A new source of methylglyoxal in the aqueous phase

Maria Rodigast, Anke Mutzel, Janine Schindelka and Hartmut Herrmann*

Leibniz Institute for Tropospheric Research (TROPOS), Atmospheric Chemistry Dept. (ACD), Permoserstr. 15, D-04318 Leipzig, Germany

*Corresponding author. Tel: +49-341-2717-7024; fax: +49-341-271799-7024.

E-mail address: herrmann@tropos.de

For submission to: Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics

First submitted on 27.10.2015

1 Abstract

2 Carbonyl compounds are ubiquitous in atmospheric multiphase system participating in gas, particle, and aqueous-3 phase chemistry. One important compound is methyl ethyl ketone (MEK), as it is detected in significant amounts 4 in the gas phase as well as in cloud water, ice, and rain. Consequently, it can be expected that MEK influences the 5 liquid phase chemistry. Therefore, the oxidation of MEK and the formation of corresponding oxidation products 6 were investigated in the aqueous phase. Several oxidation products were identified from the oxidation with OH 7 radicals, including 2,3-butanedione, hydroxyacetone, and methylglyoxal. The molar yields were 29.5% for 8 2,3-butanedione, 3.0% for hydroxyacetone, and 9.5% for methylglyoxal. Since methylglyoxal is often related to 9 the formation of organics in the aqueous phase, MEK should be considered for the formation of aqueous secondary 10 organic aerosol (aqSOA). Based on the experimentally obtained data, a reaction mechanism for the formation of 11 methylglyoxal has been developed and evaluated with a model study. Besides known rate constants, the model 12 contains measured photolysis rate constants for MEK ($k_p = 5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$), 2,3-butanedione ($k_p = 9 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$), methylglyoxal ($k_p = 3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$), and hydroxyacetone ($k_p = 2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$). From the model predictions, a branching 13 14 ratio of 60/40 for primary/secondary H-atom abstraction at the MEK skeleton was found. This branching ratio 15 reproduces the experiment results very well, especially the methylglyoxal formation, which showed excellent 16 agreement. Overall, this study demonstrates MEK as a methylglyoxal precursor compound for the first time.

17

18 1. Introduction

In the last decades, carbonyl compounds have been a subject of intense research due to their ubiquitous abundanceand their effect on atmospheric chemistry and human health. They are emitted directly from biogenic and

- 21 anthropogenic sources or formed through the oxidation of hydrocarbons (e.g., Atkinson, 1997; Matthews and
- 22 Howell, 1981; Lipari et al., 1984; Ciccioli et al., 1993; Mopper and Stahovec, 1986; Carlier et al., 1986; Hallquist
- et al., 2009). One carbonyl compound that is emitted from numerous and mainly biological sources is methyl ethyl
- 24 ketone (MEK). It is released from grass, clover (Kirstine et al., 1998; de Gouw et al., 1999), different types of
- 25 forests, and biomass burning processes (Khalil and Rasmussen, 1992; Warneke et al., 1999; Isidorov et al., 1985).
- 26 Anthropogenic emissions are also important MEK sources, such as artificial biomass burning (Andreae and Merlet,
- 27 2001; Akagi et al., 2011; Yokelson et al., 2013; Brilli et al., 2014) and tobacco smoke (Buyske et al., 1956;
- Yokelson et al., 2013). In addition, MEK is emitted into the atmosphere through the application as solvent for the
 production of glue, resins, cellulose, rubber, paraffin wax and lacquer (Ware, 1988).
- Tropospheric MEK gas-phase concentration was found to be in the range of 0.02 15 ppbv, depending
 on the region (Grosjean et al., 2002; Riemer et al., 1998; Singh et al., 2004; Snider and Dawson, 1985; Goldan et
 al., 1995; Grosjean et al., 1983; Grosjean, 1982; Müller et al., 2005; Feng et al., 2004). Singh et al. (2004)
 measured a concentration in a remote region of 0.02 ppbv, whereas Grosjean et al. (1983) observed a MEK
 concentration of 11.3 ppbv in Los Angeles. Brown et al. (1994) concluded that MEK is one of the major volatile
 organic compounds (VOCs) in indoor air.
- 36 In addition to the gas-phase measurements, the concentrations measured in bulk water samples collected 37 at an open station near the Bahamas reached a concentration of < 0.5 nmol L⁻¹ (Zhou and Mopper, 1997). 38 Furthermore, an enrichment of MEK in the surface micro layer was found with concentrations up to 2.28 nmol L⁻¹ 39 (Zhou and Mopper, 1997). MEK was also investigated in ice, fog, and rain samples (Grosjean and Wright, 1983). 40 It was not found in fog but there were traces in rain water. In cloud water, a concentration of up to 650 nmol L^{-1} 41 was measured. This is supported by van Pinxteren et al. (2005), who measured a concentration of 42 70 to 300 nmol L^{-1} in cloud water. These studies concluded that the liquid-phase fraction of MEK is higher than 43 the expected fraction calculated according to the Henry constant.
- 44 The Henry constants at a temperature of 25 °C were found to vary between 7.7 and 21 M atm⁻¹ in 45 numerous studies (Buttery et al., 1969; Snider and Dawson, 1985; Ashworth et al., 1988; Zhou and Mopper, 1990; 46 Morillon et al., 1999; Karl et al., 2002). However, Schütze and Herrmann (2004) estimated the Henry constant to 47 be between 23 and 50 M atm⁻¹, which is higher than the previous measured values found in the literature. This 48 higher Henry constant supports the conclusion from van Pinxteren et al. (2005) and tends to support the 49 investigation of MEK in the liquid phase as aqSOA precursor compound. AqSOA is formed through the oxidation 50 of organic compounds in the aqueous particle phase and is often related to missing SOA sources. These missing 51 sources are most likely responsible for the huge discrepancies between measured and calculated SOA burden. As 52 model results usually underestimate the SOA burden (Kanakidou et al., 2005; Goldstein and Galbally, 2007), 53 missing SOA sources have to be considered in such models to close this gap (Ervens et al., 2011; Herrmann et al., 54 1999; Herrmann et al., 2015).
- 55 In the present study, the reaction of MEK with OH radicals in water was investigated. Based on the 56 experimentally obtained data, a reaction mechanism was developed to explain methylglyoxal formation. The

mechanism was included in a COPASI (Complex Pathway Simulator) model and evaluated by comparing the
 experimentally obtained data and the model results.

59 2. Experimental

60 2.1 Chemicals and standards

61 Cyclohexanone-2,2,6,6-d4 (98%), hydrochloric acid, and catalase from bovine liver (40000-60000 units mg⁻¹ 62 protein) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Hamburg, Germany). 0-(2,3,4,5,6-63 pentafluorobenzyl)hydroxylamine hydrochloride ($\geq 99\%$), 2,3-butanedione (99%), hydroxyacetone (90%), and 64 methylglyoxal (40% in water) were purchased from Fluka (Hamburg, Germany). Dichloromethane (Chromasolv 65 99.8%) and methyl ethyl ketone (99.7%) were obtained from Riedel-de Haen (Seelze, Germany), and hydrogen 66 peroxide (30% Suprapur[®]) was obtained from Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany). Ultrapure water was used to 67 prepare the reaction solutions for the bulk reactor experiments and the stock solutions of the authentic standard 68 compounds (Milli-Q gradient A 10, 18.2 M Ω cm⁻¹, 3 ppb TOC, Millipore, USA).

69 2.2 Bulk reactor experiments

70 The aqueous-phase oxidation of MEK was conducted in a 300 mL batch reactor using the photolysis of hydrogen 71 peroxide (H₂O₂) as an OH radical source (Set 1). The experiments were conducted at a temperature of 298 K. For 72 the experiments, 0.1 mmol L⁻¹ of the precursor compound was mixed with 2 mmol L⁻¹ of H_2O_2 . The solution was 73 then irradiated at $\lambda = 254$ nm for 4 hours to continuously generate OH radicals (500 W xenon-mercury lamp, 74 Andover Corporation Optical bandpass filter: L.O.T.- Oriel GmbH & Co. KG, Darmstadt, Germany). To obtain 75 time-resolved data, samples were taken once per hour (0-4 hours; number of repetitions n = 3) or in steps of 76 15 minutes (n = 1) and analyzed after derivatization using GC/MS. To avoid further reactions of the organics 77 present in the sample with remaining H_2O_2 , 100 µL of catalase (4 mg mL⁻¹ in water) were added to each sample. 78 Furthermore, a set of blank experiments was conducted to exclude that (a) MEK reacts with H_2O_2 (Set 2), 79 (b) identified oxidation products originate from photolysis (Set 3), (c) the photolysis of contaminants in the H_2O_2 80 solution results in the formation of organics (Set 4), and (d) oxidation of 2,3-butanedione or hydroxyacetone also 81 forms methylglyoxal (Set 5/6). To determine the photolysis rate constants of 2,3-butanedione, methylglyoxal, and 82 hydroxyacetone, the photolysis of these products was investigated as well with the setup used (Set 7). A complete 83 overview of the experiments conducted is given in Table 1.

