- 1 Vehicular emissions of organic particulate matter in Sao Paulo, Brazil
- 2

Oyama, B.S.<sup>1,2</sup>, Andrade, M.F.<sup>1</sup>, Herckes, P.<sup>3</sup>, Dusek, U.<sup>2,4</sup>, Röckmann, T.<sup>2</sup>, Holzinger, R.<sup>2</sup> 3 4 <sup>1</sup> Institute of Astronomy, Geophysics and Atmospheric Sciences, University of Sao Paulo, Sao 5 Paulo, Brazil 6 <sup>2</sup> Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Research, University of Utrecht, Utrecht, the 7 Netherlands 8 <sup>3</sup> School of Molecular Sciences, Arizona State University, Tempe, United States 9 <sup>4</sup> Center for Isotope Research, University of Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands 10 Correspondence to: B. S. Oyama (beatriz.oyama@iag.usp.br) 11 Abstract 12 Vehicular emissions contribute significantly to air pollution in big cities. Both, gas and 13 particulate emissions, are highly variable and depend on factors such as the type of vehicle, 14 type of fuel, cruising velocity or brake use. This study focused on emissions of organic 15 compounds by Light (LDV) and Heavy (HDV) duty vehicle exhaust. The study was performed in 16 the city of Sao Paulo, Brazil, where vehicles run on different fuels: gasoline with 25% ethanol 17 (called gasohol, E25), hydrated ethanol (E100), and diesel (with 5% of biodiesel). The vehicular 18 emissions are an important source of pollution and the principal contribution to fine 19 particulate matter (smaller than 2.5  $\mu$ m, PM<sub>2.5</sub>) in Sao Paulo. The experiments were performed 20 in two tunnels: Janio Quadros (TJQ) where 99% of the vehicles are LDV, and Rodoanel Mario Covas (TRA) where up to 30% of the fleet was HDV. PM<sub>2.5</sub> samples were collected on quartz 21 22 filters in May and July 2011 at TJQ and TRA, respectively. The samples were analyzed by 23 Thermal-Desorption Proton-Transfer-Reaction Mass-Spectrometry (TD-PTR-MS), and by 24 Thermal-Optical Transmittance (TOT). Emission factors (EF) for organic aerosol (OA) and 25 organic carbon (OC) were calculated for HDV and LDV fleet. We found that HDV emitted more 26 fine particles than LDV, with OC emission factors of 108 and 523 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>burned fuel for LDV 27 and HDV, respectively. More than 700 ions were identified by TD-PTR-MS and the EF profiles 28 obtained from HDV and LDV exhibited distinct features. Unique organic tracers for gasoline, 29 biodiesel, and tire wear have been tentatively identified. Nitrogen-containing compounds 30 contributed around 20% to the EF values for both types of vehicles, possibly associated with 31 incomplete fuel burning. Additionally, 70% and 65% of the emitted mass (OA) originates from

32 oxygenated compounds for LDV and HDV, respectively. This may be a consequence of the high

33 oxygen content of the fuel. On the other hand additional oxygenation may occur during fuel

34 combustion. The high fractions of nitrogen and oxygen containing compounds show that

35 chemical processing close to the engine/tailpipe region is an important control on primary

- 36 organic aerosol emission. The thermal desorption analysis showed that HDV emitted
- 37 compounds with higher volatility, mainly oxygenated and longer chain hydrocarbons than LDV.
- 38

### 39 1. Introduction

40 The Metropolitan Area of Sao Paulo (MASP) is composed of 39 municipalities, with a fleet of

- 41 more than 7 million vehicles (Cetesb, 2014), which nowadays run on three different types of
- 42 fuel: diesel (with 5% of biodiesel, shortly referred to as diesel afterwards), hydrated ethanol
- 43 (E100) and gasohol (gasoline with 25% of ethanol, E25). The number of vehicles has grown
- 44 more rapidly than the population in the last 15 years. In 2000, the population was around 10
- 45 million and the number of vehicles was 0.9 million in the city of Sao Paulo. In 2013, these
- 46 values increased to 11.4 million and around 4.5 million, respectively (Infocidade, 2015; Cetesb,
- 47 2014). Figure 1 presents the evolution of initial registrations of new vehicles in Sao Paulo,
- 48 classified by fuel usage over the past 40 years (Cetesb, 2014). In 2003, a new vehicle
- 49 technology was introduced: *flex* fuel vehicles, which are able to operate on any proportion of

## 50 E100 and E25.

51 The implementation of the National Pro Alcohol Program (Proalcool) in Brazil during the 1980s

52 had an important influence on the increase in vehicles running on E100 The production of

53 ethanol increased from 1 million cubic meter (1970's) to more than 10 million (in the mid-

- 54 1980's), (Stattman et al., 2013). The program stimulated the use of alcohol from sugarcane as
- 55 fuel in order to decrease the dependence on imported fuel and also to stimulate industrial and
- agricultural growth (Rico and Sauer, 2015; Stattman et al., 2013). Besides that, between 1973
- 57 and 1974 the addition of 10% of ethanol to gasoline was legally mandated. Following a
- 58 governmental change in 1985, the subsidy for alcohol decreased dramatically, thus the alcohol
- 59 price increased, followed by a fall in sales of ethanol fueled vehicles (Figure 1).
- 60 In the early 1990s the number of vehicles increased substantially due to a political decision of
- 61 increasing the sales of vehicles to stimulate the economy. Following international regulations
- 62 for vehicular emissions, the Program for Controlling Vehicular Emission (PROCONVE) was
- 63 implemented in the late 1980's. This program established emission standards for new vehicles
- 64 with the aim of reducing these emissions (Szwarcfiter et al., 2005). Despite an increase in the

- 65 number of vehicles, the program resulted in an improved air quality with lower concentrations 66 of carbon monoxide (CO), sulfur dioxide (SO $_2$ ) and coarse particles (with diameters between 67 2.5 and 10  $\mu$ m), as shown by Carvalho et al. (2015). Regarding the emission of fine particles 68 (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) and ozone (O<sub>3</sub>), Pérez-Martínez et al. (2014) did not observe a decreasing trend. On the 69 other hand, for ozone levels, Salvo and Geiger (2014) demonstrated a decrease by replacing 70 gasoline with ethanol. 71 The usage of ethanol blends on flex-fuel vehicles has been widely discussed. Some advantages 72 on increasing the ethanol blend in gasoline by flex-fuels vehicles were discussed by Karavalakis 73 et al. (2014). They showed a significant reduction in the emission of particulate matter (PM) 74 mass including soot, and particle number but a sharp increase of acetaldehyde. Besides, they 75 also discussed that the way the gasoline injection is performed in the vehicle has a significant 76 impact on soot emissions, e.g. gasoline direct injection vehicles emitted more soot than port-77 fuel injection. In an investigation of the size distribution of soot formed from ethanol/gasoline 78 blend diffusion flames, Matti Maricq (2012) found only little effect on the size distribution with 79 the addition of small amount of ethanol. Furthermore, they found that high amounts of 80 ethanol in the fuel (E85) lead to significant reduction of semi volatile organic formation. 81 In a comparison between ethanol fuel contents (E85 and E75, 85 and 75% of ethanol in 82 gasoline respectively) in two different studies, Suarez-Bertoa et al. (2015a) and Suarez-Bertoa
- 83 et al. (2015) concluded that a higher amount of ethanol resulted in a reduction on nitrogen
- 84 oxides (NO+NO<sub>2</sub>=NO<sub>x</sub>) emitted, however, it increased acetaldehyde and ethanol emissions,
- 85 which leads to a significant increase of ozone formation potential (OFP). This finding was in line
- 86 with the work by Salvo and Geiger (2014). Based on observations of road traffic levels,
- 87 meteorological conditions and pollutant concentrations associated to a consumer demand
- 88 model (for ethanol and gasoline), they concluded that ozone ambient levels reduce with
- 89 decreased ethanol amounts in fuel.
- 90 **Regarding the use of biodiesel, i**n 2004, the National Program of Production and Usage of
- 91 Biodiesel (PNPB) was created in order to stimulate the use of biofuels as well as the associated
- agricultural activities for its production. In the same year, the addition of 2% of biodiesel to
- 93 conventional diesel fuel was authorized, but only since 2008 this addition has become
- 94 mandatory. Until 2010, the percentage has gradually increased to the current 5% (MME,
- 2015). Nowadays, 74.7% of the biodiesel produced in Brazil is made from soybean oil, 20.4%
- 96 from animal fat (mainly bovine), and 4.9% from other sources (ANP, 2015).

