

# 1 Inter-comparison of stratospheric mean-meridional 2 circulation and eddy mixing among six reanalysis datasets

3  
4 **K. Miyazaki<sup>1</sup>, T. Iwasaki<sup>2</sup>, Y.Kawatani<sup>1</sup>, C. Kobayashi<sup>3</sup>, S. Sugawara<sup>4</sup>, M. I.  
5 Hegglin<sup>5</sup>**

6 [1]{Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology, Yokohama, Japan}

7 [2]{Department of Geophysics, Graduate School of Science, Tohoku University, Sendai,  
8 Japan}

9 [3]{Meteorological Research Institute, Tsukuba, Japan}

10 [4]{Department of Science Education, Miyagi University of Education, Sendai, Japan}

11 [5]{Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Reading, UK}

12 Correspondence to: K. Miyazaki (kmiyazaki@jamstec.go.jp)

## 14 **Abstract**

15 The stratospheric mean-meridional circulation (MMC) and eddy mixing are compared among  
16 six meteorological reanalysis datasets: NCEP-NCAR, NCEP-CFSR, ERA-40, ERA-Interim,  
17 JRA-25, and JRA-55 for the period 1979–2012. The reanalysis datasets produced using  
18 advanced systems (i.e., NCEP-CFSR, ERA-Interim, and JRA-55) generally reveal a weaker  
19 MMC and stronger eddy mixing in the Northern Hemisphere (NH) compared with those  
20 produced using older systems (i.e., NCEP/NCAR, ERA-40, and JRA-25). In the NH lower  
21 stratosphere, the stronger eddy mixing is attributed to stronger planetary-scale mixing in the  
22 new datasets, whereas small-scale mixing is weaker in the new datasets. Conventional data  
23 assimilation techniques introduce analysis increments without maintaining physical balance,  
24 which may have caused an overly strong MMC and spurious small-scale eddies in the old  
25 datasets. At the NH mid-latitudes, only ERA-Interim reveals a weakening MMC trend in the  
26 deep branch of the Brewer–Dobson circulation (BDC). The relative importance of the eddy  
27 mixing compared with the mean transport in the subtropical lower stratosphere is considered  
28 to be important in controlling mean Age-of-Air (AoA) variations above, which show  
29 increasing trends in ERA-Interim and JRA-55; this together with the weakened MMC in the

1 deep branch may imply an increasing AoA trend in the NH middle stratosphere in ERA-  
2 Interim. Overall, discrepancies between the different variables and trends therein as derived  
3 from the different reanalyses are still relatively large, suggesting that more investments into  
4 these products are needed in order to obtain a consolidated picture of observed changes in the  
5 BDC and the mechanisms that drive them.

6

## 7 **1 Introduction**

8 The Brewer–Dobson circulation (BDC), which was discovered by Brewer (1949) and Dobson  
9 (1929; 1956), consists of the mean-meridional circulation (MMC) and eddy mixing in the  
10 stratosphere. The stratospheric MMC is composed of ascending motions in the tropics,  
11 poleward motions toward mid and high latitudes, and descending motions at high latitudes.  
12 Planetary waves that propagate from the troposphere break and cause eddy mixing primarily  
13 in the stratospheric surf zone surrounding the polar vortex in the winter hemisphere (McIntyre  
14 and Palmer, 1983). Because the BDC motion is too slow to measure directly from any  
15 measurements, the detailed structure and long-term variations of the BDC have not been well  
16 understood.

17 Stratospheric age-of-air (AoA) (Waugh and Hall, 2002) derived from observation data of  
18 long-lived chemical compounds is frequently used as a surrogate for the combined effects of  
19 the MMC and eddy mixing in order to investigate the structure and long-term variations in the  
20 BDC. Observational studies have found a positive AoA trend in the Northern Hemisphere  
21 (NH) mid-latitudes in the middle to upper stratosphere based on balloon measurements of SF<sub>6</sub>  
22 and CO<sub>2</sub> for 1975–2005 (Engel et al., 2009), MIPAS SF<sub>6</sub> measurements for 2002–2010  
23 (Stiller et al., 2012), balloon-based measurements of SF<sub>6</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> for 1975–2012 (Ray et al.,  
24 2014), and a merged long-term satellite data record of water vapour for 1986–2010 (Hegglin  
25 et al., 2014). In the Southern Hemisphere (SH) mid-latitude lower stratosphere, Stiller et al.  
26 (2012) found in contrast a negative AoA trend, a result confirmed by Hegglin et al. (2014).  
27 The study by Hegglin et al. (2014) also finds a negative AoA trend in the NH lower  
28 stratosphere in contrast to Stiller et al. (2012), with the difference likely explainable by the  
29 different time periods considered. However, these observed trends are not fully consistent  
30 with simulated results using general circulation models (GCMs), which show instead an  
31 acceleration in the mean BDC throughout the stratosphere (e.g., Butchart et al., 2011). The  
32 acceleration of the mean BDC strength in the model simulation is associated with enhanced

1 wave driving (Garcia and Randel, 2008) and shifting critical levels for wave breaking  
2 (Shepherd and McLandress, 2011). The reasons for the inconsistency between the  
3 measurements and models, especially in the middle and upper stratosphere, have not yet been  
4 investigated.

5 MMC and eddy mixing in the BDC are intrinsically linked (Dunkerton, 1978) and hence play  
6 both important roles in determining distributions of long-lived chemical species and AoA in  
7 the stratosphere. Interpretation of AoA variations therefore needs to take into account changes  
8 in both MMC and eddy mixing. Several recent studies have now quantified the effects of eddy  
9 mixing on AoA variations in more detail (Ray et al., 2010; Garny et al., 2014; Ploeger et al.,  
10 2015a, 2015b). Garny et al. (2014) stated that eddy mixing causes recirculation of air in the  
11 stratosphere, and acts to increase the mean value of AoA. Mixing inside the surf zone  
12 modifies the latitudinal distribution of AoA and chemical species, whereas mixing across the  
13 subtropical transport barrier is suggested to be important for the mean AoA value above the  
14 mixing level (Garny et al., 2014; Ploeger et al., 2015a).

15 Meteorological reanalyses provide a realistic meteorological field by combining model  
16 information with actual observations, and have the potential to provide an alternative tool to  
17 study the BDC and AoA variations. Iwasaki et al. (2009) compared four reanalysis datasets  
18 (NCEP-NCAR, NCEP-DOE, ERA-40, and JRA-25) and found large differences in their  
19 representation of the BDC. Wright and Fueglistaler (2013) showed large differences in the  
20 simulated diabatic heat budget in the tropical upper troposphere and lower stratosphere in five  
21 reanalysis models (NCEP-NCAR, NCEP-CFSR, JRA-25, ERA-Interim, and MERRA), with  
22 substantial implications for representation of transport and mixing. Recently, Abalos et al.  
23 (2015) compared the MMC in three reanalyses (ERA-Interim, JRA-55, and MERRA) using  
24 three different estimates: from the transformed Eulerian mean (TEM, Andrews and McIntyre,  
25 1976) residual circulation and based on momentum and thermodynamic balances. They  
26 showed a relatively large spread (around 40%) among the estimates of the magnitude of  
27 tropical upwelling. Monge-Sanz et al. (2007, 2012) highlighted that the representation of the  
28 BDC (including eddy mixing) has become much more realistic in ERA-Interim than in ERA-  
29 40, thanks to large investments made into improving the reanalysis product. They showed that  
30 AoA derived using ERA-Interim displays an increasing trend in the NH mid-latitude  
31 stratosphere above 25 km in 1989–2010, consistent with the findings by Hegglin et al. (2014)  
32 for 1986–2010. Diallo et al. (2013) showed that the AoA trend derived using ERA-Interim in

1 the middle stratosphere is positive over the 1989–2010 period. Similarly to what has been  
2 accomplished with ERA-Interim, it is important to know whether realistic long-term  
3 variations in the BDC have now also been achieved in other reanalysis products.

4 Differences in the forecast model, assimilated measurements, and data assimilation technique  
5 used for producing reanalysis datasets can lead to differences in their representation of the  
6 BDC. Model simulations without any assimilation produce meteorological fields that follow  
7 the dynamical and thermodynamic balance of the forecast model. Data assimilation analysis  
8 increments, introduced by using conventional data assimilation techniques such as the three-  
9 dimensional variational (3D-VAR) one, may upset this balance and degrade the expression of  
10 momentum budget and wave structures. This is because they introduce an additional force,  
11 without maintaining physical balance, as a result of its isotropic and instantaneous analysis  
12 increment. In the 3D-VAR analysis, mean ascending motions in the tropics and mixing in the  
13 subtropics in the stratosphere were found to be excessively strong (Schoeberl et al., 2003; Tan  
14 et al., 2004; Scheele et al., 2005). Advanced data assimilation techniques such as the four-  
15 dimensional variational method (4D-VAR) are capable of assimilating observations at the  
16 exact time while maintaining the dynamical balance because of the use of flow-dependent  
17 analysis, which are expected to improve the representation of both MMC and eddy mixing.

18 In this paper, we compare MMC and eddy mixing in the stratosphere for six reanalysis  
19 datasets; NCEP-NCAR, NCEP-CFSR, ERA-40, ERA-Interim, JRA-25, and JRA-55. The  
20 analysis is conducted for the 34 years from 1979 to 2012 based on mass-weighted isentropic  
21 zonal means that allow accurate analysis of Lagrangian-mean motions and eddy mixing.  
22 Based on the comparison of the mean and eddy components in the BDC, we discuss whether  
23 any of the reanalysis data have the potential to reveal useful information on long-term AoA  
24 variations.

## 25 **2 Methodology**

### 26 **2.1 Data**

27 The six reanalysis datasets used in our comparison can be described as follows: 1) NCEP-  
28 NCAR – the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP)-National Center for  
29 Atmospheric Research (NCAR) reanalysis product (Kalnay et al., 1996), with a model grid  
30 resolution of T62L28 produced using a 3D-VAR technique; 2) NCEP-CFSR – the NCEP  
31 Climate Forecast System Reanalysis (Saha et al., 2010) with a model grid resolution of

1 T382L64 produced using a 3D-VAR technique; 3) ERA-40 – the 40-yr ECMWF Re-Analysis  
 2 (Simmons and Gibson, 2000) with a model grid resolution of T159L60 produced using a 3D-  
 3 VAR technique; 4) ERA-Interim – a continuously updated reanalysis since 1979 (Simmons et  
 4 al., 2007), with a model grid resolution of T225L60 produced using a 4D-VAR technique; 5)  
 5 JRA-25 – the Japanese 25-year reanalysis product (Onogi et al., 2007), with a model grid  
 6 resolution of T106L40 provided using a 3D-VAR technique; and 6) JRA-55 – the Japanese  
 7 55-year reanalysis product (Kobayashi et al., 2015), with a model grid resolution of T319L60  
 8 provided using a 4D-VAR technique.

9 We here classify NCEP-NCAR, JRA-25, and ERA-40 as old datasets, and NCEP-CFSR,  
 10 JRA-55, and ERA-Interim as new datasets because of improvements in these latter made by  
 11 using updated forecast models, updated bias correction algorithms, and advanced data  
 12 assimilation analysis. We use reanalysis results for the 34 years after 1979, when satellite  
 13 measurements were assimilated into the reanalysis. For ERA-40, the mean state and linear  
 14 trend are estimated for the 24 years from 1979 to 2002, since the data are not available after  
 15 2002.

## 16 **2.2 Analysis framework**

17 The analysis of MMC and eddy mixing is based on mass-weighted isentropic zonal means  
 18 (hereafter referred to as MIM analysis; Iwasaki 1989, 1992; Miyazaki and Iwasaki 2005). The  
 19 MIM zonal mean is defined as:

$$20 \quad \overline{A(\phi, \theta, t)^*} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int A(\lambda, \phi, \theta, t) \left( \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta} / \frac{\partial \bar{p}}{\partial \theta} \right) d\lambda, \quad (1)$$

21 where  $\phi$  is the latitude,  $\theta$  is the potential temperature,  $t$  is the time,  $\lambda$  is the longitude, and  $p$  is  
 22 the pressure. The asterisks and overbars represent mass-weighting and isentropic zonal means,  
 23 respectively. Eddies are defined as departures from the mass-weighted zonal means,

$$24 \quad A' \equiv A - \overline{A^*}. \quad (2)$$

25 Their correlations are given by

$$26 \quad \overline{(A'B')^*} = \overline{(AB)^*} - \overline{A^*B^*}, \quad (3)$$

27

1 We use isentropic zonal mean pressure for the vertical coordinate,

$$2 \quad p_{\dagger} \equiv \bar{p} \quad (4)$$

3 The log pressure coordinate for isentropic zonal mean pressure is given by

$$4 \quad z_{\dagger} \equiv -H \log(p_{\dagger} / p_0), \quad (5)$$

5 where  $p_0 = 1000$  hPa,  $H = g/RT_r$ , and  $p_0$ ,  $H$ ,  $g$ ,  $R$  and  $T_r$  are the reference pressure, scaling  
6 height, the acceleration of gravity, gas constant and reference temperature, respectively.