84 2.3 Sample preparation

85 Two types of samples were taken over a period of 4 hours. For the first type of samples, 60 µL of the reaction 86 mixture were diluted with 2940 µL of water to avoid saturation of the GC/MS detector during the quantification 87 of MEK, 2,3-butanedione or hydroxyacetone. For the second type of samples, 3 mL of the reaction mixture were 88 taken and injected without any dilution to enable the identification and quantification of the formed carbonyl

89 compounds.

Samples of all sets were derivatized with 300 μL of *o*-(2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorobenzyl)hydroxylamine
hydrochloride (PFBHA, 5 mg mL⁻¹) at room temperature (Rodigast et al., 2015). Cyclohexanone-2,2,6,6-d4 was
used as an internal standard (150 μL, 100 μmol L⁻¹), and after 24 hours, a pH value of 1 was adjusted by adding
hydrochloric acid (37%) to the reaction mixture. The target compounds were extracted for 30 minutes with 250 μL
of dichloromethane using an orbital shaker (1500 rpm, revolutions per minutes). Finally, 1 μL of the organic phase

- 95 was used for GC/MS analysis. A 5-point calibration was performed for each chromatographic run using a series
- 96 of the standard solutions (MEK, methylglyoxal, 2,3-butanedione, and hydroxyacetone) with concentrations
 97 ranging from 1 to 50 μmol L⁻¹.
- 98 2.4 Instrumentation
- 99 Derivatized carbonyl compounds were analyzed using a GC System (6890 Series Agilent Technologies, Frankfurt,
- 100 Germany) coupled with an electron ionization quadrupole mass spectrometer in splitless mode at a temperature
- 101 of 250 °C (Agilent 5973 Network mass selective detector, Frankfurt, Germany). They were separated with an HP-
- 102 5MS UI column (Agilent J & W GC columns, $30 \text{ m} \times 0.25 \text{ µm}$) using the following temperature
- program: 50 °C isothermal for 2 minutes and elevated to 230 °C with 10 °C minute⁻¹. The temperature of 230 °C
- 104 was held for 1 minute, and the temperature gradient ended with 320 °C, which was held constant for 10 minutes.

105 2.4 Instrumentation

- 106 Derivatised carbonyl compounds were analysed using a GC System (6890 Series Agilent Technologies, Frankfurt, 107 Germany) coupled with an electron ionization quadrupole mass spectrometer (Agilent 5973 Network mass 108 selective detector, Frankfurt, Germany) in the splitless mode at a temperature of 250 °C. They were separated 109 with a HP-5MS UI column (Agilent J & W GC columns, 30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 µm) using the following 110 temperature program: 50 °C isothermal for 2 minutes and elevated to 230 °C with 10 °C minute⁻¹. The temperature 111 of 230 °C was held for 1 minute and the temperature gradient ended with 320 °C which was held constant for 112 10 minutes.
- **3. Results**

3.1 Experimental results from bulk reactor

MEK was oxidized with OH radicals, and the decay of MEK was monitored by GC/MS. Fig. 1A shows the consumption of the precursor compound MEK. As can be seen, MEK was almost consumed after 180 minutes of reaction time. From the analysis of the collected samples, 2,3-butanedione, hydroxyacetone, and methylglyoxal were observed as the most dominating oxidation products. The formation of methylglyoxal from the oxidation of MEK was unexpected as it has not been reported in the literature before. Due to the relevance of methylglyoxal for aqSOA formation, its formation was comprehensively characterized in the present study.

- 121 Nevertheless, in Fig. 1B, 2,3-butanedione was found as the main oxidation product of MEK reaching a 122 maximum yield of $\approx 29.5 \pm 6.0\%$ after 60 minutes. The small variations of the molar yields over the course of the 123 experiment might result from slight temperature changes during the experiment despite the reactor temperature 124 being controlled. However, the curve shape shows that the concentration of 2,3-butanedione starts to decrease 125 after 60 minutes, indicating further reactions of 2,3-butanedione. This decrease results in a molar yield of 126 $18.9 \pm 3.9\%$ at the end of the experiment (240 minutes).
- 127 A similar trend was observed for hydroxyacetone and methylglyoxal, as the concentration of 128 methylglyoxal was the highest after 15 minutes ($\approx 9.5\%$) and started to decrease afterwards. In comparison, 129 hydroxyacetone reached the highest concentration after 60 minutes with a molar yield of $3.0 \pm 2.6\%$. The standard 130 deviation of the determined molar yields of hydroxyacetone was high, and therefore, only after reaction times of 131 60 and 120 minutes could a molar yield of hydroxyacetone be determined.

- Methylglyoxal and hydroxyacetone were completely consumed at the end of the experiment. The strong 132 133 decrease of the concentrations of the detected carbonyl compounds might result from the reaction with OH radicals and/or from photolysis. Both mechanisms are most likely, as it has been demonstrated that the detected carbonyl 134 135 compounds react quickly with OH radicals (Lilie et al., 1968; Gligorovski and Herrmann, 2004; Doussin and 136 Monod, 2013; Monod et al., 2005; Ervens et al., 2003; Herrmann et al., 2005; Tan et al., 2010; Stefan and Bolton, 137 1999) and they are prone to photolysis (Faust et al., 1997; Tan et al., 2010). The photolysis rate constants of the 138 detected carbonyl compounds were determined in the present study because of the dependency on the setup used 139 (Set 7; see supplement S2 for more details). Methylglyoxal and hydroxyacetone showed higher photolysis rate constants of $k_p = 3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $k_p = 2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in comparison to 2,3-butanedione ($k_p = 9 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$). This 140 indicates a faster decomposition compared to 2,3-butanedione of methylglyoxal and hydroxyacetone, which 141 142 showed a complete consumption at the end of the experiment (Fig. 1). 2,3-Butanedione was not completely 143 consumed during the reaction time of 4 hours, which might be a result of the lower photolysis rate constants. 144 Furthermore, during the photolysis of 2,3-butanedione and hydroxyacetone, methylglyoxal was found with molar 145 yields of $\approx 17\%$ and $\approx 19\%$, respectively (see Fig. S2, supplement S2). Due to the low molar yield of 146 hydroxyacetone during the oxidation of MEK (\approx 3%) and the slow photolysis rate constant of 2,3-butanedione, 147 these processes are of minor importance for the methylglyoxal yield.
- 148 For 2,3-butanedione, a huge discrepancy of the rate constants for the OH radical oxidation can be found 149 between the different literature studies. They vary by one order of magnitude in a range of $k = 1.4 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Gligorovski and Herrmann, 2004) up to $k = 1.86 \times 10^9 M^{-1} s^{-1}$ (Doussin and Monod, 2013). Rate constants were 150 determined between $k = 5.3 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $k = 1.1 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for methylglyoxal (Monod et al., 2005; Ervens 151 et al., 2003; Herrmann et al., 2005; Tan et al., 2010) and between $k = 0.8 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $k = 1.2 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ 152 153 for hydroxyacetone (Stefan and Bolton, 1999; Herrmann et al., 2005). In consideration of the similar rate constant for 2,3-butanedione determined by Lilie et al. (1968) ($k = 1.8 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) and Gligorovski and Herrmann (2004) 154 $(k = 1.4 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$, 2,3-butanedione revealed a slower OH radical reaction than methylglyoxal and 155 156 hydroxyacetone. This is in good agreement with the experimental results obtained in the present study.
- 157 Since 2,3-butanedione is the main oxidation product, it was necessary to investigate the contribution of 158 2,3-butanedione to the product distribution, especially for the formation of methylglyoxal. In the oxidation of 2,3-159 butanedione (Set 5), no methylglyoxal was detected in the GC/MS chromatogram over a reaction period of 160 240 min (Fig. 2). Consequently, a contribution of 2,3-butanedione to the methylglyoxal formation could be 161 excluded.
- 162 Despite the low molar yield of hydroxyacetone during MEK oxidation, the oxidation of hydroxyacetone 163 was investigated for methylglyoxal formation as well (Herrmann et al., 2005; Schaefer et al., 2012; Set 6). During 164 the oxidation, a molar yield of 100% was found after a reaction time of 60 minutes (see supplement S3, Fig. S3). 165 After 60 minutes of reaction time, the molar yield of methylglyoxal decreases through further reactions, as was 166 observed during MEK oxidation. However, due to the low molar yield of hydroxyacetone (3.0%), the oxidation 167 has only minor importance for the observed molar yield of methylglyoxal.
- To ensure methylglyoxal was only formed during the oxidation of MEK, an experiment was conducted to investigate the non-radical reaction of MEK with H₂O₂ in the dark (Set 2). During this experiment, no decomposition of MEK was observed, excluding the non-radical reaction of MEK with H₂O₂ as a source of methylglyoxal. Furthermore, the photolysis of MEK has to be considered as a source for methylglyoxal. Hence,

- 172 the photolysis of MEK was studied at $\lambda = 254$ nm (Set 3). As can be seen in Fig. 3, the photolysis of MEK leads
- to the formation of 2,3-butanedione with a molar yield of $\approx 2.2\%$ indicating that the photolysis of MEK is an
- additional source. No further carbonyl compounds were detected from the photolysis of MEK, and thus, the
- 175 photolysis of MEK can be excluded as a methylglyoxal source. The blank experiment (Set 4) indicated the absence
- 176 of methylglyoxal as well.