- 97 The emissions due to the use of diesel and bio-diesel have many important differences that
- 98 affect the formation of secondary organic aerosol and the formation of fine particles. The use
- 99 of biodiesel is associated to an increase in NO<sub>x</sub> emission (Hoekman and Robbins, 2012),
- 100 carbonyl compounds (Machado Corrêa and Arbilla, 2008) and also some poly aromatic
- 101 hydrocarbons (PAH`s) (Karavalakis et al., 2011). The number and size distribution of particles
- 102 are also affected by the use of biodiesel. The ambient air in Sao Paulo city is highly affected by
- 103 the implementation of different fuels and this has to be better evaluated as the ozone and fine
- 104 particle concentrations are presenting frequent violations of air quality standards (Cetesb,
- 105 <mark>2014).</mark>
- 106 Due to its dense population, political and economic importance, the MASP has been in the
- 107 focus of several studies that investigated the impact of vehicular emissions on the
- 108 concentration and composition of particulate matter (Albuquerque et al., 2012; Andrade et al.,
- 109 2012; Miranda and Andrade, 2005; Miranda et al., 2002), although only few publications
- 110 focused on the organic part of the aerosols. In a study performed in 2008, Souza et al. (2014)
- 111 estimated from OC measurements that around 26% of the PM<sub>2.5</sub> was composed of particulate
- 112 organic matter. Recently, Brito et al. (2013) discussed the aerosol composition including OC
- 113 and PAH in a tunnel study. They performed a chemical characterization of PM<sub>2.5</sub> by separating
- 114 the total mass into organic carbon, elemental carbon, and contributions from other trace
- 115 elements. They concluded that the organic aerosol fraction estimated from OC measurements
- 116 represented around 40% of PM<sub>2.5</sub> emitted by both light duty vehicles (LDV) and heavy duty
- 117 vehicles (HDV).
- 118 Since the vehicular emission in Sao Paulo city is the main source for PM<sub>2.5</sub>, it is of importance
- 119 to distinguish the contributions from LDV and HDV. Different methods can be used in order to
- 120 estimate the emissions from the vehicular fleet. Emission factors (EF) for gaseous and total
- 121 PM<sub>2.5</sub> have been calculated based on tunnel measurements by Pérez-Martínez et al. (2014),
- 122 showing that LDV emitted more CO than HDV, but much lower amounts of NO<sub>x</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>
- 123 (EF<sub>PM2.5</sub> of 20 and 277 mg km<sup>-1</sup> for LDV and HDV, respectively). Nevertheless, no publication so
- 124 far discussed the organic composition of aerosols formed from vehicular emissions.
- 125 We believe the main contribution of this work is to analyze the composition of organic
- 126 compounds found in fine particles emitted by the transport sector in Sao Paulo, which has the
- 127 unique characteristic of using bio-fuels on a large scale. Here, we discuss the composition of
- 128 OA and EF of condensed organics from LDV and HDV, obtained from aerosol filter samples
- 129 (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) collected in traffic tunnels. For the first time, the TD-PTR-MS was applied to filter

- samples from Sao Paulo, where hundreds of organic compounds were identified to contribute
   to OA.
- 132 **2.** Methods
- 133

#### 134 2.1 Field campaigns

135 The field campaigns were performed at two different tunnels: the first campaign took place in

the Janio Quadros tunnel (TJQ), from 4<sup>th</sup> to 13<sup>rd</sup> May 2011 and a second campaign was

137 performed in the Rodoanel Mario Covas tunnel (TRA), from 6<sup>th</sup> to 17<sup>th</sup> July 2011.

138 TJQ is a two-lane tunnel located in the Center of Sao Paulo and characterized mainly by light-

139 duty vehicle (LDV) traffic. The direction of the traffic in this tunnel alternated twice a day at 6

140 AM and 9 AM. TJQ has a length of 1.9 km, speed limit of 60 km h<sup>-1</sup>, and a natural wind flow

141 velocity ranging from 1.0 to 4.9 m s<sup>-1</sup> during congested and normal traffic conditions,

142 respectively as described by (Pérez-Martínez et al., 2014). TRA is located on the outskirts of the

143 city on a highway ring. This tunnel is an important alternative route for heavy-duty vehicles

144 (HDV) due to traffic restrictions in the center of Sao Paulo. With a length of 1.7 km and a speed

limit of 70 km/h, the traffic flow is always on four lanes in one direction. Pérez-Martínez et al.

146 (2014) described that the natural flow velocity ranged from 1.0 to 6.1 m/s during congested

147 and normal traffic conditions, respectively.

148 In TJQ, the traffic of vehicles was monitored by cameras and vehicle numbers were obtained 149 by counting from recorded videos. The fleet was classified into four different groups: HDV, 150 LDV, motorcycles and taxis. For this study, the motorcycles and the taxis were considered as 151 LDV, since they use E100 or E25 (see Table 1). The TRA campaign had an automated counting 152 system by weighing vehicles, which sorts the fleet into the two categories LDV and HDV. The 153 other two kinds of vehicles were excluded mainly due to the fact that motorcycles hardly 154 circulate on highways with high speed limit and taxi traffic is very limited far from the city center. A detailed discussion about the traffic of the vehicles during these experiments is 155

156 shown by Brito et al. (2013) and Pérez-Martínez et al. (2014).

157 Filter samples were collected at the midpoint of both tunnels. Two samplers were deployed in

- 158 parallel: a low-volume sampler (Partisol Dichotomous Ambient Particle Sampler, with the
- 159 sampling rate of 16.6 L min<sup>-1</sup>) collected simultaneously PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>2.5-10</sub> on two different
- 160 filters (coarse particles, comprising PM<sub>10</sub>) and a mini-volume sampler (Airmetrics, with a
- 161 sampling rate of 5 L min<sup>-1</sup>), sampled only the PM<sub>2.5</sub> fraction. These samples were collected on
- 162 pre-heated quartz fiber filters (800 °C, for 12 hours), subsequently wrapped in aluminum foil

163 (pre-cleaned at 550 °C, for 8 hours) and stored inside polyethylene bags in a freezer at -18 °C
164 until analysis.

165 Measurements of carbon monoxide (CO) and carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) were performed inside and 166 outside the tunnels during the whole campaigns. CO measurements were done with non-167 dispersive infrared photometry equipment (Thermo Electron 48B). CO<sub>2</sub> was measured using a 168 LICOR-6262 instrument inside and a Picarro-G1301 instrument outside the tunnels, as 169 described in detail elsewhere (Pérez-Martínez et al., 2014). Trace gas concentrations were 170 averaged to the filter sampling times. These values as well as the information regarding the 171 samples are summarized in Table 1 and Table 2. The gaseous concentration was obtained on 172 an hourly base and the average value was calculated for the same period of particulate 173 sample.

### 174 2.2 Analytical methods

175 A Proton-Transfer-Reaction Time of Flight Mass-Spectrometer (PTR-ToF-MS, model PTR-176 TOF8000, Ionicon Analytik GmbH, Austria, referred to as PTR-MS hereafter) was used to 177 perform the analysis of organic compounds on the filters (collected by the low volume 178 sampler, Partisol). Briefly, the PTR-MS uses a soft chemical ionization technique, reducing the 179 fragmentation compared to electron impact ionization. Reactions between protonated water 180  $(H_3O^+)$  and organic species in the sample lead to mostly non-dissociative proton transfers, with 181 the advantage that most organic compounds can be detected quantitatively. A detailed 182 discussion on the system, using a quadrupole detector, can be found in Hansel et al. (1995) 183 and Lindinger et al. (1998), while Graus et al. (2010) and Jordan et al. (2009) describe PTR-MS 184 using a Time of Flight Mass Spectrometer.

185 The PTR-ToF-MS used in this study operated with the following settings: drift tube

186 temperature at  $120^{\circ}$ C; inlet tube temperature at  $180^{\circ}$ C; and ion source voltages of Us = 140V,

187 Uso= 92V, E/N 130 Td. The extraction voltage at the end of the drift tube (Udx) was 28V. We

188 assumed a reaction rate constant of 3 x 10<sup>-9</sup> cm<sup>3</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>, which implies the same sensitivity for all

- 189 compounds. This is a standard method for PTR-MS when complex mixtures of unknown
- 190 composition are measured. Typical errors in the order of ~40% apply for individual species as
- 191 discussed in Holzinger et al. (2010) and Timkovsky et al. (2015). The mass resolving power of
- 192 the TOF was in the range of 3000-4000 (FWHM) for all measurements and the peak shape was
- 193 near Gaussian. For instance, the peaks detected at 149.024 and 149.131 were well separated
- 194 by more than 5 sigma of the normal distribution.

195 A thermal desorption system was used for the filter sample analysis, as described by 196 Timkovsky et al. (2015). In short, the setup consisted of a cylindrical quartz glass tube 197 surrounded by two ovens: the first oven, where the sample was inserted using a filter holder, 198 can be controlled over a temperature range of 50 to 350°C. The second oven worked at a 199 constant temperature of 180°C. A piece of 0.20 cm<sup>2</sup> area from each filter was introduced to the 200 first oven at 50 °C and heated in temperature steps of 50 °C from 100 to 350 °C, allowing 3 201 minutes for the measurement at each temperature. The N<sub>2</sub> flow rate (ultrapure nitrogen, 5.7 202 purity, Airproducts) was usually adjusted by a thermal mass-flow controller (MKS Instruments, Germany) at 100 ml min<sup>-1</sup>, except for a few tunnel samples, which were measured at a flow 203 rate of 50 ml min<sup>-1</sup>. Pure N<sub>2</sub> was used as carrier gas and transported organic molecules 204 205 desorbed from the sample to the PTR-MS. The heating steps were performed under inert 206 atmosphere, where no other gas besides  $N_2$  was present in the oven system, in order to 207 exclude oxidation during desorption. <mark>Three replicas were</mark> measured <mark>from each filter</mark> and unless 208 otherwise mentioned the average of the three replicas is presented and discussed hereafter. 209 The quartz filters were collected by the mini-volume sampler and were used for the 210 quantification of Total Carbon (TC) separated in Organic (OC) and elemental (EC) carbon using 211 Thermal-Optical Transmittance (TOT) with a Sunset Laboratory Inc. instrument (Sunset labs, 212 Tigard, USA) as described by Brito et al. (2013). The evaluation of OC occurred at temperature 213 steps of 310, 475, 615, and 870°C, with heating times ranging from 60 to 200s. Here, the 214 discussion focused on particulate organic matter. Thus, the EC and TC values are not 215 presented.

