



## Supplement of

## Seasonal characterization of submicron aerosol chemical composition and organic aerosol sources in the southeastern United States: Atlanta, Georgia and Look Rock, Tennessee

S. H. Budisulistiorini et al.

Correspondence to: J. D. Surratt (surratt@unc.edu)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the CC-BY 3.0 licence.

Table S1. Correlation of PMF factor temporal variations and mass spectra resolved from OA measurements at JST site with external gas- and

particle-phase measurements and reference mass spectra.

		H	DA		BBOA				LVOOA			SVOOA			91Fac			IEPOXOA						
	Wtr	Spr	Smr	Fall	Wtr	Spr	Smr	Fall	Wtr	Spr	Smr	Fall	Wtr	Spr	Smr	Fall	Wtr	Spr	Smr	Fall	Wtr	Spr	Smr	Fall
$R^2_{TS}$																								
BC	0.70	0.59	0.65	0.75	0.49	n.a.	n.a.	0.40	0.13	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.25	n.a.	n.a.	0.41	n.a.	0.15	0.12	n.a.	n.a.	0.17	0.11	n.a.
CO	0.74	0.63	0.61	0.81	0.42	n.a.	n.a.	0.39	0.07	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.24	n.a.	n.a.	0.34	n.a.	0.20	0.15	n.a.	n.a.	0.15	0.13	n.a.
NO <sub>x</sub> (=NO+NO <sub>2</sub> )	0.81	0.73	0.58	0.81	0.28	n.a.	n.a.	0.37	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.23	n.a.	n.a.	0.30	n.a.	0.19	0.08	n.a.	n.a.	0.04	0.00	n.a.
$NO_y$	0.80	0.73	0.60	0.80	0.27	n.a.	n.a.	0.36	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.22	n.a.	n.a.	0.30	n.a.	0.18	0.08	n.a.	n.a.	0.05	0.01	n.a.
NOz	0.32	0.19	0.10	0.32	0.06	n.a.	n.a.	0.10	0.00	0.08	0.20	0.02	0.01	n.a.	n.a.	0.17	n.a.	0.04	0.06	n.a.	n.a.	0.09	0.25	n.a.
$O_3$	0.30	0.23	0.11	0.25	0.15	n.a.	n.a.	0.28	0.02	0.04	0.16	0.01	0.22	n.a.	n.a.	0.12	n.a.	0.03	0.00	n.a.	n.a.	0.00	0.06	n.a.
$O_x$ (=NO <sub>2</sub> +O <sub>3</sub> )	0.00	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.02	n.a.	n.a.	0.01	0.02	0.11	0.20	0.10	0.00	n.a.	n.a.	0.00	n.a.	0.00	0.02	n.a.	n.a.	0.01	0.13	n.a.
SO2	0.27	0.21	0.01	0.33	0.05	n.a.	n.a.	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.06	n.a.	n.a.	0.15	n.a.	0.07	0.00	n.a.	n.a.	0.01	0.02	n.a.