177 In summary, the oxidation of MEK constitutes a source for methylglyoxal, and due to the high 178 concentration of MEK in cloud water (70 – 650 nmol L⁻¹; Grosjean and Wright, 1983; van Pinxteren et al., 2005), 179 this source is of atmospheric relevance. Due to the molar yields of 9.5% for methylglyoxal, 29.5% for 2,3-180 butanedione, and 3.0% for hydroxyacetone, $\approx 42\%$ of the oxidation products of MEK could be elucidated in the 181 present study with only these carbonyl compounds (Table 2). This highlights the importance of carbonyl 182 compounds for the aqueous phase chemistry. Based on the experimental findings, a reaction mechanism was 183 developed to describe the formation of methylglyoxal (Fig. 4).

184 3.2 Oxidation mechanism and model description

According to the structure of MEK, the OH radical attack can proceed at three different positions (Fig. 4; H-atoms at carbons 1, 3, and 4). For the present study, only the attack at carbons 3 and 4 is considered because these processes lead to the formation of the observed products (Fig. 4). Note that the abstraction of a hydrogen atom at carbon 3 leads to a secondary alkyl radical (A), whereas at the terminal carbon, a primary alkyl radical is formed (B). The branching ratios for the formation of the primary and secondary alkyl radicals will be discussed in detail in the next section (Sect. 3.2.1).

191 The primary and secondary alkyl radicals react rapidly with oxygen to form alkylperoxy radicals. The alkylperoxy 192 radical recombines to a tetroxide and reacts further in three different ways, including the formation of an carbonyl 193 compound and an alcohol (i), the formation of two carbonyl compounds and H_2O_2 (ii), and the decomposition into 194 an alkoxy radical (iii) (von Sonntag and Schuchmann, 1991). The decomposition of the tetroxide into a peroxide 195 and oxygen was not considered further due to the minor importance of this process (von Sonntag and Schuchmann, 196 1997). The secondary and primary alkylperoxy radicals can react with HO_2 , forming organic hydroperoxides. The 197 organic peroxides react with OH radicals or photolyze, resulting in the formation of an alkoxy radical or tetroxide 198 that can react further, as described before through pathways i-iii. The described mechanism was included in a 199 COPASI model to examine the developed oxidation mechanism, the decomposition of the precursor compound, 200 and the formation of the observed products. Table 3 shows the considered reactions, the rate constants, and their 201 references. Only the reactions leading to the formation of the products identified are discussed in detail. Surprisingly, the products 3-oxobutanal and hydroxybutanone were not observed during the experiments. Since 202 203 3-oxobutanal contains two carbonyl groups, two derivatives can be formed, including one (mass to charge ratio 204 m/z 281; M⁺⁺) or two (m/z 476; M⁺⁺) derivatized groups. Hydroxybutanone has one carbonyl group with a 205 derivatized m/z 283 (M⁺⁺). As can be seen in Fig. 5, m/z 283 was not found in the extracted ion chromatogram 206 (EIC). Furthermore, m/z 281 and 476 can be detected in the EIC of the bulk reactor samples, but they can also be 207 found in the EIC of the authentic standard compounds. The sample of the authentic standard compounds does not 208 contain hydroxybutanone and 3-oxobutanal. According to the comparison of the EIC of the bulk reactor samples 209 and the authentic standard, it can be assumed that m/z 281 and 476 are fragments of the internal standard and 2,3-210 butandione. No additional signals in the EIC of the samples were detected at m/z 281, 283, and 476, and thus, 3211 oxobutanal and hydroxybutanone are not formed during the experiment. Hence, their formation pathways were

excluded from the model.

213 *3.2.1 HO_x chemistry and OH radical attack*

214 The relevant reactions for HO_x chemistry according to R1-R6 are included in the mechanism. OH radicals were 215 formed through the photolysis of H₂O₂ at $\lambda = 254$ nm with measured photolysis rate constants of k_p = 7.6 × 10⁻⁶ s⁻¹ 216 (R1, see supplement S1). The formed OH radicals react further with MEK in a first oxidation step, leading to the 217 formation of a primary (R7) and secondary alkyl radical (R8). The rate constants were postulated by 218 Herrmann et al. (2005) to be $k = 1.17 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $k = 1.3 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for the formation of the primary and 219 secondary alkyl radicals, respectively. This is in good agreement with the model study by Sebbar et al., who 220 postulated that the H-atom abstraction most likely proceeds at the secondary carbon of MEK (Sebbar et al., 2014; 221 Sebbar et al., 2011) due to the lower C-H bond dissociation energy ($\approx 377 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, C4) in comparison to the 222 primary carbon atom (≈ 423 kJ mol⁻¹, C3). In the present study, a rate constant k = 1.5×10^9 M⁻¹ s⁻¹ (Gligorovski 223 and Herrmann, 2004) was used, and the branching ratio was varied from 60/40 to 10/90 for the primary/secondary 224 H-atom abstraction.

225 The results are shown in Fig. 6 and discussed based on the molar yields of the products. As can be seen 226 for 2,3-butanedione (Fig. 6A), a branching ratio of 60% for the primary H-atom abstraction and 40% for the 227 secondary H-atom abstraction leads to lower molar yields, whereas the molar yields start to increase with an 228 increasing fraction of secondary H-atom abstraction. According to the mechanism (Fig. 4), 2,3-butanedione is 229 only formed via secondary H-atom abstraction, and thus, it is feasible to reach higher molar yields with a higher 230 fraction of secondary H-atom abstraction. However, with an increasing secondary H-atom abstraction, the 231 experimentally determined concentration was increasingly overestimated, especially at the beginning of the 232 experiment. After 60 minutes of reaction time, the highest experimentally determined molar yield ($29.5 \pm 6.0\%$) 233 was overestimated by a factor of ≈ 2 with a ratio of 10/90 for primary/secondary H-atom abstraction (molar yield 234 \approx 49.9%), whereas a ratio of 60/40 resulted in reasonably good agreement (molar yield \approx 23.7%). Based on this, 235 a branching ratio of 60/40 for primary/secondary H-atom abstraction was used in the present model.

236 In contrast, methylglyoxal molar yields were increasingly underestimated with an increasing fraction of 237 secondary H-atom abstraction (Fig. 6B). Thus, after 15 minutes of reaction time, the experimental molar yield 238 (\approx 9.5%) was underestimated by a factor of \approx 5 with a higher fraction of the secondary H-atom abstraction (molar 239 yield $\approx 2\%$). In comparison with a ratio of 60/40 for primary/secondary H-atom abstraction, a molar yield of 240 $\approx 11.4\%$ was observed, which is in good agreement with the experiment. Overall, the branching ratio of 60/40 241 (primary/secondary H-atom abstraction) resulted in reasonable agreement for 2,3-butanedione and in an excellent 242 conformity to the methylglyoxal molar yields. This shows the importance of the primary H-atom abstraction as 243 the main decomposition pathway of MEK and thus for methylglyoxal formation.

The primary and secondary alkyl radicals react further with oxygen (R9/R21) with a rate constant of k = 3.1×10^9 M⁻¹ s⁻¹ (Zegota et al., 1986; Glowa et al., 2000), which was reported for the formation of acetonylperoxy radicals. The rate constants of the acetonylperoxy radical were used for the formation of the primary alkylperoxy radical (R9) and further reactions of the alkylperoxy radical because of their structural similarity. They were also applied by Glowa et al. (2000) for the formation of the secondary alkylperoxy radical of MEK. Further reactions of the primary and secondary alkylperoxy radicals resulting in different oxidation products will be discussed in detail in the following section (Sect. 3.2.2 and 3.2.3).

