Response to Reviewers

Manuscript Number : acp-2015-729

Manuscript Title : Optical properties of atmospheric fine particles near Beijing during the HOPE-J³A Campaign

We thank both reviewers for their thoughtful and thorough reviews of our manuscript. Point-by-point reviewers' comments are attached below.

Response to Reviewer #1 comments

I find that the authors have done a reasonably good job of addressing the reviewer concerns. However, I believe that a few issues must be fully addressed prior to publication.

[1] P16, L30: The authors should change this such that it does not say that the n and SSA values were larger than for period 4. I see that they say now "within uncertainties," but this is not sufficient here given that (i) the SSA values are different outside of the standard deviations (not uncertainties, technically), (ii) the n values are identical within the standard deviations and (iii) the k values are actually smaller than those reported for Period 4. Thus, this remains incorrect. The authors must be more specific here regarding each term (SSA, n and k) that is being compared.

The reviewer is correct in pointing this out. We revised this statement accordingly:

The mean values of ω_{470}, *n* and *k* were 0.86 ± 0.01, 1.44 ± 0.03, and 0.02 ± 0.01, respectively. The SSA values were larger than those reported for Period 4. In general, the *n* values were higher and the *k* values lower than those seen in Period 4, although the mean values of both properties fall within the combined standard deviations of both periods.

[2] P14, L3: The authors have revised their statements regarding the increasing importance of "inorganic species" during haze periods. However, I still find this to be inconsistent with their measurements. They state "The significant increase in the concentration and fractional contribution to PM1.0 extinction coefficient of inorganic species, particularly nitrates (discussed in Section 4.3), indicate that inorganic species become more important during haze..." However, they observe that the fraction of PM1 that is sulfate actually decreases. Thus, this statement is too general. It is not "particularly nitrates", but "specifically nitrates." The authors should make the statement specific (and avoid using terms such as "significant" unless they mean

it in a statistically significant manner): "The notable increase in the concentration and fractional contribution to PM1.0 extinction coefficient of nitrate (discussed in Section 4.3), indicate that nitrate becomes more important during haze…"

We think the natural interpretation in our text of "inorganic species" is "all inorganic species considered together", in contradistinction to OM and EC. So, we see no inconsistency between our description and our measurements, as the reviewer does. The changes we observe are similar to those seen in other Chinese haze episodes, in which the OM fraction often decreases while the inorganic fraction increases. This point is missed in the reviewer's suggested rephrasing. Nevertheless, we have adopted some of the reviewer's suggestions to improve the clarity of our text:

> The notable increase in the concentration and fractional contribution to $PM_{1.0}$ extinction coefficient of inorganic species, and specifically nitrate and ammonium, indicate that the inorganic contribution to particulate matter becomes relatively more important than the OM fraction during haze.

[3] P21, L2: It is not clear what is meant by "improved mass extinction efficiencies." Improved relative to what? Do they mean their "modified" (i.e. wavelength-adjusted) values?

We meant the IMPROVE calculation. We rewrote this sentence (to shorten the length of the paper, we removed this part to the supplement, Section S2):

> **With modified IMPROVE function (Eq. S7),** the agreement between the measured and calculated $PM_{1.0}$ extinctions is good (with a slope of 1.04 ± 0.04) when the measured extinction coefficient is lower than 300 Mm^{-1} (as shown in the insert of Fig. S3c).

[4] P23, L15: The measurements do not support the notion that the "EC fraction decreased with increasing pollution level." The values given are 4.3 +/- 3.6%, 4.0 +/- 2.0% and 3.6 +/- 1.1%. These are all identical within the large uncertainties (i.e. standard deviations). This should be revised, along with the relationship with the previous sentence.

According to comment [5], we rewrote this part. The statement of *"EC fraction decreased with increasing pollution level."* was removed from the revised manuscript.

[5] P23, L20 onwards: I find this discussion to be unclear. The authors are comparing their measured MSE and MAE values with calculated values from the IMPROVE algorithm. This is fine, but also potentially not useful. In particular, the

IMPROVE algorithm is a crude approximation to actual behavior (it assumes some aspect of the size distribution and treats size distributions in a binary manner of "small" and "large"). It can give an idea of what is going on, but quantitative comparison is not warranted unless the goal is to develop regionally-specific coefficients for use in the algorithm based on the observations (which is where the numbers in the algorithm come from in the first place). The authors don't really do anything with the MSE comparison, besides note that a disparity exists. I find that discussion here is still lacking. **Regarding the MAEs and the conclusions regarding "brown carbon," the authors' logic is now easier to follow. However, they should also consider other possibilities, such as that the absorption by EC might itself be "enhanced" due to coatings, especially since the wavelength considered here is not especially far into the blue. Regarding the Wang et al. reference, the authors should indicate the wavelength in that study.**

Related to the above comment, the authors **did not address the issue of what the MAE values are relative to EC specifically**, *which is a much better metric of comparison than the MAE for PM1. From the authors' assumption, the MAE for EC in the IMPROVE algorithm equals the MEE for EC, and thus is equal to 10 m^2/g. First, this assumption is not really correct as the SSA of EC is not zero (more commonly, values of ~0.2 are found, indicating that the MAE values are actually about only 80% of the MEE values. This uncertainty is not accounted for in Fig. 11 or the associated discussion.) I calculate from Table 1 EC-specific MAE values of 24.2, 32.0, 33.4 and 27.4 m^2/g for the four periods (clear, slightly polluted, polluted and all). From the arguments presented by the authors, I would compare these values to 10 m^2/g, meaning that the observed MAE values are 2.4, 3.2, 3.3 and 2.7 times as large as the IMPROVE value. This would imply then that if BrC were the reason for this disparity that it contributes about 60-70% of the total absorption. These fractions would be even larger if I assumed that the MAE for EC from IMPROVE should only be about 8 m^2/g (instead of 10). This seems very large to me given the measurement wavelength (467 nm). But perhaps it is correct. Nonetheless,* **I strongly suggest that the authors present a more direct discussion of the EC-specific values (which I implied in my prior review, but perhaps I was not explicit enough). However, associated with this, I think the authors should provide some discussion as to the reasonableness of their observed EC-specific MAE values (which, again, to me seem very large but not out of the realm of possible).** *I think that a presentation of the EC-specific values would be much clearer than the discussion of the PM1 values as it focuses the discussion on the key measured absorbing component.*

We thank the reviewer for the constructive comment and suggestion. We provided more discussion on the EC-specific MAE values in the revised manuscript. We found that : under polluted conditions, the average mass absorption efficiencies (MAE) of EC were up to 4 times as large as the reference MAE value for freshly generated black carbon (BC). The temporal pattern of MAE values was similar to that of the OC/EC ratio, suggesting that non-BC absorption from secondary organic aerosol (SOA) also contributes to particle absorption.

Following part was added to Section 4.3 in the revised manuscript.

4.3 Mass Absorption Efficiency of EC

The mass absorption efficiency (MAE, in units of $m^2 \ g^{-1}$) of EC is calculated according to (Knox et al., 2009; Bond et al., 2013; Cheng et al., 2011; 2016):

$$MAE = \frac{\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \alpha_{abs} dt}{EC_m \times (t_2 - t_1)} \qquad (4)$$

where α_{abs} (Mm^{-1}) is the measured value of the absorption coefficient at $\lambda = 470$ nm, and EC_m ($\mu g \ m^{-3}$) is the measured EC mass concentration with the aerosol carbon analyzer. t_1 and t_2 are the start and stop times for the collection of samples with quartz filters. In this work, the integration time was determined by the quartz filter sampling period of 12 h $sample^{-1}$. For comparison, the MAE of freshly generated BC is 8.7 $m^2 \ g^{-1}$ at $\lambda = 470$ nm (based on a 7.5 ± 1.2 $m^2 \ g^{-1}$ at $\lambda = 550$ nm and using the inverse wavelength dependence) (Bond et al., 2006).

Similar time profiles are seen for the measured absorption coefficient at $\lambda = 470$ nm and the measured mass concentrations of EC and OC (Fig. 7 (a) and (b)). Assuming that only EC contributes to aerosol absorption, the MAE of EC can be calculated using Eq. (4). The MAE values of EC at $\lambda = 470$ nm over the campaign period are shown in Fig. 7 (c). These values vary widely, with lower values generally seen under cleaner conditions and higher values observed under more polluted conditions. The MAE values for selected clear days (e.g. Nov. 17 - 18; Dec. 1 - Dec. 3; Dec. 29, 2014 - Jan. 1, 2015) ranged from 7 - 14 $m^2 \ g^{-1}$ (average of 10.3 ± 2.7 $m^2 \ g^{-1}$) and are comparable to those reported in Beijing during the summer (5 - 13 $m^2 \ g^{-1}$) (Cheng et al., 2011). Much higher values were seen on polluted days, where the MAE ranged from 23 to 81 $m^2 \ g^{-1}$ (average of 48 ± 21 $m^2 \ g^{-1}$) for the period covered Dec. 23 - 28, 2014 and from 20 to 49 $m^2 \ g^{-1}$ (average of 42 ± 14 $m^2 \ g^{-1}$) for Jan. 2

- 5, 2015. These values are comparable to those reported by Chan et al. (2011), where MAE ranged from 10 to 50 $m^2\ g^{-1}$.

The average EC mass concentrations were $0.48 \pm 0.39\ \mu g\ m^{-3}$, $1.18 \pm 0.59\ \mu g\ m^{-3}$, and $2.72 \pm 0.87\ \mu g\ m^{-3}$, for clear, slightly polluted, and polluted days, respectively. The corresponding mass fractions of $4.3 \pm 3.6\%$, $4.0 \pm 2.0\%$, and $3.6 \pm 1.1\%$ were approximately equal within the large variability seen on different days. The mean and standard deviations of MAE values of EC were $23.0 \pm 14.0\ m^2\ g^{-1}$, $32.7 \pm 16.0\ m^2\ g^{-1}$, and $35.0 \pm 21.7\ m^2\ g^{-1}$, respectively, for clear, slightly polluted, and polluted days. Our values suggest that EC absorption was strongly enhanced over the campaign period. Enhanced absorption (E_{abs}) could arise from the coating-enhancement of ambient BC absorption (lensing-driven enhancement), as well as absorption associated with light-absorbing organic compounds (brown carbon, BrC) (Andreae and Gelencsér 2006; Bond et al., 2013; Gustafsson, and Ramanathan, 2016). In general, light absorption from other organic species tends to be relatively small at $\lambda = 470$ nm, whether it arises from small nitroaromatic compounds (Claeys et al., 2012) or from larger HULIS-type substances (Lorenzo and Young, 2016).

Laboratory studies report a BC enhancement factor from 1.8 to 2 for thicker coatings (Bond et al., 2013). Results from field observations are more variable (Peng et al., 2016): reported E_{abs} values ranged from 1.06 (Cappa et al. 2012; Lan et al., 2013) to 1.7 (Lack et al. 2012; Liu et al., 2015), although two recent studies (Cui et al., 2016; Peng et al., 2016) have found that for aged BC (18 h and 4.6 h after fresh emission in clear and polluted condition, respectively), E_{abs} ranged from 2 to 3. Compared to freshly emitted BC, we observe average absorption enhancements of 2.6 (clear), 3.8 (slightly polluted), and 4.0 (polluted) for different days. Our values are somewhat higher, although broadly consistent with, the Peng (2016).

Our individual MAE of EC values are often appreciably higher than has been observed in other studies (Andreae et al., 2008; Cheng et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2014). This difference may arise from either measurement uncertainties or the contribution of other absorbing species. Large MAE values could arise in part from inaccuracies in both the EC mass concentration or α_{abs} (which is itself determined from the relatively small difference between the extinction and scattering measurements). Moreover, our assumption that all particle absorption arises from EC would lead to higher MAE values if there was simultaneous absorption by other species. L. Wang et al. (2013) have recently shown that BrC was the second-largest absorbing aerosol constituent in Beijing (with a volume fraction of 5 - 25%

in the total aerosol volume) and exhibits a clear seasonal variation (dominates in late fall and winter, and extremely low in summer). The sources of BrC have been reported to be the organic components primary emitted from the combustion biomass and fossil fuels. In a study of biomass burning particle, Lack et al. (2012) showed that the contribution of the absorption of BrC was about 27% at $\lambda = 404$ nm, while other recent studies (Nakayama et al., 2013; Moise et al., 2015) have shown that secondary organic aerosol may also act as BrC.

Our data provide some support for the suggestion that SOA is absorbing. Since primary OC and EC are mostly emitted from the same source, EC is a good tracer of primary combustion-generated carbon emissions and the OC/EC ratio can be used as an indicator for the formation of SOA (Cheng et al., 2011). Time-resolved OC and EC data provide a better understanding of the dynamics of SOA formation. In this work, the pattern of MAE coincided well with OC/EC ratio, suggesting that SOA has a non-negligible absorption on polluted days. This also implies that our MAE values reported above are upper limits, and that the absorption contribution of EC is likely to be somewhat smaller.

Fig. 8 Time series of (a) the measured EC mass concentrations and absorption coefficients at $\lambda = 470$ nm; (b) the measured OC mass concentrations, and the estimated primary OC (POC) and secondary OC (SOC) mass concentrations determined with the EC-tracer method (Lim and Turpin, 2002; Lin et al., 2009; Cheng et al., 2011, the details of the calculation method are given in the Supplement, Section S7.). (c) the MAE values of EC and OC to EC ratio (OC/EC). The MAE was calculated by dividing the measured absorption coefficient by the measured concentration of EC. The blue shaded areas indicate the standard deviation. The red stars associated with unusually high values in (c) may arised from artifacts arising from sampling or handling of the filter.

Following part was added to the revised supporting information.

S7 EC-tracer method for the estimation of secondary organic carbon

EC is a good tracer of primary generated organic carbon (POC). Ambient OC/EC ratios larger than the OC/EC ratio of the primary ($(OC/EC)_{pri}$) indicate the formation of secondary organic carbon (SOC). The concentrations of POC and SOC can be calculated with (Turpin and Huntzicker, 1995; Lim and Turpin, 2002; Lin et al., 2009):

$$OC_{pri} = EC \times (OC/EC)_{pri} + N$$
$$OC_{sec} = OC_{tot} - OC_{pri}$$

7

where OC_{sec} is the SOC and OC_{tot} is the measured ambient OC concentration. The concentration of POC (OC_{pri}) could be calculated by the product of measured EC concentration and the estimated $(OC/EC)_{pri}$. N is the contribution of POC from noncombustion sources or sample artifacts.

The estimation of $(OC/EC)_{pri}$ is based on the method used by Lim and Turpin (2002). The scattering plot of OC and EC concentrations for the full campaign is shown in Fig. S8. The lowest 20% percentile of ambient OC/EC ratios (shown in green dot points in Fig. S8) were used for the determination of $(OC/EC)_{pri}$. Time series of the estimated of POC and SOC mass concentrations are shown in Fig. S9.


Fig. S8 Scatter plot of OC and EC concentrations. The green dot points were used to calculate the primary OC/EC.

Fig. S9 Time series of (a) the measured OC mass concentrations, and the estimated primary OC (POC) and secondary OC (SOC) mass concentrations determined with EC-tracer method. (b) the percentage of POC and SOC in OC.

References:

Andreae, M. O. and Gelencsér, A.: Black carbon or brown carbon? The nature of light-absorbing carbonaceous aerosols, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 3131-3148, doi:10.5194/acp-6-3131-2006, 2006.

Andreae, M.O., Schmid, O., Yang, H., Chand, D., Yu, J.Z., Zeng, L.-M., and Zhang, Y.-H.: Optical properties and chemical composition of the atmospheric aerosol in urban Guangzhou, China, Atmos. Environ., 42, 6335–6350, 2008.

Bond, T. C., Doherty, S. J., Fahey, D. W., Forster, P. M., Berntsen, T., DeAngelo, B. J., Flanner, M. G., Ghan, S., Kärcher, B., Koch, D., Kinne, S., Kondo, Y., Quinn, P. K., Sarofim, M. C., Schultz, M. G., Schulz, M., Venkataraman, C., Zhang, H., Zhang, S., Bellouin, N., Guttikunda, S. K., Hopke, P. K., Jacobson, M. Z., Kaiser, J. W., Klimont, Z., Lohmann, U., Schwarz, J. P., Shindell, D., Storelvmo, T., Warren, S. G., and Zender C. S.: Bounding the role of black carbon in the climate system: A scientific assessment, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118, 5380 - 5552, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50171, 2013.

Bond, T. C., Habib, G., and Bergstrom, R. W.: Limitations in the enhancement of visible light absorption due to mixing state, J. Geophys. Res., 111(D20), 211, doi:10.1029/2006JD007315, 2006.

Cappa, C. D., Onasch, T. B., Massoli, P., Worsnop, D. R., Bates, T. S., Cross, E. S.,

Davidovits, P., Hakala, J., Hayden, K. L., Jobson, B. T., Kolesar, K. R., Lack, D. A., Lerner, B. M., S. M., Mellon, D., Nuaaman, I., Olfert, J. S., Petäjä, T., Quinn, P. K., Song, C., Subramanian, R., Williams, E. J., and Zaveri, R. A. : Radiative absorption enhancements due to the mixing state of atmospheric black carbon, Science, 337, 1078 - 1081, doi:10.1126/science.1223447, 2012.

Cao, G., Zhang, X., Gong, S., An, X., and Wang, Y.: Emission inventories of primary particles and pollutant gases for China, Chinese Sci. Bull., 56, 781 - 788, 2011.

Chan, T. W., Brook, J. R., Smallwood, G. J., and Lu, G.: Time resolved measurements of black carbon light absorption enhancement in urban and near-urban locations of southern Ontario, Canada, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 10407 - 10432, doi:10.5194/acp-11-10407-2011, 2011.

Cheng, Y., He, K.-B., Zheng, M., Duan, F.-K., Du, Z.-Y., Ma, Y.-L., Tan, J.-H., Yang, F.-M., Liu, J.-M., Zhang, X.-L., Weber, R. J., Bergin, M. H., and Russell, A. G.: Mass absorption efficiency of elemental carbon and water-soluble organic carbon in Beijing, China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 11497-11510, doi:10.5194/acp-11-11497-2011, 2011.

Cheng, Y., Engling, G., Moosmüller, H., Arnott, W.P., Chen, L.W.A., Wolde, C. E., Min Hao, W.M., and He, K.B.: Light absorption by biomass burning source emissions, Atmos. Environ., 127, 347 - 354, 2016.

Claeys, M., Vermeylen, R., Yasmeen, F., Gómez-González, Y., Chi, C., Maenhaut, W., Mészáros, T., and Salma, I.: Chemical characterisation of humic-like substances from urban, rural and tropical biomass burning environments using liquid chromatography with UV/vis photodiode array detection and electrospray ionisation mass spectrometry, Environ. Chem., 9, 273-284, 2012.

