## Responses

We thank reviewer and editor for their kind help in improving the manuscript. All the suggested modifications have been made in the submitted manuscript.

Because the modifications were mostly technical in nature related to language and formatting, we are not providing point-by-point reply.

Once again we take this as an opportunity to thank both reviewers and editors for their help and encouragement.

1	Response of OH airglow emissions to the mesospheric gravity waves and its
2	comparisons with full wave model simulation at a low latitude Indian station
3	
4	R. N. Ghodpage <sup>1</sup> , M. P. Hickey <sup>2</sup> , A. Taori <sup>3,4</sup> , Devendraa Siingh <sup>5</sup> and P. T. Patil <sup>1</sup>
5	
6	[1] {Indian Institute of Geomagnetism, Shivaji University Campus, Kolhapur 416004, India}
7	[2] {Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University, FL - 32114, USA}
8	[3] {National Atmospheric Research Laboratory, Pakala Mandal, Gadanki ( A. P.) 517112,
9	India}
10	[4] {now at- National Remote Sensing Center (NRSC), Hyderabad, 500037, India}
11	[5] {Indian Institute of Tropical Meteorology, Pune-411 008, Maharashtra, India}
12	

## 14 Abstract:

The quasi-monochromatic gravity wave induced oscillations, monitored using the mesospheric 15 OH airglow emission over Kolhapur (16.8°N and 74.2°E), India during January to April 2010 16 and January to December 2011, have been characterized using the Krassovsky method. The 17 nocturnal variability reveals prominent wave signatures with periods ranging from 5.2-10.8 hr as 18 19 the dominant nocturnal wave with embedded short period waves having wave periods 1.5-4.4 hr. The results show that the magnitude of the Krassovsky parameter, viz.,  $|\eta|$  ranged from 2.1 to 20 10.2 for principal or long nocturnal waves (5.2 to10.8 hr observed periods), and, from 1.5 to 5.4 21 for the short waves (1.5 to 4.4 hr observed periods) during the years of 2010 and 2011, 22 respectively. The phase, i.e.,  $\Phi$  values of the Krassovsky parameters exhibited larger variability 23 and varied from  $-8.1^{\circ}$  to  $-167^{\circ}$ . The deduced mean vertical wavelengths are found to be 24 approximately  $-60.2 \pm 20$  km and  $-42.8 \pm 35$  km for long and short wave periods for the year 25 2010. Similarly, for 2011 the mean vertical wavelengths are found to be approximately  $-77.6 \pm$ 26 30 km and  $-59.2 \pm 30$  km for long and short wave periods, respectively, indicating that the 27 observations over Kolhapur were dominated by upward propagating waves. We use a full wave 28 model to simulate the response of OH emission to the wave motion and compare the results with 29 observed values. We discuss the observed wave characteristics and cause of the noted 30 differences. 31

32 **Keywords:** OH emissions, Mesospheric gravity wave, Full wave model

## 33 1. Introduction

The airglow Hydroxyl emissions (OH) have been oftenly widely used for studying atmospheric 34 temperature variation in the mesopause region since the pioneering work of Meinel (1950) and 35 its usefulness to derive (Greet et al., 1998, Bittner et al., 2000). The the OH rotational 36 temperature (Greet et al., 1998, Bittner et al., 2000).is one of useful parameters to monitor such 37 variable atmospheric temperature in the mesopause region. The collision frequency of OH with 38 the neutral atmosphere in the neighborhood of 90 km of altitude should be in an order to  $10^4 \, s^{-1}$ 39 and the life time of the excited Hydroxyl emission is around 3 to 10 msec. (Mies, 1974). This 40 41 ensures that the excited OH molecules in the rotational energy levels are in a thermal equilibrium with the atmospheric ambient gases (Sivjee & Hamwey, 1987, Takahashi et al., 1998). Thus, it is 42 normally assumed that the rotational state of OH band is in Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution. 43 The radiated light intensity provides a direct measure of OH quantum state distribution in the 44 mesopause, if one knows the Einstein coefficients governing the emission. Meriweather (1975) 45 arrived at an expression for the P1(2) and P1 (5) rotational lines of OH (8-3) band by making use 46 of the vibration-rotation transition probabilities of Mies (1974). Therefore using two lines from a 47 single band we can estimate the rotational temperature by the given equation (Mies, 1974): 48

$$T_{n,m} = \frac{E_{\nu'}(J'_m) - E_{\nu'}(J'_n)}{k \ln\left[\frac{I_n}{I_m} \frac{A(J'_m, \nu' \to J''_{m+1}, \nu'')}{A(J'_n, \nu' \to J''_{n+1}, \nu'')} \frac{2J'_m + 1}{2J'_n + 1}\right]}$$

49

50 Where Tn, m is the rotational temperature calculated from two line intensities,  $I_n$  and  $I_m$ , from 51 rotational levels  $J'_n$ ,  $J'_m$  in the upper vibrational level v', to  $J''_{n+1}$ ,  $J''_{m+1}$  in the lower vibrational 52 level v''.  $E_v(J)$  is the energy of the level (J, v).  $A(J'_n, v' \rightarrow J''_{n+1}, v'')$  is the Einstein coefficient, for the transition from  $J_n$ , v to J'm, v''. The intensity ratio between P1 (2) and P1 (5) lines of the OH(8,3) band were used to obtain rotational temperature using the transition probabilities as given by Mies (1974)(Stubbs et al., 1983). Often the observed temporal variations in the mesospheric hydroxyl OH night airglow intensities and rotational temperatures are caused by propagating gravity waves from the lower to the upper atmosphere.

The interaction of these upward propagating waves with the ambient and other waves 58 contribute to the dynamical variability, which in turn is reflected in observed airglow intensity 59 and temperature perturbations (Hines, 1997). Krassovsky (1972) introduced a quantity 'n' to 60 characterize the wave-induced perturbations. This parameter, termed as 'Krassovsky's 61 parameter', is now defined as  $\eta = |\eta| e^{-i\Phi}$ , where  $|\eta|$  indicates the ratio of the amplitude variation 62 63 between the emission intensity and temperature perturbations normalized to their time averages and  $\Phi$  is the phase difference between the intensity wave and its temperature counterpart (e.g., 64 65 Walterscheid et al., 1987; Taylor et al., 1991). It should also be mentioned here that apart from the pure dynamical processes  $\eta$  can also be affected by various other unknown parameters, such 66 as the variation of local oxygen photochemistry (Hickey et al., 1993) and height variation of the 67 emission layer, which affects emission rates and temperature directly (Liu and Swenson 2003; 68 Vargas et al., 2007). Although this can complicate studies of Krassovsky's parameter, it offers an 69 opportunity to study the above aspects at the same time. Overall, once the physics and chemistry 70 of emissions are well understood, the  $\eta$  values would offer a good tool to study the perturbations 71 caused in a parameter (temperature, brightness/intensity) by measuring one under the assumption 72 73 that gravity wave induced perturbations are of adiabatic conditions nature.

Utilizing the above, many investigators have carried out observational as well as the
 theoretical studies on the identification and characterization of gravity wave and tidal signatures

with wave periodicities ranging from few minutes to several hours (e.g., Walterscheid et al., 1987; Hecht et al., 1987; Hickey 1988a, b; Taylor et al., 1991; Takahashi et al., 1992; Reisin and Scheer 1996; Taori and Taylor 2006; Guharay et al., 2008; Ghodpage et al., 2012, 2013 ). However, observational studies of the magnitude and phase of  $\eta$  over a range of wave periods for a given location and season are sparse. Some of the notable observations of  $\eta$  for the OH emission have been performed by Viereck and Deehr (1989) in the wave period range of ~ 1 - 20 hr and by Reisin and Scheer (1996) near to the semidiurnal tidal fluctuations.