216 Pérez-Martínez et al. (2014) presented emission factors of the total PM<sub>2.5</sub> mass concentration,

217 for the same tunnels campaigns described here. Briefly,  $PM_{2.5}$  was sampled on polycarbonate

filter by a dichotomous sampler, and its mass concentration was gravimetrically determined,

using an electronic microbalance with a sensitivity of 1 µg under controlled conditions.

220

# 221 2.3 TD-PTR-MS data treatment

222 The TD-PTR-MS data evaluation was performed with custom routines described in Holzinger et

al. (2010) by implementing the widget-tool, using Interactive Data Language (IDL, version 7.0,

224 ITT Visual Information Solutions), described in Holzinger (2015). In total, 762 ions were

detected in the mass spectra. In order to avoid primary ions and inorganic ions, all ions with

226 m/z<40 Da were excluded, except m/z 31.077 ( $CH_2OH^+$ ) and 33.033 ( $CH_4OH^+$ ). Additionally,

227 ions associated with the inorganic ion  $NO_2^+$  and higher water clusters ( $(H_2O)_2H_3O^+$ ) were

removed. After this screening, the final mass list contained 712 ions that were attributed toorganic molecules.

230 The data (volume mixing ratios, VMR, in nmol mol<sup>-1</sup>) had a temporal resolution of 5s. Similar to

the procedure described by Timkovsky et al. (2015), the instrument background (*VMR*<sub>i.instrbad</sub>),

identified in Figure 2 by the first horizontal gray line, was subtracted from the measured

volume mixing ratio ( $VMR_{i,measeured}$ ) for an ion '*i*' at each temperature step:

 $234 \quad VMR_i = VMR_{i,measured} - VMR_{i,instrbgd} \tag{1}$ 

Where: *VMR<sub>i</sub>* is the volume mixing ratio corrected by the background. This calculation was
done for all filters samples and all field blanks. Figure 2 presents an example of this procedure:
the sum of the volume mixing ratios for all m/z>50 Da per time interval of 5 s (also called
cycles). The different temperature plateaus are separated by the vertical gray lines. The
background is calculated by averaging the first eight cycles before heating starts as indicated
by the first short horizontal line (close to zero). All other short horizontal lines represent the
averages of each temperature step.

242 All filter samples were measured three times. From these measurements, the average of the

243 VMR per filter was calculated for each ion *i* at each temperature step ( $\overline{VMR_l}$ ). Note that all 244 VMR<sub>i</sub> values have been normalized to a N<sub>2</sub> carrier gas flow of 100 ml min<sup>-1</sup>.

245 A t-test was performed in order to confirm the statistical significance of the ion signals

compared to the blank filters. These blank filters were treated exactly like the aerosol sample

247 filters (preparation, storage and analysis), except that no particles were collected on them. For

248 TJQ campaign, 18 blank filters were analyzed, and for TRA, 14 blank filters. After this test, 605

- 249 (TJQ) and 627 (TRA) ion masses were kept in the database as their signal was significantly
- above the signal of the blank filters.

For the remaining masses, the median VMR of the field blanks (fb) was subtracted from the average VMR of the sampled filters ( $(\overline{VMR}_{i,sampled})$ ) for each ion '*i*' and each temperature step.

254 
$$VMR_{i,final} = (\overline{VMR_{i,sampled}}) - (\overline{VMR_{i,fb}})_{MED}$$
 (2)

The  $VMR_{i,final}$  was used to calculate the concentration (ng m<sup>-3</sup>) for a specific ion '*i*', at a specific temperature step ( $C_i$ ), according to Timkovsky et al. (2015):

257 
$$C_i = \frac{VMR_{i,final}*M_i*V_{Nitrogen}}{V_{samp}*f}$$
(3)

258 Where:  $M_i$  is the molecular weight of the ion 'i' (minus one atomic mass unit., once TD-PTR-MS 259 measures protonated ions),  $V_{Nitrogen}$  is the amount of N<sub>2</sub> carrier gas (in mol),  $V_{samp}$  is the volume 260 of air during sampling (in m<sup>3</sup>), and *f* is the area of the measured filter aliquot divided by the

area of the whole filter (Timkovsky et al., 2015).

262

# 263

## 2.4 Vehicular Emission Factors of organic species

Emission factors (EF) in units of mg of pollutant per kg of burned fuel were calculated
according to Eq (4) (Martins et al., 2006; Kirchstetter et al., 1999; Miguel et al., 1998),
assuming that under normal driving conditions the fuel is converted to CO and CO<sub>2</sub> while
contributions from other carbon compounds are negligible:

268 
$$EF_P = 10^3 \left(\frac{\Delta[P]}{\Delta[CO_2] + \Delta[CO]}\right) \omega_c$$
(4)

269 Where:  $EF_P$  is the emission factor of pollutant P (mg of P per kg of burned fuel);  $\Delta$ [P] is the 270 increase of [P] above the background levels (in ng m<sup>-3</sup>);  $\Delta$ [CO<sub>2</sub>] and  $\Delta$ [CO] are the increases of 271 the CO<sub>2</sub> and CO concentrations, respectively, above the background levels [µg of carbon m<sup>-3</sup>]. 272 The  $\omega_c$  term is the fuel carbon weight fraction (in g of C g<sup>-1</sup> of fuel) for a fuel  $c - \omega_G = 0.757$  for 273 E25 and  $\omega_D = 0.818$  for diesel.

The emission factors for LDV were directly calculated from the filters sampled in the TJQ
tunnel due to the fact that LDV dominated the emissions in the TJQ tunnel. However, the HDV
EF just can be estimated after subtracting LDV emissions from the samples collected in the
TRA. Previous studies, also performed in tunnels, have shown that HDV and LDV emit
comparable amounts of CO per travelled distance (Kirchstetter et al., 1999; Miguel et al., 1998;
Pierson et al., 1996). The CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the diesel burning could be estimated according
to the following equation:

281 
$$\frac{\Delta[CO_2]_D}{\Delta[CO_2]} = \frac{f_D U_D \rho_D \omega_D}{f_D U_D \rho_D \omega_D + ((1 - f_D) \cdot U_G \rho_G \omega_G)}$$
(5)

282 Where  $\Delta [CO_2]_D$  is the component of  $\Delta [CO_2]$  related to the emissions from diesel vehicles 283 (equal to HDV),  $f_D$  is the fraction of HDV, U is the average fuel consumption rate in g km<sup>-1</sup> (75 g 284 km<sup>-1</sup> for E25and 251 g km<sup>-1</sup> for diesel),  $\rho$  is the fuel density (765 g l<sup>-1</sup> for E25, 854 g l<sup>-1</sup> for 285 diesel). The subscripts *G* and *D* denote gasohol and diesel, respectively.

The contribution of HDV to the concentration of a pollutant *P* can be estimated by the equation:

288 
$$\Delta[P]_{HDV} = \Delta[P] - \Delta[CO](1 - f_D) \left(\frac{\Delta[P]_{LDV}}{\Delta[CO]_{LDV}}\right)_{TJQ}$$
(6)

289 Where  $\Delta[P]_{HDV}$  is the contribution of  $\Delta[P]$ , related to HDV emissions,  $\Delta[CO](1 - f_D)$  is the 290 fraction of  $\Delta[CO]$  attributed to the LDV emissions. The last term in equation (6) was calculated 291 from the measurements in the TJQ campaign.

#### 292 The ventilation system in the tunnels brings the air from the outside to the interior by

- 293 ventilation fans on the roof of the tunnels operating according to the CO level in order to
- 294 provide fresh air inside. This air already contains some urban background aerosol and hence a
- subtraction of this background is necessary to remove any contribution not originating from
   the traffic inside the tunnel itself. Considering that the difference of CO between inside and
- 297 outside ( $\Delta$ CO) is directly related to the vehicular emission (for Sao Paulo, more than 90% of CO
- 298 comes from vehicular emissions), a linear relation between  $\Delta$ CO and the pollutant from the
- 299 same source is expected. Therefore, the intercept of this fit was considered the background
- 300 concentration. This relation between △CO and OA (and OC) was mainly observed for the TJQ
- 301 campaign. For the TRA campaign, this linear relation was not as evident as for the TJQ
- 302 campaign. This is mainly because  $\Delta$ CO did not vary strongly in the TRA tunnel, which made a
- 303 linear fit unreliable. We considered the background air near the tunnels was the same for both
- 304 tunnels, and consequently subtracted the background estimates obtained for TJQ. Due to the
- 305 high concentrations in the TRA tunnel, any type background subtraction will have no
- 306 significant effect on the final results. More details about the background correction can be
- 307 found in the supplement.

