Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 15, 34361–34405, 2015 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/15/34361/2015/ doi:10.5194/acpd-15-34361-2015 © Author(s) 2015. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ACP if available.

Satellite observations of stratospheric hydrogen fluoride and comparisons with SLIMCAT calculations

J. J. Harrison^{1,2}, M. P. Chipperfield^{3,4}, C. D. Boone⁵, S. S. Dhomse^{3,4}, P. F. Bernath⁶, L. Froidevaux⁷, J. Anderson⁸, and J. Russell III⁸

 ¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Leicester, Leicester LE1 7RH, UK
 ²National Centre for Earth Observation, University of Leicester, Leicester LE1 7RH, UK
 ³Institute for Climate and Atmospheric Science, School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK
 ⁴National Centre for Earth Observation, School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK
 ⁵Department of Chemistry, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3G1, Canada
 ⁶Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Old Dominion University, Norfolk,

VA 23529, USA

⁷Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, CA 91109, USA

⁸Department of Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Hampton University, Hampton, VA 23668, USA



Received: 22 October 2015 – Accepted: 6 November 2015 – Published: 8 December 2015

Correspondence to: J. J. Harrison (jh592@leicester.ac.uk)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.



Abstract

The vast majority of emissions of fluorine-containing molecules are anthropogenic in nature, e.g. chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs), hydrochlorofluorocarbons (HCFCs), and hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs). Many of these fluorine-containing species deplete stratospheric ozone, and are regulated by the Montreal Protocol. Once in the atmosphere they slowly degrade, ultimately leading to the formation of HF, the dominant reservoir of stratospheric fluorine due to its extreme stability. Monitoring the growth of stratospheric HF is therefore an important marker for the success of the Montreal Protocol.

- We report the comparison of global distributions and trends of HF measured in the Earth's atmosphere by the satellite remote-sensing instruments ACE-FTS (Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment Fourier Transform Spectrometer), which has been recording atmospheric spectra since 2004, and HALOE (HALogen Occultation Experiment), which recorded atmospheric spectra between 1991 and 2005, with the output of SLIMCAT, a state-of-the-art three-dimensional chemical transport model. In gen-
- eral the agreement between observation and model is good, although the ACE-FTS measurements are biased high by ~ 10% relative to HALOE. The observed global HF trends reveal a substantial slowing down in the rate of increase of HF since the 1990s: 4.97±0.12% year⁻¹ (1991–1997; HALOE), 1.12±0.08% year⁻¹ (1998–2005; HALOE), and 0.52±0.03% year⁻¹ (2004–2012; ACE-FTS). In comparison, SLIMCAT calculates
 trends of 4.01, 1.10, and 0.48% year⁻¹, respectively, for the same periods; the agree-
- 20 trends of 4.01, 1.10, and 0.48 % year , respectively, for the same periods, the agreement is very good for all but the earlier of the two HALOE periods. Furthermore, the observations reveal variations in the HF trends with latitude and altitude, for example between 2004 and 2012 HF actually decreased in the Southern Hemisphere below ~ 35 km. SLIMCAT calculations broadly agree with these observations, most notably between 2004 and 2012. Such variations are attributed to variability in stratospheric
- dynamics over the observation period.



1 Introduction

The accumulation of fluorine in the Earth's atmosphere has resulted from anthropogenic emissions of organic molecules such as chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs), hydrochlorofluorocarbons (HCFCs), and hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs). The long atmo-

- ⁵ spheric lifetimes of such molecules allow them to reach the stratosphere, where they break down and liberate fluorine in various inorganic forms. The most abundant of the emitted organic source molecules are CFC-11 (CCl₃F), CFC-12 (CCl₂F₂), CFC-113 (CCl₂FCClF₂), which are all now banned under the Montreal Protocol because they deplete stratospheric ozone, and HCFC-22 (CHClF₂), the most abundant HCFC and
- ¹⁰ a transitional substitute under the Protocol. Although long-lived, these molecules do degrade in the atmosphere at high altitudes, ultimately to the long-lived stratospheric reservoir molecule hydrogen fluoride, HF; the chemistry schemes are presented below. Monitoring HF as part of the atmospheric fluorine family is important in closing the fluorine budget, particularly as anthropogenic emissions of fluorine species, many of which
- ¹⁵ are ozone-depleting and all of which are greenhouse gases, have varied substantially over time. Certainly, monitoring the growth of stratospheric HF, which has slowed in recent years, is an important marker for the success of the Montreal Protocol.

For the three most abundant fluorine source gases, CFC-12, CFC-11, and HCFC-22, atmospheric degradation proceeds with the breaking of a C-CI (CFC-12 and CFC-11) or C-H (HCFC-22) bond (Ricaud and Lefevre, 2006),

 $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{CCl}_2 \mathsf{F}_2 + h\nu \to \operatorname{CCl}_2 + \operatorname{Cl} \\ & \operatorname{CCl}_3 \mathsf{F} + h\nu \to \operatorname{CCl}_2 \mathsf{F} + \operatorname{Cl} \\ & \operatorname{CHCl}_2 + \operatorname{OH} \to \operatorname{CCl}_2 + \operatorname{H}_2 \operatorname{O} \end{aligned}$

20



(R1)

Depending on their structure, the intermediates produced in Reaction (R1) react further,

	$CCIF_2 + O_2 + M \rightarrow CCIF_2O_2 + M$	
	$CCIF_2O_2 + NO \rightarrow CCIF_2O + NO_2$	
5	$CCIF_2O + O_2 \rightarrow COF_2 + CIO_2$	(R2)
	$CCI_2F + O_2 + M \rightarrow CCI_2FO_2 + M$	
	$CCI_2FO_2 + NO \rightarrow CCI_2FO + NO_2$	
	$CCI_2FO + O_2 \rightarrow COCIF + CIO_2.$	(R3)

For CFC-113, and minor sources such as HFCs (e.g. HFC-134a, HFC-152a), the reaction scheme is similar.

In Reactions (R2) and (R3), carbonyl chloride fluoride (COCIF) and carbonyl fluoride (COF₂), are important "inorganic" reservoirs (the common terminology in atmospheric science differs from that in chemistry) of fluorine in the stratosphere, with lifetimes of 1.6 (Fu et al., 2009) and 3.8 (Harrison et al., 2014) years, respectively; COF₂ is more abundant than COCIF. The trends in these inorganic reservoirs over time are directly related to the trends of the individual source gases. The decrease in the amounts of atmospheric CFC-11 and CFC-113, the principal sources of carbonyl chloride fluoride, has led to a decreasing trend in this reservoir (Brown et al., 2011), whereas carbonyl fluoride is still slowly increasing over time due to the increase in HCFC-22, which more than compensates for the decrease in the CFC-12 and CFC-113 source gases (Brown et al., 2011; Harrison et al., 2014).

COCIF and COF₂ volume mixing ratios (VMRs) slowly increase with altitude through the lower stratosphere until they reach their respective maxima, at ~ 25–30 km for CO-CIF (Fu et al., 2009) and ~ 30–40 km for COF₂ (Harrison et al., 2014). Above these



altitudes photolysis becomes more efficient, leading to the formation of fluorine atoms,

 $COF_{2} + hv \rightarrow FCO + F$ $COCIF + hv \rightarrow FCO + CI$ $FCO + O_{2} + M \rightarrow FC(O)O_{2} + M$

⁵ FC(O)O₂ + NO → FCO₂ + NO₂ FCO₂ + $h\nu$ → F + CO₂

The liberated fluorine atoms then react with methane, water or molecular hydrogen, to form HF. At the top of the stratosphere most of the fluorine is present as HF (Brown et al., 2014), the dominant reservoir of stratospheric fluorine due to its extreme stability.

- Note that due to this stability, F is not important for catalytic stratospheric ozone loss. HF is removed from the stratosphere by slow transport to, and rainout in, the troposphere, or by upward transport to the mesosphere, where it is destroyed by photolysis (Duchatelet et al., 2010). Overall the amount of HF in the atmosphere is increasing (e.g. Brown et al., 2014).
- The first detection of HF in the Earth's stratosphere, based on spectra recorded by balloon-borne and ground-based solar spectra at Jungfraujoch, was made by Zander et al. (1977). Measurements continue to be made at Jungfraujoch using a groundbased Fourier transform spectrometer (FTS), (e.g. Duchatelet et al., 2010). There have also been remote-sensing measurements of HF taken, for example, by the Atmospheric
- ²⁰ Trace MOlecule Spectrometry Experiment (ATMOS) instrument which flew four times on NASA Space Shuttles between 1985 and 1994 (Irion et al., 2002) and the MkIV interferometer, a balloon-borne solar occultation FTS (Velazco et al., 2011). Measurements taken by satellite-borne instruments, however, allow HF to be observed with global coverage, and seasonal and latitudinal variability to be investigated fully. The
- ²⁵ first global atmospheric distributions of HF were provided by the HALogen Occultation Experiment (HALOE) instrument, onboard the Upper Atmosphere Research Satellite (UARS), which recorded atmospheric spectra between 1991 and 2005. More recently, the Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment (ACE)-FTS, onboard the SCISAT satellite, has



(R4)

been recording atmospheric spectra since 2004, carrying the mantle of HF measurements into the second decade of the twenty-first century. In fact, the ACE-FTS is the only satellite instrument currently taking measurements of HF.