In the present work, we utilize the mesospheric OH emission intensity and temperature 83 84 data obtained during January - April 2010 and January - December 2011, when clear and moonless nights allowed observations to exceed 5 hours duration. We deduce the Krassovsky 85 parameters as a function of observed wave period and also infer the vertical wavelengths for the 86 observed mesospheric waves. Further, we compare our estimates with the earlier results reported 87 by various investigators. We also employ a full-wave model to simulate the effects of wave 88 motions on the OH airglow. This model has been used previously to compare observations and 89 theory of airglow fluctuations (e.g., Hickey et al., 1998; Hickey and Yu 2005). Here, the model 90 is used to estimate the values of the amplitudes and phases of Krassovsky's ratio which are 91 92 compared to those derived from the observations, making the present study unique and first of its kind overas such model comparison over India has not been done before Indian latitudes. 93

- 94
- -

96

## 95 2. Instrumentation and Observations

97 The mesospheric OH observations are made using the multispectral photometer from Kolhapur
98 (16.8°N, 74.2°E) (Ghodpage et al., 2013, 2014). We analyze the data from January - April 2010
99 and January-December 2011 when clear sky conditions prevailed for several nights. For the year

100 2010, 13 nights out of 45 nights of observation clearly showed wavelike features, while in 2011, 101 29 from 60 nights of data exhibited wavelike variations.

- 102
- 103

#### 2.1 The multispectral photometer

Regular observations of the night airglow emissions, OI 630.0 nm, OI 557.7nm and OH Meinel 104 (731 nm and 740 nm) band have been carried out at the low latitude station Kolhapur. We have 105 operated multispectral photometer pointing to the zenith over Kolhapur. The filtlers have a band 106 width of 1 nm and their temperature is controlled by a temperature controller at 24 °C. The 107 temperature coefficient of filter is 0.011 nm/ $^{0}$ C. At 24 $^{0}$ C the transmission efficiency of filters is 108 40 - 70 %. We kept the integration time for each filter 15 seconds which results in repetition time 109 of 90 seconds with an accuracy of approximately  $\pm 0.5\%$  for line intensity. The photometer has 110 F/2 optics with full field of view ~10° full field of view. The stepper motor rotation and sensing 111 of the initial position is performed by computer controlled software. As the detector, the 112 113 EMI9658B photomultiplier tube is used. An amplifier (high gain trans-impedance) is used to to convert and amplify the very weak photomultiplier's very weak output current (in the range of 114 nA) output current in-to corresponding voltage form. In the absence of standard calibration 115 116 source, we have used relative intensities (arbitrary units). In order to study the wave features present in the MLT region, we consider clear sky nights having more than 5 hours of continuous 117 118 OH band data as mentioned in earlier reports (e.g., Taori et al., 2005).

119

#### 120 2.2 Full Wave Model

The full-wave model is a linear, steady-state model that solves the linearized Navier-Stokes 121 122 equations on a high resolution vertical grid to describe the vertical propagation of acousticgravity waves in a windy background atmosphere including molecular viscosity and thermal conduction, ion drag, Coriolis force and the eddy diffusion of heat and momentum in the mesosphere. The model description, including equations, boundary conditions and method of solution has been described elsewhere (Hickey et al., 1997; Walterscheid and Hickey 2001; Schubert et al., 2003). The neutral perturbations are used as input to a linear, steady-state model describing OH airglow fluctuations (Hickey and Yu 2005).

The model solves the equations on a high resolution vertical grid subject to boundary 129 conditions, and allows quite generally for the propagation in a height varying atmosphere (non-130 131 isothermal mean state temperature and height varying mean winds and diffusion). The linearized equations are numerically integrated from the lower to the upper boundary using the tri-diagonal 132 algorithm described by Bruce et al. (1958) and Lindzen and Kuo (1969). The lower boundary is 133 set well below the region of interest and a sponge layer is implemented to avoid effects of wave 134 reflection in the airglow response. In this study the lower boundary (the bottom of the lower 135 sponge layer) is placed at 250 km below z = 0 (i.e., -250 km). The wave forcing is through the 136 137 addition of heat in the energy equation. The heating is defined by a Gaussian profile with a fullwidth-at-half-max of 0.125 km. It is centered at an altitude of 10 km. A Rayleigh-Newtonian 138 sponge layer, in addition to natural absorption by viscosity and heat conduction prevents 139 spurious reflection from the upper boundary. At the upper boundary (here 300 km altitude) a 140 radiation condition is imposed using a dispersion equation that includes viscous and thermal 141 142 dissipation (Hickey and Cole 1987). The mean state is defined using the Mass Spectrometer Incoherent Scatter (MSIS) model (Hedin 1991). 143

A set of linear perturbation equations for the minor species involved in the OH emission chemistry is solved using the approach described in Hickey (1988). This assumes that these minor species have the same velocity and temperature perturbations as the major gas (which are deduced from the full-wave model). A vertical integration of the volume emission rates through the vertical extent of the OH layer provides the brightness and brightness-weighted temperature perturbations, from which Krassovsky's ratio is determined. The OH chemistry we use is the same as that used previously (Hickey et al., 1997) and is for the OH (8-3) emission. We also determine the vertical wavelength at the peak of the OH emission layer evaluated from the phase variations of the temperature perturbations determined by the full-wave model.

153

#### **154 2.3 Space borne measurements**

155 The Sounding of the Atmosphere using Broadband Emission Radiometry (SABER), on-board 156 the Thermosphere Ionosphere Mesosphere Energetic and Dynamics (TIMED) satellite, is a high-157 precision broadband radiometer which measures limb radiance (orbital inclination at 74°) of the 158 terrestrial atmosphere at in 10 selected spectral bands ranging from 1.27 to 15  $\mu$ m. In the present study, we note larger values of  $|\eta|$  occur during 2011 compared to 2010 for long/principal 159 waves, which indicates a larger intensity to temperature perturbation ratio over Kolhapur during 160 the passage of the waves during 2011. This could be due to the differences in either the 161 background atmosphere or the dynamical processes. To identify the differences in the OH 162 163 emission layer in year 2010 and 2011, we scrutinize the OH volume emission rate profile for 164 Kolhapur region (obtained from SABER) - satellite. The selected latitude-longitude grids are 10°N to 20°N and 70°E to 90°E representing Kolhapur. The criteria for the selection of SABER 165 data are such that: (i) the SABER pass should be during typical observation times (excluding 166 twilight time). 167

# 169 **3. Results and Discussion**

To identify the wave structures in the data, we utilize the perturbation amplitudes normalized to 170 their time averaged values (hereafter referred to as mean values) in the intensity and temperature 171 data to calculate the Krassovsky ratio. To illustrate this, we show a typical example 172 corresponding to the data obtained on 26 - 27 January 2011 in Figure 1. We plot the intensity 173 deviations temperature deviations from their mean values in figure 1(a), while, the temperature 174 deviations intensity deviations from their mean values are plotted in figure 1(b). We note that 175 night airglow intensity variations show a long-period wave with embedded short-period 176 oscillatory features. On this night, the mean airglow intensity is found to be  $\sim 1.83$  arbitrary units 177 and the mean temperature data is ~ 195.75 K. To identify the nocturnal variability plotted 178 179 together with data as solid red lines are the of best-fit cosine model (e.g., Taori et al., 2005) as follows.-Also, best fit cosines are shown (solid red lines ). 180

