

Comments on the revised version of “Tuning of a convective gravity wave source scheme based on HIRDLS observations” by Q. T. Trinh et al.

First of all, I really appreciate the effort made by the authors to address my questions and objections after the first version of the manuscript, specifically regarding some controversial topics. In general, what I would say, following the same points from my previous comments (e.g., I am not fully convinced about the arguments provided between lines 205-210 citing Lehmann et al (2012) to account for my objection), is that without any doubt the paper will promote good unavoidable discussions in the near future, as for example the need of an appropriate observational filter to compare with data from additional instruments and several other assumptions involved during the manuscript. Many points are, largely, a matter of endless discussions and opinions. I would simply recommend the publication of the revised version of this manuscript.