SO4	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.10	0.02	n.a.	n.a.	0.03	0.04	0.17	0.18	0.20	0.00	n.a.	n.a.	0.18	n.a.	0.02	0.01	n.a.	n.a.	0.17	0.20	n.a.
ACSM SO <sub>4</sub>	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	n.a.	n.a.	0.00	0.05	0.12	0.18	0.21	0.02	n.a.	n.a.	0.08	n.a.	0.01	0.01	n.a.	n.a.	0.15	0.27	n.a.
ACSM NO <sub>3</sub>	0.15	0.30	0.36	0.07	0.25	n.a.	n.a.	0.19	0.17	0.05	0.15	0.10	0.38	n.a.	n.a.	0.16	n.a.	0.11	0.11	n.a.	n.a.	0.28	0.42	n.a.
ACSM NH <sub>4</sub>	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.18	0.04	n.a.	n.a.	0.20	0.12	0.16	0.22	0.20	0.08	n.a.	n.a.	0.29	n.a.	0.03	0.03	n.a.	n.a.	0.19	0.29	n.a.
LWC	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.10	0.03	n.a.	n.a.	0.05	0.02	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.03	n.a.	n.a.	0.10	n.a.	0.00	0.00	n.a.	n.a.	0.02	0.07	n.a.
pН	0.14	0.07	0.13	0.15	0.06	n.a.	n.a.	0.23	0.00	0.04	0.06	0.03	0.20	n.a.	n.a.	0.02	n.a.	0.00	0.03	n.a.	n.a.	0.00	0.01	n.a.
$R^2_{MS}$																								
HOA	0.96	0.87	0.86	0.91	0.41	n.a.	n.a.	0.12	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.10	n.a.	n.a.	0.32	n.a.	0.07	0.04	n.a.	n.a.	0.19	0.15	n.a.
LV-OOA	0.04	0.08	0.25	0.21	0.45	n.a.	n.a.	0.85	0.95	0.94	0.90	0.93	0.85	n.a.	n.a.	0.73	n.a.	0.87	0.94	n.a.	n.a.	0.87	0.92	n.a.
SV-OOA	0.41	0.62	0.77	0.60	0.88	n.a.	n.a.	0.52	0.35	0.37	0.32	0.34	0.48	n.a.	n.a.	0.87	n.a.	0.37	0.37	n.a.	n.a.	0.73	0.66	n.a.
BBOA	0.46	0.61	0.75	0.66	0.77	n.a.	n.a.	0.45	0.27	0.29	0.28	0.27	0.43	n.a.	n.a.	0.68	n.a.	0.35	0.28	n.a.	n.a.	0.57	0.52	n.a.
82Fac	0.17	0.32	0.49	0.39	0.62	n.a.	n.a.	0.72	0.70	0.72	0.68	0.69	0.73	n.a.	n.a.	0.74	n.a.	0.64	0.70	n.a.	n.a.	0.79	0.83	n.a.
91Fac	0.60	0.70	0.88	0.83	0.68	n.a.	n.a.	0.49	0.39	0.38	0.33	0.33	0.43	n.a.	n.a.	0.68	n.a.	0.44	0.36	n.a.	n.a.	0.58	0.56	n.a.
IEPOX-OA	0.13	0.30	0.50	0.37	0.79	n.a.	n.a.	0.72	0.61	0.61	0.56	0.58	0.74	n.a.	n.a.	0.92	n.a.	0.61	0.62	n.a.	n.a.	0.92	0.91	n.a.
Lab IEPOX SOA	0.20	0.36	0.51	0.42	0.62	n.a.	n.a.	0.47	0.34	0.37	0.37	0.35	0.48	n.a.	n.a.	0.60	n.a.	0.38	0.35	n.a.	n.a.	0.56	0.57	n.a.