This paper follows on from our recent work on the global distributions and trends
of COF₂, the most important "temporary" stratospheric fluorine reservoir that directly leads to the formation of HF. The aim of the present work is to understand the HF global distribution and trends derived from satellite observations taken by the HALOE and ACE-FTS instruments. To do this, we use the SLIMCAT model, a state-of-the-art three-dimensional (3-D) chemical transport model (CTM), one of the few to include stratospheric fluorine chemistry. Additionally, we compare tracer-tracer correlations between some of the major HF "sources" for SLIMCAT and satellite observations as a further test of the model chemistry.

2 Hydrogen fluoride datasets

2.1 ACE-FTS

The ACE-FTS instrument, which covers the spectral region 750 to 4400 cm⁻¹ with a maximum optical path difference (MOPD) of 25 cm and a resolution of 0.02 cm⁻¹ (using the definition of 0.5/MOPD throughout), uses the sun as a source of infrared radiation to record limb transmission through the Earth's atmosphere during sunrise and sunset ("solar occultation"). Transmittance spectra are obtained by ratioing against
exo-atmospheric "high sun" spectra measured each orbit. These spectra, with high signal-to-noise ratios, are recorded through long atmospheric limb paths (~ 300 km effective length), thus providing a low detection threshold for trace species. ACE has an excellent vertical resolution of about 3–4 km and can measure up to 30 occultations per day, with each occultation sampling the atmosphere from 150 km down to the cloud tops (or 5 km in the absence of clouds). The locations of ACE occultations are dictated by the low Earth circular orbit of the SCISAT satellite and the relative position of



the sun. Over the course of a year, the ACE-FTS records atmospheric spectra over a large portion of the globe (Bernath et al., 2005), from which it is possible to extract profiles of many fluorine-containing species, including CCl_3F (CFC-11), CCl_2F_2 (CFC-12), $CHCIF_2$ (HCFC-22), CCl_2FCCIF_2 (CFC-113), CH_3CCl_2F (HCFC-141b), CH_3CCIF_2 (HCFC-142b), CH_2FCF_3 (HFC-134a), CHF_3 (HFC-23), CF_4 , COF_2 , COCIF, HF and SF_6 .

The atmospheric pressure and temperature profiles, the tangent heights of the measurements, and the hydrogen fluoride VMRs were taken from version 3.0 (January 2004 until September 2010) and v3.5 (from October 2010) processing of the ACE-FTS data. Details of the retrieval scheme for versions 3.0/3.5 processing have

- ACE-FTS data. Details of the retrieval scheme for versions 3.0/3.5 processing have been explained elsewhere (e.g., Boone et al., 2013; Harrison et al., 2014). Briefly, vertical profiles of trace gases (along with temperature and pressure) are derived from the recorded transmittance spectra via an iterative Levenberg-Marquardt nonlinear leastsquares global fit to the selected spectral region(s) for all measurements within the alti-
- ¹⁵ tude range of interest. The microwindow set and associated altitude ranges for the HF retrieval are listed in Table 1. The VMRs for molecules with absorption features in the microwindow set (see Table 2) were adjusted simultaneously with the HF amount. All spectroscopic line parameters were taken from the HITRAN 2004 database (Rothman et al., 2005), with HF parameters apparently unchanged since HITRAN 1992. The mi-
- ²⁰ crowindow set covers eight spectroscopic lines (P₁, P₂, P₃, P₄, R₀, R₁, R₂, R₃) from the fundamental (1–0) band of HF. The HF retrieval extends from a lower altitude of 12 km up to altitudes corresponding to an atmospheric density of 9.0×10^{15} molecules cm⁻³, in practice ~ 50–55 km, thus providing a variation in upper altitude with both latitude and season (see Table 1). The HF spectral signal in ACE-FTS spectra recorded above
- the upper altitude retrieval limit is generally below the noise level, so it is not possible to retrieve VMRs directly at these altitudes. Instead, the VMR profile above the highest analysed ACE measurement is calculated by scaling the "initial" VMR profile, taken from ATMOS measurements (Irion et al., 2002), over these altitudes; the scaling factor is determined during the least-squares fitting.



2.2 HALOE

Like the ACE-FTS, the HALOE instrument (Russell III et al., 1993) used the principle of solar occultation to sound the middle atmosphere at sunset and sunrise (relative to the instrument). HALOE used broadband and gas-filter radiometry, with channels covering selected portions of the spectrum between 2.45 and 10.04 μm, to determine the mixing ratios of molecules related to the chemistry of stratospheric ozone and its destruction by CFCs. In particular, HALOE provided measurements of O₃, HCl, HF, CH₄, H₂O, NO, NO₂, aerosol extinction, and temperature vs. pressure, over an altitude range of ~ 15 to 60–130 km depending on channel (HF, HCl, CH₄ and NO were measured using gas filter radiometry). As with the ACE-FTS, the locations of HALOE occultations and hence the extent of its global coverage were dictated by its orbit and the relative position of the sun. HALOE, with an orbit inclination of 57° compared with 74° for the ACE-FTS, had a more even latitudinal coverage and provided more data over tropical regions, for example, than the ACE-FTS which takes most of its measurements at high latitude.

¹⁵ The atmospheric pressure, temperature, tangent heights, and hydrogen fluoride VMRs were taken from version 19 processing of the HALOE data, which are available from October 1991 to November 2005. The retrieval scheme incorporates a simple "onion peeling" approach stabilised at the top and bottom of the profile with a scalar optimal estimation formulation developed by Connor and Rodgers (1989). The spectral

- ²⁰ bandpass 5 % relative response points are 4025 and 4135 cm⁻¹. The HF spectral line parameters were taken from the HITRAN 1992 database (Rothman et al., 1992). The instantaneous vertical field-of-view in the limb is ~ 1.6 km. Detailed validation studies for HALOE HF measurements were published by Russell et al. (1996). Note that for internal consistency with previous work on the fluorine budget (Brown et al., 2014) and
- ²⁵ COF₂ (Harrison et al., 2014), the vertical pressure grid has been interpolated onto the standard 1 km grid used by the ACE-FTS.



2.3 GOZCARDS

For completeness, the ACE-FTS, HALOE and SLIMCAT model time series are also compared with those of the GOZCARDS (Global OZone Chemistry And Related Datasets for the Stratosphere) HF data product in Sect. 5. GOZCARDS provides a global long-term stratospheric Earth System Data Record (ESDR) for stratospheric ozone and related chemical species, including HF; however, the HF data record was not ready in time to be included in the original dataset provided for public usage (tem-

- perature, O_3 , H_2O , HCl, N_2O , and HNO_3). Froidevaux et al. (2015) have described the GOZCARDS data creation methodology and some stratospheric characteristics con-
- ¹⁰ cerning the latter five species. The constituent datasets are time series of monthly zonal means vs. latitude (in 10° latitude bins) taken from existing satellite datasets. In particular, the GOZCARDS HF data product is derived by merging the v19 HALOE (1991–2005) and v2.2 ACE-FTS (2004–2010) datasets, with the relative bias between source datasets removed by averaging them over the overlap period 2004–2005 and v2.005.
- ¹⁵ adjusting the series accordingly. All GOZCARDS datasets are provided on a vertical pressure grid. Again, to be consistent with previous ACE work, this vertical pressure grid has been interpolated onto the standard 1 km grid used by the ACE-FTS. Note that as this GOZCARDS dataset uses v2.2 ACE-FTS data, the time series terminates in September 2010.

20 3 Retrieval errors

25

3.1 Infrared spectroscopy of hydrogen fluoride

One of the major sources of systematic error for any retrieved atmospheric profile arises from uncertainties in the laboratory spectroscopic data. A discussion of spectroscopic errors is therefore appropriate. The ACE-FTS retrieval makes use of HF line parameters first made available as part of the HITRAN 1992 database (and remaining



unchanged until the HITRAN 2012 release), with partition data taken from the Total Internal Partition Sums (TIPS) subroutine included in the HITRAN compilation. HITRAN simply provides error codes for line parameters in the form of uncertainty ranges, but with no information as to how the parameters are correlated. For the HF line parameters $_{\rm 5}$ used in this work, the errors correspond to 0.0001–0.001 cm⁻¹ for the line wavenumber, ν , 2–5% for the line intensity, S, and 1–2% for the air-broadened half-width, γ_{air} . Errors are unreported for γ_{self} (self-broadened half-width), n_{air} (temperature-dependence exponent for γ_{air}), and δ_{air} (air pressure-induced line shift). Recently, and after v3.0 processing of the ACE data was complete, HITRAN 2012 became available; it includes a complete re-evaluation of HF spectroscopy. The associated publication (Rothman 10 et al., 2013) also explains that all the air-broadening parameters, γ_{air} , for the fundamental band of HF were fitted with the Galatry profile, not the Voigt profile, which is the lineshape of choice for the HITRAN database. Additionally, the Dicke narrowing parameters in the original analysis were simply neglected. For the purposes of this work, we assume a retrieval error of at most ~ 4 % arising from uncertainties in HF line 15 parameters.