251 *3.2.2 Oxidation of MEK leading to the formation of methylglyoxal and hydroxyacetone*

- Only the formation of the alkoxy radical (iii; R10) leads to the formation of methylglyoxal. The alkoxy radical further reacts rapidly with oxygen into an acetonylperoxy radical under elimination of formaldehyde. The acetonylperoxy radicals can recombine again to form a tetroxide (Schaefer et al., 2012). The latter is able to decompose through pathways i–iii, which are illustrated in R11–R13. Consequently, the decomposition of the tetroxide can explain the formation of hydroxyacetone and methylglyoxal (R11; k = $2 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$; Zegota et al., 1986), methylglyoxal (R12; k = $4 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$; Zegota et al., 1986), and methylglyoxal and hydrogen peroxide (R13; k = $4 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$; Zegota et al., 2012).
- 259 In addition to the discussed pathway, the primary alkylperoxy radical has the opportunity to react with 260 HO_2 instead of the recombination, forming an organic hydroperoxide (R14). The rate constant was reported by von Sonntag and Schuchmann (1991) to be from 10⁷ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ up to 10⁹ M⁻¹ s⁻¹. For the primary alkylperoxy radical, 261 262 $k = 1 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ was used as it led to the best agreement of the experimental data and the model results. The 263 formed peroxide can react with OH radicals (R15) or photolyze (R16). The same rate constant $(k = 2.7 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$ as that for the OH radical oxidation of H₂O₂ was used for R15 due to a lack of literature 264 data. According to the assumption by Monod et al. (2007), the rate constant for the photolysis of the formed 265 266 peroxide (R16) was defined to be 1/10 of the photolysis rate constants of hydrogen peroxide. Thus, a photolysis rate constant of $k_p = 7.6 \times 10^{-7} \text{ s}^{-1}$ was included in the model. This was used as a first approximation due to a lack 267 of literature data for the formed hydroperoxides. The photolysis of the organic peroxide leads to the formation of 268 269 formaldehyde, OH radicals, and an acetonyl radical, which reacts further with oxygen (R17) to form an 270 acetonylperoxy radical ($k = 3.1 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$; Zegota et al., 1986; R19) and subsequently methylglyoxal (R18 - 20).271

272 *3.2.3 Oxidation of MEK leading to the formation of methylglyoxal and 2,3-butanedione*

273 As described for the primary alkylperoxy radical, the secondary alkylperoxy radical recombines and forms a 274 tetroxide. This reacts to form either (i) 2,3-butanedione and acetoin (R22) or (ii) 2,3-butanedione and hydrogen peroxide (R23) and is considered with rate constants of $k = 2.5 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $k = 4.5 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Glowa et 275 276 al., 2000). The tetroxide can decompose into an alkoxy radical as well (iii) and react further to form 2,3-277 butanedione, methylglyoxal, a methyl radical, and HO₂ (R24; $k = 5 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$; Glowa et al., 2000). The rate 278 constants postulated by Glowa et al. (2000) are derived from the branching ratios determined by Zegota et al. 279 (1986) for acetone. Glowa et al. (2000) simulated the concentration profiles of MEK and the corresponding 280 products and postulated the rate coefficient used in the present model study. The secondary alkylperoxy radical 281 also has the opportunity to react with HO_2 to form an organic peroxide (R25), which photolyzes (R26; 282 $k = 7.6 \times 10^{-7} \text{ s}^{-1}$) or reacts further with OH radicals (R28; $k = 2.7 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$). Through the photolysis, an 283 alkoxy radical was formed that leads to methylglyoxal, 2,3-butanedione, a methyl group, and HO₂ radicals (R27; $k = 4 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$). 284

285 3.2.4 Further reactions and photolysis of formed oxidation products and MEK

- The products 2,3-butanedione, methylglyoxal, and hydroxyacetone positively identified by GC/MS analysis might also react further, forming a variety of oxidation products. The rate constant of methylglyoxal with OH radicals is given in the range of $k = 5.3 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ to $k = 1.1 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Monod et al., 2005; Ervens et al., 2003;
- 289 Herrmann et al., 2005; Tan et al., 2010), whereas a rate constant of $k = 5.3 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (R29; Monod et al., 2005)

leads to the best agreement between the experimental data and the model results. In comparison, the rate constants determined by Ervens et al. (2003), Herrmann et al. (2005), and Tan et al. (2010) resulted in an underestimation of the molar yield. Methylglyoxal also has the opportunity to photolyze. The photolysis is included with $k_p = 3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (R30) in the present model.

294 2,3-Butanedione is also prone to OH radical oxidation and photolysis. As discussed, a huge discrepancy 295 exists in the rate constants for the reaction of OH radicals with 2,3-butanedione (Lilie et al., 1968; Doussin and 296 Monod 2013; Gligorovski and Herrmann, 2004). In the present study, the value of $k = 1.4 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (R31) 297 determined by Gligorovski and Herrmann (2004) was used. The higher rate constants reported by Lilie et al. (1968) 298 and Doussin and Monod (2013) resulted in consumption that was too fast. The photolysis was included with a 299 rate constant of $k_p = 9 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in the COPASI model (R32). It should be mentioned that methylglyoxal was 300 formed during the photolysis of 2,3-butanedione. However, the photolysis was too small to contribute significantly 301 to methylglyoxal formation. For more details, see supplement S2.

The oxidation of hydroxyacetone with OH radicals was also considered in the model study with a rate constant of $k = 8 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (R33; Stefan and Bolton, 1999). During the experiment, methylglyoxal was formed with 100% molar yield. Thus, the reaction of hydroxyacetone to methylglyoxal was included in the model study. The photolysis of hydroxyacetone was measured with a rate of $k_p = 2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$, leading to methylglyoxal with a molar yield of $\approx 19\%$ (R34). The photolysis rate constant of MEK was measured as $k_p = 5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (see supplement S2, Fig. S1). During the experiment, 2,3-butanedione was found with a molar yield of 2.2%. Thus, the reaction of MEK leading to 2,3-butanedione was included in the model study (R35).

309 3.3 Model results and comparison with the experimental dataset

310 The described reactions are included in a model, and the decomposition of MEK and molar yields of 311 formed products were compared to the experimentally obtained data (Fig. 7). The model was not validated with 312 the time course of hydroxyacetone due to the high standard deviation of the experimental results. The comparison of the model study and the experiment showed very good agreement for the consumption of MEK (Fig. 7A). 313 314 There is also good agreement for the formation of methylglyoxal and limited agreement for the molar yields of 315 2,3-butanedione. The initial high molar yield of 2,3-butanedione is reflected well (Fig. 7B). Thus, after 60 minutes 316 of reaction time, molar yields of 23.7% in the model study and $29.5 \pm 6.0\%$ in the experiment were reached. Under 317 consideration of the standard deviation, this is in good agreement with the COPASI model.

318 The determined molar yields up to a reaction time of 120 minutes showed very good conformity with the 319 experiment (18.2% and $22.1 \pm 9.0\%$). The temporal behavior shows that the determined molar yield in the model 320 study is somewhat lower towards the end of the experiment. Hence, it is possible that there are other reaction 321 pathways that lead to higher molar yields of 2,3-butanedione at the end of the experiment, which are not 322 considered in the model study as of now. Good agreement between the model results and experiment was observed 323 for methylglyoxal (Fig. 7C). The curve shapes are very similar, and hence, fast formation and decomposition of 324 methylglyoxal were found in the model study and in the experiment. After 15 minutes of reaction time, a molar 325 yield of 9.5% was found in the experiment. In comparison, the model study resulted in a molar yield of 11.4%, 326 which is in good agreement with the experiment and validates the COPASI model.

327 4. Atmospheric relevance

- 328 The sources of methylglyoxal in the aqueous phase are thus-far not fully elucidated. Methylglyoxal can originate
- in the atmospheric aqueous phase through i) uptake from the gas phase, and/or ii) formation in the aqueous phase.
- 330 The importance of the uptake from the gas into the aqueous phase is discussed in the literature but large
- discrepancies can be found. Kroll et al. (2005) investigated the uptake of methylglyoxal on inorganic seed particles
- relative humidity. Contrary, Zhao et al. (2006) measured an uptake coefficient of $\gamma = 7.6 \times 10^{-3}$ on acidic solution.

under varying relative humidity. It was found that the uptake was not relevant for methylglyoxal even under high

- They found an irreversible uptake, which decreases with increasing acidity. Fu et al. (2008, 2009) determined an
- uptake coefficient on aqueous particles and cloud droplets $\gamma = 2.9 \times 10^{-3}$, which is in good agreement with the
- uptake coefficient measured by Zhao et al. (2006). Lin et al. (2014) modelled an uptake coefficient for several
- 337 case studies with different multiphase process mechanisms. The uptake coefficient in deliquescent particles was
- determined to be $\gamma = 1.47 2.92 \times 10^{-5}$. Overall, γ was two orders of magnitude lower compared to the values determined by Zhao et al. (2006) and Fu et al. (2008, 2009) showing the discrepancies between the different
- 340 studies available in literature.