7 By taking the zonal average on constant isentropes, adiabatic wave motions, which produce  
8 Stokes drift, are separated from diabatic effects without having to assume quasigeostrophic  
9 flow (Tung, 1982; Andrews 1983; Townsend and Johnson 1985; Iwasaki, 1989, 1992).  
10 Unlike other isentropic coordinate analyses (Andrews, 1983; Tung, 1982, 1986), in the MIM  
11 analysis, the mass-weighting is considered not only for meridional circulation but also for  
12 other variables such as zonal wind following Johnson (1980). The MIM analysis thus  
13 expresses the conservative nature of momentum, heat, and minor constituents, including the  
14 exact lower boundary conditions and non-geostrophic effects (Iwasaki, 1989, 1992; Miyazaki  
15 and Iwasaki, 2008). The MIM analysis also exactly specifies the eddy diabatic and adiabatic  
16 transport terms (Miyazaki and Iwasaki, 2005).

17 The TEM (Andrews and McIntyre, 1976) provides a useful framework for understanding  
18 mean and eddy transports; however, the estimation of the transport fluxes is limited and  
19 complicated. Randel et al. (1994), Strahan et al. (1996), and Abalos et al. (2013) estimated  
20 eddy transport terms based on eddy flux vectors for small amplitude eddies following  
21 Andrews et al. (1987), while some studies estimated this term as residuals considering the  
22 uncertainty and difficulty in the eddy transport term estimations (e.g., Randel et al., 1998).  
23 The TEM residual circulation represents the difference between the adiabatic temperature  
24 changes due to the Eulerian mean vertical velocity  $\bar{w}$  and eddy heat flux divergence, in which  
25 the quasi-geostrophic approximation and small-amplitude assumption for the Stokes  
26 correction are applied and cause disagreement between the TEM residual circulation and  
27 Lagrangian mean circulation (Andrews and McIntyre 1976, 1978). For instance, Miyazaki et  
28 al. (2008) found a significant (>30%) difference in the mean vertical velocity around the  
29 Antarctic polar vortex between the TEM residual vertical velocity and the MIM MMC  
30 analysis, which can be attributed to the assumptions applied for the TEM Stokes corrections.

1 Most of the disadvantages of conventional analysis methods such as TEM (e.g., complicated  
 2 and inaccurate representation of transport by both mean and eddy motions, lower boundary  
 3 conditions, and mass conservations) can be avoided using the MIM analysis (Tung, 1986;  
 4 Iwasaki, 1989; Tanaka et al., 2004; Miyazaki and Iwasaki, 2005).

5 We here focus on two altitudes; 440 K (at approximately 90–80 hPa) and 560 K (40–30 hPa)  
 6 as representatives of the shallow and deep branches of the BDC, respectively. Birner and  
 7 Bönisch (2011) reported that the shallow branch extends to about 50 hPa, and the deep branch  
 8 is located above that altitude. The analysis results are presented on the isentropic coordinates  
 9 for diagnosing adiabatic and diabatic transport components. Note that if the potential  
 10 temperature at a constant pressure changes with time, there would not be exact agreement  
 11 between the estimated trends in pressure and isentropic coordinates. For example, in the last  
 12 30 years (2008–2012 mean minus 1979–1983 mean), potential temperature at 70 hPa  
 13 decreased by about 2.5 K at low and mid-latitudes in both hemispheres in ERA-Interim.  
 14 Nevertheless, the general structure of the linear trend was similar between the two coordinates  
 15 (and hence will not be shown here). The long-term linear trend is estimated based on the  
 16 least-squares fitting. The statistical significance is determined for the 95% confidence level  
 17 using the Mann-Kendall test.

### 18 2.2.1 Mean-meridional circulation (MMC)

19 The mass stream function  $\chi$  in the MIM analysis is calculated from integrating meridional  
 20 velocity with respect to  $p_{\dagger}$  :

$$21 \quad \chi = a \cos \phi \int_0^{p_{\dagger}} \overline{v^*} dp_{\dagger}. \quad (6)$$

22 where  $a$  is the Earth's radius, and  $v$  is the meridional wind.  $\overline{v^*}$  is calculated from meridional  
 23 wind data with consideration of the mass-weighted isentropic zonal means. Based on the  
 24 vertical coordinate of the isentropic zonal mean pressure, a diagnostic form the MIM zonal  
 25 mean continuity equation can be derived:

$$26 \quad \frac{1}{a \cos \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} (\overline{v^*} \cos \phi) + \frac{1}{\rho_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\dagger}} (\rho_0 \overline{w_{\dagger}^*}) = 0, \quad (7)$$

27 where  $\rho_0$  is the reference atmospheric density. This is valid even when isentropes intersect the  
 28 ground, by considering the mass-weighted isentropic zonal means of meridional velocities.

1 The diagnostic form of the zonal mean continuity equation without an eddy term confirms  
 2 that the mean meridional circulation can be expressed by the nondivergent mass stream  
 3 function. The mean vertical velocity  $\overline{w_{\dagger}^*}$  is obtained from the mass stream function  $\chi$  as  
 4 follows:

$$6 \quad \overline{w_{\dagger}^*} = \frac{1}{2\pi a \rho_0 \cos \phi} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \phi}. \quad (8)$$

7 Meanwhile, the local vertical velocity can be estimated as:

$$8 \quad w_{\dagger}^* \equiv \frac{dz_{\dagger}}{dt} = \left( \frac{\partial z_{\dagger}}{\partial t} \right)_{\theta} + \frac{v}{a} \left( \frac{\partial z_{\dagger}}{\partial \phi} \right)_{\theta} + \dot{\theta} \frac{\partial z_{\dagger}}{\partial \theta}. \quad (9)$$

9 The mass-weighted zonal mean of Eq. (9) gives the relationship between the mean vertical  
 10 velocity and the diabatic heating as follows:

$$11 \quad \overline{w_{\dagger}^*} = \left( \frac{\partial z_{\dagger}}{\partial t} \right)_{\theta} + \frac{\overline{v^*}}{a} \left( \frac{\partial z_{\dagger}}{\partial \phi} \right)_{\theta} + \overline{\dot{\theta}^*} \frac{\partial z_{\dagger}}{\partial \theta}. \quad (10)$$

## 12 2.2.2 Eddy mixing

13 By assuming a flux-gradient linear relationship, the diffusion coefficient provides a measure  
 14 of eddy mixing. The isentropic diffusion coefficient  $K_{yy}$  can be derived from the eddy  
 15 meridional flux and meridional potential vorticity (PV) gradient on isentropic surfaces (Tung,  
 16 1986; Newman et al., 1988; Bartels et al., 1998; Miyazaki and Iwasaki, 2005; Miyazaki et al.,  
 17 2010b) by neglecting the influence of slant diffusion:

$$18 \quad \left[ \overline{(v'q)'} \right]_l \approx -K_{yy}(l) \left[ \left( \frac{\partial q^*}{a \partial \phi} \right)_{\theta} \right]_l, \quad (11)$$

19 where  $q$  is the PV, and  $[ ]_l$  denotes the time average.

20 Under frictionless and adiabatic conditions, the PV acts as an atmospheric passive tracer  
 21 (Hoskins et al., 1985). Miyazaki et al. (2010a) demonstrated that the diabatic source–sink  
 22 effect on the PV budget is much smaller than the transport effects in the subtropical and  
 23 extratropical stratosphere. In the  $K_{yy}$  estimation, steady conservative wave motions projected



1 onto a meridional plane can cause apparent diffusion in addition to the true diffusion caused  
2 by dissipative wave motions, which may lead to significant differences between the estimated  
3  $K_{yy}$  and the true eddy mixing. In our estimates, a time average window  $t$  is applied to the eddy  
4 PV flux, as in Miyazaki and Iwasaki (2005) and Miyazaki et al. (2010b). This is to reduce the  
5 effect of the apparent diffusion effect caused by steady conservative wave motions on  $K_{yy}$  and  
6 to represent the  $K_{yy}$  caused by the true mixing caused by dissipative wave motions. As a result,  
7 we expect that the estimated  $K_{yy}$  provides information on eddy mixing characteristics similar  
8 to estimates of the effective diffusivity (Nakamura, 1996; Haynes and Shuckburgh, 2000).

9 The absolute value of  $K_{yy}$  is influenced by the choice of  $l$  (set to one day in this study). In the  
10 case of a shorter time window, steady conservative wave motions projected onto the  
11 meridional plane cause apparent diffusion in addition to true diffusion. By changing  $l$  from 6  
12 hours to 10 days, we confirmed that the estimated  $K_{yy}$  becomes smaller with increasing  $l$  in all  
13 the datasets, but the relative difference of the estimated  $K_{yy}$  among the different reanalysis  
14 datasets was only slightly influenced by the choice of  $l$ . For instance, the 34-year (1979–2012)  
15 mean value of  $K_{yy}$  ( $l=1$  day) averaged over 40–60N from December to February (DJF) at 440  
16 K is smaller than that of  $K_{yy}$  ( $l=10$  days) by 3.4–6.7% in all the datasets except for ERA-40  
17 (by 0.9% in 1979–2002).

18 We should note that, even after eliminating the influence of apparent diffusion in  $K_{yy}$   
19 estimates, there are limitations in elucidating eddy mixing from these estimates. As discussed  
20 by Nakamura (2008), whilst a part of the Eulerian eddy diffusivity (e.g.,  $K_{yy}$ ) can be attributed  
21 to instantaneous, irreversible mixing in a way similar to effective diffusivity, the Eulerian  
22 eddy diffusivity and eddy diffusivity are fundamentally different, both qualitatively and  
23 quantitatively. This is because of difficulties associated with representing eddy advective  
24 transport in the Eulerian formulation. Meanwhile, the results of the  $K_{yy}$  analysis and related  
25 variables are presented here in the geometric latitude coordinate system (where a zonal  
26 average is taken for air parcels with different PVs), whereas effective diffusivity is presented  
27 in the equivalent latitude (EL) coordinate system (based on the latitude circle that encloses the  
28 same area as the PV contour). Latitudinal variations of zonal-mean eddy mixing and  
29 associated fields (e.g., strong eddy mixing outside the polar vortex) are more clearly presented  
30 in the EL coordinate system.

### 1 2.2.3 The relative importance of mean and eddy transports

2 The zonal mean equation in the MIM analysis can accurately separate meridional transport  
3 into mean transport by Lagrangian-mean circulation and eddy (diffusion) transport. In the  
4 MIM analysis, the mean and eddy PV fluxes are defined as follows:

$$5 \text{ Mean} = \left( \overline{v^* q^*}, \overline{\dot{\theta}^* q^*} \right), (12)$$

$$6 \text{ Eddy} = \left( \overline{(v'q')^*}, \overline{(\dot{\theta}'q')^*} \right). (13)$$

7 where  $\overline{v^*}$  is calculated from meridional wind data (c.f., Sec. 2.2.1). The diabatic heating  $\overline{\dot{\theta}^*}$   
8 can be related to the mean vertical velocity, as described in Eq. (10). The mean transport  
9 fluxes are parallel to isopleths of the mass streamfunction, whereas the eddy transport fluxes  
10 are parallel to the isentropes under diabatic conditions. Only the meridional components are  
11 analyzed in this study.

12 In order to evaluate the relative importance of eddy transport in each reanalysis product, we  
13 estimate the ratio of eddy and total meridional transport fluxes of PV as follows:

$$14 \frac{\left| \overline{(v'q')^*} \right|}{\left| \overline{(v'q')^*} \right| + \left| \overline{v^* q^*} \right|}. (14)$$

15 If the transport ratio is larger (smaller) than 0.5, the eddy transport (mean transport)  
16 dominates the meridional transport on isentropic surfaces.