Table S2. Correlation of PMF factor temporal variations and mass spectra resolved from OA measurements at LRK with external gas- and

particle-phase measurements and reference mass spectra.

	BROA			LVOOA				91Fac				IEPOXOA				
	Wtr	Spr	Smr	Fall	Wtr	Spr	Smr	Fall	Wtr	Spr	Smr	Fall	Wtr	Spr	Smr	Fall
$R^2_{TS}$																
BC	0.21	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.72	0.33	0.33	0.68	n.a.	0.30	0.37	0.17	n.a.	0.28	0.33	0.37
CO	0.00	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.01	0.04	0.36	0.03	n.a.	0.11	0.24	0.18	n.a.	0.06	0.31	0.04
NO <sub>x</sub> (=NO+NO <sub>2</sub> )	0.00	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.07	n.a.	0.00	0.01	0.03	n.a.	0.14	0.01	0.05
$NO_y$	0.00	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.07	n.a.	0.04	0.09	0.09	n.a.	0.02	0.07	0.05
NOz	0.01	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.04	0.04	0.10	0.03	n.a.	0.04	0.07	0.11	n.a.	0.00	0.14	0.02
$O_3$	0.09	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.30	0.11	0.26	0.24	n.a.	0.09	0.09	0.00	n.a.	0.01	0.16	0.07
$O_x$ (=NO <sub>2</sub> +O <sub>3</sub> )	0.10	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.32	0.10	0.21	0.22	n.a.	0.10	0.04	0.01	n.a.	0.01	0.15	0.06
SO2	0.02	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.01	n.a.	0.01	0.03	0.08	n.a.	0.00	0.15	0.00
SO4	0.01	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.09	0.10	0.22	0.32	n.a.	0.00	0.10	0.00	n.a.	0.00	0.56	0.03
ACSM SO <sub>4</sub>	0.01	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.11	0.44	0.36	0.47	n.a.	0.04	0.13	0.03	n.a.	0.27	0.66	0.11
ACSM NO <sub>3</sub>	0.04	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.06	0.13	0.50	0.03	n.a.	0.12	0.49	0.18	n.a.	0.01	0.55	0.00
ACSM NH <sub>4</sub>	0.04	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.20	0.46	0.42	0.37	n.a.	0.08	0.19	0.11	n.a.	0.20	0.62	0.09
LWC	0.01	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.01	n.a.	0.00	0.00	0.00	n.a.	0.00	0.00	0.01
pH	0.01	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.10	0.05	0.08	0.02	n.a.	0.01	0.00	0.00	n.a.	0.13	0.09	0.01
$R^2_{MS}$																
HOA	0.42	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.06	n.a.	0.14	0.16	0.19	n.a.	0.14	0.09	0.16
LV-OOA	0.76	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.94	0.92	0.97	0.98	n.a.	0.97	0.98	0.84	n.a.	0.99	0.97	0.97
SV-OOA	0.83	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.33	0.30	0.41	0.42	n.a.	0.55	0.60	0.45	n.a.	0.61	0.51	0.65
BBOA	0.83	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.21	0.18	0.28	0.30	n.a.	0.46	0.45	0.44	n.a.	0.45	0.43	0.47
82Fac	0.84	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.64	0.59	0.69	0.73	n.a.	0.84	0.81	0.68	n.a.	0.85	0.88	0.83
91Fac	0.85	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.35	0.32	0.41	0.45	n.a.	0.61	0.66	0.62	n.a.	0.60	0.52	0.63
IEPOX-OA	0.85	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.58	0.54	0.65	0.67	n.a.	0.76	0.76	0.60	n.a.	0.81	0.79	0.81
Lab IEPOX SOA	0.72	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.26	0.23	0.30	0.33	n.a.	0.48	0.42	0.44	n.a.	0.47	0.53	0.46

## 1 **Table S3.** Estimated dry density of PM<sub>1</sub> and meteorological conditions at JST and LRK sites.

	Wir	nter	Spri	ng	Sum	mer	Fa	11
	JST	LRK	JST	LRK	JST	LRK	JST	LRK
Dry density (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	1.40	1.49	1.48	1.35	1.40	1.42	1.42	1.43
		Meteoro	logical cor	nditions				
Precipitation (mm)	83.98	<i>n.a.</i>	216.94	n.a.	80.84	n.a.	76.46	n.a.
Solar radiation (W m <sup>-2</sup> )	184.64	n.a.	329.91	n.a.	294.04	n.a.	216.40	n.a.
Temperature (°C)	12.29	4.15	21.26	12.83	26.02	20.97	14.49	11.10
RH (%)	66.01	71.48	65.15	67.26	69.05	80.15	67.56	75.18

2

3 Table S4. Meteorological conditions at JST site in summer 2011 (Budisulistiorini et al.,

4 2013).

$174.14 \pm 169.88$
$239.03 \pm 316.77$
$25.89 \pm 4.52$
$68.45 \pm 17.87$



3 **Figure S1**. Correlation of total aerosol mass measured by ACSM (NR-PM<sub>1</sub>) and black carbon (BC) measured at LRK during winter 2013 against PM<sub>1</sub> measured by SEMS DMA/MCPC. 



**Figure S2.** Diagnostic plots for PMF analysis of JST winter datasets: (a) Q/Qexp as a function of number of factors (p), (b) Q/Qexp as a function of FPEAK selected for the chosen number of factors, (c) fractional contribution of OA factors for each FPEAK, (d) correlation among PMF factors based on factor TS and MS, (e) TS of the measured OA mass and the reconstructed OA mass, (f) variation of the residual of the fit, Q/Qexp for each point in time (g) and for each m/z (h), and the box and whisker plot of the scaled residuals for each m/z.