341 The in-situ formation of methylglyoxal in the aqueous phase could be an important source as well (Blando and

- Turpin, 2000; Sempere and Kawamura 1994). Within the present study, MEK was found as a new precursor compound for methylglyoxal in the aqueous phase yielding methylglyoxal with a molar yield of 9.5%. Although the Henry constant of MEK (up to $K_H = 50$ M atm⁻¹, Schütze and Herrmann, 2004) is lower compared to methylglyoxal ($K_H = 3.7 \times 10^3$ M atm⁻¹, Betterton and Hoffmann, 1988), van Pinxteren et al. (2005) found higher concentrations in cloud water as it was expected. Thus, the phase transfer of MEK from the gas in the aqueous phase could be more important as currently derived from the available Henry constants. Besides the phase transfer,
- 348 the in-situ formation of MEK in aqueous phase formation might also represents an important source.
- As, the oxidation of MEK yielding methylglyoxal has not been studied much before, it should be considered as a
- 350 formation process of methylglyoxal.
- 351

332

352 **5. Summary**

In the present study, MEK was identified as a new source for methylglyoxal in the aqueous phase. It was demonstrated that methylglyoxal originates directly from MEK oxidation and not from side reactions such as photolysis or non-radical reactions. A molar yield of \approx 9.5% was determined during the oxidation. Based on the experimental results, a reaction mechanism could be developed. The calculations with a COPASI model supported the experimental results and confirm MEK as a precursor compound for methylglyoxal in aqueous medium.

- Further carbonyl compounds could be identified and quantified. 2,3-Butanedione was found as the main oxidation product (molar yield $\approx 29.5\%$) and was formed during the photolysis of MEK as well. As a further oxidation product, hydroxyacetone was identified and was formed with a molar yield of $\approx 3.0\%$ during the oxidation of MEK.
- The oxidation mechanism of MEK in aqueous solution was elucidated, and MEK was demonstrated to be as a precursor compound for methylglyoxal in the aqueous phase. Regarding the important role of methylglyoxal for the aqSOA formation, MEK has to be considered for aqSOA as well, which could be a next step in reducing the underestimation of the SOA burden by model studies.

366 5. Acknowledgements

- 367 This study was supported by the Scholarship program of the German Federal Environmental Foundation
- 368 (Deutsche Bundesstiftung Umwelt, DBU; grant number 20013/244).

369 References

- Akagi, S. K., Yokelson, R. J., Wiedinmyer, C., Alvarado, M. J., Reid, J. S., Karl, T., Crounse, J. D., and Wennberg,
 P. O.: Emission factors for open and domestic biomass burning for use in atmospheric models, Atmos. Chem.
 Phys., 11, 4039-4072, 10.5194/acp-11-4039-2011, 2011.
- Andreae, M. O., and Merlet, P.: Emission of trace gases and aerosols from biomass burning, Glob. Biogeochem.
 Cycle, 15, 955-966, 10.1029/2000gb001382, 2001.
- Ashworth, R. A., Howe, G. B., Mullins, M. E., and Rogers, T. N.: Air water partitioning coefficients of organics
 in dilute aqueous-solutions, J. Hazard. Mater., 18, 25-36, 10.1016/0304-3894(88)85057-x, 1988.
- Atkinson, R.: Gas-phase tropospheric chemistry of volatile organic compounds .1. Alkanes and alkenes, J. Phys.
 Chem. Ref. Data, 26, 215-290, 1997.
- Blando, J. D., and Turpin B. J.: Secondary organic aerosol formation in cloud and fog droplets: a literature
 evaluation of plausibility, Atmos. Environ., 34, 1623-1632, 2000.
- Brilli, F., Gioli, B., Ciccioli, P., Zona, D., Loreto, F., Janssens, I. A., and Ceulemans, R.: Proton Transfer Reaction
 Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometric (PTR-TOF-MS) determination of volatile organic compounds (VOCs)
 emitted from a biomass fire developed under stable nocturnal conditions, Atmospheric Environment, 97, 54 67, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.08.007, 2014.
- Brown, S. K., Sim, M. R., Abramson, M. J., and Gray, C. N.: Concentrations of volatile organic-compounds in indoor air a review, Indoor Air-Int. J. Indoor Air Qual. Clim., 4, 123-134, 10.1111/j.1600-0668.1994.t01-2-00007.x, 1994.
- Buttery, R. G., Ling, L. C., and Guadagni, D. G.: Food volatiles volatilities of aldehydes ketones and esters in dilute water solution, J. Agric. Food Chem., 17, 385-&, 10.1021/jf60162a025, 1969.
- Buyske, D. A., Owen, L. H., Wilder, P., and Hobbs, M. E.: Chromatography of the 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazones
 of some aldehydes and ketones in tobacco smoke, Anal. Chem., 28, 910-913, 10.1021/ac60113a040, 1956.
- Carlier, P., Hannachi, H., and Mouvier, G.: The chemistry of carbonyl-compounds in the atmosphere a review,
 Atmospheric Environment, 20, 2079-2099, 10.1016/0004-6981(86)90304-5, 1986.
- Christensen, H., Sehested, K., and Corfitzen, H.: Reactions of hydroxyl radicals with hydrogen-peroxide at ambient and elevated-temperatures, J. Phys. Chem., 86, 1588-1590, 10.1021/j100206a023, 1982.
- Christensen, H., and Sehested, K.: HO₂ and O₂- radicals at elevated-temperatures, J. Phys. Chem., 92, 3007-3011, 10.1021/j100321a060, 1988.
- Ciccioli, P., Brancaleoni, E., Frattoni, M., Cecinato, A., and Brachetti, A.: Ubiquitous occurrence of semivolatile
 carbonyl-compounds in tropospheric samples and their possible sources, Atmospheric Environment Part a General Topics, 27, 1891-1901, 10.1016/0960-1686(93)90294-9, 1993.
- de Gouw, J. A., Howard, C. J., Custer, T. G., and Fall, R.: Emissions of volatile organic compounds from cut grasses and clover are enhanced during the drying process, Geophysical Research Letters, 26, 811-814, 1999.
- 403 Doussin, J. F., and Monod, A.: Structure-activity relationship for the estimation of OH-oxidation rate constants
 404 of carbonyl compounds in the aqueous phase, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 11625-11641, 10.5194/acp-13-11625405 2013, 2013.
- 406 Elliot, A. J., and Buxton, G. V.: Temperature-dependence of the reactions $OH + O_2^-$ and $OH + HO_2$ in water up 407 to 200 °C, J. Chem. Soc.-Faraday Trans., 88, 2465-2470, 10.1039/ft9928802465, 1992.
- Ervens, B., Gligorovski, S., and Herrmann, H.: Temperature-dependent rate constants for hydroxyl radical reactions with organic compounds in aqueous solutions, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 5, 1811-1824, 10.1039/b300072a, 2003.
- Ervens, B., Turpin, B. J., and Weber, R. J.: Secondary organic aerosol formation in cloud droplets and aqueous particles (aqSOA): a review of laboratory, field and model studies, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 11069-11102, 10.5194/acp-11-11069-2011, 2011.
- Faust, B. C., Powell, K., Rao, C. J., and Anastasio, C.: Aqueous-phase photolysis of biacetyl (An α-dicarbonyl compound): A sink for biacetyl, and a source of acetic acid, peroxyacetic acid, hydrogen peroxide, and the highly oxidizing acetylperoxyl radical in aqueous aerosols, fogs, and clouds, Atmospheric Environment, 31, 497-510, 1997.
- Feng, Y. L., Wen, S., Wang, X. M., Sheng, G. Y., He, Q. S., Tang, J. H., and Fu, J. M.: Indoor and outdoor carbonyl compounds in the hotel ballrooms in Guangzhou, China, Atmospheric Environment, 38, 103-112, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2003.09.061, 2004.