## 17 3 Results

### 18 3.1 Eddy mixing

19 Figure 1 shows the seasonal mean isentropic diffusion coefficient  $K_{yy}$  ( $l=1$  day) (hereafter  
20 referred to as  $K_{yy}$ ). Large  $K_{yy}$  values reveal strong isentropic mixing in the stratospheric surf  
21 zone in both hemispheres, but is stronger in the NH than in the SH. The hemispheric  
22 asymmetry can be attributed to the differences in planetary wave activity (e.g., Shepherd et al.,  
23 2000). The small  $K_{yy}$  equatorward of about  $30^\circ$  is indicative of a barrier to horizontal transport  
24 between the tropics and mid-latitudes in both hemispheres (Plumb, 1996). At SH high  
25 latitudes,  $K_{yy}$  becomes large after the break-up of the Antarctic polar vortex (figure not

1 shown). Strong cross-tropopause eddy mixing is present in the subtropics and mid-latitudes,  
2 poleward of the subtropical jet stream, whereas the eddy mixing is strongly suppressed near  
3 the core of the subtropical jet stream. These general characteristics are commonly found in all  
4 the datasets, and are generally consistent with the analysis of effective diffusivity (e.g.,  
5 Haynes and Shuckburgh, 2000).

6 The mean value and linear trend of  $K_{yy}$  are estimated in the surf zone (40–60°) and subtropics  
7 (15–25°) around the overturning latitude of the MMC (Table 1 and Fig. 2). Isentropic mixing  
8 in the surf zone influences the latitudinal gradient of tracers, whereas the mixing across the  
9 subtropics is considered to be important for the stratospheric mean AoA because it  
10 recirculates old air from the extratropics to the tropics (Garny et al., 2014; Ploeger et al.,  
11 2015a). The mean  $K_{yy}$  value in the NH surf zone is greater in the new datasets than in the old  
12 datasets (about 20% for NCEP, 10% for ERA, and 25% for JRA at 440 K, and about 20% for  
13 ERA, and 5% for JRA (not for NCEP) at 560 K). The very large  $K_{yy}$  value in NCEP-NCAR in  
14 the middle stratosphere is associated with the low top height of the reanalysis product.

15 The interannual  $K_{yy}$  variations both at 440 K and 560 K are remarkably similar among the  
16 datasets in the NH mid latitudes during the 34 years considered, and in the SH after 2000  
17 (except for NCEP-NCAR at 560 K). In the subtropical lower stratosphere, the mean  $K_{yy}$  value  
18 differs largely among the data products (0.25–0.58 in the NH, and 0.21–0.41 in the SH). The  
19 standard deviation in the subtropics is smaller in the new datasets than in the old datasets by  
20 20–30%. The large difference in the subtropical mixing among the datasets could be  
21 associated with different representations of the Quasi-biennial oscillation (QBO) in different  
22 reanalysis products (Pawson and Fiorino, 1998; Kim and Chun, 2015; Kawatani, personal  
23 communication). The shift of the zero wind line associated with the QBO controls  
24 propagation and breaking of planetary waves and leads to large year-to-year variations in the  
25 subtropical mixing.

26  $K_{yy}$  shows an increasing trend in the NH surf zone from the lower to middle stratosphere in all  
27 the datasets (+2.1 to +7.5 %/decade in 1979–2012 and +20.7 %/decade in 1979–2001 for  
28 ERA-40 at 440 K, and +2.4 to +12.7 %/decade at 560 K). In the SH surf zone, the  $K_{yy}$  trend  
29 varies largely between the datasets (-2.0 to +16.4 %/decade at 440 K, and -3.0 to  
30 +12.5 %/decade at 560 K). The trend in the SH at 560 K is negative only in ERA-Interim. The  
31 intensified surf zone mixing could be associated with changes in the critical level. Climate  
32 model simulations demonstrated that long-term changes in zonal wind such as the shift of

1 zero wind line in response to climate change can enhance the upward propagation of  
2 westward-propagating waves (Kawatani et al., 2011; Shepherd and McLandress, 2011).  
3 Further investigations are required to comprehend the relationship between changes in the  
4 critical level, wave forcing, and mixing strength in the reanalysis products.

5 In the NH subtropical lower stratosphere,  $K_{yy}$  shows increasing trends in all datasets except  
6 for NCEP-NCAR (+0.3 to +15.5 %/decade), with relatively weak trends in the new datasets  
7 (+0.3 to +2.5 %/decade). A large strengthening trend in the subtropical mixing in JRA-25  
8 (+11.6 %/decade) and ERA-40 (+15.5 %/decade) was similarly found in Ray et al. (2010). In  
9 the SH subtropical lower stratosphere, the  $K_{yy}$  trend is positive only in ERA-Interim  
10 (+2.8 %/decade), and shows a large negative value in JRA-25 (-8.5 %/decade) and ERA-40 (-  
11 25.5 %/decade). Because of the large interannual variations, the estimated trends are not  
12 statistically significant for most cases in the subtropics and the mid-latitudes.

13 In all the datasets, the  $K_{yy}$  trend in the NH surf-zone is positive (+2.5 to +9.7 %/decade) in the  
14 first 22 years, then becomes negative (-8.3 to -27.6 %/decade) in the last 12 years (Table 2).  
15 The negative trend in the latter period is larger in the new datasets (-21.9 to -27.6 %/decade)  
16 than in the old datasets (-8.3 to -16.7 %/decade). These decadal scale changes in the mixing  
17 trend seem to be consistent with those in the tropical upward mass flux and MMC in the NH  
18 (c.f., Section 3.2). This suggests that variations in wave forcing lead to decadal scale changes  
19 in both the mean and eddy transports as is expected, given that these two features are  
20 intrinsically connected with each other (c.f., Section 4.1). In the SH surf zone, the positive  $K_{yy}$   
21 trend is greater during the last 12 years than during the past 22 years in all the new datasets. In  
22 the NH subtropics (not shown in table),  $K_{yy}$  shows a greater positive trend in the last 12 years  
23 than in the first 22 years in ERA-Interim, whereas the trend is positive in the first 22 years  
24 (+4.9 to +14.3 %/decade) and becomes negative in NCEP-CFSR, JRA-25, and JRA-55 in the  
25 last 12 years (-9 to -13.4 %/decade).

## 26 **3.2 Mean-meridional circulation (MMC)**

27 Figure 3 compares the meridional cross section of the mass stream function averaged over  
28 DJF and June to August (JJA) in 1979–2012. Note that ERA-40 has been averaged over the  
29 shorter time period 1979–2002 due to limited availability of the dataset. Comparison of ERA-  
30 40 and ERA-Interim averaged over the same (shorter) time period, however, indicates that the  
31 results are comparable. The general structure of the MMC, such as the poleward motion from

1 low latitudes of the summer hemisphere to mid and high latitudes of the winter hemisphere,  
2 and the descending motions at high latitudes of winter hemispheres, is commonly found in all  
3 the datasets. However, details regarding the structure, intensity, and trend of the MMC differ  
4 among the data. In NCEP-NCAR, the MMC is noisy and unrealistically distorted in the  
5 middle stratosphere (altitudes above about 550 K), and also in the SH lower stratosphere  
6 during JJA. In ERA-40, it is relatively strong throughout the stratosphere in both hemispheres,  
7 as already pointed out by Wohltmann and Rex (2008). Similarly, JRA-25 exhibits an MMC  
8 that is somewhat stronger in the middle to upper stratosphere than that found in the other  
9 datasets. In NCEP-CFSR, the tropical mean upward motion is distorted in the lower  
10 stratosphere, so that mean air trajectories do not ascent in a straight line. Significant diversity  
11 of the tropical mean upward motions (not shown) may come from differences in simulated  
12 diabatic heating rates in the forecast models (Fueglistaler et al., 2009).

13 Figure 4 compares the seasonal variation of the mass stream functions at 560 K. The strong  
14 mean poleward motions are present from low latitudes to mid and high latitudes from autumn  
15 to spring. They are relatively suppressed at the polar vortex edge. In NCEP-NCAR, the cross-  
16 equatorial mean-meridional flow is relatively weak throughout the year. Also, the strong  
17 poleward flow at mid-latitudes does not exhibit the seasonality apparent in the other  
18 reanalyses. In ERA-40 and JRA-25, the mean poleward flow from the subtropics to the mid-  
19 latitudes is relatively strong in both hemispheres throughout the year.

20 The MMC long-term trend differs greatly among the reanalysis datasets (Fig. 5). In NCEP-  
21 NCAR, the spatial structure of the linear trend slope is very noisy, likely reflecting  
22 inhomogeneities in the reanalysis product. In NCEP-CFSR, the MMC shows a strengthening  
23 trend from the tropics to the mid-latitudes in the lower and middle stratosphere in both winter  
24 hemispheres. JRA-25 reveals a strengthening trend in the lower and middle stratosphere  
25 equatorward of 60N in the NH during winter and throughout the lower stratosphere in the SH  
26 in both seasons, whereas it shows a weakening trend above 500 K in the SH in DJF. JRA-55  
27 shows a weak positive trend in the lower stratosphere in winter. ERA-40 reveals a  
28 strengthening trend throughout the lower and middle stratosphere over 1979–2002 in the SH  
29 in JJA, whereas the trend pattern is noisy in the NH in both seasons. Only in ERA-Interim, the  
30 MMC in the NH middle stratosphere (or above about 480 K) tends to weaken during winter.  
31 A negative trend is also found from the tropics to the mid-latitudes in both hemispheres in JJA  
32 in the middle stratosphere in ERA-Interim. The BDC shallow branch mostly shows a

1 strengthening trend in ERA-Interim as in other datasets. Abalos et al. (2015) revealed that the  
2 acceleration in the MMC is a qualitatively robust result across different estimates (from the  
3 TEM residual circulations and based on momentum and thermodynamic balances). Obvious  
4 structural changes (i.e., that the shallow and deep branches changed differently) in the  
5 wintertime MMC can be found only in ERA-Interim and to some extent also in JRA-25.  
6 There are only a few statistically significant estimates in the BDC trends. The low statistical  
7 significance could be partly attributed to the fact that large variances associated with ENSO  
8 and QBO, as pointed out by Abalos et al. (2015), are not removed in our trend estimates.

9 Figure 6 compares the time series of the mass stream function at mid-latitudes ( $40\text{--}60^\circ$ ) in the  
10 lower stratosphere (440 K) and the middle stratosphere (560 K) during the winter seasons.  
11 The mean value and linear trend slope are summarized in Table 3. In the NH mid-latitudes at  
12 both altitudes, the mean MMC strength is weaker in the new data than in the old data. At 440  
13 K, NCEP-NCAR was about 60% larger compared to NCEP-CFSR, ERA-40 was 30% larger  
14 compared to ERA-Interim, and JRA-25 was 30% larger compared to JRA-55; at 560 K, these  
15 values were 40%, 15%, and 30%, respectively. In the NH in DJF, the linear trend slope is  
16 positive (indicating a strengthening of the MMC) in all datasets at 440 K (+0.9 to  
17 +2.5 %/decade in 1979–2012 and +3.4 %/decade in 1979–2001 for ERA-40) and in all the  
18 datasets except for ERA-Interim and ERA-40 at 560 K (+0.9 to +4.0 %/decade). The rate of  
19 increase is larger at 440 K than at 560 K in NCEP-CFSR, JRA-25, and JRA-55.

20 In the SH mid-latitudes in JJA, the mean MMC strength does not reveal any systematic  
21 difference between the new and old datasets, whereas the standard deviation (i.e., year-to-year  
22 variability) is smaller in the new datasets than in the old datasets by 10–30%. In all the  
23 datasets except for ERA-Interim, the MMC tends to strengthen at 440 K; large trends in  
24 1979–2012 are present in NCEP-NCAR, JRA-25, and JRA-55 (-5.4 to -8.4 %/decade). At 560  
25 K, the MMC tends to weaken in ERA-Interim, JRA-25, and NCEP-CFSR (+1.1 to  
26 +4.0 %/decade); the largest trend is thereby found in ERA-Interim (+4.0 %/decade). Both the  
27 shallow and deep branches of BDC in the SH show strengthening trends in NCEP-NCAR,  
28 ERA-40, and JRA-55.

29 The total upward mass flux was estimated by differencing the maximum and minimum values  
30 of the mass stream function along the constant isentropic surfaces (Table 4 and Fig. 7). The  
31 annual mean upward mass flux is smaller in the new datasets than in the old datasets (by 8–  
32 30% at 440 K and 9–30% at 560 K), as similarly found in the MMC strength in the NH in

1 DJF. The MMC shows a strengthening trend in all the datasets except for NCEP-NCAR and  
 2 ERA-Interim at 440 K (+1.4 to +6.0 %/decade in 1979–2012 and +8.5 %/decade in 1979–  
 3 2001 for ERA-40) and in all datasets except for ERA-Interim at 560 K (+0.6 to  
 4 +5.3 %/decade). The trend is negative only in ERA-Interim at both altitudes (-3.9 %/decade at  
 5 440 K and -6.7 %/decade at 560 K). The estimated trends are statistically significant for the  
 6 new datasets both at 440 K and 560 K.