Cui, X., Wang, X., Yang, L., Chen, B., Chen, J., Andersson, A, and Gustafsson, Ö. : Radiative absorption enhancement from coatings on black carbon aerosols, Sci. Total Environ., 551-552, 51 - 56, 2016.

Di Lorenzo, R. A., and Young C. J.: Size separation method for absorption characterization in brown carbon: Application to an aged biomass burning sample, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43, 458–465, doi:10.1002/2015GL066954, 2016.

Gustafssona, Ö., and Ramanathan, V.: Convergence on climate warming by black carbon aerosols, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, 113, 4243 - 4245, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1603570113, 2016.

Knox, A., Evans, G. J., Brook, J. R., Yao, X., Jeong, C. H., Godri, K. J., Sabaliauskas, K., and Slowik, J. G. : Mass absorption cross-section of ambient black carbon aerosol in relation to chemical age, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 43, 522 - 532, 2009.

Lack, D. A., Langridge, J. M., Bahreini, R., Cappa, C. D., Middlebrook, A. M., and Schwarz, J. P. : Brown carbon and internal mixing in biomass burning particles, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, 109, 14802 - 14807, 2012.

Lan, Z.-J., Huang, X.-F., Yu, K.-Y., Sun, T.-L., Zeng, L.-W., and Hu, M.: Light absorption of black carbon aerosol and its enhancement by mixing state in an urban atmosphere in South China, Atmos. Environ., 69, 118 - 123, 2013.

Liu, S., Aiken, A.C., Gorkowski, K., Dubey, M.K., Cappa, C.D., Williams, L.R., Herndon, S.C., Massoli, P., Fortner, E.C., Chhabra, P.S., Brooks, W.A., Onasch, T.B., Jayne, J.T., Worsnop, D.R., China, S., Sharma, N., Mazzoleni, C., Xu, L., Ng, N.L., Liu, D., Allan, J.D., Lee, J.D., Fleming, Z.L., Mohr, C., Zotter, P., Szidat, S., and Prevot, A.S.H.: Enhanced light absorption by mixed source black and brown carbon particles in UK winter, Nat. Commun., 6, 8435, 2015.

Lim, H.-J., and Turpin B. J. : Origins of primary and secondary organic aerosol in Atlanta: Results of time-resolved measurements during the Atlanta supersite experiment, Environ. Sci. Technol., 36, 4489–4496, 2002.

Lin, P., Hu, M., Deng, Z., Slanina, J., Han, S., Kondo, Y., Takegawa, N., Miyazaki, Y., Zhao, Y., and Sugimoto N. : Seasonal and diurnal variations of organic carbon in $PM_{2.5}$ in Beijing and the estimation of secondary organic carbon, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D00G11, doi:10.1029/2008JD010902, 2009.

Moise, T., Flores, J.M., and Rudich, Y.: Optical Properties of Secondary Organic Aerosols and Their Changes by Chemical Processes, Chem. Rev., 115, 4400 - 4439, 2015.

Nakayama, T., Sato, K., Matsumi, Y., Imamura, T., Yamazaki, A., and Uchiyama, A.: Wavelength and NOx dependent complex refractive index of SOAs generated from the photooxidation of toluene, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 531-545, doi:10.5194/acp-13-531-2013, 2013.

Peng, J., Hua, M., Guo, S., Du, Z., Zheng, J., Shang, D., Zamora, M. L., Zeng, L., Shao, M., Wu, Y.-S., Zheng, J., Wang, Y., Glen, C. R., Collins, D. R., Molina, M. J., and Zhang, R. : Markedly enhanced absorption and direct radiative forcing of black carbon under polluted urban environments, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, 113, 4266 - 4271, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1602310113, 2016.

Wang, Q., Huang, R.-J., Cao, J., Han, Y., Wang, G., Li, G., Wang, Y., Dai, W., Zhang, R., and Zhou, Y.: Mixing state of black carbon aerosol in a heavily polluted urban area of China: implications for light absorption enhancement, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 48, 689 - 697, 2014.

Turpin, B. J., and Huntzicker, J. J.: Identification of secondary organic aerosol episodes and quantitation of primary and secondary organic aerosol concentrations during SCAQS, Atmos. Environ., 29, 3527 - 3544, 1995.

Response to Reviewer #2 comments

The authors have addressed my comments about their instrument, and they have shortened the discussion in Section 4.

Thank you for your kind consideration.

Response to Editor's comments

The paper still needs a major revision, in which it should be shortened and the discussion improved in order to make the discussion less technical and more substantial. Please shorten it, improve the discussion per the Reviewers' comments, emphasizing the important changes in aerosol scattering and absorption.

. The revised manuscript has been shortened significantly in the following places:

- **3.2 Chemical apportionment of aerosol optical properties:**

  We have provided only the equation used in our study in the paper and further details of the method were removed to the supplement;

- **4.1 Temporal variations of optical properties during selected air pollution episodes:**

  We have shortened this part from 5 pages to 2 pages;

- **Frequency distribution and diurnal variations of dry $PM_{1.0}$ optical properties:**

  This part was removed to the supplement;

- **Comparison of the measured aerosol optical properties to those at other locations:**

  This part was removed to the supplement;

The reviewers had previously commented favorably on the clarity of the Introduction and were satisfied with the Experimental section. Accordingly, these sections are largely unchanged.

We have also improved the discussion by:

(1) calculating the mass absorption efficiency of EC (in line with the reviewer's suggestion), and showing that our results are consistent with a several-fold absorption enhancement of EC in NCP aerosols.

(2) On the basis of the evidence in (1), we parameterized the IMPROVE model to account for the absorption enhancement. Unsurprisingly, this greatly increases the importance of aerosol absorption, bringing the calculated extinction and SSA values into better agreement with observations. We discuss the large EC contribution to $PM_{1.0}$ extinction owing to its high absorption efficiency, and support suggestions that EC emissions should be a key target to air quality controls.

(3) Considering the increases of nitrate in aerosols during polluted episodes, and advancing an explanation for this increase based on the molar ratios of NO_3, NH_4, and SO_4.

Optical properties of atmospheric fine particles near Beijing during the HOPE-J³A Campaign

Xuezhe Xu[1,2,3], Weixiong Zhao[1,2], Qilei Zhang[1,2,3], Shuo Wang[1,2,3], Bo Fang[1,2], Weidong Chen[5], Dean S. Venables[6,7], Xinfeng Wang[8], Wei Pu[9], Xin Wang[9], Xiaoming Gao[1,2,4], and Weijun Zhang[1,2,4]

[1] Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Composition and Optical Radiation, Anhui Institute of Optics and Fine Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei 230031, Anhui, China

[2] Laboratory of Atmospheric Physico-Chemistry, Anhui Institute of Optics and Fine Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei, 230031, Anhui, China

[3] Graduate School, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, 230026, Anhui, China

[4] School of Environmental Science and Optoelectronic Technology, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, 230026, Anhui, China

[5] Laboratoire de Physicochimie de l'Atmosphère, Université du Littoral Côte d'Opale, 59140 Dunkerque, France

[6] Department of Chemistry and Environmental Research Institute, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland

[7] Leibniz Institute for Tropospheric Research, 04318 Leipzig, Germany

[8] Environment Research Institute, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, China

[9] Key Laboratory for Semi-Arid Climate Change of the Ministry of Education, College of Atmospheric Sciences, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China

*Correspondence to :

Weixiong Zhao (wxzhao@aiofm.ac.cn) and Weijun Zhang (wjzhang@aiofm.ac.cn)

Abstract

The optical properties and chemical composition of $PM_{1.0}$ particles in a suburban environment (Huairou) near the mega-city of Beijing were measured during the HOPE-J^{3}A (Haze Observation Project Especially for Jing-Jin-Ji Area) field campaign. The campaign covered the period November 2014 to January 2015 during the winter coal heating season. The average values and standard deviations of the extinction, scattering, absorption coefficients, and the aerosol single scattering albedo (SSA) at λ = 470 nm during the measurement period were 201 ± 240, 164 ± 202, 37 ± 43 Mm^{-1}, and 0.80 ± 0.08, respectively. The average values for the real and imaginary components of the effective complex refractive index (CRI) over the campaign were 1.40 ± 0.06 and 0.03 ± 0.02, while the average~~The mean~~ mass scattering ~~(MSE)~~ and absorption efficiencies (MSE and MAE) ~~efficiencies~~ of $PM_{1.0}$ were 3.6 and 0.7 $m^2\ g^{-1}$, respectively. Highly time-resolved air pollution episodes clearly show the dramatic evolution of the $PM_{1.0}$ size distribution, extensive optical properties (extinction, scattering, and absorption coefficients) and intensive optical properties (single scattering albedo and ~~the effective complex refractive index,~~ CRI) during haze formation, development and decline. Time periods were classified into three different pollution levels (clear, slightly polluted, and polluted) for further analysis. It was found that: (1) ~~The diurnal patterns of the aerosol extinction, scattering, absorption coefficients, and SSA differed for the three pollution classes. (2) The real and imaginary part of the effective CRI increased, while the SSA decreased from clear to polluted days. (3)~~ The relative contributions of organic and inorganic species to observed aerosol composition changed significantly from clear to polluted days: the organic mass fraction decreased from 50% to 43% while the proportion of sulfates, nitrates, and ammonium increased strongly from 34% to 44%. (~~4~~2) Chemical apportionment of extinction, ~~The fractional contribution of chemical components to extinction coefficients was~~ calculated ~~by~~ using the ~~modified~~ IMPROVE algorithm~~.~~, tended to underestimate the extinction compared to measurements. Agreement with measurements was improved by modifying the parameters to account for enhanced absorption by elemental carbon (EC). Organic mass was the largest contributor (58%) to the total extinction of $PM_{1.0}$~~.~~, while EC, despite its low mass concentration of ca. 4%, contributed about 17%, to extinction. When the air quality deteriorated, ~~the change of the relative contribution of sulfate aerosol to the total extinction was small, but~~ the contribution of nitrate aerosol increased significantly (from 17% on clear days to 23% on polluted days). (~~5~~3) Under polluted conditions, the average mass absorption efficiencies

(MAE) of EC were up to 4 times as large as the reference MAE value for freshly generated black carbon (BC). The temporal pattern of MAE values was similar to that of the OC/EC ratio, suggesting that non-BC absorption from secondary organic aerosol (SOA) also contributes to particle absorption. ~~The observed mass scattering efficiencies of PM~1.0~ increased with the extent of pollution, whereas the observed mass absorption efficiencies of PM~1.0~ increased in slightly polluted conditions but decreased under polluted conditions.~~

1 Introduction

Atmospheric aerosols have significant effects on climate forcing (Ramanthan et al., 2001; Anderson et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2010; Bahadur et al., 2012), environment (Cao et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2014), and human health (Nel, 2005; S. Zheng et al., 2015). Visibility is regarded as an indicator of air quality and is affected by the scattering and absorption of solar light by fine particles (Watson, 2002). Uncertainties in atmospheric visibility are mainly due to the uncertainties associated with the aerosols' optical properties, which depend on the physical and chemical properties of atmospheric aerosol, including chemical composition, size distribution, mixing state, morphology and hygroscopic properties. Understanding how these physical and chemical characteristics affect the optical properties of particles is key to improve quantitative estimates of direct radiative forcing and to determine the environmental effects of particles. To ascertain the relationships between these parameters, many research campaigns have been performed during the past decade, including ACE-1 (Bates et al., 1998), ACE-2 (Raes et al., 2000), INDOEX (Eldering, 2002), ACE-Asia (Quinn, 2004; Doherty et al., 2005), PRIDE-PRD2004 (Zhang et al., 2008), MILAGRO (Marley et al., 2009), CAREBeijing (Jung et al., 2009; Garland et al., 2009; Wu et al., 2011) and CalNex campaign (Cahill et al., 2012; Thompson et al., 2012; Ryerson et al., 2013; X. Zhang et al., 2013).

Rapid urbanization and economic development has brought about serious environmental problems in the megacities of China (L. Han et al., 2015). The North China Plain (NCP) region in northeast China has one of the highest global aerosol concentrations (Sun et al., 2013) due to the

dense population, the large number of vehicles, and intense industrial activity. The China National Ambient Air Quality Standard designates a daily average concentration of $PM_{2.5}$ greater than 75 μg m^{-3} as harmful to health. However, $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations in China often grossly exceed this limit – for instance, daily average mass concentration of $PM_{2.5}$ in January 2013 were higher than 500 μg m^{-3} for Beijing (Andersson et al., 2015). A large amount of atmospheric pollutants are emitted from fossil fuel, biomass burning and urban construction (Lei et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2011). The mixture of these various emissions results in complex physical, chemical and optical properties of aerosols in the NCP region.

In recent years, many campaigns have been conducted in Beijing ~~to study the relationships between the mass concentrations, optical properties, chemical components, hygroscopic properties, mixing state, new particle formation, light apportionment and meteorological conditions~~ (Liu et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2013, 2015; Guo et al., 2014; Andersson et al., 2015; B. Han et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2011; X. J. Zhao et al., 2013; G. J. Zheng et al., 2015). It is worth noting that atmospheric dynamic processes differ between polluted and cleaner periods and that secondary aerosols have been proposed as the major contributor to haze formation (Quan et al., 2014; ~~Y. L.~~ Sun et al., 2014). Research in the Pearl River Delta of China has shown that submicron particles contribute more than 90% of the particle extinction (Cheng et al., 2008). However, few studies in the NCP region have focused on light extinction contribution of submicron aerosol under different air pollution conditions. Moreover, the evolution of intensive optical properties (effective complex refractive index, CRI, and single scattering albedo, SSA or~~,~~ ω, the ratio of scattering to extinction) in haze formation, development and decline have rarely been reported. Comprehensive studies of intensive optical properties and light apportionment are necessary for a better understanding of the evolution of aerosol physical and optical properties in the NCP.

In this paper, we report continuous measurements of the optical properties, particle size distributions, and chemical composition of submicron aerosol at a suburban site (Huairou) from 16 November 2014 to 11 January 2015. The effective CRI for $PM_{1.0}$ particles were retrieved with Mie theory by treating the submicron aerosols as spherical particles. The fractional contribution of the chemical components of particles to the total extinction coefficient were calculated by the modified IMPROVE algorithm (Pitchford et al., 2007). $PM_{1.0}$ optical properties, chemical compositions, size distributions, chemical apportionment of light extinction, and mass scattering and absorption

efficiencies are reported for three different pollution levels ~~(clear, slight polluted, and polluted days)~~. ~~The results show that there was a large amount of light absorbing fine particles in Beijing in the winter time over the campaign period.~~

2   Experimental

The Haze Observation Project Especially for Jing-Jin-Ji (Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei, the national capital region of China) Area (HOPE-J^{3}A) field campaign took place at the Huairou campus of the University of Chinese Academy of Sciences (40°24'24.45"N, 116°40'32.95"E) from October 2014 to January 2015. The goal of the HOPE-J^{3}A campaign was to better understand the emissions, transport, and evolution of atmospheric fine particles and their precursors in the Jing-Jin-Ji area. Figure 1 shows the map of NCP and the average distribution of the MODIS (MODerate-resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer) (Chu et al., 2003) AOD (aerosol optical depth) with a resolution of 0.2°×0.2° during the field campaign from 16 November 2014 to 11 January 2015. The Huairou observation site (marked as a red star in Fig. 1) is situated about 60 km northeast of Beijing city center and is mainly surrounded by medium density residential suburban areas.

The instruments were installed on the 4th floor of Teaching Building 1, with the sample inlet about 2.5 m above the roof. The inlet consisted of a $PM_{1.0}$ ambient size cut (SF-$PM_{1.0}$, 1.0 m^3 h^{-1}, Sven Leckel Ingenieurburo GmbH) with a 50% cut-point at 1.0 μm. Downstream of the inlet, the sample air was dried to below 15% RH by a diffusion dryer and then passed through a copper tube (6 m long with an inner diameter of 1 cm) at a flow rate of 16.7 L min^{-1}.

The particle size distribution between 14 and 662 nm was measured every 3 min with a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS, TSI 3936), which comprised an electrostatic classifier (TSI 3080) and a condensation particle counter (TSI 3776). Diffusion losses and the effect of multicharged particles were corrected by the instrument software. The SMPS was validated with laboratory-generated, NIST traceable monodispersed polystyrene latex (PSL) spheres with diameters of 203 ± 5 nm (Thermo Scientific 3200A) and 296 ± 6 nm (Thermo Scientific 3300A) before and after the campaign (W. Zhao et al., 2013). The measured particle sizes and the certified diameters of the PSL spheres agreed to within 2 %.

The optical properties of $PM_{1.0}$ particles were measured with a newly developed cavity-enhanced

albedometer (Zhao et al., 2014a). The albedometer was based on a blue light-emitting-diode (LED) incoherent broadband cavity-enhanced absorption spectroscopy (IBBCEAS) system that incorporated an integrating sphere (IS). This instrument is a new tool for direct, in situ, and simultaneous measurement of aerosol scattering and extinction coefficients (and thus of the absorption coefficient and aerosol SSA, ω_{470}) at a mean wavelength of 470 nm. The performance of the cavity-enhanced albedometer was previously evaluated using laboratory-generated, monodisperse standard aerosol particles, and the scattering measurements were also found to be in close agreement with TSI 3563 nephelometer measurements (Zhao et al., 2014 a, b).

The advantage of broadband over single wavelength measurements is its capacity to simultaneously measure multiple species present in the sample (gases and particles) using a single instrument. A spectral-fitting algorithm was applied from 445 to 480 nm to retrieve gas concentrations based on their spectral structure and thereby to remove the contribution of gas phase absorption from the aerosol extinction. The scattering signal in the IS was measured by a single channel photomultiplier tube (PMT), providing an integrated result over a narrow bandwidth of ~ 9 nm (full-width at half maximum, FWHM) in the spectral region of 465–474 nm. Truncation reduction tubes (Varma et al., 2003) were used to minimize the forward and backward truncation angles to 1.2°.

The sample volume of the system was about 1.8 L and the flow rate of the cavity-enhanced albedometer was 1.5 L min^{-1} at atmospheric pressure. The acquisition time for each measurement was 9 s (for a 1.5 s integrating time per spectrum, and six-spectra averaging). The temperature and relative humidity of the sample were measured with a hygrometer (Rotronic, model HC2 humidity sensor). The cavity was flushed with dry zero air every hour to acquire a reference spectrum. The reference spectrum was used both in the IBBCEAS retrieval (Fiedler et al., 2003) and to remove the contribution of light scattering from internal surfaces and Rayleigh scattering. The mirror reflectivity $R(\lambda)$ and the scaling factor (K', the calibration coefficient that related instrument response to scattering magnitude) for the scattering channel of the albedometer were determined by He, N$_2$ and CO$_2$ every week. No deterioration of R and K' were observed during the campaign.