3.2 ACE-FTS

The ACE v2.2 HF data product, which uses a slightly different microwindow set from v3.0/v3.5 as well as an earlier version of the PT retrieval, has previously been validated, for example, against measurements taken by HALOE and the MkIV interferometer (Mahieu et al., 2008). It was found that ACE-FTS v2.2 HF measurements are biased high compared to HALOE, with mean differences around 5–20% between 15 and 49 km. Comparison of ACE-FTS v2.2 HF with MkIV data is generally good, with relative differences above 19 km within ±10%. There have been no detailed comparisons in the literature between ACE-FTS v2.2 and v3.0 HF datasets, however Duchatelet

in the literature between ACE-FTS v2.2 and v3.0 HF datasets, however Duchatelet et al. (2010) state that first comparison exercises involving ACE-FTS v3.0 products indicate a decrease of close to 5% in HF amounts.



in temperature, pressure, tangent altitude (i.e. pointing) and instrumental line shape

 $\mu_j = \left| \frac{\mathsf{VMR}(b_j + \Delta b_j) - \mathsf{VMR}(b_j)}{\mathsf{VMR}(b_j)} \right|.$

The fractional retrieval error, μ_i , is defined as

Note that pressure, temperature and tangent height are not strictly independent quantities for ACE-FTS retrievals; tangent heights are determined from hydrostatic equilibrium, and so these quantities are strongly correlated. Therefore, only two of these three quantities are altered: temperature is adjusted by 2 K and tangent height by 150 m (Harrison et al., 2014). ILS uncertainty is determined by adjusting the field of view by 5 % (Harrison et al., 2014). A subset of 81 occultations measured between 65 and 70° N in July 2010 was selected for this analysis. The fractional value estimates of the systematic uncertainties, and their symbols, are given in Table 3. Assuming uncorrelated quantities, the overall systematic error in the HF retrieval can be calculated as

The 1 σ statistical fitting errors for a single ACE profile are typically ~ 5 % over most

of the altitude range. These errors are random in nature and largely determined by

the measurement noise of the ACE-FTS. Averaged profiles tend to be dominated by

systematic errors, with random errors reduced by a factor of $1/\sqrt{N}$, where N is the

arise from the HF HITRAN linelist (~4%; see Sect. 3.1), with minor contributions from

interfering species that absorb in the microwindow regions; we assume that these contributions are small, at most 1 %, due to the lack of systematic features in the spectral

residuals (Harrison et al., 2014). Additional systematic errors arise from uncertainties

(ILS); these were estimated by running the ACE-FTS retrieval for a subset of occultations, with each quantity (b_i) perturbed in turn by its assumed 1 σ uncertainty (Δb_i) .

5 number of profiles averaged. Spectroscopic sources of systematic error predominantly

 $\mu_{\text{systematic}}^2 = \mu_{\text{spec}}^2 + \mu_{\text{int}}^2 + \mu_T^2 + \mu_z^2 + \mu_{\text{ILS}}^2.$

The total systematic error contribution to the ACE-FTS HF retrieval is estimated to be \sim 10 % over the altitude range of the retrieval.



(1)

(2)

As discussed in Sect. 3.1, HF VMRs are not directly retrieved for ACE measurements taken at tangent heights above the upper altitude limits listed in Table 1. In the ACE-FTS HF retrieval, the calculated spectrum is generated from the sum of contributions from the tangent layer up to 150 km. For the highest analysed measurement,

- the retrieved VMR in the tangent layer is generated from the piecewise quadratic interpolation scheme (Boone et al., 2013), while the VMR in every layer above that is determined from scaling the "initial" VMR profile, with the scaling factor determined during the retrieval by forcing the calculated spectrum to match as best as possible the measured spectrum for the highest analysed measurement. Since the "initial" profile is
- ¹⁰ fixed to a constant VMR between 50 and 100 km altitude, and since this portion of the profile is scaled based on the VMR of the highest analysed ACE measurement, this will likely introduce systematic errors into the highest altitudes of the retrieved profile. However, since the scaling factor errors are dominated by the 1 σ statistical fitting errors of the highest analysed ACE measurement, it is anticipated that the systematic errors at the top of the profile are reduced when they are exceeded to a constant to error.

at the top of the profiles are reduced when they are averaged to create zonal means.

3.3 HALOE

As discussed in Sect. 3.2, HALOE v19 HF has been validated against ACE-FTS v2.2, with the ACE measurements biased high by around 5–20% between 15 and 49 km (Mahieu et al., 2008). Furthermore, HF data from the MkIV interferometer for three flights (2003–2005) agree well with ACE-FTS, with relative differences above 19 km within ±10%, suggesting that there is a low bias in HALOE. Detailed HALOE HF error estimates and validation studies have previously been conducted by Russell et al. (1996). The estimated errors range from ~ 27% at 100 hPa to 15% at 1 hPa. Actual mean differences between HALOE and balloon data from a series of nine FTS under-flights, five operating in the far-IR and four MkIV comparisons in the near-IR, collectively ranged from more than 17% below 70 hPa where the mixing ratio is very



low to < 7 % above that level, with no positive or negative bias implied. These HALOE

data were produced using an early algorithm version, but results have proven to be very stable for later versions.

4 TOMCAT/SLIMCAT 3-D Chemical Transport Model

SLIMCAT, an off-line 3-D CTM, calculates the abundances of a number of stratospheric trace gases from prescribed source-gas surface boundary conditions and a detailed treatment of stratospheric chemistry, including the major species in the O_x , NO_y , HO_x , F_y , Cl_y and Br_y chemical families (e.g. Chipperfield, 1999; Feng et al., 2007). The model uses winds from meteorological analyses to specify winds and temperatures. This approach gives a realistic stratospheric circulation (Chipperfield, 2006; Monge-Sanz et al., 2007). In the version used here the troposphere is assumed to be well-mixed.

For this study SLIMCAT was integrated from 1977 to 2013 at a horizontal resolution of $5.6^{\circ} \times 5.6^{\circ}$ and 32 levels from the surface to 60 km. The model uses a σ -p vertical coordinate (Chipperfield, 2006) and was forced by European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) reanalyses (ERA-Interim from 1989 onwards).

¹⁵ The VMRs of source gases at the surface level were specified using datasets prepared for the WMO/UNEP (2011) ozone assessment. These global mean surface values define the long-term tropospheric source gas trends in the model. Similarly, specification of the surface VMRs of degradation products acts as a sink for these species. The model initialisation used the estimated halocarbon loading for 1977, taken from the 20 WMO/UNEP scenarios.

The SLIMCAT run makes use of the same chemistry scheme that was previously used for our work on COF_2 (Harrison et al., 2014). All source and degradation products are listed in Table 4. COF_2 contributions arise from the degradation of CFC-12, CFC-113, CFC-114, CFC-115, HCFC-22, HCFC-142b, HFC-23, HFC-134a, HFC-

²⁵ 152a, Halon 1211, and Halon 1301, with COCIF production arising from the degradation of CFC-11, CFC-113, and HCFC-141b (CH₃CCl₂F). Some HF is assumed to form directly from the source gases (see Table 4), however this is almost negligible in prac-



tical terms (~ 3 % in 2010, mainly arising from HFC-134a). The relative amounts of HF formed (in 2010) via COCIF and COF₂ are 30 and 67 %, respectively.

The SLIMCAT calculations reveal that at altitudes above the maximum COCIF and COF_2 VMRs, there is net loss of these at all latitudes. The primary loss of COF_2 and COCIF in the strategy have accurately in photohysic with an additional accordance loss

- ⁵ COCIF in the stratosphere occurs via photolysis, with an additional secondary loss mechanism through reaction with O(¹D); SLIMCAT calculates relative contributions of 90 and 10%, respectively, for COF₂ (Harrison et al., 2014) and 98 and 2% for COCIF. The SLIMCAT outputs enable an estimation of the atmospheric lifetimes of COF₂ and COCIF by dividing the total modelled atmospheric burden of each species by the total
- calculated atmospheric loss rate. The total calculated mean atmospheric lifetimes are
 3.8 years (Harrison et al., 2014) and 1.7 years, respectively.