7 Several recent studies have pointed out that AoA and BDC changes occur over timescales of  
 8 several years to decades (Aschmann et al., 2014; Mahieu et al., 2014; Ray et al., 2014;  
 9 Poelger et al., 2015b). As summarized in Table 5, the upward mass flux at 440 K shows a  
 10 strengthening trend during the first 22 years (1979–2000, +1.3 to +9.6 %/decade), but a  
 11 weakening trend (or slowing strengthening trend) during the last 12 years (2001–2012, -6.3 to  
 12 +0.9 %/decade) at 440 K in all datasets except for ERA-Interim. ERA-Interim shows a larger  
 13 negative trend during the last 12 years (-6.0 %/decade) than in the first 22 years (-  
 14 3.0 %/decade). Abalos et al. (2015) demonstrated that, across nine estimates using three  
 15 reanalyses (ERA-Interim, JRA-55, and MERRA) and three approaches (derived from the  
 16 TEM residual circulation and based on momentum and thermodynamic balances), only the  
 17 residual circulation derived from ERA-Interim shows negative trends in annual mean tropical  
 18 upwelling. A strong strengthening (weakening) trend is found at 560 K for NCEP-NCAR and  
 19 JRA-25 (ERA-Interim and JRA-55).

### 20 **3.3 Wave decomposition**

21 To gain a better understanding of the relative contributions of the wave phenomena on various  
 22 scales to isentropic mixing, the zonal eddy PV flux is decomposed into individual zonal  
 23 wavenumber components  $s$  using Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) and then normalized by the  
 24 background meridional PV gradient as follow:

$$25 \quad K_{yy(s)} = \left( \frac{\left[ \overline{v'_s q'_s} \right]_l}{\left[ \frac{\partial \bar{q}}{a \partial \varphi} \right]_l} \right)_\theta. \quad (15)$$

26 The eddy PV flux is separated into three groups: planetary waves (associated with the zonal  
 27 wavenumbers 1–3), synoptic-scale waves (zonal wavenumbers 4–7), and small-scale waves  
 28 (zonal wavenumbers 8 and higher).

1 The relative contribution of these groups is summarized in Table 6. The absolute value of the  
2 planetary-scale eddy flux is larger by 10–20% in the new datasets than in the old datasets for  
3 all the datasets at 440 K in the NH mid-latitudes, which could be responsible for the stronger  
4 mixing in the new datasets. The relative contribution of the planetary-scale mixing to the total  
5 eddy flux is also larger in the new datasets in this region, as shown in Table 6. In contrast, the  
6 relative contributions of zonal disturbances at synoptic and small scales are somewhat smaller  
7 in the new datasets than in the old datasets at 440 K. With conventional data assimilation  
8 techniques such as 3D-VAR, physical consistency cannot be maintained during the data  
9 assimilation analysis because of lack of flow-dependent background error information, and  
10 this probably together with the lower forecast model resolution may cause spurious  
11 disturbances and excessive mixing, especially at small scales in the old datasets. For  
12 planetary-scale and synoptic-scale waves, forecast model configurations may also be  
13 important for the obtained differences (e.g., Biagio et al., 2014).

14 Each wave group reveals different long-term variations. The planetary-scale disturbance  
15 shows increasing trends in the NH surf-zone at both 440 K (+0.3 to +7.2 %/decade in 1979–  
16 2012 and +9.4 %/decade in 1979–2001 for ERA-40) and 560 K (+4.4 to +15.2 %/decade in  
17 1979–2012 and +16.9 %/decade in 1979–2001 for ERA-40). At 560 K, all the groups reveal  
18 positive trends in all the datasets, with smaller linear trends in the new datasets in most cases.

19 In the subtropical lower stratosphere (not shown in table), as commonly found in the NH surf  
20 zone, the planetary-scale disturbance is stronger, and the small-scale disturbance is weaker in  
21 the new datasets than in the old datasets. The positive  $K_{yy}$  trend can be mainly attributed to the  
22 strengthening trend in the planetary-scale disturbance (+3.1 to +5.2 %/decade) in all datasets  
23 except for NCEP-NCAR.

### 24 **3.4 Relative importance of eddy meridional transport**

25 Atmospheric wave breaking drives both the mean and eddy transports, but in a different  
26 manner; it drives the MMC below the breaking level through downward control (Haynes et al.,  
27 1991), whereas it causes eddy mixing at the level of wave breaking (e.g., Waugh et al., 1994).  
28 Therefore, changes in MMC and eddy mixing do not necessarily occur in the same way in  
29 response to changes in atmospheric wave forcing. These wave-induced processes may be  
30 represented differently among the reanalysis products.



1 We compare the relative ratio of the eddy and total meridional PV fluxes (Eq. 14) to  
2 investigate the relative importance of these two transport processes. As shown in Fig. 8, the  
3 mean meridional transport is dominant equator-ward of about  $40^\circ$  throughout the year, and in  
4 the extratropics during the summer. The eddy transport is dominant in the extratropics of the  
5 winter hemisphere. Air masses entering the stratosphere are thus dominantly transported by  
6 the mean-meridional transport from low to mid-latitudes and then transported mainly by the  
7 eddy mixing from the mid- to high latitudes in the winter hemisphere. The strong westerly  
8 coincides with the large contribution of the eddy transport. These characteristics are found in  
9 a consistent way in all the datasets.

10 The 34-year mean value of the transport ratio is larger (i.e., the contribution of eddy transport  
11 is larger) in the new datasets than in the old datasets both in the extratropics (by 3–8% at 440  
12 K and by about 0–4% at 560 K) and subtropics (by 3–14%) in the NH (Table 7 and Fig. 9). In  
13 the NH surf zone, the trend in the transport ratio is different among the datasets, although both  
14 the mean and eddy transports showed increasing trends in all the datasets at 440 K and in  
15 most datasets except for ERA-40 and ERA-Interim at 560 K. At 560 K, the transport ratio  
16 shows a positive trend (i.e., the contribution of the eddy transport becomes more important  
17 over time) in the old datasets (+0.3 to +1.5%/decade). The trend is much smaller (or negative)  
18 in the new datasets. In the SH surf zone, on the other hand, the trend in the transport ratio  
19 varies among the dataset (-4.4 to +2.1 %/decade at 440 K and -3.5 to +1.6 %/decade at 560 K).

20 In the NH subtropics at 440 K, it is larger in the new datasets (0.25–0.31) than in the old  
21 datasets (0.17–0.22), suggesting that eddy-induced recirculation of old air masses from the  
22 extratropics to the tropics is more effective than the mean poleward transport of fresh air  
23 masses from the tropics into the extratropics in the new datasets, which could have led to  
24 older AoA as derived for example in the study by Monge-Sanz et al. (2012), as discussed  
25 further in Section 4.2. The transport ratio in the NH subtropics shows a positive trend in most  
26 datasets except for NCEP-NCAR and NCEP-CFSR. In the SH subtropics, the trend is  
27 negative (the mean transport tends to become more important) in most datasets except for  
28 ERA-Interim. The positive trend in ERA-Interim corresponds to the increasing trend in  $K_{yy}$   
29 that only appeared in ERA-Interim.

30 The transport ratio in the NH subtropics reveals a larger positive trend in the last 12 years  
31 (+9.9 to +25.5 %/decade) than in the first 22 years (-6 to +3.0 %/decade) in all datasets except  
32 for NCEP-CFSR (Table 8). This positive trend implies that the eddy transport has become

1 more important over time with this tendency becoming even more important during the later  
2 time period considered. In the SH subtropics, the mean transport became more important in  
3 all datasets except ERA-Interim in the earlier time period, with an increasingly negative trend  
4 in the transport ratio for NCEP-NCAR, NCEP-CFSR, and also ERA-Interim in the later time  
5 period, along with the mean transport becoming less important in JRA-25 and JRA-55.

## 6 **4 Discussion**

### 7 **4.1 Dynamical consistency**

8 The relationship between the Eliassen–Palm (E–P) flux divergence and the MMC is expressed  
9 through the downward control principle for steady-state conditions. The eddy PV flux in  $K_{yy}$   
10 can also be related to the mass flux and E–P flux under the quasi-geostrophic assumption  
11 (Andrews et al., 1987; Schneider, 2005). Conventional data assimilation may degrade the  
12 wave mean flow and wave mixing relationships. To evaluate the dynamical balance in the  
13 reanalysis products, we computed the temporal correlation between the E–P flux divergence  
14 and the mass stream function, and between the E–P flux divergence and  $K_{yy}$  ( $l=1$  day). The E–  
15 P flux was estimated based on the MIM zonal mean momentum equation, in which forcings  
16 by sub-grid processes were not considered. Momentum changes through sub-grid processes  
17 such as gravity wave drag (GWD) parameterization need to be taken into consideration for  
18 strict momentum budget analysis. However, these data were not provided in all the datasets,  
19 and hence could not be considered in the analysis. The wave-driven adjustment time for the  
20 wave mean flow interactions is typically several weeks in the lower stratosphere (Haynes et  
21 al., 1991); this effect was also not considered. Instantaneous analysis fields with no time lag  
22 were used for the correlation calculation. We here discuss the relationship between the E–P  
23 flux divergence at each vertical level and the MMC at 440 K and between the E–P flux  
24 divergence at each vertical level and  $K_{yy}$  ( $l=1$  day) at 560 K. The MMC at 440 K and  $K_{yy}$  at  
25 560 K are driven by wave forcings at mostly the same vertical levels (approximately between  
26 500 and 650 K), as discussed below.

27 As shown in Fig. 10 for ERA-Interim, the mass stream function at 440 K at NH mid-latitudes  
28 shows a large correlation with the E–P flux divergence at higher levels, because of downward  
29 control. The correlation coefficient averaged between 500 and 650 K at the NH mid-latitudes  
30 is larger in the new datasets (approximately 0.5–0.6) than in the old datasets (approximately  
31 0.4) except for JRA (Table 9). This result suggests that the physical balance associated with

1 the wave mean flow interaction is represented more strictly in the new datasets for the NCEP  
2 and ERA datasets. JRA-25 and JRA-55 both reveal large correlation coefficients  
3 (approximately 0.6).

4  $K_{yy}$  ( $l=1$  day) at 560 K shows strong correlations with the E–P flux divergence around 500–  
5 650 K in ERA-Interim (Fig. 10). This confirms that the reanalysis products represent local  
6 wave forcings that drive eddy mixing. There is no systematic difference in the wave-mixing  
7 relationship between the new and old datasets. Not only the dynamic balance in analysis  
8 increments, but also characteristics of atmospheric diffusivity in the forecast model (e.g.,  
9 associated with choice of transport scheme and numerical diffusion) could influence the  
10 wave-mixing relationship in the reanalysis products.

## 11 **4.2 Implications for AoA long-term variations**

12 Based on analyses of observational data, several observational studies (e.g., Engel et al.,  
13 2009; Stiller et al., 2012; Ray et al., 2014; Hegglin et al., 2014) revealed a positive AoA trend  
14 at the NH mid-latitudes from the middle to upper stratosphere, but for different period (1975–  
15 2005 in Engel et al. (2009), 2002–2010 in Stiller et al. (2012), 1975–2012 in Ray et al. (2014),  
16 and 1986–2010 in Hegglin et al. (2014)). Hegglin et al. (2014) also found a reversed trend in  
17 the NH lower stratosphere in 1986–2010.

18 Using ERA-Interim, Monge-Sanz (2012) and Diallo et al. (2013) have demonstrated an AoA  
19 decreasing trend in the NH lower stratosphere and an increasing trend in the middle  
20 stratosphere in 1990–2009 and 1989–2010, respectively, consistent with the available  
21 observational estimates. As discussed by Garny et al. (2014) and Ploeger et al. (2015a, 2015b),  
22 it is essential to take the effects of mixing along the transport pathway into consideration, on  
23 top of the effects of the MMC for understanding AoA variations. Interpreting AoA variations  
24 simply in terms of changes in the local MMC and eddy mixing effects can be misleading,  
25 because the AoA of a given air parcel is the result of the integrated effect of the various  
26 tendencies along the parcel trajectory. Although the local analysis given in this study does not  
27 allow for the air parcel history and transport pathway variations to be analyzed, it can provide  
28 useful information on the general structure of the transport intensity and its potential impacts  
29 on AoA variations.