308

# 309 3. Results and discussion

310 Table 3 shows EFs (in mg of pollutant per kg of burned fuel) for OC, OA, and total PM<sub>2.5</sub>, as

obtained by TOT, TD-PTR-MS, and gravimetrical analyses (Pérez-Martínez et al. 2014),

312 respectively. All EF's were higher for HDV than for LDV.

The EF of OC represented  $\frac{28}{8}$ % and  $\frac{60}{8}$ % of the EF of PM<sub>2.5</sub> for LDV and HDV, respectively. Brito

et al. (2013) used OA/OC ratios of 1.6 and 1.5 for the TJQ and TRA campaigns, respectively.

Using these ratios and measured OC (TOT, up to 310 °C) and OA (TD-PTR-MS, up to 300°C) we

infer that TD-PTR-MS quantified ~70% and ~55% of LDV and HDV emissions, respectively,

317 which is in line with known loss processes in TD-PTR-MS discussed by Holzinger et al. (2010

- and 2013). It is difficult to compare the OA measured by TD-PTR-MS and TOT, respectively,
- 319 because of different desorption temperatures. Overall we estimate that OA as measured by

- 320 TD-PTR-MS accounts for 30% or less for the total organic matter measured by TOT analyzer
- 321 over all thermal fractions (up to  $870^{\circ}$ C).
- 322 Table 3 also presents the EF of compounds containing oxygen (O) for LDV and HDV. We found

high contributions from oxygenated compounds of around 70% and 65% for LDV and HDV,

324 **respectively**. This indicates that the fraction of oxygenated compounds in particulate matter is

substantially higher than in the fuel. This can be associated to significant oxidation during the

- 326 combustion, since photochemical processes are negligible inside tunnels due to the absence of327 sunlight.
- 328 The EF(OA) values presented here were lower than the ones found in other studies. Chirico et

al. (2011) found 33.7 (HDV) 5.6 (LDV) mg km<sup>-1</sup>, and another study in the ZhujiangTunnel in

- 330 Guangzhou, China (He et al., 2008) found 76 (HDV) and 19 (LDV) mg km<sup>-1</sup>. The observed
- 331 differences are mostly due to the fact that in our study a large fraction of OA is missed due to
- 332 the 350°C limit for thermal desorption. Additional effects could be due to the different fuel

333 composition used in Brazil, since the Brazilian gasoline includes 25% of ethanol. It has been

- 334 shown that an increased percentage of biofuel can lead to the reduction of the particulate
- 335 matter emission (Karavalakis et al., 2014; Mamakos et al., 2013). This may explain the larger
- 336 difference observed for LDV as compared to HDV.
- 337 Regarding the EF (OC), most of the references found did not distinguish between the

338 contribution of LDV and HDV for EF calculations. In a study conducted in China (Cheng et al.,

- 339 2010) in Shing Mun Tunnel for diesel emission characterization found an emission factor of
- 340 67.9 mg km<sup>-1</sup> for OC .Zhang et al. (2015) found 19.2 mg km<sup>-1</sup> (12% HDV and 27% liquefied
- 341 petroleum gas vehicles. Hung-Lung and Yao-Sheng (2009) and Handler et al. (2008) found 4.7
- 342 (~15% HDV) and 2.3 (~10% HDV) mg km<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. These values, although comparable,
- 343 were lower than EF (OC) considering only LDV. In conclusion, we can affirm that the vehicles in
- 344 Sao Paulo city emit more OC/km than in several other cities.
- 345
- Figure 3 shows the average EF (in mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of fuel) profiles for LDV and HDV, obtained from the TD-PTR-MS. As discussed above, HDV emitted higher concentrations of organic particulate compounds than the vehicles using E25. Differences between LDV and HDV are also seen from the chemical composition of the emitted particles. Several ions above 475 Da were detected from LDV emissions with the TD-PTR-MS, and only one compound exceeded EF's of 0.100 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of fuel. In contrast, many compounds emitted by HDV exceeded 0.100 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of fuel,
- especially at m/z's at around 200 Da, however, no ions above 475 Da were detected.

- Table 4 and Table 5 show the ten highest average EF values for both types of vehicles, as well as their m/z, their tentative empirical formula, the median, maximum and minimum EF values. The complete list of all compounds is shown in the Supplementary Material (Table S2). Using improved routines described in Holzinger et al. (2010), it was possible to attribute empirical formulas to the m/z identified by the TD-PTR-MS, namely compounds with up to 16 atoms of oxygen and 2 atoms of nitrogen.
- The highest average EF was found for m/z 149.024 for both LDV and HDV with the value of 4.9
  and 3.1 mg/kg of fuel, respectively. This compound was identified as C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>4</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, tentatively
  attributed to phthalic anhydride. This compound is known for its use as plasticizers
  (responsible for the flexibility, resilience and transparency of the plastic) and it is also present
- in the plastic bags in which the filters (wrapped in aluminum foil) have been stored. Therefore,
- 364 this peak potentially prone to positive artifacts caused by the handling of the filters, however
- 365 the blank filters that were also stored in plastic bags did not show a significant signal on this
- 366 mass<mark>.</mark> It is important to point out that the instrumental background, field blank, and ambient
- 367 air background subtractions were performed before calculating the emission factors and give
- 368 no indication of an artifact. Therefore this ion is most likely originating from the collected
- aerosol on the filters. Furthermore, phthalic anhydride ( $C_8H_4O_3$ , detected on m/z 149.024) has
- been positively identified in the atmosphere (Chan et al., 2009; Samy and Zielinska, 2010). We
- 371 suggest that this species may be produced from tire wear. This is also supported by the fact
- 372 that measured concentrations of m/z 149.024 do not correlate with excess CO (see
- 373 supplemental information), a behavior which was observed for most ions and indicates that
- 374 the emission is associated with fuel combustion.
- 375 The ion detected at m/z 149.131 ( $C_{11}H_{16}H^{+}$ ), as presented in Table 4, was tentatively attributed
- to pentyl benzene. Pentyl benzene is a potential unique tracer for gasoline, as this compound
- is a known constituent of gasoline, e.g. Ramadhan and Al-Hyali (1999) used pentyl benzene to
- 378 calculate the octane number in the fuel. For HDV emissions, m/z 299.289 is a potentially
- 379 unique tracer for this source. This ion was attributed to the formula  $C_{19}H_{38}O_2H^{+}$ , and tentatively
- 380 attributed to methyl stearate, which is one of the main components found in biodiesel (Naik et
- al., 2011). The emission factor for LDV was approximately a factor of 6 lower (see the
- 382 Supplementary Material) than for HDV and this signal might originate from the low number of
- diesel fueled vehicles moving in the TJQ tunnel.
- 384 Figure 4 shows the average EF for LDV and HDV divided in groups containing: CH, CHO, CHON,
- and CHN. The hydrocarbon group (CH) presented an important contribution to the total EF: for
- the LDV close to a quarter and for the HDV the contribution was around a third. Oxygenated

387 hydrocarbons (CHO) showed highest contribution to emissions for both vehicle types, where 388 LDV (60%) exhibited a larger fraction than HDV (48%). The high fraction of oxygen containing 389 compounds shows that chemical processing close to the engine/tailpipe region is an important 390 control on primary organic aerosol emission. The nitrogen-containing groups contributed 391 around 20% to the measured OA. Such a high percentage in the aerosol may be due to  $NO_x$ 392 chemistry during the combustion process (thermal effect). The high levels of NOx chemistry 393 may be enhanced due to the use of bio-diesel in accordance with findings in other studies, 394 such as Hoekman and Robbins (2012). They compared the emissions from conventional diesel 395 to biodiesel and concluded that the reason for the high emission factor for NO<sub>x</sub> in the biodiesel 396 can be associated to the injection timing, ignition delay and other combustion process. The 397 increase of NO<sub>x</sub> emission when biodiesel is used is very variable according to the amount and 398 type of biodiesel used. The use of exhaust gas treatment can decrease the nitrogen oxides 399 emission but only a minor fraction of diesel vehicles uses exhaust gas treatments in Brazil, as 400 the implementation of regulation for new heavy-duty diesel emissions is dated in 2013 (Euro 401 7). Another important point of concern is that if the increase in the use of biodiesel can result 402 in higher amounts of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions the formation of secondary particles can also be increased. 403 Rollins et al. (2011), in an original work, showed experimentally that nitrogen oxides affect the 404 formation of organic aerosol production mainly at nighttime. Particulate organic nitrates 405 formation increases at night with NO<sub>x</sub>, and most nighttime secondary OA is due to NO<sub>3</sub> 406 radicals, formed by anthropogenic NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. Due to the absence of sunlight, the 407 chemistry inside tunnels can be compared to nighttime chemistry. This may be another aspect 408 explaining the high nitrogen content found in the tunnel samples as presented here. 409 Figure 5 shows the relation between the atomic ratios H/C and O/C (Van Krevelen Diagram), 410 calculated from the mass concentration, without the background correction used for the EF 411 calculation. Besides the ratios from the tunnel campaigns discussed here, Figure 5 also present 412 the average ratios from an ambient campaign performed in the Sao Paulo city (5 km away 413 from TJQ and 15 km from TRA) during the Southern Hemisphere winter, between August and 414 September 2012 (yet unpublished results). The average ambient O/C was higher than 415 measured in the tunnels. This can be associated to photochemical reactions in presence of 416 sunlight producing more oxygenated aerosol. The high H/C ratios found for the tunnels 417 samples indicated that fresh aerosol were collected on the filters due to primary emission from 418 vehicle exhaust. 419 The O/C and H/C ratios presented more variation for the samples collected during the TJQ