The detection limits for the scattering and extinction channels with 9 s integration time were 0.54 Mm^{-1} and 0.15 Mm^{-1}, respectively. The total uncertainty in the extinction measurement was estimated to be less than 4% and arose from uncertainties in the mirror reflectivity (R) (~1%), the

ratio of cavity length to the cell length containing the air sample when the cavity mirrors were purged (R_L) (~3%), and particle losses in the system (~2%). The total uncertainty in the scattering measurement was about 3%, with dominant contributions from uncertainties in the experimentally determined scattering calibration coefficient (K') (2%), and the uncertainty associated with particle losses in the cavity (2%).

Based on a Mie scattering calculation, the truncated fraction of total scattering was about 0.22% for a 1 μm diameter spherical particle with a complex refractive index (CRI) of $m = 1.6 + i0$ at $\lambda =$ 470 nm. This truncation effect was therefore negligible compared to the measurement uncertainty and no correction for the truncation underestimate was applied to our data.

Potential uncertainties associated with changes in the instrument environment were considered but found to be unimportant. The instrument was located in a temperature-controlled room, the temperature inside the albedometer enclosure was maintained at $28.3 \pm 0.8°C$, and the sample flow was controlled with a mass flow meter. Example data of the transmitted intensity measured with the CCD spectrometer and the scattering signal measured with the PMT are shown in Fig. S1 in the supplement. The cavity was flushed with particle-free air every hour to acquire the $I_0(\lambda)$ spectrum. No obvious drift in the LED light intensity was observed even after 6 hours of measurement, indicating the high stability of the instrument under these operating conditions.

Another $PM_{1.0}$ sampler (SF-$PM_{1.0}$, Sven Leckel Ingenieurburo GmbH) was installed on the roof of the building (~ 20m above the ground) to collect $PM_{1.0}$ samples with quartz filters (47 mm, MUNKTELL Corporation) for off-line aerosol chemical composition analysis. The flow rate was 16.7 L min^{-1} and samples were collected over the period of 16 November 2014 to 11 January 2015. The collection time period was 12 h: from 08:30 to 20:30 LT for daytime samples, and from 20:30 to 08:30 LT for nighttime samples. Before sampling, the quartz-fiber filters were preheated for 4 h at 600°C in a muffle furnace, and ten filters were used as field blank samples in the sampling period. In total, 114 filters were collected; these were stored at –4°C to prevent evaporation of volatilized species until they were analyzed.

A punch of 1.5 cm^2 was taken from each filter, and then heated in inert and oxidizing atmospheres to volatilize and combust the loaded carbon, respectively. The carbon (elemental carbon, EC, and organic carbon, OC) concentrations were determined with a thermal/optical transmittance aerosol carbon analyzer (Sunset Laboratory, Inc.) (NIOSH, 1996). The measurement principle and

operation of the Sunset aerosol carbon analyzer was presented in a previous study (Peterson et al., 2002). Another punch with a area of 2 cm^2 was taken from the rest of filter, extracted into 10 mL of deionized water (> 18MΩ) via 20 min sonication, filtered using a 0.22 μm PTFE syringe filter, and stored in a refrigerator at 4°C until chemical analysis. Eight water-soluble ion compositions [three anions: nitrate (NO_3^-), sulfate (SO_4^{2-}), and chloride (Cl^-); five cations: ammonium (NH_4^+), sodium (Na^+), potassium (K^+), magnesium (Mg^{2+}) and calcium (Ca^{2+})] were determined using a Dionex ICS-90 Ion Chromatography system with AS14A and CS14A columns and eluents of $NaCO_3$-$NaHCO_3$ and methanesulfonic acid, respectively. Further details on this analysis are given elsewhere (X. F. Wang et al., 2013).

Meteorological parameters, including wind speed (WS) and direction (WD), temperature (T) and relative humidity (RH), were continuously recorded at the observation site. The mass concentration of $PM_{2.5}$ for the air quality classification and concentrations of pollutant gases (SO_2, NO_2, O_3, and CO) were monitored by the National Environmental Bureau and the data at Huairou were retrieved from the internet (http://113.108.142.147:20035/ emcpublish/). In this work, the $PM_{2.5}$ pollution level was divided into three categories according to the technical regulation on Ambient Air Quality Index (National Environmental Protection Standard of the People's Republic of China, HJ 633–2012) (http://kjs.mep.gov.cn/hjbhbz/bzwb/dqhjbh/jcg_fbz/201203/W020120410332725219541.pdf; A. Zhang et al., 2013; G. J. Zheng et al., 2015): clear day ($PM_{2.5}$ concentration ≤ 35 μg m^{-3}, when the corresponding individual air quality index (IAQI) of 24 hours averaged $PM_{2.5}$ concentration ranged from 0 to 50), slightly polluted day (35 μg m^{-3}< $PM_{2.5}$ concentration ≤ 115 μg m^{-3}, when IAQI of $PM_{2.5}$ ranged from 50 to 150), and polluted day (115 μg m^{-3}< $PM_{2.5}$ concentration ≤ 350 μg m^{-3}, when IAQI of $PM_{2.5}$ ranged from 150 to 400). These pollution classes are usually based on $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations averaged from 00:00 to 23:59 on a specific date. For our filter samples, however, the collection time extended over two days; nevertheless, we applied the same categorization for our measurements from 20:30 (day 1) to 20:30 LT (day 2).

3 Optical data analysis method

3.1 Retrieval of aerosol complex refractive index

The scattering and extinction coefficients of particles are calculated based on (Pettersson et al., 2004):

$$\alpha_{ep,sp} = \int N(D_p) \frac{\pi}{4} D_p^2 Q_{ext,scat}(m,x) dD_p \tag{1}$$

where $x = \pi D_p / \lambda$ is the size parameter, $m = n + i\, k$ is the CRI of the particle (where n and k correspond to the real and imaginary parts of the CRI, respectively), N is the number of particles per unit volume in the size bin dD_p with mean diameter D_p, and $\frac{\pi}{4} D_p^2 Q_{ext,scat}(m,x)$ is the extinction or scattering cross section $\sigma_{ep,sp}$. The extinction or scattering efficiency, $Q_{ext,\,scat}$, is a function of the CRI, the morphology of the particles and the size parameter. For chemically homogeneous spherical particles, Q can be calculated from Mie theory.

The effective CRI is an effective property that averages over the aerosols' size, shape, mixing state and chemical composition. Simultaneous measurement of the scattering and extinction coefficients by the cavity-enhanced albedometer provides a new approach for faster retrieval of the particulate CRI (Riziq et al., 2007; Mack et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2014a; Xu et al., 2016). Details of the CRI retrieval have been given in previous studies (Riziq et al., 2007; Mack et al., 2010). The measured extinction and scattering coefficients ($\alpha_{ep,470}$ and $\alpha_{sp,470}$) and the particle number size distribution, $N(D_p)$, were used to determine the effective CRI on the assumption that particles were spherical and that the black carbon aerosol (BC) can be treated in a volume mixing approach. The real and imaginary parts of the effective CRI were varied (between 1.3 and 1.7 for the real part and between 0 and 0.1 for the imaginary part) to calculate the extinction and scattering coefficients for each size distribution. A set of refractive indices were determined by finding the minimum of the "merit function", χ^2 (Mack et al., 2010):

$$\chi^2 = \frac{(\alpha_{ep} - \alpha_{ep\_calc})^2}{\varepsilon_{\alpha_{ep}}^2} + \frac{(\alpha_{sp} - \alpha_{sp\_calc})^2}{\varepsilon_{\alpha_{sp}}^2} \tag{2}$$

Here, α_{ep} and α_{sp} are the measured values of the extinction and scattering coefficients, while α_{ep_calc} and α_{sp_calc} are the corresponding calculated values. $\varepsilon_{\alpha ep}$ and $\varepsilon_{\alpha sp}$ are the measurement uncertainty of α_{ep} and α_{sp}, respectively. The mean standard deviations of the extinction and scattering coefficients over 3 min were taken as the measurement uncertainty for calculating χ^2.

A contour plot of χ^2 versus n and k was used to estimate the standard errors of n and k. The

values of n and k that satisfied $\chi^2 < \chi_0^2 + 2.298$, which fell within the 1σ error bound of the best measurement (with 68% confidence level of χ^2 distribution), were considered acceptable. Projections of the contour lines (with a contour value of 2.298) on the n and k plane gave the standard errors Δn and Δk, respectively (Dinar et al., 2008; Zhao et al., 2014a).

3.~~3~~2 Mass scattering and absorption efficiencies

Mass scattering (MSE) and absorption (MAE) efficiencies are the key parameters in climate and chemical transport models for estimating radiative forcing and apportioning chemical extinction budgets (Bates et al., 2006; Malm and Hand, 2007). MSE and MAE (both conventionally in units of $m^2 \ g^{-1}$) are defined as the ratio of the light scattering and absorption coefficients (Mm^{-1}) to the aerosol volume mass concentration ($\mu g \ m^{-3}$), respectively.

$$\text{MSE (MAE)} = \frac{\alpha_{scat,abs}}{M} \tag{\~{7}3}$$

Knowledge of the MSEs and MAEs of each component of atmospheric aerosol is helpful for accurate source apportionment and for estimating radiative forcing in climate modes. The simplest method for computing MSE is by direct measurement of aerosol scattering coefficient and the mass concentration. The average MSE is estimated either by dividing the average α_{scat} by the average mass concentration or from the slope of a linear regression of α_{scat} and M (Hand and Malm, 2007). The MAE is calculated similarly.

In this study, the MSEs and MAEs of $PM_{1.0}$ were estimated from the slope of a linear regression of $\alpha_{scat,abs}$ and M (each data point with 3 min time resolution). The $\alpha_{scat,abs}$ was directly measured with the cavity-enhanced albedometer with high time resolution, and M was calculated from the average density ($\overline{\rho}$) and the volume concentrations ($V(D_p)$) measured with the SMPS ($M = \overline{\rho} \int V(D_p)dD_p$). The average density was calculated from $\overline{\rho}^{-1} = \sum_j \rho_j^{-1} X_j$, where ρ_j is the density of each chemical component (see Table S1 ~~in the Supplement~~), X_j is the mass concentration ratio of each species to the total $PM_{1.0}$ concentration (reconstructed with equation (S~~6~~8)) measured from filter samples with a time resolution of 12 h sample^{-1}. If it is assumed that only EC contributes to aerosol absorption, the MAE of EC can be determined (Knox et al., 2009; Bond et al., 2013;

Cheng et al., 2011, 2016):

$$\text{MAE} = \frac{\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \alpha_{abs} dt}{\text{EC}_{\text{m}} \times (t_2 - t_1)} \qquad (4)$$

where EC_m (µg m^{-3}) is the measured EC mass concentration with the aerosol carbon analyzer, and t_1 and t_2 are the start and stop times for the collection of samples with quartz filters. In this work, the integration time was determined by the quartz filter sampling period of 12 h sample^{-1}. For comparison, the MAE of freshly generated BC is 8.7 m^2 g^{-1} at $\lambda = 470$ nm (based on a 7.5 ± 1.2 m^2 g^{-1} at $\lambda = 550$ nm and using the inverse wavelength dependence) (Bond et al., 2006).

3.2 3 Chemical apportionment of aerosol optical properties

Chemical apportionment of light extinction of PM$_{1.0}$ was determined with a revised IMPROVE (Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments) algorithm (Pitchford et al., 2007). Although the IMPROVE algorithm is a simplified predictor of extinction, it is nevertheless a useful tool to estimate the contribution of different particle components to haze levels (Pitchford et al., 2007). Light extinction at λ = 550 nm can be estimated by multiplying the mass concentrations by component-specific mass extinction efficiencies (MEEs) of each of seven major components: sulfate (assumed to be ammonium sulfate), nitrate (assumed to be ammonium nitrate), organic mass (OM, based on the measured mass concentration of organic carbon, OC), elemental carbon (EC), fine soil, sea salt (chlorine, Cl), and coarse mass (the differences between PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentration). It can be expressed by the following (Pitchford et al., 2007):

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{ext,550nm} \approx\ & 2.2 \times f_s(\text{RH}) \times [\text{Small Sulfate}] + 4.8 \times f_L(\text{RH}) \times [\text{Large Sulfate}] \\
& + 2.4 \times f_s(\text{RH}) \times [\text{Small Nitrate}] + 5.1 \times f_L(\text{RH}) \times [\text{Large Nitrate}] \\
& + 2.8 \times [\text{Small Organic Mass}] + 6.1 \times [\text{Large Organic Mass}] \\
& + 10 \times [\text{Elemental Carbon}] + 1 \times [\text{Fine Soil}] \\
& + 1.7 \times f_{ss}(\text{RH}) \times [\text{Sea Salt}] + 0.6 \times [\text{Coarse Mass}] \\
& + \text{Rayleigh scattering (site specific)} + 0.33 \times [\text{NO}_2(\text{ppb})]
\end{aligned}$$

(3)

where f(RH) is the water growth factor of inorganic components, f$_s$(RH) and f$_L$(RH) are the water growth factors for the small and large particle size modes for sulfate and nitrate, respectively,

and $f_{SS}(RH)$ is the hygroscopic growth factor for sea salt.

The large and small parts are defined by the IMPROVE formula as (Pitchford et al., 2007; Cao et al., 2012):

[Large X] = [Total X]²/20, for [Total X] < 20 μg m⁻³

[Large X] = [Total X], for [Total X] ≥ 20 μg m⁻³   (4)

[Small X] = [Total X] − [Large X]

where X = sulfate, nitrate or OM. The concentration of ammonium sulfate ([(NH₄)₂SO₄]) was 1.375 times the sulfate concentration ([SO₄²⁻]), and the ammonium nitrate ([NH₄NO₃]) was 1.29 times the nitrate concentrations ([NO₃⁻]). The OM concentration was estimated by multiplying the reported OC concentration by a factor of 1.6 (Turpin and Lim, 2001). The sea salt mass concentration was estimated by multiplying the Cl⁻ mass concentration by a factor of 1.8. The ammonium cation was not used directly in the IMPROVE algorithm. It was assumed to be fully neutralized by SO₄²⁻ and NO₃⁻ and treated as ammonium sulfate ((NH₄)₂SO₄) and ammonium nitrate(NH₄NO₃), respectively.

The revised IMPROVE algorithm above was parameterized for atmospheric aerosol extinction at λ = 550 nm. In this study, the optical properties of PM₁.₀ were measured at λ = 470 nm. The IMPROVE algorithm needs to be refined to better represent the chemical apportionment of light extinction for PM₁.₀ particles. Since dry PM₁.₀ aerosols were measured, the coarse mass and the hygroscopic increase of inorganic component were ignored for the inputs of the IMPROVE algorithm. The fine soil component was also left out in apportioning the extinction coefficient due to its small fraction of PM₁.₀ particles during wintertime in Beijing (K. Sun et al., 2014).

Under the assumptions of the IMPROVE algorithm (in which particles are treated as separate entities), the difference in the wavelength will only affect the dry mass extinction efficiency terms. We modified the MEE terms in equation (3) of each individual particle components with a scaling factor so as to be directly comparable to measurements at λ = 470 nm. The scaling factor was the ratio of the MEEs of each species at λ = 470 nm and λ = 550 nm calculated with Mie theory from the literature reported complex refractive index (Table S1 in the Supplement) and the measured mean number size distribution. Further details are given in the Supplement, Section S2. The dry mass extinction efficiencies of inorganic mass (including sulfate, nitrate and sea salt), organic mass, and elemental carbon for the input of the IMPROVE formula at λ = 470 nm should accordingly be scaled

by factors of 1.31, 1.30 and 1.08, respectively. The modified IMPROVE function for dry $PM_{1.0}$ extinction at λ = 470 nm can be rewritten as following (with further details in the Supplement, Section S2):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{ext,470nm,PM_{1.0}} \approx\ &2.88\times[\text{Small Sulfate}] + 6.29\times[\text{Large Sulfate}] \\
&+3.14\times[\text{Small Nitrate}] + 6.68\times[\text{Large Nitrate}] \\
&+3.64\times[\text{Small Organic Mass}] + 7.93\times[\text{Large Organic Mass}] \\
&+10.8\times[\text{Elemental Carbon}] + 2.23\times[\text{Sea Salt}]
\end{aligned}
$$

(~~5~~3)

The large and small parts are defined by the IMPROVE formula as (Pitchford et al., 2007; Cao et al., 2012):

$$[\text{Large X}] = [\text{Total X}]^2/20, \text{ for } [\text{Total X}] < 20\ \mu g\ m^{-3}$$

$$[\text{Large X}] = [\text{Total X}], \text{ for } [\text{Total X}] \geqslant 20\ \mu g\ m^{-3} \quad\quad (4)$$

$$[\text{Small X}] = [\text{Total X}] - [\text{Large X}]$$

where X = sulfate, nitrate or organic mass (OM). The concentration of ammonium sulfate ($[(NH_4)_2SO_4]$) was 1.375 times the sulfate concentration ($[SO_4^{2-}]$), and the ammonium nitrate ($[NH_4NO_3]$) was 1.29 times the nitrate concentrations ($[NO_3^-]$). The OM concentration was estimated by multiplying the reported OC concentration by a factor of 1.6 (Turpin and Lim, 2001). The sea salt mass concentration was estimated by multiplying the Cl^- mass concentration by a factor of 1.8. The ammonium cation was not used directly in the IMPROVE algorithm. It was assumed to be fully neutralized by SO_4^{2-} and NO_3^- and treated as ammonium sulfate ($(NH_4)_2SO_4$) and ammonium nitrate(NH_4NO_3), respectively. The $PM_{1.0}$ mass concentration can be reconstructed as the sum of its major chemical components (Pitchford et al., 2007):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{Reconstructed mass\_}PM_{1.0} &= [(NH_4)_2SO_4] + [NH_4NO_3] + [SS] + [OM] + [EC] \\
&= 1.375\times[SO_4^{2-}] + 1.29\times[NO_3^-] + 1.8\times[Cl^-] + 1.6\times[OC] + [EC]
\end{aligned} \quad (6)
$$

4 Results and discussion

An overview of the measurement results of the aerosol optical properties, size distribution, and chemical composition of $PM_{1.0}$ particles is shown in Table 1 and Fig. 2. There are obvious differences of the campaign average number and surface size distribution of $PM_{1.0}$ for the three different pollution categories (Fig. 3). For clear days, the mean particle number size distributions

fitted by a mono-log normal function was around 41 nm. Bimodal distributions were observed on slightly polluted and polluted days with modes centered at 38 and 105 nm (slightly polluted periods) and at 38 and 151 nm (polluted periods). The mode of the number size distributions exhibits the expected displacement toward the accumulation mode with increasing levels of particulate matter.