30 To investigate whether any reanalysis data have the potential to reveal useful implications of  
31 long-term AoA variations, we summarize long-term variations in the three important transport

1 processes: the tropical upward mass flux, the relative contribution of eddy mixing in the  
2 subtropics, and the mid-latitudes mean poleward motions ( $\overline{v^*}$ ). We consider that, rather than  
3 the mixing strength in the subtropics itself, the relative ratio of the mean and eddy transport is  
4 essential to understanding AoA variations, since it determines the ratio of fresh air entering  
5 and old air recirculating between the tropics and extratropics. Eddy mixing across the MMC  
6 overturning may recirculate old air masses from the extratropics to the tropics, whereas mean  
7 poleward flows carry fresh air masses from the tropics to the extratropics, and may return old  
8 air masses that were recirculated from the extratropics by eddy transport. Here, we focus on  
9 transport processes during winter to explain their possible influences on annual mean AoA  
10 variations. In winter, atmospheric waves are active, and strongly induce both MMC and eddy  
11 mixing. Abalos et al. (2015) showed that the DJF trends make a major contribution to the  
12 overall structure of the annual mean trends of BDC in the NH. However, the influence of  
13 transport processes in other seasons cannot be neglected (Konopka et al., 2015). Therefore,  
14 the implication obtained from the local analysis of the wintertime transport processes in this  
15 study is limited. Explicit calculations of AoA using the reanalysis datasets are required to  
16 provide further insights into the role of each transport process on AoA variations.

17 During the 34 years, from 1979 to 2012, the tropical upward mass flux shows a positive trend  
18 from the lower to middle stratosphere, except for ERA-Interim in DJF (consistent with the  
19 results of Seviour et al. (2011) and Abalos et al. (2015)), and NCEP-NCAR and ERA-Interim  
20 in JJA (Fig. 11). The relative importance of the eddy transport compared to the mean  
21 transport shows an increasing trend in ERA-Interim and JRA-55 from the lower to middle  
22 stratosphere, which may act to increase AoA, especially in the BDC deep branch. The value  
23 of  $\overline{v^*}$  at the NH mid-latitudes shows a strengthening trend in the lower stratosphere in most  
24 datasets, except for NCEP-CFSR below 440 K. The  $\overline{v^*}$  varies largely with height in ERA-  
25 Interim, showing a sharp positive trend peak around 400–430 K, and a negative trend above  
26 520 K. To summarize, AoA derived using the reanalysis products in the NH middle  
27 stratosphere for the 34 years can be expected to increase in ERA-Interim, and probably also in  
28 JRA-55, because of the large increase in the contribution of the eddy mixing in the subtropics  
29 and the weakened mean poleward motion in the deep branch. AoA in the NH lower  
30 stratosphere can be expected to decrease in all the datasets, except in NCEP-CFSR.

31 In the SH, the relative importance of the eddy transport to the mean transport in the subtropics  
32 shows an increasing trend only in ERA-Interim in the lower stratosphere (above 410 K), and

1 in ERA-Interim and NCEP-NCAR in the middle stratosphere (above 460 K). The value of  $\overline{v^*}$   
2 at the SH mid-latitudes shows a strengthening trend in all the datasets, except for ERA-  
3 Interim above 500 K. These changes suggest the possibility of an AoA decreasing trend for  
4 both the lower and middle stratosphere in the SH in most datasets except for ERA-Interim  
5 during the 34 years. The analysis of ERA-Interim may suggest the possibility of a weaker  
6 decreasing or a weak positive AoA trend in the middle stratosphere.

7 The decadal scale variation in the transport processes will also cause changes in the AoA  
8 trend on similar timescales. In the last 12 years (Fig. 12), the upward mass flux trend becomes  
9 negative in the lower stratosphere in most datasets, and shows larger increasing trends in the  
10 middle stratosphere in all the datasets in DJF. The contribution of the eddy transport in the  
11 NH subtropics becomes even more important in the last 12 years in all the datasets, with the  
12 largest increase in ERA-Interim. The value of  $\overline{v^*}$  at the NH mid-latitudes tends to weaken in  
13 most datasets, except for ERA-Interim below 430 K, and all the datasets above that level in  
14 the last 12 years. Because of the larger negative trend in  $\overline{v^*}$  and the increased contribution of  
15 the eddy transport in the subtropics, a larger AoA increasing trend in the NH is expected to be  
16 derived using ERA-Interim during the last 12 years than during the 34 years, as suggested by  
17 Ploeger et al. (2015b).

18 In the SH, the relative importance of the eddy transport in the subtropics tends to weaken  
19 slightly in most datasets, except for JRA-25 in the last 12 years. JRA-25 reveals substantial  
20 decadal scale changes in the transport processes in both hemispheres. ERA-Interim reveals a  
21 large decreasing contribution of the eddy transport, which may indicate a significant  
22 decreasing trend in AoA in the SH stratosphere in the last 12 years, as consistently revealed  
23 by Stiller et al. (2012) for the 2002–2010 period.

#### 24 **4.3 Implications for future developments of reanalyses**

25 Although the reanalysis systems have been individually updated at each operation center,  
26 similar aspects were encountered regarding the effects of the system updates on the MMC and  
27 eddy mixing, as follows:

- 28 1. Both the BDC shallow and deep branches reveal weaker MMC mean intensity (by 15–  
29 60% in the NH) and smaller interannual variability (by 10–60% in the SH) in the new

1 datasets than in the old datasets. This tendency generally will tend to increase AoA  
2 throughout the NH stratosphere.

3 2. Isentropic mixing is stronger in the new datasets than in the old datasets by 10–25%,  
4 which can be attributed to stronger planetary-scale mixing in the new datasets in the NH  
5 lower stratosphere. In contrast, the contribution of small-scale mixing was smaller in the  
6 new datasets, which may be a result of reduced spurious eddies associated with analysis  
7 increments using the flow-dependent analysis (but not in NCEP-CFSR) and the use of the  
8 higher forecast model resolution.

9 3. The relative importance of the eddy transport to the mean transport in the NH is larger in  
10 the new datasets by up to 8% in the extratropics, and by 3–14% in the subtropics. The  
11 larger eddy contribution in the subtropical lower stratosphere may cause an older AoA in  
12 the entire stratosphere in the new datasets.

13 4. The wave mean flow relationship in the NH surf zone is more accurately represented in  
14 the new datasets for the NCEP and ERA datasets.

15 Updated systems can be expected to provide better representations of both the MMC and eddy  
16 mixing, because of reduced systematic errors of the forecast model, the application of  
17 improved bias correction algorithms, and advanced data assimilation techniques. Nevertheless,  
18 it is not straightforward to identify which changes are mainly responsible for the differences  
19 in the MMC and eddy mixing.

20 Monge-Sanz et al. (2007, 2012) investigated that ERA-Interim benefits using an omega-  
21 equation balance operator in the background constraint, which is likely to have reduced  
22 spurious propagation of eddy motion associated with analysis increments. They also  
23 suggested other important factors, such as reduced stratospheric temperature biases of the  
24 forecast model and improved bias corrections for satellite radiance data. In ERA-40 and JRA-  
25 25, systematic analysis increments were introduced to compensate for model temperature  
26 biases, which are thought to cause an overly strong BDC (Uppala et al., 2005). Kobayashi and  
27 Iwasaki et al. (2015) found that in JRA-25, the temperature analysis increment evolves from  
28 the 1990s to the 2000s, associated with changes in forecast model biases and lack of effective  
29 bias corrections, either for the forecast model and assimilated measurements. As a result, the  
30 MMC structure in JRA-25 was unrealistically distorted in a particular period corresponding to  
31 the changes in external forcing.

1 The decadal scale variations of the transport processes in the reanalysis could be introduced  
2 artificially because of measurement discontinuity. Stratospheric temperatures in ERA-Interim  
3 are known to be affected by the introduction of AMSU-A data in 1998 and radio occultation  
4 data at the end of 2006 (Dee et al., 2011). Improved bias correction schemes have been  
5 implemented in recent reanalysis systems (e.g., variational bias correction in JRA-55  
6 (Kobayashi et al., 2015) and ERA-Interim (Dee and Uppala, 2009)). However, it is still  
7 difficult to distinguish between forecast models and measurements biases, and to correct them  
8 properly.

9 In addition, the reanalysis quality could be strongly affected by the performance of the  
10 forecast model. Any changes in wave propagation and breaking lead to changes in both the  
11 MMC and mixing, but current models have large uncertainties in representing various waves,  
12 including forcings from GWD parameterization (e.g., Sigmond and Shepherd, 2014). Further  
13 efforts on model development are still important.

## 14 **5 Conclusions**

15 We compared the characteristics of the stratospheric MMC and eddy mixing in the six  
16 reanalysis products for the period 1979–2012 based on mass-weighted isentropic zonal means.  
17 Both of the mean and eddy transport processes play important roles in determining  
18 distributions and variations of chemical tracers and AoA, thus it is important to clarify  
19 whether there are any reanalysis data that can be used to investigate long-term BDC variations.

20 There were large differences in the MMC strength among the datasets, but there were some  
21 similar characteristics. In the NH, the MMC is stronger in the new datasets (NCEP-CFSR,  
22 ERA-Interim, and JRA-55) than in the old datasets (NCEP-NCAR, ERA-40, and JRA-25).  
23 All the datasets show a strengthening trend in the BDC shallow branch in the winter  
24 hemispheres, consistent with model simulations (e.g., Butchart et al., 2010). The MMC in the  
25 BDC deep branch showed a weakening trend only in ERA-Interim at the NH mid-latitudes  
26 and in ERA-Interim and JRA-25 in the SH mid-latitudes in winter. The vertical structure in  
27 the changes as seen in ERA-Interim that would lead to a decrease in AoA in the lower  
28 stratosphere and an increase in AoA in the middle (and upper) stratosphere are broadly  
29 consistent with inferences made from changes observed in stratospheric water vapour  
30 (Hegglin et al., 2014).

31 Isentropic mixing is generally stronger in the new datasets than in the old datasets, which can  
32 be attributed to a stronger large-scale mixing in the new datasets in the lower stratosphere. In

1 contrast, the contribution of small-scale mixing was smaller in the new datasets, which is  
2 considered to be a result of reduced spurious eddies associated with analysis increments in the  
3 4D-VAR analysis. Isentropic mixing shows a strengthening trend in the NH extratropics and  
4 subtropics in most cases, associated with strengthened large-scale mixing.

5 We also evaluated the relative importance of the mean and eddy transports. The contribution  
6 of eddy mixing was generally stronger in the new datasets than in the old datasets in the NH.  
7 In the subtropical lower stratosphere, the relative contribution of eddy transport showed an  
8 increasing trend in datasets, except for NCEP-NCAR and NCEP-CFSR in the NH, and only in  
9 ERA-Interim in the SH. These trends reveal changes in the relative effectiveness of eddy-  
10 induced recirculation from the extratropics to the tropics, and the mean poleward transport of  
11 fresh air into the extratropics; they are considered to be important in understanding AoA  
12 variations.

13 The transport analysis suggests that the ERA-Interim provides a consistent transport picture,  
14 with AoA trends derived based on observations in both the deep and shallow branches,  
15 whereas other datasets would provide different implications. The increasing trend in AoA in  
16 the NH middle stratosphere can be understood as a result of the weakening MMC in the deep  
17 branch (found only in ERA-Interim), together with the increased contribution of eddy  
18 transport compared with the mean transport in the subtropical lower and middle stratosphere  
19 (found in ERA-Interim and JRA-55). The decreasing trend in AoA in the SH lower  
20 stratosphere could be a result of the strengthening MMC trends in the shallow branch (large in  
21 ERA-Interim and JRA-25). All the reanalysis datasets revealed decadal scale variations in the  
22 strength of both MMC and eddy mixing, which may result in significant AoA trend variations.  
23 For instance, the increased contribution of the eddy transport in the subtropics and the  
24 weakened mean poleward motion in the middle stratosphere during the last 12 years (1979–  
25 2000) compared to the first 22 years (2001–2012) in the NH suggests larger increasing trends  
26 in AoA in ERA-Interim, NCEP-NCAR, and JRA-55 in the last 12 years.

27 Differences in data assimilation schemes and forecast models are thought to cause differences  
28 in the expression of the MMC and eddy mixing in the BDC among reanalysis products. Our  
29 analysis suggests that advanced reanalysis products are potentially useful for studying long-  
30 term BDC variations, because of the flow-dependent background error covariance,  
31 assimilation of the observations at the exact time, balance operators, and improved bias  
32 correction algorithms used in these reanalyses. However, there still seem to be problems that



1 cause unrealistic atmospheric variations (e.g., large differences in long-term variations  
2 between ERA-Interim and JRA-55) associated with discontinuities in the assimilated  
3 measurements and large uncertainties in the forecast models. Further efforts are essential to  
4 improve the reanalysis systems so that the reanalysis products become more consistent among  
5 each other and that they can be used to study the details in BDC variations.