420 campaign than for the samples collected in TRA; possibly due to the differences in the traffic

421 and congestion (see Table 1). In general, the samples collected during the morning (for 6 h)

422 and at night (for 12 h) were more oxidized than the others. This can be related to a smaller

423 number of cars and consequently to less POA emissions. In addition, the contribution of

424 external air was more significant during these times. The afternoon samples (sampled for 3 h)

- 425 were collected during the traffic congestion periods (between 5 and 8 pm, Brito et al., 2013)
- 426 suggesting that POA dominated the burden sampled on the filters. Samples collected during
- 427 the day (for 12 h) were mainly dominated by afternoon traffic congestion profile.
- 428 Consequently, we used the 12h-day samples and the afternoon samples from the TJQ tunnel
- 429 to calculate LDV emission factors.
- 430 The O/C ratios ranged between 0.16 and 0.21 (O/C), indicating a higher amount of oxygen in
- 431 POA for the OA desorbed up to 350°C than reported in previous studies. The ratios found here
- 432 were significantly higher than the ratio found for gasoline and diesel (around 0.04), measured
- 433 on POA formed under controlled conditions (Aiken et al., 2008). In a different tunnel study,
- 434 Chirico et al. (2011) also found significant differences, the O/C ratios ranged between 0.073
- 435 (workday) and 0.199 (weekend). Collier et al. (2015) estimated O/C ratios around 0.19 for low
- 436 particulate matter concentrations, measured in vehicles using a dynamometer. Given the fact
- 437 that O/C ratios measured with the TD-PTR-MS are biased low (Holzinger et al., 2013), the
- 438 values found here indicate a more oxidized aerosol originated from the fuels used in Brazil,
- 439 which may be related to the use of ethanol and bio-diesel.
- 440 Chirico et al. (2011) found H/C ratios ranging between 1.84 and 1.71, for working and weekend
- 441 days, respectively. These values were higher than 1.62, found by Aiken et al. (2008), in ambient
- 442 measurements performed in Mexico City. In both studies the H/C ratio was higher than found
- 443 here, ranging between 1.25 and 1.45. This is in agreement with the higher O/C ratio found in
- 444 this study, showing a higher oxygenation state of the particulate compounds sampled in the
- 445 tunnels comparing to results from Mexico City or Switzerland. It is important to highlight here
- 446 that the AMS operates at high vaporization temperatures (usually constantly at 600°C),
- 447 measuring smaller particles (PM1) than discussed here, and uses a different method of
- 448 ionization, namely electron impact ionization.

- 450 The distribution of the total emissions over the different desorption temperatures is presented
- 451 in Figure 6. This analysis indicated that OA produced from HDV were more volatile than OA
- 452 from LDV. As expected, hydrocarbons (HC) represented the most volatile group. Their volatility
- 453 was related to the number of carbons present in molecules: short-chain hydrocarbons (up to 9

- 454 carbon atoms) were more volatile than the long-chain ones (more than 9 carbon atoms). The
- 455 short-chain HC contribution was very low at 250°C and higher temperatures, while the long-
- 456 chain HC contribution was still significant at 350°C.
- 457 HDV emitted more volatile nitrogen compounds than LDV. Such distinction between the two

458 categories of vehicular fleet was not observed in previous studies.

- 459 The oxygenated hydrocarbon compounds were the most significant group in the aerosol
- 460 composition. The group containing up to 3 oxygen atoms was the predominant due to m/z
- 461 149.024, mainly at 150 and 200°C, for LDV emission. The relative contribution from
- 462 oxygenated compounds to the total OA increased during the last temperature steps.
- 463 In addition, the fraction of ions with at least one oxygen atom is higher than reported by
- 464 Chirico et al. (2011) in a tunnel in Switzerland. Chirico et al. (2011) showed that CH-ions largely
- 465 dominated the average OA mass spectra from online AMS measurements sampled during rush
- 466 hours on working days. The difference to this study can be explained by both, the different
- 467 analytical techniques and the use of ethanol and biodiesel in Brazilian fuels, which have a
- 468 higher oxygen content than the fuels used in Switzerland.
- 469

#### 470 **4.** Conclusions

471 The main objective was the characterization of average emission factors of organic particulate 472 compounds for Light (LDV) and Heavy (HDV) duty vehicles. The study was performed in the city 473 of Sao Paulo, Brazil. Its atmosphere is impacted by the burning of different fuels: gasohol 474 (gasoline with 25% of ethanol), ethanol, and diesel (95% diesel and 5% biodiesel). The organic 475 aerosol has an important contribution to the fine particle concentrations and previous studies 476 showed that the main sources of these particles are vehicular emissions. In this study, two 477 campaigns in traffic tunnels and one campaign in ambient air were performed in the city of Sao 478 Paulo, collecting aerosol samples on quartz filters. The quantification and identification of the 479 organic compounds was performed by TD-PTR-MS and TOT. Additional data from previous 480 studies in this area were used for comparison and interpretation. 481 We observed, with all methods, that HDV emitted more fine particles than LDV (per kg fuel

- 482 burned). OC represented a significant fraction of emitted PM<sub>2.5</sub>: factors of 108 and 523 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>
- 483 **burned fuel for** LDV and HDV, respectively. The amount of oxygen found in the desorbed
- 484 aerosol up to 350°C samples was higher than that found in the fuels. The emission of
- 485 oxygenated compounds represented around 70% of total organic aerosol for both types of
- 486 vehicles measured by the TD-PTR-MS.

- 487 A comparison between EF's of organic compounds (obtained by the TD-PTR-MS) from LDV and
- 488 HDV showed distinct spectrum profiles. The m/z 149.024 ( $C_8H_4O_3H^{\dagger}$ ) may be a tracer for tire
- 489 wear. However, possible contamination could not be completely excluded. Furthermore, m/z
- 490 149.131 ( $C_{11}H_{16}H^{\dagger}$ ) tentatively attributed to pentylbenzene may be a unique tracer for
- 491 gasoline, and, m/z 299.289 ( $C_{19}H_{38}O_2H^{\dagger}$ ) tentatively attributed to methyl stearate, may be a

492 unique tracer for aerosols originating from biodiesel combustion.

- 493 A comparison among chemical groups (CH, CHO, CHON, and CHN) did show differences
- 494 between LDV and HDV emissions. The nitrogen-containing compound found in the particulate
- 495 phase contributed around 20% to the total OA emissions, probably related to NO<sub>x</sub> chemistry
- 496 during fuel combustion. The thermal desorption analysis showed that HDV emitted more
- 497 volatile compounds, mainly oxygenated hydrocarbons containing up to two oxygen atoms and
- 498 long-chain hydrocarbons, than LDV.
- 499

# 500 Acknowledgements

- 501 The collection and analysis of tunnel samples from Brazil has been funded by the Foundation
- 502 for Research Support of the São Paulo State, (projects: 2011/17754-2 and 2012/21456-0),
- 503 Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES) and National Council for Scientific and
- 504 Technological Development (CNPq). The TD-PTR-MS has been funded by the Netherlands
- 505 Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) under the ALW-Middelgroot program (Grant
- 506 834.08.002). U. Dusek acknowledges funding by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific
- 507 Research (NWO), Grant no. 820.01.001. We thank Emilia Brasilio for implementing
- 508 improvements on the EF calculation.
- 509

# 510 **5. References**

- Aiken, A. C., Decarlo, P. F., Kroll, J. H., Worsnop, D. R., Huffman, J. A., Docherty, K. S., Ulbrich, I.
- 512 M., Mohr, C., Kimmel, J. R., Sueper, D., Sun, Y., Zhang, Q., Trimborn, A., Northway, M.,
- 513 Ziemann, P. J., Canagaratna, M. R., Onasch, T. B., Alfarra, M. R., Prevot, A. S. H., Dommen, J.,
- 514 Duplissy, J., Metzger, A., Baltensperger, U. and Jimenez, J. L.: O / C and OM / OC Ratios of
- 515 Primary , Secondary , and Ambient Organic Aerosols with High Resolution Time-of-Flight
- Aerosol Mass Spectrometry, Environ. Sci. Technol., 42(12), 4478–4485, 2008.
- 517 Albuquerque, T. A., Andrade, M. F. and Ynoue, R. Y.: Characterization of atmospheric aerosols
- 518 in the city of Sao Paulo, Brazil: Comparisons between polluted and unpolluted periods,
- 519 Environ. Monit. Assess., 184(2), 969–984, doi:10.1007/s10661-011-2013-y, 2012.
- 520 Andrade, M. F., Miranda, R. M., Fornaro, A., Kerr, A., Oyama, B., Andre, P. A. and Saldiva, P.:
- 521 Vehicle emissions and PM2.5 mass concentrations in six Brazilian cities, Air Qual. Atmos. Heal.,
- 522 5(1), 79–88, doi:10.1007/s11869-010-0104-5, 2012.

