegri, K., Spindler, G.,
- 23 Sueper, D., Swietlicki, E., Tiitta, P., and Worsnop, D. R.: A snapshot European climatology of
- submicron aerosol chemical composition derived from an Aerosol Mass Spectrometer network,
- 25 in preparation.
- Ng, N. L., Herndon, S. C., Trimborn, A., Canagaratna, M. R., Croteau, P. L., Onasch, T. B.,
 Sueper, D., Worsnop, D. R., Zhang, Q., Sun, Y. L., and Jayne, J. T.: An Aerosol Chemical
 Speciation Monitor (ACSM) for Routine Monitoring of the Composition and Mass
 Concentrations of Ambient Aerosol, Aerosol Science and Technology, 45, 780-794,
 10.1080/02786826.2011.560211, 2011.
- Poulain, L., Spindler, G., Birmili, W., Plass-Dülmer, C., Wiedensohler, A., and Herrmann, H.:
 Seasonal and diurnal variations of particulate nitrate and organic matter at the IfT research
- 33 station Melpitz, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 12579-12599, 10.5194/acp-11-12579-2011, 2011.
- Putaud, J. P., Van Dingenen, R., Alastuey, A., Bauer, H., Birmili, W., Cyrys, J., Flentje, H.,
 Fuzzi, S., Gehrig, R., Hansson, H. C., Harrison, R. M., Herrmann, H., Hitzenberger, R., Hüglin,
 C., Jones, A. M., Kasper-Giebl, A., Kiss, G., Kousa, A., Kuhlbusch, T. A. J., Löschau, G.,
 Maenhaut, W., Molnar, A., Moreno, T., Pekkanen, J., Perrino, C., Pitz, M., Puxbaum, H.,
 Querol, X., Rodriguez, S., Salma, I., Schwarz, J., Smolik, J., Schneider, J., Spindler, G., ten
 Brink, H., Tursic, J., Viana, M., Wiedensohler, A., and Raes, F.: A European aerosol
 phenomenology 3: Physical and chemical characteristics of particulate matter from 60 rural,
- 41 urban, and kerbside sites across Europe, Atmospheric Environment, 44, 1308-1320,
- 42 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.12.011</u>, 2010.
- 43 Revuelta, M. A., Harrison, R. M., Núñez, L., Gomez-Moreno, F. J., Pujadas, M., and Artíñano,
- 44 B.: Comparison of temporal features of sulphate and nitrate at urban and rural sites in Spain