5 Comparison between ACE-FTS/HALOE/SLIMCAT datasets

The ACE-FTS HF data were binned into five latitude bands by month; VMRs outside six median absolute deviations (MAD) of the median VMR for each bin and altitude were removed from the analysis. Once filtered to remove significant outliers, the data 15 were used to create monthly zonal means at each altitude within 5° latitude bins. In Fig. 1 these have been plotted next to SLIMCAT zonal means for the months September 2009 to August 2010, thereby revealing the seasonal variation in the HF distribution over this period. Note that these dates have been chosen to match those used in the previous work on carbonyl fluoride (see Fig. 11 of Harrison et al., 2014). The HF pro-20 files generally show an increase in VMR with altitude, with the rate of this increase varying with latitude and time of year. Note that ACE-FTS observations do not cover all latitude bins over a single month (see Sect. 2.1), and that latitude bins containing fewer occultations are noisier in appearance. Despite these caveats, Fig. 1 reveals a good agreement between the ACE-FTS observations and the model which reproduces very 25

well the significant seasonal variation. For example, note in particular the agreement for regions of low HF VMR (< 1000 ppt) at \sim 30–40 km over the southern tropics in Febru-



ary 2010 and the northern tropics in August 2010; at southern mid- to high-latitudes in December 2009, and March and August 2010; and at northern mid- to high-latitudes in February and March 2010.

The overall atmospheric distribution of HF is determined by a complicated combination of its production and transport, which depends on the production and lifetimes of its "sources" COF₂ and COCIF. Indeed, due to the upwelling of relatively organic-fluorinerich air in the tropical regions, the largest VMRs of COF₂ and COCIF are found over the tropics (Harrison et al., 2014; Fu et al., 2009), where solar insolation is highest due to the small solar zenith angle, at altitudes of ~ 30–40 and ~ 25–30 km, respectively. SLIMCAT simulations reveal that there is net loss of COF₂ and COCIF at altitudes above those of the maximum VMRs, at all locations. There is a correlation between the stratospheric regions of low HF VMR (< 1000 ppt) above ~ 20 km at the poles and

 ~ 25 km at the equator and those of peak COF₂ and COCIF VMRs.

Figure 1 reveals an asymmetry in the seasonal HF distribution between the two hemispheres. This is attributable to asymmetries in the distributions of the "sources" COF₂/COCIF and their precursors, due to differences in the meridional Brewer–Dobson circulation, and to the stronger descent of air associated with the winter polar vortex in the Southern Hemisphere; for example, compare the enhanced ACE-FTS HF VMRs near the southern pole in August 2010 with those near the northern pole in Febru-

- ²⁰ ary 2010 at ~ 25 km. An additional source of asymmetry in the COF_2 distribution, which directly influences the HF distribution, arises from the temperature-dependent loss reaction of the COF_2 -precursor $CHCIF_2$ (HCFC-22) with OH, leading to a secondary COF_2 maximum at Southern Hemisphere high-latitudes in the summer midstratosphere (~ 10 K warmer than the corresponding location in the Northern Hemi-
- sphere) (Harrison et al., 2014); for example, compare the ACE-FTS HF Southern Hemisphere VMRs at ~ 30–35 km in January 2010 with those in the Northern Hemisphere in July 2010.

Plots of ACE-FTS and HALOE HF observations side-by-side with SLIMCAT HF calculations for September 2004 to August 2005 are shown in Fig. 2. As for Fig. 1, the



agreement between observations and model is generally good and the significant seasonal variation is well reproduced. Note that, as for the ACE-FTS, HALOE data do not cover all latitude bins in a given month, although HALOE does take more measurements at lower latitudes. One noticeable difference revealed in Fig. 2 is the relative low

- ⁵ bias of HALOE measurements compared with ACE-FTS and SLIMCAT; this is most notable at the top of the altitude range. Using the period of overlap between ACE and HALOE, we can estimate that ACE v3.0 is biased high by about 10% relative to HALOE. Biases between observations and SLIMCAT will be more fully addressed in Sect. 6.
- In addition to side-by-side comparisons of model and observation, the chemistry scheme in SLIMCAT can be tested by comparing (chemically related) tracer-tracer correlations for model and observation; only ACE measurements of fluorine-containing HF "precursors" are available for this purpose. It is widely known that all long-lived species in the stratosphere have compact correlations, even if there is no chemical link be-
- ¹⁵ tween them. As explained by Plumb and Ko (1992), two tracers with lifetimes longer than quasi-horizontal mixing time scales should be in "slope equilibrium" and produce a compact correlation. Species with lifetimes longer than vertical transport time scales will also be in "gradient equilibrium" and the compact correlation will be linear. Furthermore, relative lower-stratospheric lifetimes of long-lived species (with stratospheric interval and the compact species (with stratospheric).
- ²⁰ sinks via photolysis or reaction with O(¹D)) under gradient equilibrium can be derived from the linear slope of the tracer-tracer correlation (Chipperfield et al., 2014).

In the lower stratosphere COF_2 and COCIF can be regarded as long-lived tracers (local lifetimes of many years). Therefore, their tracer isopleths should follow the typical tropopause-following contours of any long-lived tracer. In this sense, COF_2 and COCIF

²⁵ are analogous to NO_y which is produced from N₂O. Figure 3 contains correlation plots between COF₂ and its major source, CFC-12, over the two latitude bands 65–70° S and 65–70° N for two months each over the period September 2009–August 2010. Comparisons are made at high latitudes, where ACE-FTS observations are more plentiful, and for individual months to ensure that time trends in the source gas VMRs are



minimised. The figure reveals that COF₂ is indeed long-lived enough to show a good anti-correlation with CFC-12 in the lower stratosphere. Furthermore, agreement between the model and observations is good although there are a few discrepancies around the region of maximum COF₂ VMR; these are due to issues surrounding the scaled a priori used in the retrieval for this altitude region of the profile where the spectral signal has dropped to within the noise level (refer to Harrison et al. (2014) for more details).

Figure 4 contains correlation plots between COCIF and its major source, CFC-11, for the same conditions as in Fig. 3. Unlike for COF₂/CFC-12, the agreement between model and ACE-FTS is particularly poor and the model overestimates the peak observed values of COCIF. There are several possible reasons for this. Firstly, as the modelled VMRs are ~ 50 % higher than the ACE-FTS VMRs, the modelled COCIF lifetime might be too long, i.e. the model underestimates the COCIF loss processes. This would result in the calculated HF VMRs being slightly lower than they should be, probably by

- ¹⁵ less than a percent, but certainly by less than the uncertainty of the ACE-FTS measurements. An additional SLIMCAT calculation with the COCIF lifetime lowered by a third does improve the agreement with observations. Secondly, the COCIF sources might be overestimated, however SLIMCAT calculations for CFC-11 reveal good agreement with ACE-FTS observations, generally within 10% (Brown et al., 2011). Additionally,
- the chemistry could be more complicated with additional destruction routes missing from the model. Lastly, there could be a problem with the ACE-FTS retrieval itself. The COCIF linelist used in the ACE-FTS retrieval was taken from the ATMOS database and is described in the literature as "very crude" (Perrin et al., 2011). At the time v3.0 data were first released, this was the best linelist available, however a new and improved
- ²⁵ linelist has subsequently been generated (Perrin et al., 2011), in which the band intensities are taken from quantum-mechanical calculations. ACE-FTS COCIF retrievals for a handful of occultations have been carried out using the new linelist, however there is no improvement in the disagreement with SLIMCAT.



It is expected that the sum of all fluorine source gas VMRs (not including those which have very long lifetimes compared with the period of observations, e.g. CF_4 and SF_6) is anti-correlated with total F_y VMR (HF + 2COF₂ + COCIF) in a conservative way (i.e. the total adds up to a constant). As the ACE-FTS does not measure every source gas, and some minor species have known biases (Brown et al., 2011), we only compare total F_y against the sum of the major source gases, taken as CFC-11, CFC-12, and HCFC-22. The good agreement between plots for SLIMCAT and ACE-FTS (see Fig. 5) confirms that the discrepancy in modelled and retrieved COCIF VMRs has a minimal effect on the overall agreement between model and observation for HF.

10 6 Trends

15

25

Since HF has no chemical sink, with only minor losses arising from rainout in the troposphere and photolysis in the mesosphere, and since the atmosphere contains many long-lived fluorine source gases, the overall HF atmospheric abundance has been increasing for many years and is expected to increase in the foreseeable future. In this section trends in ACE-FTS, HALOE, GOZCARDS and SLIMCAT time series are quantified as a function of altitude and latitude. A number of previous studies have quantified trends; for example, a linear trend of $8.5 \pm 1.0 \%$ year⁻¹ (1977 to 1986) (Zander et al., 1987) and $0.48 \pm 0.25 \%$ year⁻¹ (2000 to 2009) (Kohlhepp et al., 2012) in total columns measured at Jungfraujoch (46.5° N latitude, 8.0° E longitude), and $0.74 \pm 0.2 \%$ year⁻¹ (between 30° S and 30° N) derived from ACE-FTS data for 2004 to 2010 (Brown et al.,

(between 30° S and 30° N) derived from ACE-FTS data for 2004 to 2010 (Brown et al. 2011).

