1	Seasonal Characterization of Submicron Aerosol Chemical							
2	Composition and Organic Aerosol Sources in the Southeastern							
3	United States: Atlanta, Georgia and Look Rock, Tennessee							
4								
5	S. H. Budisulistiorini <sup>1</sup> , K. Baumann <sup>2</sup> , E. S. Edgerton <sup>2</sup> , S. T. Bairai <sup>3</sup> , S. Mueller <sup>4</sup> , S. L.							
6	Shaw <sup>5</sup> , E. M. Knipping <sup>6</sup> , Avram Gold <sup>1</sup> and J. D. Surratt <sup>1,*</sup>							
7	[1] Department of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Gillings School of Global Public							
8	Health, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA							
9	[2] Atmospheric Research & Analysis, Inc., Cary, NC, USA							
10	[3] Battelle, Pueblo, CO, USA							
11	[4] Ensafe, Nashville, TN, USA							
12	[5] Electric Power Research Institute, Palo Alto, CA, USA							
13	[6] Electric Power Research Institute, Washington, DC, USA							
14	*Corresponding Author: Jason D. Surratt ( <u>surratt@unc.edu</u> )							

#### 1 Abstract

2 A yearlong near-real-time characterization of non-refractory submicron aerosol (NR-3 PM<sub>1</sub>) was conducted at an urban (Atlanta, Georgia in 2012) and rural (Look Rock, Tennessee in 2013) site in the southeastern U.S. using the Aerodyne aerosol chemical speciation monitor 4 5 (ACSM) collocated with established air-monitoring network measurements. Seasonal 6 variations in organic aerosol (OA) and inorganic aerosol species are attributed to 7 meteorological conditions as well as anthropogenic and biogenic emissions in this region. The 8 highest concentrations of NR-PM<sub>1</sub> were observed during winter and fall seasons at the urban 9 site and during spring and summer at the rural site. Across all seasons and at both sites, NR-PM<sub>1</sub> was composed largely of OA (up to 76%) and sulfate (up to 31%). Six distinct OA 10 11 sources were resolved by positive matrix factorization applied to the ACSM organic mass 12 spectral data collected from the two sites over the one year of near-continuous measurements at each site: hydrocarbon-like OA (HOA), biomass burning OA (BBOA), semi-volatile 13 14 oxygenated OA (SV-OOA), low-volatility oxygenated OA (LV-OOA), isoprene-derived epoxydiol (IEPOX) OA (IEPOX-OA), and 91Fac (a factor dominated by a distinct ion at m/z15 91 fragment ion previously observed in biogenic influenced areas). LV-OOA was observed 16 17 throughout the year at both sites and contributed up to 66% of total OA mass. HOA was observed during the entire year only at the urban site (on average 21% of OA mass). BBOA 18 19 (15-33% of OA mass) was observed during winter and fall, likely dominated by local 20 residential wood burning emission. Although SV-OOA contributes quite significantly 21 (~27%), it was observed only at the urban site during colder seasons. IEPOX-OA was a major 22 component (27-41%) of OA at both sites, particularly in spring and summer. An ion fragment at m/z 75 is well correlated with the m/z 82 ion associated with the aerosol mass spectrum of 23 24 IEPOX-derived secondary organic aerosol (SOA). The contribution of 91Fac to the total OA

1	mass was significant (on average 22% of OA mass) at the rural site only during warmer
2	months. Comparison of 91Fac OA time series with SOA tracers measured from filter samples
3	collected at Look Rock suggests that isoprene oxidation through a pathway other than IEPOX
4	SOA chemistry may contribute to its formation. Other biogenic sources could also contribute
5	to 91Fac, but there remains a need to resolve the exact source of this factor based on its
6	significant contribution to rural OA mass.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

### 1 1 Introduction

2 Characterization of the chemical composition of atmospheric fine aerosol is important, 3 because of its adverse human health effects (Pope III and Dockery, 2006) and possible 4 impacts on the Earth's climate system (Forster et al., 2007). Aerosol with aerodynamic 5 diameters  $\leq 1 \mu m$  (PM<sub>1</sub>) plays a significant role in scattering and/or absorbing solar radiation 6 as well as cloud formation (IPCC, 2013). Long-term regional characterizations of ambient 7 PM<sub>1</sub> is required to understand its sources, formation, and aging mechanisms, as well as 8 atmospheric lifetime. This information will lead to more accurately constrained air quality 9 models for making regulatory decisions to mitigate the potential adverse impacts of PM<sub>1</sub>.

10 Over the past decade, online aerosol mass spectrometry (AMS) has been used to 11 extensively characterize ambient non-refractory (NR)-PM<sub>1</sub> (Zhang et al., 2007, Jimenez et al., 12 2009, Ng et al., 2010, Crippa et al., 2014); however, prior studies were limited by short measurement periods (weeks to a several months) because the need for intensive instrument 13 14 maintenance required the continuous on-site presence of skilled personnel in order to generate high quality data. The Aerodyne aerosol chemical speciation monitor (ACSM) based on the 15 16 AMS technology has been modified to allow for long-term operation with less maintenance 17 (Ng et al., 2011b). The ACSM has been recently used for long-term NR-PM<sub>1</sub> measurements 18 (Petit et al., 2015, Ripoll et al., 2015, Parworth et al., 2015, Zhang et al., 2015) and shown to 19 be durable and data are comparable to data collected from existing fine aerosol monitoring 20 networks (Budisulistiorini et al., 2014).

Worldwide studies have shown that tropospheric  $PM_1$  mass is dominated by organic aerosol (OA) (Zhang et al., 2007, Jimenez et al., 2009). OA consists of aerosol directly emitted into the atmosphere, primary organic aerosol (POA), and aerosol formed from atmospheric oxidation of volatile organic compounds (VOCs), secondary organic aerosol

(SOA). POA sources include fossil fuel combustion from vehicles, power generation, and residential burning (cooking and heating) as well as forest fires (Kanakidou et al., 2005). Contribution of hydrocarbon-like OA (HOA) associated with POA to urban OA mass may be significant during morning traffic, while oxygenated OA (OOA) associated with SOA exceeds POA at midday or in the afternoon (Zhang et al., 2005). SOA has been observed to contribute upwards of 90% to the total OA mass (Docherty et al., 2008), indicating the critical role of photochemical processes in SOA formation.

8 Studies in Atlanta, Georgia have characterized the chemical components of ambient 9 aerosol collected during different seasons (Lee et al., 2002, Kim et al., 2003, Butler et al., 10 2003); however, they were limited by low-time or -mass resolution. A recent study reported 11 characterization of ambient NR-PM<sub>1</sub> by high-resolution time-of-flight AMS (HR-ToF-AMS) from multiple sites in Georgia, including Atlanta, but was limited by one-month measurement 12 periods at each site (Xu et al., 2015a). Non-fossil carbon derived from modern sources (e.g., 13 14 biogenic) is reported to account for 50% of carbon at two urban sites and 70%-100% of carbon at 10 near-urban or remote sites in the U.S. (Schichtel et al., 2008). Additionally, 15 16 isoprene-derived SOA was recently observed to contribute substantially to SOA in downtown 17 Atlanta during summer (Budisulistiorini et al., 2013, Xu et al., 2015a, Xu et al., 2015b). The 18 isoprene-derived SOA was attributed to the heterogeneous chemistry of isomeric isoprene 19 epoxydiols (IEPOX), known oxidation products of isoprene under both low- (Paulot et al., 20 2009) and high-NO (Jacobs et al., 2014) conditions, in the presence of acidic sulfate aerosol 21 (Budisulistiorini et al., 2013).

Biogenic hydrocarbons and their oxidation products are major contributors to ambient
fine aerosol in rural areas where anthropogenic sources are low (Budisulistiorini et al., 2015).
In summer 2001, the fraction of non-fossil carbon was reported to vary from 66-80% of total

1 carbon at Look Rock (LRK), Great Smoky Mountains National Park (GSMNP), TN, 2 indicating the likely importance of photochemical oxidation of biogenic VOCs (BVOCs) 3 (Tanner et al., 2004a). Sulfate did not show significant diurnal variability at LRK, TN, 4 suggesting that local meteorological conditions are less influential in determining 5 concentrations of long-lived species (Tanner et al., 2005). SOA is predominant component of 6  $PM_{2.5}$  mass during summer and early fall but POA is more dominant in the late fall (Ke et al., 7 2007), suggesting that LRK site is influenced by biogenic and anthropogenic emissions.

8 We present a two-year study comparing near-real-time chemical characterizations of 9 NR-PM<sub>1</sub> collected for one-year at the urban Jefferson Street (JST) site in downtown Atlanta, 10 GA and a subsequent year at the rural LRK site located in the GSMNP, TN. NR-PM<sub>1</sub> was 11 sampled, chemically characterized and quantified over a two-year period spanning 2012–2013 12 using the ACSM. OA sources were seasonally analyzed by positive matrix factorization 13 (PMF). OA factors resolved by PMF were compared with collocated data collected from both 14 air-monitoring sites in order to associate them with specific types of OA sources.

15 2 Methods

## 16 **2.1 Fine Aerosol Sampling and Data Analysis**

17 Real-time continuous chemical measurements were conducted during 2012 at a 18 downtown urban site (JST) in Atlanta, GA, and during 2013 at a rural/forested site (LRK) in 19 GSMNP, TN, respectively. Analysis of data obtained from measurements at JST and LRK 20 was classified by season (Table 1), which was able to capture changes in meteorology, in 21 particular ambient temperature, at JST in 2012 and LRK in 2013 as illustrated in Figs. 1 and 22 2. The period with the coldest temperatures is classified as the winter season, and when the 23 temperature rises, the period is classified as the spring season. Summer season is signified by constant high temperature at the JST and LRK sites. When temperature decreases after
 summer, this period is categorized as the fall season.

3 Organic and inorganic species characterizations during 2013 Southern Oxidant Aerosol Study (SOAS) (Budisulistiorini et al., 2015) were included in analysis of the summer 4 5 season at LRK site in this study. Detailed descriptions of both sites have been published 6 (Budisulistiorini et al. 2013, 2015). Briefly, the JST site is one of several research sites of the 7 Southeastern Aerosol Research and Characterization (SEARCH) network. The JST site is 8 located in a mixed industrial-residential area about 4.2 km northwest of downtown Atlanta 9 and within approximately 200 m of a bus maintenance yard and several warehouse facilities to the south and southwest (Hansen et al., 2003, Solomon et al., 2003), and 53 km of coal-10 11 fired power plant (Plant Bowen) (Edgerton et al., 2006). The LRK site is located on a ridgetop on the northwestern edge of the GSMNP downwind of urban areas, such as Knoxville and 12 Marvville, TN, and small farms with animal grazing areas. Coal-fired power plants Kingston 13 14 and Bull Run are located within 50-60 km northwest of LRK site (Tennessee Valley Authority, 2015). In summer, up-slope flow carries pollutants emitted in the valley during 15 early morning to the LRK site by mid-morning, and in the evening down-slope flow 16 17 accompanies a shift of wind direction to the south and east that could isolate the site from fresh primary emissions from the valley and allows aged secondary species to accumulate 18 19 (Tanner et al., 2005).