- 1
 and
 the
 UK,
 Atmospheric
 Environment,
 60,
 383-391,

 2
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.07.004, 2012.
 60,
 383-391,
- 3 Rumsey, I. C., Cowen, K. A., Walker, J. T., Kelly, T. J., Hanft, E. A., Mishoe, K., Rogers, C.,
- 4 Proost, R., Beachley, G. M., Lear, G., Frelink, T., and Otjes, R. P.: An assessment of the
- 5 performance of the Monitor for AeRosols and GAses in ambient air (MARGA): a semi-
- 6 continuous method for soluble compounds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 5639-5658, 10.5194/acp-
- 7 14-5639-2014, 2014.
- 8 Seinfeld, J. H., and Pandis, S. N.: Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics: From Air Pollution to
 9 Climate Change, 2nd ed., John Wiley & Sons., New Jersey, 1203 pp., 2006.
- 10 Spindler, G., Gnauk, T., Gruner, A., Limuma, Y., Muller, K., Scheinhardt, S., and Herrmann,
- 11 H.: Size-segregated characterization of PM10 at the EMEP site Melpitz (Germany) using a five-
- 12 stage impactor: a six year study, Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry, 69, 127-157, DOI
- 13 10.1007/s10874-012-9233-6, 2012.
- 14 Sutton, M. A., Nemitz, E., Erisman, J. W., Beier, C., Bahl, K. B., Cellier, P., de Vries, W.,
- 15 Cotrufo, F., Skiba, U., Di Marco, C., Jones, S., Laville, P., Soussana, J. F., Loubet, B., Twigg,
- 16 M., Famulari, D., Whitehead, J., Gallagher, M. W., Neftel, A., Flechard, C. R., Herrmann, B.,
- 17 Calanca, P. L., Schjoerring, J. K., Daemmgen, U., Horvath, L., Tang, Y. S., Emmett, B. A.,
- 18 Tietema, A., Peñuelas, J., Kesik, M., Brueggemann, N., Pilegaard, K., Vesala, T., Campbell, C.
- 19 L., Olesen, J. E., Dragosits, U., Theobald, M. R., Levy, P., Mobbs, D. C., Milne, R., Viovy, N.,
- 20 Vuichard, N., Smith, J. U., Smith, P., Bergamaschi, P., Fowler, D., and Reis, S.: Challenges in
- 21 quantifying biosphere-atmosphere exchange of nitrogen species, Environmental Pollution,
- 22 150, 125-139, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2007.04.014</u>, 2007.
- 23 Tang, Y. S., Dragosits, U., Dijk, N., Love, L., Simmons, I., and Sutton, M.: Assessment of
- 24 Ammonia and Ammonium Trends and Relationship to Critical Levels in the UK National
- 25 Ammonia Monitoring Network (NAMN), in: Atmospheric Ammonia, edited by: Sutton, M.,
- 26 Reis, S., and Baker, S. H., Springer, Dordrecht, Netherlands, 187-194, 2009.
- ten Brink, H., Otjes, R., Jongejan, P., and Kos, G.: Monitoring of the ratio of nitrate to sulphate
 in size-segregated submicron aerosol in the Netherlands, Atmospheric Research, 92, 270-276,
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2008.12.003, 2009.
- 30 Timonen, H., Aurela, M., Saarnio, K., Frey, A., Saarikoski, S., Teinilä, K., Kulmala, M., and
- Hillamo, R.: Monitoring of inorganic ions, carbonaceous matter and mass in ambient aerosol particles with online and offline methods, Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 4, 6577-6614,
- 33 10.5194/amtd-4-6577-2011, 2011.
- 34 Tørseth, K., Aas, W., Breivik, K., Fjæraa, A. M., Fiebig, M., Hjellbrekke, A. G., Lund Myhre,
- 35 C., Solberg, S., and Yttri, K. E.: Introduction to the European Monitoring and Evaluation
- 36 Programme (EMEP) and observed atmospheric composition change during 1972–2009,
- 37 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 5447-5481, 10.5194/acp-12-5447-2012, 2012.
- 38 Trebs, I., Meixner, F. X., Slanina, J., Otjes, R., Jongejan, P., and Andreae, M. O.: Real-time
- 39 measurements of ammonia, acidic trace gases and water-soluble inorganic aerosol species at a
- 40 rural site in the Amazon Basin, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 4, 967-987, 2004.
- 41 Twigg, M. M., Di Marco, C. F., van Dijk, N., Leeson, S., Jones, M. R., Leith, I., Morrison, E.,
- Proost, R., Peeters, A., Frelink, T., Braban, C. F., Nemitz, E., and Cape, J. N.: First UK long
 term speciated high resolution measurements of water soluble aerosols and gases at a
- 44 background site. Part 2: Gases, in preparation.

- 1 Vecchi, R., Bernardoni, V., Cricchio, D., D'Alessandro, A., Fermo, P., Lucarelli, F., Nava, S.,
- 2 Piazzalunga, A., and Valli, G.: The impact of fireworks on airborne particles, Atmospheric
- 3 Environment, 42, 1121-1132, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.10.047</u>, 2008.
- 4 Vieno, M., Heal, M. R., Hallsworth, S., Famulari, D., Doherty, R. M., Dore, A. J., Tang, Y. S.,
- 5 Braban, C. F., Leaver, D., Sutton, M. A., and Reis, S.: The role of long-range transport and
- 6 domestic emissions in determining atmospheric secondary inorganic particle concentrations
- 7 across the UK, Atmos Chem Phys, 14, 8435-8447, 10.5194/acp-14-8435-2014, 2014.
- 8 Weber, R. J., Orsini, D., Daun, Y., Lee, Y. N., Klotz, P. J., and Brechtel, F.: A Particle-into-
- 9 Liquid Collector for Rapid Measurement of Aerosol Bulk Chemical Composition, Aerosol
- 10 Science and Technology, 35, 718-727, 10.1080/02786820152546761, 2001.
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14