Prior to the calculation of ACE-FTS, HALOE, and SLIMCAT trends, we derived time series as a function of altitude (on the ACE-FTS grid) and latitude (in 10° bins). Figure 6 illustrates the ACE-FTS, HALOE and SLIMCAT time series for HF between 1991 and 2013 at selected altitudes for six of the latitude bins; for ease of viewing, error bars are not shown. The annual cycle is clearly visible in each time series, a result of the



Fig. 6 reveals that as expected the phase of this cycle is opposite in each hemisphere. The amplitude is largest at high southern latitudes (note the maxima at 29.5 km for the $60-70^{\circ}$ S plot), due to the descent of HF-rich air in southern winter polar vortices. Note also evidence of the quasi-biennial oscillation (QBO) signal in the tropical plots.

- ⁵ Overall the agreement between SLIMCAT and observations presented in Fig. 6 is good, however obvious biases are present. In Sect. 5 it was discussed that ACE v3.0 is biased high relative to HALOE by ~ 10 %. HALOE VMRs are biased low relative to SLIMCAT, generally by between ~ 5 and 15 %, although SLIMCAT is biased low relative to HALOE by up to ~ 20 % between ~ 20 and 30 km in the 0–30° N region. Additionally,
- there is a discrepancy in the observed and calculated annual cycle structure over the tropics, e.g. 10–20° N at 34.5 km. In terms of bias, ACE-FTS v3.0/3.5 data generally agree with SLIMCAT to within ±5%, except over much of the lower stratosphere (below 30 km) where SLIMCAT is biased low by at least ~ 5–15%, peaking at ~ 20% in the 0–30° N region and ~ 25–35% at the southern and northern high latitudes (poleward of 50°).

Figure 7 illustrates the GOZCARDS and SLIMCAT time series for HF plotted in the same manner as Fig. 6. Recall that the GOZCARDS HF data product is a merging of the HALOE v19 and ACE-FTS v2.2 HF datasets, with the relative bias between the datasets removed. The agreement between SLIMCAT and the HALOE component of GOZCARDS above w 20 km is reasonably good, however at lower altitudes there are

- ²⁰ GOZCARDS above ~ 30 km is reasonably good, however at lower altitudes there are several regions in which the low bias of SLIMCAT is significantly larger than presented in Fig. 6, in particular below 20 km near the south pole, and between ~ 20 and 30 km in the Northern Hemisphere, where the bias peaks at ~ 35 % in the 0–30° N region. The ACE-FTS component of GOZCARDS generally agrees with SLIMCAT to within ± 5 %
- ²⁵ above 30 km at the tropics and above 25 km in the polar regions. At altitudes lower than these SLIMCAT is biased low, for example by $\sim 5-10\%$ at latitudes above 50° N and up to 25% lower between 20 and 30 km in the 0–30° N region.

The GOZCARDS merging process for HF relied only on the relative bias between the HALOE v19 HF and ACE-FTS v2.2 HF datasets. Had v3.0/v3.5 ACE data been



used instead, the GOZCARDS dataset would have been shifted lower in VMR by several percent. Development of v4.0, which will use an improved CO₂ a priori VMR profile for PT retrievals, thus improving the accuracy of trends, is currently underway; it is too early to say how v4.0 HF will compare to the earlier versions (C. D. Boone, personal communication, 2015). However, regardless of the absolute bias of the GOZCARDS HF product relative to SLIMCAT, it is clear that SLIMCAT tends to consistently underestimate HF VMRs at low altitudes (below 30 km at the tropics and 25 km at the poles) relative to those at higher altitudes.

HF trends for the ACE-FTS, HALOE, and SLIMCAT time series (trends were not considered for GOZCARDS) at each altitude within each latitude bin have been calculated for three time periods from monthly percentage anomalies in HF zonal means, $C^{z,\theta}(n)$, defined as

$$C^{z,\theta}(n) = 100 \frac{\text{VMR}^{z,\theta}(n) - \sum_{m=1}^{12} \delta_{nm} \overline{\text{VMR}}^{z,\theta}(m)}{\sum_{m=1}^{12} \delta_{nm} \overline{\text{VMR}}^{z,\theta}(m)},$$

where *n* is a running index from month zero to month n - 1, VMR^{*z*, θ}(*n*) is the cor-¹⁵ responding mixing ratio at altitude *z* and latitude θ , $\overline{\text{VMR}}^{z,\theta}(m)$ is the average of all zonal means for each of the twelve months, *m*, and δ_{nm} , although not used in its strict mathematical sense, is one when index *n* corresponds to one of the months *m* and is zero otherwise (Harrison et al., 2014). Such an approach essentially removes the annual cycle and the effect of biases in VMRs; the trend, in units of % year⁻¹, is simply equated to the "slope" of the linear regression between $C^{z,\theta}(n)$ and the dependent variable *n*/12. The inclusion of additional terms such as the annual cycle and its harmonics resulted in no additional improvement in the regression. The three time periods considered are January 2004 to December 2012 (ACE-FTS, SLIMCAT), October 1991 to December 1997 (HALOE, SLIMCAT), and January 1998 to November 2005 (HALOE,



(3)

SLIMCAT). The HALOE time series was split into two periods for which HF growth could be modelled linearly. Errors have been explicitly treated in the linear regression of the ACE and HALOE data, but not the SLIMCAT outputs.

Figure 8 presents the trends in the growth of HF (percent per year) (January 2004

- to December 2012) for ACE and SLIMCAT as a function of latitude and altitude, up to the top of the ACE-FTS retrieval range. The ACE-FTS plot in Fig. 8 indicates that between 2004 and 2012, HF has increased most rapidly (2–3% year⁻¹) at altitudes below ~ 25 km in the Northern Hemisphere and at ~ 35 km near the equator. Similarly, HF has decreased most rapidly in the Southern Hemisphere below ~ 35 km and in the
- Northern Hemisphere between ~ 30 and 35 km. The SLIMCAT plot in the second panel contains a number of features which agree well with those in the ACE plot. In particular, note the region of negative trends in the Southern Hemisphere below ~ 30–35 km, peaking at -3.5 to -4.0 % year⁻¹, the region of high positive trends in the Northern Hemisphere below ~ 30 km, peaking at 4.5–5.0 % year⁻¹, the small region of positive trend at ~ 35 km near the equator, peaking at 2.0–2.5 % year⁻¹, and the slightly larger
- ¹⁵ trend at ~ 35 km near the equator, peaking at 2.0–2.5 % year ⁻¹, and the slightly larger region of negative trend at ~ 30–40 km at 0 to 30° N, peaking at –1.0 to –1.5 % year⁻¹. However, the magnitudes of the SLIMCAT trends in the lower stratosphere are biased high compared with the ACE measurements.

An additional SLIMCAT run has been performed with dynamics arbitrarily annually repeating those for the year 2000; results from this run give a "clean" HF signal without the complication of changes in stratospheric dynamics. HF "clean" trends for 2004– 2012 calculated in the same manner as above are plotted in the lowest panel of Fig. 8, revealing trends distributed relatively uniformly throughout the stratosphere with values between 0 and 1 % year⁻¹. This indicates that the variations in trends observed for the full SLIMCAT run result from changes in stratospheric dynamics over the observation period. The information on stratospheric circulation is provided solely by the analyses used to force the SLIMCAT calculations. Similar changes in stratospheric dynamics were observed for COF₂ (Harrison et al., 2014). Interestingly, despite the ongoing



monotonic decrease of near-surface chlorine source gases, recent ground-based and

satellite remote-sensing measurements have shown a significant increase in hydrogen chloride (HCl), the main stratospheric chlorine reservoir, in the lower stratosphere of the Northern Hemisphere between 2007 and 2011 (Mahieu et al., 2014). This trend "anomaly" has been attributed to multiyear variability in the stratospheric circulation

⁵ and dynamics. A detailed analysis of the changing stratospheric dynamics that are responsible for the observed HF trends is beyond the scope of this work and would require a coupled chemistry-dynamical model.

Trends have similarly been derived for the two HALOE periods. HALOE plots corresponding to the ACE-FTS plots in Fig. 8 can be found in Figs. 9 (1991–1997) and

- 10 (1998–2005). The HALOE trends in Fig. 9 peaking in the Northern Hemisphere between 0° and 40° N broadly agree with those calculated by SLIMCAT in the same region, however SLIMCAT calculates smaller trends at the lowest altitudes and generally underestimates the trends in the Southern Hemisphere. The differences between the full and fixed-dynamics SLIMCAT runs show the impact of dynamical variability;
- the fixed-dynamics run provides a clean chemical signal. Of the three periods considered, the comparison between 1991–1997 HALOE trends and those calculated from SLIMCAT is the poorest. The North–South asymmetry in trends for the full SLIMCAT calculation, which does not agree with observations, must be due to dynamical variability in the model, with the dynamics imposed solely by the ECMWF analyses. Over the
- ²⁰ measurement period, the quality of these analyses may vary depending on the available datasets used for the assimilation, but it is very difficult to test how realistic the stratospheric transport is. There are only a handful of other height-resolved datasets that test this aspect of the stratospheric circulation, e.g. ozone (Dhomse et al., 2015). As evidenced by Fig. 10, however, the HALOE trends for 1998–2005 agree better with CLUMOAT them for the 1001, 1007, exist a with the strates are strated.
- SLIMCAT than for the 1991–1997 period, with "background" trends generally between 0.5 and 1.5 % year⁻¹. In fact, there is very little variability over the majority of the plotted range.