## 6 **Acknowledgements**

7 We would like to thank Felix Ploeger for his helpful comments on this study. We also would  
8 like to thank the two anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments. The work was  
9 supported by Grant-in Aid for Scientific Research 15K05296 and 26287117 of MEXT, Japan.

1 References

- 2 Abalos, M., Randel, W. J., Kinnison, D. E., and Serrano, E.: Quantifying tracer transport in  
3 the tropical lower stratosphere using WACCM, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 10591-10607,  
4 doi:10.5194/acp-13-10591-2013, 2013.
- 5 Abalos, M., B. Legras, F. Ploeger and W.J. Randel: Evaluating the advective Brewer-Dobson  
6 circulation in three reanalyses for the period 1979-2012. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 120,  
7 doi:10.1002/2015JD023182, 2015.
- 8 Andrews, D. G., and M. E. McIntyre: Planetary waves in horizontal and vertical shear: The  
9 generalized Eliassen–Palm relation and mean zonal acceleration. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 33, 2031–  
10 2048, 1976.
- 11 Andrews, D.G. and M.E. McIntyre: An exact theory of nonlinear waves on a Lagrangian-  
12 mean flow. *J. Fluid. Mech.*, 89, 609-646, 1978.
- 13 Andrews, D.G.: A finite-amplitude Eliassen-Palm theorem in isentropic coordinates. *J. Atmos.*  
14 *Sci.*, 40, 1877-1883, 1983.
- 15 Andrews, D. G., J. R. Holton, and C. B. Leovy: *Middle Atmosphere Dynamics*. Academic  
16 Press, Orlando, 489~pp., 1987.
- 17 Aschmann, J., Burrows, J. P., Gebhardt, C., Rozanov, A., Hommel, R., Weber, M., and  
18 Thompson, A. M.: On the hiatus in the acceleration of tropical upwelling since the beginning  
19 of the 21st century, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 12803-12814, doi:10.5194/acp-14-12803-2014,  
20 2014.
- 21 Bartels, J., D. Peters, and G. Schmitz: Climatological Ertel’s potential-vorticity flux and mean  
22 meridional circulation in the extratropical troposphere–lower stratosphere. *Ann. Geophys.*, 16,  
23 250–265, 1998.
- 24 Birner, T. and H. Bönisch: Residual circulation trajectories and transit times into the  
25 extratropical lowermost stratosphere. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 817–827, 2011.
- 26 Biagio, V., S. Calmanti, A. Dell’Aquila, and P. M. Ruti: Northern Hemisphere winter  
27 midlatitude atmospheric variability in CMIP5 models, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 41, 1277–1282,  
28 doi:10.1002/2013GL058928, 2014.

1 Brewer, A. W.: Evidence for a world circulation provided by measurements of helium and  
2 water vapour distribution in the stratosphere, *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 75, 351–363,  
3 doi:10.1002/qj.49707532603, 1949.

4 Butchart, N., Cionni, I., Eyring, V., Shepherd, T. G., Waugh, D. W., Akiyoshi, H., Austin, J.,  
5 Bruhl, C., Chipperfield, M. P., Cordero, E., Dameris, M., Deckert, R., Dhomse, S., Frith, S.  
6 M., Garcia, R. R., Gettelman, A., Giorgetta, M. A., Kinnison, D. E., Li, F., Mancini, E.,  
7 McLandress, C., Pawson, S., Pitari, G., Plummer, D. A., Rozanov, E., Sassi, F., Scinocca, J.  
8 F., Shibata, K., Steil, B., and Tian, W.: Chemistry-Climate Model simulations of twenty-first  
9 century stratospheric climate and circulation changes, *J. Climate*, 23, 5349–5374,  
10 doi:10.1175/2010JCLI3404.1, 2010.

11 Dee, D. P. and Uppala, S.: Variational bias correction of satellite radiance data in the ERA-  
12 Interim reanalysis, *Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, 135, 1830–1841, doi: 10.1002/qj.493, 2009.

13 Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U.,  
14 Balmaseda, M. A., Balsamo, G., Bauer, P. Bechtold, P., Beljaars, A. C. M., van de Berg, L.,  
15 Bidlot, J., Bormann, N., Delsol, C., Dragani, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A. J., Haimberger, L.,  
16 Healy, S. B., Hersbach, H., Ho'lm, E. V., Isaksen, L., Ka'llberg, P., Ko'hler, M., Matricardi,  
17 M., McNally, A. P., Monge-Sanz, B. M., Morcrette, J.-J., Park, B.- K., Peubey, C., de  
18 Rosnay, P., Tavolato, C., The'paut, J.-N., and Vitart, F.: The ERA-Interim reanalysis:  
19 configuration and perfor- mance of the data assimilation system, *Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, 137,  
20 553–597, doi:10.1002/qj.828, 2011.

21 Diallo, M., B. Legras, and A. Chedin: Age of stratospheric air in the ERA-Interim, *Atmos.*  
22 *Chem. Phys.*, 12, 12,133–12,154, doi:10.5194/ acp-12-12133-2012, 2012.

23 Dobson GMB, Harrison DN, Lawrence J.: Measurements of the amount of ozone in the  
24 Earth's atmosphere and its relation to other geophysical conditions. *Proc. Roy. Soc., Ser. A*,  
25 122, 456--486, 1929.

26 Dobson, G. M. B.: Origin and distribution of polyatomic molecules in the atmosphere, *Proc.*  
27 *Roy. Soc., Ser. A*, 236, 187, 1956.

28 Engel, A., Mo'bius, T., Bo'nisch, H., Schmidt, U., Heinz, R., Levin, I., Atlas, E., Aoki, S.,  
29 Nakazawa, T., Sugawara, S., Moore, F., Hurst, D., Elkins, J., Schauffler, S., Andrews, A., and  
30 Boering, K.: Age of stratospheric air unchanged within un- certainties over the past 30 years,  
31 *Nature Geosci.*, 2, 28–31, doi:10.1038/ngeo388, 2009.

1 Fueglistaler, S., Legras, B., Beljaars, A., Morcrette, J.-J., Sim-  
2 mons, A., Tompkins, A. M.,  
3 and Uppala, S.: The diabatic heat budget of the upper troposphere and lower/mid stratosphere  
4 in ECMWF reanalyses, *Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, 135, 21–37, doi:10.1002/qj.361, 2009.

5 Garcia, R. R. and Randel, W. J.: Acceleration of Brewer-Dobson circulation due to the  
6 increases in greenhouse gases, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 65, 2731–2739, doi:10.1175/2008JAS2712.1,  
7 2008.

8 Garny, H., T. Birner, H. Bönisch, and F. Bunzel: The effects of mixing on age of air, *J.*  
9 *Geophys. Res.*, 119, 7015–7034, doi:10.1002/2013JD021417, 2014.

10 Haynes, P., and E. Shuckburgh: Effective diffusivity as a diagnostic of atmospheric transport:  
11 1. Stratosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 22777–22794, doi:10.1029/2000JD900093, 2000.

12 Haynes, P., C. J. Marks, M. E. McIntyre, T. G. Shepherd, and K. P. Shine: On the  
13 “downward control” of extratropical diabatic circulations by eddy-induced mean zonal  
14 forces, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 48, 651–678, 1991.

15 Hegglin, M. I., D. Plummer, J. Scinocca, T. G. Shepherd, J. Anderson, L. Froidevaux, B.  
16 Funke, D. Hurst, A. Rozanov, J. Urban, T. v. Clarmann, K. A. Walker, R. Wang, S. Tegtmeier,  
17 and K. Weigel, Variation of stratospheric water vapour trends with altitude from merged  
18 satellite data, *Nat. Geosci.*, 7, 768--776, doi: 10.1038/NGEO2236, 2014.

19 Hoskins, B. J., M. E. McIntyre, and A. W. Robertson: On the use and significance of  
20 isentropic potential vorticity maps, *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, 111, 877–946, 1985.

21 Iwasaki, T.: A diagnostic formulation for wave–mean flow interactions and Lagrangian-mean  
22 circulation with a hybrid vertical coordinate of pressure and isentropes, *J. Meteor. Soc. Japan*,  
23 67, 293–312, 1989.

24 Iwasaki, T.: General circulation diagnosis in the pressure-isentrope hybrid vertical coordinate.  
25 *J. Meteor. Soc. Japan*, 70, 673–687, 1992.

26 Iwasaki, T., Hamada, H., and Miyazaki, K.: Comparisons of Brewer-Dobson circulations  
27 diagnosed from reanalyses, *J. Meteorol. Soc. Japan*, 87, 997–1006, doi:10.2151/jmsj.87.997,  
28 2009.

29 Johnson, D.R.: A Generalized Transport Equation for Use with Meteorological Coordinate  
Systems. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 108, 733–745, 1980.

1 Kalnay, E., M. Kanamitsu, R. Kistler, W. Collins, D. Deaven, L. Gandin, M. Iredell, S. Saha,  
2 G. White, J. Woollen, Y. Zhu, A. Leetmaa, R. Reynolds, M. Chelliah, W. Ebisuzaki, W.  
3 Higgins, J. Janowiak, K. C. Mo, C. Ropelewski, J. Wang, R. Jenne, D. Joseph: The  
4 NCEP/NCAR 40-Year Reanalysis Project, *Bull. Am. Meteor. Soc.*, 77, 437–472, 1996.

5 Kim, Y.-H. and Chun, H.-Y.: Momentum forcing of the quasi-biennial oscillation by  
6 equatorial waves in recent reanalyses, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 6577–6587, doi:10.5194/acp-  
7 15-6577-2015, 2015.

8 Kobayashi, S., Y. Ota, Y. Harada, A. Ebita, M. Moriya, H. Onoda, K. Onogi, H. Kamahori, C.  
9 Kobayashi, H. Endo, K. Miyaoka, and K. Takahashi: The JRA-55 Reanalysis: General  
10 Specifications and Basic Characteristics, *J. Meteor. Soc. Japan*, 93, 5–48,  
11 doi:10.2151/jmsj.2015-001, 2015.

12 Kobayashi, C. and T. Iwasaki, Brewer-Dobson circulation diagnosed from JRA-55, *J.*  
13 *Geophys. Res.*, revised, 2015.

14 Konopka, P., Ploeger, F., Tao, M., Birner, T. and Riese, M.: Hemispheric asymmetries and  
15 seasonality of mean age of air in the lower stratosphere: Deep versus shallow branch of the  
16 Brewer-Dobson circulation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 120, 2053–2066. doi: 10.1002/2014JD022429,  
17 2015.

18 Lyjak, L. V., and V. A. Yudin: Diagnostics of the large-scale mixing properties from  
19 stratospheric analyses, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, D17107, doi:10.1029/2004JD005577, 2005.

20 Mahieu, E., Chipperfield, M. P., Notholt, J., Reddman, T., Anderson, J., Bernath, P. F.,  
21 Blumenstock, T., Coffey, M. T., Dhomse, S. S., Feng, W., Franco, B., Froidevaux, L., Griffith,  
22 D. W. T., Hannigan, J. W., Hase, F., Hossaini, R., Jones, N. B., Morino, I., Murata, I.,  
23 Nakajima, H., Palm, M., Paton-Walsh, C., Russell III, J. M., Schneider, M., Servais, C.,  
24 Smale, D. & Walker, K. A.: Recent Northern Hemisphere stratospheric HCl increase due to  
25 atmospheric circulation changes, *Nature*, 515, 104–107, 2014.

26 McIntyre, M. E., and T. N. Palmer: Breaking planetary waves in the stratosphere, *Nature*, 305,  
27 593–600, doi:10.1038/305593a0, 1983.

28 Miyazaki, K., and T. Iwasaki: Diagnosis of meridional ozone transport based on mass-  
29 weighted isentropic zonal means, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 63, 1192–1208, 2005.

1 Miyazaki, K., and T. Iwasaki: The gradient genesis of stratospheric trace species in the  
2 subtropics and around the polar vortex, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 65, 490–508, 2008.

3 Miyazaki, K., S. Watanabe, Y. Kawatani, Y. Tomikawa, M. Takahashi, and K. Sato:  
4 Transport and mixing in the extratropical tropopause region in a high-vertical-resolution  
5 GCM. Part I: Potential vorticity and heat budget analysis, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 67, 1293–1314,  
6 2010a.

7 Miyazaki, K., Sato, S. Watanabe, Y. Tomikawa, Y. Kawatani, and M. Takahashi: Transport  
8 and mixing in the extratropical tropopause region in a high-vertical-resolution GCM. Part II:  
9 Importance of small-scale disturbances, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 67, 1315–1336, 2010b.

10 Monge-Sanz, B. M., Chipperfield, M. P., Simmons, A. J., and Uppala, S. M.: Mean age of air  
11 and transport in a CTM: comparison of different ECMWF analyses, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 340,  
12 L04801, doi:10.1029/2006GL028515, 2007.