1	Table 1 Summary of metrological conditions for the period June 2006 to December 2012

Year	Total Rainfall (mm)	Air 7	Cemperat (°C)	ure	Windspee (m s		RH (%)	St (W m ⁻²)
		Median	Min	Max	Median	Max	Mean	Mean
2006 ^a	740	11.2	-5.4	28.5	2.8	13.3	88.6	99.52
2007	1124	7.8	-9.7	22.1	3.0	24.8	83.0	88.67
2008	1212	7.3	-8.4	23.2	2.9	24.3	84.4	84.91
2009	989	7.6	-9.0	27.5	3.0	12.2	84.8	92.92
2010	649	6.7	-12.0	24.6	2.7	11.6	83.9	92.39
2011	1101	8.4	-8.9	24.6	3.1	13.5	86.1	88.38
2012	1322	6.6	-8.3	23.3	2.8	16.8	88.1	83.63

2 ^a 2006 only includes data from the 01 June 2006 onwards. Key: RH- Relative Humidity, St –

3 Total Solar Radiation.

4

- Table 2 Summary of major operational changes which will have potentially affected the MARGA performance or data capture from June 2006 to December 2012 2

Date	Operation Change	Change to Performance
03/12/2008	Changed from Metrohm C2 column with 4 mM MSA eluent to Metrohm C4 column with a 3.5 mM MSA	Better separation of NH_4^+ and Na^+ peaks.
17/02/2009	SJACs were replaced with an increased internal diameter.	Improved accuracy in maintaining the cut-off for $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} .
	Syringe valves increased from 0.6 mm to 0.8 mm i.d.	Reduction in downtime due to blockages.
28/07/2009	Replaced glass fibre filters to PTFE Whatman Rezist 30 mm filter.	Glass fibre filters had a high Na ⁺ and SO ₄ ²⁻ background and required rinsing prior to use.
29/07/2009	100 ppm H ₂ O ₂ added to H ₂ O stripping solution	Prevents loss of NH_4^+ from bacteria by acting as a biocide.
		Converts HSO_3^- to SO_4^{2-} , resulting in better SO_2 recovery in the denuder.
09/02/2011	Reduced to 10 ppm H_2O_2 in stripping solution	Optimum concentration as a biocide, whilst preserving lifetime of the column.
17/11/2011	MARGA hardware and software upgrade.	Calibration of mass flow controllers can now be carried out in situ.
		Blanks and external standards can be set-up remotely.

Component	DL
	μg m ⁻³
PM 10	
$\mathrm{NH_{4}^{+}}$	0.062
Na ⁺	0.123
K ⁺	0.019
Ca ²⁺	0.016
Mg ²⁺	0.015
Cl-	0.086
NO ₃ -	0.105
SO4 ²⁻	0.349
PM2.5	
\mathbf{NH}_{4}^{+}	0.069
Na ⁺	0.106
K ⁺	0.014
Ca ²⁺	0.015
Mg ²⁺	0.007
Cl-	0.053
NO ₃ -	0.091
SO4 ²⁻	0.242

1 Table 3 Annual average detection limits calculated using lograthimic profile for 2012

1 Table 4 Annual concentrations of both PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} species measured by the MARGA system at Auchencorth Moss from 1st June 2006 to

2 1st January 2013. (* 2006 data coverage: June – December 2006 only) Key: μ_A – arithmetic mean, μ_G – median, Max – maximum, σ_A – arithmetic


3 standard deviation, DC – data capture (%).