181 
$$Y = A\cos\left[\pi \frac{(X - Xc)}{T}\right]$$
(1)

182 where, A is the amplitude of the fitted wave of half-period T with phase Xc, and X is the time. The solid red lines in figure 1 show the results of the best-fit cosine model. We observed the 183 184 presence of ~  $8.2 \pm 1.1$  hr and  $8 \pm 1.3$  hr waves with relative amplitudes (normalized to their mean values and converted to corresponding % amplitude) ~ 3.6 %0 K-and 25.64%, in the 185 nocturnal temperature and intensity variability, respectively. Given the uncertainties involved in 186 the observations, we consider these to be the same waves. Further, we compute the  $|\eta|$  value for 187 this wave to be  $7.12 \pm 1.2$ . To identify the shorter period features in the data we obtain residuals 188 from the best-fit model values. The figure 1c and 1d panels show the nocturnal variability of the 189

residual intensity and temperature respectively. The best-fit model reveals the presence of  $\sim 4.2$ 190 191  $\pm$  0.2 and 3.0  $\pm$  0.8 hr wave in the temperature and intensity residuals, respectively. Once again we treat these as the same wave for the reason explained above. The best-fit analysis shows the 192 amplitudes of this wave to be ~ 1.019 %K and 3.75% arbitrary units in the temperature and 193 intensity data, respectively. Hence, the  $|\eta|$  value for short period waves is estimated to be 3.68 194  $\pm 0.9$ . In general we note that in worst case, the maximum error in  $|\eta|$  values are <25%. The phase 195 difference between the intensity and temperature waves is obtained with the help of best-fit 196 parameters, which were also verified with a cross correlation analysis. The phase of the principal 197 waves (maxima) (period ~8.2 hr) was ~ 24.88 hr in the temperature data and 24.4 hr in the 198 intensity data, which results in the phase difference of ~ 0.48 hr, i.e.,  $\Phi$  values of  $-21.07\pm12^{\circ}$ . 199 Similarly, for the shorter period (period ~ 4.2 hr) the  $\Phi$  values are estimated to be  $-114.3\pm20^{\circ}$ . 200 We can also estimate the vertical wavelength with the help of Krassovsky's parameter following 201 the approach elaborated by Tarasick & Hines (1990). 202

203 
$$\lambda_{z} = \frac{2\pi\gamma H}{(\gamma - 1)|\eta| \sin(\phi)}$$
(2)

where  $\gamma = C_p / C_v = 1.4$  is the ratio of specific heats, and H = 6 km is the scale height. This 204 formula is valid for zenith observations and for plane waves. It is not valid for the evanescent 205 waves. Equation (2), negative vertical wavelength corresponds to downward phase propagation 206 207 (i.e., upward energy propagation), and that means that temperature oscillations precede the intensity oscillations in phase (e.g., Takahashi, H., et al. 1990). Using the above relation we find 208 that vertical wavelength for the two cases discussed above are ~  $-51.5\pm$  15 and  $-39.3\pm$  40 km for 209 the long period and the short period waves, respectively. Note that the long period wave 210 211 estimates may be biased when the data length is comparable to that of the wave period and

therefore in our study we have considered only those waves whose periods are substantially lessthan the length of the available data.

The above analysis was carried out on nighttime events recorded during 2010 and 2011 214 when the prominent wave features were visible. During the 2010 period, the principal nocturnal 215 waves in the data show the wave periods vary from 5.2 to 10.8 hr with corresponding 216 temperature amplitudes ranging from 2 to 13.8 K. Similarly for 2011, wave periods vary between 217 5.2 and 8.4 hr with corresponding temperature amplitudes lying between 1.1 K and 15.7 K. 218 However, the intensity amplitudes of the principal waves vary from 7.9% to 49.9% and 5% to 219 220 90% for 2010 and 2011, respectively. We note that the estimated  $|\eta|$  values were found to range from 2.1 - 10.5 for the principal wave. In the case of the short period waves, the periods ranged 221 from 1.5 to 4.4 hr (for 2010) and 2.8 to 4.4 hr (for 2011) with corresponding temperature 222 amplitudes ranging from 0.68 K to 12.2 K and 0.4 K to 14.2 K. The corresponding intensity 223 224 amplitudes fall in range between ~ 1.54% to 46.8% and 1.32% to 46.8% for 2010 and 2011, respectively. The phase  $(\Phi)$  values also exhibit large variability for long (short) period waves, 225 range in between  $-27^{\circ}$  and  $-167^{\circ}$  ( $-27^{\circ}$  and  $-150^{\circ}$ ) for 2010 and  $-8.1^{\circ}$  and  $-65.2^{\circ}$  ( $-39.1^{\circ}$  and 226  $-122.6^{\circ}$ ) for 2011. For 2010 the deduced vertical wavelengths are found to vary from -32.2 km 227 to -140 km and -24 km to -88 km for the long and short period waves, respectively. Similarly, 228 for 2011 the deduced vertical wavelengths are found to vary from -40 km to -102 km, and -26229 km to -92.4 km for the long and short period waves, respectively. 230

In Figure 2a we plot our results for  $|\eta|$  (hereafter  $\eta$ ) with pink half-filled squares indicating the estimates for the year 2010 and olive half-filled squares for the year 2011. We plot  $\Phi$  in Figure 2b using the same symbols as used in Figure 2a. For a comparison, we also show the values of  $\eta$  and  $\Phi$  of the results from other investigations are shown (Viereck and Deehr 1989;

Takahashi et al., 1992; Oznovich et al., 1995, 1997; Drob 1996; Reisin and Scheer 1996; Taylor 235 236 et al., 2001; Lopez-Gonzalez et al., 2005). Also shown in the figure are the model estimates of Schubert et al. (1991), Tarasick and Shepherd (1992a, b), Walterscheid and Schubert (1995). We 237 also plot observed  $\eta$  and  $\Phi$  values against there their observed period in figure (2a1 and 2b1). In 238 general, we note that the parameter  $\eta$  increases with wave period. It is evident that the observed 239 240  $\eta$  and  $\Phi$  values in our study show a large spread in their distribution as compared to the model values. A similar spread in the distribution of observed values of  $\eta$  (Figure 2a) from 1.03 to 7.85 241 has also been observed by other investigators (e.g., Takahashi et al., 1992). It may be noted that 242 243 the values of  $\eta$  for the OH data in our study lie somewhere between the model estimates and the values observed by other investigators. Also noteworthy in this figure is that our  $\eta$  values are 244 closer to the model values reported by Tarasick and Shepherd (1992a) for the waves with 245 horizontal wavelength 500 km. The observed phase ' $\Phi$ ' values, on the other hand show 246 significantly larger deviations from this model for 2010, while for 2011 - the match between 247 measured and modeled phasesagreement appear seems to be better. We note that our 248 measurements of  $\Phi$  matches somewhat with those reported by Viereck and Deehr (1989), while 249 large differences with other investigators published results can be easily noted. The variation of 250 251  $\Phi$  values with respect to the wave periodicity, obtained in the 2010 year clearly shows that most of the time we observe values to be higher than those obtained by different models. 252 Of the importance is that Reisin & Scheer (2001) found η values of 3.47±0.07 corresponding to 253