During the campaign, the measured aerosol extinction ($\alpha_{ep,470}$), scattering ($\alpha_{sp,470}$), and absorption coefficient ($\alpha_{ap,470}$) ranged from 0.6–1165, 0.1–1150 and 0–276 Mm^{-1}, respectively. There was no obvious correlation between extensive optical properties and wind direction (Fig. S4). ~~The time profiles of these properties also showed several periods during which the particle concentration would steadily build up, before rapid dissipation and return to fewer and smaller particles.~~ ~~Averages and standard deviations of the observed particle properties are summarized in Table 1 after grouping data into the different air quality classes. There are obvious differences of the campaign average number and surface size distribution of PM$_{1.0}$ for the three different pollution categories (Fig. 5a). For clear days, the mean particle number size distributions fitted by a mono-log normal function was around 41 nm. Bimodal distributions were observed on slightly polluted and polluted days with modes centered at 38 and 105 nm (slightly polluted periods) and at 38 and 151 nm (polluted periods). The mode of the number size distributions exhibits the expected displacement toward the accumulation mode with increasing levels of particulate matter.~~

~~**Optical properties**~~

~~There was no obvious correlation between extensive optical properties and wind direction (Fig. S4).~~ With increasing pollutant level, the extensive optical properties ($\alpha_{ep,470}$, $\alpha_{sp,470}$ and $\alpha_{ap,470}$) increased strongly, in accordance with the expected, strong dependence of particle size on light scattering. In contrast, changes in the intensive optical properties, (ω_{470}), were more modest. The optical measurement data are presented as histograms of the relative frequency of occurrence of $\alpha_{ep,470}$, $\alpha_{sp,470}$, $\alpha_{ap,470}$ and ω_{470} (Fig. S56). ~~andApproximately 71% of extinction and scattering coefficients values were lower than 200 Mm^{-1} and nearly 80% of absorption coefficient values were located in the range of 1.5–50 Mm^{-1}. Approximately 90% of the ω_{470} values fell into the range of 0.70–0.97. Compared with polluted days, the frequency distribution of the SSA showed similar patterns, and the average values were similar on clear and slightly polluted days.~~ diurnal variations of hourly averaged extinction, scattering, absorption coefficients and SSA on clear, slightly polluted

and polluted days are presented in Fig. S6. Diurnal variations of the optical properties were broadly similar for different pollutant levels, but tended to be more marked under clean conditions and modest under polluted conditions. The Huairou site is a new suburban site at which aerosol optical properties have not previously been reported. To put our observations at Huairou in context, the scattering and absorption coefficients and SSA observed in this campaign are also compared (in the Supplement, Section S5) to those at other locations (urban, suburban, and rural sites).

We present our results as follows: Firstly, selected periods are investigated in detail for showing the process of haze formation, development and decline. The extensive optical properties (extinction, scattering, and absorption coefficients) and intensive optical properties (SSA and effective CRI) are presented for these periods. Secondly, the mass scattering and absorption efficiencies are determined. And finally, the ~~diurnal variations of aerosol size distribution, optical properties and~~ chemical composition on different pollution days during the campaign are considered. Chemical apportionment of aerosol extinction is then studied based on the modified IMPROVE algorithm. ~~And finally, the MSE and MAE of PM$_{1.0}$ is presented for clear, slightly polluted, and polluted days~~discussed.

4.1 Temporal variations of optical properties during selected air pollution episodes

The temporal variations of aerosol particle number and surface size distribution, aerosol extinction, scattering, absorption coefficients, ω_{470}, and the retrieved effective CRIs from 22 to 24 November 2014 are presented in Fig. ~~3~~4. The corresponding temporal wind direction and speed are shown in the upper panel of Fig. ~~3~~4, while temperature, relative humidity, pressure, and concentrations of pollutant gases (SO$_2$, NO$_2$, O$_3$, and CO) are shown in Fig. ~~S3~~ S7 in the Supplement. The time series of PM$_{1.0}$ particle number distribution during the episode varied with meteorology and the sources of pollutants. To emphasize the variation of these parameters during the episode, the extended air pollution episode was divided into six shorter periods. The statistical analyses of these periods are summarized in Table 2. In the selected haze episode, these six periods show variations in the PM$_{1.0}$ size distribution and the extensive optical properties of particles in the process of haze formation, development and decline. The evolution of intensive optical properties was related to the variation of PM$_{1.0}$ chemical components.

24 h back trajectories starting at 200 m a.g.l. in Huairou site calculated every 4 h (at 02:00, 6:00, 10:00, 14:00, 18:00 and 22:00 LT) are shown in ~~the lower panel of~~ Fig. ~~4~~ 5 (http://ready.arl.noaa.gov/HYSPLIT.php). The trajectories from the northerly direction were clean air masses with high transport heights and long transport pathways; trajectories from the southerly direction were mainly polluted air masses with low transport heights and short transport pathways.

~~According to the regulatory classification of the atmospheric pollution level, these six periods can be divided into two categories: clear day (22:00 LT 21 November–10:00 LT 22 November) and polluted day (10:00 LT 22 November–22:00 LT 22 November, 22:00 LT 22 November–10:00 LT 23 November, 10:00 LT 23 November–22:00 LT 23 November, 22:00 LT 23 November–10:00 LT 24 November). The mean value of the total mass concentration on clear days was 9.49 µg m^{-3}, and the mean fractional contributions of OM, nitrate, and sulfate were 56% (5.32 µg m^{-3}), 12% (1.12 µg m^{-3}), and 14% (1.34 µg m^{-3}), respectively. On polluted days, the mean value of the total mass concentration was 41.64 µg m^{-3} with fractional contributions of 44% OM (18.47 µg m^{-3}), 22% nitrate (9.30 µg m^{-3}), and 11% sulfate (4.54 µg m^{-3}). In summary, in going from clear to polluted days, the fractional contribution of OM to the total mass decreased by 12%, whereas the fraction of nitrate almost doubled and that of sulfate decreased slightly. Organic matter was the largest contributor to PM$_{1.0}$.~~ ~~The significant increase in the concentration and fractional contribution to PM$_{1.0}$ extinction coefficient of inorganic species, particularly nitrates (discussed in Section 4.3), indicate that inorganic species become more important during haze, an observation which is consistent with previously measurements during an extreme haze episode in Beijing in January 2013 (Wang et al., 2015). The difference between sulfate and nitrate likely reflects the different sources and formation mechanisms for sulfate and nitrate aerosols (G. J. Zheng et al., 2015).~~

~~In the selected haze episode, these six periods show variations in the PM$_{1.0}$ size distribution and the extensive optical properties of particles in the process of haze formation, development and decline. The evolution of intensive optical properties was related to the variation of PM$_{1.0}$ chemical components.~~

~~**Period 1: First traffic-dominated pollution period (05:40–10:00 LT 22 November)**~~

~~Relatively small, light absorbing particles dominated this period. The mean diameter of the~~

number size distribution was 56 ± 6 nm, and the average number and surface concentration were 2.1 ± 0.8×10^4 particle cm^{-3} and 3.7 ± 1.5×10^8 nm^2 cm^{-3}, respectively. The mean values of $\alpha_{ep,470}$, $\alpha_{sp,470}$, and $\alpha_{ap,470}$ were 56 ± 24, 44 ± 10 and 12 ± 6 Mm^{-1}, respectively. The ω_{470}, real and imaginary part of effective CRI (n, k) were 0.79 ± 0.03, 1.38 ± 0.06 and 0.03 ± 0.02, respectively.

During Period 1 and Period 6~~this period~~, winds were typically from the northeast; the trajectory indicated that the air masses came from cleaner air to the north and carried pollutants from northeast areas to the observation site, with concentrations of small, light absorption particles increasing. These clean air masses showed a relatively large contribution from traffic emissions. Lower values of the SSA were found during the rush hour, in common with other studies that have similarly found minimum SSA values during the morning traffic rush hour (Garland et al., 2008; Lyamani et al., 2010).

Period 2: New particle formation period (12:40–15:00 LT 22 November)

This period started with clean atmospheric conditions and was characterized by the formation and growth of small particles. The mean diameter of the number size distribution was 25 ± 4 nm and the mean values of $\alpha_{ep,470}$, $\alpha_{sp,470}$ and $\alpha_{ap,470}$ were 17 ± 5, 16 ± 5 and 1.0 ± 0.4 Mm^{-1}, respectively. The mean values of ω_{470}, n and k were 0.94 ± 0.03, 1.40 ± 0.06 and 0.008 ± 0.005, respectively. These values indicated that optical extinction in these newly formed particles was dominated by scattering, although it should be noted that the uncertainties in the ω_{470} values are larger under these conditions of low scattering and extinction.

For Period 2, the air masses came from the north with clean air, but their reduced height resulted in entrainment of surface aerosols and transport to the observation site. The transport of clean, fresh air resulted in sharply decreased pollutant levels and promoted new particle formation. Takegawa et al. (2009) and Guo et al. (2014) showed that newly formed aitken mode particles were mainly composed of sulfate and organic matter, indicating that most components were absolute scattering species. In this case, the values of SSA and k should be close to 1 and 0, respectively. The retrieved values of SSA (0.94±0.03) and k (0.008±0.005) matched these expectations and indicate that the newly formed particles were predominantly scattering species.

For Periods 3 and 4, the shorter transport pathway of air masses suggested that the composition

was dominated by local emissions, including primary emissions and secondary formation. Period 3 was characterized by

~~Period 3: Accumulated pollution period (15:30 LT 22 November–08:00 LT 23 November)~~

~~This period was characterized by~~ the dominance of larger accumulation mode particles for several hours. ~~The mean diameter of number and surface size distribution was 106 ± 16 and 229 ± 16 nm, respectively, which increased slowly from 15:30 LT (with a minimum value of 60 and 205 nm) to 21:40 LT (with a maximum value of 131 and 248 nm) except for a valley from 17:20–18:00 LT that coincided with the afternoon rush hour. The mean values of $\alpha_{ep,470}$, $\alpha_{sp,470}$ and $\alpha_{ap,470}$ were 179 ± 69, 151 ± 57 and 28 ± 12 Mm^{-1}, respectively.~~

In the first part of the period, the winds slowed down and turned to the south. These conditions favored accumulation of local pollutants (including primary emissions and secondary productions) and transportation of pollutants from southern areas. Later on, the wind speed increased and the direction changed to the southeast. The accumulation of pollutants brought about the formation of haze. ~~During the process, the number concentration increased about 1.7 times from 1.2×10^4 to 2.0×10^4 particle cm^{-3}, and the surface concentration increased about 1.3 times from 3.2×10^8 to 10.3×10^8 nm^2 cm^{-3}, leading to large values of $\alpha_{ep,470}$ (285 Mm^{-1}), $\alpha_{sp,470}$ (235 Mm^{-1}) and $\alpha_{ap,470}$ (50 Mm^{-1}). The wind speed eventually decreased and the wind direction changed, first to northeastern, and then to southeastern directions. Another major peak in the extinction occurred during this period when a large number of small size particles were observed. The mean values of ω_{470}, and the real part and imaginary part of the effective CRI were 0.85 ± 0.01, 1.39 ± 0.04 and 0.02 ± 0.006, respectively. Clearly, the air masses from northeast areas carried appreciable numbers of small, light absorbing particles.~~

~~Period 4: Combined pollution period (08:30–12:00 LT 23 November)~~

~~For Periods 3 and 4, the shorter transport pathway of air masses suggested that the composition was dominated by local emissions, including primary emissions and secondary formation.~~ Large numbers of small, light absorbing particles were seen during ~~period~~ Period 4, which coincided with the morning rush hour. ~~The mean diameter of the number and surface size distribution was 105 ± 16~~

and 251 ± 15 nm, respectively, and $\alpha_{ep,470}$, $\alpha_{sp,470}$ and $\alpha_{ap,470}$ were 405 ± 60, 321 ± 42 and 84 ± 22 Mm^{-1}, respectively. The mean values of ω_{470} and the imaginary part of the effective CRI were 0.79 ± 0.03 and 0.034 ± 0.008, respectively, which were similar to the values in Period 1 within the observed variability the first traffic-dominated pollution period. The real part of the effective CRI (1.40 ± 0.03) was similar to that of the earlier traffic-dominated pollution period within the stated uncertainties. During the period, weak winds from northern directions transported polluted air to the observation site and resulted in higher concentrations and a greater influence of light absorbing particles. The accumulation of original and newly discharged primary pollutants led to sharp variations in the aerosol size distribution and extensive optical properties. However, Variations variations in the aerosol intensive optical properties were slight.

Period 5: Particle aggregation and removal period (12:30 LT 23 November–07:30 LT 24 November)

High extinction values were observed over this period Period 5, with a gradual increase and then decrease in extinction, scattering, and absorption. The mean values of $\alpha_{ep,470}$, $\alpha_{sp,470}$ and $\alpha_{ap,470}$ were 330 ± 110, 283 ± 94 and 46 ± 16 Mm^{-1}, respectively. The mean values of ω_{470}, n and k were 0.86 ± 0.01, 1.44 ± 0.03, and 0.02 ± 0.01, respectively, which were larger than the values of the period 4 (although within the combined uncertainties). The SSA values were larger than those reported for Period 4. In general, the n values were higher and the k values lower than those seen in Period 4, although the mean values of both properties fall within the combined standard deviations of both periods. For this period, Air mass trajectories indicate that the airflow started over the Beijing city area and passed over the southwestern direction of the observation site. Air masses following this short path would, bringing surface air pollutants to the Huairou site and contribute contributing to the formation of serious haze. In the first part of the period, winds from the north moderated and the wind direction changed to the northeast, favoring accumulation of local pollutants. Later on, the wind changed to the southeast, with pollutants from the south contributing to the formation of serious haze. Particles dispersed later after the wind speed increased and its direction became northeastly. Both the mean diameter of the number and surface size distribution (124 ± 19 and 263 ± 11 nm) and n were larger in this period than in the other five periods. The light scattering efficiency increased with the

increment of the particle size.

According to the regulatory classification of the atmospheric pollution level, these six periods can be divided into two categories: clear day (22:00 LT 21 November–10:00 LT 22 November) and polluted day (10:00 LT 22 November–22:00 LT 22 November, 22:00 LT 22 November–10:00 LT 23 November, 10:00 LT 23 November–22:00 LT 23 November, 22:00 LT 23 November–10:00 LT 24 November). The mean value of the total mass concentration on clear days was 9.49 $\mu g\ m^{-3}$, and the mean fractional contributions of OM, nitrate, and sulfate were 56% (5.32 $\mu g\ m^{-3}$), 12% (1.12 $\mu g\ m^{-3}$), and 14% (1.34 $\mu g\ m^{-3}$), respectively. On polluted days, the mean value of the total mass concentration was 41.64 $\mu g\ m^{-3}$ with fractional contributions of 44% OM (18.47 $\mu g\ m^{-3}$), 22% nitrate (9.30 $\mu g\ m^{-3}$), and 11% sulfate (4.54 $\mu g\ m^{-3}$). In summary, in going from clear to polluted days, the fractional contribution of OM to the total mass decreased by 12%, whereas the fraction of nitrate almost doubled and that of sulfate decreased slightly. Organic matter was the largest contributor to $PM_{1.0}$. The notable increase in the concentration and fractional contribution to $PM_{1.0}$ extinction coefficient of inorganic species, and specifically nitrate and ammonium, indicate that the inorganic contribution to particulate matter becomes relatively more important than the OM fraction during haze. The chemical composition is discussed further in Section 4.3.

4.32 Mass scattering and mass absorption efficiencies

MSE and MAE of $PM_{1.0}$

Figure 106 shows the relationship between the scattering and absorption coefficients and $PM_{1.0}$ mass concentrations under different pollution levels. The $PM_{1.0}$ mass concentrations were determined by multiplying the volume concentrations with the volume-weighted mass density of each filter sample (Hasan and Dzubay, 1983). The scattering and (to a lesser extent) the absorption coefficients were generally well correlated with the $PM_{1.0}$ mass concentrations and have small y-intercepts. (The larger deviation of the y-intercept on polluted days likely stems from the smaller number of low mass concentration observations on these days.)

The derived $PM_{1.0}$ average mass scattering and absorption efficiencies during the campaign were 3.60 and 0.70 $m^2\ g^{-1}$, respectively (Fig. 106d). Statistical uncertainties from the slope of the

regression are misleadingly small and have been omitted in the reported MSE and MAE values. The MSE and MAE values are smaller than those observed by Garland et al. (2008) from suburban Guangzhou in July 2006 (where the $PM_{1.0}$ mass scattering and absorption efficiency calculated with assuming an average effective density of ammonium sulfate (1.7 g cm^{-3}) were 4.13 and 1.09 m^2 g^{-1}, respectively), the value of $PM_{2.5}$ at $\lambda = 520$ nm obtained in urban Beijing (4.8 m^2 g^{-1}) during wintertime (Tao et al., 2015), and in Chengdu and Guangzhou (3.9 m^2 g^{-1}) (Tao et al., 2014a, b). The derived mass extinction efficiency is 4.30 m^2 g^{-1}, which was comparable to the reported values during Aerosols 1999 (4.1–5.4 m^2 g^{-1}) (Quinn et al., 2001) and INDOEX 1999 (4.0–5.6 m^2 g^{-1}) (Quinn et al., 2002).

In this work, the MSE of $PM_{1.0}$ was 4.16 m^2 g^{-1} during polluted days, which was 34% higher than that during the slightly polluted days (3.11 m^2 g^{-1}) and 75% higher than that during the clear days (2.38 m^2 g^{-1}) (Fig. 10 6a–c). The variability in MSE is typically more dependent on mass size distribution than on density or refractive index (Hand and Malm, 2007). As shown in Fig. 5(a)3, the particle diameter increased significantly with high aerosol mass concentrations. The MSEs in this work increased consistently with pollution level, primarily because larger particles scatter light more efficiently. Cheng et al. (2015) showed that the MSEs of ammonium nitrate, ammonium sulfate and organic matter increased rapidly with increasing mass concentration at lower aerosol loadings, while the MSEs of ammonium nitrate and ammonium sulfate fluctuated in a narrow range under high aerosol loading conditions when the MSE of organic matter is slightly smaller. One recent study (Tao et al., 2015) showed that the MSE was determined by the proportions of the dominant chemical components and their size distributions under different pollution levels. The MSEs in this work increased consistently with the mass concentration of particles because of the relationship between size and light scattering efficiency.

MAE of EC

Similar time profiles are seen for the measured absorption coefficient at $\lambda = 470$ nm and the measured mass concentrations of EC and OC (Fig. 7 (a) and (b)). Assuming that only EC contributes to aerosol absorption, the MAE of EC can be calculated using Eq. (4). The MAE values of EC at $\lambda = 470$ nm over the campaign period are shown in Fig. 7 (c). These values vary widely, with lower

values generally seen under cleaner conditions and higher values observed under more polluted conditions. The MAE values for selected clear days (e.g. Nov. 17 - 18; Dec. 1 - Dec. 3; Dec. 29, 2014 - Jan. 1, 2015) ranged from 7 - 14 $m^2 g^{-1}$ (average of 10.3 ± 2.7 $m^2 g^{-1}$) and are comparable to those reported in Beijing during the summer (5 - 13 $m^2 g^{-1}$) (Cheng et al., 2011). Much higher values were seen on polluted days, where the MAE ranged from 23 to 81 $m^2 g^{-1}$ (average of 48 ± 21 $m^2 g^{-1}$) for the period covered Dec. 23 - 28, 2014 and from 20 to 49 $m^2 g^{-1}$ (average of 42 ± 14 $m^2 g^{-1}$) for Jan. 2 - 5, 2015. These values are comparable to those reported by Chan et al. (2011), where MAE ranged from 10 to 50 $m^2 g^{-1}$.