- Fu, T-M., Jacob, D. J., Wittrock, F., Burrows, J. P., Vrekoussis, M., and Henze, D. K., Global budgets of atmospheric glyoxal and methylglyoxal, and implications for formation of secondary organic aerosols, J. Geophys. Res, 113, 1-17, 2008.
- Fu, T-M., Jacob, D. J., and Heald, C. L., Aqueous-phase reactive uptake of dicarbonyls as a source of organic
 aerosol over eastern North America, Atmos. Environ., 43, 1814–1822, 2009.
- 426 Gligorovski, S., and Herrmann, H.: Kinetics of reactions of OH with organic carbonyl compounds in aqueous
 427 solution, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 6, 4118-4126, 10.1039/b403070b, 2004.
- Glowa, G., Driver, P., and Wren, J. C.: Irradiation of MEK II: A detailed kinetic model for the degradation under
 of 2-butanone in aerated aqueous solutions steady-state gamma-radiolysis conditions, Radiat. Phys. Chem.,
 58, 49-68, 10.1016/s0969-806x(99)00360-6, 2000.
- Goldan, P. D., Kuster, W. C., Fehsenfeld, F. C., and Montzka, S. A.: Hydrocarbon measurements in the southeastern United States: The Rural Oxidants in the Southern Environment (ROSE) program 1990, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 100, 25945-25963, 10.1029/95jd02607, 1995.
- Goldstein, A. H., and Galbally, I. E.: Known and unexplored organic constituents in the earth's atmosphere,
 Environmental Science & Technology, 41, 1514-1521, 10.1021/es072476p, 2007.
- 436 Grosjean, D.: Formaldehyde and other carbonyls in Los-Angeles ambient air, Environmental Science &
 437 Technology, 16, 254-262, 10.1021/es00099a005, 1982.
- Grosjean, D., Swanson, R. D., and Ellis, C.: Carbonyls in Los-Angeles air contribution of direct emissions and
 photochemistry, Sci. Total Environ., 29, 65-85, 10.1016/0048-9697(83)90034-7, 1983.
- Grosjean, D., and Wright, B.: Carbonyls in urban fog, ice fog, cloudwater and rainwater, Atmospheric
 Environment, 17, 2093-2096, 10.1016/0004-6981(83)90368-2, 1983.
- Grosjean, D., Grosjean, E., and Moreira, L. F. R.: Speciated ambient carbonyls in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil,
 Environmental Science & Technology, 36, 1389-1395, 10.1021/es0111232, 2002.
- Hallquist, M., Wenger, J. C., Baltensperger, U., Rudich, Y., Simpson, D., Claeys, M., Dommen, J., Donahue, N.
 M., George, C., Goldstein, A. H., Hamilton, J. F., Herrmann, H., Hoffmann, T., Iinuma, Y., Jang, M., Jenkin,
 M. E., Jimenez, J. L., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Maenhaut, W., McFiggans, G., Mentel, T. F., Monod, A., Prevot,
 A. S. H., Seinfeld, J. H., Surratt, J. D., Szmigielski, R., and Wildt, J.: The formation, properties and impact of
 secondary organic aerosol: current and emerging issues, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 5155-5236, 2009.
- Herrmann, H., Ervens, B., Nowacki, P., Wolke, R., and Zellner, R.: A chemical aqueous phase radical mechanism
 for tropospheric chemistry, Chemosphere, 38, 1223-1232, 10.1016/s0045-6535(98)00520-7, 1999.
- Herrmann, H., Tilgner, A., Barzaghi, P., Majdik, Z., Gligorovski, S., Poulain, L., and Monod, A.: Towards a more
 detailed description of tropospheric aqueous phase organic chemistry: CAPRAM 3.0, Atmospheric
 Environment, 39, 4351-4363, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.02.016, 2005.
- 454 Herrmann, H., Schaefer, T., Tilgner, A., Styler, S. A., Weller, C., Teich, M., and Otto, T.: Tropospheric
- 455 Aqueous-Phase Chemistry: Kinetics, Mechanisms, and Its Coupling to a Changing Gas Phase, Chem. Rev.,
 456 115, 4259-4334, 10.1021/cr500447k, 2015.
- Isidorov, V. A., Zenkevich, I. G., and Ioffe, B. V.: Volatile organic-compounds in the atmosphere of forests,
 Atmospheric Environment, 19, 1-8, 10.1016/0004-6981(85)90131-3, 1985.
- Kanakidou, M., Seinfeld, J. H., Pandis, S. N., Barnes, I., Dentener, F. J., Facchini, M. C., Van Dingenen, R.,
 Ervens, B., Nenes, A., Nielsen, C. J., Swietlicki, E., Putaud, J. P., Balkanski, Y., Fuzzi, S., Horth, J., Moortgat,
 G. K., Winterhalter, R., Myhre, C. E. L., Tsigaridis, K., Vignati, E., Stephanou, E. G., and Wilson, J.: Organic
 aerosol and global climate modelling: a review, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 1053-1123, 2005.
- Karl, T., Chahan, Y., Jordan, A., and Lindinger, W.: Dynamic measurements of partition coefficients using protontransfer-reaction mass spectrometry (PTR-MS), International Journal of Mass Spectrometry, 12269, 1-13, 2002.
- Khalil, M. A. K., and Rasmussen, R. A.: Forest hydrocarbon emissions relationships between fluxes and ambient
 concentrations, J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc., 42, 810-813, 1992.
- Kirstine, W., Galbally, I., Ye, Y. R., and Hooper, M.: Emissions of volatile organic compounds (primarily oxygenated species) from pasture, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 103, 10605-10619, 10.1029/97jd03753, 1998.
- Kroll, J. H., Ng, N. L., Murphy, S. M., Varutbangkul, V., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H., Chamber studies of secondary organic aerosol growth by reactive uptake of simple carbonyl compounds, J. Geophys. Res, 110, 1-10, 2005.
- Lilie, J., Beck, G., and Henglein, A.: Pulse radiolysis of acetoin radicals and diacetyl anions in aqueous solution,
 Berichte Der Bunsen-Gesellschaft Fur Physikalische Chemie, 72, 529-&, 1968.
- Lin, G., Sillman, S., Penner, J. E., and Ito, A., Global modeling of SOA: the use of different mechanisms for aqueous-phase formation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 5451–5475, 2014.
- 478 Lipari, F., Dasch, J. M., and Scruggs, W. F.: Aldehyde emissions from wood-burning fireplaces, Environmental
 479 Science & Technology, 18, 326-330, 10.1021/es00123a007, 1984.