- ANP: Boletim Mensal do Biodiesel- Fevereiro 2015 Agência Nacional do Petróleo, Gás Natural e
   Biocombustíveis., , 22, 2015.
- 525 Brito, J., Rizzo, L. V., Herckes, P., Vasconcellos, P. C., Caumo, S. E. S., Fornaro, A., Ynoue, R. Y.,
- 526 Artaxo, P. and Andrade, M. F.: Physical–chemical characterisation of the particulate matter
- inside two road tunnels in the São Paulo Metropolitan Area, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13(24),
  12199–12213, doi:10.5194/acp-13-12199-2013, 2013.
- 529 Carvalho, V. S. B., Freitas, E. D., Martins, L. D., Martins, J. A., Mazzoli, C. R. and Andrade, M. F.:
- 530 Air quality status and trends over the Metropolitan Area of São Paulo, Brazil as a result of
- emission control policies, Environ. Sci. Policy, 47, 68–79, doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2014.11.001,
  2015.
- 533 Cetesb: Relatório de Qualidade do Ar no Estado de São Paulo 2013., , 110 [online] Available
  534 from: http://ar.cetesb.sp.gov.br/publicacoes-relatorios/, 2014.
- 535 Chan, a. W. H., Kautzman, K. E., Chhabra, P. S., Surratt, J. D., Chan, M. N., Crounse, J. D.,
- 536 Kürten, a., Wennberg, P. O., Flagan, R. C. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Secondary organic aerosol
- formation from photooxidation of naphthalene and alkylnaphthalenes: Implications for
- oxidation of intermediate volatility organic compounds (IVOCs), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9(9),
  3049–3060, doi:10.5194/acp-9-3049-2009, 2009.
- 540 Cheng, Y., Lee, S. C., Ho, K. F., Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Louie, P. K. K., Cao, J. J. and Hai, X.: 541 Chemically-speciated on-road PM2.5 motor vehicle emission factors in Hong Kong, Sci. Total
- 542 Environ., 408(7), 1621–1627, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2009.11.061, 2010.
- Chirico, R., Prevot, A. S. H., DeCarlo, P. F., Heringa, M. F., Richter, R., Weingartner, E. and
  Baltensperger, U.: Aerosol and trace gas vehicle emission factors measured in a tunnel using
  an Aerosol Mass Spectrometer and other on-line instrumentation, Atmos. Environ., 45(13),
  2182–2192, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.01.069, 2011.
- 547 Collier, S., Zhou, S., Kuwayama, T., Forestieri, S., Brady, J., Zhang, M., Kleeman, M., Cappa, C.,
- Bertram, T. and Zhang, Q.: Organic PM Emissions from Vehicles: Composition, O/C Ratio, and
   Dependence on PM Concentration, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 49(2), 86–97,
- doi:10.1080/02786826.2014.1003364, 2015.
- Graus, M., Müller, M. and Hansel, A.: High resolution PTR-TOF: Quantification and Formula
  Confirmation of VOC in Real Time, J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom., 21, 1037–1044,
  doi:10.1016/j.jasms.2010.02.006, 2010.
- Handler, M., Puls, C., Zbiral, J., Marr, I., Puxbaum, H. and Limbeck, A.: Size and composition of
  particulate emissions from motor vehicles in the Kaiserm??hlen-Tunnel, Vienna, Atmos.
- 556 Environ., 42(9), 2173–2186, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.11.054, 2008.
- Hansel, A., Jordan, A., Holzinger, R., Prazeller, P., Vogel, W. and Lindinger, W.: Proton transfer
  reaction mass spectrometry: on-line trace gas analysis at the ppb level, Int. J. Mass Spectrom.
  Ion Process., 149-150(95), 609–619, 1995.
- He, L. Y., Hu, M., Zhang, Y. H., Huang, X. F. and Yao, T. T.: Fine particle emissions from on-road
  vehicles in the Zhujiang Tunnel, China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 42(12), 4461–4466,
- 562 doi:10.1021/es7022658, 2008.
- Hoekman, S. K. and Robbins, C.: Review of the effects of biodiesel on NOx emissions, Fuel
  Process. Technol., 96, 237–249, doi:10.1016/j.fuproc.2011.12.036, 2012.
- Holzinger, R.: PTRwid: a new widget-tool for processing PTR-TOF-MS data, Atmos. Meas. Tech.
  Discuss., 8(2), 1629–1669, doi:10.5194/amtd-8-1629-2015, 2015.
- 567 Holzinger, R., Kasper-Giebl, A., Staudinger, M., Schauer, G. and Röckmann, T.: Analysis of the 568 chemical composition of organic aerosol at the Mt. Sonnblick observatory using a novel high

- mass resolution thermal-desorption proton-transfer-reaction mass-spectrometer (hr-TD-PTRMS), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10(6), 13969–14011, doi:10.5194/acp-10-10111-2010, 2010.
- 571 Holzinger, R., Goldstein, A. H., Hayes, P. L., Jimenez, J. L. and Timkovsky, J.: Chemical evolution
- of organic aerosol in Los Angeles during the CalNex 2010 study, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13(19),
  10125–10141, doi:10.5194/acp-13-10125-2013, 2013.
- 574 Hung-Lung, C. and Yao-Sheng, H.: Particulate matter emissions from on-road vehicles in a
- 575 freeway tunnel study, Atmos. Environ., 43(26), 4014–4022,
- 576 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.05.015, 2009.
- 577 Infocidade: Infocidade, [online] Available from: http://infocidade.prefeitura.sp.gov.br/
  578 (Accessed 23 May 2015), 2015.
- Jordan, A., Haidacher, S., Hanel, G., Hartungen, E., Märk, L., Seehauser, H., Schottkowsky, R.,
- 580 Sulzer, P. and Märk, T. D.: A high resolution and high sensitivity proton-transfer-reaction time-
- 581 of-flight mass spectrometer (PTR-TOF-MS), Int. J. Mass Spectrom., 286, 122–128,
- 582 doi:10.1016/j.ijms.2009.07.005, 2009.
- 583 Karavalakis, G., Boutsika, V., Stournas, S. and Bakeas, E.: Biodiesel emissions profile in modern
- diesel vehicles. Part 2: Effect of biodiesel origin on carbonyl, PAH, nitro-PAH and oxy-PAH
  emissions., Sci. Total Environ., 409(4), 738–47 [online] Available from:
- http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0048969710012167 (Accessed 29 February
  2016), 2011.
- 588 Karavalakis, G., Short, D., Russell, R. L., Jung, H., Johnson, K. C., Asa-Awuku, A. and Durbin, T.
- D.: Assessing the impacts of ethanol and isobutanol on gaseous and particulate emissions from
   flexible fuel vehicles, Environ. Sci. Technol., doi:10.1021/es5034316, 2014.
- Kirchstetter, T. W., Harley, R. A., Kreisberg, N. M., Stolzenburg, M. R. and Hering, S. V: On-road
  measurement of fine particle and nitrogen oxide emissions from light- and heavy-duty motor
  vehicles, Atmos. Environ., 33, 2955 2968, 1999.
- 594 Lindinger, W., Hansel, A. and Jordan, A.: On-line monitoring of volatile organic compounds at
- pptv levels by means of proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry (PTR-MS) medical
  applications, food control and environmental research, Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Process.,
- 597 173(3), 191–241, 1998.
- 598 Machado Corrêa, S. and Arbilla, G.: Carbonyl emissions in diesel and biodiesel exhaust, Atmos.
  599 Environ., 42(4), 769–775, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.09.073, 2008.
- 600 Mamakos, A., Martini, G., Marotta, A. and Manfredi, U.: Assessment of different technical
- options in reducing particle emissions from gasoline direct injection vehicles, J. Aerosol Sci., 63,
  115–125, doi:10.1016/j.jaerosci.2013.05.004, 2013.
- Martins, L. D., Andrade, M. F., Freitas, E. D., Pretto, A., Gatti, L. V, Albuquerque, E. L., Tomaz,
- 604 E., Guardani, M. L., Martins, M. H. R. B. and Junior, O. M. A.: Emission factors for gas-powered 605 vehicles traveling through road tunnels in São Paulo, Brazil., Environ. Sci. Technol., 40(21),
- 606 6722–6729*,* 2006.
- Matti Maricq, M.: Soot formation in ethanol/gasoline fuel blend diffusion flames, Combust.
  Flame, doi:10.1016/j.combustflame.2011.07.010, 2012.
- 609 Miguel, A. H., Kirchstetter, T. W., Harley, R. A. and Hering, S. V.: On-road emissions of
- 610 particulate polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and black carbon from gasoline and diesel 611 vehicles, Environ. Sci. Technol., 32(4), 450–455, doi:10.1021/es970566w, 1998.
- 612 Miranda, R. M. and Andrade, M. F.: Physicochemical characteristics of atmospheric aerosol
- 613 during winter in the São Paulo Metropolitan area in Brazil, Atmos. Environ., 39(33), 6188–
- 614 6193, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.06.055, 2005.