	2006 [*] 2007				2008					2009				2010				2011					2012												
	μ	μ _G	max	σΑ	DC	μ	μ _G	max	σ	DC	μ	μ _G	max	σΑ	DC	μ	μ _G	max	σ	DC	μ	μ _G	max	σΑ	DC	μ _A	μ _G	max	σ	DC	μ	μ _G	max	σΑ	DC
PM _{2.5}	µg m ⁻³	μg m ⁻³	µg m ⁻³	μg m ⁻³	%	μg m ⁻³	µg m ⁻³	μg m ⁻³	μg m ⁻³	%	µg m ⁻³	μg m ⁻³	µg m ⁻³	µg m ⁻³	%	µg m ⁻³	µg m ⁻³	μg m ⁻³	µg m ⁻³	%	μg m ⁻³	μg m ⁻³	µg m ⁻³	μg m ⁻³	%	µg m ⁻³	µg m ⁻³	µg m ⁻³	µg m ⁻³	%	μg m ⁻³	μg m ⁻³	µg m ⁻³	μg m ⁻³	%
$\rm NH_4^+$	0.93	0.36	6.86	1.23	18.08	0.72	0.21	8.30	1.21	35.99	0.61	0.20	12.35	1.23	36.15	0.77	0.36	12.90	1.24	49.10	0.79	0.36	9.14	1.08	46.02	0.64	0.28	6.81	0.95	25.58	0.89	0.35	14.66	1.46	63.90
Na⁺	0.26	0.15	3.36	0.36	38.29	0.37	0.26	7.28	0.48	32.39	0.43	0.27	8.55	0.61	34.71	0.70	0.44	7.87	0.91	48.97	0.29	0.18	3.97	0.32	38.28	0.44	0.29	4.02	0.43	24.11	0.48	0.33	2.78	0.43	65.01
K+	0.05	0.04	0.55	0.04	37.59	0.06	0.04	0.50	0.05	27.61	0.10	0.04	1.05	0.13	35.63	0.19	0.06	3.33	0.53	49.11	0.04	0.03	1.79	0.05	46.14	0.05	0.02	0.45	0.09	25.82	0.05	0.04	1.05	0.04	66.75
Ca ²⁺	0.07	0.03	0.73	0.11	37.11	0.09	0.08	1.61	0.07	36.38	0.11	0.09	1.39	0.08	37.42	0.12	0.07	3.70	0.21	49.09	0.06	0.05	1.84	0.06	46.18	0.05	0.03	0.49	0.05	25.91	0.05	0.04	0.54	0.03	66.55
Mg ²⁺	0.04	0.03	0.22	0.02	38.29	0.08	0.06	1.55	0.07	36.38	0.05	0.03	0.61	0.06	37.36	0.06	0.04	0.38	0.05	48.70	0.04	0.03	1.28	0.05	46.18	0.04	0.02	0.41	0.04	25.91	0.04	0.02	0.31	0.04	66.56
Cl [.]	0.47	0.41	3.53	0.51	38.68	0.59	0.38	9.66	0.75	32.55	0.68	0.38	8.91	0.92	31.55	0.59	0.41	4.56	0.60	18.77	0.56	0.38	6.49	0.64	33.79	0.72	0.38	10.23	0.92	26.85	0.61	0.30	6.18	0.75	53.85
NO ₃ -	1.32	0.79	12.05	1.63	22.81	1.20	0.36	16.12	2.12	37.72	0.98	0.30	20.60	2.11	38.73	1.11	0.35	29.31	2.88	39.93	1.18	0.37	20.18	2.19	38.05	0.91	0.40	15.02	1.61	28.52	1.54	0.43	32.75	3.20	59.23