- 480 Matthews, T. G., and Howell, T. C.: Visual colorimetric formaldehyde screening analysis for indoor air, Journal
 481 of the Air Pollution Control Association, 31, 1181-1184, 1981.
- 482 Monod, A., Poulain, L., Grubert, S., Voisin, D., and Wortham, H.: Kinetics of OH-initiated oxidation of
 483 oxygenated organic compounds in the aqueous phase: new rate constants, structure-activity relationships and
 484 atmospheric implications, Atmospheric Environment, 39, 7667-7688, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.03.019, 2005.
- Monod, A., Chevallier, E., Jolibois, R. D., Doussin, J. F., Picquet-Varrault, B., and Carlier, P.: Photooxidation of methylhydroperoxide and ethylhydroperoxide in the aqueous phase under simulated cloud droplet conditions, Atmospheric Environment, 41, 2412-2426, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.10.006, 2007.
- 488 Mopper, K., and Stahovec, W. L.: Sources and sinks of low-molecular-weight organic carbonyl-compounds in seawater, Mar. Chem., 19, 305-321, 10.1016/0304-4203(86)90052-6, 1986.
- Morillon, V., Debeaufort, F., Jose, J., Tharrault, J. F., Capelle, M., Blond, G., and Voilley, A.: Water vapour
 pressure above saturated salt solutions at low temperatures, Fluid Phase Equilib., 155, 297-309,
 10.1016/s0378-3812(99)00009-6, 1999.
- Müller, K., van Pinxteren, D., Plewka, A., Svrcina, B., Kramberger, H., Hofmann, D., Bachmann, K., and
 Herrmann, H.: Aerosol characterisation at the FEBUKO upwind station Goldlauter (II): Detailed organic
 chemical characterisation, Atmospheric Environment, 39, 4219-4231, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.02.008, 2005.
- 496 Pastina, B., and LaVerne, J. A.: Effect of molecular hydrogen on hydrogen peroxide in water radiolysis, J. Phys.
 497 Chem. A, 105, 9316-9322, 10.1021/jp012245j, 2001.
- Riemer, D., Pos, W., Milne, P., Farmer, C., Zika, R., Apel, E., Olszyna, K., Kliendienst, T., Lonneman, W.,
 Bertman, S., Shepson, P., and Starn, T.: Observations of nonmethane hydrocarbons and oxygenated volatile
 organic compounds at a rural site in the southeastern United States, Journal of Geophysical ResearchAtmospheres, 103, 28111-28128, 10.1029/98jd02677, 1998.
- Rodigast, M., Mutzel, A., Iinuma, Y., Haferkorn, S., and Herrmann, H.: Characterisation and optimisation of a sample preparation method for the detection and quantification of atmospherically relevant carbonyl compounds in aqueous medium, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 8, 2409-2416, 10.5194/amt-8-2409-2015, 2015.
- Schaefer, T., Schindelka, J., Hoffmann, D., and Herrmann, H.: Laboratory Kinetic and Mechanistic Studies on
 the OH-Initiated Oxidation of Acetone in Aqueous Solution, J. Phys. Chem. A, 116, 6317-6326,
 10.1021/jp2120753, 2012.
- Schütze, M., and Herrmann, H.: Uptake of acetone, 2-butanone, 2,3-butanedione and 2-oxopropanal on a water
 surface, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 6, 965-971, 10.1039/b313474a, 2004.
- Sebbar, N., Bozzelli, J. W., and Bockhorn, H.: Thermochemistry and Kinetics for 2-Butanone-1-yl Radical (CH₂
 center dot C(=O)CH₂CH₃) Reactions with O₂, J. Phys. Chem. A, 118, 21-37, 10.1021/jp408708u, 2014.
- Sebbar, N., Bozzelli, J. W., and Bockhorn, H.: Reactivity, thermochemistry and kinetics of 2-butanone radicals:
 CH₂C(=O)CHCH₃, CH₃C(=O)CHCH₃ and CH₃C(=O)CH₂CH₂, 2011.
- Sempere, R., and Kawamura K.: Comparative distribution of dicarboxylic acids and related polar compounds in
 snow, rain and aerosols from urban, Atmos. Environ., 28, 449-459, 1994.
- Singh, H. B., Salas, L. J., Chatfield, R. B., Czech, E., Fried, A., Walega, J., Evans, M. J., Field, B. D., Jacob, D.
 J., Blake, D., Heikes, B., Talbot, R., Sachse, G., Crawford, J. H., Avery, M. A., Sandholm, S., and Fuelberg,
 H.: Analysis of the atmospheric distribution, sources, and sinks of oxygenated volatile organic chemicals based
 on measurements over the Pacific during TRACE-P, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 109, 20,
 10.1029/2003jd003883, 2004.
- Snider, J. R., and Dawson, G. A.: Tropospheric light alcohols, carbonyls and acetonitrile concentrations in southwestern united-states and henry law data, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 90, 3797-3805, 10.1029/JD090iD02p03797, 1985.
- Stefan, M. I., and Bolton, J. R.: Reinvestigation of the acetone degradation mechanism in dilute aqueous solution
 by the UV/H2O2 process, Environmental Science & Technology, 33, 870-873, 10.1021/es9808548, 1999.
- Tan, Y., Carlton, A. G., Seitzinger, S. P., and Turpin, B. J.: SOA from methylglyoxal in clouds and wet aerosols:
 Measurement and prediction of key products, Atmospheric Environment, 44, 5218-5226,
 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.08.045, 2010.
- van Pinxteren, D., Plewka, A., Hofmann, D., Muller, K., Kramberger, H., Svrcina, B., Bachmann, K., Jaeschke,
 W., Mertes, S., Collett, J. L., and Herrmann, H.: Schmucke hill cap cloud and valley stations aerosol
 characterisation during FEBUKO (II): Organic compounds, Atmospheric Environment, 39, 4305-4320,
 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.02.014, 2005.
- von Sonntag, C., and Schuchmann, H. P.: Aufklärung von Peroxyl-Radikalreaktionen in wäßriger Lösung mit
 strahlenchemischen Techniken, Angew. Chem., 130, 1255-1279, 1991.
- von Sonntag, C., and Schuchmann, H. P.: Bimolecular Decay of peroxyl radicals, in: Peroxyl radicals, edited by:
 Alfassi, Z. B., John Wiley & sons, 199-207, 1997.
- Ware, G. W.: Methyl ethyl ketone, Reviews of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 106, 165-174, 1988.

- Warneke, C., Karl, T., Judmaier, H., Hansel, A., Jordan, A., Lindinger, W., and Crutzen, P. J.: Acetone, methanol,
 and other partially oxidized volatile organic emissions from dead plant matter by abiological processes:
 Significance for atmospheric HOx chemistry, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycle, 13, 9-17, 10.1029/98gb02428, 1999.
- Yokelson, R. J., Burling, I. R., Gilman, J. B., Warneke, C., Stockwell, C. E., de Gouw, J., Akagi, S. K., Urbanski,
 S. P., Veres, P., Roberts, J. M., Kuster, W. C., Reardon, J., Griffith, D. W. T., Johnson, T. J., Hosseini, S.,
 Miller, J. W., Cocker, D. R., Jung, H., and Weise, D. R.: Coupling field and laboratory measurements to
 estimate the emission factors of identified and unidentified trace gases for prescribed fires, Atmos. Chem.
 Phys., 13, 89-116, 10.5194/acp-13-89-2013, 2013.
- Zegota, H., Schuchmann, M. N., Schulz, D., and Vonsonntag, C.: Acetonylperoxyl radicals, CH₃COCH₂O₂ a study on the gamma-radiolysis and pulse-radiolysis of acetone in oxygenated aqueous-solutions, Z.Naturforsch.(B), 41, 1015-1022, 1986.
- Zhao, J., Levitt, N. P., Zhang, R., and Chen, J., Heterogeneous Reactions of Methylglyoxal in Acidic Media:
 Implications for Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 7682-7687, 2006.
- Zhou, X. L., and Mopper, K.: Apparent partition-coefficients of 15 carbonyl-compounds between air and seawater
 and between air and fresh-water implications for air sea exchange, Environmental Science & Technology,
 24, 1864-1869, 10.1021/es00082a013, 1990.
- Zhou, X. L., and Mopper, K.: Photochemical production of low-molecular-weight carbonyl compounds in seawater and surface microlayer and their air-sea exchange, Mar. Chem., 56, 201-213, 10.1016/s0304-4203(96)00076-x, 1997.

Number of experiment	Type of experiment	Concentration precursor compound [mmol L ⁻¹]	Concentration H2O2 [mmol L ⁻¹]	UV light $(\lambda = 254 \text{ nm})$	Reaction time [hours]	Number of repetition
1	Oxidation of MEK	0.1	2	\checkmark	4	3
2	Reaction of H ₂ O ₂ with MEK	0.1	2	-	4	1
3	Photolysis of MEK	0.1	-	\checkmark	4	1
4	Photolysis of H ₂ O ₂	-	2	\checkmark	4	1
5	Oxidation of 2,3- butanedione	0.1	2	\checkmark	4	1
6	Oxidation of hydroxyacetone	0.1	2	\checkmark	4	1
7	Photolysis of 2,3- butanedione, hydroxyacetone, methylglyoxal	0.1	-	\checkmark	4	1

Table 1: Conducted experiments in the bulk reactor.

MEK: Methyl ethyl ketone



Figure 1: Consumption of MEK (A) during the oxidation with OH radicals and time-resolved formation of the products methylglyoxal (B), 2,3-butanedione (B), and hydroxyacetone (B).



Figure 2: GC/MS chromatogram of oxidation of 2,3-butanedione (a,b,c) and the authentic standard compounds 2,3-butanedione (a,b,c) and methylglyoxal (d,e).



Figure 3: Photolysis of MEK and time-resolved formation of 2,3-butanedione.

Oxidation product	Maximal molar yield (± standard deviation) in	Reaction time in minutes	_
2,3-Butanedione	29.5 ± 6.0	60	
Methylglyoxal	9.5	15	
Hydroxyacetone	3.0 ± 2.6	60	
Hydroxyacetone	3.0 ± 2.6 H ₃ C	$\begin{array}{c} 60 \\ \hline \\ 2 \\ \hline \\ 3 \\ \hline \\ 4 \\ \hline \\ 5 \\ \hline \\ 1 \\ 1$	- OH - HCHQ - HCHQ - HCHQ - OH - HCHQ - OH - OH - OH - OH - OH - OH - OH - OH
product	$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ OH & & & \\ H_9C $	$H_{3}C \rightarrow C \rightarrow$	Hyperoxy radical recombination
$2_{H_3C'}$ alkox \downarrow^{+O_2} \downarrow^{-HO_2} \downarrow_{-HO_2} \downarrow_{-GC} \downarrow_{-GC} \downarrow_{-GC} \downarrow_{-GC} \downarrow_{-GC} \downarrow_{-GC} \downarrow_{-GC}	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} + \\ + \\ + \\ + \\ + \\ + \\ - \\ + \\ + \\ - \\ + \\ - \\ -$	$\begin{array}{c} O \\ & & \\ & \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} O \\ P \\$

Table 2: Maximal molar yields of the oxidation products.