Ambient NR-PM<sub>1</sub> was analyzed using the Aerodyne ACSM in a similar manner at both sites. Details of NR-PM<sub>1</sub> sampling at the JST and LRK sites have been described in Budisulistiorini et al. (2013, 2015). Briefly, the ACSM was operated with a sampling flow rate of 3 L min<sup>-1</sup>, resulting in a residence time of <2 s for PM<sub>2.5</sub> in the sampling line. The aerodynamic lens mounted on the ACSM inlet continuously samples PM<sub>1</sub> from the bypass

PM<sub>2.5</sub> sampling line (Ng et al., 2011b). Particle-laden air was dried using a 50-tube Nafion 1 dryer (Perma Pure PD-50T-24SS) in which a dry-air system delivered 7 L min<sup>-1</sup> of dry sheath 2 air to keep the sample air relative humidity (RH) well below 10%, preventing condensation 3 4 within the sampling line that could adversely affect the collection efficiency (CE) of PM<sub>1</sub> and 5 clog the ACSM sampling inlet. The ACSM was tuned for ionizer and electronic offset and calibrated for ionization efficiency on site (5-7 times) throughout each year of sampling at 6 each site. Mass calculation of aerosol constituents is described in detail elsewhere (Ng et al., 7 8 2011b). At both sites, a CE value of 0.5 for all species was used based on evaluation of 9 composition dependent CE as described in Budisulistiorini et al. (2013, 2015). We estimated 10 dry density of ambient PM<sub>1</sub> based on average particle composition for each season, and the 11 assumption of organic, inorganic, and EC densities are 1.4 (Hallquist et al., 2009), 1.77 (Turpin and Lim, 2001), and 1.77 g cm<sup>-3</sup> (Park et al., 2004) respectively The estimated dry 12 aerosol densities at both the JST and LRK sites are 1.55 g cm<sup>-3</sup> on average (Table S1), which 13 14 is about 13% less than the density of 1.75 at JST (Budisulistiorini et al., 2014) and similar to the density of 1.52 g cm<sup>-3</sup> at LRK (Budisulistiorini et al., 2015) during summer. If a CE of 1 15 was applied to JST and LRK datasets, the estimated aerosol density is <1 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, which is 16 much lower than the suggested organic of 1.4 g cm<sup>-3</sup> (Hallquist et al., 2009) and inorganic 17 aerosol density of 1.77 g cm<sup>-3</sup> (Cross et al., 2007). Therefore, we applied a CE value of 0.5 to 18 19 all seasonal datasets.

## 20 2.2 Organic Aerosol Characterization by PMF

Details of PMF analysis of the organic mass fraction have been described previously (Lanz et al., 2007, Ulbrich et al., 2009, Zhang, 2011). The PMF2 algorithm (Paatero and Tapper, 1994) was used in robust mode via PMF Evaluation Tool panel (PET v2.04) using the methods outlined in Ulbrich et al. (2009) and Zhang et al. (2011). Only the mass range m/z 1 12–120 was utilized for PMF because no organic fragment ions are possible at m/z <12 and 2 low transmission efficiency for ions with m/z >120 (Ng et al., 2011b), which results in low 3 signal-to-noise ratios as well as possible interference from naphthalene calibrant at m/z 128.

4 PMF analysis of yearlong data collected from JST and LRK yielded similar factor 5 solutions as those obtained from seasonal data, but showed additional factor splitting that 6 made solid identification of unique factors difficult. Therefore, we present results from PMF analysis performed separately for winter, spring, summer and fall seasons for the JST and 7 8 LRK sites. Solutions were chosen based on the quality of PMF fits as well as interpretability 9 when compared to reference mass spectra (Ng et al., 2011a, Robinson et al., 2011) and 10 independent gas- and particle-phase measurements (Budisulistiorini et al., 2013, 11 Budisulistiorini et al., 2015). For each analysis, uncertainty of selected factor solutions was 12 investigated with different seeds (seed parameter varied from 0 to 100, in steps of 5), FPEAK parameters, and 100 bootstrapping runs. PMF analysis of each season is detailed in Figures 13 14 S1-S24 and correlations of selected PMF factors with external tracers and reference mass spectra are provided in Tables S2-S3.  $Q/Q_{exp}$  from PMF analysis of JST data for all four 15 16 seasons is 2.2–2.9 indicate that the errors are somewhat underestimated (Ulbrich et al., 2009). 17 This could be due to some missing data points and the lack of distinct time series during 18 nighttime due to atmospheric stability and limitation of ACSM measurements (not high-19 resolution), such as observed by Guha et al. (2015).  $Q/Q_{exp}$  from PMF analysis of LRK data 20 for all four seasons is between 0.15–0.28, suggesting that the errors are overestimated (Ulbrich et al., 2009). However, the error values are deemed appropriate since  $Q/Q_{exp}$  is 21 22 consistently less than unity, regardless of the number of factors and the datasets.

## 1 2.3 Estimation of Aerosol Acidity by ISORROPIA

2 The thermodynamic model, ISORROPIA-II in forward mode (Fountoukis and Nenes, 3 2007, Nenes et al., 1999), was used to estimate aerosol pH. Inputs for the model include aerosol-phase sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium as umol m<sup>-3</sup>, measured by the ACSM under 4 ambient conditions. In addition, RH and temperature obtained from the SEARCH network 5 6 and the National Park Service (NPS) for JST and LRK sites, respectively, were used as inputs. 7 Inputs of gas-phase ammonia for the JST site were obtained from SEARCH and for LRK site, 8 from the Ammonia Monitoring Network (AMoN, TN01/Great Smoky Mountains National 9 Park-Look Rock). ISORROPIA-II predicted particle hydronium ion concentration per volume of air ( $H^+$ ,  $\mu g m^{-3}$ ) and aerosol liquid water content (LWC, mol  $L^{-1}$ ). Calculation of aerosol pH 10 11 follows that of Eq. 1 in Budisulistiorini et al. (2015).

## 12 **3 Results**

13 Seasonally averaged NR-PM<sub>1</sub> was typically higher at JST in 2012 (6–13  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>) compared to LRK in 2013 (5–8  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>), especially during colder seasons (fall and winter) 14 (Table 2). However, during warmer seasons (spring and summer) the average NR-PM<sub>1</sub> 15 16 concentrations were similar at both sites. The highest average seasonal concentration of NR- $PM_1$  at JST was observed during the fall (12.5 µg m<sup>-3</sup>), whereas the summer season yielded 17 the highest average NR-PM<sub>1</sub> concentration at LRK site (8.4  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>). These patterns 18 19 correspond to OA and sulfate seasonal trends, suggesting the important roles of these species 20 to total NR-PM<sub>1</sub> mass at urban and rural sites across the southeastern U.S (Tanner et al., 2015, 21 Xu et al., 2015a).

## 1 **3.1 Submicron Aerosol Chemical Composition**

At the LRK site, average OA loadings increased from spring  $(3.2 \ \mu g \ m^{-3})$  to summer 2 (5.3  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>), and then decreased in fall (2.8  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>), which is likely related to BVOC 3 emissions that depend on leaf surface area, solar radiation, and ambient temperature (Fig. 2) 4 5 (Guenther et al., 2006). A different pattern was observed at the urban site (Fig. 1), where average OA loadings were highest during the fall (8.2  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>) followed by winter (7.2  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>) 6 <sup>3</sup>), suggesting contributions from biomass burning-related OA and non-biogenic sources. High 7 8 concentration of OA in fall is slightly lower than ACSM measurement in fall 2011 9 (Budisulistiorini et al., 2013), but consistent with HR-ToF-AMS measurements in November 10 2012 (Xu et al., 2015a) (Table S4), suggesting the role of meteorology. Average OA 11 contributions to NR-PM<sub>1</sub> were higher in spring and summer at JST and LRK, suggesting that 12 biogenic SOA plays a significant role during these periods. OA characterization is further discussed in section 3.2. 13

Average sulfate concentrations were highest in summer for LRK (2.1 µg m<sup>-3</sup>) and fall 14 for JST (~2  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>) (Fig. 3). This suggests that sulfate may contribute to enhanced SOA 15 16 formation in this region (Lin et al., 2013a, Xu et al., 2015b, Budisulistiorini et al., 2015). 17 Changes in sulfate concentration at LRK were mainly affected by changes in SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from electrical-generating units in the region (Tanner et al., 2015). At JST, sulfate 18 19 measurements are lower but still within a standard deviation of those measured by HR-ToF-AMS in May and July 2012 in Atlanta (Xu et al., 2015a). SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from coal-fired 20 21 power plants nearby Atlanta contributed to spatial variability of sulfate concentration (Peltier 22 et al., 2007). The average contribution of sulfate to NR-PM<sub>1</sub> loading was quite significant throughout the year, ranging from 12-17% at JST and 21-31% at LRK (Table 2). Average 23 concentrations of ammonium and nitrate were  $<1 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$  at JST and  $<0.5 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$  at LRK. The 24

average ammonium and nitrate contribution to seasonal average NR-PM<sub>1</sub> loadings is small 1 2 compared of OA and sulfate (Table 2). Both ammonium and nitrate showed similar trends at 3 the JST site, where they were highest during colder seasons (winter and fall), while showing 4 no significant fluctuations during the duration of the study at LRK. This observation is 5 consistent with previous studies (Tanner et al., 2004b, Olszyna et al., 2005) reporting that average contributions of ammonium and nitrate are not significant for rural PM<sub>1</sub>. Average 6 non-refractory chloride loadings were low ( $<0.1 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ ), indicating that it is not a significant 7 contributor to inorganic aerosol mass in this region. The increasing average contributions 8 9 from the sum of sulfate, ammonium, and nitrate in winter and fall at JST suggests the important role of inorganics in NR-PM<sub>1</sub>, in accord with observations in other major urban 10 11 areas (Sun et al., 2011, Petit et al., 2015).

12 The lowest seasonal average pH was observed in summer (1.45) for JST (Fig. 3) and 13 in fall (1.53) for LRK (Fig. 3). On the other hand, the highest seasonal average pH was 2.01 for JST and 1.81 for LRK, which were observed during winter. Overall, seasonal aerosol pH 14 15 was 1.5-2.0 at both sites, indicating that NR-PM<sub>1</sub> in the southeastern U.S. is acidic year round. This is consistent with a recent study by Guo et al. (2015). No direct correlation  $(r^2)$ 16 <0.1) was observed between aerosol pH and OA at both sites. However, this does not 17 18 necessarily rule out the potential role of aerosol acidity in enhancing SOA formation in light 19 of laboratory studies demonstrating a significant pH effect (Gao et al., 2004, Surratt et al., 20 2007, Lin et al., 2013b). Uncertainty of aerosol acidity estimation by ISORROPIA-II by 21 omission of organic sulfate as input (Lin et al., 2014) could lead to under-prediction of aerosol acidity and the observed lack of correlation with OA. Seasonal averages of LWC were 22 highest during summer at both JST (33.97 mol L<sup>-1</sup> of aerosol) and LRK (38.17 mol L<sup>-1</sup>) sites. 23 24 It should be noted that the possible LWC contributions from OA are not included because

organic hygroscopicity parameter estimated from observed cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) 1 2 activities of OA (Guo et al., 2015) was not available in this study. Studies have suggested that 3 reactive uptake decreases with enhanced RH (Nguyen et al., 2014, Gaston et al., 2014); 4 however, some isoprene-derived SOA tracers were elevated by high RH (Zhang et al., 2011). 5 Although organic water fraction in total LWC was found to be significant, Guo et al. (2015) 6 suggested that pH prediction using ISORROPIA-II based on inorganic ions alone gave a 7 reasonable estimate. The lack of correlation between OA and pH as well as LWC indicate that 8 pH and LWC might not limiting factors in OA production in this region, consistent with 9 previous studies in Georgia and Alabama (Xu et al., 2015a) and Tennessee (Budisulistiorini et 10 al., 2015). It should be noted that this study did not include contribution of organic water into pH estimation, which could contribute to relationship between pH and OA. 11

### 12 **3.2 OA Characterizations**

13 The mass spectra and time series of OA factors resolved from PMF analysis at JST in 14 2012 are provided in Figs. 4 and 5, respectively, and LRK in 2013 are in Figs. 6 and 7, 15 respectively. More PMF factors were resolved from JST OA than from LRK OA, which could be due to a larger number of OA source types in urban areas. Each factor had a distinctive 16 17 time trend throughout 2012 (Fig. 5) at JST and 2013 at LRK (Fig. 7). OA measured at JST in 18 2012 and LRK in 2013 was composed primarily of low-volatility oxygenated OA (LV-OOA) 19 and IEPOX-derived OA factor (IEPOX-OA). Concentrations of LV-OOA and IEPOX-OA at both sites were on average 1.9 and 1.6 µg m<sup>-3</sup>, respectively (Fig. 8). Hydrocarbon-like OA 20 21 (HOA) and semi-volatile oxygenated OA (SV-OOA) concentrations varied between 1-2 µg  $m^{-3}$  at JST and biomass-burning OA (BBOA) was ~1 µg m<sup>-3</sup> at both sites. A biogenically 22 influenced factor (91Fac) was observed only at LRK and accounted for ~1  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>. Due to 23 lack of measurements, the potential role of planetary boundary layer (PBL) height to diurnal 24 13 variation of PMF factors was not accounted for in this study. However, diurnal PBL dynamics
 or loss processes (e.g. deposition) could influence diurnal patterns observed here for the PMF
 factors.

## 4 3.2.1 Winter

5 PMF analysis of winter OA yielded a four-factor solution at JST (Figs. 4a and 5a) and 6 a two-factor solution at LRK (Figs. 6a and 7a). HOA, BBOA, SV-OOA, and low-volatility 7 oxygenated OA (LV-OOA) factors (Ng et al., 2011a) were resolved from the JST dataset, 8 whereas only the BBOA and LV-OOA factors were resolved from the LRK dataset. 9 Increasing the number of factors in PMF analysis of LRK data resulted in splitting factors that 10 share similarities with BBOA factor. Thus, we selected a two-factor solution (p = 2) for LRK 11 in winter.

12 The temporal variation of the HOA factor correlates well ( $r^2 > 0.7$ ) with black carbon 13 (BC), carbon monoxide (CO), and reactive nitrogen species (NO<sub>y</sub>) (Table S2). Moreover, its 14 diurnal variation (Fig. 9) showed a morning peak, consistent with an expected contribution 15 from vehicular emissions (Zhang et al., 2007).

16 The BBOA factor concentration increased during the night and decreased during the 17 day at JST (Fig. 9), which could be related to residential and non-residential wood burning as 18 well as PBL dynamics. BBOA at the LRK site also showed a large nighttime peak with a 19 gradual decrease during the day (Fig. 10). The large peak appears to result from a short period 20 of intense biomass burning that occurred in 15–18 March 2013. Since a source for this event 21 could not be identified, we do not report it specifically in this study. The time series of BBOA showed low to moderate correlation ( $r^2$  0.4–0.5 at JST and  $r^2$  0.2 – 0.4 at LRK) with BC, 22 suggesting that it is likely influenced by some local sources (e.g., fires). BBOA mass spectra 23 from JST and LRK were highly correlated ( $r^2 \sim 0.7$ ), indicating similarity of the sources. 24

1 Comparison of the BBOA mass spectra with reference mass spectra showed correlation with 2 other OOA factors (Tables S2 and S3), a known caveat in resolution of BBOA based on unit 3 mass resolution (UMR) data such as those from ACSM measurements (Wood et al., 2010). 4 The similarity of BBOA and OOA factor mass spectra could indicate aging of the BBOA 5 factor, which was observed to have enhanced signals at m/z 18, 29 and 44 ions and low signals at m/z 60 and 73 ions (Bougiatioti et al., 2014). However, the BBOA factor observed 6 7 at JST and LRK displayed an enhanced signal at m/z 44 ion but retained signals at m/z 60 and 8 73 ions, suggesting that it was not as oxidized as the aged BBOA factor.

9 LV-OOA is characterized by high fraction of total ion intensity at m/z 44 ( $f_{44}$ ) resulting from high oxygen content (Ng et al., 2011a) and is the most abundant OA type at both JST 10 11 and LRK (Table 2). Maxima around midnight at JST (Fig. 9) and in the mid-afternoon at LRK (Fig. 10) were not significant, indicating that LV-OOA concentration is relatively constant 12 throughout the day in this region. LV-OOA has been shown to correlate with non-volatile 13 secondary species, such as sulfate (Jimenez et al., 2009). Weak correlation ( $r^2 < 0.2$ ) between 14 LV-OOA and sulfate might be due to a complex oxidation process, as previously observed in 15 urban ambient aerosol (Sun et al. 2011a). On the other hand, the mass spectral comparison of 16 LV-OOA from both sites were strongly correlated ( $r^2 \sim 1$ , Fig. S25), possibly suggesting 17 similar sources of LV-OOA at these sites. 18

19 SV-OOA, which was observed only at JST, showed an  $f_{44}$  smaller than that of LV-20 OOA (Fig. 4a) indicates the factor is less oxidized and thus semi-volatile (Ng et al., 2011a). 21 The temporal variation of SV-OOA was moderately correlated ( $r^2 \sim 0.4$ ) with nitrate (Table 22 S2) while the mass spectrum was well correlated with previously resolved 82Fac and IEPOX-23 OA factors (82Fac and IEPOX-OA are equivalent and are characterized by a prominent ion at 24 m/z 82) (Robinson et al., 2011; Budisulistiorini et al., 2013; Budisulistiorini et al., 2015). Since isoprene emission is expected to be negligible during winter season, SV-OOA might
not relate to IEPOX-derived SOA. The diurnal profile of SV-OOA showed an increase in the
evening and decrease in the morning, similar to the BBOA profile and HOA factors.
Moreover, it tracked well with diurnal profile of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. This suggests a possible influence of
nitrate-radical chemistry on nighttime SOA formation during winter (Xu et al., 2015b, Rollins
et al., 2012).

# 7 3.2.2 Spring

8 PMF analysis of spring OA resulted in a three-factor solution (i.e., HOA, LV-OOA, 9 and IEPOX-OA) for the JST site (Figs. 4b and 5b) and a three-factor solution (i.e., LV-OOA, 91Fac, and IEPOX-OA) for the LRK site (Figs. 6b and 7b). Increasing the number of factors 10 11 in PMF analysis of JST resulted in splitting components, and thus, SV-OOA was not resolved 12 in spring. The lack of the SV-OOA factor might result from evaporation of semi-volatile 13 species in warmer periods and/or the inability of the ACSM to pick up on the variability of a 14 factor with low concentration. Similarly, a splitting component was observed in PMF analysis of LRK data p = 4. Thus, BBOA and/or HOA were not resolved from LRK in spring. 15

16 The average concentration of HOA in Atlanta was lower in spring (0.7  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>) than in 17 winter (1.7  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>), which could be influenced by dilution — from rise of PBL — and 18 evaporation of POA during warmer conditions (Robinson et al., 2007). Although its 19 concentration decreases, the diurnal pattern of HOA was consistent from winter to spring 20 (Fig. 9) and correlation with primary species was strong (r<sup>2</sup> ~0.6, Table S2).

Average LV-OOA concentration at JST also was the lowest in spring (1.4  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>), which might be attributed to warming temperatures that elevate the PBL and enhance atmospheric mixing. Diurnal variation of LRK LV-OOA (Fig. 10) showed a small diurnal 1 maximum in the afternoon, whereas no variation was observed for JST LV-OOA (Fig. 9). 2 LRK LV-OOA showed moderate correlation with sulfate ( $r^2 > 0.4$ , Table S3), suggesting 3 influence of sulfate at this site during spring (Tanner et al., 2015). Although no correlation 4 was found for JST LV-OOA versus sulfate, comparison of mass spectra revealed the same 5 strong correlation ( $r^2 \sim 1$ , Fig. S25) between JST and LRK LV-OOA factors observed in 6 winter, suggesting possible similar sources over a regional scale.

7 The IEPOX-OA factor, attributed to IEPOX heterogeneous chemistry (Budisulistiorini 8 et al., 2013, Lin et al., 2012), was resolved from datasets at both JST and LRK. It was the 9 second most abundant OA type after LV-OOA at JST, but the most abundant OA component at LRK (Table 2). The average IEPOX-OA concentration was slightly higher at LRK than at 10 11 JST, which is expected due to abundant emissions of isoprene at the forested site. Diurnal 12 patterns of IEPOX-OA are different at JST and LRK. At LRK, IEPOX-OA has insignificant diurnal variability, which is likely influenced by small variability of sulfate as previously 13 14 observed at this site (Tanner et al., 2005). However, a small increase in the afternoon and 15 constant concentration until the evening suggests that this factor is driven by photooxidation of isoprene (Budisulistiorini et al., 2013). At JST, the diurnal pattern of IEPOX-OA followed 16 17 that of total OA, where it slightly decreased during the day before it increased again in the evening. This diurnal pattern is different from previous observations at JST during summer 18 19 2011 (Budisulistiorini et al., 2013), but quite similar to isoprene-OA from May 2012 reported 20 by Xu et al. (2015a), suggesting influence of year-to-year changes in meteorology, such as precipitation and solar radiation (Table S1). Nevertheless, the mass spectra of IEPOX-OA at 21 JST and LRK are tightly correlated  $(r^2 \sim 1)$ , indicative of similar composition. 22

91Fac was resolved only at the LRK site and accounted for 0.7–1.2 μg m<sup>-3</sup>. 91Fac has
been attributed to various sources: monoterpenes-derived SOA (Budisulistiorini et al., 2015,

Boyd et al., 2015), biogenic SOA (Chen et al., 2015), and aged BBOA (Robinson et al., 2011). However, a recent field study identified ions at *m/z* 18, 29, and 44 as markers for aged BBOA but not *m/z* 91 ion (Bougiatioti et al., 2014). Since BBOA was not resolved from OA measurements in spring, aging of BBOA seems unlikely to be the source of 91Fac in this study, although it cannot be conclusively ruled out. The lack of 91Fac at the JST site suggests that its sources may be limited to emissions and chemical processes in forested and/or rural areas. 91Fac will be further discussed in section 4.2.

### 8 3.2.3 Summer

9 PMF analysis of summer OA resolved the same factors as spring at both sites: HOA, LV-OOA, and IEPOX-OA factors at JST (Figs. 4c and 5c), and LV-OOA, 91Fac, and 10 11 IEPOX-OA factors at LRK (Figs. 6c and 7c). Average HOA mass concentration at JST increased in summer to ~1.1  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> (Fig. 8). Temporal variation of HOA was well correlated 12  $(r^2 \sim 0.6)$  with BC, CO, and NO<sub>x</sub> (Table S2) and the diurnal pattern was similar to that of 13 spring (Fig. 9). Similar to spring, SV-OOA was not resolved in summer, which could be 14 15 attributed to rapid evaporation of semi-volatile species under high ambient temperatures 16 (Table S1).

Average LV-OOA concentrations at both sites increased in summer; however, the proportional contribution decreased as a result of a larger contribution of IEPOX-OA at JST and 91Fac at LRK (Table 2). The time series of LV-OOA was weakly correlated with sulfate  $(r^2 \sim 0.2)$  at JST, but more strongly correlated with sulfate at LRK ( $r^2 = 0.6-0.7$ ) (Tables S2-S3). The diurnal of LRK LV-OOA showed a local maximum in mid-afternoon (Fig. 10) and have a moderate correlation ( $r^2 \sim 0.4$ ) with the sulfate (Table S3), suggesting that sulfate plays a role to LV-OOA in summer at LRK. Comparison of JST and LRK LV-OOA mass spectra 1 revealed a strong correlation ( $r^2 = 0.94$ ), possibly suggesting similar sources between two 2 sites.

Average concentration of the 91Fac OA at LRK was higher in summer than spring, which indicates the role of meteorology — increasing temperature from ~13°C in spring to ~21°C in summer (Table S1). The relative contribution of 91Fac to total OA increased at LRK (Table 2) and its diurnal profile showed a local maximum around noon. A moderate correlation of 91Fac with nitrate (r<sup>2</sup> ~0.5, Table S3) suggests that the factor is moderately oxidized.

9 Average concentration of IEPOX-OA at JST and LRK increased during summer. At 10 LRK, the average concentration of IEPOX-OA reached a maximum in summer, but its 11 relative contribution to total OA mass was lower due to the increasing concentration of 91Fac. 12 Concentrations of IEPOX-OA at both sites are comparable (Fig. 8), suggesting that in summer this factor may become spatially homogeneous in the southeastern U.S. Since 13 measurements at JST and LRK were conducted during different years, meteorological 14 15 changes might play role in site-to-site comparison. At LRK, IEPOX-OA showed a small increase around noon, while at JST there was a local maximum in the mid-afternoon, 16 suggesting an influx of IEPOX-OA likely transported from surrounding forested areas. The 17 time series of IEPOX-OA was moderately correlated with nitrate ( $r^2 \sim 0.4$ ) at JST, and at LRK 18 stronger correlations ( $r^2 > 0.5$ ) with sulfate and nitrate were observed, suggesting that this 19 20 factor is moderately oxidized.

21 3.2.4 Fall

At JST, PMF analysis of fall OA resulted in a four-factor solution (i.e., HOA, BBOA,
SV-OOA, and LV-OOA), while at LRK a three-factor solution was resolved (i.e., LV-OOA,

91Fac, and IEPOX-OA). Increasing the number of factors in PMF analysis of JST fall data
resulted in factor splitting, and thus, the IEPOX-OA factor was not resolved from this data
set. Similarly, we could not resolve the BBOA factor from LRK fall data because the analysis
resulted in splitting components.

The concentration of JST HOA increased to a level comparable to that in winter (Fig. 5), which might be influenced by meteorology — low ambient temperature and less solar radiation — in fall and winter. The correlation of the time series of HOA with BC, CO, and NO<sub>x</sub> ( $r^2 > 0.7$ ) was similar to spring and summer and slightly stronger than in winter (Table S2) and the diurnal profile appears similar to that in winter (Fig. 9). The presence of the HOA factor throughout the year at JST is expected due to traffic emissions in urban areas (Xu et al., 2015a).

At JST, the BBOA factor was resolved again from OA with average concentration and fractional contribution to total OA less than observed in winter. The diurnal profile of BBOA during fall at JST appeared similar to that in winter, suggesting similar emission sources as well as possible PBL effect during these two colder seasons. The lack of the BBOA factor at LRK could be attributed to the inability of the ACSM to capture a factor with low concentration. In winter, the ACSM could capture the strong signal of BBOA due to some periods of intense burning which would not be expected in fall.

19 SV-OOA was also resolved from JST OA with slightly higher average concentration 20 and fractional contribution than that observed in winter. The diurnal profile of fall SV-OOA 21 was similar to that in winter, suggesting similar sources and role of PBL. The return of SV-22 OOA might be influenced by decreases in temperature from ~26°C in summer to ~15°C in 23 fall (Table S1), resulting in less evaporation of semi-volatile species.

1 LV-OOA was resolved from OA at both JST and LRK. Average concentrations of 2 LV-OOA remained relatively constant from summer to fall at both the urban and rural sites (Fig. 8). However, the contribution of LV-OOA to total OA at LRK increased due to 3 decreasing concentrations of other OA factors (i.e., IEPOX-OA and 91Fac) (Table 2). JST 4 5 LV-OOA did not show diurnal variation, whereas Xu et al. (2015a) observed a small diurnal variation by HR-ToF-AMS. The mass resolution of the ACSM instrument is not as high as the 6 7 HR-ToF-AMS, thus, it might not be able to capture the diurnal variability. LRK LV-OOA 8 increased in mid-morning and reached a maximum around mid-afternoon. Temporal variation of LV-OOA was weakly correlated ( $r^2 \sim 0.2$ ) with inorganics at JST, but moderately correlated 9  $(r^2 = 0.4-0.5)$  at LRK. Strong correlation of LV-OOA mass spectra  $(r^2 \sim 1, slope = 0.8-1.1, slope = 0.8-1.1)$ 10 Fig. S25) at JST and LRK indicates a similar or identical source. 11

12 The concentration of 91Fac at LRK dropped significantly in fall. The drop coincided 13 with decrease of total OA concentration and ambient temperature - from around 20°C to 14 around 10°C (Fig. 2). Temperature has been shown to have a negative effect on SOA formation from monoterpenes (Emanuelsson et al., 2013), but isoprene SOA is shown to be 15 dependent on temperature (Worton et al., 2013). Similar to 91Fac, IEPOX-OA concentration 16 at LRK also decreased in fall, suggesting that their sources could be similar. The lack of the 17 IEPOX-OA factor at JST is likely due to reduced isoprene emissions, leading to low SOA 18 19 formation consistent to previous studies (Budisulistiorini et al., 2013, Xu et al., 2015a).

20

# 3.3 Seasonal Changes and Contribution of OA Sources

HOA was observed throughout the year at JST in 2012 and contributes significantly to
total OA (on average 21%), while it was not observed at LRK in 2013. Wider standard

deviations in winter and fall suggest more variability in HOA mass in Atlanta during these
 seasons.

LV-OOA, which was also observed throughout the year, contributes on average 30– 4 43% of the total OA. At LRK, LV-OOA was also observed throughout the year, accounting 5 for a large proportion of total OA in winter, up to 66%. Results from JST and LRK sites 6 suggest that LV-OOA is annually and spatially homogeneous, consistent with previous 7 observations in this region (Xu et al., 2015a).

8 BBOA was observed during winter and fall 2012 at JST and accounted on average 9 17% of total OA. Standard deviations of mass concentrations indicate large variability of 10 BBOA in winter (Fig. 8), which could be related to increases of biomass burning in urban 11 areas during colder seasons. BBOA was observed only during winter 2013 at LRK. The average concentration of BBOA at LRK was consistently  $\sim 1 \text{ ug m}^{-3}$ , but several episodes of 12 high levels resulted in a large standard deviation (Figs. 8). The LRK site is located quite far 13 14 from residential areas, thus emissions from residential burning activities might not be well 15 captured by the ACSM during the fall season.

16 SV-OOA was resolved only at JST and only in the fall and winter, implying that it 17 might be formed from local aging process or transported from nearby areas. SV-OOA 18 contributed on average 27% of the total OA. During spring and summer, SV-OOA 19 concentrations were probably at or below the ACSM limits of detection due to higher ambient 20 temperatures that likely promote evaporation of semi-volatile species.

21 IEPOX-OA concentrations were elevated from spring to summer of 2012 at JST and 22 2013 at LRK in accord with expected enhanced emission and photochemistry of isoprene. In 23 Atlanta (JST), concentration of IEPOX-OA was on average 38% of total OA over spring and 24 summer. Mass variability of JST IEPOX-OA in summer was large, primarily as a result of a

sharp peak in early July, when levels were as high as  $\sim 4 \mu g m^{-3}$ . At LRK, IEPOX-OA was 1 observed in spring, summer, and fall seasons with average concentrations of 1.4  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>, 2.1 2  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>, and 0.8  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> in spring, summer, and fall, respectively, contributing on average 36% 3 4 of total OA mass. The drastic decrease of IEPOX-OA concentration from summer to fall at 5 LRK (Fig. 7) could be attributed to the drop of ambient temperature that might affect SOA formation (Worton et al., 2013). Also, significant IEPOX-OA drop is consistent with loss of 6 7 tree foliage as a major source of isoprene emission, which is supported by missing of IEPOX-8 OA during winter.

9 91Fac factor was observed during spring, summer, and fall at LRK in 2013. Seasonal 10 average concentrations of 91Fac were 0.68  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> (21%) in spring, 1.25  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> (23%) in 11 summer, and 0.25  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> (9%) in fall. Further discussion about the possible source(s) of 12 91Fac is presented in section 4.2. Decrease of 91Fac factor from summer to fall (Fig. 7) 13 coincided with decrease total OA and IEPOX-OA factor, possibly suggesting a similar 14 biogenic source.

### 15 4 Discussion

# 16 4.1 IEPOX-OA Factor: Fragment Ion Contributions

The IEPOX-OA component has been observed in chamber experiments and field OA (Hu et al., 2015). Mass spectra generated by thermal decomposition of isomeric authentic 3-MeTHF and IEPOX standards directly atomized into the Aerodyne HR-ToF-AMS show major fragments at m/z 82 (mostly  $C_5H_6O^+$ ), m/z 53 (mostly  $C_4H_5^+$ ), and 75 (mostly  $C_3H_7O_2^+$ ), (Lin et al., 2012). The ion fragment at m/z 100 (mostly  $C_5H_8O_2^+$ ) was also suggested as an ion marker for IEPOX-derived SOA (Lin et al., 2013b), however, field studies using ACSM (Budisulistiorini et al., 2013, Budisulistiorini et al., 2015) showed stronger signal at m/z 101

(possibly  $C_5H_9O_2^+$ ). Temporal variation of the m/z 82 fragment ion associated with LV-OOA, 1 2 IEPOX-OA, and 91Fac (Fig. S26) shows that the IEPOX-OA factor is the predominant 3 contributor to m/z 82 at both LRK and JST in spring and summer. During fall the LV-OOA 4 factor becomes a significant contributor to m/z 82 at LRK, which might be due to influence of 5 aged IEPOX-OA. Further studies, however, will be needed to examine effects of atmospheric 6 oxidation in IEPOX-OA and LV-OOA mass spectra. The IEPOX-OA mass spectra fragment 7 ions at m/z 82 show weak and strong linear relationships with m/z 53 and m/z 75, respectively 8 and no correlation with m/z 100 (Fig. 9). Fractional contribution of ions at 75 ( $f_{75}$ ) versus the 9 contribution of the ion at m/z 82 ( $f_{82}$ ) to IEPOX-OA mass spectra show a strong linear 10 relationship ( $r^2 = 0.95$ , slope = 0.49±0.06) over different locations and seasons, while  $f_{53}$  and  $f_{82}$  were moderately correlated ( $r^2 = 0.48$ ). ACSM mass spectra acquired from the atomization 11 12 of filter extracts of laboratory-generated IEPOX-derived SOA (Budisulistiorini et al., 2013) and in the IEPOX-OA factor resolved from field studies using the ACSM (Budisulistiorini et 13 14 al., 2015) gave a quite prominent ion at m/z 101 rather than m/z 100. The scatterplots of  $f_{101}$ and  $f_{100}$  against  $f_{82}$  do not show a linear relationship (r<sup>2</sup> < 0.1), perhaps as a consequence of the 15 16 low intensity of these ions compared to the other ion fragments. Although parameterizations 17 of IEPOX-OA factor based on its markers (Hu et al., 2015) was not done in this study, this study presents some insights of role of the m/z 82 fragment ion over different seasons in 18 19 southeastern U.S. Observation of the m/z 75 fragment ion variation over different seasons 20 indicates its potential as a marker ion for IEPOX-OA detection. Here we have estimated from 21 our field data, the intensity of the ion at m/z 75 is about half that of the ion at m/z 82 in 22 IEPOX-OA mass spectra. This warrants future study to examine m/z 75 ion fragment detection from laboratory generated IEPOX-derived SOA using a higher-resolution aerosol 23 24 mass spectrometer.

#### 1

### 4.2 Insights into 91Fac OA Formation

2 Laboratory and field studies have reported significant signal of an m/z 91 fragment ion 3 measured by the Aerodyne AMS (e.g., Surratt et al., 2006, Robinson et al., 2011, Slowik et 4 al., 2011, Chen et al., 2015). Surratt et al. (2006) found that this ion strongly correlated with 5 OA mass during isoprene photooxidation under low-NO<sub>x</sub> and low-RH conditions in a chamber using ToF-AMS, and proposed  $C_3H_7O_3^+$  formula for this ion as a tracer for peroxide 6 7 under low-NO<sub>x</sub> condition. Field measurements showed a PMF factor with intense signal at 8 m/z 91 (91Fac) was resolved from OA measurements over densely forested areas where 9 isoprene emissions were dominant and NO<sub>x</sub> level was negligible (Robinson et al., 2011, 10 Budisulistiorini et al., 2015) as well as site influenced by monoterpene emissions (Slowik et al., 2011). In this study, we found that NO<sub>x</sub> is not correlated ( $r^2 \sim 0$ ) with m/z 91 of 91Fac 11 12 during spring and summer, suggesting that at LRK site the factor is not influenced by  $NO_x$ (Fig. S27). Correlation values of 0.14 and 0.2 were found between m/z 91 ion of 91Fac and 13 14 m/z 82 ion of IEPOX-OA factor during spring and summer, respectively. Since IEPOX-OA is 15 associated with isoprene-derived SOA, the weak correlations indicate that biogenic source(s) 16 - not necessarily isoprene — might contribute to 91Fac formation in densely forested areas like at the LRK site. High-volume  $PM_{2.5}$  filter samples collected at LRK during 1 June – 17 17 18 July 2013 were analyzed offline for biogenic SOA tracers by ultra performance liquid 19 chromatography/diode array detection-electrospray ionization-high-resolution quadrupole 20 time-of-flight mass spectrometry (UPLC/DAD-ESI-HR-QTOFMS) (Budisulistiorini et al., 21 2015). In addition to monoterpene oxidation chemistry tracers (Surratt et al., 2008, Claevs et al., 2009, Yasmeen et al., 2010, Szmigielski et al., 2007) reported in Budisulistiorini et al. 22 23 (2015), SOA tracers from isoprene ozonolysis chemistry (Safi Shalamzari et al., 2013, Riva et 24 al., 2015) were also detected (Table 3). Correlations between 91Fac and SOA tracers from

1 isoprene ozonolysis and monoterpene chemistry are on average 0.4 and 0.3 (Table 3), 2 respectively. These suggest higher potential contributions of isoprene chemistry through a 3 non-IEPOX pathway over monoterpene chemistry in 91Fac formation. Photooxidation of 4 isoprene hydroxy hydroperoxide (ISOPOOH) under low-NO<sub>x</sub> conditions was recently shown 5 to yield the formation of low-volatility hydroperoxide compounds (St. Clair et al., 2015), leading to the production of non-IEPOX SOA in chamber and field studies (Krechmer et al., 6 7 2015). Further investigations of peroxide contributions to formation of 91Fac could not be 8 performed in this study because the details of aerosol-phase tracers and electron ionization 9 fragmentation pattern of non-IEPOX SOA produced from condensation of the low-volatility 10 hydroperoxide compounds are not vet known (St. Clair et al., 2015, Krechmer et al., 2015). 11 This should be a focus of future work. The weak correlations of 91Fac with monoterpene SOA tracers ( $r^2 = 0.3$ , Table 3) might indicate that multiple sources could contribute to the 12 formation of 91Fac. Laboratory studies found that combined isoprene-,  $\alpha$ -pinene-, and  $\beta$ -13 caryophyllene-derived SOA mass (Chen et al., 2015) as well as β-pinene+NO<sub>3</sub> SOA mass 14 15 spectra (Boyd et al., 2015) produced an intense signal at m/z 91 associated with C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>7</sub><sup>+</sup>. Due to 16 limitation in unit mass resolution of ACSM measurements, we could not identify composition of m/z 91 fragment ion. Thus, contributions of  $C_7H_7^+$  and  $C_3H_7O_3^+$  could not be examined 17 18 from this study.

## 19 5 Conclusions

Seasonal characterization of NR-PM<sub>1</sub> collected in the southeastern U.S. revealed that OA is the most abundant species, accounting for 50–65% of total NR-PM<sub>1</sub> at LRK and 65– 75% of total NR-PM<sub>1</sub> at JST. Sulfate is the most abundant inorganic species throughout the year, accounting for 20–30% and 10–20% of total NR-PM<sub>1</sub> at the rural and urban site, respectively. Nitrate and ammonium followed as the third and fourth most abundant inorganic species, respectively, and were dependent on the season and location. The highest average
 concentrations of OA, sulfate and ammonium were observed during summer season at LRK
 and during fall at JST.

4 Characterization of OA using PMF resolved a combined six factors at JST and LRK 5 sites, with different factors being resolved depending on location, season, and year. HOA and 6 SV-OOA were resolved only at JST that represent urban OA. BBOA, LV-OOA, and IEPOX-7 OA were resolved from both sites during different seasons, while 91Fac was resolved only 8 from LRK site during warmer seasons. HOA contributions to total OA mass were fairly 9 consistent (~21% of total OA) over four seasons, and its contribution peaked during winter. 10 SV-OOA was observed only during colder seasons, having a consistent contribution of 27% 11 of total OA. BBOA was observed during winter and fall seasons at JST and only during 12 winter at LRK, with highest contribution of 33% of total OA observed during winter at LRK site and on average 17% of total OA at JST site. The higher contribution of BBOA at LRK 13 14 was likely due to a single, significant burning event, whereas contribution at JST is more consistent between winter and fall. 15

Average IEPOX-OA contributions during warmer seasons were ~38% and ~41% of 16 17 total OA at JST and LRK sites, respectively. While the IEPOX-OA resolved from PMF is 18 characterized by the fragment ion at m/z 82, strong correlation of the fractional contributions of the ions m/z 82 and 75 fragment ions to IEPOX-OA mass spectra ( $f_{75}$  :  $f_{82}$  ~0.5) suggests 19 20 that both ions can serve as markers for IEPOX-OA. Average 91Fac OA contribution was 21 ~22% of total OA at LRK site over spring and summer. Based on correlations with SOA 22 tracer analysis performed offline, 91Fac showed an overall higher correlation with SOA 23 markers of isoprene ozonolysis and photooxidation than with monoterpene oxidation. This might indicate that 91Fac at LRK could be influenced by isoprene chemistry, but might have 24

different sources in other locations where isoprene is not in high abundance. The abundance of 91Fac at isoprene-dominated forested area such as LRK warrants further study to determine the source, since multiple biogenic sources or low-volatility hydroperoxide compounds might contribute to this factor.

## 5 Acknowledgements

6 This study was supported by the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI). We thank Jerry

7 Brown of Atmospheric Research and Analysis (ARA) as well as Bill Hicks of the Tennessee

8 Valley Authority (TVA) for their assistance in collecting the collocated monitoring data at the

9 JST and LRK sites, respectively. S. H. Budisulistiorini was supported in part by a Fulbright

10 Presidential Fellowship (2010–2013) for attending the University of North Carolina at Chapel

11 Hill, the UNC Graduate School Off-Campus Dissertation Research Fellowship, and EPRI.

References Bougiatioti, A., Stavroulas, I., Kostenidou, E., Zarmpas, P., Theodosi, C., Kouvarakis,
 G., Canonaco, F., Prévôt, A.S.H., Nenes, A., Pandis, S.N. and Mihalopoulos, N.: Processing of
 biomass-burning aerosol in the eastern Mediterranean during summertime, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
 14, 4793-4807, doi:10.5194/acp-14-4793-2014, 2014.

Boyd, C. M., Sanchez, J., Xu, L., Eugene, A.J., Nah, T., Tuet, W.Y., Guzman, M.I. and Ng, N.L.:
Secondary organic aerosol formation from the β-pinene+NO<sub>3</sub> system: effect of humidity
and peroxy radical fate, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 7497-7522, doi:10.5194/acp-15-7497-2015,
2015.

Budisulistiorini, S. H., Canagaratna, M.R., Croteau, P.L., Baumann, K., Edgerton, E.S., Kollman,
M.S., Ng, N.L., Verma, V., Shaw, S.L., Knipping, E.M., Worsnop, D.R., Jayne, J.T., Weber, R.J.
and Surratt, J.D.: Intercomparison of an Aerosol Chemical Speciation Monitor (ACSM) with
ambient fine aerosol measurements in downtown Atlanta, Georgia, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 7, 19291941, doi:10.5194/amt-7-1929-2014, 2014.

Budisulistiorini, S. H., Li, X., Bairai, S.T., Renfro, J., Liu, Y., Liu, Y.J., McKinney, K.A., Martin,
S.T., McNeill, V.F., Pye, H.O.T., Nenes, A., Neff, M.E., Stone, E.A., Mueller, S., Knote, C.,
Shaw, S.L., Zhang, Z., Gold, A. and Surratt, J.D.: Examining the effects of anthropogenic
emissions on isoprene-derived secondary organic aerosol formation during the 2013 Southern
Oxidant and Aerosol Study (SOAS) at the Look Rock, Tennessee ground site, Atmos. Chem.
Phys., 15, 8871-8888, doi:10.5194/acp-15-8871-2015, 2015.

Budisulistiorini, S. H., Canagaratna, M.R., Croteau, P.L., Marth, W.J., Baumann, K., Edgerton, E.S.,
 Shaw, S.L., Knipping, E.M., Worsnop, D.R., Jayne, J.T., Gold, A. and Surratt, J.D.: Real-Time
 Continuous Characterization of Secondary Organic Aerosol Derived from Isoprene Epoxydiols in

- Downtown Atlanta, Georgia, Using the Aerodyne Aerosol Chemical Speciation Monitor,
   Environ.Sci.Technol., 47, 5686-5694, doi:10.1021/es400023n, 2013.
- Butler, A. J., Andrew, M.S. and Russell, A.G.: Daily sampling of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Atlanta: Results of the first
   year of the Assessment of Spatial Aerosol Composition in Atlanta study, J.Geophys.Res., 108,
   SOS 3-1-SOS 3-11, doi:10.1029/2002JD002234, 2003.
- 6 Chen, Q., Farmer, D.K., Rizzo, L.V., Pauliquevis, T., Kuwata, M., Karl, T.G., Guenther, A., Allan,
  7 J.D., Coe, H., Andreae, M.O., Poschl, U., Jimenez, J.L., Artaxo, P. and Martin, S.T.: Submicron
  8 particle mass concentrations and sources in the Amazonian wet season (AMAZE-08), Atmos.
  9 Chem. Phys., 15, 3687-3701, doi:10.5194/acp-15-3687-2015, 2015.
- Claeys, M., Iinuma, Y., Szmigielski, R., Surratt, J.D., Blockhuys, F., Van Alsenoy, C., Boge, O.,
  Sierau, B., Gómez-González, Y., Vermeylen, R., Van der Veken, P., Shahgholi, M., Chan,
  A.W.H., Herrmann, H., Seinfeld, J.H. and Maenhaut, W.: Terpenylic Acid and Related
  Compounds from the Oxidation of α-Pinene: Implications for New Particle Formation and
  Growth above Forests, Environ. Sci. Technol., 43, 6976-6982, doi:10.1021/es9007596, 2009.
- 15 Crippa, M., Canonaco, F., Lanz, V.A., Äijälä, M., Allan, J.D., Carbone, S., Capes, G., Ceburnis, D., 16 Dall'Osto, M., Day, D.A., DeCarlo, P.F., Ehn, M., Eriksson, A., Freney, E., Hildebrandt Ruiz, L., 17 Hillamo, R., Jimenez, J.L., Junninen, H., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Kortelainen, A.-., Kulmala, M., 18 Laaksonen, A., Mensah, A.A., Mohr, C., Nemitz, E., O'Dowd, C., Ovadnevaite, J., Pandis, S.N., 19 Petäjä, T., Poulain, L., Saarikoski, S., Sellegri, K., Swietlicki, E., Tiitta, P., Worsnop, D.R., 20 Baltensperger, U. and Prévôt, A.S.H.: Organic aerosol components derived from 25 AMS data 21 sets across Europe using a consistent ME-2 based source apportionment approach, Atmos. Chem. 22 Phys., 14, 6159-6176, doi:10.5194/acp-14-6159-2014, 2014.
- Cross, E. S., Slowik, J.G., Davidovits, P., Allan, J.D., Worsnop, D.R., Jayne, J.T., Lewis, D.K.,
  Canagaratna, M. and Onasch, T.B.: Laboratory and Ambient Particle Density Determinations
  using Light Scattering in Conjunction with Aerosol Mass Spectrometry, Aerosol Sci. Technol.,
  41, 343-359, doi:10.1080/02786820701199736, 2007.
- Docherty, K. S., Stone, E.A., Ulbrich, I.M., DeCarlo, P.F., Snyder, D.C., Schauer, J.J., Peltier, R.E.,
  Weber, R.J., Murphy, S.M., Seinfeld, J.H., Grover, B.D., Eatough, D.J. and Jimenez, J.L.:
  Apportionment of Primary and Secondary Organic Aerosols in Southern California during the
  2005 Study of Organic Aerosols in Riverside (SOAR-1), Environ. Sci. Technol., 42, 7655-7662,
  doi:10.1021/es8008166, 2008.
- Edgerton, E. S., Hartsell, B.E. and Jansen, J.J.: Mercury Speciation in Coal-fired Power Plant Plumes
   Observed at Three Surface Sites in the Southeastern U.S. Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 4563-4570,
   doi:10.1021/es0515607, 2006.
- Emanuelsson, E. U., Watne, ÃK., Lutz, A., Ljungström, E. and Hallquist, M.: Influence of Humidity,
  Temperature, and Radicals on the Formation and Thermal Properties of Secondary Organic
  Aerosol (SOA) from Ozonolysis of β-Pinene, J Phys Chem A, 117, 10346-10358,
  doi:10.1021/jp4010218, 2013.
- 39 Forster, P., Ramaswamy V., Artaxo, P., Berntsen T., Betts R., Fahey D.W., Haywood J., Lean J.,
- 40 Lowe D.C., Myhre G., Nganga J., Prinn R., Raga G., Schulz M. & Van Dorland R. 2007,
- 41 Changes in Atmospheric Constituents and in Radiative Forcing. In: Climate Change 2007: The
- 42 Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the

- *Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United
   Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
- Fountoukis, C. and Nenes, A.: ISORROPIA II: a computationally efficient thermodynamic
   equilibrium model for K<sup>+</sup>-Ca<sup>2+</sup>-Mg<sup>2+</sup>-NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-Na<sup>+</sup>-SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-Cl<sup>-</sup>-H<sub>2</sub>O aerosols, Atmos. Chem.
   Phys., 7, 4639-4659, doi:10.5194/acp-7-4639-2007, 2007.
- Gao, S., Ng, N.L., Keywood, M., Varutbangkul, V., Bahreini, R., Nenes, A., He, J., Yoo, K.Y.,
  Beauchamp, J.L., Hodyss, R.P., Flagan, R.C. and Seinfeld, J.H.: Particle Phase Acidity and
  Oligomer Formation in Secondary Organic Aerosol, Environ.Sci.Technol., 38, 6582-6589,
  doi:10.1021/es049125k, 2004.
- Gaston, C. J., Riedel, T.P., Zhang, Z., Gold, A., Surratt, J.D. and Thornton, J.A.: Reactive Uptake of
   an Isoprene-Derived Epoxydiol to Submicron Aerosol Particles, Environ.Sci.Technol., 48,
   11178-11186, doi:10.1021/es5034266, 2014.
- Guha, A., Gentner, D.R., Weber, R.J., Provencal, R. and Goldstein, A.H.: Source apportionment of
   methane and nitrous oxide in California's San Joaquin Valley at CalNex 2010 via positive matrix
   factorization, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 12043-12063, doi:10.5194/acp-15-12043-2015, 2015.
- Guo, H., Xu, L., Bougiatioti, A., Cerully, K.M., Capps, S.L., Hite Jr., J.R., Carlton, A.G., Lee, S.-.,
  Bergin, M.H., Ng, N.L., Nenes, A. and Weber, R.J.: Fine-particle water and pH in the
  southeastern United States, 15, 5211-5228, doi:10.5194/acp-15-5211-2015, 2015.
- Hallquist, M., Wenger, J.C., Baltensperger, U., Rudich, Y., Simpson, D., Claeys, M., Dommen, J.,
  Donahue, N.M., George, C., Goldstein, A.H., Hamilton, J.F., Herrmann, H., Hoffmann, T.,
  Iinuma, Y., Jang, M., Jenkin, M.E., Jimenez, J.L., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Maenhaut, W.,
  McFiggans, G., Mentel, T.F., Monod, A., Prévôt, A.S.H., Seinfeld, J.H., Surratt, J.D.,
  Szmigielski, R. and Wildt, J.: The formation, properties and impact of secondary organic aerosol:
  current and emerging issues, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 5155-5236, doi:10.5194/acp-9-5155-2009,
  2009.
- Hansen, D. A., Edgerton, E.S., Hartsell, B.E., Jansen, J.J., Kandasamy, N., Hidy, G.M. and Blanchard,
  C.L.: The Southeastern Aerosol Research and Characterization Study: Part 1-Overview, J.Air
  Waste Manage.Assoc., 53, 1460-1471, doi:10.1080/10473289.2003.10466318, 2003.
- Hu, W. W., Campuzano-Jost, P., Palm, B.B., Day, D.A., Ortega, A.M., Hayes, P.L., Krechmer, J.E.,
  Chen, Q., Kuwata, M., Liu, Y.J., de Sa, S.S., Martin, S.T., Hu, M., Budisulistiorini, S.H., Riva,
  M., Surratt, J.D., St. Clair, J.M., Isaacman-Van Wertz, G., Yee, L.D., Goldstein, A.H., Carbone,
  S., Artaxo, P., de Gouw, J.A., Koss, A., Wisthaler, A., Mikoviny, T., Karl, T., Kaser, L., Jud, W.,
  Hansel, A., Docherty, K.S., Robinson, N.H., Coe, H., Allan, J.D., Canagaratna, M.R., Paulot, F.
  and Jimenez, J.L.: Characterization of a real-time tracer for Isoprene Epoxydiols-derived
  Secondary Organic Aerosol (IEPOX-SOA) from aerosol mass spectrometer measurements,
- 36 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 11807-11833, doi:10.5194/acp-15-11807-2015, 2015.
- IPCC 2013, Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis, Contribution of Working Group I to
   the Fifth Assessment Report to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge
   University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
- Jacobs, M. I., Burke, W.J. and Elrod, M.J.: Kinetics of the reactions of isoprene-derived
  hydroxynitrates: gas phase epoxide formation and solution phase hydrolysis, Atmos. Chem.
  Phys., 14, 8933-8946, doi:10.5194/acp-14-8933-2014, 2014.

- 1 Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M.R., Donahue, N.M., Prévôt, A.S.H., Zhang, Q., Kroll, J.H., DeCarlo, 2 3 P.F., Allan, J.D., Coe, H., Ng, N.L., Aiken, A.C., Docherty, K.S., Ulbrich, I.M., Grieshop, A.P., Robinson, A.L., Duplissy, J., Smith, J.D., Wilson, K.R., Lanz, V.A., Hueglin, C., Sun, Y.L., 4 5 Tian, J., Laaksonen, A., Raatikainen, T., Rautiainen, J., Vaattovaara, P., Ehn, M., Kulmala, M., Tomlinson, J.M., Collins, D.R., Cubison, M.J., E., Dunlea, J., Huffman, J.A., Onasch, T.B., 6 7 Alfarra, M.R., Williams, P.I., Bower, K., Kondo, Y., Schneider, J., Drewnick, F., Borrmann, S., Weimer, S., Demerjian, K., Salcedo, D., Cottrell, L., Griffin, R., Takami, A., Miyoshi, T., 8 9 Hatakeyama, S., Shimono, A., Sun, J.Y., Zhang, Y.M., Dzepina, K., Kimmel, J.R., Sueper, D., Jayne, J.T., Herndon, S.C., Trimborn, A.M., Williams, L.R., Wood, E.C., Middlebrook, A.M., 10 Kolb, C.E., Baltensperger, U. and Worsnop, D.R.: Evolution of Organic Aerosols in the 11 Atmosphere. Science, 326, 1525-1529, doi:10.1126/science.1180353, 2009. 12 Kanakidou, M., Seinfeld, J.H., Pandis, S.N., Barnes, I., Dentener, F.J., Facchini, M.C., Van Dingenen, 13 R., Ervens, B., Nenes, A., Nielsen, C.J., Swietlicki, E., Putaud, J.P., Balkanski, Y., Fuzzi, S., 14 Horth, J., Moortgat, G.K., Winterhalter, R., Myhre, C.E.L., Tsigaridis, K., Vignati, E., 15 Stephanou, E.G. and Wilson, J.: Organic aerosol and global climate modelling: a review, Atmos. 16 Chem. Phys., 5, 1053-1123, doi:10.5194/acp-5-1053-2005, 2005. 17 Ke, L., Ding, X., Tanner, R.L., Schauer, J.J. and Zheng, M.: Source contributions to carbonaceous 18 aerosols in the Tennessee Valley Region, Atmos. Environ., 41, 8898-8923, 19 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.08.024, 2007.
- Kim, E., Hopke, P.K. and Edgerton, E.S.: Source Identification of Atlanta Aerosol by Positive Matrix
   Factorization, J.Air Waste Manage.Assoc., 53, 731-739, doi:10.1080/10473289.2003.10466209,
   2003.
- Krechmer, J. E., Coggon, M.M., Massoli, P., Nguyen, T.B., Crounse, J.D., Hu, W., Day, D.A.,
  Tyndall, G.S., Henze, D.K., Rivera-Rios, J., Nowak, J.B., Kimmel, J.R., Mauldin, R.L., Stark, H.,
  Jayne, J.T., Sipila, M., Junninen, H., Clair, J.M.S., Zhang, X., Feiner, P.A., Zhang, L., Miller,
  D.O., Brune, W.H., Keutsch, F.N., Wennberg, P.O., Seinfeld, J.H., Worsnop, D.R., Jimenez, J.L.
  and Canagaratna, M.R.: Formation of Low Volatility Organic Compounds and Secondary
  Organic Aerosol from Isoprene Hydroxyhydroperoxide Low-NO Oxidation, Environ. Sci.
  Technol., 49, 10330-10339, doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b02031, 2015.
- Lanz, V. A., Alfarra, M.R., Baltensperger, U., Buchmann, B., Hueglin, C. and Prévôt, A.S.H.: Source
   apportionment of submicron organic aerosols at an urban site by factor analytical modelling of
   aerosol mass spectra, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 1503-1522, doi:10.5194/acp-7-1503-2007, 2007.
- Lee, S., Murphy, D.M., Thomson, D.S. and Middlebrook, A.M.: Chemical components of single
   particles measured with Particle Analysis by Laser Mass Spectrometry (PALMS) during the
   Atlanta SuperSite Project: Focus on organic/sulfate, lead, soot, and mineral particles,
   J.Geophys.Res., 107, 4003, doi:10.1029/2000JD000011, 2002.
- Lin, Y., Budisulistiorini, S.H., Chu, K., Siejack, R.A., Zhang, H., Riva, M., Zhang, Z., Gold, A.,
   Kautzman, K.E. and Surratt, J.D.: Light-Absorbing Oligomer Formation in Secondary Organic
   Aerosol from Reactive Uptake of Isoprene Epoxydiols, Environ.Sci.Technol., 48, 12012-12021,
   doi:10.1021/es503142b, 2014.
- Lin, Y., Knipping, E.M., Edgerton, E.S., Shaw, S.L. and Surratt, J.D.: Investigating the influences of
  SO<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> levels on isoprene-derived secondary organic aerosol formation using conditional
  sampling approaches, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 3095-3134, doi:10.5194/acp-13-8457-2013,
  2013a.

- 1 Lin, Y., Zhang, H., Pve, H.O.T., Zhang, Z., Marth, W.J., Park, S., Arashiro, M., Cui, T., 2 3 Budisulistiorini, S.H., Sexton, K.G., Vizuete, W., Xie, Y., Luecken, D.J., Piletic, I.R., Edney, E.O., Bartolotti, L.J., Gold, A. and Surratt, J.D.: Epoxide as a precursor to secondary organic 4 aerosol formation from isoprene photooxidation in the presence of nitrogen oxides, Proc. Natl. 5 Acad. Sci., 110, 6718-6723, doi:10.1073/pnas.1221150110, 2013b. 6 7 Lin, Y., Zhang, Z., Docherty, K.S., Zhang, H., Budisulistiorini, S.H., Rubitschun, C.L., Shaw, S.L., Knipping, E.M., Edgerton, E.S., Kleindienst, T.E., Gold, A. and Surratt, J.D.: Isoprene 8 Epoxydiols as Precursors to Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation: Acid-Catalyzed Reactive 9 Uptake Studies with Authentic Compounds, Environ. Sci. Technol., 46, 250-258, 10 doi:10.1021/es202554c, 2012. 11 Nenes, A., Pandis, S.N. and Pilinis, C.: Continued development and testing of a new thermodynamic 12 aerosol module for urban and regional air quality models, Atmos.Environ., 33, 1553-1560, 13 doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(98)00352-5, 1999. 14 Ng, N. L., Canagaratna, M.R., Jimenez, J.L., Zhang, O., Ulbrich, I.M. and Worsnop, D.R.: Real-Time 15 Methods for Estimating Organic Component Mass Concentrations from Aerosol Mass 16 Spectrometer Data, Environ. Sci. Technol., 45, 910-916, doi:10.1021/es102951k, 2011a. 17 Ng, N. L., Canagaratna, M.R., Zhang, Q., Jimenez, J.L., Tian, J., Ulbrich, I.M., Kroll, J.H., Docherty, 18 K.S., Chhabra, P.S., Bahreini, R., Murphy, S.M., Seinfeld, J.H., Hildebrandt, L., Donahue, N.M., 19 DeCarlo, P.F., Lanz, V.A., Prévôt, A.S.H., Dinar, E., Rudich, Y. and Worsnop, D.R.: Organic 20 aerosol components observed in Northern Hemispheric datasets from Aerosol Mass 21 Spectrometry, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 4625-4641, doi:10.5194/acp-10-4625-2010, 2010. 22 Ng, N. L., Herndon, S.C., Trimborn, A., Canagaratna, M.R., Croteau, P.L., Onasch, T.B., Sueper, D., 23 Worsnop, D.R., Zhang, Q., Sun, Y.L. and Jayne, J.T.: An Aerosol Chemical Speciation Monitor 24 (ACSM) for Routine Monitoring of the Composition and Mass Concentrations of Ambient 25 Aerosol, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 45, 780-794, doi:10.1080/02786826.2011.560211, 2011b. 26 Nguyen, T. B., Coggon, M.M., Bates, K.H., Zhang, X., Schwantes, R.H., Schilling, K.A., Loza, C.L., 27 Flagan, R.C., Wennberg, P.O. and Seinfeld, J.H.: Organic aerosol formation from the reactive 28 uptake of isoprene epoxydiols (IEPOX) onto non-acidified inorganic seeds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 29 14, 3497-3510, doi:10.5194/acp-14-3497-2014, 2014. 30 Olszyna, K. J., Bairai, S.T. and Tanner, R.L.: Effect of ambient NH<sub>3</sub> levels on PM<sub>2.5</sub> composition in 31 the Great Smoky Mountains National Park, Atmos.Environ., 39, 4593-4606, 32 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.04.011, 2005. 33 Paatero, P. and Tapper, U.: Positive matrix factorization: A non-negative factor model with optimal 34 utilization of error estimates of data values, Environmetrics, 5, 111-126, 35 doi:10.1002/env.3170050203, 1994. 36 Park, K., Kittelson, D., Zachariah, M. and McMurry, P.: Measurement of Inherent Material Density of 37 Nanoparticle Agglomerates, J. Nanopart. Res., 6, 267-272, 38 doi:10.1023/B:NANO.0000034657.71309.e6, 2004. 39
- Parworth, C., Fast, J., Mei, F., Shippert, T., Sivaraman, C., Tilp, A., Watson, T. and Zhang, Q.: Longterm measurements of submicrometer aerosol chemistry at the Southern Great Plains (SGP) using
  an Aerosol Chemical Speciation Monitor (ACSM), Atmos.Environ., 106, 43-55,
  doi:10.1016/i atmoscaru. 2015.01.060, 2015
- 42 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.01.060, 2015.

- Peltier, R. E., Sullivan, A.P., Weber, R.J., Wollny, A.G., Holloway, J.S., Brock, C.A., de Gouw, J.A.
   and Atlas, E.L.: No evidence for acid-catalyzed secondary organic aerosol formation in power
   plant plumes over metropolitan Atlanta, Georgia, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L06801,
   doi:10.1029/2006GL028780, 2007.
- Petit, J. E., Favez, O., Sciare, J., Crenn, V., Sarda-Esteve, R., Bonnaire, N., Mocnik, G., Dupont, J.C.,
  Haeffelin, M. and Leoz-Garziandia, E.: Two years of near real-time chemical composition of
  submicron aerosols in the region of Paris using an Aerosol Chemical Speciation Monitor
  (ACSM) and a multi-wavelength Aethalometer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 2985-3005,
  doi:10.5194/acp-15-2985-2015, 2015.
- Pope III, C. A. and Dockery, D.W.: Health Effects of Fine Particulate Air Pollution: Lines that
   Connect, J.Air Waste Manag.Assoc., 56, 709-742, 2006.
- Ripoll, A., Minguillon, M.C., Pey, J., Jimenez, J.L., Day, D.A., Sosedova, Y., Canonaco, F., Prévôt,
  A.S.H., Querol, X. and Alastuey, A.: Long-term real-time chemical characterization of submicron aerosols at Montsec (southern Pyrenees, 1570 m a.s.l.), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 2935-2951, doi:10.5194/acp-15-2935-2015, 2015.
- Riva, M., Budisulistiorini, S.H., Zhang, Z., Gold, A. and Surratt, J.D.: Chemical characterization of
   secondary organic aerosol constituents from isoprene ozonolysis in the presence of acidic aerosol,
   Atmos.Environ., 1-9, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.06.027, 2015.
- Robinson, A. L., Donahue, N.M., Shrivastava, M.K., Weitkamp, E.A., Sage, A.M., Grieshop, A.P.,
  Lane, T.E., Pierce, J.R. and Pandis, S.N.: Rethinking Organic Aerosols: Semivolatile Emissions
  and Photochemical Aging, Science, 315, 1259-1262, doi:10.1126/science.1133061, 2007.
- Robinson, N. H., Hamilton, J.F., Allan, J.D., Langford, B., Oram, D.E., Chen, Q., Docherty, K.,
  Farmer, D.K., Jimenez, J.L., Ward, M.W., Hewitt, C.N., Barley, M.H., Jenkin, M.E., Rickard,
  A.R., Martin, S.T., McFiggans, G. and Coe, H.: Evidence for a significant proportion of
  Secondary Organic Aerosol from isoprene above a maritime tropical forest, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
  11, 1039-1050, doi:10.5194/acp-11-1039-2011, 2011.
- Rollins, A. W., Browne, E.C., Min, K.-., Pusede, S.E., Wooldridge, P.J., Gentner, D.R., Goldstein,
  A.H., Liu, S., Day, D.A., Russell, L.M. and Cohen, R.C.: Evidence for NOx Control over
  Nighttime SOA Formation, Science, 337, 1210-1212, doi:10.1126/science.1221520, 2012.
- Safi Shalamzari, M., Ryabtsova, O., Kahnt, A., Vermeylen, R., Hérent, M., Quetin-Leclercq, J., Van
  der Veken, P., Maenhaut, W. and Claeys, M.: Mass spectrometric characterization of
  organosulfates related to secondary organic aerosol from isoprene, Rapid Commun. Mass
  Spectrom., 27, 784-794, doi:10.1002/rcm.6511, 2013.
- Schichtel, B. A., Malm, W.C., Bench, G., Fallon, S., McDade, C.E., Chow, J.C. and Watson, J.G.:
   Fossil and contemporary fine particulate carbon fractions at 12 rural and urban sites in the United
   States, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D02311, doi:10.1029/2007JD008605, 2008.
- Slowik, J. G., Brook, J., Chang, R.Y.W., Evans, G.J., Hayden, K., Jeong, C.H., Li, S.M., Liggio, J.,
  Liu, P.S.K., McGuire, M., Mihele, C., Sjostedt, S., Vlasenko, A. and Abbatt, J.P.D.:
- 39 Photochemical processing of organic aerosol at nearby continental sites: contrast between urban
- 40 plumes and regional aerosol, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 2991-3006, doi:10.5194/acp-11-2991-
- 41 2011, 2011.

- Solomon, P. A., Chameides, W., Weber, R., Middlebrook, A., Kiang, C.S., Russell, A.G., Butler, A.,
   Turpin, B., Mikel, D., Scheffe, R., Cowling, E., Edgerton, E., St. John, J., Jansen, J., McMurry,
   P., Hering, S. and Bahadori, T.: Overview of the 1999 Atlanta Supersite Project, J.Geophys.Res.,
   108, 8413, doi:10.1029/2001JD001458, 2003.
- St. Clair, J. M., Rivera-Rios, J., Crounse, J.D., Knap, H.C., Bates, K.H., Teng, A.P., Jorgensen, S.,
  Kjaergaard, H.G., Keutsch, F.N. and Wennberg, P.O.: Kinetics and Products of the Reaction of
  the First-Generation Isoprene Hydroxy Hydroperoxide (ISOPOOH) with OH, J. Phys. Chem. A,
  doi:10.1021/acs.jpca.5b06532, 2015.
- Sun, Y., Zhang, Q., Zheng, M., Ding, X., Edgerton, E.S. and Wang, X.: Characterization and Source
  Apportionment of Water-Soluble Organic Matter in Atmospheric Fine Particles (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) with
  High-Resolution Aerosol Mass Spectrometry and GC-MS, Environ.Sci.Technol., 45, 4854-4861,
  doi:10.1021/es200162h, 2011.
- Surratt, J. D., Gómez-González, Y., Chan, A.W.H., Vermeylen, R., Shahgholi, M., Kleindienst, T.E.,
  Edney, E.O., Offenberg, J.H., Lewandowski, M., Jaoui, M., Maenhaut, W., Claeys, M., Flagan,
  R.C. and Seinfeld, J.H.: Organosulfate Formation in Biogenic Secondary Organic Aerosol, J Phys
  Chem A, 112, 8345-8378, doi:10.1021/jp802310p, 2008.
- Surratt, J. D., Lewandowski, M., Offenberg, J.H., Jaoui, M., Kleindienst, T.E., Edney, E.O. and
   Seinfeld, J.H.: Effect of Acidity on Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation from Isoprene,
   Environ.Sci.Technol., 41, 5363-5369, doi:10.1021/es0704176, 2007.
- Surratt, J. D., Murphy, S.M., Kroll, J.H., Ng, N.L., Hildebrandt, L., Sorooshian, A., Szmigielski, R.,
   Vermeylen, R., Maenhaut, W., Claeys, M., Flagan, R.C. and Seinfeld, J.H.: Chemical
   Composition of Secondary Organic Aerosol Formed from the Photooxidation of Isoprene, J Phys
   Chem A, 110, 9665-9690, doi:10.1021/jp061734m, 2006.
- Szmigielski, R., Surratt, J.D., Gómez-González, Y., Van der Veken, P., Kourtchev, I., Vermeylen, R.,
  Blockhuys, F., Jaoui, M., Kleindienst, T.E., Lewandowski, M., Offenberg, J.H., Edney, E.O.,
  Seinfeld, J.H., Maenhaut, W. and Claeys, M.: 3-methyl-1,2,3-butanetricarboxylic acid: An
  atmospheric tracer for terpene secondary organic aerosol, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L24811,
  doi:10.1029/2007GL031338, 2007.
- Tanner, R. L., Bairai, S.T. and Mueller, S.F.: Trends in concentrations of atmospheric gaseous and
   particulate species in rural eastern Tennessee as related to primary emissions reductions, Atmos.
   Chem. Phys., 15, 9781-9797, doi:10.5194/acp-15-9781-2015, 2015.
- Tanner, R. L., Bairai, S.T., Olszyna, K.J., Valente, M.L. and Valente, R.J.: Diurnal patterns in PM<sub>2.5</sub>
   mass and composition at a background, complex terrain site, Atmos.Environ., 39, 3865-3875,
   doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.03.014, 2005.
- Tanner, R. L., Parkhurst, W.J. and McNichol, A.P.: Fossil Sources of Ambient Aerosol Carbon Based
   on <sup>14</sup>C Measurements Special Issue of Aerosol Science and Technology on Findings from the
   Fine Particulate Matter Supersites Program, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 38, 133-139,
   doi:10.1080/02786820390229453, 2004a.
- Tanner, R. L., Parkhurst, W.J., Valente, M.L. and David Phillips, W.: Regional composition of PM<sub>2.5</sub>
  aerosols measured at urban, rural and <u>""</u>background" sites in the Tennessee valley, Atmos.Environ., 38, 3143-3153, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.03.023, 2004b.

- Tennessee Valley Authority 2015, , *TVA Reservoirs and Power Plants*. Available:
   <u>https://www.tva.gov/Energy/Facility-Map</u> [2016, 01/22].
- Turpin, B. J. and Lim, H.J.: Species Contributions to PM<sub>2.5</sub> Mass Concentrations: Revisiting Common
   Assumptions for Estimating Organic Mass, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 35, 602-610,
   doi:10.1080/02786820119445, 2001.
- 6 Ulbrich, I. M., Canagaratna, M.R., Zhang, Q., Worsnop, D.R. and Jimenez, J.L.: Interpretation of
  7 organic components from Positive Matrix Factorization of aerosol mas spectrometric data,
  8 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 2891-2918, 2009.
- 9 Wood, E. C., Canagaratna, M.R., Herndon, S.C., Onasch, T.B., Kolb, C.E., Worsnop, D.R., Kroll,
- 10 J.H., Knighton, W.B., Seila, R., Zavala, M., Molina, L.T., DeCarlo, P.F., Jimenez, J.L.,
- 11 Weinheimer, A.J., Knapp, D.J., Jobson, B.T., Stutz, J., Kuster, W.C. and Williams, E.J.:
- 12 Investigation of the correlation between odd oxygen and secondary organic aerosol in Mexico
- 13 City and Houston, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 8947-8968, doi:10.5194/acp-10-8947-2010, 2010.
- Worton, D. R., Surratt, J.D., LaFranchi, B.W., Chan, A.W.H., Zhao, Y., Weber, R.J., Park, J., Gilman,
  J.B., de Gouw, J., Park, C., Schade, G., Beaver, M., Clair, J.M.S., Crounse, J., Wennberg, P.,
  Wolfe, G.M., Harrold, S., Thornton, J.A., Farmer, D.K., Docherty, K.S., Cubison, M.J., Jimenez,
  J., Frossard, A.A., Russell, L.M., Kristensen, K., Glasius, M., Mao, J., Ren, X., Brune, W.,
  Browne, E.C., Pusede, S.E., Cohen, R.C., Seinfeld, J.H. and Goldstein, A.H.: Observational
  Insights into Aerosol Formation from Isoprene, Environ.Sci.Technol., 47, 11403-11413,
  doi:10.1021/es4011064, 2013.
- Xu, L., Suresh, S., Guo, H., Weber, R.J. and Ng, N.L.: Aerosol characterization over the southeastern
   United States using high-resolution aerosol mass spectrometry: spatial and seasonal variation of
   aerosol composition and sources with a focus on organic nitrates, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 7307 7336, doi:10.5194/acp-15-7307-2015, 2015a.
- Xu, L., Guo, H., Boyd, C.M., Klein, M., Bougiatioti, A., Cerully, K.M., Hite, J.R., IsaacmanVanWertz, G., Kreisberg, N.M., Knote, C., Olson, K., Koss, A., Goldstein, A.H., Hering, S.V., de
  Gouw, J., Baumann, K., Lee, S., Nenes, A., Weber, R.J. and Ng, N.L.: Effects of anthropogenic
  emissions on aerosol formation from isoprene and monoterpenes in the southeastern United
  States, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 112, 37-42, doi:10.1073/pnas.1417609112, 2015b.
- Yasmeen, F., Vermeylen, R., Szmigielski, R., Iinuma, Y., Boge, O., Herrmann, H., Maenhaut, W. and
   Claeys, M.: Terpenylic acid and related compounds: precursors for dimers in secondary organic
   aerosol from the ozonolysis of α- and β-pinene, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 9383-9392,
   doi:10.5194/acp-10-9383-2010, 2010.
- Zhang, H., Surratt, J.D., Lin, Y.H., Bapat, J. and Kamens, R.M.: Effect of relative humidity on SOA
  formation from isoprene/NO photooxidation: enhancement of 2-methylglyceric acid and its
  corresponding oligoesters under dry conditions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 6411-6424,
  doi:10.5194/acp-11-6411-2011, 2011.
- Zhang, Q., Jimenez, J.L., Canagaratna, M.R., Allan, J.D., Coe, H., Ulbrich, I., Alfarra, M.R., Takami,
  A., Middlebrook, A.M., Sun, Y.L., Dzepina, K., Dunlea, E., Docherty, K., DeCarlo, P.F.,
  Salcedo, D., Onasch, T., Jayne, J.T., Miyoshi, T., Shimono, A., Hatakeyama, S., Takegawa, N.,
  Kondo, Y., Schneider, J., Drewnick, F., Borrmann, S., Weimer, S., Demerjian, K., Williams, P.,
  Bower, K., Bahreini, R., Cottrell, L., Griffin, R.J., Rautiainen, J., Sun, J.Y., Zhang, Y.M. and
  Worsnop, D.R.: Ubiquity and dominance of oxygenated species in organic aerosols in

- anthropogenically-influenced Northern Hemisphere midlatitudes, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34,
   L13801, doi:10.1029/2007GL029979, 2007.
- Zhang, Q., Worsnop, D.R., Canagaratna, M.R. and Jimenez, J.L.: Hydrocarbon-like and oxygenated
   organic aerosols in Pittsburgh: insights into sources and processes of organic aerosols, Atmos.
   Chem. Phys., 5, 3289-3311, doi:10.5194/acp-5-3289-2005, 2005.
- Zhang, Q. Q.: Understanding atmospheric organic aerosols via factor analysis of aerosol mass
   spectrometry: a review, Analyt. Bioanalyt. Chem., 401, 3045-3067, 2011.
- Zhang, Y. J., Tang, L.L., Wang, Z., Yu, H.X., Sun, Y.L., Liu, D., Qin, W., Canonaco, F., Prévôt,
  A.S.H., Zhang, H.L. and Zhou, H.C.: Insights into characteristics, sources, and evolution of
  submicron aerosols during harvest seasons in the Yangtze River delta region, China, Atmos.
  Chem. Phys., 15, 1331-1349, doi:10.5194/acp-15-1331-2015, 2015.

Table 1. Seasonal classification of measurements at JST and LRK is based on direction of 

angle of the Earth to the sun and the angle of the sunlight as it hits the Earth.

		JST	LRK
	Winter	22/12/2011 - 19/03/2012	18/01/2013 - 19/03/2013
	Spring	20/03/2012 - 19/06/2012	20/03/2013 - 31/05/2013
	Summer	20/06/2012 - 21/09/2012	01/06/2013 - 21/09/2013*
	Fall	22/09/2012 - 20/12/2012	22/09/2013 - 20/12/2013
4	* Measurements in s	summer at LRK site included 2013 SOA	S campaign from 1 June to 17 of July 2013

1 **Table 2.** Seasonal averaged mass concentrations of non-refractory  $PM_1$  (NR-PM<sub>1</sub>) in  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>,

2 percent contributions of organic and inorganic species measured by the Aerodyne ACSM and

3 PMF factors resolved from Atlanta, GA (JST site) and Look Rock, TN (LRK site) during

4 2012 and 2013.

	Winter		Spring		Summer		Fall	
	JST	LRK	JST	LRK	JST	LRK	JST	LRK
NRPM <sub>1</sub>	10.50±7.32	4.77±3.32	6.19±2.85	5.59±3.47	8.78±4.46	8.39±4.44	12.47±6.72	4.55±2.55
OA	69.0%	50.2%	75.9%	57.8%	70.0%	63.4%	65.9%	62.1%
$SO_4^{2-}$	13.4%	30.6%	12.0%	26.9%	17.4%	24.5%	15.8%	21.6%
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	9.3%	9.2%	5.6%	6.1%	4.5%	3.8%	9.3%	7.2%
$\mathrm{NH_4}^+$	7.9%	9.9%	6.3%	9.0%	7.9%	8.2%	8.6%	9.1%
Cl	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
				OA speciation	ı			
HOA	24%	n.a.	20%	n.a.	18%	n.a.	20%	n.a.
BBOA	19%	33%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	15%	n.a.
SV-OOA	26%	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	28%	n.a.
LVOOA	30%	66%	43%	37%	44%	36%	37%	63%
91Fac	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	21%	n.a.	23%	n.a.	9%
IEPOX-OA	n.a.	n.a.	37%	41%	38%	40%	n.a.	27%
Residuals	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%

n.a. is not available or resolved from PMF analysis. PMF analysis yielded some residuals of unresolved OA mass that make

up the remaining percentage of OA factors.

1 Table 3. Correlations of PMF factors resolved from OA measurements at LRK, TN against

2 SOA tracers from monoterpene chemistry and isoprene ozonolysis quantified during 2013

3 SOAS. Some of the monoterpene SOA tracers have been published in Budisulistiorini et al.

SOA tr
 SOAS.
 (2015)

	IEPOX-OA	LV-OOA	91Fac	Ref.
Monoterpene SOA tracers				
$C_{10}H_{18}O_5S$	0.28	0.26	0.39	(1)
$C_{10}H_{16}O_7S^a$	0.42	0.26	0.37	(1)
$C_{10}H_{17}NO_7S$	0.00	0.00	0.01	(1)
$C_9H_{15}NO_8S^a$	0.12	0.22	0.22	(1)
$C_{10}H_{17}NO_{10}S$	0.11	0.15	0.26	(1)
C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>12</sub> O <sub>4</sub> (Terpenylic acid) <sup>a</sup>	0.32	0.36	0.41	(2)
C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>14</sub> O <sub>4</sub> (Pinic acid)	0.12	0.21	0.19	
C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>16</sub> O <sub>4</sub> (Hydroxy pinonic acid)	0.15	0.21	0.25	
C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>16</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (Pinonic acid)	0.10	0.17	0.20	
C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>10</sub> O <sub>4</sub> (Terebic acid)	0.21	0.32	0.27	(3)
$C_8H_{12}O_6$ (MBTCA)	0.15	0.27	0.14	(4)
C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>16</sub> O <sub>6</sub> (DTAA)	0.35	0.42	0.42	(2)
Isoprene ozonolysis tracers <sup>b</sup>				
$C_4H_8O_6S$	0.46	0.40	0.51	(5,6)
$C_5H_{12}O_6S$	0.39	0.19	0.35	(5,6)
$C_5H_{10}O_5S$	0.19	0.19	0.22	(6)
$C_5H_{10}O_6S$	0.33	0.38	0.41	(6)
$C_8H_{10}O_4S$	0.00	0.07	0.03	(6)
$C_6H_{12}O_7S$	0.24	0.33	0.48	(6)
$C_9H_{14}O_6S$	0.21	0.30	0.38	(6)
$C_9H_{16}O_7S$	0.38	0.50	0.46	(6)
$C_{10}H_{20}O_9S$	0.36	0.29	0.39	(6)

<sup>a</sup>Published in Budisulistiorini et al. (2015). <sup>b</sup>Only night time samples were used in PMF factor correlation with

isoprene ozonolysis tracers. References: (1) Surratt et al. (2008); (2) Claeys et al. (2009); (3) Yasmeen et al.

7 (2010); (4) Szmigielski et al. (2007); (5) Safi Shalamzari et al. (2013); (6) Riva et al. (2015)



**Figure 1.** Annual temporal variations of OA and inorganic species ( $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>) measured at the Jefferson street (JST) site, Atlanta, Georgia in 2012. Included in the plots are ambient temperature (°C) and relative humidity (RH, in %) measured by SEARCH network, as well as pH and liquid water content (LWC, in mol L<sup>-1</sup>) estimated by ISORROPIA-II.



ambient temperature and RH (%) measured by Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA), as well as

pH and LWC (mol  $L^{-1}$ ) estimated by ISORROPIA-II.







**Figure 3.** Seasonal averages of OA, inorganic species and pH from JST (solid squares) and LRK (open triangles). Error bars show  $\pm$  1-standard deviation. Seasons are classified into minter (set) and fell









Date and Time (Local) **Figure 5.** Annual temporal variation of PMF factors resolved from OA measured at JST in 2012.



**Figure 6.** Mass spectra of PMF factors resolved from (a) winter, (b) spring, (c) summer, and (d) fall OA measured at LRK in 2013.



1 2 3 4 5 2013. OA measurements in the summer included results from Southern Oxidant Aerosol

Study (SOAS) campaign that have been published in Budisulistiorini et al. (2015).



squares) and LRK (open triangles). Error bars are shown as  $\pm$  1-standard deviation.

2 3 4



1 2 3 4 5 **Figure 9.** Diurnal variations of OA and inorganic species measured by ACSM (upper panel) and OA factors resolved by PMF analysis (lower panel) from winter, spring, summer, and fall measurements at JST in 2012.



**Figure 10.** Diurnal variations of OA and inorganic species measured by ACSM (upper panel) and OA factors resolved by PMF analysis (lower panel) from winter, spring, summer, and fall measurements at LRK in 2013.



**Figure 11.** Scatterplots of the m/z 53 (possibly  $C_4H_5^+$ ), m/z 75 (possibly  $C_3H_7O_2^+$ ), m/z 100 (possibly  $C_5H_8O_2^+$ ) and m/z 101 (possibly  $C_5H_9O_2^+$ ) normalized fragment ions from the IEPOX-OA mass spectra versus the m/z 82 normalized fragment ion from the same mass spectra over different seasons at the JST and LRK sites. ACSM measures unit mass resolution (UMR), thus the proposed formulas are based on previous study using HR-ToF-AMS (Lin et al., 2012). The asterix marker is the respective ion fragments of IEPOX-OA mass spectra resolved from OA measurements during summer 2011 at the JST site (Budisulistiorini et al., 2013).

10