- Miranda, R. M., Andrade, M. F., Worobiec, A. and Grieken, R. Van: Characterisation of aerosol
  particles in the São Paulo Metropolitan Area, Atmos. Environ., 36, 345–352, 2002.
- 617 MME: Ministério de Minas e Energia, [online] Available from:
- http://www.mme.gov.br/programas/biodiesel/menu/programa/objetivos\_diretrizes.html
  (Accessed 23 March 2015), 2015.
- 620 Naik, C. V., Westbrook, C. K., Herbinet, O., Pitz, W. J. and Mehl, M.: Detailed chemical kinetic
- reaction mechanism for biodiesel components methyl stearate and methyl oleate, Proc.
- 622 Combust. Inst., 33(1), 383–389, doi:10.1016/j.proci.2010.05.007, 2011.
- 623 Pérez-Martínez, P. J., Miranda, R. M., Nogueira, T., Guardani, M. L., Fornaro, A., Ynoue, R. and
- 624 Andrade, M. F.: Emission factors of air pollutants from vehicles measured inside road tunnels
- in São Paulo: case study comparison, Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol., doi:10.1007/s13762-0140562-7, 2014.
- 627 Pierson, W. R., Gertler, A. W., Robinson, N. F., Sagebiel, J. C., Zielinska, B., Bishop, G. A.,
- 628 Stedman, D. H., Zweidinger, R. B. and Ray, W. D.: Real-world automotive emissions summary
- of studies in the Fort McHenry and Tuscarora Mountain Tunnels, Atmos. Environ., 30(12),
- 630 2233–2256, doi:10.1016/1352-2310(95)00276-6, 1996.
- 631 Ramadhan, O. M. and Al-Hyali, E. A.: New Experimental and Theoretical Relation To Determine
- 632 the Research Octane Number (Ron) of Authentic Aromatic Hydrocarbons Could Be Present in
- the Gasoline Fraction, Pet. Sci. Technol., 17(February 2015), 623–635,
- 634 doi:10.1080/10916469908949737, 1999.
- Rico, J. A. P. and Sauer, I. L.: A review of Brazilian biodiesel experiences, Renew. Sustain.
  Energy Rev., 45, 513–529, doi:10.1016/j.rser.2015.01.028, 2015.
- Rollins, A. W., Browne, E. C., Pusede, S. E., Wooldridge, P. J., Gentner, D. R., Goldstein, A. H.,
- Liu, S., Day, D. A. and Cohen, R. C.: ) affect atmospheric organic aerosol (OA) production.
- 639 However, these effects have not been directly observed in ambient OA. We report
- 640 measurements of particulate organic nitrates in Bakersfield, California, the nighttime
  641 formation of which increases with , , 267(1989), 2–4, 2011.
- Salvo, A. and Geiger, F. M.: Reduction in local ozone leves in urban São Paulo due to a shift
  from ethanol to gasoline use, Nat. Geosci., 7(6), 450–458, doi:10.1038/NGEO2144, 2014.
- 644 Samy, S. and Zielinska, B.: Secondary organic aerosol production from modern diesel engine 645 emissions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10(2), 609–625, doi:10.5194/acp-10-609-2010, 2010.
- 646 Souza, D. Z., Vasconcellos, P. C., Lee, H., Aurela, M., Saarnio, K., Teinilä, K. and Hillamo, R.:
- 647 Composition of PM2.5 and PM10 collected at Urban Sites in Brazil, Aerosol Air Qual. Res.,
  648 14(1), 168–176, doi:10.4209/aaqr.2013.03.0071, 2014.
- Stattman, S. L., Hospes, O. and Mol, A. P. J.: Governing biofuels in Brazil: A comparison of
  ethanol and biodiesel policies, Energy Policy, 61, 22–30, doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2013.06.005,
  2013.
- Suarez-Bertoa, R., Zardini, A. A., Keuken, H. and Astorga, C.: Impact of ethanol containing
  gasoline blends on emissions from a flex-fuel vehicle tested over the Worldwide Harmonized
  Light duty Test Cycle (WLTC), Fuel, 143, 173–182, doi:10.1016/j.fuel.2014.10.076, 2015a.
- 655 Suarez-Bertoa, R., Zardini, A. A., Platt, S. M., Hellebust, S., Pieber, S. M., El Haddad, I., Temime-
- 656 Roussel, B., Baltensperger, U., Marchand, N., Pr??v??t, A. S. H. and Astorga, C.: Primary
- emissions and secondary organic aerosol formation from the exhaust of a flex-fuel (ethanol)
- 658 vehicle, Atmos. Environ., 117, 200–211, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.07.006, 2015b.
- Szwarcfiter, L., Mendes, F. E. and La Rovere, E. L.: Enhancing the effects of the Brazilian
   program to reduce atmospheric pollutant emissions from vehicles, Transp. Res. Part D Transp.

- 661 Environ., 10(2), 153–160, doi:10.1016/j.trd.2004.12.002, 2005.
- 662 Timkovsky, J., Dusek, U., Henzing, J. S., Kuipers, T. L., Röckmann, T. and Holzinger, R.: Offline
- thermal-desorption proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry to study composition of
- organic aerosol, J. Aerosol Sci., 79, 1–14, doi:10.1016/j.jaerosci.2014.08.010, 2015.
- 665 Zhang, Y., Wang, X., Li, G., Yang, W., Huang, Z., Zhang, Z., Huang, X., Deng, W., Liu, T., Huang, Z.
- and Zhang, Z.: Emission factors of fine particles, carbonaceous aerosols and traces gases from
- road vehicles: Recent tests in an urban tunnel in the Pearl River Delta, China, Atmos. Environ.,
- 668 122, 876–884, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.08.024, 2015.
- 669

Table 1: Filter identification, sampling time start, sampling duration, volume sampled (for OA

samples), vehicle counts, OC and OA concentrations, and average CO and CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations

673 during sampling in the TJQ tunnel in the year 2011.

	Start Sampling	Sampling duration (h)	Volume sampled (m <sup>3</sup> )	# vehicles		0C*	0A** (ug m <sup>-3</sup> )	Inside		Outside	
Filter #								CO <sub>2</sub>	СО	$CO_2$	СО
				LDV	HDV	(µg III )	(µg III )	(ppm)			
TJQ 01	4th May 08:16	6	5	13920	29	17.6	4.7	513.6	5.10	403.2	1.33
TJQ 02	4th May 17:00	3	3	12856	34		4.2	526.3	6.15	401.1	1.14
TJQ 03	4th May 20:28	12	11	13584	36	10.2	1.9	456.0	2.66	416.2	1.09
TJQ 04	5th May 08:22	5	5	14759	49	14.7	4.0	513.6	5.47	403.2	1.33
TJQ 05	5th May 17:00	3	3	12252	6		4.4	526.3	7.06	401.1	1.14
TJQ 06	5th May 20:10	12	11	13538	18	20.7	4.2	456.0	4.42	416.2	1.09
TJQ 08	6th May 08:13	6	5	13338	19	18.0	4.9	513.6	7.25	403.2	1.33
TJQ 09	6th May 17:00	3	2	12660	6		5.8	526.3	7.37	401.1	1.14
TJQ 10	6th May 20:15	12	11	12363	43	12.9	2.6	456.0	3.58	405.1	1.09
TJQ 11	7th May 08:05	12	11	24510	272	12.8	2.4	510.5	3.47	400.4	1.24
TJQ 12	9th May 08:10	12	11	25067	387	10.5	2.2	511.0	5.04	394.5	1.26
TJQ 13	9th May 20:10	12	11	11546	36	8.2	1.8	425.9	2.05	390.5	0.75
TJQ 14	10th May 08:11	12	11	31258	79	12.5	2.7	498.9	5.41	405.4	1.45
TJQ 15	10th May 20:15	12	11	13113	111	8.3	2.1	437.5	2.50	392.5	0.49
TJQ 16	11st May 08:22	12	11	36288	1223	14.7	2.9	507.3	5.45	395.7	0.73
TJQ 17	11st May 20:33	12	11	13274	86	15.2	3.6	488.1	3.32	458.5	1.88
TJQ 18	12nd May 08:37	11	10	32800	283	15.3	3.2	512.2	5.79	393.9	1.29
TJQ 19	12nd May 19:45	13	12	14209	35	8.3	2.1	436.3	2.40	393.2	0.76
TJQ 20	13rd May 08:25	12	11	27162	87	12.3	2.4	510.0	5.35	403.1	1.35

674 \* measured by TOT \*\*measured by TD-PTR-MS

- Table 2: Filter identification, sampling time start, sampling duration, volume sampled (for OA
- samples), vehicle counts, OC and OA concentration, and average CO and CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations
- 678 during sampling in the TRA tunnel in the year 2011.