SO42-	1.46	0.74	15.87	2.05	39.19	0.81	0.44	34.27	1.09	37.73	1.01	0.56	18.63	1.40	38.88	1.22	0.89	15.36	1.31	39.89	0.97	0.66	10.53	1.00	38.11	1.11	0.76	7.75	1.05	28.53	1.21	0.77	11.39	1.25	59.22
PM ₁₀																																			
$\rm NH_4^+$	0.97	0.43	6.66	1.19	17.76	0.98	0.33	16.88	1.54	47.96	0.57	0.20	14.47	1.11	31.66	0.76	0.38	13.59	1.29	49.32	0.81	0.41	9.33	1.11	44.92	0.64	0.34	8.41	0.90	34.01	0.98	0.35	19.51	1.75	66.80
Na⁺	0.48	0.32	8.05	0.57	43.71	0.62	0.36	9.58	0.76	45.81	0.62	0.36	13.88	0.95	31.57	0.90	0.64	14.17	0.88	48.90	0.55	0.35	11.14	0.70	39.01	0.57	0.37	4.94	0.62	32.61	0.84	0.63	4.98	0.74	67.96
K⁺	0.04	0.03	0.36	0.03	43.09	0.06	0.05	0.54	0.05	40.19	0.07	0.04	0.95	0.09	32.70	0.16	0.08	2.67	0.32	49.27	0.05	0.04	2.29	0.06	45.08	0.04	0.02	2.17	0.06	34.09	0.07	0.06	2.61	0.07	70.33
Ca ²⁺	0.06	0.03	0.99	0.09	43.16	0.11	0.08	0.84	0.09	47.93	0.18	0.15	1.06	0.12	32.67	0.18	0.09	3.16	0.42	49.19	0.09	0.07	2.06	0.09	44.84	0.06	0.02	0.80	0.08	33.93	0.06	0.05	1.22	0.07	70.11
Mg ²⁺	0.04	0.03	0.50	0.04	43.71	0.10	0.07	2.14	0.10	48.30	0.07	0.05	0.78	0.07	32.70	0.10	0.07	0.71	0.11	47.77	0.06	0.05	1.59	0.07	44.57	0.05	0.02	0.54	0.07	34.09	0.06	0.04	0.52	0.07	70.14
Cl-	0.70	0.49	7.40	0.86	42.35	0.95	0.55	11.57	1.17	44.14	1.08	0.59	12.65	1.44	33.20	0.97	0.60	7.32	1.06	20.21	1.05	0.67	8.46	1.20	34.51	1.04	0.51	10.25	1.31	35.92	1.11	0.54	8.87	1.38	55.73
NO ₃ -	1.92	1.43	12.58	2.13	21.27	1.55	0.56	31.60	2.48	48.52	1.18	0.38	24.42	2.48	37.17	1.24	0.43	30.65	2.93	41.04	1.31	0.47	21.40	2.32	37.88	1.07	0.49	15.95	1.68	37.42	1.86	0.55	50.15	3.81	60.73
SO42-	1.65	0.91	16.01	2.09	42.79	1.19	0.61	33.98	1.70	48.73	1.01	0.68	11.86	1.07	37.19	1.30	0.92	18.79	1.39	41.22	0.99	0.72	12.57	0.99	37.79	1.03	0.77	8.07	0.88	37.41	1.43	0.89	12.75	1.55	60.74