The average EC mass concentrations were 0.48 ± 0.39 μg m^{-3}, 1.18 ± 0.59 μg m^{-3}, and 2.72 ± 0.87 μg m^{-3}, for clear, slightly polluted, and polluted days, respectively. The corresponding mass fractions of $4.3 \pm 3.6\%$, $4.0 \pm 2.0\%$, and $3.6 \pm 1.1\%$ were approximately equal within the large variability seen on different days. The mean and standard deviations of MAE values of EC were 23.0 ± 14.0 $m^2 g^{-1}$, 32.7 ± 16.0 $m^2 g^{-1}$, and 35.0 ± 21.7 $m^2 g^{-1}$, respectively, for clear, slightly polluted, and polluted days. Our values suggest that EC absorption was strongly enhanced over the campaign period. Enhanced absorption (E_{abs}) could arise from the coating-enhancement of ambient BC absorption (lensing-driven enhancement), as well as absorption associated with light-absorbing organic compounds (brown carbon, BrC) (Andreae and Gelencsér 2006; Bond et al., 2013; Gustafsson, and Ramanathan, 2016). In general, light absorption from other organic species tends to be relatively small at $\lambda = 470$ nm, whether it arises from small nitroaromatic compounds (Claeys et al., 2012) or from larger HULIS-type substances (Lorenzo and Young, 2016).

Laboratory studies report a BC enhancement factor from 1.8 to 2 for thicker coatings (Bond et al., 2013). Results from field observations are more variable (Peng et al., 2016): reported E_{abs} values ranged from 1.06 (Cappa et al. 2012; Lan et al., 2013) to 1.7 (Lack et al. 2012; Liu et al., 2015), although two recent studies (Cui et al., 2016; Peng et al., 2016) have found that for aged BC (18 h and 4.6 h after fresh emission in clear and polluted condition, respectively), E_{abs} ranged from 2 to 3. Compared to freshly emitted BC, we observe average absorption enhancements of 2.6 (clear), 3.8 (slightly polluted), and 4.0 (polluted) for different days. Our values are somewhat higher, although broadly consistent with, the Peng (2016).

Our individual MAE of EC values are often appreciably higher than has been observed in other studies (Andreae et al., 2008; Cheng et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2014). This difference may arise from

either measurement uncertainties or the contribution of other absorbing species. Large MAE values could arise in part from inaccuracies in both the EC mass concentration or $\alpha_{abs.}$ (which is itself determined from the relatively small difference between the extinction and scattering measurements). Moreover, our assumption that all particle absorption arises from EC would lead to higher MAE values if there was simultaneous absorption by other species. L. Wang et al. (2013) have recently shown that BrC was the second-largest absorbing aerosol constituent in Beijing (with a volume fraction of 5 - 25% in the total aerosol volume) and exhibits a clear seasonal variation (dominates in late fall and winter, and extremely low in summer). The sources of BrC have been reported to be the organic components primary emitted from the combustion of biomass and fossil fuels. In a study of biomass burning particle, Lack et al. (2012) showed that the contribution of the absorption of BrC was about 27% at λ = 404 nm, while other recent studies (Nakayama et al., 2013; Moise et al., 2015) have shown that secondary organic aerosol may also act as BrC.

Our data provide some support for the suggestion that SOA is absorbing. Since primary OC and EC are mostly emitted from the same source, EC is a good tracer of primary combustion-generated carbon emissions and the OC/EC ratio can be used as an indicator for the formation of SOA (Cheng et al., 2011). Time-resolved OC and EC data provide a better understanding of the dynamics of SOA formation. In this work, the pattern of MAE coincided well with OC/EC ratio, suggesting that SOA has a non-negligible absorption on polluted days. This also implies that our MAE values reported above are upper limits, and that the absorption contribution of EC is likely to be somewhat smaller.

The observed MAEs of PM$_{1.0}$ were 0.61, 0.75, and 0.60 m$^2 \cdot$g^{-1} for clear, slightly polluted and polluted days, respectively. At the same time, the EC fraction decreased with increasing pollution level (clear days: 0.48 $\pm$ 0.39 µg m^{-3} (4.3 ± 3.6 %), slightly polluted days: 1.18 ± 0.59 µg m^{-3} (4.0 ± 2.0 %) and polluted days: 2.72 ± 0.87 µg m^{-3} (3.6 ± 1.1%). As EC is the only absorbing species in the modified IMPROVE algorithm, the extinction coefficients reconstructed using equation (5) can be divided into two parts: absorption caused by EC and scattering caused by the other four groups. To compare with the measurement results, the reconstructed PM$_{1.0}$ mass concentration was used for the calculation of the reconstructed MSEs and MAEs of PM$_{1.0}$ particles (Fig. 11). The calculated MSEs were 1.64, 1.58 and 1.43 times larger than the observed MSEs for clear, slightly polluted and polluted days, respectively. In contrast, the calculated MAE values were 1.41, 1.67 and 1.54 times smaller than the experimental results. MSEs increased with increasing pollution level in both

experimental and calculated results (the differences in measured and calculated MSE values were

−1.53, −1.82, and −1.78 m^2 g^{-1}, respectively), whereas for MAEs, the experimental and calculated

values increased in slightly polluted conditions, but decreased under polluted conditions (the

differences in the measured and calculated values were 0.18, 0.30, and 0.21 m^2 g^{-1}, respectively).

Even though further improvement of the calculation method is necessary, the large difference of

MAE on slightly polluted days suggests aerosol absorption is incompletely represented. This

discrepancy may indicate the presence of other light absorbing components such as brown carbon,

BrC. Wang et al. (2013) have recently shown that BrC was the second-largest absorbing aerosol

constituent in Beijing (with a contribution of about 5 – 25%) and exhibits a clear seasonal variation

(dominates in late fall and winter, and extremely low in summer).

Period 6: Second traffic-dominated pollution period (08:00–11:10 LT 24 November)

This period corresponded to the morning rush hour on 24 November. The mean values of $\alpha_{ep,470}$, $\alpha_{sp,470}$ and $\alpha_{ap,470}$ were 80 ± 42, 64 ± 34 and 16 ± 8 Mm^{-1}, respectively, and were slightly larger than in the first traffic-dominated pollution period. The mean values of ω_{470} (0.78 ± 0.04), n and k (1.40 ± 0.04 and 0.04 ± 0.02) were comparable to the earlier traffic period. The mean diameter of the number and surface size distribution was 64 ± 12 and 226 ± 14 nm, respectively, and a larger n value was found. In this period, strong winds from the north transported clean air to the observation site, leading to lower particle concentrations and a greater influence of fresh local emissions.

4.2 3 Aerosol size distributions, optical properties, cChemical composition and light extinction apportionment on different air pollution days

Averages and standard deviations of the observed particle properties are summarized in Table 1 after grouping data into the different air quality classes. There are obvious differences of the campaign average number and surface size distribution of PM$_{1.0}$ for the three different pollution categories (Fig. 5a). For clear days, the mean particle number size distributions fitted by a mono-log normal function was around 41 nm. Bimodal distributions were observed on slightly polluted and polluted days with modes centered at 38 and 105 nm (slightly polluted periods) and at 38 and 151 nm (polluted periods). The mode of the number size distributions exhibits the expected displacement

Chemical composition

Table 1 and Fig. ~~5b~~ 68 show the mean mass concentration values and the mean percentile compositions of observed chemical compositions of $PM_{1.0}$ particles under different pollution levels. The contribution of sulfate, nitrate and ammonium to the observed $PM_{1.0}$ mass concentration increased strongly on polluted compared to clear days (from 34 to 44%). Similar trends were observed for $PM_{2.5}$ and NR-$PM_{1.0}$ during the January 2013 severe haze events in Beijing (~~Y. L.~~ Sun et al., 2014; Tao et al., 2015; G. J. Zheng et al., 2015).

On average, OM, nitrate, sulfate, ammonium, chloride and EC comprised 46.3% (13.3 ± 11.1 µg m^{-3}), 18.0% (5.2 ± 5.5 µg m^{-3}), 11.6% (3.3 ± 3.5 µg m^{-3}), 10.3% (3.0 ± 3.6 µg m^{-3}), 5.3% (1.5 ± 1.9 µg m^{-3}) and 3.9% (1.1 ± 0.9 µg m^{-3}) of observed $PM_{1.0}$, respectively. The organic mass was the largest proportion in $PM_{1.0}$ particles, and the contribution of nitrate was larger than that of sulfate. Compared to summer, the mass contribution of organics was significantly higher during winter, and primary organic aerosol dominated during the coal heating season (Sun et al., 2013). Huang et al. (2014) reported that secondary organic aerosol (SOA) contributed 26% of $PM_{2.5}$ in Beijing in the January 2013 severe haze events, and indicated the dominant effect of fossil fuel SOA formation in Beijing regions.

Compared to sulfate, the fractional composition of nitrate and ammonium aerosol increased under high levels of pollution. Similar increases in the proportion of secondary inorganic species, and particularly nitrates, have been observed during other haze episodes in the North China Plain and other Chinese megacities (Pathak et al., 2009; Jansen et al., 2014; Tian et al., 2015). Based on the relative abundances of nitrate and ammonium, these increases have been attributed to gas phase formation of nitrate from HNO_3 and NH_3. In our results, the molar ratios $[NO_3]/[SO_4]$ and $[NH_4]/[SO_4]$ fall between 2.1 to 2.5, and 3.0 to 5.2, respectively (based on the mean concentrations of these components), and are consistent with this explanation for an ammonium-rich regime (Pathak et al., 2009; Tian et al., 2015). It is worth noting that the increases in secondary inorganic species is not universal: some studies have reported haze episodes (or portions of such episodes) in which the

organic fraction increases substantially (Tian et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015). This difference likely reflects the relative importance of sources and atmospheric processing in both the gas and liquid phases during such events. (Tian et al., 2016). ~~The differences may be due to both photochemical and aqueous processing which are important in nitrate formation (Wang et al., 2015) and may play a more important role in sulfate formation during wintertime (Sun et al., 2013).~~

~~**Optical properties**~~

~~There was no obvious correlation between extensive optical properties and wind direction (Fig. S4). With increasing pollutant level, the extensive optical properties ($\alpha_{ep,470}$, $\alpha_{sp,470}$ and $\alpha_{ap,470}$) increased strongly, in accordance with the expected, strong dependence of particle size on light scattering. In contrast, changes in the intensive optical properties (ω_{470}) were more modest. The optical measurement data are presented as histograms of the relative frequency of occurrence of $\alpha_{ep,470}$, $\alpha_{sp,470}$, $\alpha_{ap,470}$ and ω_{470} (Fig. 6). Approximately 71% of extinction and scattering coefficients values were lower than 200 Mm^{-1} and nearly 80% of absorption coefficient values were located in the range of 1.5–50 Mm^{-1}. Approximately 90% of the ω_{470} values fell into the range of 0.70–0.97. Compared with polluted days, the frequency distribution of the SSA showed similar patterns, and the average values were similar on clear and slightly polluted days.~~

~~The Huairou site is a new suburban site at which aerosol optical properties have not previously been reported. To put our observations at Huairou in context, the scattering and absorption coefficients and SSA observed in this campaign are compared in Table 3 to those at other locations (urban, suburban, and rural sites). As would be expected given the high concentrations of particulate matter in much of China, the mean $\alpha_{sp,470}$ value at Huairou was considerably higher than values observed in America and Europe, including the Los Angeles basin measurements in Pasadena (Thompson et al., 2012) and the urban site of Granada (Titos et al., 2012). Within China, the Huairou values for scattering and absorption were higher than in Shanghai (Li et al., 2013) and similar to the urban site of Guangzhou in China (Garland et al., 2008). Compared with other non-urban polluted sites in China, both $\alpha_{sp,470}$ and $\alpha_{ap,470}$ at Huairou were lower than Xinken (Cheng et al., 2008), Yufa (Garland et al., 2009) and much lower than Xianghe (Li et al.,2007). Moreover, the $\alpha_{sp,470}$ values~~

26

were comparable to those observed at Shangdianzi, an atmospheric background site located ~150 km northeast of the urban center of Beijing (Yan et al., 2008). The average value of $\alpha_{ap,470}$ at Huairou was lower than those seen at other urban and suburban locations in China, with the exceptions of Guangzhou (reflecting the lower SSA values observed in Huairou) and the rural site of Shangdianzi.

The regional differences in SSA can be considered in terms of the different sources of particles, including local primary emissions, transport emissions and secondary aerosol formations. The average value of ω_{470} at Huairou was 0.80 ± 0.08, which was lower than suburban sites in Xinken (0.83 ± 0.05), Xianghe (0.81–0.85) and Yufa (0.86 ± 0.07), and rural sites in Shangdianzi (0.88 ± 0.05), and Pasadena (0.92 ± 0.08). Compared to urban sites, the Huairou SSA was similar to observations in Beijing (0.80 ± 0.09) (He et al., 2009), but considerably higher than in Shanghai (0.70 ± 0.07) (Li et al., 2013) and Granada (0.71 ± 0.07) (Titos et al., 2012). The lower SSA values probably arose from the higher contribution of vehicular emissions in Shanghai (Zhou et al., 2009) and both traffic emissions and a higher mass fraction of light absorbing particles caused by fuel-oil combustion in Granada (Titos et al., 2012).

The diurnal variations of hourly averaged extinction, scattering, absorption coefficient and SSA on clear, slightly polluted and polluted days are presented in Fig. 7. Broadly similar patterns were observed for the extensive optical properties for different pollutant levels. Extinction ($\alpha_{ep,470}$) and scattering ($\alpha_{sp,470}$) tended to be lower during daytime and higher at night. Emissions associated with morning rush hour are apparent in the aerosol optical properties. $\alpha_{ep,470}$ and $\alpha_{sp,470}$ increased slowly in the morning (07:00–09:00 LT) to peak values at 09:00 LT, indicating significant emission and formation of particles during this period; these properties then decreased slowly until about 14:00 LT. The maximum values of $\alpha_{ap,470}$ occurred during the traffic rush hour and could be attributed to direct emissions of light absorbing species from vehicles. We note that the increase in $\alpha_{ap,470}$ from 06:00 to the maximum at 08:00 to 09:00 LT varied from 10 to 20 Mm^{-1} and was quite consistent across different pollutant days. This observation suggests that the number and type of particles emitted during this time period is not strongly influenced by pre-existing pollutant levels; however, this is what would be expected for relatively constant daily emissions from traffic.

Chemical apportionment of aerosol optical properties

Over the past years, the chemical apportionment of α_{ep} or α_{sp} has been conducted in both urban and non-urban regions (Malm et al., 1994; Watson, 2002; Cheung et al., 2005; Malm and Hand, 2007; Yang et al., 2007; Tao et al., 2009; Cao et al., 2012; Han et al., 2014; Tao et al., 2014a; Cheng et al., 2015). Ammonium sulfate was generally the largest contributor to $PM_{2.5}$ or PM_{10} α_{ep}, with a range from 20–50%. However, fewer studies have been reported of the chemical contribution of different chemical components to the extinction of $PM_{1.0}$ under different pollution levels. ~~Studies in other Chinese megacities, such as Shanghai (Cheng et al., 2015) and Guangzhou (Tao et al., 2014b), and data from US monitoring sites show that the revised IMPROVE algorithm underestimates the $PM_{2.5}$ extinction under high aerosol loading but overestimates the values under low aerosol loading. The underestimation and overestimation ratios in different studies ranged from -11 to -26 % and +25 to +54 %, respectively (Cheng et al., 2015).~~

~~In this work, $\alpha_{ep,470}$ of $PM_{1.0}$ particles was reconstructed using the modified IMPROVE algorithm based on the measured concentrations of each composition (Fig. 8a), which correlated well with the measured $\alpha_{ep,470}$ ($R^2 = 0.96$) during this campaign (Fig. 8c). With improved mass extinction efficiencies, the agreement between the measured and calculated $PM_{1.0}$ extinctions is good (with a slope of 1.04 ± 0.04) when the measured extinction coefficient is lower than 300 Mm^{-1} (as shown in the insert of Fig. 8c). When the observed extinction coefficients are larger than 300 Mm^{-1}, the reconstructed values of the modified IMPROVE algorithm were 16% lower than observed values (calculated from the average of the ratios of the measured extinction to the reconstructed extinction for all points > 300 Mm^{-1}). The modified IMPROVE algorithm for $PM_{1.0}$ at $\lambda = 470$ nm represents the chemical apportionment of light extinction quite well. The reconstructed $PM_{1.0}$ mass concentration (Fig. 8b) using the modified IMPROVE algorithm was well correlated with the measured $PM_{1.0}$ mass concentration (the summation of the concentrations of eight water-soluble ion compositions and carbon concentration (including elemental carbon, [EC], and organic mass, 1.6×[OC])) ($R^2 = 0.99$, slope = 1.00, intercept = 0.28) (Fig. 8d), indicating that the modified IMPROVE algorithm can be used to estimate the chemical apportionment for extinction in this campaign.~~

In this work, ~~T~~the average fractional contributions of each chemical component of dry $PM_{1.0}$ extinction coefficient with respect to different pollution levels were calculated with the IMPROVE algorithm (Fig. 9).~~are shown in Fig. 9.~~ The optical extinction caused by OM, ammonium nitrate,

ammonium sulfate, elemental carbon and sea salt accounted for 57.9, 17.8, 12.5, 8.6 and 3.2 % of the reconstructed $PM_{1.0}$ extinction in this campaign, respectively. The contribution of chemical compositions to aerosol extinction depends mainly on their mass concentrations. The mass concentrations of OM, ammonium nitrate, ammonium sulfate, element carbon and sea salt accounted for 50.4, 21.2, 16.8, 4.2 and 7.4 % of the reconstructed $PM_{1.0}$ mass concentrations, respectively. The organic constituents comprised a large fraction of $PM_{1.0}$ mass concentration, consistent with previous studies (Yao et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2013), and —

~~OM~~ therefore made the largest contribution to the extinction of $PM_{1.0}$ particles in this study. The relative contribution of OM (58 %) to the total extinction reported here was comparable to that previously reported in Beijing (54 %) during an extreme haze episode in January 2013 for $PM_{1.0}$ scattering (Wang et al., 2015), and in Shenzhen (45 %) in the winter of 2009 for $PM_{2.5}$ extinction (Yao et al., 2010). The contribution of organics in Beijing increases significantly during the winter coal heating season (Sun et al., 2013). Emissions from the large number of vehicles in the mega-cities of China also contributes significantly to organic aerosol (Huang et al., 2014). From clear days to slightly polluted days, the relative contribution of organic mass to light extinction increased by 7 %, which may due to an increasing contribution of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) (Huang et al., 2014). The concentrations of nitrate and ammonium aerosol increased under high levels of pollution, and the relative contribution of ammonium nitrate to $PM_{1.0}$ light extinction increased by 6.7 % under these conditions ~~(Wang et al., 2015). Various anthropogenic emissions, especially coal consumption, biomass burning and vehicle exhaust, result in many sources of EC in the NCP. Although EC only comprised about 4 % of $PM_{1.0}$ mass concentration, it contributed appreciably to $PM_{1.0}$ extinction owing to its high absorption efficiency.~~

The parameterization of EC extinction in the modified IMPROVE algorithm is based on the optical properties of freshly emitted EC. However, Section 4.2 showed that, both in our work and in previous studies, EC absorption in ambient aerosols is enhanced several-fold. Not accounting for the effective absorption of EC will underestimate the extinction, as in indeed borne out in the correlation between calculated and measured extinctions (Fig. S3(c)). To address this issue, we investigated parameterizing the IMPROVE algorithm based on the absorption enhancement of 2.4-fold recently reported by Peng et al. (2016). The BC term in the modified IMPROVE function was changed as follows: (1) an absorption enhancement factor of 2.4 gave a new MAE value of 20.9 m^2 g^{-1} for BC at

$\lambda = 470$ nm; and (2) a scattering contribution from EC (based on an SSA of 0.2 (Bond and Bergstrom, 2006)), produced a MSE value of 2.18 m^2 g^{-1} for BC. The MEE term (10.8 m^2 g^{-1}) in the modified IMPROVE was replaced with the summation of the two parts (23 m^2 g^{-1} at $\lambda = 470$ nm). These changes raised the calculated aerosol extinction by 8% (Fig. S10), thereby improving the agreement with measurements. The deviation of the correlation between calculations and measurements from unity was reduced from 23% to 15%. The revised MEE of EC doubled the contribution of EC to the total aerosol extinction from 8.7% to 17% (Fig. S11). The SSA from the IMPROVE calculations accordingly decreased from 0.91 to 0.83, in much better agreement with the mean measured SSA of 0.80. We therefore recommend implementing these changes to the MEE of EC when applying the IMPROVE algorithm, particularly in polluted environments.