	Start Sampling	Sampling duration (h)	Volume sampled (m <sup>3</sup> )	# vehicles		OC* (ug m <sup>-3</sup> )	OA** (ug m <sup>-3</sup> )	Inside		Outside	
Filter #								CO <sub>2</sub>	СО	CO <sub>2</sub>	СО
				LDV	HDV	- (µg III )	(µg III )	(ppr		om)	
TRA 01	7th July 16:30	6	6	10497	4189	32.5	10.3	671.5	3.90	405.7	0.78
TRA 02	8th July 08:45	6	5	8406	4401	57.9	11.2	681.7	3.49	415.5	0.83
TRA 03	8th July 14:20	6	6	14432	5171	54.3	10.5	661.9	3.91	416.8	0.74
TRA 04	11st July 08:53	5	5	7675	3960	71.4	11.4	678.1	4.56	417.1	1.49
TRA 05	11st July 14:26	7	6	10807	4865	57.8	9.6	689.4	3.91	416.8	0.91
TRA 06	12nd July 08:18	6	5	9836	5030	98.1	12.1	746.5	5.35	417.6	2.09
TRA 07	12nd July 14:19	6	6	12860	5441	54.1	11.5	679.1	3.85	416.8	0.96
TRA 08	13rd July 08:10	6	5	10585	5426	60.2	14.8	696.1	4.79	417.6	1.01
TRA 09	13rd July 14:10	7	8	11739	5311	63.9	8.9	678.8	4.62	416.8	1.04
TRA 10	14th July 08:28	6	5	10751	5386	68.2	13.6	754.1	6.68	417.6	2.43
TRA 11	14th July 14:28	6	5	11795	5112	70.0	11.5	683.5	4.31	416.8	1.15
TRA 15	15th July 08:10	6	5	10400	5354	54.2	15.1	694.4	4.83	417.6	1.15
TRA 12	15th July 14:10	5	6	14351	5142	47.8	11.6	689.0	3.62	416.8	1.00

\* measured by TOT \*\* measured by TD-PTR-MS

- Table 3: OA (TD-PTR-MS), OC (TOT) and PM<sub>2.5</sub> averages emission factors (mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of burned
- 682 fuel) and standard deviation of the filters, for LDV and HDV (Values in brackets correspond to
- 683 the EF in mg km<sup>-1</sup>)

	PTR-MS			-	<b>Gravimetry</b> <sup>b</sup>		
	up to 300°C	Total <sup>a</sup>		at 310°C	310 - 870°C	PM <sub>2</sub> =	
		All compounds	Compounds with O			-2.5	
עחו	<mark>27.2 ± 7.5</mark>	<mark>30.3 ± 8.5</mark>	<mark>21.5 ± 6.5</mark>	<mark>23.3 ± 8.4</mark>	<mark>84.3 ± 66.3</mark>	<mark>300 ± 100</mark>	
LDV	<mark>(1.7 ± 0.5)</mark>	<mark>(1.9 ± 0.5)</mark>	<mark>(1.3 ± 0.4)</mark>	<mark>(1.5 ± 0.5)</mark>	<mark>(5.2 ± 4.2)</mark>	<mark>(20 ± 8)</mark>	
HDV	<mark>74.9 ± 12.4</mark>	<mark>80.8 ± 13.0</mark>	<mark>52.2 ± 8.4</mark>	<mark>89.2 ± 10.2</mark>	<mark>423.7 ± 87.0</mark>	<mark>700 ± 300</mark>	
	<mark>(18.9 ± 3.1)</mark>	<mark>(20.4 ± 3.3)</mark>	<mark>(13.2 ± 2.1)</mark>	<mark>(22.5 ± 2.6)</mark>	<mark>(107.0 ± 22.0)</mark>	<mark>(277 ± 108)</mark>	

<sup>a</sup> The sum of all EF per temperature step (from 100 until 350°C)

685 <sup>b</sup> Values obtained from Pérez-Martínez et al. (2014)

686

m/z	Empiracal Formula	Average ± SD	Med (Min, Max)
<mark>149.024</mark>	<mark>C<sub>8</sub>H₄O₃H<sup>+</sup></mark>	<mark>4.894 ± 1.998</mark>	<mark>4.523 (8.142, 2.2278)</mark>
<mark>150.027</mark>	<sup>13</sup> CC <sub>7</sub> H₄O <sub>3</sub> H <sup>+</sup>	<mark>0.463 ± 0.189</mark>	<mark>0.410 (0.0763, 0.210)</mark>
<mark>149.131</mark>	C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>16</sub> H⁺	<mark>0.250 ± 0.094</mark>	<mark>0.256 (0.405, 0.122)</mark>
<mark>299.289</mark>	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>38</sub> O₂H⁺	<mark>0.243 ± 0.127</mark>	<mark>0.189 (0.476, 0.112)</mark>
<mark>31.0172</mark>	<mark>CH₂OH⁺</mark>	<mark>0.239 ± 0.420</mark>	<mark>0.104 (1.657, 0.017)</mark>
<mark>177.055</mark>	<mark>C₁₀H<sub>8</sub>O₃H<sup>+</sup></mark>	<mark>0.237 ± 0.327</mark>	<mark>0.107 (1.262, 0.052)</mark>
<mark>399.391</mark>	<mark>C₂₅H₅₀O₃H⁺</mark>	<mark>0.227 ± 0.042</mark>	<mark>0.242 (0.289, 0.124)</mark>
<mark>114.091</mark>	<mark>C₀H₁1ONH⁺</mark>	<mark>0.207 ± 0.149</mark>	<mark>0.207 (0.534, 0.036)</mark>
<mark>99.0078</mark>	<mark>C₄H₂O₃H<sup>+</sup></mark>	<mark>0.206 ± 0.118</mark>	<mark>0.205 (0.399, 0.043)</mark>
<mark>397.377</mark>	C <sub>29</sub> H <sub>48</sub> H <sup>+</sup>	<mark>0.203 ± 0.041</mark>	<mark>0.210 (0.256, 0.103)</mark>

Table 4: The ten highest EFs (mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of fuel) for LDV.

m/z	Empiracal Formula	Average ± SD	Med (Min, Max)
<mark>149.024</mark>	<mark>C<sub>8</sub>H₄O₃H<sup>+</sup></mark>	<mark>3.076 ± 0.540</mark>	<mark>2.890 (4.303<i>,</i> 2.580)</mark>
<mark>199.041</mark>	<mark>C₁₂H₀O₃H⁺</mark>	<mark>1.452 ± 0.201</mark>	<mark>1.454 (1.776, 1.124)</mark>
<mark>299.289</mark>	<mark>C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>38</sub>O₂H⁺</mark>	<mark>1.012 ± 0.224</mark>	<mark>0.993 (1.470, 0.749)</mark>
<mark>203.087</mark>	<mark>C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O₅H⁺</mark>	<mark>1.007 ± 0.152</mark>	<mark>0.974 (1.353, 0.829)</mark>
<mark>181.08</mark>	<mark>C₅H<sub>12</sub>O₅N₂H<sup>+</sup></mark>	<mark>0.771 ± 0.129</mark>	<mark>0.765 (1.026, 0.584)</mark>
<mark>207.117</mark>	C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>14</sub> H⁺	<mark>0.746 ± 0.134</mark>	<mark>0.692 (0.819, 0.496)</mark>
<mark>213.06</mark>	<mark>C₀H₁₂OଃH⁺</mark>	<mark>0.630 ± 0.099</mark>	<mark>0.632 (0.819<i>,</i> 0.486)</mark>
<mark>297.272</mark>	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>36</sub> O₂H⁺	<mark>0.584 ± 0.138</mark>	<mark>0.572 (0.860, 0.407)</mark>
<mark>163.04</mark>	<mark>C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>6</sub>O₃H<sup>+</sup></mark>	<mark>0.583 ± 0.132</mark>	<mark>0.560 (0.844, 0.408)</mark>
<mark>41.038</mark>	<mark>C₃H₄H⁺</mark>	<mark>0.577 ± 0.088</mark>	<mark>0.576 (0.691, 0.400)</mark>

690 Table 5: The ten highest EFs (mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of fuel) for HDV.



693 Figure 1: Annual registrations of new vehicles in the city of Sao Paulo.





696 Figure 2: Result of an analysis of filter TRA 10 by TD-PTR-MS. The figure shows the total volume

697 mixing ratios (VMR) of all ions above 50 Da (in nmol  $mol^{-1}$ ) with a temporal resolution of 5s.

698 The vertical lines represent the heating steps as indicated on the top of the figure. The

699 horizontal gray lines between these vertical lines are the concentration averages at each

temperature step, and the background level (the first short horizontal line) is subtracted from

701 these values before further analysis.



Figure 3: Average emission factors (mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of fuel burned) mass spectra identified by the TDPTR-MS for (a) LDV and (b) HDV.



Figure 4: Total average emission factors calculated for LDV and HDV divided in groups

710 containing CH, CHO, CHN, and CHON.



714 Figure 5: Scatter plot of atomic ratios O/C and H/C (van Krevelen diagram) of TD-PTR-MS data

for measurements in the TRA and TJQ tunnels. The red marker represents average H/C and

716 O/C values from ambient aerosol samples (collected in Sao Paulo, between August and

717 September 2012) that were also analyzed with TD-PTR-MS (unpublished results).



721 Figure 6: Fraction of total average emission (in %) divided into groups containing CH, CHO,

- 722 CHON, and CHN, considering different numbers of carbon and oxygen atoms in the
- 723 compounds, for LDV and HDV at each temperature step.