13 Monge-Sanz, B. M., Chipperfield, M. P., Dee, D. P., Simmons, A. J., and Uppala, S. M.:  
14 Improvements in the stratospheric transport achieved by a chemistry transport model with  
15 ECMWF (re)analyses: identifying effects and remaining challenges, *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*,  
16 139, 654–673, doi:10.1002/qj.1996, 2012.

17 Nakamura, N.: Two-dimensional mixing, edge formation, and permeability diagnosed in area  
18 coordinates, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 53, 1524–1537, 1996.

19 Nakamura, N.: Quantifying inhomogeneous, instantaneous, irreversible transport using  
20 passive tracer field as a coordinate. *Transport and Mixing in Geophysical Flows*, J. B. Weiss  
21 and A. Provenzale, Eds., *Lecture Notes in Physics*, Vol. 744, Springer-Verlag, 137–164, 2008

22 Newman, P. A., M. R. Schoeberl, R. A. Plumb, and J. E. Rosenfield: Mixing rates calculated  
23 from potential vorticity, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 93, 5221–5240, 1988.

24 Onogi, K., J. Tsutsui, H. Koide, M. Sakamoto, S. Kobayashi, H. Hatsushika, T. Matsumoto, N.  
25 Yamazaki, H. Kamahori, K. Takahashi, S. Kadokura, K. Wada, K. Kato, R. Oyama, T. Ose, N.  
26 Mannoji and R. Taira: The JRA-25 Reanalysis, *J. Meteor. Soc. Japan*, 85, 369–432,  
27 doi:10.2151/jmsj.85.369, 2007.

28 Pawson, S., and M. Fiorino: A comparison of reanalyses in the lower tropical stratosphere:  
29 Part 2. The quasi-biennial oscillation, *Clim. Dyn.*, 14, 645–658, 1998.

1 Ploeger, F., M. Abalos, T. Birner, P. Konopka, B. Legras, R. Müller, and M. Riese:  
2 Quantifying the effects of mixing and residual circulation on trends of stratospheric mean age  
3 of air. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 42, 2047–2054. doi: 10.1002/2014GL062927, 2015a.

4 Ploeger, F., M. Riese, F. Haenel, P. Konopka, R. Müller, and G. Stiller: Variability of  
5 stratospheric mean age of air and of the local effects of residual circulation and eddy mixing,  
6 *J. Geophys. Res.*, 120, 716–733, doi:10.1002/2014JD022468, 2015b.

7 Plumb, R. A., A “tropical pipe” model of stratospheric transport, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101,  
8 3957–3972, 1996.

9 Randel, W. J., R. Garcia, and F. Wu: Dynamical balances and tropical stratospheric upwelling,  
10 *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 65, 3584–3595, doi:10.1175/2008JAS2756.1, 2008.

11 Ray, E. A., Moore, F. L., Rosenlof, K. H., Davis, S. M., Boenisch, H., Morgenstern, O.,  
12 Smale, D., Rozanov, E., Hegglin, M., Pitari, G., Mancini, E., Braesicke, P., Butchart, N.,  
13 Hardiman, S., Li, F., Shibata, K., and Plummer, D. A.: Evidence for changes in stratospheric  
14 transport and mixing over the past three decades based on multiple data sets and tropical  
15 leaky pipe analysis, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 115, D21304, doi:10.1029/2010JD014206, 2010.

16 Ray, E. A., F. L. Moore, K. H. Rosenlof, S. M. Davis, C. Sweeney, P. Tans, T. Wang, J. W.  
17 Elkins, H. Boenisch, A. Engel, S. Sugawara, T. Nakazawa, and S. Aoki: Improving  
18 stratospheric transport trend analysis based on SF<sub>6</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> measurements, *J. Geophys. Res.*,  
19 119, 14,110–14,128, doi:10.1002/2014JD021802, 2014.

20 Saha, S., Moorthi, S., Wu, X., Wang, J., Nadiga, S., Tripp, P., Behringer, D., Hou, Y.-T.,  
21 Chuang, H.-Y., Iredell, M., Ek, M., Meng, J., Yang, R., Peoa, M., van den Dool, M., Zhang,  
22 Q., Wang, W., Chen, M., and Becker, M.: The NCEP climate forecast system version~2, *J.*  
23 *Climate*, 27, 2185–2208, 2013.

24 Scheele, M. P., Siegmund, P. C., and Velthoven, P. F. J.: Stratospheric age of air computed  
25 with trajectories based on various 3D-Var and 4D-Var data sets, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 5, 1–7,  
26 doi:10.5194/acp-5-1-2005, 2005.

27 Schneider, T.: Zonal momentum balance, potential vorticity dynamics, and mass fluxes on  
28 near-surface isentropes, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 62, 1884–1900, 2005.

1 Schoeberl, M. R., Douglass, A. R., Zhu, Z., and Pawson, S.: A comparison of the lower  
2 stratospheric age spectra derived from a general circulation model and two data assimilation  
3 systems, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 4113, doi:10.1029/2002JD002652, 2003.

4 Seviour, W. J. M., Butchart, N., and Hardiman, S. C.: The Brewer-Dobson circulation  
5 inferred from ERA-Interim, *Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, 138, 878–888, doi:10.1002/qj.966, 2011.

6 Shepherd, T. G.: The middle atmosphere, *J. Atmos. Solar-Terr. Phys.*, 62, 1587-1601, doi:  
7 10.1016/S1364-6826(00)00114-0, 2000.

8 Shepherd T. G., McLandress, C.: A robust mechanism for strengthening of the Brewer–  
9 Dobson circulation in response to climate change: Critical-layer control of subtropical wave  
10 breaking, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 68, 784–797, 2011.

11 Sigmond, M., T. Shepherd: Compensation between Resolved Wave Driving and  
12 Parameterized Orographic Gravity Wave Driving of the Brewer–Dobson Circulation and Its  
13 Response to Climate Change, *J. Climate*, 27, 5601–5610, 2014.

14 Simmons, A., Uppala, S., Dee, D., Kobayashi, S.: ERA-Interim: New ECMWF reanalysis  
15 products from 1989 onwards, *ECMWF newsletter*, 110, 25–35, 2007.

16 Stiller, G. P., von Clarmann, T., Haenel, F., Funke, B., Glatthor, N., Grabowski, U., Kellmann,  
17 S., Kiefer, M., Linden, A., Lossow, S., and López-Puertas, M.: Observed temporal evolution  
18 of global mean age of stratospheric air for the 2002 to 2010 period, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12,  
19 3311–3331, doi:10.5194/acp-12-3311-2012, 2012.

20 Tan, W., M. A. Geller, S. Pawson, and A. M. da Silva: A case study of excessive subtropical  
21 transport in the stratosphere of a data assimilation system, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D11102,  
22 doi:10.1029/2003JD004057, 2004.

23 Tanaka, D., T. Iwasaki, S. Uno, M. Ujiie and K. Miyazaki, Eliassen-Palm flux diagnosis  
24 based on isentropic representation, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 61 2370-2383, 2004.

25 Townsend R.D. and D.R. Johnson: A diagnostic study of the isentropic tonally averaged mass  
26 circulation during the first CARP global experiment. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 42, 1565-1579, 1985.

27 Tung, K.K.: On the two dimensional transport of stratospheric trace gases in isentropic  
28 coordinates. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 39, 2330-2355, 1982.



1 Tung, K.K.: On the relationship between the thermal structure of the stratosphere and the  
2 seasonal distribution of ozone, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 13, 1308–1311, doi:  
3 10.1029/GL013i012p01308, 1986.

4 Waugh, D., R. A. Plumb, R. J. Atkinson, M. R. Schoeberl, L. R. Lait, P. Newman, M.  
5 Loewenstein, D. Toohey, L. Avallone, C. R. Webster, and R. May: Transport out of the lower  
6 stratospheric Arctic vortex by Rossby wave breaking, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99, 1071–1088,  
7 doi:10.1029/93JD02556, 1994.

8 Waugh, D.W., and T.M. Hall: Age of stratospheric air: Theory, observations, and models,  
9 *Rev. Geophys.*, 40, 4, doi:10.1029/2000RG000101, 2002.

10 Wohltmann, I. and Rex, M.: Improvement of vertical and residual velocities in pressure or  
11 hybrid sigma-pressure coordinates in analysis data in the stratosphere, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 8,  
12 265-272, doi:10.5194/acp-8-265-2008, 2008.

13 Wright, J. S. and Fueglistaler, S.: Large differences in reanalyses of diabatic heating in the  
14 tropical upper troposphere and lower stratosphere, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 9565-9576,  
15 doi:10.5194/acp-13-9565-2013, 2013.

16 Uppala, S., Kallberg, P., Simmons, A., Andrae, U., da Costa Bechtold, V., Fiorino, M.,  
17 Gibson, J., Haseler, J., Hernandez, A., Kelly, G., Li, X., Onogi, K., Saarinen, S., Sokka, N.,  
18 Allan, R., Andersson, E., Arpe, K., Balmaseda, M., Beljaars, A., van de Berg, L., Bidlot, J.,  
19 Bormann, N., Caires, S., Chevallier, F., Dethof, A., Dragosavac, M., Fisher, M., Fuentes, M.,  
20 Hagemann, S., Holm, E., Hoskins, B., Isaksen, L., Janssen, P., Jenne, R., McNally, A.,  
21 Mahfouf, J.-F., Morcrette, J.-J., Rayner, N., Saunders, R., Simon, P., Sterl, A., Trenberth, K.,  
22 Untch, A., Vasiljevic, D., Viterbo, P., and Woollen, J.: The ERA-40 re-analysis, *Q. J. Roy.  
23 Meteor. Soc.*, 131, 2961–3012, doi:10.1256/qj.04.176, 2005.

24

1 Table 1. Mean value over 34 years (1979–2012) of  $K_{yy}$  ( $l=1$  day) (in  $10^6$  m<sup>2</sup>/s) averaged over  
2 40–60N at 440 K and 560 K and over 15–25N at 440 K in DJF, and averaged over 40–60S at  
3 440 K and 560 K and over 15–25S at 440 K in JJA. The linear trend slope (in %/decade  $\pm$   
4 standard deviation) is also shown in brackets. The linear trend with a confidence level greater  
5 than 95% is shown in bold.

6

	NCEP- NCAR	NCEP- CFSR	ERA-40	ERA- Interim	JRA-25	JRA-55
40N–60N 440K	2.89 (+7.3±16.9)	3.47 (+3.8±15.5)	3.07 (+20.7±14.5)	3.37 (+7.5±17.4)	2.93 (+2.1±15.7)	3.69 (+4.5±16.0)
40N–60N 560K	6.89 (+2.4±9.7)	3.53 (+10.7±27.6)	3.14 (+11.3±24.8)	3.7 (+11.0±27.6)	3.49 (+12.7±28.0)	3.68 (+11.7±28.2)
15N–25N 440K	0.25 (-6.3±12.2)	0.58 (+0.3±13.0)	0.48 (+15.5±17.9)	0.42 (+1.7±6.9)	0.40 (+11.6±12.4)	0.48 (+2.5±8.7)
40S–60S 440K	0.70 (-1.7±13.0)	0.83 (-2.0±13.4)	0.90 (+16.4±12.3)	0.74 (+1.6±14.1)	0.71 (+15.8±14.9)	0.74 (+4.2±14.8)
40S–60S 560K	3.14 (+12.5±12.2)	0.5 (+4.1±17.3)	0.25 (+8.7±58.9)	0.62 (-3.0±14.3)	0.41 (+10.4±26.0)	0.52 (+0.7±18.5)
15S–25S 440K	0.34 (-0.7±7.0)	0.33 (-3.0±9.2)	0.21 (-25.5±15.3)	0.27 (+2.8±6.9)	0.41 (-8.5±10.0)	0.31 (-0.9±7.9)

7

8

1 Table 2. Linear trend slope of  $K_{yy}$  ( $l=1$  day) (in %/decade) for 40N–60N in DJF and for 40S–  
 2 60S in JJA at 560 K during the first 22 years (1979–2000) and during the last 12 years (2001–  
 3 2012).