The contribution of EC to the total extinction is therefore considerable, despite contributing only 4% to the total aerosol mass. Major sources of EC in the NCP include coal consumption, biomass burning and vehicle exhaust. In the context of several haze episodes in the NCP, recent modeling studies have shown that EC, as the major absorbing component of aerosols, causes local atmospheric heating and thereby exacerbates aerosol pollution by reducing the planetary boundary layer height (Ding et al., 2016; Gao et al., 2015; Gao et al., 2016). The disproportionately large effect of EC observed in our study supports the suggestion in these papers that targeting the reduction of EC emissions will pay off both in improving air quality and reducing climate impacts.

4.3 MSE and MAE of $PM_{1.0}$

Figure 10 shows the relationship between the scattering and absorption coefficients and $PM_{1.0}$ mass concentrations under different pollution levels. The $PM_{1.0}$ mass concentrations were determined by multiplying the volume concentrations with the volume-weighted mass density of each filter sample (Hasan and Dzubay, 1983). The scattering and (to a lesser extent) the absorption coefficients were generally well correlated with the $PM_{1.0}$ mass concentrations and have small y-intercepts. (The larger deviation of the y-intercept on polluted days likely stems from the smaller number of low mass concentration observations on these days.)

The derived $PM_{1.0}$ average mass scattering and absorption efficiencies during the campaign were 3.60 and 0.70 m^2 g^{-1}, respectively (Fig. 10d). Statistical uncertainties from the slope of the regression

are misleadingly small and have been omitted in the reported MSE and MAE values. The MSE and MAE values are smaller than those observed by Garland et al. (2008) from suburban Guangzhou in July 2006 (where the $PM_{1.0}$ mass scattering and absorption efficiency calculated with assuming an average effective density of ammonium sulfate (1.7 g cm^{-3}) were 4.13 and 1.09 m^2 g^{-1}, respectively), the value of $PM_{2.5}$ at $\lambda = 520$ nm obtained in urban Beijing (4.8 m^2 g^{-1}) during wintertime (Tao et al., 2015), and in Chengdu and Guangzhou (3.9 m^2 g^{-1}) (Tao et al., 2014a, b). The derived mass extinction efficiency is 4.30 m^2 g^{-1}, which was comparable to the reported values during Aerosols 1999 (4.1–5.4 m^2 g^{-1}) (Quinn et al., 2001) and INDOEX 1999 (4.0–5.6 m^2 g^{-1}) (Quinn et al., 2002).

In this work, the MSE of $PM_{1.0}$ was 4.16 m^2 g^{-1} during polluted days, which was 34% higher than that during the slightly polluted days (3.11 m^2 g^{-1}) and 75% higher than that during the clear days (2.38 m^2 g^{-1}) (Fig. 10a–c). The variability in MSE is typically more dependent on mass size distribution than on density or refractive index (Hand and Malm, 2007). As shown in Fig. 5(a), the particle diameter increased significantly with high aerosol mass concentrations. The MSEs in this work increased consistently with pollution level, primarily because larger particles scatter light more efficiently. Cheng et al. (2015) showed that the MSEs of ammonium nitrate, ammonium sulfate and organic matter increased rapidly with increasing mass concentration at lower aerosol loadings, while the MSEs of ammonium nitrate and ammonium sulfate fluctuated in a narrow range under high aerosol loading conditions when the MSE of organic matter is slightly smaller. One recent study (Tao et al., 2015) showed that the MSE was determined by the proportions of the dominant chemical components and their size distributions under different pollution levels. The MSEs in this work increased consistently with the mass concentration of particles because of the relationship between size and light scattering efficiency.

The observed MAEs of $PM_{1.0}$ were 0.61, 0.75, and 0.60 m^2 g^{-1} for clear, slightly polluted and polluted days, respectively. At the same time, the EC fraction decreased with increasing pollution level (clear days: 0.48 $\pm$ 0.39 µg m^{-3} (4.3 $\pm$ 3.6 %), slightly polluted days: 1.18 $\pm$ 0.59 µg m^{-3} (4.0 $\pm$ 2.0 %) and polluted days: 2.72 $\pm$ 0.87 µg m^{-3} (3.6 $\pm$ 1.1%). As EC is the only absorbing species in the modified IMPROVE algorithm, the extinction coefficients reconstructed using equation (5) can be divided into two parts: absorption caused by EC and scattering caused by the other four groups. To compare with the measurement results, the reconstructed $PM_{1.0}$ mass concentration was used for the calculation of the reconstructed MSEs and MAEs of $PM_{1.0}$ particles (Fig. 11). The calculated MSEs

were 1.64, 1.58 and 1.43 times larger than the observed MSEs for clear, slightly polluted and polluted days, respectively. In contrast, the calculated MAE values were 1.41, 1.67 and 1.54 times smaller than the experimental results. MSEs increased with increasing pollution level in both experimental and calculated results (the differences in measured and calculated MSE values were -1.53, -1.82, and -1.78 $m^2 g^{-1}$, respectively), whereas for MAEs, the experimental and calculated values increased in slightly polluted conditions, but decreased under polluted conditions (the differences in the measured and calculated values were 0.18, 0.30, and 0.21 $m^2 g^{-1}$, respectively). Even though further improvement of the calculation method is necessary, the large difference of MAE on slightly polluted days suggests aerosol absorption is incompletely represented. This discrepancy may indicate the presence of other light absorbing components such as brown carbon, BrC. Wang et al. (2013) have recently shown that BrC was the second-largest absorbing aerosol constituent in Beijing (with a contribution of about 5 - 25%) and exhibits a clear seasonal variation (dominates in late fall and winter, and extremely low in summer).

5 Summary and conclusions

$PM_{1.0}$ optical properties and composition at a suburban site near Beijing were measured during the HOPE-J[3]A campaign. Six periods were investigated in detail to study the evolution of intensive optical properties and chemical composition in $PM_{1.0}$ during an extended haze episode. Our analysis reveals that the optical properties of aerosols change significantly during the evolution of haze.

New particle formation and primary emissions were apparent on clear days. The highest values of ω_{470} and the lowest values of imaginary part of the effective CRI suggest that particle extinction following new particle formation is dominated by scattering. During the formation of haze, both the size and number concentration of atmospheric fine particles increased, which increased the light scattering efficiency and the real component of the effective CRI. Traffic-dominated pollution periods were characterized by small, light absorbing particles (e.g., black carbon), leading to the lowest SSA and the highest imaginary component of the effective CRI. For the combined pollution period, the accumulation of original and newly discharged primary pollutants led to sharp variations in the aerosol size distribution and extensive optical properties.

The mass concentrations of sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium species were high during haze

32

episodes. Organic matter was consistently the dominant constituent by mass of the observed aerosols, and light extinction apportionment indicated that was it accordingly made the largest contribution to the extinction of $PM_{1.0}$. Under polluted conditions, the proportion of inorganic components, especially nitrate, was higher than under clean conditions and the contribution of inorganic components to visibility degradation was significant.

Under polluted conditions, the average MAE of EC were up to 4 times as large as the reference MAE value for freshly emitted BC. The temporal pattern of MAE values was similar to that of the OC/EC ratio, suggesting that some non-BC absorption from SOA also contributes to particle absorption. After considering the coating enhanced absorption by EC, agreement with measurements was improved with the new modified IMPROVE algorithm. Organic mass was the largest contributor (52%) to the total extinction of $PM_{1.0}$, while EC, despite its mass concentration being only 4%, contributed about 17%, to extinction. EC, owing to its high absorption efficiency, contributed appreciably to $PM_{1.0}$ extinction and should be a key target to air quality controls.

References

Abo Riziq, A., Erlick, C., Dinar, E., and Rudich, Y.: Optical properties of absorbing and non-absorbing aerosols retrieved by cavity ring down (CRD) spectroscopy, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 1523–1536, doi:10.5194/acp-7-1523-2007, 2007.

Anderson, T. L., Charlson, R. J., Schwartz, S. E., Knutti, R., Boucher, O., Rodhe, H., and Heintzenberg, J.: Atmospheric science. Climate forcing by aerosol – a hazy picture, Science, 300, 1103–1104, 2003.

Andersson, A., Deng, J., Du, K., Zheng, M., Yan, C., Skold, M., and Gustafsson, O.: Regionallyvarying combustion sources of the January 2013 severe haze events over eastern China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 49, 2038–2043, doi:10.1021/es503855e, 2015.

Andreae, M. O. and Gelencsér, A.: Black carbon or brown carbon? The nature of light-absorbing carbonaceous aerosols, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 3131-3148, doi:10.5194/acp-6-3131-2006, 2006.

Andreae, M.O., Schmid, O., Yang, H., Chand, D., Yu, J.Z., Zeng, L.-M., and Zhang, Y.-H.: Optical properties and chemical composition of the atmospheric aerosol in urban Guangzhou, China, Atmos. Environ., 42, 6335–6350, 2008.

Bahadur, R., Praveen, P. S., Xu, Y., and Ramanathan, V.: Solar absorption by elemental and brown carbon determined from spectral observations, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 109, 17366–17371, 2012.

Bates, T. S., Huebert, B. J., Gras, J. L., Griffiths, F. B., and Durkee, P. A.: International Global Atmospheric Chemistry (IGAC) project's first aerosol characterization experiment (ACE 1): overview, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 16297–16318, doi:10.1029/97jd03741, 1998.

Bates, T. S., Anderson, T. L., Baynard, T., Bond, T., Boucher, O., Carmichael, G., Clarke, A., Erlick, C., Guo, H., Horowitz, L., Howell, S., Kulkarni, S., Maring, H., McComiskey, A., Middlebrook, A., Noone, K., O'Dowd, C. D., Ogren, J., Penner, J., Quinn, P. K., Ravishankara, A. R., Savoie, D. L., Schwartz, S. E., Shinozuka, Y., Tang, Y., Weber, R. J., and Wu, Y.: Aerosol direct radiative effects over the northwest Atlantic, northwest Pacific, and North Indian Oceans: estimates based on in-situ chemical and optical measurements and chemical transport modeling, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 1657–1732, doi:10.5194/acp-6-1657-2006, 2006.

Bond, T. C., Doherty, S. J., Fahey, D. W., Forster, P. M., Berntsen, T., DeAngelo, B. J., Flanner, M. G., Ghan, S., Kärcher, B., Koch, D., Kinne, S., Kondo, Y., Quinn, P. K., Sarofim, M. C., Schultz, M. G., Schulz, M., Venkataraman, C., Zhang, H., Zhang, S., Bellouin, N., Guttikunda, S. K., Hopke, P. K., Jacobson, M. Z., Kaiser, J. W., Klimont, Z., Lohmann, U., Schwarz, J. P., Shindell, D., Storelvmo, T., Warren, S. G., and Zender C. S.: Bounding the role of black carbon in the climate system: A scientific assessment, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118, 5380 - 5552, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50171, 2013.

Bond, T. C., Habib, G., and Bergstrom, R. W.: Limitations in the enhancement of visible light absorption due to mixing state, J. Geophys. Res., 111(D20), 211, doi:10.1029/2006JD007315, 2006.

Cahill, J. F., Suski, K., Seinfeld, J. H., Zaveri, R. A., and Prather, K. A.: The mixing state of carbonaceous aerosol particles in northern and southern California measured during CARES and CalNex 2010, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 10989–11002, doi:10.5194/acp-12-10989-2012, 2012.

Cao, J., Chow, J. C., Lee, F. S. C., and Watson, J. G.: Evolution of $PM_{2.5}$ measurements and standards in the US and future perspectives for china, Aerosol Air Qual. Res., 13, 1197–1211, 2013.

Cao, J. J., Wang, Q. Y., Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Tie, X. X., Shen, Z. X., Wang, P., and An, Z. S.: Impacts of aerosol compositions on visibility impairment in Xi'an, China, Atmos. Environ., 59,

559–566, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.05.036, 2012.

Cappa, C. D., Onasch, T. B., Massoli, P., Worsnop, D. R., Bates, T. S., Cross, E. S., Davidovits, P., Hakala, J., Hayden, K. L., Jobson, B. T., Kolesar, K. R., Lack, D. A., Lerner, B. M., S. M., Mellon, D., Nuaaman, I., Olfert, J. S., Petäjä, T., Quinn, P. K., Song, C., Subramanian, R., Williams, E. J., and Zaveri, R. A. : Radiative absorption enhancements due to the mixing state of atmospheric black carbon, Science, 337, 1078 - 1081, doi:10.1126/science.1223447, 2012.

Chan, T. W., Brook, J. R., Smallwood, G. J., and Lu, G.: Time resolved measurements of black carbon light absorption enhancement in urban and near-urban locations of southern Ontario, Canada, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 10407 - 10432, doi:10.5194/acp-11-10407-2011, 2011.

Cheng, Y., He, K.-B., Zheng, M., Duan, F.-K., Du, Z.-Y., Ma, Y.-L., Tan, J.-H., Yang, F.-M., Liu, J.-M., Zhang, X.-L., Weber, R. J., Bergin, M. H., and Russell, A. G.: Mass absorption efficiency of elemental carbon and water-soluble organic carbon in Beijing, China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 11497-11510, doi:10.5194/acp-11-11497-2011, 2011.

Cheng, Y., Engling, G., Moosmüller, H., Arnott, W.P., Chen, L.W.A., Wolde, C. E., Min Hao, W.M., and He, K.B.: Light absorption by biomass burning source emissions, Atmos. Environ., 127, 347 - 354, 2016.

Cheng, Y. F., Wiedensohler, A., Eichler, H., Su, H., Gnauk, T., Brüggemann, E., Herrmann, H., Heintzenberg, J., Slanina, J., Tuch, T., Hu, M., and Zhang, Y. H.: Aerosol optical properties and related chemical apportionment at Xinken in Pearl River Delta of China, Atmos. Environ., 30 42, 6351–6372, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.02.034, 2008.

Cheng, Z., Jiang, J., Chen, C., Gao, J., Wang, S., Watson, J. G., Wang, H., Deng, J., Wang, B., Zhou, M., Chow, J. C., Pitchford, M. L., and Hao, J.: Estimation of aerosol mass scattering efficiencies under high mass loading: case study for the megacity of Shanghai, China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 49, 831–838, doi:10.1021/es504567q, 2015.

Cheung, H. C., Wang, T., Baumann, K., and Guo, H.: Influence of regional pollution outflow on the concentrations of fine particulate matter and visibility in the coastal area of southern China, Atmos. Environ., 39, 6463–6474, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.07.033, 2005.

Chu, D. A., Kaufman, Y. J., Zibordi, G., Chern, J. D., Mao, J. T., Li, C. C., and Holben, B. N.: Global monitoring of air pollution over land from the Earth Observing System-Terra Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS), J. Geophys. Res.,108(D21), 4661, 2003.

Claeys, M., Vermeylen, R., Yasmeen, F., Gómez-González, Y., Chi, C., Maenhaut, W., Mészáros, T., and Salma, I.: Chemical characterisation of humic-like substances from urban, rural and tropical biomass burning environments using liquid chromatography with UV/vis photodiode array detection and electrospray ionisation mass spectrometry, Environ. Chem., 9, 273-284, 2012.

Cui, X., Wang, X., Yang, L., Chen, B., Chen, J., Andersson, A, and Gustafsson, Ö. : Radiative absorption enhancement from coatings on black carbon aerosols, Sci. Total Environ. 551-552, 51 - 56, 2016.

Di Lorenzo, R. A., and Young C. J.: Size separation method for absorption characterization in brown carbon: Application to an aged biomass burning sample, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43, 458–465, doi:10.1002/2015GL066954, 2016.

Dinar, E., Abo Riziq, A., Spindler, C., Erlick, C., Kiss, G., and Rudich, Y.: The complex refractive index of atmospheric and model humic-like substances (HULIS) retrieved by a cavity ring down aerosol spectrometer (CRD-AS), Faraday Discuss., 137, 279–295, 2008.

Ding, A. J., Huang, X., Nie, W., Sun, J. N., Kerminen, V.-M., Petäjä, T., Su, H., Cheng, Y. F., Yang, X.-Q., Wang, M. H., Chi, X. G., Wang, J. P., Virkkula, A., Guo, W. D., Yuan, J., Wang, S. Y., Zhang, R. J., Wu, Y. F., Song, Y., Zhu, T., Zilitinkevich, S., Kulmala, M., and Fu, C. B.: Enhanced haze pollution by black carbon in megacities in China, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43, 2873 - 2879, doi:10.1002/2016GL067745, 2016.

Doherty, S. J., Quinn, P. K., Jefferson, A., Carrico, C. M., Anderson, T. L., and Hegg, D.: A comparison and summary of aerosol optical properties as observed in situ from aircraft, ship, and land during ACE-Asia, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D04201, doi:10.1029/2004jd004964, 2005.