Table 5 Measured MARGA mass vs TEOM-FDMS mass and the percentage of time the TEOM

Year	PM ₁₀ unaccounted (%)	PM _{2.5} unaccounted (%)	$\begin{array}{ll} PM_{10} & measured \\ by TEOMS \\ - & Reported \\ values \leq 0 \\ \mu g \ m^{-3} \\ (\%) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ll} PM_{2.5} & measured \\ by TEOM-FDMS \\ - & Reported \\ values \leq 0 \\ \mu g m^{-3} \\ (\%) \end{array}$
2007	21	-15	14	25
2008	23	-38	10	25
2009	29	-48	7	28
2010	28	-27	6	17
2011	32	-20	9	26
2012	18	-9	3	20

FDMS reported values $\leq 0 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ for the years 2007 to 2012.




- Figure 1 Frequency plots of wind direction and windspeed (m s⁻¹) averaged over an hour at Auchencorth Moss for the years 2007 to 2012. Windspeed scale is limited to 30 m s⁻¹.
- (Graphs produced using OpeAir;Carslaw and Ropkins (2012))



3 Figure 2 a) Median monthly mass concentrations of PM_{2.5} species measured by the MARGA


4 and median wind speed from June 2006 to December 2012





2 Figure 2b) Median monthly mass concentrations of PM₁₀ species measured by the MARGA

³ and median wind speed from June 2006 to December 2012






3 Figure 3 Median seasonal diurnal cycles of molar concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$ and $PM_{coarse} NH_4^+$,

1 showing the 95% confidence level of the median. (Graphs produced using Open air; Carslaw

2 and Ropkins (2012)).




3

Figure 4. Measured ion balance for the year 2012 in microequivalents m⁻³. a) Neutralisation
 of PM_{2.5} NH₄⁺ by PM_{2.5} nss-SO₄²⁻ and PM_{2.5} NO₃⁻, b) Ion balance of measured PM_{2.5} anions

5 of $PM_{2.5} NH_4^+$ by $PM_{2.5} nss-SO_4^{2-}$ and $PM_{2.5} NO_3^-$, b) Ion balance of measured $PM_{2.5}$ and 6 (Cl⁻, NO_3^- and SO_4^{2-}) and measured $PM_{2.5}$ cations (NH_4^+ , Na^+ , K^+ , Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+}), c)

7 Neturalisation of $PM_{coarse} NH_4^+$ by $PM_{coarse} nss-SO_4^{2-}$ and $PM_{coarse} NO_3^-$, d) Ion balance of

- 8 measured PM_{coarse} anions (Cl⁻, NO₃⁻ and SO₄²⁻) and measured PM_{coarse} cations (NH₄⁺, Na⁺, K⁺,
- 9 $Ca^{2+}and Mg^{2+}$).



- 2 Figure 5 Average composition by mass of the water soluble inorganic aerosol fraction
- 3 measured by the MARGA from January 2007 to December 2012 in both the PM_{2.5} and
- 4 PM_{coarse}. Sea salt chloride, sulphate, magnesium, calcium and potassium were derived based
- 5 on the known mass ratios to Na^+ in sea water, refer to Eqs. 2 to 5. Key: nd not detected.






Figure 6. Demonstration of the depletion of Cl^{-} for the year 2012 as a result of $Cl^{-} - NO_{3}^{-}$

3 interactions during long-range transport for coarse aerosol. The black line is the known ratio

4 of Cl⁻ to Na⁺ in seawater (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006). Colour scale is set to 0 to $>0.2 \mu$ eq m-3

5 NO_3^- to focus in on the depletion of Cl⁻ at high NO_3^- concentrations.




Figure 7 The influence of wind direction and wind speed on the concentration of $PM_{2.5} Na^+$ at Auchencorth Moss from the 1 January 2007 to 1 January 2013.





Figure 8 Relationship of K⁺ (left hand figures) and of Mg^{2+} (right hand figures) to Na⁺ for PM_{2.5} and PM_{coarse} from 21/03/2012 to 01/01/2013. Black lines show the sea water ratios of K⁺ and Mg²⁺ to Na⁺ taken from Seinfeld and Pandis (2006). Black carbon data are provisional data downloaded from the DEFRA UK-Air database archive (http://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/data/) on the 17/03/2014.


- 8
- 9
- 10



3 Figure 9. Mean trajectory associated with each cluster following clustering of 96h back

4 trajectories at 3 hour intervals calculated for Auchencorth Moss covering the years 2007 to

5 2012 (17,370 back trajectories). . (Graphs produced using Open air; Carslaw and Ropkins
6 (2012)).



2 Figure 10. Average molar concentrations and average contribution of the species to the total

- molar concentration of PM_{2.5} and PM_{coarse} for each back trajectory cluster (refer to Figure 9)
 from January 2007 to December 2012. Key: N number of back trajectories used to calculate
- 5 average concentration and % contribution for each trajectory.




Figure 11. Average relative contribution of inorganic water soluble species to the total PM_{10} mass measured by the MARGA during 2012 as a function of total mass concentration. The

black line is the number of events at each mass concentration. Graph produced using the
plotting routine of Crippa et al. (2014).