Figure 4: Recommendation of an oxidation mechanism of MEK for the formation of 2,3-butanedione, hydroxyacetone and methylglyoxal.

	Reaction	Rate constant k	Comment
R1	$H_2O_2 + h\upsilon \rightarrow 2 \text{ OH}$	$7.6 imes 10^{-6} ext{ s}^{-1}$	measured
R2	$2 \ \mathrm{OH} \rightarrow \mathrm{H_2O_2}$	$3.6\times 10^9 \ M^{1} \ s^{1}$	Elliot and Buxton, 1992
R3	$OH + H_2O_2 \rightarrow HO_2 + H_2O$	$2.7\times10^7~M^{1}~s^{1}$	Christensen et al., 1982
R4	$OH + HO_2 \rightarrow H_2O + O_2$	$6\times 10^9 \ M^{1} \ s^{1}$	Elliot and Buxton, 1992
R5	$2 \ HO_2 \rightarrow H_2O_2 + O_2$	$9.8\times 10^5 \; M^{1} \; s^{1}$	Christensen and Sehested, 1988
R6	$HO_2 + H_2O_2 \rightarrow OH + O_2 + H_2O$	0.5 M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	Pastina and LaVerne, 2001
R7	$CH_3C(O)CH_2CH_3 + OH \rightarrow CH_3C(O)CH_2CH_2 + H_2O$	$9\times 10^8 \; M^{1} \; \text{s}^{1}$	changed after Gligorovski and Herrmann, 2004
R8	$CH_3C(O)CH_2CH_3 + OH \rightarrow CH_3C(O)CHCH_3 + H_2O$	$6 \times 10^8 \; M^{1} \; s^{1}$	changed after Gligorovski and Herrmann, 2004
R9	$CH_3C(O)CH_2CH_2 + O_2 \rightarrow CH_3C(O)CH_2CH_2O_2$	$3.1 \times 10^9 \ M^{1} \ s^{1}$	Zegota et al., 1986
R10	$2 \text{ CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{CH}_2\text{O}_2 + 2 \text{ HCHO}$	$4\times 10^7 \; M^{1} \; s^{1}$	Zegota et al., 1986
R11	$2\ CH_3C(O)CH_2O_2 \rightarrow CH_3C(O)CH_2OH + CH_3C(O)C(O)H + O_2$	$2\times 10^8 \text{ M}^{1} \text{ s}^{1}$	Zegota et al., 1986
R12	$2 \text{ CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{CH}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow 2 \text{ HO}_2 + 2 \text{ CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{C}(\text{O})\text{H}$	$4\times 10^7~M^{1}~s^{1}$	Zegota et al., 1986
R13	$2 \ CH_3C(O)CH_2O_2 \rightarrow 2 \ CH_3C(O)C(O)H + H_2O_2$	$4\times 10^8 \ M^{1} \ s^{1}$	Zegota et al., 1986
R14	$CH_3C(O)CH_2CH_2O_2 + HO_2 \rightarrow CH_3C(O)CH_2CH_2OOH + O_2$	$1\times 10^7~M^{1}~s^{1}$	Von Sonntag and Schuchmann, 1991
R15	$CH_{3}C(O)CH_{2}CH_{2}OOH + OH \rightarrow Product$	$2.7\times10^7~M^{1}~s^{1}$	Christensen et al., 1982
R16	$CH_{3}C(O)CH_{2}CH_{2}OOH \rightarrow OH + HCHO + CH_{3}C(O)CH_{2}$	$7.6\times10^{7}~\text{s}^{1}$	after Monod et al., 2007
R17	$CH_3C(O)CH_2 + O_2 \rightarrow CH_3C(O)CH_2O_2$	$3.1\times 10^9M^{1}\text{s}^{1}$	Zegota et al., 1986
R18	$2 \ CH_3C(O)CH_2O_2 \rightarrow CH_3C(O)CH_2OH + CH_3C(O)C(O)H + O_2$	$2\times 10^8 \text{ M}^{1} \text{ s}^{1}$	Zegota et al., 1986
R19	$2 \text{ CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{CH}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + 2 \text{ CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{C}(\text{O})\text{H}$	$4\times 10^8 \text{ M}^{1} \text{ s}^{1}$	Zegota et al., 1986
R20	$2 \ CH_3C(O)CH_2O_2 \rightarrow 2 \ CH_3C(O)C(O)H + HO_2$	$4\times 10^7~M^{1}~s^{1}$	Zegota et al., 1986
R21	$CH_3C(O)CHCH_3 + O_2 \rightarrow CH_3C(O)CHO_2CH_3$	$3.1 \times 10^9 \ M^{1} \ s^{1}$	Glowa et al., 2000
R22	$2 \ CH_3C(O)CHO_2CH_3 \rightarrow O_2 + CH_3C(O)C(O)CH_3 + CH_3C(O)CHCH_3OH$	$2.5\times 10^8 \; M^{1} \; s^{1}$	Glowa et al., 2000
R23	$2 \text{ CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{CHO}_2\text{CH}_3 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + 2 \text{ CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{C}(\text{O})\text{CH}_3$	$4.5\times10^8~M^{1}~s^{1}$	Glowa et al., 2000
R24	$2 \ CH_3C(O)CHO_2CH_3 \rightarrow CH_3C(O)C(O)CH_3 + CH3C(O)C(O)H + CH_3 + HO_2$	$5\times 10^7~M^{1}~s^{1}$	Glowa et al., 2000
R25	$CH_{3}C(O)CHO_{2}CH_{3} + HO_{2} \rightarrow CH_{3}C(O)CHOOHCH_{3} + O_{2}$	$1\times 10^7M^{1}\text{s}^{1}$	Von Sonntag and Schuchmann, 1991
R26	$CH_{3}C(O)CHOOHCH_{3} \rightarrow CH_{3}C(O)CHOCH_{3} + OH$	$7.6\times10^{7}~\text{s}^{1}$	after Monod et al., 2007
R27	$2 \text{ CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{CHOCH}_3 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{C}(\text{O})\text{H} + \text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{C}(\text{O})\text{CH}_3 + \text{CH}_3 + \text{HO}_2$	$4\times 10^7~M^{1}~s^{1}$	Zegota et al., 1986
R28	$CH_3C(O)CHOOHCH_3 + OH \rightarrow Product$	$2.7 \times 10^7 \ M^{\text{1}} \ s^{\text{1}}$	Christensen et al., 1982
R29	$CH_3C(O)C(O)H + OH \rightarrow Product$	$5.3 \times 10^8 \; M^{1} \; s^{1}$	Monod et al., 2005
R30	$CH3C(O)C(O)H \rightarrow Product$	$3\times 10^{\text{-5}}~\text{s}^{\text{-1}}$	measured
R31	$CH_3C(O)C(O)CH_3 + OH \rightarrow Product$	$1.4 \times 10^8 \; M^{1} \; s^{1}$	Gligorovski and Herrmann, 2004
R32	$CH_3C(O)C(O)CH_3 \rightarrow Product + 0.17 \ CH_3C(O)C(O)H$	$9\times 10^{\text{-6}}~\text{s}^{\text{-1}}$	measured
R33	$\mathrm{CH}_3\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\mathrm{CH}_2\mathrm{OH} + \mathrm{OH} \to \mathrm{CH}_3\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\mathrm{H}$	$8\times 10^8 \; M^{1} \; s^{1}$	Stefan and Bolton, 1999
R34	$CH3C(O)CH_2OH \rightarrow Product + 0.19 \text{ CH}_3C(O)C(O)H$	$2\times 10^{\text{-5}}~\text{s}^{\text{-1}}$	measured
R35	$CH_{3}C(O)CH_{2}CH_{3} \rightarrow Product + 0.02 \ CH_{3}C(O)C(O)CH_{3}$	$5\times 10^{\text{-5}} \text{ s}^{\text{-1}}$	measured

Table 3: Reaction mechanism and rate constants for the modelling of the experiment with COPASI.



Figure 5: Extracted ion chromatogram (EIC) of m/z 281 M⁺⁺, m/z 476 M⁺⁺ and m/z 283 M⁺⁺ during the oxidation of MEK and EIC of the authentic standard compounds.



Figure 6: Comparison of the molar yields of 2,3-butanedione (A) and methylglyoxal (B) for the model and experimental results using different branching ratios of the primary/secondary H-atom abstraction varied between 60/40 up to 10/90.



Figure 7: Comparison of the model and experimental results for MEK (A), 2,3-butanedione (B), and methylglyoxal (C).