the wave periods between 1000s and 3h. Our observed values of  $\eta$  (arithmetic mean, 4.4± 1 for 255 2010 year and 5.7±1.7 for 2011 year) for OH measurements agree well with this report. In a 256 further report, based on 5- year observations, Reisin and Scheer (2004), found the mean value of 257  $\eta$  to be ~5.6 for the nightly semidiurnal type waves and ~3.4 for the waves of 3000 s periodicity

which is in agreement with our values. In another study based on long-term observations with a 258 spectral airglow temperature imager (SATI) from a mid-latitude station, Lopez-Gonzalez et al. 259 (2005) reported a mean value of  $\eta$  of approximately ~ 8.6 for the OH measurements with a larger 260 variability than our observations show. In another report, Guharay et al. (2008), found that for 261 wave periods ranging from 6 hr to 13 hr, values of  $\eta$  in between 1.7 to 5.4, while the phase 262 varied from  $-13^{\circ}$  to  $-90^{\circ}$ . Similarly, Aushev et al. (2008) presented amplitudes of the 263 Krassovsky parameter for wave periods of 2.2 to 4.7 hr which in range from 2.4 to 3.6 while the 264 phase values in between  $-63^{\circ}$  to  $-121^{\circ}$ . It is noteworthy that our derived values broadly agree 265 with Guharay et al. (2008, 2009), Reisin and Scheer (2001, 2004) and Viereck and Deehr (1989) 266 while they are somewhat different from the values reported by Lopez-Gonzalez et al. (2005) 267 which may be due to the fact that their observations corresponded to higher latitude than ours. 268 because of, ilt is also remains to be seen that when mesopause altitude itself changes from low to 269 high latitudes how would that reflects in the Krassovsky parameters. 270

The results of  $(\eta \text{ and } \Phi)$  shown in Figure 2 emphasize that there are significant 271 differences in the Krassovsky parameters derived from one study to another. This we suspect to 272 be caused by the variations in the altitudinal profile of oxygen and its effect on the  $\eta$  through the 273 complex OH chemistry (Walterscheid et al., 1994). Another possibility over low latitudes was 274 discussed by Makhlouf et al. (1995) who suggested the quenching caused by the perturbed 275 molecules during their transitions from several vibrational levels. Winds also affect the OH 276 response to gravity waves and therefore they will also contribute to the spread of values seen 277 278 between the various observation studies (e.g., Sonnemann G. and M. Grygalashvyly, 2003).

279Note that our observations as well as models simulations show the phase  $\Phi$  for OH to be280a negative value indicating upward propagating waves (see Tarasick and Shepherd,1992a, b). In

281 general we note that our  $\Phi$  values, although on some occasions are closer to Viereck and Deehr (1989) observations, show deviations from other investigators and are larger than the model 282 values on most occasions. Differences in theory and observation may be due to the horizontal 283 wavelength assumed in the model and or the Prandtl number (ratio of kinematic viscosity to 284 thermal diffusivity) assumed. The Prandtl number is important in theoretical calculations and 285 286 modeling, especially when in terms of dissipating waves owing to molecular viscosity and thermal diffusivity while they propagate in the atmosphere (Hickey 1988). An error in the 287 Prandtl number assumption will affect the derived wave parameters ( $\lambda_z$ ,  $\eta$  etc.), which will may 288 289 successively in-turn result in mask the actual ones misleading results. In this regard, Makhlouf et al. (1995) studied the variations in the  $\eta$  values by modifying the model proposed by Hines and 290 using a photochemical dynamical model; however, they were still unable to explain the 291 appearance of the negative phases appropriately. Hines and Tarasick (1987) found a wide range 292 of  $\eta$  variability, a result supported by our measurements. Further, Hines and Tarasick (1997) 293 subsequently discussed the necessary correction for thin and thick layer approximations for the 294 calculation of  $\eta$  from airglow emissions due to gravity waves interaction. They also pointed out 295 that OH emission intensity, which affects the derived  $\eta$  values, does not depend on the oxygen 296 297 profile and other minor species, which contradicts the theory of Walterscheid et al. (1994), Schubert et al. (1991). The calculated vertical wavelengths (VW) for all the nights of the 298 observation are shown in Figure 2c as pink half filled squares indicating the estimates for the 299 300 year 2010 and olive half filled squares for the year 2011. Large differences exist from one night to another. The VW has a large variability ranging from -41 km to -102 km (2010) and -36.2301 km to -140 km (2011) for long period waves, and, -26 to -92.4 and -24 to -88 km for short 302 303 period waves period of 2010 and 2011 years, respectively. In 2010 (and 2011) years, the mean

304 VW values for long and short period waves are calculated to be  $-60.2 \pm 20$  km ( $-77.2 \pm 40$  km) and  $-42.8 \pm 15$  km ( $-59.2 \pm 30$  km), respectively. Further, unlike the clear dependency on the 305 wave period noted in the Krassovsky parameters ( $\eta$  and  $\Phi$ ) no clear trend is noted in the 306 calculated VW. We also plot the values reported by Reisin and Scheer (1996) and Lopez-307 Gonzalez et al. (2005) for a comparison. It is noteworthy that for all the days the VW for the 308 long period wave are higher than the VW of short period waves. We also observed that VW 309 values calculated for -2011 year are larger than 2010 year calculated values. We note that the 310 values reported by Reisin and Scheer (1996) are approximately -30 km with about 40 km 311 variability., which Our values are isn a good agreement with our values them. However, Lopez-312 Gonzalez et al. (2005) observed VW values to be approximately -10 km deduced from their OH 313 observations, which do not agree with our values. Further, Ghodpage et al. (2012) analyzed the 314 long-term nocturnal data of 2004-2007 and also observed that the VW lies between 28.6 and 163 315 km. Recently, Ghodpage et al. (2013) studied the simultaneous mesospheric gravity wave 316 measurements in the OH emission from Gadanki and Kolhapur, inferring mean VWs varying 317 from – 26 to – 60 km for the Kolhapur observations. Takahashi et al. (20111990) reported 318 vertical wavelengths varying from 20 to 80 km, which is in agreement with our values. 319

320

# 321 4. Comparison with the Full Wave Model Results

Wave simulations were performed using the Full Wave Model (FWM) for which the representative inputs were taken for the duration of observations reported in section 3. The observations were conducted over an approximate one month period spanning February  $8^{th}$  and March  $13^{th}$ , and accordingly we used the middle date of this observation period (February  $25^{th}$ ) in the MSIS model to represent the <u>undisturbed</u>-mean state. The latitude used was  $16.8^{\circ}$  N, and 327 the local time was midnight. Because the speed and direction of wave propagation were not determined from the observations, several simulations were performed for each wave period in 328 which the direction of propagation (eastward, northward and westward propagation) and the 329 phase speed (50 m/s, 100 m/s and 150 m/s) were varied. Note that the mean winds (not shown) in 330 these simulations were derived from the Horizontal Wind Model (HWM) using the same input 331 332 parameters as used for the MSIS model. The derived meridional winds (not shown) are far smaller than the zonal winds for the conditions considered here, and so while results for eastward 333 and westward propagation differed quite markedly, those for northward and southward 334 335 propagation did not. Hence we considered only a single direction (northward) for meridional propagation. 336

We also performed a tidal simulation using an equivalent gravity wave model (Lindzen Richmond 1975), as implemented in an earlier study (Walterscheid and Hickey 2001). The horizontal wavelength and Coriolis parameter are adjusted to give maximal correspondence with a given tidal mode. Here, we performed calculations for the terdiurnal (3,3), (3,4), (3,5) and (3,6) modes using parameters provided by Richmond (1975). The simplifications inherent in this approach are discussed by Walterscheid and Hickey (2001).

Comparisons between the full wave model results for  $\eta$ ,  $\Phi$  and  $\lambda_z$  and the values inferred from the observations are shown in figure 3a, 3b and 3c, respectively. In figure 3a we compare the observed values of  $\eta$  for 2010 and 2011. The observed values of  $\eta$  are represented as pink and olive lower half-filled squares for 2010 and 2011, respectively. In figure 3a we note that at few of the longer wave periods, the observed values of  $\eta$  are in good agreement with the full wave model results. For short period waves the values of  $\eta$  inferred from the observations appear to be bounded by the model values for waves with horizontal phase velocities are 50 and 100 m/s, respectively. For example, for 3.6 hr wave periods, the average of the values of  $\eta$  inferred from the observations is 3.7, while the full wave model values lie between about 0.5 (for the 100 m/s wave) and 7 (for the 50 m/s, eastward propagating wave). For the 8 hr wave periods, the average of the values of  $\eta$  inferred from the observations is 5.7, which is bounded by the full wave model estimates for waves having a horizontal phase velocity of 50 m/s and different propagation directions.

Overall, we note that the comparison between the observed  $\eta$  values and the modeled 356 357 values can be explained by gravity waves whose horizontal phase velocities range from 50 m/s to 100 m/s. In this regard, an earlier investigation by Pragati-Sikha et al. (2010) reported observed 358 gravity wave horizontal phase speeds (for periods 5 min to 17 min) varying between 10 m/s and 359 48 m/s. The propagation directions were reported to be preferentially towards the north. More 360 recently, Taori et al. (2013) studied mesospheric gravity wave activity in the OH and OI 558 nm 361 emissions from Gadanki. They observed that the gravity waves were moving in the north-west 362 direction. The average phase velocity of the ripple-type waves was found to be 23.5 m/s. The 363 other, band-type waves, with horizontal scales of about 40 km, were found to be propagating 364 365 from south to north with an estimated phase speed of 90 m/s.

The vertical wavelengths ( $\lambda_z$ ) calculated using the observed values of  $\eta$  and  $\Phi$  differ significantly from the full wave model estimate for waves with phase velocities below 100 m/s. More typically, a comparison between those values inferred from the observations and those derived from the model tend to agree for phase velocities in the 100 - 150 m/s range. However, it should be noted that vertical wavelengths inferred from the observations are based on the use of the inferred Krassovsky's ratio,  $\eta$ , in Eq. (2). Please nNote that the errors in the determination of the phase ( $\Phi$ ) of  $\eta$  may lead to significant errors (proportional to cot $\Phi$ ) in the determination of  $\lambda_z$ , especially as  $\Phi$  approaches  $\pm 180^{\circ}$ .

The differences noted in the observed and modeled estimates of Krassovsky ratio 374 magnitudes  $\eta$  and phase ( $\Phi$ ) may be associated with the limitation arising due to dynamics as 375 376 well as the measurements. In terms of measurements limitation, the parameters achieved with the best fit method may have leaked contribution from other wave components, which may be 377 dynamically varying within a wave period. In terms of dynamics, that full wave model uses 378 379 climatological density (both major gas and minor airglow-related species) and wind profiles, which will introduce uncertainties. This point has been previously elaborated by Walterscheid et 380 al. (1994) with respect to the effect of a change in the [O] profile on the OH response to wave 381 382 motions.

It is interesting to note that the arithmetic mean values of  $|\eta|$  for the years 2010 and 2011 383 were 4.4 and 5.7 respectively. When we look at each  $|\eta|$  value from one wave period range to 384 other, the difference is found to be more than 30% which is well above the maximum errors in 385 the estimation. One may further argue that this difference may not be significant. For this, we 386 387 looked at the mode of the values for periods ranges 1-4 hr, 4-6 hr, 6-8 hr and 8-10 hr. We found that in each case in the year 2011 mode values are larger than the year 2010. The differences 388 noted in the magnitude of the observed Krassovsky ratio n between 2010 and 2011 may be 389 390 associated with variations in the height and shape of the undisturbed OH emission profile. We use the SABER data to investigate this aspect. To check whether there was a difference in the 391 OH emission layer structure, we selected the nighttime OH emission profile for a grid 392 393 encompassing 10°N to 20°N latitudes and 70°E to 90°E latitudes during February, March and 394 April months of the years 2010 and 2011. We have selected the February to March period 395 because the optical airglow data used in this study was acquired primarily during these months. 396 The monthly mean values of OH emission rates are plotted shown in Figure 4. The solid curves correspond to 2010 data while the dashed curves correspond to 2011 data. We note that the peaks 397 of OH emission layer during February, March and April of 2010 occurred at 84.2 km, 82.8 km 398 and 85.1 km altitude, respectively, while the corresponding peaks for 2011 were found to occur 399 400 at 85.8 km, 85.6 km and 85.2 km altitude. This suggests that the peak of the emission layer occurred at a somewhat lower altitude in 2010 compared to 2011. Also, the emission rates during 401 February and March were found to be higher in 2010. It is important to note that in an earlier 402 403 study, Ghodpage et al. (2013) compared the Krassovsky ratios at two different latitudes, Gadanki (13.5 N, 79.2E) and Kolhapur (16.8°N and 74.2°E) and noted a lower OH emission layer peak 404 over Kolhapur and also larger estimated n values over Kolhapur. In the present case, instead of 405 the location, it is the difference in the measurement year where the peak emission altitudes of the 406 OH emission layer are somewhat different. As the peak emission layer arise due to the chemical 407 reactions involving odd oxygen, it is proposed that chemical-constutents composition was 408 409 different from the year 2010 to the year 2011. Therefore, the noted modified OH emission rates may be responsible for the observed differences in the Krassovsky parameters. A further 410 411 question that arise here is why the peaks should be different from one year to the other. As these months are pre-monsoon, when a large scale oscillation namely, El Niño/Southern Oscillation 412 (ENSO) sweeps through the south Asian continent, we looked at the ENSO strength based on the 413 414 Multivariate ENSO Index (MEI). This index is shown in table 1, where it is noteworthy that the MEI index for 2010 (January to May) is of opposite sign to that for the corresponding months in 415 2011. We postulate that these large scale processes have a profound impact on the observed 416 417 wave energetics and dynamics at mesospheric altitudes. Large scale processes induced the wave

oscillations associated with the ENSO. The ENSO generates a spectrum of waves which are of
planetary scales. These are expected to generate a secular variation in temperature and density
structure throughout the atmosphere. A difference in ENSO suggests that these forcing are
different in the two years (2010, and 2011). At present, we do not know through which process
the ENSO may have implications in the observed wave characteristics. However, we believe that
further investigation is required in order to confirm whether or not any such associations really
do exist.

425

## 426 **5. Conclusion:**

We report the Krassovsky parameters for the observed gravity waves from Kolhapur (16.8°N and
74.2°E) and their comparison with the full wave model.

- It is evident that t<u>T</u>he observed values of Krassovsky parameters in our study show a large spread in their distribution as compared to the model values (shown in Figure 2a). A similar spread in the distribution has also been reported by other investigators. We have also observed magnitude of η values is larger in the year 2011 than 2010.
- 433 2) It is also notable that t<u>T</u>he values of η for the OH data in our study lie between the model
  434 estimates and the values observed by other investigators reported in other published
  435 results. Whereas the phase values are more than the model values on most occasions. We
  436 note that our Φ measurements match with those reported by Viereck and Deehr (1989),
  437 while they show large differences with <u>the other investigators</u>-values <u>in other reports</u>.
- d38 3) Observed vertical wavelength (VW) values broadly agree with the range reported by
  d39 other investigators and are found to vary from -26 to -140 km.We also noted that VW

values calculated for 2011 year are larger than 2010 year calculated values. Most ofwave propagating upward in direction.

442 4) Comparison of observed  $\eta$  and  $\Phi$  values agree fairly well with the full wave model 443 results for waves with 50 and 100 m/s horizontal phase velocities. Vertical wavelengths 444 tend to agree for waves with 100 and 150 m/s horizontal phase velocities, except for the 445 longest period waves for which vertical wavelength cannot be reliably inferred from the 446 observations.

The database used in the present study <u>is-are</u>limited in terms of the length (<u>time and duration</u>) and locations. Based on the above conclusions we emphasis that more rigorous study using coordinated observations and modeling are required to uncover the physics occurring at upper mesosphere.

451

452

## 453 Acknowledgements

This work is carried out under the research grant funded by Ministry of Science and Technology and Department of Space, Govt. of India. RNG thank the Director, Indian Institute of Geomagnetism (IIG), Navi Mumbai for encouragement to carry out this work. The night airglow observations at Kolhapur were carried out under the scientific collaboration program (MoU) between IIG, Navi Mumbai and Shivaji University, Kolhapur. MPH acknowledges the support of NSF grant AGS-1001074.

460

## 461 **6. References**

462	Aushev, V. M., Lyahov, V. V., Lopez-Gonzalez, M. J., Shepherd, M. G., and Dryna, E. A
463	Solar eclipse of the 29 March 2006: results of the optical measurements by MORTI over
464	Almaty (43.03°N, 76.58°E), J. Atmos. Sol. Terr. Phys., 70, 1088–1101, 2008.
465	Bruce, G. H., Peaceman, D. W., Rachford, Jr., H. H., and Rice, J. D.: Calculations of unsteady

- state gas flow through porous media, Petrol. Trans. AIME, 198, 79-92, 1953.
- Bittner, -M., Offermann, D., -Graef, <u>H. H.</u>.: Mesopause temperature variability above a
  midlatitude station in Europe. Journal of Geophysical Research, 105(D2): 2045–2058, 2000.
- 469 Drob, D. P.: Ground-based optical detection of atmospheric waves in the upper mesosphere and
- lower thermosphere, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI., 1996.
- Ghodpage, R. N., Singh, D., Singh, R. P., Mukherjee, G. K., Vohat, P., and Singh, A. K.: Tidal
  and gravity waves study from the airglow measurements at Kolhapur (India), J. Earth Syst.
  Sci., 121, 6, 1511–1525, 2012.
- Ghodpage, R. N., Taori, A., Patil, P. T., and Gurubaran, S.: Simultaneous mesospheric gravity
  wave measurements in OH night airglow emission from Gadanki and Kolhapur Indian low
  latitudes, Currents Science, 104, 1, 98-105, 2013.
- 477 Ghodpage, R.\_N., Taori, A., Patil, P. T., Gurubaran, S., Sharma, A. K., Nikte, S., and Nade, D.:
- Airglow Measurements of Gravity Wave Propagation and Damping over Kolhapur (16.8° N,
- 479 74.2° E), International Journal of Geophysics (IJG), Volume 2014,1-9,
  480 http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/514937, 2014.

- 481 Greet, P. A., French, W. J. R., Burns, G B., Williams, P. F. B., Lowe, R. P., and & Finlayson, K.
- 482 :\_OH (6-2) spectra and rotational temperature measurements at Davis, Antarctica, Annales
  483 Geophysicae, 16(1), 77–89, 1998.
- Guharay, A., Taori, A., Bhattacharjee, B., Pant, P., Pande, P., and Pandey, K.: First groundbased mesospheric measurements from central Himalayas, Current Science, 97, 664-669,
  2009.
- Guharay, A., Taori, A., and Taylor, M.: Summer-time nocturnal wave characteristics in
  mesospheric OH and O<sub>2</sub> airglow emissions, Earth Planets Space, 60, 973–979, 2008.
- Hecht, J. H., <u>Walterscheid, R. L., Christensen, A. B. and Pranke, J. B. et all.</u>: Observations of
  wave-driven fluctuations of OH nightglow emission bfrom Sondre Stromfjord, Greenland, J.
  Geophys. Res., 92, 6091-6099, 1987.
- Hedin, A. E.—: Extension of the MSIS thermosphere model into the middle and lower
  atmosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 96, 1159 1172, 1991.
- Hickey, M. P., and Yu, Y.: A full-wave investigation of the use of a "cancellation factor" in
  gravity wave-OH airglow interaction studies, J. Geophys. Res., 110, A01301,
  doi:10.1029/2003JA01372, 2005.
- Hickey, M. P., Huang, T.-Y., and Walterscheid, R.: Gravity wave packet effects on chemical
  exothermic heating in the mesopause region, J. Geophys. Res., 108(A12), 1448,
  doi:10.1029/2002JA009363, 2003.
- Hickey, M. P., Walterscheid R. L., and Schubert, G.: Gravity wave heating and cooling in
  Jupiter's thermosphere, Icarus, 148, 266-281, 2000.

- Hickey, M. P., Walterscheid, R. L., Taylor, M. J., Ward, W., Schubert, G., Zhou, Q., Garcia, F.,
  Kelley, M. C., and Shepherd G. G.: Numerical simulations of gravity waves imaged over
  Arecibo during the 10-day January 1993 campaign, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 11,475-11,489,
  1997.
- Hickey, M.P., Schubert, G., and Walterscheid, R. L.: Gravity wave-driven fluctuations in the O<sub>2</sub>
  atmospheric (0-1) nightglow from an extended, dissipative emission region, J. Geophys.
  Res., 98(13),717-730, 1993.
- Hickey, M. P.: Effects of eddy viscosity and thermal conduction and coriolis force in the
  dynamics of gravity wave driven fluctuations in the OH nightglow, J. Geophys. Res., 93,
  4077, 1988.
- Hickey, M. P., Taylor, M. J., Gardner, C. S., and Gibbons, C. R.: -Full-wave modeling of smallscale gravity waves using Airborne Lidar and Observations of the Hawaiian Airglow
  (ALOHA-93) O(1S) images and coincident Na wind/ temperature lidar measurements, J.
  Geophys. Res. 103, 6439-6453,1998.
- Hickey, M. P., and Cole, K. D.: A quartic dispersion equation for internal gravity waves in the
  thermosphere, J. Atmos. Terr. Phys., 49, 889-899, 1987.
- 518 Hines, C. O.: A fundamental theorem of airglow fluctuations induced by gravity waves, J.
  519 Atmos. Sol. Terr. Phys., 59, 319–326, 1997.
- Hines, C. O., and Tarasick, D. W.: Layer truncation and the Eulerian/ Lagrangian duality in the
  theory of airglow fluctuations induced by gravity waves, J. Atmos. Sol. Terr. Phys., 59, 327–
  334, 1997.

- 523 Hines, C. O., and Tarasick, D. W.-: On the detection and utilization of gravity waves in airglow
  524 studies, Planet Space Sci., 35, 851–866, 1987.
- 525 Krassovsky, V. I.: Infrasonic variation of OH emission in the upper atmosphere, Ann. Geophys.,
  526 28, 739–746, 1972.
- 527 Lindzen, R. S.: Internal gravity waves in atmospheres with realistic dissipation and temperature,
- part I: Mathematical development and propagation of waves into the thermosphere, Geophys.
  Fluid Dyn., 1, 303-355, 1970.
- 530 Lindzen, R. S., and Kuo, H. L.: A reliable method for the numerical integration of a large class
- of ordinary and partial differential equations, Mon. Wea. Rev., 97, 732-734, 1969.
- Liu, A. Z., and Swenson, G. R.: A modeling study of O<sub>2</sub> and OH airglow perturbations induced
  by atmospheric gravity waves, J. Geophys. Res, 108, D4, 4151, doi:10.1029/2002JD002474,
  2003.
- 535 Lopez-Gonzalez, M. J., Rodriguez, E., Shepherd, G. G., Sargoytchev, S., Shepherd, M. G.,
- 536 <u>Aushev, V. M., Brown, S., Garcia-Comas, M., and Wiens, R. H. et al.</u>: Tidal variations of O<sub>2</sub>
- 537 Atmospheric and OH(6-2) airglow and temperature at mid-latitude from SATI observations,
- 538 Ann. Geophys., 23, 3579–3590, 2005.
- 539 Makhlouf, U. B., Picard, R. H., and Winick, J. R.: Photochemical-dynamical modeling of the
- 540 measured response of airglow to gravity waves, 1: basic model for OH airglow, J. Geophys.
- 541 Res., 100, 11,289–11,311, 1995.
- 542 Mies, F. H.:Calculated vibrational transitions probabilities of OH ( $X^2\pi$ ). Journal of —Molecular 543 Spectroscopy, 53, 150–188, 1974.

- Meinel, A. B.:OH Emission bands in the spectrum of the night sky <u>I</u>. <u>American Geophysical</u>
  Union, <u>31 (21)</u>, <u>Astrophys. J., 111, 555 564</u>, 1950.
- Meriwether, J. W.: High latitude airglow observations of correlated short term fluctuations in the
  hydroxyl Meinel 8-3 band intensity and rotational temperature, Planet. Space Sci., 23, 1211–
  1221, 1975.
- Oznovich, I., Walterscheid, R. L., Sivjee, G. G., and McEwen, D. J.: On Krassovsky's ratio for
  ter-diurnal hydroxyl oscillations in the winter polar mesopause, Planet Space Sci.,45(3), 385–
  394, 1997.
- Oznovich, I., McEwen, D. J., and Sivjee, G. G.: Temperature and airglow brightness oscillations
  in the polar mesosphere and lower thermosphere, Planet Space Sci., 43, 1121–1130, 1995.
- the upper mesosphere region observed by OH airglow imaging, Current Science 98, 392–397,
  2010.

Pragati, R.S., Parihar, N., Ghodpage, R., Mukherjee, G.K.: Characteristics of gravity waves in

- Reisin, E.R., and Scheer, J.: Vertical propagation of gravity waves determined from zenith
  observations of airglow, Adv. Space Res. 27(10), 1743-1748, 2001.
- 559 Reisin, E. R., and Scheer, J.: Gravity wave activity in the mesopause region from airglow
  560 measurements at El Leoncito, J. Atmos. Sol. Terr. Phys., 66, 655–661, 2004.
- 561 Reisin, E. R., and Scheer, J.: Characteristics of atmospheric waves in the tidal period range
- derived from zenith observations of  $O_2(0-1)$  Atmospheric and OH (6-2) airglow at lower mid
- 563 latitudes, J. Geophys. Res., 101, 21,223–21,232, 1996.

564	Richmond, A. D.: Energy relations of atmospheric tides and their significance to approximate
565	methods of solution for tides with dissipative forces, J. Atmos. Sci., 32, 980-987, 1975.

- Schubert, G., Hickey, M. P., and Walterscheid, R. L.: Heating of Jupiter's thermosphere by the
  dissipation of upward propagating acoustic waves, Icarus, 163, 398-413, 2003.
- Schubert, G., Walterscheid, R. L., and- Hickey, M. P.: Gravity wave-driven fluctuations in OH
  nightglow from an extended, dissipative emission region, J. Geophys. Res., 96 (A8), 13,869–
  13, 880, 1991.
- 571 Sivjee, G. G., <u>& and</u> Hamwey, R. M.: Temperature and chemistry of the polar mesopause OH.
- 572 Journal of Geophysical Research, 92(A5): 4663–4672, 1987.
- Stubbs, L. C., Boyd, J. S., and Bond, F. R.: Measurement of the OH rotational temperature at
  Mawson, East Antarctica, Planet. Space Sci., 31 (8), 923–932, 1983.
- 575 Sonnemann, G. and Grygalashvyly, M.: The zonal wind effect on the photochemistry within the
- 576 mesosphere / menopause region, Adv. Space Res. Vol. 32 ( 5), 719-724, 2003.
- 577 Takahashi, H., Buriti, R. A., Gobbi, D., and Batista, P. P.: Equatorial planetary wave signatures
- observed in mesospheric airglow emissions, J. Atmos. Sol. Terr. Phys., 64, 1263–1272, 2002.
- 579 Takahashi, H., Sahai, Y., Batista, P. P., and Clemesha, B. R.: Atmospheric gravity wave effect
- 580 on the airglow O2(0-1) and OH (9-4) band intensity and temperature variations observed from
- a low latitude station, Adv. Space Res., 12(10), 131–134, 1992.
- Takahashi, H., Sahai, Y., and Teixeira, N.R.: Airglow intensity and temperature response to
  atmospheric wave propagation in the mesopause region, Adv. Space Res. 10, (10)77-(10)81,
  1990.

- 585 Tarasick, D. W. and Hines, C. O.: The observable effects of gravity waves in airglow emission,
  586 Planet. Space Sci., 38, 1105–1119, 1990.
- Takahashi, H, Batista, P. P, Buriti, R. A, Gobbi, D, Nakamura, T, Tsunda, T & <u>and</u> Fukao, S.:
  Simultaneous measurements of airglow OH emission and meteor wind by a scanning photometer
  and the MU radar. Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics, 60(17): 1649–1668,
  1998.
- 591 <u>Tarasick, D. W. and Hines, C. O.: The observable effects of gravity waves in airglow emission,</u>
   592 Planet. Space Sci., 38, 1105–1119, 1990.
- 593 Taylor, M. J., Gardner, L. C., -and Pendleton, Jr. W. R.: Long-period wave signatures in
- mesospheric OH Meinel (6,2) band intensity and rotational temperature at mid-latitudes, Adv.
  Space Res., 27(6–7), 1171–1179, 2001.
- Taylor, M. J., Turnbull, D. N., and Lowe, R. P.: Coincident imaging and spectrometric
  observations of zenith OH nightglow structure, Geophys. Res. Lett., 18, 1349–1352, 1991.
- Taori, A., and Taylor, M. J.: Characteristics of wave induced oscillations in mesospheric O2
  emission intensity and temperatures, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L01813,
  doi:10.1029/2005GL024442, 2006.
- 601 Taori, A., Taylor, M. J., and Franke, S.: Terdiurnal wave signatures in the upper mesospheric
- temperature and their association with the wind fields at low latitudes (20°N), J. Geophys.
- 603 Res., 110, D09S06, doi: 10.1029/2004JD004564, 2005.

- Taori, A., Jayaraman, A., and Kamalakar, V.: Imaging of mesosphere–thermosphere airglow
  emissions over Gadanki (13.5°4N, 79.2°4E)-first results, J. Atmos. Sol. Terr. Phys. 93, 21–
  28, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2012.11.007, 2013.
- Tarasick, D. W., and Shepherd, G. G.: Effects of gravity waves on complex airglow chemistries: 1.  $O_2(b^1 \Sigma_g^+)$  emission, J. Geophys. Res., 97, 3185–3193, 1992a.
- Tarasick, D. W., and Shepherd, G. G.: Effects of gravity waves on complex airglow chemistries:
  2. OH emission, J. Geophys. Res., 97, 3195–3208, 1992b.
- 611 Vargas, F., Swenson, G., Liu, A., and Gobbi, D.:  $O(^{1}S)$ , OH, and  $O_{2}(b)$  airglow layer
- 612 perturbations due to AGWs and their implied effects on the atmosphere, J. Geophys. Res, 112,
- 613 D14102, doi:10.1029/2006JD007642, 2007.
- Viereck, R. A., and Deehr, C. S.: On the interaction between gravity waves and the OH Meinel
  (6-2) and O2Atmospheric (0-1) bands in the polar night airglow, J. Geophys. Res., 94, 5397–
  5404, 1989.
- Walterscheid, R. L., and Schubert, G.: Dynamical-chemical model of fluctuations in the OH
  airglow driven by migrating tides, stationary tides, and planetary waves, J. Geophys. Res.,
  100, 17,443–17,449, 1995.
- 620 Walterscheid, R. L., and Hickey, M. P.: -One-gas models with height-dependent mean molecular
- weight: Effects on gravity wave propagation, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 28,831-28,839, 2001.
- 622 Walterscheid, R. L., Schubert, G., and Hickey, M. P.: -A Comparison of Theories for Gravity
- Wave Induced Fluctuations in Airglow Emissions, J. Geophys. Res., 99, 3935, 1994.

624	Walterscheid, R. L., Schubert, G., and Hickey, M. P.: Comparison of theories for gravity wave
625	fluctuations in airglow emissions, J. Geophys. Res., 99, 3935–3944, 1994.
626	Walterscheid, R. L., Schubert, G., and Straus, J. M.: A dynamical chemical model of wave-
627	driven fluctuations in the OH nightglow, J. Geophys. Res., 92, 1241 – 1254, 1987.
628	
629	

631 **Figure captions:** 

**Figure 1.** Nocturnal variability in the mesospheric OH emissions on 26-27 January 2011. The upper panels represent the mean deviations in (a) intensity and (b) temperature data. Bottom panels represent (c) intensity and (d) temperature residuals. Solid line curves in each plot show the result of simple best-fit cosine model.

637 **Figure 2 (a)** A comparison Distribution of Krassovsky parameter  $\dot{\eta}$ , s of data to their respective

- 638 wave periods reported by investigators (list not exhaustive). The x -axis shows the wave
- 639 periodicity and the y-axis is for <u>amplitude of Krassovsky</u> parameters ( $\eta$ -and  $\Phi$ ) in each plot. A
- 640 close resemblance between the observational values and discrepancy between the observational
- 641 and theoretical estimates are notable. The legends in the figure are as following: ( $(\eta : 1)$  (for 2010)
- 642 | year) &; 2 (for 2011 year); present study ; 3, Schubert et al. 500 km; 4, Schubert et al. 1000 km;
- 5, Tarasick and Shepherd 500 km; 6, Tarasick and Shepherd 1000 km; 7, Takahashi et al. (1992);
- 644 8, Oznovich et al. (1995); 9, Drob et al. (1996); 10, Reisin and Scheer (1996); 11, Taylor et al.
- 645 (2001); 12, Guharay et al (2008); 13, Walterscheid and Schubert (1995); 14, Lopez-Gonzalez et
- al. (2005); 15, Oznovich et al. (1997)); 16, Viereck and Deehr (1989).
- 647 **Figure 2 (a1)** Observed values of η verses versus wave period over Kolhapur alone.
- 648 Figure 2 (b) A comparis Distribution of phi-phase values of Krassovsky parameter ' $\Phi$ ', reported
- 649 <u>by investigators (list not exhaustive)</u> to their respective wave periods ( $\Phi$ : 1 (for year 2010), & 2
- 650 (for year 2011), present study; 3, Schubert et al. 500 km; 4, Schubert et al. 1000 km; 5, Tarasick
- and Shepherd 500 km; 6, Tarasick and Shepherd 1000 km; 7, Viereck and Deehr (1989); 8,
- 652 Oznovich et al. (1995); 9, Drob et al. (1996); 10, Reisin and Scheer (1996); 11, Taylor et al.

- 653 (2001); 12, Guharay et al.(2008); 13, Walterscheid and Schubert (1995); 14, Lopez-Gonzalez et
- al. (2005); 15, Oznovich et al. (1997); 16, Viereck and Deehr (1989);).
- **Figure 2 (b1)** Observed values of  $\Phi$  verses wave period <u>over Kolhapur alone.</u>-
- 656 Figure 2(c) Deduced vertical wavelength (VW) for both the short and long period wave as
- 657 function of wave periodicity <u>compared to other published results</u>. Also shown comparison with
- 658 values obtained by other investigators.
- **Figure 3(a)** Comparison with  $\eta$  calculated by observation of both year and Full wave model simulation with their respective wave period. Pink and olive lower half filled square shows the 2010 and 2011 year  $\eta$  observations (1 and 2 present study  $\eta$ : 3,FWM simulation of  $\eta$  for 50 m/s horizontal phase velocity; 4, FWM simulation of  $\eta$  for 100 m/s horizontal phase velocity; 5, FWM simulation of  $\eta$  for 150 m/s horizontal phase velociy).
- Figure 3(b) Simillar as to figure 3(a) but for phase values for both the short and long period
  wave. Figure 3(c) Simillar toas figure 3(a) but for deduced vertical wavelength (VW).
- Figure 4. The monthly (February, March and April ) mean values of OH emission rates are
  profiles from SABER for the year 2010 (solid lines) and 2011 (dashed lines). shown in plot (
  which are obtain from SABER data) . The solid lines plot the data for the year 2010 while the
  dashed lines represent the year 2011.
- 670
- 671 Table 1. Comparisons of deduced wave parameters in 2010 and, 2011 years with MEI index and
  672 OH altitudes. The observed quantities are mean for their representative wave periods. (JFM673 January, February and March months like this)
- 674



676 Figure 1.



679 Figure 2(a)







686 Figure 2(b1)





697 Figure (3a)











709 Table 1.
--------------

Year	<b>Mean</b> η (± Errors)		Меап (-Ф) (Deg.)		Mean (-VW) (km)		OH altitude (km)	MEI index				
	Long wave period	Short wave period	Long wave period	Short wave period	Long wave period	Short wave period		JFM	FMA	MAM	SON	OND
2010	4.4 ± 1	2.3 ±0.9	90.6±40	70.4± 45	60.2 ± 20	42.8 ± 15	82 km to 85.1 km during February – April	1.1	0.8	0.5	-1.4	-1.3
2011	5.7 ± 1.7	2.7 ±0.6	33.8±40	64.4± 40	77.6 ± 40	59.2 ± 30	85.1 km to 86 km during February – April	-1.1	-0.8	-0.6	-0.9	-0.9