Figure S3. Diagnostic plots for PMF analysis of JST spring datasets: (a) Q/Qexp as a function of number of factors (p), (b) Q/Qexp as a function of FPEAK selected for the chosen number of factors, (c) fractional contribution of OA factors for each FPEAK, (d) correlation among PMF factors based on factor TS and MS, (e) TS of the measured OA mass and the reconstructed OA mass, (f) variation of the residual of the fit, Q/Qexp for each point in time (g) and for each m/z (h), and the box and whisker plot of the scaled residuals for each m/z.



**Figure S4.** Diagnostic plots for PMF analysis of JST summer datasets: (a) Q/Qexp as a function of number of factors (p), (b) Q/Qexp as a function of FPEAK selected for the chosen number of factors, (c) fractional contribution of OA factors for each FPEAK, (d) correlation among PMF factors based on factor TS and MS, (e) TS of the measured OA mass and the reconstructed OA mass, (f) variation of the residual of the fit, Q/Qexp for each point in time (g) and for each m/z (h), and the box and whisker plot of the scaled residuals for each m/z.



**Figure S5.** Diagnostic plots for PMF analysis of JST fall datasets: (a) Q/Qexp as a function of number of factors (p), (b) Q/Qexp as a function of FPEAK selected for the chosen number of factors, (c) fractional contribution of OA factors for each FPEAK, (d) correlation among PMF factors based on factor TS and MS, (e) TS of the measured OA mass and the reconstructed OA mass, (f) variation of the residual of the fit, Q/Qexp for each point in time (g) and for each m/z (h), and the box and whisker plot of the scaled residuals for each m/z.



**Figure S6.** Diagnostic plots for PMF analysis of LRK winter datasets: (a) Q/Qexp as a function of number of factors (p), (b) Q/Qexp as a function of FPEAK selected for the chosen number of factors, (c) fractional contribution of OA factors for each FPEAK, (d) correlation among PMF factors based on factor TS and MS, (e) TS of the measured OA mass and the reconstructed OA mass, (f) variation of the residual of the fit, Q/Qexp for each point in time (g) and for each m/z (h), and the box and whisker plot of the scaled residuals for each m/z.



Figure S7. Diagnostic plots for PMF analysis of LRK spring datasets: (a) Q/Qexp as a function of number of factors (p), (b) Q/Qexp as a function of FPEAK selected for the chosen number of factors, (c) fractional contribution of OA factors for each FPEAK, (d) correlation among PMF factors based on factor TS and MS, (e) TS of the measured OA mass and the reconstructed OA mass, (f) variation of the residual of the fit, Q/Qexp for each point in time (g) and for each m/z (h), and the box and whisker plot of the scaled residuals for each m/z.



Figure S8. Diagnostic plots for PMF analysis of LRK summer datasets: (a) Q/Qexp as a function of number of factors (p), (b) Q/Qexp as a function of FPEAK selected for the chosen number of factors, (c) fractional contribution of OA factors for each FPEAK, (d) correlation among PMF factors based on factor TS and MS, (e) TS of the measured OA mass and the reconstructed OA mass, (f) variation of the residual of the fit, Q/Qexp for each point in time (g) and for each m/z (h), and the box and whisker plot of the scaled residuals for each m/z.



Figure S9. Diagnostic plots for PMF analysis of LRK fall datasets: (a) Q/Qexp as a function of number of factors (p), (b) Q/Qexp as a function of FPEAK selected for the chosen number of factors, (c) fractional contribution of OA factors for each FPEAK, (d) correlation among PMF factors based on factor TS and MS, (e) TS of the measured OA mass and the reconstructed OA mass, (f) variation of the residual of the fit, Q/Qexp for each point in time (g) and for each m/z (h), and the box and whisker plot of the scaled residuals for each m/z.









5 Figure S11. Mass spectral comparisons of similar PMF factors resolved from JST and LRK

6 data during each season.