Overall global trends in HF, weighted at each altitude and latitude by cos² (latitude[°]) and the average VMR, have been calculated from the three time series using errors de-



termined from the linear regression; these trends are listed in Table 5. The observed HF trends reveal a substantial slowing down in the rate of increase of HF by ~ 90 % from the mid-1990s over the next 15 years, namely from 4.97 ± 0.12 % year⁻¹ (1991–1997) to 1.12 ± 0.08 % year⁻¹ (1998–2005) to 0.52 ± 0.03 % year⁻¹ (2004–2012). In addition to direct stratospheric ozone recovery (e.g. Chipperfield et al., 2015), this marked decline in the growth rate of HF is a particularly important marker for the success of the Montreal Protocol, and should drop even further once HCFC-22 is phased out in developing countries over the coming years. Global trends calculated by SLIMCAT for the HALOE (1998–2005) and ACE-FTS (2004–2012) time series, 1.10 and 0.48 % year⁻¹,

¹⁰ respectively, agree very well with observations, however for the 1991–1997 HALOE period the model produces a value ~ 20 % lower (4.01 % year⁻¹). Again, the reason for this is not completely clear, but is likely related to the ECMWF analysis used to drive the dynamics in the SLIMCAT calculation.

7 Conclusions

- ¹⁵ Hydrogen fluoride (HF) is the most abundant fluorine reservoir in the stratosphere with main sources arising from the atmospheric degradation of CFC-12 (CCl_2F_2), CFC-11 (CCl_3F), HCFC-22 (CHCIF₂), and CFC-113 (CCl_2FCCIF_2), ozone-depleting species whose emissions are anthropogenic. Monitoring the growth of stratospheric HF is therefore an important marker for the success of the Montreal Protocol.
- Global distributions and trends of stratospheric HF have been determined from ACE-FTS (2004–) and HALOE (1991–2005) data. Based on the overlap period between datasets, ACE-FTS HF measurements are biased high by ~ 10% relative to HALOE. The observations have been compared with the output of SLIMCAT, a threedimensional CTM, and the agreement is generally good.
- The observed global HF trends reveal a substantial slowing down in the rate of increase of HF since the 1990s: 4.97 ± 0.12 % year⁻¹ (1991–1997; HALOE), 1.12 ± 0.08 % year⁻¹ (1998–2005; HALOE), and 0.52 ± 0.03 % year⁻¹ (2004–2012; ACE-FTS),



indicating the effectiveness of the Montreal Protocol in phasing out the principal precursor species. For the same periods, SLIMCAT calculates trends of 4.01, 1.10, and 0.48 % year⁻¹, respectively. The observations also reveal variations in the HF trends with latitude and altitude, for example between 2004 and 2012 HF actually decreased

in the Southern Hemisphere below ~ 35 km. SLIMCAT calculations broadly agree with these observations, most notably between 2004 and 2012. Such variations are attributed to changes in stratospheric dynamics over the observation period.

The ACE-FTS is the only satellite instrument currently making measurements of HF, and continues to operate with only minor loss in performance since its launch. It will therefore be possible to extend the HF time series to the present day and beyond, and

subsequently extend the comparison with SLIMCAT.

Author contributions. J. J. Harrison devised the study and performed the data analysis. C. D. Boone and P. F. Bernath provided the ACE-FTS data. J. Russell III provided the HALOE HF data. L. Froidevaux and J. Anderson provided the HF GOZCARDS data. M. P. Chipperfield and S. S. Dhomse ran the SLIMCAT model and provided additional explanation of the outputs. J. J. Harrison prepared the manuscript with contributions from M. P. Chipperfield and the other co-authors.

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to thank the UK Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) for supporting J. J. Harrison through grant NE/I022663/1 and through the National
 ²⁰ Centre for Earth Observation (NCEO). The ACE satellite mission is funded primarily by the Canadian Space Agency (CSA). HALOE was funded by NASA. M. P. Chipperfield and S. S. Dhomse thank Wuhu Feng (the National Centre for Atmospheric Science; NCAS) for help with SLIMCAT. M. P. Chipperfield is a Royal Society Wolfson Research Merit Award holder. Work at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory was performed under contract with the National Aeronautics and
 ²⁵ Space Administration (NASA).

References

10

15

Bernath, P. F., McElroy, C. T., Abrams, M. C., Boone, C. D., Butler, M., Camy-Peyret, C., Carleer, M., Clerbaux, C., Coheur, P.-F., Colin, R., DeCola, P., DeMazière, M., Drummond, J. R.,



Dufour, D., Evans, W. F. J., Fast, H., Fussen, D., Gilbert, K., Jennings, D. E., Llewellyn, E. J.,
Lowe, R. P., Mahieu, E., McConnell, J. C., McHugh, M., McLeod, S. D., Michaud, R., Midwinter, C., Nassar, R., Nichitiu, F., Nowlan, C., Rinsland, C. P., Rochon, Y. J., Rowlands, N.,
Semeniuk, K., Simon, P., Skelton, R., Sloan, J. J., Soucy, M.-A., Strong, K., Tremblay, P.,
Turnbull, D., Walker, K. A., Walkty, I., Wardle, D. A., Wehrle, V., Zander, R., and Zou, J.: Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment (ACE): mission overview, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L15S01,

doi:10.1029/2005GL022386, 2005.

5

20

25

Boone, C. D., Walker, K. A., and Bernath, P. F.: Version 3 Retrievals for the Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment Fourier Transform Spectrometer (ACE-FTS), in: The At-

¹⁰ mospheric Chemistry Experiment ACE at 10: A Solar Occultation Anthology, edited by: Bernath, P. F., A. Deepak Publishing, Hampton, Virginia, USA, 103–127, available at: http://acebox2.uwaterloo.ca/publications/2013/Version3.5retreivals2013.pdf (last access: 30 November 2015), 2013.

Brown, A. T., Chipperfield, M. P., Boone, C., Wilson, C., Walker, K. A., and Bernath, P. F.: Trends

- ¹⁵ in atmospheric halogen containing gases since 2004, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Ra., 112, 2552– 2566, 2011.
 - Brown, A. T., Chipperfield, M. P., Richards, N. A. D., Boone, C., and Bernath, P. F.: Global stratospheric fluorine inventory for 2004–2009 from Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment Fourier Transform Spectrometer (ACE-FTS) measurements and SLIMCAT model simulations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 267–282, doi:10.5194/acp-14-267-2014, 2014.
 - Chipperfield, M. P.: Multiannual simulations with a three-dimensional chemical transport model, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 1781–1805, doi:10.1029/98jd02597, 1999.

Chipperfield, M. P.: New version of the TOMCAT/SLIMCAT off-line chemical transport model: intercomparison of stratospheric tracer experiments, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 132, 1179–1203, 2006.

- Chipperfield, M. P., Liang, Q., Strahan, S. E., Morgenstern, O., Dhomse, S. S., Abraham, N. L., Archibald, A. T., Bekki, S., Braesicke, P., Di Genova, G., Fleming, E. L., Hardiman, S. C., Iachetti, D., Jackman, C. H., Kinnison, D. E., Marchand, M., Pitari, G., Pyle, J. A., Rozanov, E., Stenke, A., and Tummon, F.: Multimodel estimates of atmospheric lifetimes of long-lived
- ³⁰ ozone-depleting substances: present and future, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 119, 2555–2573, doi:10.1002/2013JD021097, 2014.



Chipperfield, M. P., Dhomse, S. S., Feng, W., McKenzie, R. L., Velders, G., and Pyle, J. A.: Quantifying the ozone and UV benefits already achieved by the Montreal Protocol, Nature Communications, 6, 7233, doi:10.1038/ncomms8233, 2015.

Connor, B. J. and Rodgers, C. D.: A comparison of retrieval methods: optimal estimation, onion

peeling, and a combination of the two, in: RSRM 1987: Advances in Remote Sensing Retrieval Methods, edited by: Deepak, A., Fleming, H. E., and Theon, J. S., A Deepak Publishing, Hampton, Virginia, USA, 1989.

Dhomse, S., Chipperfield, M. P., Feng, W., Hossaini, R., Mann, G. W., and Santee, M. L.: Revisiting the hemispheric asymmetry in mid-latitude ozone changes following the

¹⁰ Mount Pinatubo eruption: a 3-D model study, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 3038–3047, doi:10.1002/2015GL063052, 2015.