Eldering, A., Ogren, J. A., Chowdhury, Z., Hughes, L. S., and Cass, G. R.: Aerosol optical properties during INDOEX based on measured aerosol particle size and composition, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 8001, doi:10.1029/2001jd001572, 2002.

Fiedler, S. E., Hese, A., and Ruth, A. A.: Incoherent broad-band cavity-enhanced absorption spectroscopy, Chem. Phys. Lett., 371, 284–294, 2003.

Gao, Y., Zhang, M., Liu, Z., Wang, L., Wang, P., Xia, X., Tao, M., and Zhu, L.: Modeling the feedback between aerosol and meteorological variables in the atmospheric boundary layer during a severe fog–haze event over the North China Plain, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 4279-4295, doi:10.5194/acp-15-4279-2015, 2015.

Gao, M., Carmichael, G. R., Wang, Y., Saide, P. E., Yu, M., Xin, J., Liu, Z., and Wang, Z.: Modeling study of the 2010 regional haze event in the North China Plain, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 1673-1691, doi:10.5194/acp-16-1673-2016, 2016.

Garland, R. M., Yang, H., Schmid, O., Rose, D., Nowak, A., Achtert, P., Wiedensohler, A., Takegawa, N., Kita, K., Miyazaki, Y., Kondo, Y., Hu, M., Shao, M., Zeng, L. M., Zhang, Y. H., Andreae, M. O., and Pöschl, U.: Aerosol optical properties in a rural environment near the mega-city Guangzhou, China: implications for regional air pollution, radiative forcing and remote sensing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 5161–5186, doi:10.5194/acp-8-5161-2008, 2008.

Garland, R. M., Schmid, O., Nowak, A., Achtert, P., Wiedensohler, A., Gunthe, S. S., Takegawa, N., Kita, K., Kondo, Y., Hu, M., Shao, M., Zeng, L. M., Zhu, T., Andreae, M. O., and Pöschl, U.: Aerosol optical properties observed during Campaign of Air Quality Research in Beijing 2006 (CAREBeijing-2006): characteristic di_erences between the inflow and outflow of Beijing city air, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D00g04, doi:10.1029/2008jd010780, 2009.

Gustafssona, Ö., and Ramanathan, V.: Convergence on climate warming by black carbon aerosols, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1603570113, 2016.

Guo, S., Hu, M., Zamora, M. L., Peng, J., Shang, D., Zheng, J., Du, Z., Wu, Z., Shao, M., Zeng, L., Molina, M. J., and Zhang, R.: Elucidating severe urban haze formation in China, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 111, 17373–17378, 2014.

Han, B., Zhang, R., Yang, W., Bai, Z., Ma, Z., and Zhang, W.: Heavy air pollution episodes in Beijing during January 2013: inorganic ion chemistry and source analysis using Highly Time-Resolved Measurements in an urban site, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 15, 11111–11141, doi:10.5194/acpd-15-11111-2015, 2015.

Han, L., Zhou, W., and Li, W.: Increasing impact of urban fine particles ($PM_{2.5}$) on areas surrounding Chinese cities, Scientific Reports, 5, 12467, doi:10.1038/srep12467, 2015.

Han, T. T., Liu, X. G., Zhang, Y. H., Qu, Y., Gu, J. W., Ma, Q., Lu, K. D., Tian, H. Z., Chen, J., Zeng, L. M., Hu, M., and Zhu, T.: Characteristics of aerosol optical properties and their chemical apportionments during CAREBeijing 2006, Aerosol Air Qual. Res., 14, 1431–1442,

2014.

Hand, J. L., and Malm, W. C.: Review of aerosol mass scattering efficiencies from ground-based measurements since 1990, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D16203, doi:10.1029/2007JD008484, 2007.

Hasan, H. and Dzubay, T. G.: Apportioning light extinction coefficients to chemical species in atmospheric aerosol, Atmos. Environ., 17, 1573–1581, 1983.

He, X., Li, C. C., Lau, A. K. H., Deng, Z. Z., Mao, J. T., Wang, M. H., and Liu, X. Y.: An intensive study of aerosol optical properties in Beijing urban area, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 8903–8915, doi:10.5194/acp-9-8903-2009, 2009.

Huang, R. J., Zhang, Y., Bozzetti, C., Ho, K.-F., Cao, J., Han, Y., Dällenbach, K. R., Slowik, J. G., Platt, S. M., Canonaco, F., Zotter, P., Wolf, R., Pieber, S. M., Bruns, E. A., Crippa, M., Ciarelli, G., Piazzalunga, A., Schwikowski, M., Abbaszade, G., Schnelle-Kreis, J., Zimmermann, R., An, Z., Szidat, S., Baltensperger, U., Haddad, I. E., and Prévôt, A. S. H.: High secondary aerosol contribution to particulate pollution during haze events in China, Nature, 514, 218–222, 2014.

Jansen, R.C., Shi, Y., Chen, J., Hu, Y., Xu, C., Hong, S., Li, J., and Zhang, M.: Using hourly measurements to explore the role of secondary inorganic aerosol in $PM_{2.5}$ during haze and fog in Hangzhou, China, Adv. Atmos. Sci., 31, 1427 - 1434, 2014.

Jung, J., Lee, H., Kim, Y. J., Liu, X. G., Zhang, Y. H., Hu, M., and Sugimoto, N.: Optical properties of atmospheric aerosols obtained by in situ and remote measurements during 2006 Campaign of Air Quality Research in Beijing (CAREBeijing-2006), J. Geophys. Res., 114, D00g02, doi:10.1029/2008jd010337, 2009.

Knox, A., Evans, G. J., Brook, J. R., Yao, X., Jeong, C. H., Godri, K. J., Sabaliauskas, K., and Slowik, J. G. : Mass absorption cross-section of ambient black carbon aerosol in relation to chemical age, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 43, 522 - 532, 2009.

Lack, D. A., Langridge, J. M., Bahreini, R., Cappa, C. D., Middlebrook, A. M., and Schwarz, J. P. : Brown carbon and internal mixing in biomass burning particles, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, 109, 14802 - 14807, 2012.

Lan, Z.-J., Huang, X.-F., Yu, K.-Y., Sun, T.-L., Zeng, L.-W., and Hu, M.: Light absorption of black carbon aerosol and its enhancement by mixing state in an urban atmosphere in South China, Atmos. Environ., 69, 118 - 123, 2013.

Lei, Y., Zhang, Q., He, K. B., and Streets, D. G.: Primary anthropogenic aerosol emission trends for China, 1990–2005, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 931–954, doi:10.5194/acp-11-931-2011, 2011.

Lim, H.-J., and Turpin B. J. : Origins of primary and secondary organic aerosol in Atlanta: Results of time-resolved measurements during the Atlanta supersite experiment, Environ. Sci. Technol., 36, 4489–4496, 2002.

Lin, P., Hu, M., Deng, Z., Slanina, J., Han, S., Kondo, Y., Takegawa, N., Miyazaki, Y., Zhao, Y., and Sugimoto N. : Seasonal and diurnal variations of organic carbon in $PM_{2.5}$ in Beijing and the estimation of secondary organic carbon, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D00G11, doi:10.1029/2008JD010902, 2009.

Liu, S., Aiken, A.C., Gorkowski, K., Dubey, M.K., Cappa, C.D., Williams, L.R., Herndon, S.C., Massoli, P., Fortner, E.C., Chhabra, P.S., Brooks, W.A., Onasch, T.B., Jayne, J.T., Worsnop, D.R., China, S., Sharma, N., Mazzoleni, C., Xu, L., Ng, N.L., Liu, D., Allan, J.D., Lee, J.D., Fleming, Z.L., Mohr, C., Zotter, P., Szidat, S., and Prevot, A.S.H.: Enhanced light absorption by mixed source black and brown carbon particles in UK winter, Nat. Commun., 6, 8435,

2015.

Liu, X. G., Li, J., Qu, Y., Han, T., Hou, L., Gu, J., Chen, C., Yang, Y., Liu, X., Yang, T., Zhang, Y., Tian, H., and Hu, M.: Formation and evolution mechanism of regional haze: a case study in the megacity Beijing, China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 4501–4514, doi:10.5194/acp-13-4501-2013, 2013.

Li, C., Marufu, L. T., Dickerson, R. R., Li, Z., Wen, T., Wang, Y., Wang, P., Chen, H., and Stehr, J.W.: In situ measurements of trace gases and aerosol optical properties at a rural site in northern China during East Asian Study of Tropospheric Aerosols: an international regional experiment 2005, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D22S04, doi:10.1029/2006jd007592, 2007.

Li, L., Chen, J. M., Wang, L., Melluki, W., and Zhou, H. R.: Aerosol single scattering albedo affected by chemical composition: an investigation using CRDS combined with MARGA, Atmos. Res., 124, 149–157, doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2012.11.007, 2013.

Lyamani, H., Olmo, F. J., and Alados-Arboledas, L.: Physical and optical properties of aerosols over an urban location in Spain: seasonal and diurnal variability, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 239–254, doi:10.5194/acp-10-239-2010, 2010.

Mack, L. A., Levin, E. J. T., Kreidenweis, S. M., Obrist, D., Moosmüller, H., Lewis, K. A., Arnott, W. P., McMeeking, G. R., Sullivan, A. P., Wold, C. E., Hao, W.-M., Collett Jr., J. L., and Malm, W. C.: Optical closure experiments for biomass smoke aerosols, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 9017–9026, doi:10.5194/acp-10-9017-2010, 2010.

Malm, W. C. and Hand, J. L.: An examination of the physical and optical properties of aerosols collected in the IMPROVE program, Atmos. Environ., 41, 3407–3427, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.12.012, 2007.

Malm, W. C., Sisler, J. F., Huffman, D., Eldred, R. A., and Cahill, T. A.: Spatial and seasonal trends in particle concentration and optical extinction in the United States, J. Geophys. Res., 99, 1347–1370, doi:10.1029/93jd02916, 1994.

Marley, N. A., Gaffney, J. S., Castro, T., Salcido, A., and Frederick, J.: Measurements of aerosol absorption and scattering in the Mexico City Metropolitan Area during the MILAGRO field campaign: a comparison of results from the T0 and T1 sites, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 189–206, doi:10.5194/acp-9-189-2009, 2009.

Moise, T., Flores, J.M., and Rudich, Y.: Optical Properties of Secondary Organic Aerosols and Their Changes by Chemical Processes, Chem. Rev., 115, 4400 - 4439, 2015.

Nakayama, T., Sato, K., Matsumi, Y., Imamura, T., Yamazaki, A., and Uchiyama, A.: Wavelength and NOx dependent complex refractive index of SOAs generated from the photooxidation of toluene, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 531-545, doi:10.5194/acp-13-531-2013, 2013.

Nel, A.: Atmosphere. Air pollution-related illness: effects of particles, Science, 308, 804–806, 2005.

Peng, J., Hua, M., Guo, S., Du, Z., Zheng, J., Shang, D., Zamora, M. L., Zeng, L., Shao, M., Wu, Y.-S., Zheng, J., Wang, Y., Glen, C. R., Collins, D. R., Molina, M. J., and Zhang, R. : Markedly enhanced absorption and direct radiative forcing of black carbon under polluted urban environments, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1602310113, 2016.

Peterson, M. R. and Richards, M. H.: Thermal-optical-transmittance analysis for organic, elemental, carbonate, total carbon, and OCX2 in $PM_{2.5}$ by the EPA/NIOSH method, in: Proceedings, Symposium on Air Quality Measurement Methods and Technology-2002, San Fran- cisco, California, 13–15 November 2002, edited by: Winegar, E. D. and Tropp, R. J., Air and Waste Management Association, Pittsburgh, PA, 83-1–83-19, 2002.

Pettersson, A., Lovejoy, E. R., Brock, C. A., Brown, S. S., and Ravishankara, A. R.: Measurement of aerosol optical extinction at with pulsed cavity ring down spectroscopy, J. Aerosol Sci., 35, 995–1011, 2004.

Pitchford, M., Malm, W., Schichtel, B., Kumar, N., Lowenthal, D., and Hand, J.: Revised algorithm for estimating light extinction from IMPROVE particle speciation data, J. Air Waste Manage., 57, 1326–1336, doi:10.3155/1047-3289.57.11.1326, 2007.

Quan, J. N., Tie, X., Zhang, Q., Liu, Q., Li, X., Gao, Y., and Zhao, D. L.: Characteristics of heavy aerosol pollution during the 2012–2013 winter in Beijing, China, Atmos. Environ., 88, 83–89, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.01.058, 2014.

Quinn, P. K.: Aerosol optical properties measured on board the Ronald H. Brownduring ACEAsia as a function of aerosol chemical composition and source region, J. Geophys. Res.- Atmos, 109, D19S01, doi:10.1029/2003JD004010, 2004.

Quinn, P. K., Coffman, D. J., Bates, T. S., Miller, T. L., Johnson, J. E., Voss, K., Welton, E. J., and Neususs, C.: Dominant aerosol chemical components and their contribution to extinction during the aerosols cruise across the Atlantic, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos, 106, 20783–20809, doi:10.1029/2000jd900577, 2001.

Quinn, P. K., Coffman, D. J., Bates, T. S., Miller, T. L., Johnson, J. E., Welton, E. J., Neususs, C., Miller, M., and Sheridan, P. J.: Aerosol optical properties during INDOEX 1999: means, variability, and controlling factors, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos, 107, 8020, doi:10.1029/2000jd000037, 2002.

Raes, F., Bates, T., McGovern, F., and Van Liedekerke, M.: The 2nd Aerosol Characterization Experiment (ACE-2): general overview and main results, Tellus B, 52, 111–125, doi:10.1034/j.1600-0889.2000.00124.x, 2000. Ramanathan, V., Crutzen, P. J., Kiehl, J. T., and Rosenfeld, D.: Aerosols, climate, and the hydrological cycle, Science, 294, 2119–2124, 2001.

Ramanathan, V., Crutzen, P. J., Kiehl, J. T., and Rosenfeld, D.: Aerosols, Climate and the Hydrological Cycle, Science, 294, 2119–2124, 2001.

Ryerson, T. B., Andrews, A. E., Angevine, W. M., Bates, T. S., Brock, C. A., Cairns, B., Cohen, R. C., Cooper, O. R., de Gouw, J. A., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Ferrare, R. A., Fischer, M. L., Flagan, R. C., Goldstein, A. H., Hair, J. W., Hardesty, R. M., Hostetler, C. A., Jimenez, J. L., Langford, A. O., McCauley, E., McKeen, S. A., Molina, L. T., Nenes, A., Oltmans, S. J., Parrish, D. D., Pederson, J. R., Pierce, R. B., Prather, K., Quinn, P. K., Seinfeld, J. H., Senff, C. J., Sorooshian, A., Stutz, J., Surratt, J. D., Trainer, M., Volkamer, R., Williams, E. J., and Wofsy, S. C.: The 2010 California Research at the Nexus of Air Quality and Climate Change (CalNex) field study, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 5830–5866, doi:10.1002/Jgrd.50331, 2013.

Sun, K., Qu, Y.,Wu, Q., Han, T. T., Gu, J.W., Zhao, J. J., Sun, Y. L., Jiang, Q., Gao, Z. Q., Hu, M., Zhang, Y. H., Lu, K. 5 D., Nordmann, S., Cheng, Y. F., Hou, L., Ge, H., Furuuchi, M., Hata, M., and Liu, X. G.: Chemical characteristics of size-resolved aerosols in winter in Beijing, J. Environ. Sci., 26, 1641–1650, 2014.

Sun, Y. L., Wang, Z. F., Fu, P. Q., Yang, T., Jiang, Q., Dong, H. B., Li, J., and Jia, J. J.: Aerosol composition, sources and processes during wintertime in Beijing, China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 4577–4592, doi:10.5194/acp-13-4577-2013, 2013.

Sun, Y. L., Jiang, Q., Wang, Z. F., Fu, P. Q., Li, J., Yang, T., and Yin, Y.: Investigation of the sources and evolution processes of severe haze pollution in Beijing in January 2013, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos, 119, 4380–4398, doi:10.1002/2014jd021641, 2014.

Sun, Y. L.,Wang, Z. F., Du,W., Zhang, Q.,Wang, Q. Q., Fu, P. Q., Pan, X. L., Li, J., Jayne, J., and Worsnop, D. R.: Long-term real-time measurements of aerosol particle composition in Beijing, China: seasonal variations, meteorological effects, and source analysis, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 15, 14549–14591, doi:10.5194/acpd-15-14549-2015, 2015.

Takegawa, N., Miyakawa, T., Kuwata, M., Kondo, Y., Zhao, Y., Han, S., Kita, K., Miyazaki, Y., Deng, Z., Xiao, R., Hu, M., van Pinxteren, D., Herrmann, H., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Wahner, A., Blake, D. R., Sugimoto, N., and Zhu, T.: Variability of submicron aerosol observed at a rural site in Beijing in the summer of 2006, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D00g05, doi:10.1029/2008jd010857, 2009.

Tao, J., Ho, K. F., Chen, L. G., Zhu, L. H., Han, J. L., and Xu, Z. C.: Effect of chemical composition of PM2.5 on visibility in Guangzhou, China, 2007 spring, Partic, 7, 68–75, doi:10.1016/j.partic.2008.11.002, 2009.

Tao, J., Zhang, L., Cao, J., Hsu, S.-C., Xia, X., Zhang, Z., Lin, Z., Cheng, T., and Zhang, R.: Characterization and source apportionment of aerosol light extinction in Chengdu, southwest China, Atmos. Environ., 95, 552–562, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.07.017, 2014a.

Tao, J., Zhang, L., Ho, K., Zhang, R., Lin, Z., Zhang, Z., Lin, M., Cao, J., Liu, S., and Wang, G.: Impact of PM2.5 chemical compositions on aerosol light scattering in Guangzhou-the largest megacity in South China, Atmos. Res., 135, 48–58, doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2013.08.015, 2014b.

Tao, J., Zhang, L. 5 M., Gao, J., Wang, H., Chai, F. H., Wang, S. L.: Aerosol chemical composition and light scattering during a winter season in Beijing, Atmos. Environ., 110, 36–44, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.03.037, 2015.

Tian, M., Wang, H. B., Chen, Y., Yang, F. M., Zhang, X. H., Zou, Q., Zhang, R. Q., Ma, Y. L., and He, K. B.: Characteristics of aerosol pollution during heavy haze events in Suzhou, China, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 15, 33407-33443, doi:10.5194/acpd-15-33407-2015, 2015.

Tian, S. L., Pan, Y. P., and Wang, Y. S.: Size-resolved source apportionment of particulate matter in urban Beijing during haze and non-haze episodes, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 1-19, doi:10.5194/acp-16-1-2016, 2016.

Thompson, J. E., Hayes, P. L., Jimenez, J. L., Adachi, K., Zhang, X., Liu, J., Weber, R. J., and Buseck, P. R.: Aerosol optical properties at Pasadena, CA during CalNex 2010, Atmos. Environ., 55, 190–200, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.03.011, 2012.