	NCEP- NCAR	NCEP- CFSR	ERA-40	ERA- Interim	JRA-25	JRA-55
40N–60N 560K (1979– 2000)	+9.7	+5.6	+4.0	+2.5	+4.9	+2.6
40N–60N 560K (2001– 2012)	-8.3	-27.6		-23.8	-16.7	-21.9
40S–60S 560K (1979–2000)	+16.1	+1.5	-2.6	+4.2	+20.2	+2.3
40S–60S 560K (2001–2012)	+12.1	+15.6		+11.9	+18.9	+13.8

4

5

1 Table 3. Same as in Table 1, but for the mass stream function (in  $10^{10}$  kg/s) averaged over 40–  
 2 60N in DJF, and over 40–60S in JJA at 440 K and 560 K. The linear trend slope (in %/decade  
 3  $\pm$  standard deviation) is also shown in brackets. The linear trend with a confidence level  
 4 greater than 95% is shown in bold.

	NCEP- NCAR	NCEP- CFSR	ERA-40	ERA- Interim	JRA-25	JRA-55
40N–60N 440K	0.71 (+2.1±4.3)	0.45 (+1.9±5.6)	0.56 (+3.4±6.5)	0.44 (+1.8±5.4)	0.61 (+0.9±3.9)	0.48 (+2.5±4.5)
40N–60N 560K	0.40 (+0.9±5.1)	0.28 (+2.2±5.4)	0.31 (-2.0±6.6)	0.27 (-3.3±4.9)	0.36 (+1.3±4.7)	0.28 (+ <b>4.0±5.0</b> )
40S–60S 440K	-0.28 (-5.4±9.4)	-0.22 (-0.7±8.3)	-0.20 (-22.3±9.1)	-0.18 (+0.1±8.2)	-0.23 (- <b>8.4±7.2</b> )	-0.25 (- <b>6.7±4.9</b> )
40S–60S 560K	-0.16 (-6.4±13.0)	-0.13 (+2.0±9.5)	-0.10 (-18.2±9.0)	-0.09 (+4.0±3.5)	-0.14 (+1.1±9.7)	0.14 (-4.1±7.4)

5

6

1 Table 4. Same as in Table 1, but for the annual mean total upward flux (in  $10^{10}$  kg/s) and its  
 2 linear trend slope (in %/decade  $\pm$  standard deviation in brackets) at 440 K and 560 K.

	NCEP- NCAR	NCEP- CFSR	ERA-40	ERA- Interim	JRA-25	JRA-55
440K	0.98 (-1.8 $\pm$ 2.7)	0.67 (+ <b>6.0</b> $\pm$ <b>3.3</b> )	0.89 (+8.5 $\pm$ 4.7)	0.73 (- <b>3.9</b> $\pm$ <b>2.2</b> )	0.86 (+ <b>1.4</b> $\pm$ <b>1.8</b> )	0.795 (+ <b>1.7</b> $\pm$ <b>1.3</b> )
560K	0.48 (+2.1 $\pm$ 4.3)	0.34 (+ <b>5.3</b> $\pm$ <b>4.8</b> )	0.47 (+2.3 $\pm$ 3.4)	0.36 (- <b>6.7</b> $\pm$ <b>3.2</b> )	0.44 (+0.6 $\pm$ 3.4)	0.40 (+ <b>2.1</b> $\pm$ <b>1.9</b> )

3

1 Table 5. Linear trend of the annual mean tropical total mean upward flux (in %/decade)  
 2 during the first 22 years (1979–2000), and during the last 12 years (2001–2012) at 440 K and  
 3 560 K.

	NCEP- NCAR	NCEP- CFSR	ERA-40	ERA- Interim	JRA-25	JRA-55
1979–2000 440K	+1.3	<b>+9.6</b>	+9.3	-3.0	<b>+3.8</b>	<b>2.7</b>
2001–2012 440K	-6.3	-2.0		-6.0	+0.9	-1.6
1979–2000 560K	+1.2	<b>+9.9</b>	+3.5	-4.1	+2.1	+2.8
2001–2012 560K	+8.1	+5.9		-10.1	+20.0	-3.2

4

5

1

2 Table 6. Relative contribution of different wave components to zonal eddy PV component  
 3 (in %) and the linear trend slope (in %/decade in brackets) averaged over 40–60N at 440 K  
 4 and 560 K in DJF in 1979–2012.

		NCEP- NCAR	NCEP- CFSR	ERA-40	ERA- Interim	JRA-25	JRA-55
40N–60N 440K	1-3	52.4 (+2.2)	56.1 (+7.2)	54.8 (+9.4)	56.1 (+0.3)	54.7 (+3.0)	56.1 (+4.2)
	4-7	36.6 (-1.8)	35.3 (+4.8)	36.4 (-0.9)	35.6 (-1.1)	36.5 (-0.4)	35.7 (+1.9)
	8-	11.0 (-1.6)	8.5 (+3.8)	8.8 (+16.7)	8.4 (+1.4)	8.8 (+2.6)	8.2 (+7.1)
40N–60N 560K	1-3	69.4 (+15.2)	71.6 (+4.8)	71.8 (+16.9)	70.8 (+4.4)	72.7 (+7.7)	72.1 (+4.8)
	4-7	23.7 (+12.2)	24.2 (+4.3)	23.8 (+13.2)	24.8 (+7.4)	23.5 (+10.2)	23.9 (+3.3)
	8-	6.9 (+14.3)	4.2 (+1.5)	4.4 (+16.7)	4.4 (+4.2)	3.7 (+13.1)	4.0 (+15.4)

5

1 Table 7. Same as in Table 1, but for the relative importance of the eddy transport to the total  
 2 meridional transport averaged over 40–60N at 440 and 560 K and 15–25N at 440 K in DJF,  
 3 and over 40–60S at 440 K and 560 K and 15S–25S at 440K in JJA, and its linear trend slope  
 4 (in %/decade  $\pm$  standard deviation in brackets).

	NCEP- NCAR	NCEP- CFSR	ERA-40	ERA- Interim	JRA-25	JRA-55
40N–60N 440K	0.48 (-0.5 $\pm$ 2.7)	0.56 (+1.0 $\pm$ 2.5)	0.50 (+0.0 $\pm$ 3.4)	0.57 (-0.1 $\pm$ 2.5)	0.52 (+0.5 $\pm$ 3.5)	0.55 (+1.1 $\pm$ 2.7)
40N–60N 560K	0.53 (+1.5 $\pm$ 2.8)	0.53 (+0.1 $\pm$ 2.8)	0.50 (+0.9 $\pm$ 2.7)	0.54 (-0.1 $\pm$ 2.9)	0.48 (+0.3 $\pm$ 3.0)	0.52 (-0.1 $\pm$ 2.8)
15N–25N 440K	0.17 (-1.4 $\pm$ 7.1)	0.31 (-3.1 $\pm$ 5.3)	0.18 (+0.5 $\pm$ 9.1)	0.26 (+3.1 $\pm$ 6.5)	0.22 (+7.2 $\pm$ 8.1)	0.25 (+3.9 $\pm$ 5.2)
40S–60S 440K	0.55 <b>(-1.5<math>\pm</math>1.5)</b>	0.54 (+0.0 $\pm$ 1.6)	0.53 (+2.1 $\pm$ 2.0)	0.53 (+0.3 $\pm$ 1.6)	0.58 <b>(-4.4<math>\pm</math>3.1)</b>	0.54 (-0.5 $\pm$ 1.6)
40S–60S 560K	0.60 (+1.6 $\pm$ 2.4)	0.55 (+0.7 $\pm$ 2.5)	0.57 (-3.5 $\pm$ 3.2)	0.57 (+0.5 $\pm$ 2.5)	0.57 (-1.1 $\pm$ 2.9)	0.54 (-1.3 $\pm$ 2.7)
15S–25S 440K	0.28 (-2.3 $\pm$ 4.8)	0.32 <b>(-5.2<math>\pm</math>4.0)</b>	0.22 (-18.4 $\pm$ 13.1)	0.27 (+3.3 $\pm$ 6.0)	0.41 <b>(-12.9<math>\pm</math>5.6)</b>	0.25 <b>(-5.4<math>\pm</math>4.2)</b>

5

6



1 Table 8. Linear trend slope of the relative importance of the eddy transport to the total  
 2 meridional transport (in %/decade) for 15N–25N in DJF, and for 15S–25S in JJA at 440 K  
 3 during the first 22 years (1979–2000), and during the last 12 years (2001–2012).


	NCEP- NCAR	NCEP- CFSR	ERA-40	ERA- Interim	JRA-25	JRA-55
15N-25N 440K (1979-2000)	-6.0	3.0	0.3	1.6	3.0	1.7
15N-25N 440K (2001-2012)	23.2	-2.7		25.5	9.9	10.4
15S-25S 440K (1979-2000)	-0.9	-2.9	-19.4	5.0	<b>-18.7</b>	<b>-11.7</b>
15S-25S 440K (2001-2012)	-11.6	-5.2		-7.9	21.6	-5.2

4


1 Table 9. Correlation coefficient between the mass stream function (Mean) at 50N, 440 K, and  
 2 the E–P flux divergence at each grid point averaged between 500 and 650 hPa and 50N–60N,  
 3 and between  $K_{yy}$  ( $l=1$  day) at 50N, 560 K, and the E–P flux divergence at each grid point  
 4 averaged between 550 and 650 hPa and 45N–55N in DJF in 2001–2012 (1990–2001 for ERA-  
 5 40).

	NCEP- NCAR	NCEP- CFSR	ERA-40	ERA- Interim	JRA-25	JRA-55
Mean	-0.42	-0.61	-0.39	-0.58	-0.61	-0.59
$K_{yy}$ ( $l=1$ day)	-0.16	-0.36	-0.46	-0.35	-0.35	-0.35


6



1  
 2 Figure 1. Latitude and potential temperature cross section of the isentropic diffusion  
 3 coefficient  $K_{yy}$  (in  $10^6 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ ) averaged for December to February (DJF, left panel) and June to  
 4 August (JJA, right panel) over the time period 1979–2012 (1979–2002 for ERA-40.). The  
 5 results are shown for the NCEP-NCAR reanalysis (top left), NCEP-CFSR (top right), ERA-40  
 6 (middle left), ERA-Interim (middle right), JRA-25 (bottom left), and JRA-55 (bottom right)  
 7 in each panel.  
 8




1  
 2 Figure 2. Temporal variations of the isentropic diffusion coefficient  $K_{yy}$  (in  $10^6 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ )  
 3 averaged over 40–60N in DJF (left) and 40–60S in JJA (right) at 440 K (top) and 560 K  
 4 (bottom) for 1978–2012. The linear trend slope is also shown for each dataset. The results are  
 5 shown for the NCEP-NCAR reanalysis (purple), NCEP-CFSR (green), ERA-40 (light blue),  
 6 ERA-Interim (blue), JRA-25 (orange), and JRA-55 (red).



1

2 Figure 3. Same as Fig. 1, but for the mass stream function (in  $10^{10}$  kg/s).


3




1

2 Figure 4. Seasonal variation of the mass stream function (colour contours, in  $10^{10}$  kg/s) and  
 3 zonal mean zonal wind (black contour lines, with intervals of 10 m/s) at 560 K.

4



1  
 2 Figure 5. Same as in Fig. 3, but for the linear trend slope ( $10^{10}$  kg/s/decade). Statistically  
 3 significant trends at the 95% level are indicated by hatching  
 4




1

2 Figure 6. Same as Fig. 2, but for the mass stream function (in  $10^{10}$  kg/s).

3






1


2 Figure 7. Temporal variations of the annual mean total mean upward mass flux (in  $10^{10}$  kg/s)  
 3 at (top) 440 K and (bottom) 560 K.

1



2


3 Figure 8. Same as Fig. 1, but for the relative importance of the eddy transport to the total  
4 meridional transport. The value larger (smaller) than 0.5 indicates that the eddy transport  
5 (mean transport) is dominant in the meridional transport.




1

2 Figure 9. Same as Fig. 2, but for the ratio of the relative importance of the eddy transport to  
 3 the total meridional transport.

4




1  
 2 Figure 10. Latitude and potential temperature cross section of the correlation (left) between  
 3 the mass stream function at 50N, 440 K, and the E–P flux divergence at each grid point, and  
 4 (right) between Kyy at 50N, 560 K, and the E–P flux divergence at each grid point in DJF in  
 5 2000–2012. Correlation coefficients at the 95 % confidence level (following t-test) are  
 6 indicated by hatching.  
 7



8  
 9 Figure 11. Vertical profiles of the linear trend of the tropical upward mass flux (left, in  $10^{10}$   
 10 kg/s/decade), relative contribution of the eddy transport to the total meridional transport

1 averaged over 15–25° (2nd left, in 1/decade), and the mean meridional velocity  $\overline{v^*}$  averaged  
2 over 30–50° (m/s/decade) during DJF in the NH (upper panels), and during JJA in the SH  
3 (lower panels) for the 34 years, 1979–2012.



1

2 Figure 12. Same as Fig. 11, but for the last 12 years, 2001–2012.