Duchatelet, P., Demoulin, P., Hase, F., Ruhnke, R., Feng, W., Chipperfield, M. P., Bernath, P. F., Boone, C. D., Walker, K. A., and Mahieu, E.: Hydrogen fluoride total and partial column time series above the Jungfraujoch from long-term FTIR measurements: impact of the line-shape

- ¹⁵ model, characterization of the error budget and seasonal cycle, and comparison with satellite and model data, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D22306, doi:10.1029/2010JD014677, 2010.
 - Feng, W., Chipperfield, M. P., Dorf, M., Pfeilsticker, K., and Ricaud, P.: Mid-latitude ozone changes: studies with a 3-D CTM forced by ERA-40 analyses, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 2357– 2369, doi:10.5194/acp-7-2357-2007, 2007.
- Froidevaux, L., Anderson, J., Wang, H.-J., Fuller, R. A., Schwartz, M. J., Santee, M. L., Livesey, N. J., Pumphrey, H. C., Bernath, P. F., Russell III, J. M., and McCormick, M. P.: Global OZone Chemistry And Related trace gas Data records for the Stratosphere (GOZ-CARDS): methodology and sample results with a focus on HCl, H₂O, and O₃, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 10471–10507, doi:10.5194/acp-15-10471-2015, 2015.
- ²⁵ Fu, D., Boone, C. D., Bernath, P. F., Weisenstein, D. K., Rinsland, C. P., Manney, G. L., and Walker, K. A.: First global observations of atmospheric COCIF from the Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment mission, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Ra., 110, 974–985, 2009.
 - Gribble, G. W.: Naturally occurring organofluorines, in: Handbook of Environmental Chemistry, Vol.3, Part N: organofluorines, edited by: Neilson, A. H., Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, Germany, 121–136, 2002.

30

Harrison, J. J., Chipperfield, M. P., Dudhia, A., Cai, S., Dhomse, S., Boone, C. D., and Bernath, P. F.: Satellite observations of stratospheric carbonyl fluoride, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 11915–11933, doi:10.5194/acp-14-11915-2014, 2014.



- Irion, F. W., Gunson, M. R., Toon, G. C., Chang, A. Y., Eldering, A., Mahieu, E., Manney, G. L., Michelsen, H. A., Moyer, E. J., Newchurch, M. J., Osterman, G. B., Rinsland, C. P., Salawitch, R. J., Sen, B., Yung, Y. L., and Zander, R.: Atmospheric Trace Molecule Spectroscopy (ATMOS) Experiment Version 3 data retrievals, Appl. Optics, 41, 6968–79, 2002.
- 5 Kohlhepp, R., Ruhnke, R., Chipperfield, M. P., De Mazière, M., Notholt, J., Barthlott, S., Batchelor, R. L., Blatherwick, R. D., Blumenstock, Th., Coffey, M. T., Demoulin, P., Fast, H., Feng, W., Goldman, A., Griffith, D. W. T., Hamann, K., Hannigan, J. W., Hase, F., Jones, N. B., Kagawa, A., Kaiser, I., Kasai, Y., Kirner, O., Kouker, W., Lindenmaier, R., Mahieu, E., Mittermeier, R. L., Monge-Sanz, B., Morino, I., Murata, I., Nakajima, H., Palm, M., Paton-Walsh, C., Raffalski, U., Reddmann, Th., Rettinger, M., Rinsland, C. P., Rozanov, E., Schneider, M., 10 Senten, C., Servais, C., Sinnhuber, B.-M., Smale, D., Strong, K., Sussmann, R., Taylor, J. R., Vanhaelewyn, G., Warneke, T., Whaley, C., Wiehle, M., and Wood, S. W.: Observed and simulated time evolution of HCI, CIONO₂, and HF total column abundances, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 3527-3556, doi:10.5194/acp-12-3527-2012, 2012.
- Mahieu, E., Duchatelet, P., Demoulin, P., Walker, K. A., Dupuy, E., Froidevaux, L., Randall, C., 15 Catoire, V., Strong, K., Boone, C. D., Bernath, P. F., Blavier, J.-F., Blumenstock, T., Coffey, M., De Mazière, M., Griffith, D., Hannigan, J., Hase, F., Jones, N., Jucks, K. W., Kagawa, A., Kasai, Y., Mebarki, Y., Mikuteit, S., Nassar, R., Notholt, J., Rinsland, C. P., Robert, C., Schrems, O., Senten, C., Smale, D., Taylor, J., Tétard, C., Toon, G. C., Warneke, T., Wood, S. W., Zander, R., and Servais, C.: Validation of ACE-FTS v2.2 measurements of 20 HCI, HF, CCI₃F and CCI₂F₂ using space-, balloon- and ground-based instrument observa
 - tions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 6199-6221, doi:10.5194/acp-8-6199-2008, 2008.
 - Mahieu, E., Chipperfield, M. P., Notholt, J., Reddmann, T., Anderson, J., Bernath, P. F., Blumenstock, T., Coffey, M. T., Dhomse, S. S., Feng, W., Franco, B., Froidevaux, L., Griffith, D. W. T.,
- Hannigan, J. W., Hase, F., Hossaini, R., Jones, N. B., Morino, I., Murata, I., Nakajima, H., 25 Palm, M., Paton-Walsh, C., Russell III, J. M., Schneider, M., Servais, C., Smale, D., and Walker, K.: A recent Northern Hemisphere stratospheric HCl increase due to atmospheric circulation changes, Nature, 515, 104–107, doi:10.1038/nature13857, 2014.

Monge-Sanz, B. M., Chipperfield, M. P., Simmons, A. J., and Uppala, S. M.: Mean age of air

and transport in a CTM: comparison of different ECMWF analyses, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, 30 L04801, doi:10.1029/2006gl028515, 2007.

Perrin, A., Demaison, J., and Toon, G.: The v_1 , v_2 , and v_3 bands of carbonyl chlorofluoride (COFCI) at 5.3, 9.1, and 13.1 µm: position and intensity parameters and their use for atmo-

Discussion Pa	ACPD 15, 34361–34405, 2015				
per	Satellite ob	Satellite observations			
_	of stratospheric hydrogen fluoride				
iscussio	J. J. Harri	J. J. Harrison et al.			
on F					
ap	Title I	Page			
ēŗ	Abstract	Introduction			
_	Conclusions	References			
Discuse	Tables	Figures			
sion	14	►I.			
Pape		•			
P	Back	Close			
_	Full Screen / Esc				
)iscuss	Printer-friendly Version				
ion F	Interactive Discussion				
Daper	œ	() BY			

spheric studies, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Ra., 112, 1266–1279, doi:10.1016/j.jqsrt.2011.01.003, 2011.

- Plumb, R. A. and Ko, M. K. W.: Interrelationships between mixing ratios of long-lived stratospheric constituents, J. Geophys. Res., 97, 10145–10156, 1992.
- ⁵ Ricaud, P. and Lefevre, F.: Fluorine in the atmosphere, in: Fluorine and the Environment: atmospheric Chemistry, Emissions, and Lithosphere, edited by: Tressaud, A., Elsevier, Oxford, UK, 1–32, 2006.
 - Rothman, L. S., Gamache, R. R., Tipping, R. H., Rinsland, C. P., Smith, M. A. H., Benner, D. C., Devi, V.M, Flaud, J.-M., Camy-Peyret, C., Perrin, A., Goldman, A., Massie, S. T., Brown, L. R.,
- and Toth, R. A.: The HITRAN molecular database: editions of 1991 and 1992, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Ra., 48, 469–507, 1992.
 - Rothman, L. S., Jacquemart, D., Barbe, A., Benner, C. D., Birk, M., Brown, L. R., Carleer, M. R., Chackerian Jr., C., Chance, K., Coudert, L. H., Dana, V., Devi, V. M., Flaud, J.-M., Gamache, R. R., Goldman, A., Hartmann, J.-M., Jucks, J. W., Maki, A. G., Mandin, J.-Y.,
- ¹⁵ Massie, S. T., Orphal, J., Perrin, A., Rinsland, C. P., Smith, M., Tennyson, J., Tolchenov, R. N., Toth, R. A., Vander Auwera, J., Varanasi, P., and Wagner, G.: The HITRAN 2004 molecular spectroscopic database, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Ra., 96, 193–204, 2005.
 - Rothman, L. S., Gordon, I. E., Babikov, Y., Barbe, A., Benner, D. C., Bernath, P. F., Birk, M., Bizzocchi, L., Boudon, V., Brown, L. R., Campargue, A., Chance, K., Cohen, E. A., Coud-
- ert, L. H., Devi, V. M., Drouin, B. J., Fayt, A., Flaud, J.-M., Gamache, R. R., Harrison, J. J., Hartmann, J.-M., Hill, C., Hodges, J. T., Jacquemart, D., Jolly, A., Lamouroux, J., Le Roy, R. J., Li, G., Long, D. A., Lyulin, O. M., Mackie, C. J., Massie, S. T., Mikhailenko, S., Müller, H. S. P., Naumenko, O. V., Nikitin, A. V., Orphal, J., Perevalov, V., Perrin, A., Polovtseva, E. R., Richard, C., Smith, M. A. H., Starikova, E., Sung, K., Tashkun, S., Tennyson, J.,
- ²⁵ Toon, G. C., Tyuterev, VI. G., and Wagner, G.: The HITRAN2012 molecular spectroscopic database, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Ra., 130, 4–50, 2013.
 - Russell III, J. M., Gordley, L. L., Park, J. H., Drayson, S. R., Hesketh, W. D., Cicerone, R. J., Tuck, A. F., Frederick, J. E., Harries, J. E., and Crutzen, P. J.: The Halogen Occultation Experiment, J. Geophys. Res., 98, 10777–10797, doi:10.1029/93JD00799, 1993.
- ³⁰ Russell III, J. M., Deaver, L. E., Luo, M., Cicerone, R. J., Park, J. H., Gordley, L. L., Toon, G. C., Gunson, M. R., Traub, W. A., Johnson, D. G., Jucks, K. W., Zander, R., and Nolt, I. G.: Validation of hydrogen fluoride measurements made by the Halogen Occultation Experiment from the UARS platform, J. Geophys. Res., 101, 10163–10174, 1996.