Titos, G., Foyo-Moreno, I., Lyamani, H., Querol, X., Alastuey, A., and Alados-Arboledas, L.: Optical properties and chemical composition of aerosol particles at an urban location: an estimation of the aerosol mass scattering and absorption effciencies, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D04206, doi:10.1029/2011jd016671, 2012.

Turpin, B. J. and Lim, H. J.: Species contributions to PM2.5 mass concentrations: revisiting common assumptions for estimating organic mass, Aerosol Sci. Tech., 35, 602–610, doi:10.1080/02786820119445, 2001.

Varma, R., Moosmüller, H., and Arnott, W. P.: Toward an ideal integrating nephelometer, Opt. Lett., 28, 1007–1009, 2003.

Wang, L., Li, Z., Tian, Q., Ma, Y., Zhang, F., Zhang, Y., Li, D., Li, K., and Li L.: Estimate of aerosol absorbing components of black carbon, brown carbon, and dust from ground-based remote sensing data of sun-sky radiometers, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118, 6534–6543, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50356, 2013.

Wang, Q., Huang, R.-J., Cao, J., Han, Y., Wang, G., Li, G., Wang, Y., Dai, W., Zhang, R., and Zhou, Y.: Mixing state of black carbon aerosol in a heavily polluted urban area of China: implications for light absorption enhancement, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 48, 689 - 697, 2014.

Wang, X., Huang, J., Zhang, R., Chen, B., and Bi, J.: Surface measurements of aerosol properties over northwest China during ARM China 2008 deployment, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D00K27, doi:10.1029/2009JD013467, 2010.

Wang, X. F., Wang, T., Pathak, R. K., Hallquist, M., Gao, X. M., Nie, W., Xue, L. K., Gao, J., Gao, R., Zhang, Q. Z., Wang, W. X., Wang, S. L., Chai, F. H., and Chen, Y. Z.: Size distributions of aerosol sulfates and nitrates in Beijing during the 2008 Olympic Games: impacts of pollution control measures and regional transport, Adv. Atmos. Sci., 30, 341–353, 2013.

Wang, Y. H., Liu, Z. R., Zhang, J. K., Hu, B., Ji, D. S., Yu, Y. C., and Wang, Y. S.: Aerosol physicochemical properties and implications for visibility during an intense haze episode during winter in Beijing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 3205–3215, doi:10.5194/acp-15-3205-2015, 2015.

Watson, J. G.: Visibility: science and regulation, J. Air Waste Manage., 52, 628–713, 2002.

Wu, Q. Z., Wang, Z. F., Gbaguidi, A., Gao, C., Li, L. N., and Wang, W.: A numerical study of contributions to air pollution in Beijing during CAREBeijing-2006, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 5997–6011, doi:10.5194/acp-11-5997-2011, 2011.

Wu, Z. J., Zheng, J., Shang, D. J., Du, Z. F., Wu, Y. S., Zeng, L. M., Wiedensohler, A., and Hu, M.: Particle hygroscopicity and its link to chemical composition in the urban atmosphere of Beijing, China during summertime, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 15, 11495–11524, doi:10.5194/acpd-15-11495-2015, 2015.

Xu, J., Ma, J. Z., Zhang, X. L., Xu, X. B., Xu, X. F., Lin,W. L.,Wang, Y., Meng,W., and Ma, Z. Q.: Measurements of ozone and its precursors in Beijing during summertime: impact of urban plumes on ozone pollution in downwind rural areas, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 12241–12252, doi:10.5194/acp-11-12241-2011, 2011.

Xu, X., Zhao, W., Wang, S., Zhang, Q., Qian, X., Fang, B., Venables, D. S., Chen, W., Gao, X., and Zhang,W.: Retrieval of the particulate complex refractive index by using cavity enhanced aerosol albedometer, in preparation, 2016.

Yan, P., Tang, J., Huang, J., Mao, J. T., Zhou, X. J., Liu, Q., Wang, Z. F., and Zhou, H. G.: The measurement of aerosol optical properties at a rural site in Northern China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 2229 2242, doi:10.5194/acp 8 2229 2008, 2008.

Yang, L. X., Wang, D. C., Cheng, S. H., Wang, Z., Zhou, Y., Zhou, X. H., and Wang, W. X.: Influence of meteorological conditions and particulate matter on visual range impairment in Jinan, China, Sci. Total Environ., 383, 164–173, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2007.04.042, 2007.

Yang, Y. R., Liu, X. G., Qu, Y., An, J. L., Jiang, R., Zhang, Y. H., Sun, Y. L., Wu, Z. J., Zhang, F., Xu, W. Q., and Ma, Q. X.: Characteristics and formation mechanism of continuous hazes in China: a case study during the autumn of 2014 in the North China Plain, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 8165 8178, doi:10.5194/acp 15 8165 2015, 2015.

Yao, T., Huang, X., He, L., Hu, M., Sun, T., Xue, L., Lin, Y., Zeng, L., and Zhang, Y.: High time resolution observation and statistical analysis of atmospheric light extinction properties and the chemical speciation of fine particulates, Sci. China Chem., 53, 1801–1808, doi:10.1007/s11426-010-4006-z, 2010.

Zhang, A., Qi, Q. W., Jiang, L. L., Zhou, F., and Wang, J. F.: Population exposure to PM2.5 in the

urban area of Beijing, PLoS One, 8, e63486, 2013.

Zhang, H., Hu, D., Chen, J., Ye, X., Wang, X., Hao, J., Wang, L., Zhang, R., and An, Z.: Particle size distribution and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons emissions from agricultural crop residue burning, Environ. Sci. Technol., 45, 5477–5482, 2011.

Zhang, X., Lin, Y. H., Surratt, J. D., and Weber, R. J.: Sources, composition and absorption Angstrom exponent of light-absorbing organic components in aerosol extracts from the Los Angeles Basin, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47, 3685–3693, doi:10.1021/es305047b, 2013.

Zhang, Y. H., Hu, M., Zhong, L. J., Wiedensohler, A., Liu, S. C., Andreae, M. O., Wang, W., and Fan, S. J.: Regional Integrated Experiments on Air Quality over Pearl River Delta 2004 (PRIDE-PRD2004): overview, Atmos. Environ., 42, 6157–6173, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.03.025, 2008.

Zhao, W., Dong, M., Chen, W., Gu, X., Hu, C., Gao, X., Huang, W., and Zhang, W.: Wavelengthresolved optical extinction measurements of aerosols using broad-band cavity-enhanced absorption spectroscopy over the spectral range of 445–480 nm, Anal. Chem., 85, 2260–2268, doi:10.1021/ac303174n, 2013.

Zhao, W., Xu, X., Dong, M., Chen, W., Gu, X., Hu, C., Huang, Y., Gao, X., Huang, W., and Zhang, W.: Development of a cavity-enhanced aerosol albedometer, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 7, 2551–2566, doi:10.5194/amt-7-2551-2014, 2014a.

Zhao, W., Xu, X., Dong, M., Chen, W., Gao, X., Huang, W., and Zhang, W.: Development of a cavity-enhanced albedometer for simultaneous measurement of aerosol extinction and scattering coefficients, in imaging and applied optics 2014, OSA Technical Digest (online) (Optical Society of America), paper JTu4A.43, 2014b.

Zhao, X. J., Zhao, P. S., Xu, J., Meng, W., Pu, W. W., Dong, F., He, D., and Shi, Q. F.: Analysis of a winter regional haze event and its formation mechanism in the North China Plain, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 5685–5696, doi:10.5194/acp-13-5685-2013, 2013.

Zheng, G. J., Duan, F. K., Su, H., Ma, Y. L., Cheng, Y., Zheng, B., Zhang, Q., Huang, T., Kimoto, T., Chang, D., Pöschl, U., Cheng, Y. F., and He, K. B.: Exploring the severe winter haze in Beijing: the impact of synoptic weather, regional transport and heterogeneous reactions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 2969–2983, doi:10.5194/acp-15-2969-2015, 2015.

Zheng, S., Pozzer, A., Cao, C. X., and Lelieveld, J.: Long-term (2001–2012) concentrations of fine particulate matter (PM2.5) and the impact on human health in Beijing, China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 5715–5725, doi:10.5194/acp-15-5715-2015, 2015.

Zhou, X. H., Gao, J., Wang, T., Wu, W. S., and Wang, W. X.: Measurment of black carbon aerosols near two Chinese megacities and the implications for improving emission inventories, Atmos. Environ., 43, 3918–3924, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.03.062, 2009.

Table 1. List of the mean optical values (aerosol extinction, scattering, absorption coefficients, and SSA at $\lambda = 470$ nm), the effective mode diameters (particle number, surface area and volume, fitted values from the measured submicron size distribution with mono-lognormal distribution function), and chemical composition of $PM_{1.0}$ particles observed in this campaign. These parameters were classified into three different pollution levels (clear, slightly polluted and polluted days).

Parameter		Clear	Slightly Polluted	Polluted	All days
Optical	$\alpha_{ep,470}$ (Mm^{-1})	58.6±81.6	186.7±161.6	567.9±292.3	200.9±239.6
	$\alpha_{sp,470}$ (Mm^{-1})	47.2±64.7	148.9±131.9	477.2±255.5	163.9±201.7
	$\alpha_{ap,470}$ (Mm^{-1})	11.6±18.3	37.8±32.3	90.9±55.8	37.0±43.2
	ω_{470}	0.81±0.10	0.79±0.07	0.84±0.06	0.80±0.08
Size	$D_{p,n}$ (nm)	38±31	73±51	120±64	67±55
	$D_{p,s}$ (nm)	374±130	338±114	335±76	351±116
	$D_{p,v}$ (nm)	478±94	480±90	455±82	475±91
Chemical Composition (μg/m^3)	OC	3.41±2.43	8.81±4.64	20.47±6.93	8.34±6.97
	EC	0.48±0.39	1.18±0.59	2.72±0.87	1.12±0.92
	NO_3^-	1.78±1.70	5.12±3.56	14.99±6.54	5.19±5.52
	SO_4^{2-}	1.29±0.69	3.20±2.25	9.62±4.55	3.35±3.52
	NH_4^+	0.72±0.75	2.98±2.32	9.31±4.33	2.98±3.57
	Cl^-	0.52±0.96	1.48±1.41	4.46±2.26	1.52±1.88
	Ca^{2+}	0.31±0.27	0.62±0.38	0.58±0.33	0.49±0.36
	K^+	0.16±0.25	0.50±0.38	1.18±0.46	0.46±0.48
	Na^+	0.19±0.22	0.33±0.28	0.60±0.38	0.32±0.30
	Mg^{2+}	0.04±0.03	0.08±0.03	0.09±0.03	0.06±0.04

10

Table 2. List of aerosol mean diameters and optical properties during the selected haze episode.

11

12

13

14

Episode	Date (LT)	Number mean diameter (nm)	Surface mean diameter (nm)	$\alpha_{ep,470}$ (Mm^{-1})	$\alpha_{sp,470}$ (Mm^{-1})	$\alpha_{ap,470}$ (Mm^{-1})	ω_{470}	Real part of effective CRI (n)	Imaginary part of effective CRI (k)
Period 1	22 Nov 05:40－10:00	56±6	193±19	56±24	44±19	12 ± 6	0.79±0.03	1.38±0.06	0.03±0.02
Period 2	22 Nov 12:40－15:00	25±4	193±17	17±5	16±5	1.0±0.4	0.94±0.03	1.40±0.06	0.008±0.005
Period 3	22 Nov 15:30－23 Nov 08:00	106 ±16	229±16	179±69	151±57	28±12	0.85±0.01	1.39±0.04	0.02±0.006
Period 4	23 Nov 08:30－12:00	105±16	251±15	405±60	321±42	84±22	0.79±0.03	1.40±0.03	0.034±0.008
Period 5	23 Nov 12:30－24 Nov 07:30	124±19	263±11	330±110	283±94	46±16	0.86±0.01	1.44±0.03	0.02±0.01
Period 6	24 Nov 08:00－11:10	80±42	226±14	80±42	64±34	16±8	0.78±0.04	1.40±0.04	0.04±0.02

15

16

17

Table 3. List of the mean values of aerosol scattering, absorption coefficients, and single scattering albedo in this campaign and recently reported values from references.

| Location	Date (MM/yy)	λ (nm)	α_{sp} (Mm^{-1})	α_{ap} (Mm^{-1})	ω_0	RH	Inlet	References
Granada, Spain (Urban)	03/2006 - 02/2007	α_{sp}: 550 α_{ap}: 550	61±25	24±9	0.71±0.07	<50%	PM$_{10}$	Titos et al.(2012)
Guangzhou (Urban)	07/2006	α_{sp}: 550 α_{ap}: 532	151±103	34±27	0.82±0.07	<40%	PM$_{10}$	Garland et al.(2008)
Beijing (Urban)	01/2005 - 12/2006	α_{sp}: 525 α_{ap}: 532	255±243	45±39	0.80±0.09	<60%	TSP	He et al.(2009)
Shanghai (Urban)	04 - 05/2010	α_{sp}: 532# α_{ap}: 532	102±74	44±35	0.70±0.07	41.2%	TSP	Li et al.(2013)
Xinken, PRD (Suburban)	10/2004 - 11/2004	α_{sp}: 550 α_{ap}: 630	333±138	70±42	0.83±0.05	<20%	PM$_{10}$	Cheng et al.(2008)
Xianghe, Beijing (Suburban)	03/2005	α_{sp}: 550 α_{ap}: 550	468±472	65±75	0.81-0.85	<42.5%	TSP	Li et al.(2007)
Yufa, Beijing (Suburban)	08/2006 - 09/2006	α_{sp}: 550 α_{ap}: 532	361±295	52±37	0.86±0.07	<32%	PM$_{10}$	Garland et al.(2009)
Huairou, Beijing (Suburban)	**11/2014 - 01/2015**	**α_{sp}: 470 α_{ap}: 470**	**164±202**	**37±43**	**0.80±0.08**	**<15%**	**PM$_{1.0}$**	**This work**
Shangdianzi, Beijing (Rural)	09/2003 - 01/2005	α_{sp}: 525 α_{ap}: 525	175±189	18±13	0.88±0.05	<60%	TSP	Yan et al.(2008)
Pasadena, US (Rural)	05/2010 - 06/2010	α_{sp}: 532# α_{ap}: 532	58±43	4±4	0.92±0.08	<50%	PM$_{1.0}$	Thompson et al.(2012)

Fig. 1. The map of the North China Plain. The observation site (Huairou) is marked with red star. The contour plot represents the average distribution of the MODIS AOD with $0.2^{o} \times 0.2^{o}$ resolution during the campaign (16 November 2014 to 11 January 2015).

31

32

Fig. 2. Time series of (a) the aerosol number size distribution measured by SMPS, (b) the extinction, scattering and absorption coefficients at $\lambda = 470$ nm measured by cavity enhanced albedometer, (c) organic carbon and element carbon concentration measured with an off-line aerosol carbon analyzer, and (d) water-insoluble ion concentrations measured with an off-line ion chromatography of dry $PM_{1.0}$ particles over the sampling period.

44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

Fig. 3 The mean number and surface size distribution of ambient aerosol on clear, slightly polluted and polluted days.

54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63

Fig. 3 4 Highly time-resolved evolution of a selected air pollution episode during November 22 to 24, 2014. (a) particle number size distribution, (b) particle surface size distribution, (c) aerosol extinction, scattering, absorption coefficients, and SSA at λ = 470 nm, (d) retrieved real part of CRI, (e) retrieved imaginary part of CRI. The air pollution episode was divided into six shorter periods to clearly show the evaluation of optical properties during haze formation, development and decline.

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

Fig. 4 5 The 24 hour back trajectories starting at 200 m above ground level in Huairou site were calculated every 4 h (at 00:00, 06:00, 12:00 and 18:00 local time) during the selected air pollution episode.

89

90

91

92

93

94

Fig. 6

108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124

Scatter plots of the measured scattering and absorption coefficients at λ = 470 nm against PM$_{1.0}$ mass concentrations for PM$_{1.0}$ particles under different pollution level.

125

126 Fig. 7 Time series of (a) the measured EC mass concentrations and absorption coefficients at $\lambda = 470$
127 nm; (b) the measured OC mass concentrations, and the estimated primary OC (POC) and secondary
128 OC (SOC) mass concentrations determined with the EC-tracer method (Lim and Turpin, 2002; Lin et
129 al., 2009; Cheng et al., 2011, the details of the calculation method are given in the Supplement,
130 Section S7.). (c) the MAE values of EC and OC to EC ratio (OC/EC). The MAE was calculated by
131 dividing the measured absorption coefficient by the measured concentration of EC. The blue shaded
132 areas indicate the standard deviation. The red stars associated with unusually high values in (c) may
133 arised from artifacts arising from sampling or handling of the filter.

134

135

Fig. ~~5~~ 8 ~~(a)~~ The ~~mean number and surface size distribution and (b)~~ percentage contribution of the mass concentrations of OM, EC concentrations and eight water-soluble ion components on clear, slightly polluted and polluted days.

Fig 6. The frequency distributions of dry $PM_{1.0}$ optical properties at $\lambda = 470$ nm observed during the campaign. (a) extinction coefficient, (b) scattering coefficient, (c) absorption coefficient, (d) single scattering albedo on clear, slightly polluted and polluted days.

Fig. 7 Diurnal variations of hourly averaged (a) extinction coefficient, (b) scattering coefficient, (c) absorption coefficient and (d) SSA at λ = 470 nm on clear, slightly polluted and polluted days. The error bars are 5th and 95th percentiles and the limits of the boxes represent 25th and 75th percentiles.

Fig. 8 (a) and (b) : The plot of measured and reconstruction value of the extinction and mass concentration, respectively; (c) and (d) : Scatter plot of the measured extinction coefficient at λ = 470 nm and $PM_{1.0}$ mass concentration against the reconstructed values with the modified IMPROVE formula. Insert of (c) shows the linear regression between measured and reconstructed extinction coefficient under lower aerosol load condition (with extinction smaller than 300 Mm^{-1}).

(a) Clear days

Organic Mass 56.31%

$(NH_4)_2SO_4$ 14.06%

NH_4NO_3 16.66%

Element Carbon 9.67%

SS 3.30%

(b) Slightly Polluted days

Organic Mass 63.45%

$(NH_4)_2SO_4$ 9.94%

NH_4NO_3 14.81%

Element Carbon 8.45%

SS 3.36%

(c) Polluted days

Organic Mass 53.04%

$(NH_4)_2SO_4$ 13.77%

NH_4NO_3 23.41%

Element Carbon 6.29%

SS 3.49%

(d) All campaign days

Organic Mass 57.91%

$(NH_4)_2SO_4$ 12.46%

NH_4NO_3 17.77%

Element Carbon 8.65%

SS 3.20%

190
191
192
193
194
195
196

197 Fig. 9 Average fractional contribution of each chemical composition to dry $PM_{1.0}$
198 extinction coefficient with respect to different pollution level.

201
202
203
204
205
206