- Velazco, V. A., Toon, G. C., Blavier, J.-F. L., Kleinböhl, A., Manney, G. L., Daffer, W. H., Bernath, P. F., Walker, K. A., and Boone, C.: Validation of the Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment by noncoincident MkIV balloon profiles, J. Geophys. Res., 116, D06306, doi:10.1029/2010jd014928, 2011.
- ⁵ Zander, R., Roland, G., and Delbouille, L.: Confirming the presence of hydrofluoric acid in the upper stratosphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 4, 117–120, 1977.
 - Zander, R., Roland, G., Delbouille, L., Sauval, A., Farmer, C. B., and Norton, R. H.: Monitoring of the integrated column of hydrogen fluoride above the Jungfraujoch Station since 1977 the HF/HCl column ratio, J. Atmos. Chem., 5, 385–394, 1987.



Centre Frequency (cm ⁻¹)	Microwindow width (cm ⁻¹)	Lower altitude (km)	Upper altitude (km)
3787.60	1.60	40	2.00E+16 ^b
3788.28	0.60	12	40
3792.65 ^a	0.40	20	40
3833.70	0.80	16	40
3834.30	1.60	40	9.00E+15 ^b
3877.60	0.80	12	9.00E+15 ^b
3920.15	0.70	25	9.00E+15 ^b
4000.87	0.65	12	9.00E+15 ^b
4038.82	1.00	12	9.00E+15 ^b
4075.35	0.80	25	9.00E+15 ^b
4109.75	0.80	25	2.00E+16 ^b

Table 1. Microwindows for the v3.0/v3.5 ACE-FTS hydrogen fluoride retrieval.

 $^{\rm a}$ Included to improve results for interferer HDO. $^{\rm b}$ Upper altitude given in atmospheric density units, molecules cm $^{-3}.$



Table 2. Interferers in the v3.0/v3.5 ACE-FTS hydrogen fluoride retrieval.

Molecule	Lower altitude limit (km)	Upper altitude limit (km)
H ₂ O	12	65
H ¹⁸ OH	12	50
H ¹⁷ OH	12	40
HDO	12	25
CO ₂	12	40
O ₃	12	38
CH ₄	12	30
0C ¹⁸ O	12	20
N ₂ O	12	30

Dienneeinn Da	AC 15, 34361–3	ACPD 15, 34361–34405, 2015		
aner I Dienieein	Satellite observations of stratospheric hydrogen fluoride J. J. Harrison et al.			
5 D	Title	Page		
anor	Abstract	Introduction		
-	Conclusions	References		
	Tables	Figures		
	I	►I		
ממס		•		
D	Back	Close		
	Full Screen / Esc			
	Printer-friendly Version Interactive Discussion			
C D BY				

Discussion Paper **ACPD** 15, 34361-34405, 2015 Satellite observations of stratospheric hydrogen fluoride **Discussion** Paper J. J. Harrison et al. Title Page Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Discussion** Paper **Tables** Figures 4 Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Discussion** Paper Printer-friendly Version Interactive Discussion $(\mathbf{\hat{H}})$ (cc)

Table 3. Sources of systematic uncertainty in the ACE-FTS v3.0/v3.5 hydrogen fluoride retrieval.

Source	Symbol	Fractional value
HF spectroscopy	μ_{spec}	0.04
Spectral interferers	μ_{int}	0.01
Temperature	μ_T	0.01
Altitude	μ_Z	0.03
ILS	μ_{ILS}	0.09

Table 4. Fluorine source gases in the SLIMCAT chemical scheme and their atmospheric degradation products.

Source	Product gases	
Commercial name	Chemical formula	-
CFC-11 CFC-12 CFC-113 CFC-114 CFC-115 HCFC-22 HCFC-141b	$\begin{array}{c} CCI_3F\\ CCI_2F_2\\ CCI_2FCCIF_2\\ CCIF_2CCIF_2\\ CCIF_2CCF_3\\ CHCIF2\\ CH_3CCI_2F\\ CH_3CO_2F\\ CH_3CO_2F \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{COCIF} \\ \text{COF}_2 \\ \text{COCIF} + \text{COF}_2 \\ \text{2COF}_2 \\ \text{2COF}_2 + \text{HF} \\ \text{COF}_2 \\ \text{COCIF} \end{array}$
HCFC-1420 HFC-23 HFC-134a HFC-152a Halon 1211 Halon 1301 Halon 2402 COC	CH_3CCIF_2 CHF_3 CH_2FCF_3 CH_3CHF_2 $CBrCIF_2$ $CBrF_3$ $CBrF_2CBrF_2$ CIF^* F_2^*	COF_2 $COF_2 + HF$ $COF_2 + 2HF$ $2COF_2$ COF_2 $COF_2 + HF$ 4HF HF 2HF

*These are not source gases, but their degradation products are included for completion.



Discussion Pape	AC 15, 34361–	ACPD 15, 34361–34405, 2015		
er Discussic	ospheric ospheric n fluoride rison et al.			
on Paper	Title Abstract	Page Introduction		
_	Conclusions	References		
Discus	Tables	Figures		
sion I	I	۶I		
Pape	•	•		
	Back	Close		
Discu	Full Scr	een / Esc		
ussion F	Interactive	Discussion		
Daper	\odot	() BY		

Table 5. Trends (% year⁻¹) derived from the HALOE v19 and ACE-FTS v3.0/v3.5 HF observations.

Dataset	Period	Observed trend	SLIMCAT trend
HALOE	1991–1997	4.97 ± 0.12	4.01
HALOE	1998–2005	1.12 ± 0.08	1.10
ACE-FTS	2004–2012	0.52 ± 0.03	0.48



Figure 1. A comparison between ACE-FTS and SLIMCAT HF zonal means (September 2009 to August 2010). A full discussion of the seasonal variation in the HF distribution is provided in the text.





Figure 2. A comparison between ACE-FTS, HALOE and SLIMCAT HF zonal means (September 2004 to August 2005). The ACE-FTS and HALOE time series of measurements overlap during the period January 2004 to November 2005.





Figure 3. Correlation plots between coincident CFC-12 and COF_2 ACE-FTS observations and SLIMCAT calculations for November 2009/July 2010 65–70° S and January/May 2010 65–70° N.





Figure 4. Correlation plots between coincident CFC-11 and COCIF ACE-FTS observations and SLIMCAT calculations for November 2009/July 2010 65–70° S and January/May 2010 65–70° N.





Figure 5. Correlation plots between coincident ACE-FTS observations and SLIMCAT calculations of total "major" organic fluorine, taken as CFC-11, CFC-12, and HCFC-22, and total inorganic fluorine, F_{γ} , for November 2009/July 2010 65–70° S and January/May 2010 65–70° N.





Figure 6. The HALOE, ACE-FTS and SLIMCAT HF time series for selected altitude–latitude bin combinations. Observations are plotted between October 1991 and December 2012.





Figure 7. The GOZCARDS and SLIMCAT HF time series for selected altitude–latitude bin combinations. Observations are plotted between October 1991 and September 2010.







Figure 8. Trends in the growth of HF (% year⁻¹; January 2004 to December 2012) for ACE-FTS and SLIMCAT as a function of latitude and altitude. A full discussion of these trends is provided in the text.









Figure 10. Trends in the growth of HF (% year⁻¹; January 1998 to November 2005) for HALOE and SLIMCAT as a function of latitude and altitude. A full discussion of these trends is provided in the text.



Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper