Responses to the comments of referee#2

Interactive comment on "Fine particulate matter associated with monsoonal effect and the responses of biomass fire hotspots in the tropical environment" by M. F. Khan et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 13 September 2015

The manuscript of Khan et al. focuses on the health implications of fine particulate matter ($PM_{2,5}$) and its main constituents in the western part of Malaysia. The study period covers two monsoonal regimes, one coming from the south-west and one from the north-east and levels of PM, heavy metals and main ions are determined for both regimes. Overall it has been found that 48% of the samples were 4 times or more than guideline values, with the north-east monsoon regime exhibiting somewhat higher values. Based on the enrichment factor of metals it occurred that the majority of heavy metals were attributed to anthropogenic sources, while arsenic was identified as a potentially significant health concern as its concentrations were nearly equal to the WHO and US EPA guideline values, posing an exposure risk for inhabitants in the specific location. Using a mass closure model a mineral component was found to comprise 35% of the PM_{2.5} concentration, followed by 11% by secondary inorganic aerosol, while 45% was unidentified, possibly a large part of it being the organic aerosol fraction. Using positive matrix factorization (PMF) analysis as a source apportionment tool, motor vehicle and biomass burning emissions were the dominant sources, followed by marine and sulfate aerosol, coal burning, nitrate aerosol and mineral dust. Finally, based on the health risk assessment and the PMF-identified sources, the non- carcinogenic risk posed by exposure of PM was at a safer level than the respective one in the South and East Asian region while the cancer risk posed by the exposure to toxic metals was 3 to 4 in 1 million people in the specific location. Motor vehicle emissions and trans-boundary pollution were the major identified reasons for change in the chemical composition of PM in tropical Peninsular Malaysia.

The manuscript is well written and interesting, with an added value of the presented results being from an area of the globe that is not very well documented. It is a pity that analysis of the organic fraction is not available, as it is expected that a large portion of the unidentified mass from the mass closure model will be the organic component. There are several details missing and more thorough discussion should be made in specific sections. Other than that the paper can be recommended for publication after addressing the issues listed below.

1) One of the features of the manuscript that the authors draw our attention to is the comparison

between the $PM_{2.5}$ from the PMF and from the high volume samplers (HVS) which was found to have a slope of 0.91 and a very good correlation. It appears somewhat strange that even though 45% of the $PM_{2.5}$ mass remains unidentified, the comparison of the mass concentrations from the PMF and the HVS results in a slope of 0.91. Please comment. Also, do the authors believe that the remaining 45% of the unidentified mass, with the organics possibly being a large part of this mass, will be part of the already identified components? Please clarify in the text that the PMF analysis results are based on the obtained filter analyses results.

Authors' response

We understand the reviewer's concern and thus add the following explanation in the text as follows (Page 22232, lines 22-27; Page 22233; lines 1-2):

"The reported PMF analysis is based on the chemical components of filter samples. As described in the mass closure, a large portion of the PM_{2.5} mass fraction (about 45%) was not apportioned. In the PMF 5.0 procedure, the contributions of five factors were estimated and then the integrated contribution of the five factors was regressed over the measured PM_{2.5} (HVS). The regression fit line was forced through the origin. Thus, our regression of the PM_{2.5} (PMF) and PM_{2.5} (HVS) showed that the PM_{2.5} had been reproduced by PMF 5.0 with an error of less than 10% and the correlation of PM_{2.5} (PMF) and PM_{2.5} (HVS) showed a strong and significant correlation (slope = 0.91, $r^2 = 0.88$, p < 0.01) (Fig. 6b). To evaluate the results of the PMF model, the regression between predicted and observed data for each variable is shown during the operation. A linear correlation between the predicted and measured mass represents the goodness-of-fit of linear regression. Our values strongly suggested that the five identified sources could be readily interpreted."

Comment to "slope of 0.91 regression result of PMF-HVS"

We acknowledge the reviewer's concern regarding the PMF-MLR results. However, we would like to inform that we did follow all the crucial and necessary steps in running the PMF tools as per mentioned in section 2.7. The mass contribution was calculated following procedure as per mentioned in Ke et al.

(2008) where first, regressing the factor scores obtained from the PMF using multi linear regression against the $PM_{2.5}$ measured mass concentration of each sample; and secondly, the regression coefficients were used to convert the contributions of each factor score into a physical mass.

2) Authors mention that the K⁺ ion has been widely used in the literature as an excellent tracer representing wood burning, and authors examined the correlation between K⁺ concentrations and MODIS fire counts. To my opinion, and correlation coefficient of 0.36 does not suggest that K⁺ can be used as a biomass tracer. I would propose to differentiate between nss-K⁺ and total K⁺ and check if the correlation is stronger. ss-K⁺ can be calculated using Na as a reference and the K/Na ratio in bulk seawater.

Authors' response

We agree with the reviewer's suggestions. We have revised Fig. 5, included Fig. 5b and 5c and annotated in the text as below (Page 22235; lines 19-26):



Figure 5 (a) Enrichment factor (EF) of heavy metals in PM_{2.5} (b) correlation plot of nss-K^{*} and total-K^{*} ($r^2 = 0.95$), (c) correlation plot of K^{*} and Na^{*} and (d) reconstructed mass concentration of PM_{2.5} by mass closure (MC) model and (e) correlation plot of PM_{2.5} (µg m³) HVS and PM₂

"Further, a comparison of nss-K⁺ with the respective total K⁺ is shown in Fig. 5b. The correlation of nss-K⁺ as a function of total K⁺ showed a strong correlation coefficient ($r^2 = 0.95$) which suggests that K⁺ can be used as a biomass tracer. K⁺ may also be emitted from local fire sources. Additionally, the molar equivalent of K⁺ and Na⁺, as shown in Fig 5c, demonstrated significant correlation ($r^2 = 0.70$) with a slope value of 0.34 which is much higher compared to 0.0225-0.230 and 0.0218, reported by Wilson (1975) and Hara et al. (2012), respectively. The higher molar ratio of K⁺ and Na⁺ indicates that at the current location, Na⁺ depletion was high and the K⁺ might also release from other dominant sources. Additional significant sources of K⁺ which may attribute to the mass are soil dust, sea salt,

vegetation and meat cooking (Zhang et al., 2010)."

3) Based on the presented biomass fire hotspots, it is clear that from December 2013 to March 2014, the hotspots are a lot more compared to the respective ones from June to September 2013. Maybe authors should consider adding a comment of why this is the case. Is December-March the dry season? Is this period of intense biomass fire hotspots present every year or was the presented year uncharacteristic?

Authors' response

We have revised our text as the reviewer suggested (Page 22229; lines 1-3):

"The back trajectory plots showed that there were high numbers of biomass fire hotspots during both seasons but from different regions (Fig. 3). The period of June to September is the dry season each year in Malaysia and Sumatra of Indonesia. During this dry season, biomass fire hotspots are densely located in this area due to the burning of agricultural waste and forest fires. Several other researchers also reported the high number of biomass fire - related hotspots to these regions (Khan et al., 2015; Sahani et al., 2014). On the other hand, December to March is usually the wet season in Malaysia. However, the backward trajectories showed that air masses were transported from Mainland China and neighbouring regions. In Mainland China and neighbouring regions, this is dry season. During the dry season in this region there are a lot of fires, as reported by Zhang et al. (2015) and Ho et al. (2014), and this influences the pollution of air masses transported to the present location. This scenario of biomass fire hotspots is commonly noticed in these two seasons. In past years Malaysia and Singapore have experienced intensified haze episodes in this particular season, e.g. 1997, 2005, 2013 and 2015,"

4) In the enrichment factor section (2.5) in the whole paragraph EFs of two, five or even 10 are cited from the bibliography as thresholds for anthropogenic sources. Nevertheless authors choose a cut-off of EF=1. Please comment.

Authors' response

We understand and accept the reviewer's concern. Therefore, we have revised the cut-off point with explanation pertaining to that as follows (Page 22223; lines 11-24):

"Chester et al. (2000); Cheung et al. (2012); Khan et al. (2010); Mohd Tahir et al. (2013); Torfs and Van Grieken (1997) proposed a EF cut-off of ten to differentiate between crustal and natural and

anthropogenic origins of heavy metals. Thus, we consider EF = 10 as the cut-off point. Therefore, a good number of the metals (Zn, Cr, Rb, Be, V, Fe, Ca, Co, Sr, Pb, As and Bi) in PM_{2.5} in this study can be assumed to originate from anthropogenic sources; Fig. 5a. These heavy metals were not natural or from the Earth's crust. No seasonal differences were observed in the EF of the heavy metals."

Technical corrections:

P22217, L15-18: Not clear, especially the part ". . .with respect to inhalation follows the order. . .". Also in PMF 5.0 delete the ">".

Authors' response

We agree with the suggestion. We have revised the sentences as below (Page 22217; lines 14-17):

"The hazard quotient (HQ) for four selected metals (Pb, As, Cd and Ni) in PM_{2.5} mass was highest in PM_{2.5} mass from the coal burning source and least in PM_{2.5} mass originating from the mineral/road dust source. The main carcinogenic heavy metal of concern to health at the current location was As; the other heavy metals (Ni, Pb, and Cd) did not pose a significant cancer risk in PM_{2.5} mass concentration."

P22219, L17: a period from July to September

Authors' response

We have revised the sentence as below (Page 22219; lines 17-18):

Sampling was carried out on a 24 h basis for a period from July to September 2013 and January to February 2014 for a total of 27 samples.

P22220, L27: . . .ionic composition was determined

Authors' response

We have corrected the error (Page 22220, line 27):

"the water-soluble ionic composition (Na⁺, NH₄⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Cl⁻, NO₃⁻ and SO₄⁻²⁻) was determined"

P22221, L18: Replace "fresh" for the calibration curves. Maybe use "updated" instead.

Authors' response

We have revised the sentence as below (Page 22221, line 18):

"During the trace element analysis by ICP-MS, two modes of analysis were applied with updated calibration curves each time."

P22223, L14: . . . metals in PM_{2.5} originated from. . . (delete "had")

Authors' response

We have updated the sentence as below (Page 22223, line 14):

"Therefore, a good number of the metals (Zn, Cr, Rb, Be, V, Fe, Ca, Co, Sr, Pb, As and Bi) in $PM_{2.5}$ in this study can be assumed to originate from anthropogenic sources; Fig. 5a"

P22227, L18: . . . classified by the International Agency. . .

Authors' response

We have corrected the sentence as below (Page 22227, line 18):

"Further, we examined the non-carcinogenic risk (presented by the hazard quotient (HQ)) and lifetime carcinogenic risk (LCR) of selected heavy metals as classified by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC)."

P22230, L28: Boreddy et al. (2014) also notice a chlorine depletion due to atmospheric processing in the western north Pacific.

Authors' response

We have added the new reference suggested by the reviewer as below (Page 22230, line 28):

"Boreddy et al. (2014) also noticed a chlorine depletion due to atmospheric processing in the western north Pacific."

P22233, L1: Based on Figure 6a the slope is 0.91 and $R^2=0.88$ and not the other way round.

Authors' response

We have corrected the typo errors as below (Page 22233, Line 1):

"the correlation of PM_{2.5} (PMF) and PM_{2.5} (HVS) showed a strong and significant correlation (slope = 0.91, $r^2 = 0.88$, p < 0.01) (Fig. 6b)"

P22235, L8: Zhang et al. (2015) demonstrate that during the dry season there is an important biomass burning activity in the Pearl River Delta (China), which can result in trans-border transport and a regional scale character of biomass burning. Therefore under the north-east monsoonal regime it is possible that outflow from that area can maybe influence the specific area.

Authors' response

We are thankful to the reviewer suggesting the reference as below (Page 22235, line 8):

"However, the backward trajectories showed that air masses were transported from Mainland China and neighbouring regions. In Mainland China and neighbouring regions, this is dry season. During the dry season in this region there are a lot of fires, as reported by Zhang et al. (2015) and Ho et al. (2014), and this influences the pollution of air masses transported to the present location."

P22235, L22: An r=0.36 does not suggest that K⁺ can be used as a biomass tracer.

Authors' response

We have revised the text as the reviewer suggested. Please find the revised text as shown below (Page 22235, line 22):

"Further, a comparison of nss-K⁺ with the respective total K⁺ is shown in Fig. 5b. The correlation of nss-K⁺ as a function of total K⁺ showed a strong correlation coefficient ($r^2 = 0.95$) which suggests that K⁺ can be used as a biomass tracer. K⁺ may also be emitted from local fire sources. Additionally, the molar equivalent of K⁺ and Na⁺, as shown in Fig 5c, demonstrated significant correlation ($r^2 = 0.70$) with a slope value of 0.34 which is much higher compared to 0.0225-0.230 and 0.0218, reported by Wilson (1975) and Hara et al. (2012), respectively. The higher molar ratio of K⁺ and Na⁺ indicates that at the current location, Na⁺ depletion was high and the K⁺ might also release from other dominant sources. Additional significant sources of K⁺ which may attribute to the mass are soil dust, sea salt, vegetation and meat cooking (Zhang et al., 2010)."

P22238, L17: . . . follows the following order: As > Ni . . . Also "follows" and "following" is a repetition, maybe replace one of the two

Authors' response

We have corrected and re-worded the sentences as shown below (Page 22238, line 17):

"the lifetime carcinogenic risk level is estimated for $PM_{2.5}$ mass concentration and may be contributed to by several heavy metals from different sources: mineral/road dust, motor vehicle emissions/biomass burning and coal combustion."

L18: . . . $PM_{2.5}$ concentration and As > Pb. . . (delete ";")

Authors' response

We have re-worded the sentences as below (Page 22238, line 18):

"The main carcinogenic heavy metal of concern to the health of people at the current location is As; the other heavy metals (Ni, Pb, and Cd) did not pose a significant cancer risk."

References

- Boreddy, S. K. R., Kawamura, K., and Jung, J.: Hygroscopic properties of particles nebulized from water extracts of aerosols collected at Chichijima Island in the western North Pacific: An outflow region of Asian dust, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 119, 2013JD020626, 10.1002/2013JD020626, 2014.
- Chester, R., Nimmo, M., Fones, G. R., Keyse, S., and Zhang, Z.: Trace metal chemistry of particulate aerosols from the UK mainland coastal rim of the NE Irish sea, Atmos. Environ., 34, 949-958, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00234-4, 2000.
- Cheung, K., Shafer, M. M., Schauer, J. J., and Sioutas, C.: Historical trends in the mass and chemical species concentrations of coarse particulate matter in the Los Angeles Basin and relation to sources and air quality regulations, J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc., 62, 541-556, 10.1080/10962247.2012.661382, 2012.
- Hara, K., Osada, K., Yabuki, M., and Yamanouchi, T.: Seasonal variation of fractionated sea-salt particles on the Antarctic coast, Geophysical Research Letters, 39, L18801, 10.1029/2012GL052761, 2012.
- Ho, K. F., Engling, G., Ho, S. S. H., Huang, R., Lai, S., Cao, J., and Lee, S. C.: Seasonal variations of anhydrosugars in PM2.5 in the Pearl River Delta Region, China, 2014, 10.3402/tellusb.v66.22577, 2014.
- Ke, L., Liu, W., Wang, Y., Russell, A. G., Edgerton, E. S., and Zheng, M.: Comparison of PM2.5 source apportionment using positive matrix factorization and molecular marker-based chemical mass balance, Sci. Total. Environ., 394, 290-302, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.01.030, 2008.
- Khan, M. F., Shirasuna, Y., Hirano, K., and Masunaga, S.: Urban and suburban aerosol in Yokohama, Japan: a comprehensive chemical characterization, Environ. Monit. Assess., 171, 441-456, 10.1007/s10661-009-1290-1, 2010.
- Khan, M. F., Latif, M. T., Lim, C. H., Amil, N., Jaafar, S. A., Dominick, D., Nadzir, M. S. M., Sahani, M., and Tahir, N. M.: Seasonal effect and source apportionment of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in PM 2.5, Atmos. Environ., 106, 178-190, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.01.077, 2015.

- Mohd Tahir, N., Suratman, S., Fong, F. T., Hamzah, M. S., and Latif, M. T.: Temporal distribution and chemical characterization of atmospheric particulate matter in the eastern coast of Peninsular Malaysia, Aerosol Air Qual. Res., 13, 584-595, 10.4209/aaqr.2012.08.0216, 2013.
- Sahani, M., Zainon, N. A., Mahiyuddin, W. R. W., Latif, M. T., Hod, R., Khan, M. F., Tahir, N. M., and Chan, C.-C.: A case-crossover analysis of forest fire haze events and mortality in Malaysia, Atmos. Environ., 96, 257-265, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.07.043, 2014.
- Torfs, K., and Van Grieken, R.: Chemical relations between atmospheric aerosols, deposition and stone decay layers on historic buildings at the mediterranean coast, Atmos. Environ., 31, 2179-2192, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(97)00038-1, 1997.
- Wilson, T. R.: Salinity and the major elements of sea-water, in Chemical Oceanography, edited by J. P. Riley and G. Skirrow, pp. 365–413, Academic, San Diego, Calif., 1975.
- Zhang, X., Hecobian, A., Zheng, M., Frank, N. H., and Weber, R. J.: Biomass burning impact on PM 2.5 over the southeastern US during 2007: integrating chemically speciated FRM filter measurements, MODIS fire counts and PMF analysis, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 6839-6853, 10.5194/acp-10-6839-2010, 2010.
- Zhang, Z., Gao, J., Engling, G., Tao, J., Chai, F., Zhang, L., Zhang, R., Sang, X., Chan, C.-y., Lin, Z., and Cao, J.: Characteristics and applications of size-segregated biomass burning tracers in China's Pearl River Delta region, Atmos. Environ., 102, 290-301, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.12.009, 2015.

Responses to the comments of referee#3

Interactive comment on "Fine particulate matter associated with monsoonal effect and the responses of biomass fire hotspots in the tropical environment" *by* M. F. Khan et al.

Anonymous Referee #3

Received and published: 13 September 2015

1. The title is not appropriate. Title should include the terms "source apportionment" and also "health effect". As, authors one of the main conclusion is on the health impact. Overall, the associated cancer risk posed by the exposure of toxic metals in $PM_{2.5}$ is three to four in 1 000 000 people in this location.

Authors' response

We do agree with the review's suggestion and have revised the title as shown below:

"Fine particulate matter in the tropical environment: monsoonal effects, source apportionment and health risk assessment"

2. Authors used quartz microfiber filters for trace metals analyzer. Quartz microfiber filters are not the great filters for trace metals. They may have some influences on the trace metals content. Though they did blank correction for the filters.

Authors' response

We agree with the reviewer's observation. Despite possible influence of trace metal content, several researchers still use the microfiber quartz filter but with strict QA/QC and blank corrected to account for possible interferences. Taking into account the reviewer's comment, we have included in the text several references to corroborate our choice of filter. The QA/QC aspect has been mentioned in the text (page 22221, line 8)

3. Authors measured only water-soluble inorganic ions and trace metals in $PM_{2.5}$. But the main component of $PM_{2.5}$ is organic (OC) and black carbon (BC or EC) and also water-soluble organic ions are missing. Trace metals may be up to 1% and water-soluble inorganic ions may be up to 20% but carbonaceous species may be up to 50% of the fine particles are missing in this chemical composition.

Authors' response

We acknowledge reviewer's concern and we will definitely take this into consideration in future studies. At this stage, we added an explanation highlighted in turquoise color as follows (page 22232, line 3):

"The reported result of the mass closure model is based on the analyzed chemical components of filter samples (~ 55%). As described in the mass closure, a large portion of $PM_{2.5}$ mass (~ 45%) was left unidentified; this unidentified component is believed to be the organics or carbonaceous species. Elemental carbon (EC), organic carbon (OC) and water-soluble organics were not measured due to the lack of instrumentation. Other possible reasons for the un-identified portion are: i) unaccounted for mineral oxides as they are abundant in $PM_{2.5}$, and ii) water associated with salts."

4. Author cut off point for EF is 1. Whereas Sun et al. (2006) suggested the threshold of EF > 5 to differentiate between sources from the Earth's crust and from anthropogenic sources. In contrast, Mohd Tahir et al. (2013) proposed the EF cut-off of ten to identify crustal and natural origin of heavy metals. What is the basis of their cut off point???

How did thy calculate EF? I mean whish for formula please refer that not my reference like Taylor (1964) but with formula or equation.

Authors' response

We understand and accept the reviewer's concern. We also made similar answer to the comments of previous reviewer#2. We acknowledge that the use of EF is subjected to variation depending on the reference crustal values. A larger margin is more often used for atmospheric samples (EF >10) to account for this uncertainty and also possible regional variation and the conservation of crustal element ratios through various environmental compartments. Therefore, we have revised the cut-off point to 10 with explanation pertaining to that highlighted in turquoise color as follows (Page 22223; lines 11-24):

"Chester et al. (2000); Cheung et al. (2012); Khan et al. (2010); Mohd Tahir et al. (2013); Torfs and Van Grieken (1997) proposed a EF cut-off of ten to differentiate between crustal and natural and anthropogenic origins of heavy metals. Thus, we consider EF = 10 as the cut-off point. Therefore, a good number of the metals (Zn, Cr, Rb, Be, V, Fe, Ca, Co, Sr, Pb, As and Bi) in PM_{2.5} in this study can be assumed to originate from anthropogenic sources; Fig. 5a. These heavy metals were not natural or from the Earth's crust. No seasonal differences were observed in the EF of the heavy metals."

The manuscript has been revised with following equation of EF (page 22223, line 9):

"The EF of each element can be defined using the following equation:

$$EF = \frac{\left(\frac{E}{Al}\right)_{PM\,2.5}}{\left(\frac{E}{Al}\right)_{Cru\,s\,t}}\tag{1}$$

where, E/Al is the concentration ratio of element, E, to the reference metal, Al."

5. Figure caption is inadequate. e.g., Figure 3 should have the source (e.g., HYSPLIT,), backward or forward, starting and ending time etc. Though authors mentioned them in the text. They should also write

in the figure caption.

Authors' response

Revised caption of Figure 3 as shown below:

"Figure 3 The location of biomass fire hotspots and the monthly mean cluster of backward trajectories by HYSPLIT 4.9 model for 120 h and 500 m releasing height starting from 16:00 UTC during the south-westerly and the north-easterly monsoon"

6. Authors are using $PM_{2.5}$ MC with equation 4. It would be great if they can discussion $PM_{2.5}$ MC and $PM_{2.5}$ mass.

Authors' response

We agree with the reviewer's suggestion. We have added an explanation and a correlation plot of $PM_{2.5}$ (HVS) and $PM_{2.5}$ (MC) highlighted in turquoise color as bellows (page 22232, line 3):

"The average value of reconstructed PM_{2.5} by mass closure (MC) is $14.12\pm4.32 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ with a minimum of 6.70 $\mu g \ m^{-3}$ and a maximum of 24.19 $\mu g \ m^{-3}$. On the other hand, the measured PM_{2.5} determined gravimetrically by HVS was $25.13\pm9.21 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ with a range of 7.01 to $42.78 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$. A correlation plot of PM_{2.5} (MC) and measured PM_{2.5} (HVS) is shown in Fig. 5e. The correlation shows a good fit (r² = 0.98) with a slope of 0.46 and an intercept of 1.93. The results of the fit parameters suggest that the PM_{2.5} mass (MC) concentration was underestimated compared to PM_{2.5} (HVS). The reported result of the mass closure model is based on the analyzed chemical components of filter samples (~ 55%). As described in the mass closure, a large portion of PM_{2.5} mass (~ 45%) was left unidentified; this unidentified component is believed to be the organics or carbonaceous species. Elemental carbon (EC), organic carbon (OC) and water-soluble organics were not measured due to the lack of instrumentation. Other possible reasons for the un-identified portion are: i) unaccounted for mineral oxides as they are abundant in PM_{2.5}, and ii) water associated with salts."



Figure 5 (a) Enrichment factor (EF) of heavy metals in $PM_{2.5}$, (b) correlation plot of nss-K⁺ and total-K⁺, (c) reconstructed mass concentration of $PM_{2.5}$ by mass closure model, (d) correlation plot of K⁺ and Na⁺, and (e) correlation plot of estimated $PM_{2.5}$ (MC) and measured $PM_{2.5}$ (HVS)

7. It is kind of weird to discuss the carcinogenicity on the basis on of sources. E.g., if a metal Ni came from coal may be more carcinogen than if it is came from traffic. Please try to rewording then section Table 3 and discussion 3.4.

Authors' response

We agreed with the reviewer's comment; we did not mean to say that metals in one source are more carcinogenic than the same metals in other sources. We apologies for the confusion and we have reworded our discussion to reflect that carcinogenic effects are due to metals present in $PM_{2.5}$ that are associated with that particular source (pages 22237-22239):

"Table 3. Hazard quotient (HQ) and lifetime carcinogenic risk (LCR) for selected heavy metals in $PM_{2.5}$ associated with sources"

Revised Table 3

Inhalation	Mineral/road dust		Motor vehicl	Motor vehicle/biomass		Coal burning			PM _{2.5}		
-	HQ	LCR	HQ	LCR		HQ	LCR		HQ	LCR	
Pb	-	4.0×10 ⁻⁸		1.0×10 ⁻⁷	_	-	4.1×10 ⁻⁸			2.5×10 ⁻⁷	
As	1.8×10 ⁻³	1.1×10 ⁻⁷	4.9×10 ⁻²	1.1×10 ⁻⁶		6.6×10 ⁻²	1.5×10 ⁻⁶		15.9×10 ⁻²	3.5×10 ⁻⁶	
Cd	4.6×10 ⁻⁴	8.2×10 ⁻⁹	9.1×10 ⁻³	5.6×10 ⁻⁸		5.5×10 ⁻³	3.4×10 ⁻⁸		2.2×10 ⁻²	1.4×10 ⁻⁷	
Cu	-	-	-	-		-	-		-	-	
Mn	-	-	7.0×10 ⁻³	-		5.1×10 ⁻³	-		3.3×10 ⁻²	-	
Zn	-	-	-	-		-	-		-	-	
Ni	2.0×10 ⁻²	2.5×10 ⁻⁷	2.3×10 ⁻²	9.5×10 ⁻⁸		2.6×10 ⁻²	1.1×10 ⁻⁷		14.3×10 ⁻²	5.9×10 ⁻⁷	
THR (HI and LCR)	2.3×10 ⁻²	1.6×10 ⁻⁷	8.8×10 ⁻²	1.2×10 ⁻⁶		10.2×10 ⁻²	1.5×10 ⁻⁶		35.7×10 ⁻²	3.9×10 ⁻⁶	

Table 3. Hazard quotient (HQ) and lifetime carcinogenic risk (LCR) for selected heavy metals in PM_{2.5} associated with sources

_

Pb*: pb (acetate), As*: As (Inorganic), Cd*: Cd (Diet), Mn*: Mn (Diet), Zn*: Zn (Metallic), Ni*: Ni (Refinery Dust), "-": no data, THR: Total Health Risk, HI: Hazard Index, HQ: Hazard quotient (non-cancer risk); LCR: Lifetime Cancer Risk

1 "Table 3 shows the non-carcinogenic (represented as HQ) and carcinogenic risks posed by several 2 selected metals (Pb, As, Cd, Cu, Mn, Zn and Ni) in PM_{2.5} mass concentration through inhalation exposure associating with sources. The HQ values for As and Ni in PM2.5 mass concentration are 3 15.9×10^{-2} and 14.3×10^{-2} , respectively, suggesting the non-carcinogenic health risks posed by these 4 metals might be higher compared to other metals. The HQ for four selected metals (Pb, As, Cd and 5 6 Ni) in PM_{2.5} mass was highest in the PM_{2.5} mass originating from the coal burning source and least in 7 PM_{2.5} originating from the mineral/road dust source. The cut-off point for significant health risks or 8 the safe level to the exposed population is HQ > 1. Our results showed that the sum of HQ for each 9 metal are lower than the safe level (= 1) in $PM_{2.5}$ mass concentration originating from each source. The sum of HQ for $PM_{2.5}$ is 35.7×10^{-2} , which is lower than the HQs of $PM_{2.5}$ reported by Hu et al. 10 (2012) in Nanjing, China (2.96); Cao et al. (2014) in Shanxi Province, China $(1.06 \times 10^{+1})$; and Taner 11 et al. (2013) in a non-smoking restaurant in Turkey (4.09). A study by Hu et al. (2012), reported HQ 12 values for As and Ni in $PM_{2.5}$ as 4.14×10^{-1} and 1.73×10^{-1} , respectively, in Nanjing, China. However, 13 the HQs of PM_{2.5} estimated after inhalation at two sites in Nanjing City, China (0.88 (Xianlin) and 14 15 0.79 (Gulou)) were close to the safe level (= 1) according to a study by Li et al. (2015). At two urban locations in Yangtze River Delta, China, the HQ for Cr in PM2.5 was within the acceptable limit but 16 17 higher for Mn (Niu et al., 2015). Although the HQ calculated for As was the highest, it was below 1, thus the non-carcinogenic health risk was estimated to be at a safelevel. In addition, the hazard index 18 19 (total - hazard quotient) of PM2.5 calculated for the four heavy metals (As, Cd, Mn, Ni) from the 20 different sources (Table 3) showed an insignificant health risk.

The carcinogenic risks from the carcinogenic heavy metals Pb, As, Cd and Ni in PM_{25} are shown 21 in Table 3. Similar to the non-carcinogenic risks, the lifetime carcinogenic risk level is estimated for 22 23 PM_{2.5} mass concentration and may be contributed to by several heavy metals from different sources: 24 mineral/road dust, motor vehicle emissions/biomass burning and coal combustion. The total life-time cancer risk (LCR) from heavy metals in the $PM_{2.5}$ mass concentration was calculated at 3.9×10^{-6} 25 26 which is a significant cancer risk. The main carcinogenic heavy metal of concern to the health of 27 people at the current location is As; the other heavy metals (Ni, Pb, and Cd) did not pose a significant Thus, the LCR from the PM_{2.5} mass concentration originating from motor 28 cancer risk. 29 vehicle/biomass and coal burning sources showed a value of 1×10^{-6} , slightly above the acceptable cancer risk level as recommended by USEPA, while the total LCR from PM_{2.5} mass concentration 30 from all sources was estimated to be 4×10^{-6} which is also slightly above the acceptable cancer risk. 31 The carcinogenic risk posed by As (3.66×10^{-3}) in PM_{2.5} in Shanxi Province, China (Cao et al., 2014) 32 was higher than the guideline value set by USEPA. A study by Niu et al. (2015) of PM_{2.5}-bound 33 metals showed a high cancer risk in Yangtze River Delta, China (2.47×10^{-4}) . A study by Pandey et al. 34 (2013) conducted in the vicinity of human activities observed that the concentrations of Cd, Cr, Ni 35 36 and Pb in PM2.5 showed higher excess cancer risk (ECR) due to those particle-bound metals compared

41	values of As, Cd, Cr, Ni and Pb in $PM_{2.5}$ is 4.34×10^{-5} in Delhi, India, implying that four or five people
42	might get cancer out of 100,000 people after exposure to toxic metals in PM _{2.5} (Khanna et al., 2015).
43	Our findings showed that the life-time cancer risk posed by the exposure of heavy metals in $PM_{2.5}$
44	mass concentration is three to four per 1,000,000 people at this location. This significant cancer risk
45	warrants further investigation. Our findings showed that an insignificant non-carcinogenic risk and
46	significant cancer risk is posed to the population from exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ at this location. Detailed
47	exposure assessment of the PM _{2.5} at the specific sources and the health risks posed by individual
48	hazardous elements of concern may help to improve understanding about the exposure pathways as
49	well as the detailed risk factors involved in both carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic risk."
50	
50	
51	8. Overall: Abstract is not well written. Conclusion is okay. Authors spent more times on
52	methodology not on the results and discussions.
52	
53	Author's responses
54	We appreciate reviewer's observation. We have already modified our abstract. We have revised the
55	Health risk part in the "Results and Discussion". Based on the comments from reviewer#2, we also
56	have enriched our "Results and Discussion" in the revised manuscript file highlighted in turquoise
57	color. The revised version of the abstract is shown below (page 22217, lines 2-20):
58	
50	
59	"The health implications of PM _{2.5} in the tropical region of Southeast Asia (SEA) are significant as
60	PM _{2.5} can pose serious health concerns. PM _{2.5} concentration and sources here are strongly influenced
61	by changes in the monsoon regime from the southwest quadrant to the northeast quadrant in the
62	region. In this work, $PM_{2.5}$ samples were collected at a semi-urban area using a high volume air
63	sampler at different seasons on 24 h basis. Analysis of trace elements and water-soluble ions was
64	performed using inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy (ICP-MS) and ion chromatography
65	(IC), respectively. Apportionment analysis of PM _{2.5} was carried out using the United States
66	Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) positive matrix factorization (PMF) 5.0 and a mass
67	closure model. We quantitatively characterized the health risks posed to human populations through
68	the inhalation of selected heavy metals in PM _{2.5} . 48% of the samples collected exceeded the World
69	Health Organization (WHO) 24 h $PM_{2.5}$ guideline but only 19% of the samples exceeded 24 h US
	20
	20

to guideline level set by USEPA. Satsangi et al. (2014) also reported a higher cancer risk from Cr, Ni

and Cd in PM_{2.5} compared to the USEPA guideline. The integrated carcinogenic risk of six metals

(Cr, As, Co, Pb, Ni and Cd) in PM_{2.5} in Tianjin, China were in the range $3.4 \times 10^{-3} - 4.1 \times 10^{-3}$ which is

reportedly beyond the tolerance level (Zhang et al., 2014). The total ECRs based on the average

37

38

39

70	EPA National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS). The PM _{2.5} concentration was slightly higher
71	during the north-east monsoon compared to south-west monsoon. The main trace metals identified
72	were As, Pb, Cd, Ni, Mn, V and Cr while the main ionsn were SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^{-} , NH_4^{+} and Na. The mass
73	closure model identified four major sources of $PM_{2.5}$ that accounts for 55% of total mass balance. The
74	four sources are mineral matter (MIN) (35%), secondary inorganic aerosol (SIA) (11%), sea salt (SS)
75	(7%), and trace elements (TE) (2%). PMF 5.0 elucidated five potential sources: motor vehicle
76	emissions coupled with biomass burning (31%) were the most dominant, followed by marine/sulfate
77	aerosol (20%), coal burning (19%), nitrate aerosol (17%), and mineral/road dust (13%). The hazard
78	quotient (HQ) for four selected metals (Pb, As, Cd and Ni) in PM _{2.5} mass was highest in PM _{2.5} mass
79	from the coal burning source and least in PM2.5 mass originating from the mineral/road dust source.
80	The main carcinogenic heavy metal of concern to health at the current location was As; the other
81	heavy metals (Ni, Pb, and Cd) did not pose a significant cancer risk in PM _{2.5} mass concentration.
82	Overall, the associated lifetime cancer risk posed by the exposure of hazardous metals in $PM_{2.5}$ is
83	three to four in 1,000,000 people at this location."
01	
04	
85	
96	Poferonaet
00	
87	
00	Cap & Duan V. Zhao V. Ma I. Dong T. Huang N. Sun C. Ha P. and Wai E: Health risks
00	from the exposure of children to As. So. Dh and other heavy metals near the largest coking
89	rion the exposure of children to As, Se, Po and other heavy metals hear the largest coking
90	plant in China, Sci. 10tal. Environ., $4/2$, 1001-1009,
91	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.11.124, 2014.
92	Chester, R., Nimmo, M., Fones, G. R., Keyse, S., and Zhang, Z.: Trace metal chemistry of particulate
93	aerosols from the UK mainland coastal rim of the NE Irish sea, Atmos. Environ., 34, 949-
94	958, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00234-4, 2000.

- Cheung, K., Shafer, M. M., Schauer, J. J., and Sioutas, C.: Historical trends in the mass and chemical
 species concentrations of coarse particulate matter in the Los Angeles Basin and relation to
 sources and air quality regulations, J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc., 62, 541-556,
 10.1080/10962247.2012.661382, 2012.
- Hu, X., Zhang, Y., Ding, Z., Wang, T., Lian, H., Sun, Y., and Wu, J.: Bioaccessibility and health risk
 of arsenic and heavy metals (Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb, Zn and Mn) in TSP and PM2.5 in

- 101
 Nanjing,
 China,
 Atmos.
 Environ.,
 57,
 146-152,

 102
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.04.056, 2012.
 57,
 146-152,
- 103 Khan, M. F., Shirasuna, Y., Hirano, K., and Masunaga, S.: Urban and suburban aerosol in Yokohama,
 104 Japan: a comprehensive chemical characterization, Environ. Monit. Assess., 171, 441-456,
 105 10.1007/s10661-009-1290-1, 2010.
- Khanna, I., Khare, M., and Gargava, P.: Health Risks Associated with Heavy Metals in Fine
 Particulate Matter: A Case Study in Delhi City, India, J. Geosci. Environ. Protec., 3, 72,
 108 10.4236/gep.2015.32012, 2015.
- Li, Y., Zhang, Z., Liu, H., Zhou, H., Fan, Z., Lin, M., Wu, D., and Xia, B.: Characteristics, sources
 and health risk assessment of toxic heavy metals in PM2.5 at a megacity of southwest China,
 Environ. Geochem. Health, 1-10, 10.1007/s10653-015-9722-z, 2015.
- Mohd Tahir, N., Suratman, S., Fong, F. T., Hamzah, M. S., and Latif, M. T.: Temporal distribution
 and chemical characterization of atmospheric particulate matter in the eastern coast of
 Peninsular Malaysia, Aerosol Air Qual. Res., 13, 584-595, 10.4209/aaqr.2012.08.0216, 2013.
- Niu, L., Ye, H., Xu, C., Yao, Y., and Liu, W.: Highly time- and size-resolved fingerprint analysis and
 risk assessment of airborne elements in a megacity in the Yangtze River Delta, China,
 Chemosphere, 119, 112-121, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.05.062, 2015.
- Pandey, P., Patel, D. K., Khan, A. H., Barman, S. C., Murthy, R. C., and Kisku, G. C.: Temporal distribution of fine particulates (PM2.5, PM10), potentially toxic metals, PAHs and Metalbound carcinogenic risk in the population of Lucknow City, India, J. Environ. Sci. Health.,
 Part A, 48, 730-745, 10.1080/10934529.2013.744613, 2013.
- 122 Satsangi, P. G., Yadav, S., Pipal, A. S., and Kumbhar, N.: Characteristics of trace metals in fine (PM2.5) and inhalable (PM10) particles and its health risk assessment along with in-silico 123 124 approach in indoor environment of India, Atmos. Environ.. 92, 384-393, 125 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.04.047, 2014.
- Taner, S., Pekey, B., and Pekey, H.: Fine particulate matter in the indoor air of barbeque restaurants:
 Elemental compositions, sources and health risks, Sci. Total. Environ., 454–455, 79-87,
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.03.018, 2013.
- Torfs, K., and Van Grieken, R.: Chemical relations between atmospheric aerosols, deposition and
 stone decay layers on historic buildings at the mediterranean coast, Atmos. Environ., 31,
 2179-2192, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(97)00038-1, 1997.

Zhang, N., Han, B., He, F., Xu, J., Niu, C., Zhou, J., Kong, S., Bai, Z., and Xu, H.: Characterization,
health risk of heavy metals, and source apportionment of atmospheric PM2.5 to children in
summer and winter: an exposure panel study in Tianjin, China, Air Qual. Atmos. Health, 111, 10.1007/s11869-014-0289-0, 2014.

137	
138	Fine particulate matter in the tropical environment: monsoonal
139	effects, source apportionment and health risk assessment
140	
141	Md Firoz Khan ^{1,2,*} , Mohd Talib Latif ^{1,3} , Wuan Hwa Saw ¹ , Norhaniza Amil ^{1,4} ,
142	Mohd Shahrul Mohd Nadzir ^{1,2} , Mazrura Sahani ⁵ , Norhayati Mohd Tahir ^{6,7} , Jing
143	Xiang Chung ¹
144	
145	[1]{School of Environmental and Natural Resource Sciences, Faculty of Science and
146	Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43600 Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia}
147 148	[2]{Centre for Tropical Climate Change System (IKLIM), Institute for Climate Change,
149	Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43600 Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia}
150	
151	[3] {Institute for Environment and Development (LESTARI), Universiti Kebangsaan
152	Malaysia, 43600 Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia}
153 154	[4]{School of Industrial Technology (Environmental Division), Universiti Sains Malaysia,
155	11800 Penang, Malaysia}
450	
156	[5]{Environmental Health and Industrial Safety Program, School of Diagnostic Science and
157	Applied Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Jalan Raja
158	Muda Abdul Aziz, 50500 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia}
159 160	[6]{Environmental Research Group, School of Marine Science and Environment, Universiti
161	Malaysia Terengganu, 21030 Kuala Terengganu, Terengganu, Malaysia}
162	
163	[7]{Institute of Oceanography, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, 21030 Kuala Terengganu,
164	Terengganu, Malaysia}
165	
166	*Corresponding author
16/	1 el: + 005 - 89213822, Iax: + 003 - 89253357
168	Email: mailroz.khan@ukm.edu.my; mailroz.khan@gmail.com (M. F. Khan)
169	ADSTRACT

170 The health implications of $PM_{2.5}$ in the tropical region of Southeast Asia (SEA) are significant as PM_{2.5} can pose serious health concerns. PM_{2.5} concentration and sources here 171 are strongly influenced by changes in the monsoon regime from the southwest quadrant to the 172 northeast quadrant in the region. In this work, PM_{2.5} samples were collected at a semi-urban 173 area using a high volume air sampler at different seasons on 24 h basis. Analysis of trace 174 elements and water-soluble ions was performed using inductively coupled plasma mass 175 spectroscopy (ICP-MS) and ion chromatography (IC), respectively. Apportionment analysis 176 of PM_{2.5} was carried out using the United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) 177 positive matrix factorization (PMF) 5.0 and a mass closure model. We quantitatively 178 characterized the health risks posed to human populations through the inhalation of selected 179 heavy metals in PM_{2.5}. 48% of the samples collected exceeded the World Health 180 Organization (WHO) 24 h PM_{2.5} guideline but only 19% of the samples exceeded 24 h US 181 EPA National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS). The PM_{2.5} concentration was 182 slightly higher during the north-east monsoon compared to south-west monsoon. The main 183 trace metals identified were As, Pb, Cd, Ni, Mn, V and Cr while the main ionsn were SO_4^{2-} , 184 NO_3^{-} , NH_4^{+} and Na. The mass closure model identified four major sources of PM_{2.5} that 185 accounts for 55% of total mass balance. The four sources are mineral matter (MIN) (35%), 186 187 secondary inorganic aerosol (SIA) (11%), sea salt (SS) (7%), and trace elements (TE) (2%). PMF 5.0 elucidated five potential sources: motor vehicle emissions coupled with biomass 188 burning (31%) were the most dominant, followed by marine/sulfate aerosol (20%), coal 189 burning (19%), nitrate aerosol (17%), and mineral/road dust (13%). The hazard quotient 190 191 (HQ) for four selected metals (Pb, As, Cd and Ni) in PM_{2.5} mass was highest in PM_{2.5} mass from the coal burning source and least in PM_{2.5} mass originating from the mineral/road dust 192 source. The main carcinogenic heavy metal of concern to health at the current location was 193 As; the other heavy metals (Ni, Pb, and Cd) did not pose a significant cancer risk in PM₂₅ 194 mass concentration. Overall, the associated lifetime cancer risk posed by the exposure of 195 hazardous metals in $PM_{2.5}$ is three to four per 1,000,000 people at this location. 196

198 **1** Introduction

Atmospheric fine particles (PM_{2.5}, dP $\leq 2.5 \mu$ m), a mixture of many inorganic and organic 199 components, reside for a long time in the atmosphere and can penetrate deep into the lung. 200 Prolonged exposure to PM_{2.5} can cause adverse health impacts and premature mortality in 201 humans (Betha et al., 2014). Potential health benefits and an improvement in general 202 203 mortality could be expected if the control policies were implemented (Boldo et al., 2011). The adverse effects of PM_{2.5} can reach intercontinental scales (Anenberg et al., 2014) due to 204 the potential transport of PM2.5 over hundreds to thousands of kilometers (Seinfeld and 205 206 Pandis, 2012). The sources of PM_{2.5}, particularly motor vehicle emissions, are associated with an increase in hospital admissions (Kioumourtzoglou et al., 2014). A study by Bell et al. 207 (2014) suggested that controlling some of the sources of $PM_{2.5}$ could protect public health 208 more efficiently than the regulation of particle concentration. Thus, the possible reduction in 209 health risks from the predominant sources of PM_{2.5} is desired as part of the mitigation 210 strategy. Diesel emissions and biomass burning, as the primary risk sources of PM2.5, should 211 212 be closely monitored and regulated (Wu et al., 2009).

The identification of PM_{2.5} sources is becoming a widely-recognized way to protect human 213 health as well as the environment. Multivariate receptor models are very useful in the source 214 215 apportionment of PM_{2.5}. Widely used multivariate methods are: a) a chemical mass balance model (CMB) (Watson et al., 1990), b) positive matrix factorization (PMF) (Paatero, 1997; 216 217 Paatero and Tapper, 1994), c) Unmix (Henry, 1987), d) principal component analysis coupled with absolute principal component score (PCA/APCS) (Thurston and Spengler, 1985), e) 218 219 pragmatic mass closure (PMC) (Harrison et al., 2003) and f) a new source-type identification method for PM_{2.5} known as Reduction and Species Clustering Using Episodes (ReSCUE) 220 221 (Vedantham et al., 2014). PMF is the most reliable method for source-type identification for 222 the following reasons: i) it uses a weighted least-squares fit and estimates error of the 223 measured data and can impose non-negativity constraints weighing each data point individually (Paatero, 1997; Paatero and Tapper, 1994), ii) a priori knowledge of pollutants 224 is not necessary and iii) it is able to deal with missing values, noisy data, outliers, and values 225 below detection limit (Baumann et al., 2008; Khan et al., 2012; Khan et al., 2015b; Polissar et 226 al., 1998a; Polissar et al., 1998b). A recent study by Gibson et al. (2014) suggested that PMF 227 can resolve PM_{2.5} concentrations even below 2 µg m⁻³ more accurately compared to PMC and 228 CMB. 229

Source apportionment studies of PM_{2.5} based on monsoonal seasonal changes in Malaysia are 230 of widespread interest due to the influence of local sources as well as trans-boundary haze 231 pollution. This haze pollution reaches its worst level during the south-west (SW) and north-232 east (NE) monsoons each year. Therefore, the main objectives of this work are to investigate 233 a) the monsoonal effect on the variability of $PM_{2.5}$ and its chemical composition, b) factors 234 influencing the sources of PM_{2.5} and c) to quantitatively characterize the non-carcinogenic 235 and carcinogenic risks to the potentially-exposed human populations by selected heavy 236 metals in $PM_{2.5}$ released from the particular sources. The $PM_{2.5}$ mass concentration 237 contributed by each source will be calculated using PMF 5.0. 238

239

240 2 Methodologies

241 2.1 Description of the study area

Figure 1 shows the sampling location which is on the roof top of the Biology Building of the
Faculty of Science and Technology (FST), University Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM),
Malaysia (2° 55' 31.91" N, 101° 46' 55.59" E, about 65 m above sea level). This site is less
than 1 km from the main Bangi road.

246 **2.2 Sampling and analysis of PM_{2.5} samples**

Sampling was carried out on a 24 h basis for a period from July to September 2013 and 247 January to February 2014 for a total of 27 samples. The PM_{2.5} samples were collected on 248 quartz microfiber filters (203 mm×254 mm, WhatmanTM, UK) through a PM_{2.5} high volume 249 sampler (HVS, Tisch, USA) at a flow rate of 1.13 m³ min⁻¹. Several others researchers also 250 conducted sampling using the quartz microfiber filters for the analysis of trace metals (Li et 251 al., 2015a; Martins et al., 2016; Kholdebarin et al., 2015; Cusack et al., 2015; Sánchez-252 Soberón et al., 2015). Prior to use, the filters were preheated at 500 °C for 3 h to remove any 253 deposited organic compounds. All filter papers either blank or exposed were conditioned in a 254 255 desiccator for 24 h before and after sampling prior to weighing using a 5-digit high-resolution electronic balance (A&D, GR-202, Japan) with a 0.01 mg detection limit. The filter samples 256 were then stored at -18 °C until the extraction procedure. A microwave-assisted digestion 257 system (Start D, Milestone, Germany) was employed for the preparation of the trace element 258 259 samples. The microwave was operated at two temperature stages, 180 °C for 20 min and 260 ramping to 220 °C for 15 min. The power was set at 500 watts during the procedure when the

261 number of samples \leq three. However, the power was set at 1000 watts if the number of samples exceeded three. A 4:1 ratio of 12 mL nitric acid (65%, Mark KGaA, Germany) and 3 262 mL hydrogen peroxide (40%, Mark KGaA, Germany) was used as the reagent in this 263 digestion process. A portion of the filter was soaked in the tetrafluoromethaxil (TFM) vessels 264 (SK-10, Milestone, Germany) of the microwave where total mass of the sample and reagent 265 was maintained below 0.25 g for quality assurance purposes. Upon completion, the samples 266 were filtered using a syringe filter (Acrodisc[®], 0.2 µm, Pall Gelman Laboratory, MI, USA) 267 with a 50 cc/mL Terumo syringe (Terumo[®], Tokyo, Japan) before dilution to 25 mL using 268 ultrapure water (UPW, 18.2 MQ cm, Easypure[®] II, Thermo Scientific, Canada). For the 269 preparation of samples for water-soluble ion analysis, a portion of the filter samples was cut 270 into small pieces and placed directly into 50 mL centrifuge tubes with UPW. For this 271 extraction, a combination of ultrasonic vibration, centrifuge and mechanical shaking were 272 applied. The samples were first sonicated in an ultrasonic bath (Elmasonic S70H, Elma, 273 Germany) for 20 min. Then, the extraction solutions were centrifuged at 2500 rpm (Kubota 274 5100, Japan) for 10 min before shaken using a vortex mixer for 10 min. The sonication and 275 centrifuged steps were repeated for two more times before the extract was filtered through 276 glass microfiber filters (WhatmanTM, UK). Both the trace elements and water-soluble ion 277 extracts were refrigerated at 4 °C until further analysis. The trace elements (Al, Ba, Ca, Fe, 278 Mg, Pb, Zn, Ag, As, Cd, Cr, Li, Be, Bi, Co, Cu, Mn, Ni, Rb, Se, Sr and V) were determined 279 by Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectroscopy (ICP-MS, PerkinElmer ELAN 9000, 280 USA) while the water-soluble ionic composition (Na⁺, NH₄⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Cl⁻, NO₃⁻ and 281 SO_4^{2-}) was determined using Ion Chromatography (Metrohm 850 model 881 Compact IC) 282 Pro, Switzerland). Metrosep A-Supp 5-150/4.0 and C4-100/4.0 columns were used in the 283 determination of cations and anions, respectively. 1.7 mmol L^{-1} nitric and 0.7 mmol L^{-1} 284 dipicolinic acid (Merck KGaA, Germany) were prepared to be used as eluents for cations. 285 Eluents of 6.4 mmol L⁻¹ sodium carbonate (Na₂CO₃) (Merck KGaA, Germany) and 2.0 mmol 286 L^{-1} sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO₃) (Merck KGaA, Germany) were prepared and used to 287 measure anions (Cl⁻, NO₃⁻ and SO₄²⁻) with a flow rate of 0.7 mL min⁻¹. 100 mmol L⁻¹ 288 Suprapur[®] sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) (Merck KGaA, Germany) was also prepared to use as a 289 suppressor regenerant and ions were detected by a conductivity detector. 290

291 **2.3 Quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC)**

292 As part of QA/QC, the concentrations of the composition of PM_{2.5} were corrected from the reagent and filter blanks samples, which were treated with a similar procedure to the exposed 293 filters. To determine the recovery (%) of the heavy metals, a standard reference material 294 (SRM), Urban Particulate Matter SRM 1648a obtained from the National Institute of 295 Standards and Technology (NIST), USA, was treated using the procedures outlined above. 296 The method detection limit (MDL) for trace elements is calculated as three times the standard 297 deviation of ten replicates of the reagent blank. Three samples of filter blanks were used to 298 calculate the MDL of water-soluble ions. Overall MDL were as reported in Table 1. During 299 the trace element analysis by ICP-MS, two modes of analysis were applied with updated 300 calibration curves each time. Based on trial runs and SRM1648a, the elements were initially 301 screened for concentration levels which resulted in two modes analysis: (a) a set of metals 302 (Al, Ca, Fe, Mg, Zn and Mn) with high concentrations (with several dilution factors); and (b) 303 a set of metals (Ba, Pb, Ag, As, Cd, Cr, Li, Be, Bi, Co, Cu, Ni, Rb, Se, Sr and V) with low 304 concentrations. 305

306 **2.4 Local circulation of wind and biomass fire hotspots**

Each year, Peninsular Malaysia experiences two monsoon regimes, the south-west (SW) 307 monsoon (June-September) and the north-east (NE) monsoon (December-March). During the 308 SW monsoon, south-west winds dominate the wind pattern in Peninsular Malaysia, inducing 309 drier weather. During the NE monsoon, strong north-east winds dominate over the Peninsular 310 Malaysia, bringing more rainfall to the east coast. To investigate this, the regional synoptic 311 312 wind field 10 m above the surface and resolution of $0.25 \times 0.25^{\circ}$ ranging from latitude: -10°, 25° N, longitude: 85°, 125° E was plotted using Open Grid Analysis and Display System 313 314 (GrADS version 2.0.2). The wind field used to demonstrate the monsoon regimes in this study is a gridded product produced by the global atmospheric reanalysis known as ERA-315 316 Interim, by the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) (Dee et 317 al., 2011).

The ERA-Interim 10 m surface wind vectors (January 2004 to June 2014) show the two opposite monsoon regimes experienced by Peninsular Malaysia (Fig. 2). It can be seen that the south-west wind, from June to August, blew from Sumatra Island, Indonesia to Peninsular Malaysia was generally weaker with wind speed around 1-2 m s⁻¹. Whereas the north-east wind, from November to January, was much stronger, with wind speeds of around 5-7 m s⁻¹ (Fig. 2).

Biomass fire hotspots and the travel path of the monthly back trajectories of each season were 324 also plotted (Fig. 3). The mean clusters of back trajectories were produced using the Hybrid 325 Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory Model (HYSPLIT 4.9), and were re-plotted 326 using the graphical software, IGOR Pro 6.0.1 (WaveMetrics, OR, USA). A release height of 327 about 500 m for 120 h back trajectories with 6 h intervals was chosen. Trajectory start time 328 was chosen at 16:00 (UTC) to represent 24:00 (local time). The cluster mean of trajectories 329 was regarded by numeral number and colour (1-red, 2-green, 3-turquoise, and 4-purple). The 330 fire hotspot data of the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) was used 331 332 to investigate the biomass burning hotspots in the specific area of interest. The data was downloaded from the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA)-Land 333 Atmosphere near Real-Time Capability for Earth Observing System (EOS)-Fire Information 334 for Resource Management System (NASA LANCE FIRMS) fire archive covering an area 335 from 15°S to 30°N and 80°W to 130°E. In addition, to investigate the variability of the 336 boundary layer height around the region of Peninsular Malaysia, ERA-Interim boundary 337 layer height (BLH) gridded data from January 2000 to December 2014 was downloaded from 338 the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF). The resolution of this 339 data was $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$, covering the domain of the Peninsular Malaysia (lat: 99 – 105°; lon: 0 – 340 9°). Yearly daily means of the ERA-Interim BLH data were calculated using the Climate Data 341 Operators (CDO) version 1.6.9 software (https://code.zmaw.de/projects/cdo) developed by 342 343 the Max-Plank-Institute, by first calculating the area mean.

344 2.5 Enrichment Factor (EF)

The EF of the heavy metals was calculated based on the abundance of elements in the Earth's
crust published by Taylor (1964). The EF of each element can be defined using the following
equation:



where E/Al is the concentration ratio of element, E, to the reference metal, Al. Al was
selected as the reference element to calculate the annual and seasonal EF. Several other
researchers also used Al as the reference element (Birmili et al., 2006; Khan et al., 2010a;
Sun et al., 2006). Chester et al. (2000); Cheung et al. (2012); Khan et al. (2010a); Mohd Tahir
et al. (2013); Torfs and Van Grieken (1997) proposed a EF cut-off of ten to differentiate
between crustal and natural and anthropogenic origins of heavy metals. Thus, we consider

EF = 10 as the cut-off point. Therefore, a good number of the metals (Zn, Cr, Rb, Be, V, Fe, Ca, Co, Sr, Pb, As and Bi) in $PM_{2.5}$ in this study can be assumed to originate from anthropogenic sources; Fig. 5a. These heavy metals were not natural or from the Earth's crust. No seasonal differences were observed in the EF of the heavy metals.

359 2.6 Mass closure model

A study by Harrison et al. (2003) introduced a PMC model for the source apportionment of 360 particulate matter, which is the basis for this study. The variables were grouped into the 361 following four sub-classes: i) mineral matter (MIN), ii) sea salts (SS), iii) secondary 362 inorganic aerosol (SIA), iv) trace elements (TE) and v) undefined (UD). MIN is derived from 363 364 the sum of Al, Mg, K, Ca, and Fe multiplied by the appropriate factors to convert them into their corresponding oxides as described by the following Eq. (2). Ca was multiplied by a 365 factor of 1.95 to account for CaO and CaCO₃ as this metal is assumed to be present in these 366 two forms (Remoundaki et al., 2013; Sillanpää et al., 2006; Terzi et al., 2010) 367

368

$$MIN = 1.89Al + 1.66Mg + 1.21K + 1.95Ca + 1.43Fe$$
(2)

370

The contribution of SS was estimated by assuming that soluble Na^+ in $PM_{2.5}$ samples originated solely from the marine source and is based on the composition of seawater, ignoring potential atmospheric transformation (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2012). Following Terzi et al. (2010), the composition of sea salt comprised of the following Eq. (3)

375

376
$$SS = [Na^+] + [ss-Cl^-] + [ss-Mg^{2+}] + [ss-K^+] + [ss-Ca^{2+}] + [ss-SO_4^{2-}]$$
 (3)
377

where, ss-Cl⁻ = $1.8*Na^+$, ss-Mg²⁺ = $0.12*Na^+$, ss-K⁺ = $0.036*Na^+$, ss-Ca²⁺ = $0.038*Na^+$ and ss-SO₄²⁻ = $0.252*Na^+$. Meanwhile, SIA can be estimated by the sum of non-sea salt-sulfate (nss-SO₄²⁻), NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ as explained by Remoundaki et al. (2013); Terzi et al. (2010) with the following Eq. (4)

382

83
$$SIA = [nss - SO_4^{2-}] + [NO_3^{-}] + [NH_4^+]$$
 (4)

384

Finally, TE is calculated by the sum of rest of the metals analysed in this study and UD represents unidentified gravimetric mass of $PM_{2.5}$. Therefore, the overall mass closure equation applied in this work can be expressed as the following Eq (5)

$$PM_{2.5} MC = MIN(1.89Al + 1.66Mg + 1.21K + 1.95Ca + 1.43Fe) +$$

$$SS([Na^+] + [ss-Cl^-] + [ss-Mg^{2+}] + [ss-K^+] + [ss-Ca^{2+}] + [ss-SO_4^{2-}]) +$$

$$SIA([nss-SO_4^{2-}] + [NO_3^-] + [NH_4^+]) + TE + UD$$
(5)

391 2.7 Source Apportionment of PM_{2.5} using PMF

Source apportionment of PM_{2.5} was conducted using the US EPA PMF 5.0 model of the 392 United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) as suggested by Norris et al. 393 (2014). The PMF model is a mathematical factor-based receptor model that interprets source 394 types with a robust uncertainty estimate. Two sets of data were run through the PMF model: 395 i) concentration and ii) uncertainty. The concentration of each element was pretreated and 396 397 validated based on the outliers, missing values and/or values below MDL. In particular, variables with outliers were excluded. Species with concentrations below MDL were 398 399 replaced with the half of the MDL (Baumann et al., 2008; Polissar et al., 1998a; Polissar et al., 1998b). The uncertainty value of each variable of each sample was calculated following 400 401 the empirical formula Eq. (6):

$$402 \quad \sigma_{ij} = 0.01 \left(X_{ij} + \overline{X_j} \right) \tag{6}$$

Where σ_{ij} is the estimated measurement error for jth species in the ith sample, X_{ij} is the observed elements concentration and $\overline{X_j}$ is the mean value. The factor 0.01 was determined through trial and error procedures following by Ogulei et al. (2006a). Thus, the measurement of uncertainty (S_{ij}) can be computed with Eq. (7) as applied by Chueinta et al. (2000):

$$407 \qquad S_{ij} = \sigma_{ij} + CX_{ij} \tag{7}$$

Where σ_{ij} the estimation of measurement error (Eq. 6) and C is a constant. In this study, we used a value of 0.4 for C which, according to Ogulei et al. (2006b), produced the best Q value as it is the closest to theoretical value and physically interpretable results. Other main researchers have also applied this procedure for the calculation of uncertainty (Harrison et al., 2011; Hedberg et al., 2005; Khan et al., 2015b). An additional 5% uncertainty was added to cover any methodological errors during the preparation of filter papers, gravimetric mass measurements and preparing the calibration curves.

Initially, PMF factors were resolved using the numbers of 20 runs with a seed value of 9. The
number of factors was changed to optimize the goodness-of-fit parameter of Q over the

417 theoretical Q. Five factors were decided upon based on the lowest Q (Robust) and Q (True) value of 180.26 with the Q (true)/Qexp value of 0.50 after 604 computational steps and the 418 convergence of the PMF results. The Q/Q_{exp} ratio for most of the variables was < 5 to 0.92 419 which indicates that the Q values were very similar to the expected value. Some of the 420 variables, however, showed a ratio of 0.5 because the computed Q value were smaller than 421 the expected Q value. A study by Brown et al. (2012) described this discrepancy as 422 contributing to the increase of global uncertainty. However, the sharp drop for PM_{2.5} mass 423 ratio (0.03) was due to the down-weighting of the signal to noise (S/N) values. To show the 424 425 stability of the results, we estimated the error of the concentration for each variable using bootstrap, displacement (DISP) and a combination of BS-DISP. A comparison of the error 426 estimates with base model runs are demonstrated in the supplementary Fig. S1. The five-427 factor results were relatively stable with meaningful physical interpretation and satisfactorily 428 comparable with the bootstrap analysis. Fe and Cr were reported as outliers and therefore 429 excluded in the calculation. Referring to Table 2, the overall $PM_{2.5}$ concentration is well 430 explained within $\pm 10\%$ by the PMF 5.0 considering the Fpeak = 0. 431

432 2.8 Health risk assessment (HRA) of PM_{2.5} and associated various sources

The human health risk posed by heavy metals may occur through inhalation of $PM_{2.5}$. We applied the US EPA supplemented guidance to estimate the risk posed by heavy metals in $PM_{2.5}$ mass concentration and their various sources. As part of the HRA, we considered lifetime non-carcinogenic and carcinogenic risk. USEPA (2011) describes the exposure concentration (EC) by the following equation:

438
$$EC_{inh} = C \times \frac{ET \times EF \times ED}{ATn}$$
 (8)

Where *C* is the concentration of metals in $PM_{2.5}$ estimated for each source with µg m⁻³ unit for the estimation of EC_{inh} ; EF is the exposure frequency (151 days year⁻¹) representing July, August, September, January and February; ED is exposure duration (24 years for adult); BW is the average body weight (70 kg for adult); ET is the exposure time (h/day); ATn is the average time (ATn = ED × 365 days × 24 h/day for non-carcinogenic and ATn = 70 year × 365 days/year × 24 h/day for carcinogenic risk). ED, BW and AT values are based on the study by Hu et al. (2012).

Further, we examined the non-carcinogenic risk (presented by the hazard quotient (HQ)) and
lifetime carcinogenic risk (LCR) of selected heavy metals as classified by the International

448 Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). The following equations were involved for the449 calculation of HQ and LCR:

450
$$HQ = \frac{EC}{(RfCi \times 1000 \ \mu g \ m^{-3})}$$
 (9)

$$451 \quad LCR = IUR \times EC \tag{10}$$

Where, RfC_i is the inhalation reference concentration (mg m⁻³); IUR is the inhalation unit risk 452 $((\mu g m^{-3})^{-1})$. The non-carcinogenic risk or HQ represents the observable health effects from 453 exposure to the PM_{2.5} based on the dose-response relationship principles. The cut-off point 454 for significant health risks to the exposed population is HQ > 1. The carcinogenic risk refers 455 to a person's chance of developing cancer from exposure to any carcinogenic agent. LCR 456 457 represents the excess lifetime cancer risk is described in terms of the probability that an exposed individual will develop cancer because of that exposure by age 70 as defined by US 458 459 EPA Risk Communication

460 (http://www.epa.gov/superfund/community/pdfs/toolkit/risk_communicati-

461 <u>onattachment6.pdf</u>). The carcinogenic risk from the lifetime exposure of those hazardous 462 metals is regulated by the acceptable or tolerance level (1×10^{-6}) set by the US EPA which 463 corresponds to lifetime exposure to an unpolluted environment (Satsangi et al., 2014).

- 464
- 465

466 3 Results and Discussions

467 **3.1 Concentration of PM_{2.5} and its chemical composition**

Table 1 summarizes the statistics from the SW monsoon, the NE monsoon and overall 468 concentrations of PM2.5, heavy metals and major ions. Overall, the 24 h average values of 469 $PM_{2.5}$ (avg = 25.13 µg m⁻³) in the study area are slightly higher than that of the WHO 24 h 470 guideline (25 µg m⁻³) but lower than that of 24 h US EPA National Ambient Air Quality 471 Standard (NAAQS) (35 µg m⁻³). Of the samples taken during the day, 48% exceeded the 472 WHO 24 h guideline while 19% of them exceeded the US EPA 24 h NAAQS for PM_{2.5} 473 (Currently Malaysia has no set guidelines for $PM_{2.5}$). If we compare the $PM_{2.5}$ overall value 474 of 25.13 μ g m⁻³ with yearly mean of US EPA NAAQS (15 μ g m⁻³), WHO (10 μ g m⁻³), 475 European Union (EU) (25 μ g m⁻³), DoE (Australia) (8 μ g m⁻³), the concentration of PM_{2.5} is 476 much higher with respect the guideline set by all regulatory bodies. The average value of 477 PM_{2.5} during the NE monsoon was slightly higher than the SW monsoon. During the 478

479 southwest monsoon season, PM_{2.5} was mainly carried by the prevailing southwest wind from the Sumatra Island of Indonesia which is located at the Southwest quadrant of the SEA 480 region. On the other hand, during the northeast monsoon season, the PM_{2.5} sources can be 481 traced back to the Chinese mainland, Indochina region and the Philippines. This is due to the 482 prevailing northeast wind transporting PM_{2.5} from these locations to the tropical region of 483 484 **SEA.** The *Student T-test* for paired independent samples showed that the mean during these two monsoons varies insignificantly (t = 1.19, p > 0.05). However, the monsoonal changes in 485 this region as displayed in Fig. 3, showed that air masses of different origins transport 486 different pollutants to the area. The back trajectory plots showed that there were high 487 numbers of biomass fire hotspots during both seasons but from different regions (Fig. 3). The 488 period of June to September is the dry season each year in Malaysia and Sumatra of 489 Indonesia. During this dry season, biomass fire hotspots are densely located in this area due 490 to the burning of agricultural waste and forest fires. Several other researchers also reported 491 the high number of biomass fire - related hotspots to these regions (Khan et al., 2015c; 492 Sahani et al., 2014). On the other hand, December to March is usually the wet season in 493 Malaysia. However, the backward trajectories showed that air masses were transported from 494 Mainland China and neighbouring regions. In Mainland China and neighbouring regions, this 495 496 is dry season. During the dry season in this region there are a lot of fires, as reported by Zhang et al. (2015) and Ho et al. (2014), and this influences the pollution of air masses 497 transported to the present location. This scenario of biomass fire hotspots is commonly 498 noticed in these two seasons. In past years Malaysia and Singapore have experienced 499 500 intensified haze episodes in this particular season, e.g. 1997, 2005, 2013 and 2015. A study of 501 this area by Kanniah et al. (2014) observed that during the dry season (June to September) 502 aerosols mainly originated from the west and south-west (i.e. Sumatra, Indonesia), while 503 during the wet season (November to March), aerosols were mostly associated with the NE monsoon winds coming from the South China Sea. Also, the variability of BLH and WS 504 were able to influence the concentration of the pollutants at a particular location. Fig. 4 shows 505 the day to day variation of BLH and WS with respect to the 24 h average of PM_{2.5} 506 concentration. From the plot, it is revealed that the daily average PM_{2.5} concentration is 507 inversely proportional to the BLH. Therefore, while factors such as traffic volume, industrial 508 emissions, power plants, land use and population size can alter the concentration of PM_{2.5}, 509 meteorological factors which govern the day to day variation of BLH in Peninsular Malaysia 510 might play a crucial role too. These meteorological factors can include strong local 511 convection, which is a very common meteorological feature in this region, and also the 512

movement of air via a land-sea breeze due to the sea surrounding Peninsular Malaysia. A
study by Lelieveld et al. (2001) reported that strong convection can ventilate the daily BLH.
The small expansion of BLH that was observed during NE monsoon was most likely due to
the higher magnitude of WS to Peninsular Malaysia during this season, as demonstrated in
Fig. 2.

In comparison, our results of $PM_{2.5}$ here on the west coast of Peninsular Malaysia (avg = 518 25.13 μ g m⁻³) are higher compared to the east coast of Peninsular Malaysia at 14.3 μ g m⁻³ 519 (Mohd Tahir et al., 2013). This PM_{2.5} concentration in this study area was similar to the 520 annual concentration of $PM_{2.5}$ measured in Petaling Jaya, Kuala Lumpur (26.85 µg m⁻³) by 521 Rahman et al. (2011), Petaling Jaya (33 µg m⁻³) and Gombak (28 µg m⁻³) by Keywood et al. 522 (2003) and Singapore (27.2 μ g m⁻³) as reported by Balasubramanian et al. (2003). The yearly 523 mean value of PM_{2.5} in the Bandung urban area and suburban location in Lembang of 524 Indonesia are 14.03 and 11.88 µg m⁻³, respectively (Santoso et al., 2008), which are much 525 lower concentration compared to the this study. However, Lestari and Mauliadi (2009) 526 reported that the $PM_{2.5}$ concentration of 43.5 µg m⁻³ in the Bandung city, Indonesia, was 527 about 1.7 times larger than that of the current location and by Budhavant et al. (2015) showed 528 19 μ g m⁻³ in Male, Maldives (urban) which is lower as well compared to this study. A 529 comparative study conducted in Bangkok (34 μ g m⁻³), Beijing (136 μ g m⁻³), Chennai (44 μ g 530 m⁻³), Bandung (45.5 µg m⁻³), Manila (43.5 µg m⁻³) and Hanoi (78.5 µg m⁻³) showed 531 consistently higher PM_{2.5} pollution in the Southeast and South Asian cities as compared to 532 this study (Kim Oanh et al., 2006). From Table 1, it can be seen that the highest concentration 533 of anions species was found for SO_4^{2-} followed by NO₃. A study by Zhang et al. (2012) 534 suggested that the photochemical conversion of SO2 to H2SO4 is the main reason for the 535 changes of sulfate concentration in PM2.5 and that higher temperatures reduce the nitrate 536 concentration by the partitioning of nitrate into the gas phase. However, lower temperatures 537 and a stable atmosphere favours the formation of NO_3^- aerosol reacting with NH_4^+ , i.e. 538 shifting the gas phase nitrate into the particle phase (Mariani and de Mello, 2007). The 539 formation of NH₄NO₃ normally occurs at high humidity with lower temperatures (Morales 540 and Leiva, 2006). The average molar ratios of SO_4^{2-} to NO_3^{-} were 6.0 with a range of 0.16 – 541 38.24 which suggests that the sulfate aerosol is more dominant over the nitrate aerosol and 542 543 may have been transported from trans-boundary sources. A similar observation was found in a study in the UK by Abdalmogith and Harrison (2006). This ion balance ratio indicates the 544 possible sources of aerosol, and stationary sources dominate over the mobile sources as 545

explained by Arimoto et al. (1996). The average ratio of SO_4^{2-} to NH_4^+ was 1.28 which is 546 much higher than the ratio of NO_3^- to NH_4^+ (0.63), confirming that the sulfate aerosol is more 547 stable in tropical conditions as compared to the nitrate aerosol. A study by Maenhaut et al. 548 (2008) described a similar observation. The formation of ammonium sulfate is favoured in 549 the fine fraction (Khan et al., 2010b). For the cations, the highest concentration was NH_4^+ 550 followed by Na⁺. However, the average molar ratio of Cl⁻ to Na⁺ did not reflect the seawater 551 ratio. "Cl loss" may be the cause of the drop in Cl⁻ to Na⁺ ratio. Boreddy et al. (2014) also 552 noticed a chlorine depletion due to atmospheric processing in the western north Pacific. 553 According to Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts Jr. (2000), sulfuric and nitric acids have a tendency to 554 react rapidly with NaCl, the major component of sea salt particles, to produce gaseous HCl 555 under 50-100% relative humidity conditions. For heavy metals, the predominant metal 556 reported was Fe with concentrations in the range 2171 - 4567 ng m⁻³. Ca showed the second-557 highest concentrations with the concentration range of below MDL - 3149 ng m⁻³. A study 558 by Yin and Harrison (2008) suggested that Fe originates from non-traffic sources and that 559 iron and calcium are released into ambient air through the resuspension of surface dust. 560 Among other heavy metals of particular health concern, the average concentrations of As, Pb, 561 Cd, Ni, Mn, V and Cr were 5.76, 21.84, 0.54, 4.03, 17.24, 5.13 and 107.68 ng m⁻³, 562 respectively. The As concentration was nearly equal to the WHO and US EPA guideline 563 values of 6.6 and 6 ng m^{-3} , respectively. Therefore, As may be of significant health concern. 564 The concentrations of other hazardous metals were well below the WHO and European 565 commission guidelines. The EF reveals that all metals of $PM_{2.5}$ can be assumed to originate 566 567 from anthropogenic sources with no seasonal differences observed (Fig. 5a).

568 3.2 Mass closure model

The PM_{2.5} was reconstructed by the use of a PMC model (Harrison et al., 2003). Employing 569 570 the mass closure model outlined in the previous section, the four major classes of chemical components contributing to PM_{2.5} were: i) MIN, ii) SIA, iii) SS, iv) TE and UD. As shown in 571 Fig. 5c, the overall reconstructed masses of MIN, SIA, SS, TE and UD were 8970, 2841, 572 1727, 626.2 and 11511 ng m⁻³, respectively. MIN is released from soil or crustal sources and 573 574 represents the oxide form of the metals (Remoundaki et al., 2013; Sillanpää et al., 2006; Terzi et al., 2010). The MIN component comprises 35% of the PM_{2.5} concentration. SIA, which 575 accounts for 11%, is comprised of the most abundant secondary ions (nss-SO₄²⁻, NO₃⁻ and 576 $\mathrm{NH_4^+}$). These are formed in the atmosphere from the precursor gases (SO₂, NH₃ and NO_x) 577

- through a gas-to-particle conversion (Sillanpää et al., 2006) and therefore are assumed to be in the form of $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ and NH_4NO_3 in the aerosol phase (Joseph et al., 2012). It is important to mention that the behaviour of the formation pattern of the SIA in this South East Asia region may differ from other regions due to the nearly constant temperature throughout the year. SS and TE accounted for 7% and 2% of the PM_{2.5}. The UD, undefined fraction, accounted for 45% of PM_{2.5}.
- The average value of reconstructed PM_{2.5} by mass closure (MC) is 14.12 \pm 4.32 µg m⁻³ with a 584 minimum of 6.70 μ g m⁻³ and a maximum of 24.19 μ g m⁻³. On the other hand, the measured 585 PM_{2.5} determined gravimetrically by HVS was $25.13\pm9.21 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ with a range of 7.01 to 586 42.78 μ g m⁻³. A correlation plot of PM_{2.5} (MC) and measured PM_{2.5} (HVS) is shown in Fig. 587 5e. The correlation shows a good fit ($r^2 = 0.98$) with a slope of 0.46 and an intercept of 1.93. 588 The results of the fit parameters suggest that the PM_{2.5} mass (MC) concentration was 589 underestimated compared to PM_{2.5} (HVS). The reported result of the mass closure model is 590 based on the analyzed chemical components of filter samples (~ 55%). As described in the 591 mass closure, a large portion of $PM_{2.5}$ mass (~ 45%) was left unidentified; this unidentified 592 component is believed to be the organics or carbonaceous species. Elemental carbon (EC), 593 organic carbon (OC) and water-soluble organics were not measured due to the lack of 594 instrumentation. Other possible reasons for the un-identified portion are: i) unaccounted for 595 mineral oxides as they are abundant in PM_{2.5}, and ii) water associated with salts. 596

597 During the SW monsoon, the UD showed the higher concentrations; this can be explained by 598 the annual biomass haze episodes experienced in this area. Thus, a large proportion of the UD 599 of $PM_{2.5}$ is probably formed from the organic fraction. Such findings are consistent with a 500 study conducted by Abas and Simoneit (1996) which also found that the concentrations of 501 organic compounds observed were greater during the haze episodes than any other periods in 502 a year, and that some of them are suspected to be transported from trans-boundary sources.

The seasonal variability of the results obtained from the mass closure model is shown in Fig. 5c. The reconstructed masses of MIN, SIA and SS were higher in the NE than the SW monsoon. These haze events were very likely caused by the slash-and-burn activities practiced by the agriculture industries, and the occurrence of forest fires during this dry season. The regional trans-boundary pollution during the NE and SW monsoon is the underlying reason for the change in the chemical component concentrations as well as the overall $PM_{2.5}$.

611 3.3 Identification and apportionment of PM_{2.5} sources

Using US EPA PMF 5.0, the five identified sources of PM_{2.5} were i) mineral and road dust, 612 ii) motor vehicle emissions and biomass burning, iii) nitrate aerosol, iv) coal burning and v) 613 marine and sulfate aerosol. Each of the source profiles is shown in Fig. 6a which 614 demonstrates the concentration and percentage of the variables to each factor. The reported 615 PMF analysis is based on the chemical components of filter samples. As described in the 616 mass closure, a large portion of the PM_{2.5} mass fraction (about 45%) was not apportioned. In 617 the PMF 5.0 procedure, the contributions of five factors were estimated and then the 618 integrated contribution of the five factors was regressed over the measured PM_{2.5} (HVS). The 619 regression fit line was forced through the origin. Thus, our regression of the PM_{2.5} (PMF) and 620 $PM_{2.5}$ (HVS) showed that the $PM_{2.5}$ had been reproduced by PMF 5.0 with an error of less 621 than 10% and the correlation of PM_{2.5} (PMF) and PM_{2.5} (HVS) showed a strong and 622 significant correlation (slope = 0.91, $r^2 = 0.88$, p < 0.01) (Fig. 6b). To evaluate the results of 623 the PMF model, the regression between predicted and observed data for each variable is 624 shown during the operation. A linear correlation between the predicted and measured mass 625 represents the goodness-of-fit of linear regression. Our values strongly suggested that the five 626 627 identified sources could be readily interpreted.

628

Factor component one: the predominant tracers are Mg, Zn, Cu, Ni and Ca²⁺. The mineral or 629 natural fugitive dust component is identified based on the presence of Mg (52% of the Mg 630 mass), Ca²⁺ (42% of Ca²⁺ mass), Ca (28% of Ca mass) and Al (19% of Al mass), as shown in 631 Table 2. Many other researchers cite these metals as markers for a mineral dust source 632 (Dall'Osto et al., 2013; Moreno et al., 2013; Mustaffa et al., 2014; Viana et al., 2008; Waked 633 et al., 2014). The possible cause of the mineral dust is the rapid development activities such 634 as construction, renovation of road surface etc, around this suburban region. Airborne soil 635 and construction material are the key sources of mineral dust (Dai et al., 2013; Gugamsetty et 636 al., 2012; Huang et al., 2014). Cu, Zn and Ba are associated with road dust due to the release 637 of these metal markers from cars from non-exhaust sources (Amato et al., 2011). Several 638 studies identified that Cu is released from brake wear or the brake pads/tailpipes of cars 639 (Wåhlin et al., 2006) while Zn originates from tire wear (Dall'Osto et al., 2013) and additives 640 in cars as lubricant (Ålander et al., 2005). A study by Wang and Hopke (2013) suggested that 641 Ni was emitted from gasoline engine and road dust sources. Ni (18% of Ni mass) and V (20% 642 of V mass) are moderately presented in this factor component which shows the existence of 643

heavy lubricating oil combustion (Amato et al., 2011). The average contribution of mineral or natural fugitive and road dust sources to the $PM_{2.5}$ was 3.17 µg m⁻³ or 13%.

Factor component two: contains substantial Pb, NH_4^+ and K^+ . Motor vehicle emissions and 646 biomass burning sources accounted for 7.47 μ g m⁻³ or 31% of the total PM_{2.5} concentration, 647 which makes these the largest sources contributing to the PM_{2.5} concentration. Pb along with 648 the moderately enriched metals As, Cd, Zn, Ni and V (Fig. 5a, refer to previous section for 649 detail), represents a motor vehicle emission source (Wu et al., 2007). The brake wear dust of 650 motor vehicles contains Pb (Garg et al., 2000). A study by Begum et al. (2010) conducted in 651 Dhaka and by Santoso et al. (2013) at roadsides in Jakarta defined Pb in PM_{2.5} releasing from 652 the pre-existing road dust by PMF. Choi et al. (2013) also introduced Pb in PM_{2.5} as a tracer 653 for the motor vehicle source. Zn is released from the wear and tear of tyres 654 (Srimuruganandam and Shiva Nagendra, 2012). Further, Zn in PM_{2.5} appeared to have a 655 motor vehicle source as resolved by PMF, due to its use as fuel detergent and anti-wear 656 additive (Brown et al., 2007). Ni and V were widely reported in the literature as markers for 657 the combustion of engine oil or residual oil combustion (Gugamsetty et al., 2012; Han et al., 658 2006; Huang et al., 2014; Yu et al., 2013). Pb is no longer used as an additive in gasoline 659 fuel. Thus, the Pb does not reflect the emissions from engine combustion but does reflect 660 661 those from a non-exhaust traffic source. A study conducted by Rahman et al. (2011) in Kuala Lumpur investigating Pb in PM_{2.5} found that it originated from the soil dust source, indicating 662 663 the influence of road dust. Also, coal combustion is a predominant source of Pb (Tao et al., 2014). The K^+ ion has been widely cited in the literature as an excellent tracer representing a 664 wood or biomass burning source (Dall'Osto et al., 2013; Kim and Hopke, 2007; Mustaffa et 665 al., 2014; Wahid et al., 2013). The biomass burning source is generally comprised of either 666 wood burning as residential fuel, agriculture residue/waste, and/or wild forest fires. In Kuala 667 Lumpur, the biomass burning source was described due to the presence of K from PM_{2.5} 668 measured by Particle Induced X-ray Emission (Rahman et al., 2011). During the episode of 669 biomass burning in Chengu, China, K⁺ and other related tracers in PM_{2.5} were increased by a 670 factor of 2 -7. In this suburban region, the smoke emissions released due to the burning of 671 wheat straw, rape straw and other biomass fuel for domestic cooking or heating purposes 672 (Tao et al., 2013). K⁺ is also mainly emitted from biomass burning in the suburb of 673 Shenzhen, China (Dai et al., 2013), Beijing, China (Yu et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013) and 674 Colombo, Sri Lanka (Seneviratne et al., 2011). In Seoul, Korea, biomass burning is 675 characterised by the presence of K and other related markers in PM2.5. The character of 676 burning in this East Asian city is typically post-harvest field burning, biofuel burning for 677

heating and cooking as well as forest fire from the outside of the city (Heo et al., 2009). Thus, 678 the local and regional transport of smoke from the burning sources contribute to this factor. 679 Hong Kong experiences the influence of biomass burning in PM_{2.5} due to its transboundary 680 origin (Huang et al., 2014). During the sampling period in the SW monsoon, the MODIS 681 detected a very high number of fire counts over the Sumatra Island. In this monsoon season, 682 683 the wind will consistently travel from the southwest direction, bringing air masses from these burning areas to Peninsular Malaysia. During the NE monsoon, on the other hand, the wind 684 will travel from the northeast direction, bringing air masses from the China mainland, 685 686 Indochina and the Philippines to Peninsular Malaysia. In this period of time, a high density of fire locations were found on the Indo-China and China mainland. Zhang et al. (2015) 687 demonstrate that during the dry season there is important biomass burning activity in the 688 Pearl River Delta (China), which can result in trans-border transport and a regional scale 689 character of biomass burning. Therefore under the north-east monsoonal regime it is possible 690 that outflow from that area can maybe influence the specific area. A study by Streets et al. 691 (2003) estimated that China contributes 25% to the total biomass burning in Asia and showed 692 a good agreement between national estimate of biomass burning and adjusted fire count. 693 Yang et al. (2013) applied spatial-temporal features of fire counts and observed that the study 694 695 area of Heilongjiang Province, China was seriously affected by forest fires during 2000-2011. Reid et al. (2013) reported a high intensity of fire counts in Vietnam/China region in April 696 697 and in Indonesia during September. Khan et al. (2015a) also reported a high density of fire locations in Thailand, Vietnam and Laos during February and Sahani et al. (2014) reported 698 699 many in the same regions during June-September. The biomass burning is the dominant source of trace gas and particulate matter and the fire emissions are mainly concentrated in 700 701 Indonesia, Thailand, Myanmar and Cambodia (Chang and Song, 2010). Further, a comparison of $nss-K^+$ with the respective total K^+ is shown in Fig. 5b. The correlation of nss-702 K^+ as a function of total K^+ showed a strong correlation coefficient ($r^2 = 0.95$) which suggests 703 that K^+ can be used as a biomass tracer. K^+ may also be emitted from local fire sources. 704 Additionally, the molar equivalent of K^+ and Na^+ , as shown in Fig 5c, demonstrated 705 significant correlation ($r^2 = 0.70$) with a slope value of 0.34 which is much higher compared 706 to 0.0225-0.230 and 0.0218, reported by Wilson (1975) and Hara et al. (2012), respectively. 707 The higher molar ratio of K^+ and Na^+ indicates that at the current location, Na^+ depletion was 708 high and the K^+ might also release from other dominant sources. Additional significant 709 sources of K⁺ which may attribute to the mass are soil dust, sea salt, vegetation and meat 710 cooking (Zhang et al., 2010). 711

712 Factor component three: this factor is mainly dominated by the concentration of the nitrate ion (75% of NO₃⁻ mass) suggesting that this source is strongly related to the formation 713 of nitrate aerosol. NO₃⁻ is mainly formed from the conversion of NOx, which is emitted from 714 the exhaust of motor vehicles (Dai et al., 2013). Huang et al. (2014) also identified a nitrate 715 source in PM_{2.5} by the use of PMF in suburban areas of Hong Kong. In Beijing, a nitrate 716 source appeared in PM_{2.5} when source apportionment performed by PMF (Song et al., 2006). 717 This source is also contributed to by the small amount of Al, Mn and Ca^{2+} . Overall, it 718 accounted for 4.11 μ g m⁻³ or about 17% of the PM_{2.5} concentration. 719

Factor component four: this fourth source has an abundance of As, Ba and Sr (Se moderately 720 contributed) and thus is classified as coal burning source. As constitutes the most to this 721 fourth component at 53% (of As mass), which gives an indication that this source is related to 722 the coal combustion. In Malaysia, several power plants are operating on the west coast of 723 Peninsular Malaysia, e.g. Port Dickson, Kapar and Manjung. The power plants located at Port 724 Dickson and Kapar are about 50 km far from the sampling station. These plants use coal as 725 the raw material to generate electric power. Other researchers have also used As a tracer for 726 the coal burning source (Moreno et al., 2013) and As and Se by Meij and te Winkel (2007); 727 Querol et al. (1995). As and Se are categorized as of great concern and Ba and Sr are of 728 729 moderate environmental concern in the utilization of coal, as reported by Vejahati et al. (2010). However, Ba is an indicator of brake wear and tear from motor vehicles (Gietl et al., 730 2010). Overall, the coal burning source accounts for 4.60 μ g m⁻³ or about 19% of PM_{2.5}. 731

Factor component five: this component features Na⁺ (50% of Na⁺ mass), Cl⁻ (46% of Cl⁻ 732 mass) and sulfate (64% of SO_4^{2-} mass) suggesting the presence of marine as well as sulfate 733 aerosol. Begum et al. (2010) identified sea salt in PM2.5 by PMF in Dhaka, based on the 734 735 appearance of Na and Cl. Choi et al. (2013) defined a sea salt source in Seoul, Korea due to the high contribution of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ in PM_{2.5}. Several other studies in East, Southeast and 736 South Asia assigned a sea salt source in $PM_{2.5}$ considering Na⁺ and Cl⁻ from the model output 737 of PMF (Lee et al., 1999; Santoso et al., 2008; Santoso et al., 2013; Seneviratne et al., 2011). 738 For sulfate, it shows that $nss-SO_4^{2-}$ contributed 93% to the total sulfate concentration while 739 ss-SO₄²⁻ accounted for only 6%. Therefore, the sulfate aerosol in $PM_{2.5}$ is released as a 740 product from the photochemical conversion of SO₂, which mainly originates from 741 anthropogenic large point sources as observed by Heo et al. (2009) in Seoul, South Korea. A 742 secondary sulfate source in PM_{2.5} was also identified by Huang et al. (2014) in a suburban 743 area of Hong Kong and by Song et al. (2006) in Beijing. The marine and sulfate aerosol, as 744 the final identified source, accounts for 4.99 μ g m⁻³ or about 20% of the total PM_{2.5} 745

concentration. A study by Kim and Hopke (2007) defined a sea salt source by the high concentration of Na⁺ and Cl⁻, while sulfate sources are based on the high concentration of sulfate. The secondary aerosol fraction is an important source worldwide, which is also the case here. It generally constitutes a predominant portion of $PM_{2.5}$, which splits into two modes i.e. the nitrate-rich and sulfate-rich factors. Studies by Chen et al. (2007) and McGinnis et al. (2014) also identified the major contribution of the secondary aerosol fraction to $PM_{2.5}$.

753 3.4 Health risk implications

Table 3 shows the non-carcinogenic (represented as HQ) and carcinogenic risks posed by 754 755 several selected metals (Pb, As, Cd, Cu, Mn, Zn and Ni) in PM_{2.5} mass concentration through inhalation exposure associating with sources. The HQ values for As and Ni in PM2.5 mass 756 concentration are 15.9×10^{-2} and 14.3×10^{-2} , respectively, suggesting the non-carcinogenic 757 health risks posed by these metals might be higher compared to other metals. The HQ for 758 four selected metals (Pb, As, Cd and Ni) in PM_{2.5} mass was highest in the PM_{2.5} mass 759 originating from the coal burning source and least in PM_{2.5} originating from the mineral/road 760 dust source. The cut-off point for significant health risks or the safe level to the exposed 761 population is HO > 1. Our results showed that the sum of HO for each metal are lower than 762 the safe level (= 1) in PM_{2.5} mass concentration originating from each source. The sum of HQ 763 for PM_{2.5} is 35.7×10^{-2} , which is lower than the HQs of PM_{2.5} reported by Hu et al. (2012) in 764 Nanjing, China (2.96); Cao et al. (2014) in Shanxi Province, China $(1.06 \times 10^{+1})$; and Taner et 765 al. (2013) in a non-smoking restaurant in Turkey (4.09). A study by Hu et al. (2012), reported 766 HQ values for As and Ni in $PM_{2.5}$ as 4.14×10^{-1} and 1.73×10^{-1} , respectively, in Nanjing, 767 China. However, the HQs of PM_{2.5} estimated after inhalation at two sites in Nanjing City, 768 China (0.88 (Xianlin) and 0.79 (Gulou)) were close to the safe level (= 1) according to a 769 770 study by Li et al. (2015b). At two urban locations in Yangtze River Delta, China, the HQ for Cr in PM_{2.5} was within the acceptable limit but higher for Mn (Niu et al., 2015). Although the 771 HQ calculated for As was the highest, it was below 1, thus the non-carcinogenic health risk 772 was estimated to be at a safelevel. In addition, the hazard index (total - hazard quotient) of 773 PM2.5 calculated for the four heavy metals (As, Cd, Mn, Ni) from the different sources (Table 774 3) showed an insignificant health risk. 775

The carcinogenic risks from the carcinogenic heavy metals Pb, As, Cd and Ni in $PM_{2.5}$ are shown in Table 3. Similar to the non-carcinogenic risks, the lifetime carcinogenic risk 778 level is estimated for PM_{2.5} mass concentration and may be contributed to by several heavy metals from different sources: mineral/road dust, motor vehicle emissions/biomass burning 779 and coal combustion. The total life-time cancer risk (LCR) from heavy metals in the PM_{2.5} 780 mass concentration was calculated at 3.9×10^{-6} which is a significant cancer risk. The main 781 carcinogenic heavy metal of concern to the health of people at the current location is As; the 782 other heavy metals (Ni, Pb, and Cd) did not pose a significant cancer risk. Thus, the LCR 783 from the PM_{2.5} mass concentration originating from motor vehicle/biomass and coal burning 784 sources showed a value of 1×10^{-6} , slightly above the acceptable cancer risk level as 785 recommended by USEPA, while the total LCR from PM_{2.5} mass concentration from all 786 sources was estimated to be 4×10^{-6} which is also slightly above the acceptable cancer risk. 787 The carcinogenic risk posed by As (3.66×10^{-3}) in PM_{2.5} in Shanxi Province, China (Cao et 788 al., 2014) was higher than the guideline value set by USEPA. A study by Niu et al. (2015) of 789 PM_{2.5}-bound metals showed a high cancer risk in Yangtze River Delta, China (2.47×10^{-4}) . A 790 study by Pandey et al. (2013) conducted in the vicinity of human activities observed that the 791 concentrations of Cd, Cr, Ni and Pb in PM_{2.5} showed higher excess cancer risk (ECR) due to 792 793 those particle-bound metals compared to guideline level set by USEPA. Satsangi et al. (2014) 794 also reported a higher cancer risk from Cr, Ni and Cd in PM2.5 compared to the USEPA guideline. The integrated carcinogenic risk of six metals (Cr, As, Co, Pb, Ni and Cd) in PM_{2.5} 795 in Tianjin, China were in the range $3.4 \times 10^{-3} - 4.1 \times 10^{-3}$ which is reportedly beyond the 796 tolerance level (Zhang et al., 2014). The total ECRs based on the average values of As, Cd, 797 Cr, Ni and Pb in PM_{2.5} is 4.34×10^{-5} in Delhi, India, implying that four or five people might 798 get cancer out of 100,000 people after exposure to toxic metals in PM_{2.5} (Khanna et al., 799 2015). Our findings showed that the life-time cancer risk posed by the exposure of heavy 800 metals in $PM_{2.5}$ mass concentration is three to four per 1,000,000 people at this location. This 801 significant cancer risk warrants further investigation. Our findings showed that an 802 803 insignificant non-carcinogenic risk and significant cancer risk is posed to the population from exposure to PM_{2.5} at this location. Detailed exposure assessment of the PM_{2.5} at the specific 804 sources and the health risks posed by individual hazardous elements of concern may help to 805 improve understanding about the exposure pathways as well as the detailed risk factors 806 involved in both carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic risk. 807

808

809 4 Conclusions

PM_{2.5} samples were collected using a high volume sampler in a semi-urban site on Peninsular 810 Malaysia. The results obtained for PM_{2.5} showed that 48% of the samples exceeded the WHO 811 24 h guideline and 19% exceeded the US EPA 24 h NAAQS for PM2.5. The average value of 812 PM_{2.5} is higher than a previous study carried out on the east coast of Peninsular Malaysia. 813 PM_{2.5} concentration during the NE monsoon was slightly higher than the SW monsoon with 814 air masses from different origins. For the SW monsoon the air masses originated from 815 Sumatera, Indonesia and for the NE monsoon from Southern China. Major carcinogenic 816 metals, namely As, Pb, Cr, Ni and Cd, have contributed to $PM_{2.5}$ by a significant portion. Of 817 all carcinogenic metals, As was close to the values set by the WHO and EU guidelines. 818

Results of the EF analysis suggested that a large number of the heavy metals in PM_{2.5} were 819 emitted from anthropogenic sources. No seasonal differences were found in the EF of the 820 heavy metals. The mass closure model results showed higher MIN, SIA and SS in the NE 821 than the SW monsoon. Further analysis revealed that sulfate is relatively more stable in 822 tropical climates compared to nitrate aerosol, indicating the dominance of static sources over 823 mobile sources. However, the average molar ratio of Cl⁻ to Na⁺ does not reflect the seawater 824 ratio. "Cl loss" may be the cause of the drop in Cl⁻ to Na⁺ ratio. The five sources of PM_{2.5} 825 obtained by the PMF 5.0 model were dominated by motor vehicle emissions/biomass burning 826 (7.47 μ g m⁻³, 31%). The other four sources were mineral/road dust; nitrate aerosol; coal 827 burning; and marine-sulfate aerosol with an overall contribution of 3.17 μ g m⁻³ (13%), 4.11 828 μ g m⁻³ (17%), 4.60 μ g m⁻³ (19%), and 4.99 μ g m⁻³ (20%), respectively. 829

Using the PMF-identified sources as the basis, the hazard quotient (HQ) for four selected 830 831 metals (Pb, As, Cd and Ni) in PM_{2.5} mass was highest in PM_{2.5} originating from a coal burning source and least in that originating from a mineral/road dust source. The non-832 833 carcinogenic cancer risk posed by the exposure of PM_{2.5} was at a considerably safer level compared to the South and East Asian region. The lifetime cancer risk (LCR) followed the 834 order of As > Ni > Pb > Cd for mineral/road dust, coal burning sources and PM_{2.5} mass 835 concentration, and As > Pb > Ni > Cd for motor vehicle/biomass burning. Among the trace 836 metals studied, As predominantly showed the largest LCR in PM_{2.5} mass concentration as 837 well as its associated sources, implying the largest risk after exposure of $PM_{2.5}$ to people at 838 the current location. The associated LCR posed by the exposure of the hazardous metals in 839 $PM_{2.5}$ mass concentration was three to four per 1,000,000 people at this location. This 840 significant LCR warrants further investigation. Detailed exposure assessment of the $PM_{2.5}$ at 841 the specific sources and the health risks posed by individual hazardous elements may help to 842 improve understanding about the exposure pathways as well as the detailed risk factors 843

involved in both carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic risk. Therefore, the motor vehicle emissions and regional trans-boundary pollution were the major underlying reasons for the change in the chemical component of $PM_{2.5}$ in tropical Peninsular Malaysia, which potentially leads to different health threats.

848

849 Acknowledgements

- 850 The authors would like to thank the Malaysian Ministry of Higher Education for funding via
- 851 Fundamental Research Grants (FRGS/1/2013/SPWN01/UKM/02/) and Universiti
- 852 Kebangsaan Malaysia for University Research Grant (DIP-2014-005). Special thanks to Dr
- 853 Rose Norman for assistance with the proofreading of this manuscript
- 854

855 References

- Abas, M. R., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Composition of extractable organic matter of air
 particles from malaysia: Initial study, Atmos. Environ., 30, 2779-2793,
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/1352-2310(95)00336-3, 1996.
- Abdalmogith, S. S., and Harrison, R. M.: An analysis of spatial and temporal properties of
 daily sulfate, nitrate and chloride concentrations at UK urban and rural sites, J.
 Environ. Monit., 8, 691-699, 10.1039/B601562J, 2006.
- Ålander, T., Antikainen, E., Raunemaa, T., Elonen, E., Rautiola, A., and Torkkell, K.:
 Particle Emissions from a Small Two-Stroke Engine: Effects of Fuel, Lubricating Oil,
 and Exhaust Aftertreatment on Particle Characteristics, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 39,
 151-161, 10.1080/027868290910224, 2005.
- Amato, F., Viana, M., Richard, A., Furger, M., Prévôt, A. S. H., Nava, S., Lucarelli, F.,
 Bukowiecki, N., Alastuey, A., Reche, C., Moreno, T., Pandolfi, M., Pey, J., and
 Querol, X.: Size and time-resolved roadside enrichment of atmospheric particulate
 pollutants, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 2917-2931, 10.5194/acp-11-2917-2011, 2011.
- Anenberg, S., West, J. J., Yu, H., Chin, M., Schulz, M., Bergmann, D., Bey, I., Bian, H.,
 Diehl, T., Fiore, A., Hess, P., Marmer, E., Montanaro, V., Park, R., Shindell, D.,
 Takemura, T., and Dentener, F.: Impacts of intercontinental transport of

- anthropogenic fine particulate matter on human mortality, Air Qual. Atmos. Health,
 1-11, 10.1007/s11869-014-0248-9, 2014.
- Arimoto, R., Duce, R. A., Savoie, D. L., Prospero, J. M., Talbot, R., Cullen, J. D., Tomza, U.,
 Lewis, N. F., and Ray, B. J.: Relationships among aerosol constituents from Asia and
 the North Pacific during PEM-West A, J. Geophys. Res., 101, 2011-2023,
 10.1029/95JD01071, 1996.
- Balasubramanian, R., Qian, W. B., Decesari, S., Facchini, M. C., and Fuzzi, S.:
 Comprehensive characterization of PM2.5 aerosols in Singapore, J. Geophys. Res.,
 108, 4523, 10.1029/2002JD002517, 2003.
- Baumann, K., Jayanty, R. K. M., and Flanagan, J. B.: Fine Particulate Matter Source
 Apportionment for the Chemical Speciation Trends Network Site at Birmingham,
 Alabama, Using Positive Matrix Factorization, J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc., 58, 2744, 10.3155/1047-3289.58.1.27, 2008.
- Begum, B. A., Biswas, S. K., Markwitz, A., and Hopke, P. K.: Identification of sources of
 fine and coarse particulate matter in Dhaka, Bangladesh, Aerosol Air Qual. Res., 10,
 345-353, 10.4209/aaqr.2009.12.0082 2010.
- Bell, M. L., Ebisu, K., Leaderer, B. P., Gent, J. F., Lee, H. J., Koutrakis, P., Wang, Y.,
 Dominici, F., and Peng, R. D.: Associations of PM2. 5 Constituents and Sources with
 Hospital Admissions: Analysis of Four Counties in Connecticut and Massachusetts
 (USA) for Persons≥ 65 Years of Age, Environ. Health Persp., 122, 138,
 10.1289/ehp.1306656, 2014.
- Betha, R., Behera, S. N., and Balasubramanian, R.: 2013 Southeast Asian Smoke Haze:
 Fractionation of Particulate-Bound Elements and Associated Health Risk, Environ.
 Sci. Technol., 48, 4327-4335, 10.1021/es405533d, 2014.
- Birmili, W., Allen, A. G., Bary, F., and Harrison, R. M.: Trace Metal Concentrations and
 Water Solubility in Size-Fractionated Atmospheric Particles and Influence of Road
 Traffic, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 1144-1153, 10.1021/es0486925, 2006.
- Boldo, E., Linares, C., Lumbreras, J., Borge, R., Narros, A., García-Pérez, J., Fernández-901 Navarro, P., Pérez-Gómez, B., Aragonés, N., Ramis, R., Pollán, M., Moreno, T., 902 Karanasiou, A., and López-Abente, G.: Health impact assessment of a reduction in 903 ambient PM2.5 levels in Spain, Environ. Int.. 37, 342-348, 904 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2010.10.004, 2011. 905
- Boreddy, S. K. R., Kawamura, K., and Jung, J.: Hygroscopic properties of particles nebulized
 from water extracts of aerosols collected at Chichijima Island in the western North

- 908 Pacific: An outflow region of Asian dust, Journal of Geophysical Research:
 909 Atmospheres, 119, 2013JD020626, 10.1002/2013JD020626, 2014.
- Brown, S. G., Frankel, A., Raffuse, S. M., Roberts, P. T., Hafner, H. R., and Anderson, D. J.:
 Source Apportionment of Fine Particulate Matter in Phoenix, AZ, Using Positive
 Matrix Factorization, J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc., 57, 741-752, 10.3155/10473289.57.6.741, 2007.
- Brown, S. G., Lee, T., Norris, G. A., Roberts, P. T., Collett Jr, J. L., Paatero, P., and
 Worsnop, D. R.: Receptor modeling of near-roadway aerosol mass spectrometer data
 in Las Vegas, Nevada, with EPA PMF, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 309-325,
 10.5194/acp-12-309-2012, 2012.
- Budhavant, K., Andersson, A., Bosch, C., Kruså, M., Murthaza, A., Zahid, and Gustafsson,
 Ö.: Apportioned contributions of PM2.5 fine aerosol particles over the Maldives
 (northern Indian Ocean) from local sources vs long-range transport, Sci. Total.
 Environ., 536, 72-78, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.07.059, 2015.
- Cao, S., Duan, X., Zhao, X., Ma, J., Dong, T., Huang, N., Sun, C., He, B., and Wei, F.:
 Health risks from the exposure of children to As, Se, Pb and other heavy metals near
 the largest coking plant in China, Sci. Total. Environ., 472, 1001-1009,
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.11.124, 2014.
- Chang, D., and Song, Y.: Estimates of biomass burning emissions in tropical Asia based on
 satellite-derived data, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 2335-2351, 10.5194/acp-10-23352010, 2010.
- Chen, L. W. A., Watson, J. G., Chow, J. C., and Magliano, K. L.: Quantifying PM2.5 Source
 Contributions for the San Joaquin Valley with Multivariate Receptor Models,
 Environ. Sci. Technol., 41, 2818-2826, 10.1021/es0525105, 2007.
- Chester, R., Nimmo, M., Fones, G. R., Keyse, S., and Zhang, Z.: Trace metal chemistry of
 particulate aerosols from the UK mainland coastal rim of the NE Irish sea, Atmos.
 Environ., 34, 949-958, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00234-4, 2000.
- Cheung, K., Shafer, M. M., Schauer, J. J., and Sioutas, C.: Historical trends in the mass and
 chemical species concentrations of coarse particulate matter in the Los Angeles Basin
 and relation to sources and air quality regulations, J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc., 62,
 541-556, 10.1080/10962247.2012.661382, 2012.
- Choi, J.-k., Heo, J.-B., Ban, S.-J., Yi, S.-M., and Zoh, K.-D.: Source apportionment of PM2.5 939 Korea. Environ.. 940 the coastal area in Sci. Total. 447. 370-380. at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.12.047, 2013. 941

- Chueinta, W., Hopke, P. K., and Paatero, P.: Investigation of sources of atmospheric aerosol
 at urban and suburban residential areas in Thailand by positive matrix factorization,
 Atmos. Environ., 34, 3319-3329, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00433-1,
 2000.
- Cusack, M., Talbot, N., Ondráček, J., Minguillón, M. C., Martins, V., Klouda, K., Schwarz,
 J., and Ždímal, V.: Variability of aerosols and chemical composition of PM10, PM2.5
 and PM1 on a platform of the Prague underground metro, Atmos. Environ., 118, 176183, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.08.013, 2015.
- Dai, W., Gao, J., Cao, G., and Ouyang, F.: Chemical composition and source identification of
 PM2.5 in the suburb of Shenzhen, China, Atmos. Res., 122, 391-400,
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2012.12.004, 2013.
- Dall'Osto, M., Querol, X., Amato, F., Karanasiou, A., Lucarelli, F., Nava, S., Calzolai, G.,
 and Chiari, M.: Hourly elemental concentrations in PM2.5 aerosols sampled
 simultaneously at urban background and road site during SAPUSS diurnal
 variations and PMF receptor modelling, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 4375-4392,
 10.5194/acp-13-4375-2013, 2013.
- Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U.,
 Balmaseda, M. A., Balsamo, G., Bauer, P., Bechtold, P., Beljaars, A. C. M., van de
 Berg, L., Bidlot, J., Bormann, N., Delsol, C., Dragani, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A. J.,
- 961 Haimberger, L., Healy, S. B., Hersbach, H., Hólm, E. V., Isaksen, L., Kållberg, P.,
- 962 Köhler, M., Matricardi, M., McNally, A. P., Monge-Sanz, B. M., Morcrette, J. J.,
- Park, B. K., Peubey, C., de Rosnay, P., Tavolato, C., Thépaut, J. N., and Vitart, F.:
 The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the data assimilation
 system, Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 137, 553-597, 10.1002/qj.828, 2011.
- 966 Finlayson-Pitts, B. J., and Pitts Jr., J. N.: Chemistry of the Upper and Lower Atmosphere:
 967 Theory, Experiments, and Applications, Wiley, New York, USA, 2000.
- Garg, B. D., Cadle, S. H., Mulawa, P. A., Groblicki, P. J., Laroo, C., and Parr, G. A.: Brake
 Wear Particulate Matter Emissions, Environ. Sci. Technol., 34, 4463-4469,
 10.1021/es001108h, 2000.
- Gibson, M. D., Haelssig, J., Pierce, J. R., Parrington, M., Franklin, J. E., Hopper, J. T., Li, Z.,
 and Ward, T. J.: A comparison of four receptor models used to quantify the boreal
 wildfire smoke contribution to surface PM2.5 in Halifax, Nova Scotia during the
 BORTAS-B experiment, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, 24043-24086,
 10.5194/acpd-14-24043-2014, 2014.

- Gietl, J. K., Lawrence, R., Thorpe, A. J., and Harrison, R. M.: Identification of brake wear
 particles and derivation of a quantitative tracer for brake dust at a major road, Atmos.
 Environ., 44, 141-146, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.10.016, 2010.
- Gugamsetty, B., Wei, H., Liu, C.-N., Awasthi, A., Hsu, S.-C., Tsai, C.-J., Roam, G.-D., Wu,
 Y.-C., and Chen, C.-F.: Source characterization and apportionment of PM10, PM2. 5
 and PM0. 1 by using positive matrix factorization, Aerosol Air Qual. Res., 12, 476491, 10.4209/aaqr.2012.04.0084, 2012.
- Han, J., Moon, K., Lee, S., Kim, Y., Ryu, S., Cliff, S., and Yi, S.: Size-resolved source
 apportionment of ambient particles by positive matrix factorization at Gosan
 background site in East Asia, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 211-223, 1680-7324/acp/20066-211, 2006.
- Hara, K., Osada, K., Yabuki, M., and Yamanouchi, T.: Seasonal variation of fractionated seasalt particles on the Antarctic coast, Geophysical Research Letters, 39, L18801,
 10.1029/2012GL052761, 2012.
- Harrison, R. M., Jones, A. M., and Lawrence, R. G.: A pragmatic mass closure model for
 airborne particulate matter at urban background and roadside sites, Atmos. Environ.,
 37, 4927-4933, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2003.08.025, 2003.
- Harrison, R. M., Beddows, D. C. S., and Dall'Osto, M.: PMF Analysis of Wide-Range
 Particle Size Spectra Collected on a Major Highway, Environ. Sci. Technol., 45,
 5522-5528, 10.1021/es2006622, 2011.
- Hedberg, E., Gidhagen, L., and Johansson, C.: Source contributions to PM10 and arsenic
 concentrations in Central Chile using positive matrix factorization, Atmos. Environ.,
 39, 549-561, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.11.001, 2005.
- Henry, R. C.: Current factor analysis receptor models are ill-posed, Atmos. Environ., 21,
 1815-1820, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(87)90122-3, 1987.
- Heo, J. B., Hopke, P. K., and Yi, S. M.: Source apportionment of PM2.5 in Seoul, Korea,
 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 4957-4971, 10.5194/acp-9-4957-2009, 2009.
- Ho, K. F., Engling, G., Ho, S. S. H., Huang, R., Lai, S., Cao, J., and Lee, S. C.: Seasonal
 variations of anhydrosugars in PM2.5 in the Pearl River Delta Region, China, 2014,
 1005 10.3402/tellusb.v66.22577, 2014.
- Hu, X., Zhang, Y., Ding, Z., Wang, T., Lian, H., Sun, Y., and Wu, J.: Bioaccessibility and 1006 health risk of arsenic and heavy metals (Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb, Zn and Mn) in TSP 1007 1008 and PM2.5 in Nanjing, China. Atmos. Environ.. 57, 146-152, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.04.056, 2012. 1009

- Huang, X. H., Bian, Q., Ng, W. M., Louie, P. K., and Yu, J. Z.: Characterization of PM2. 5
 major components and source investigation in suburban Hong Kong: A one year
 monitoring study, Aerosol Air Qual. Res., 14, 237-250, 10.4209/aaqr.2013.01.0020,
 2014.
- Joseph, A. E., Unnikrishnan, S., and Kumar, R.: Chemical characterization and mass closure
 of fine aerosol for different land use patterns in Mumbai city, Aerosol Air Qual. Res.,
 12, 61-72, 10.4209/aaqr.2011.04.0049, 2012.
- Kanniah, K. D., Lim, H. Q., Kaskaoutis, D. G., and Cracknell, A. P.: Investigating aerosol
 properties in Peninsular Malaysia via the synergy of satellite remote sensing and
 ground-based measurements, Atmos. Res., 138, 223-239,
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2013.11.018, 2014.
- Keywood, M. D., Ayers, G. P., Gras, J. L., Boers, C. P., and Leong: Haze in the Klang Valley
 of Malaysia, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 591-605, 10.5194/acp-3-591-2003, 2003.
- 1023 Khan, M. F., Shirasuna, Y., Hirano, K., and Masunaga, S.: Urban and suburban aerosol in
 1024 Yokohama, Japan: a comprehensive chemical characterization, Environ. Monit.
 1025 Assess., 171, 441-456, 10.1007/s10661-009-1290-1, 2010a.
- Khan, M. F., Shirasuna, Y., Hirano, K., and Masunaga, S.: Characterization of PM2.5,
 PM2.5–10 and PM>10 in ambient air, Yokohama, Japan, Atmos. Res., 96, 159-172,
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2009.12.009, 2010b.
- 1029 Khan, M. F., Hirano, K., and Masunaga, S.: Assessment of the sources of suspended
 1030 particulate matter aerosol using US EPA PMF 3.0, Environ. Monit. Assess., 184,
 1031 1063-1083, 10.1007/s10661-011-2021-y, 2012.
- Khan, M. F., Latif, M. T., Amil, N., Juneng, L., Mohamad, N., Nadzir, M. S. M., and Hoque,
 H. M. S.: Characterization and source apportionment of particle number concentration
 at a semi-urban tropical environment, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res., 1-16,
 1035 10.1007/s11356-015-4541-4, 2015a.
- Khan, M. F., Latif, M. T., Lim, C. H., Amil, N., Jaafar, S. A., Dominick, D., Mohd Nadzir,
 M. S., Sahani, M., and Tahir, N. M.: Seasonal effect and source apportionment of
 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in PM2.5, Atmos. Environ., 106, 178-190,
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.01.077, 2015b.
- Khan, M. F., Latif, M. T., Lim, C. H., Amil, N., Jaafar, S. A., Dominick, D., Nadzir, M. S.
 M., Sahani, M., and Tahir, N. M.: Seasonal effect and source apportionment of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in PM 2.5, Atmos. Environ., 106, 178-190, 1043 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.01.077, 2015c.

- Khanna, I., Khare, M., and Gargava, P.: Health Risks Associated with Heavy Metals in Fine
 Particulate Matter: A Case Study in Delhi City, India, J. Geosci. Environ. Protec., 3,
 72, 10.4236/gep.2015.32012, 2015.
- 1047 Kholdebarin, A., Biati, A., Moattar, F., and Shariat, S.: Outdoor PM10 source apportionment
 1048 in metropolitan cities—a case study, Environ. Monit. Assess., 187, 1-12,
 1049 10.1007/s10661-015-4294-z, 2015.
- 1050 Kim, E., and Hopke, P. K.: Source characterization of ambient fine particles in the Los
 1051 Angeles basin, J. Environ. Eng. Sci., 6, 343-353, 10.1139/s06-054, 2007.
- Kim Oanh, N. T., Upadhyay, N., Zhuang, Y. H., Hao, Z. P., Murthy, D. V. S., Lestari, P.,
 Villarin, J. T., Chengchua, K., Co, H. X., Dung, N. T., and Lindgren, E. S.: Particulate
 air pollution in six Asian cities: Spatial and temporal distributions, and associated
 sources, Atmos. Environ., 40, 3367-3380,
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.01.050, 2006.
- Kioumourtzoglou, M.-A., Coull, B. A., Dominici, F., Koutrakis, P., Schwartz, J., and Suh,
 H.: The impact of source contribution uncertainty on the effects of source-specific
 PM2.5 on hospital admissions: A case study in Boston, MA, J. Expos. Sci. Environ.
 Epidemiol., 24, 365-371, 10.1038/jes.2014.7, 2014.
- Lee, E., Chan, C. K., and Paatero, P.: Application of positive matrix factorization in source
 apportionment of particulate pollutants in Hong Kong, Atmos. Environ., 33, 32013212, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00113-2, 1999.
- Lelieveld, J., Crutzen, P. J., Ramanathan, V., Andreae, M. O., Brenninkmeijer, C. A. M.,
 Campos, T., Cass, G. R., Dickerson, R. R., Fischer, H., de Gouw, J. A., Hansel, A.,
 Jefferson, A., Kley, D., de Laat, A. T. J., Lal, S., Lawrence, M. G., Lobert, J. M.,
 Mayol-Bracero, O. L., Mitra, A. P., Novakov, T., Oltmans, S. J., Prather, K. A.,
 Reiner, T., Rodhe, H., Scheeren, H. A., Sikka, D., and Williams, J.: The Indian Ocean
 Experiment: Widespread Air Pollution from South and Southeast Asia, Science, 291,
 1031-1036, 10.1126/science.1057103, 2001.
- Lestari, P., and Mauliadi, Y. D.: Source apportionment of particulate matter at urban mixed
 site in Indonesia using PMF, Atmos. Environ., 43, 1760-1770,
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.12.044, 2009.
- Li, H., Wang, J., Wang, Q. g., Qian, X., Qian, Y., Yang, M., Li, F., Lu, H., and Wang, C.:
 Chemical fractionation of arsenic and heavy metals in fine particle matter and its
 implications for risk assessment: A case study in Nanjing, China, Atmos. Environ.,
 107, 103, 339-346, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.12.065, 2015a.

- Li, Y., Zhang, Z., Liu, H., Zhou, H., Fan, Z., Lin, M., Wu, D., and Xia, B.: Characteristics, sources and health risk assessment of toxic heavy metals in PM2.5 at a megacity of southwest China, Environ. Geochem. Health, 1-10, 10.1007/s10653-015-9722-z, 2015b.
- Maenhaut, W., Raes, N., Chi, X., Cafmeyer, J., and Wang, W.: Chemical composition and
 mass closure for PM2.5 and PM10 aerosols at K-puszta, Hungary, in summer 2006,
 X-Ray Spectrom., 37, 193-197, 10.1002/xrs.1062, 2008.
- Mariani, R. L., and de Mello, W. Z.: PM2.5–10, PM2.5 and associated water-soluble
 inorganic species at a coastal urban site in the metropolitan region of Rio de Janeiro,
 Atmos. Environ., 41, 2887-2892, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.12.009,
 2007.
- Martins, V., Moreno, T., Minguillón, M. C., van Drooge, B. L., Reche, C., Amato, F., de
 Miguel, E., Capdevila, M., Centelles, S., and Querol, X.: Origin of inorganic and
 organic components of PM2.5 in subway stations of Barcelona, Spain, Environmental
 Pollution, 208, Part A, 125-136, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2015.07.004,
 2016.
- McGinnis, J. E., Heo, J., Olson, M. R., Rutter, A. P., and Schauer, J. J.: Understanding the
 sources and composition of the incremental excess of fine particles across multiple
 sampling locations in one air shed, J. Environ. Sci., 26, 818-826, 10.1016/S10010742(13)60508-2, 2014.
- Meij, R., and te Winkel, H.: The emissions of heavy metals and persistent organic pollutants
 from modern coal-fired power stations, Atmos. Environ., 41, 9262-9272,
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.04.042, 2007.
- Mohd Tahir, N., Suratman, S., Fong, F. T., Hamzah, M. S., and Latif, M. T.: Temporal distribution and chemical characterization of atmospheric particulate matter in the eastern coast of Peninsular Malaysia, Aerosol Air Qual. Res., 13, 584-595, 10.4209/aaqr.2012.08.0216, 2013.
- Morales, R., and Leiva, G.: Distribution and Critical Concentration of PM in the city of
 Santiago, Chile (in Spanish), in: Morales RGE (ed) Atmospheric Urban Pollution:
 Critical episodes of the Environmental pollution in the City of Santiago, Chile (in
 Spanish), 1st edn. Editorial Universitaria SA, Santiago, 2006.
- Moreno, T., Karanasiou, A., Amato, F., Lucarelli, F., Nava, S., Calzolai, G., Chiari, M., Coz,
 E., Artíñano, B., Lumbreras, J., Borge, R., Boldo, E., Linares, C., Alastuey, A.,
 Querol, X., and Gibbons, W.: Daily and hourly sourcing of metallic and mineral dust

- in urban air contaminated by traffic and coal-burning emissions, Atmos. Environ., 68,
 33-44, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.11.037, 2013.
- Mustaffa, N., Latif, M., Ali, M., and Khan, M.: Source apportionment of surfactants in
 marine aerosols at different locations along the Malacca Straits, Environ. Sci. Pollut.
 Res., 21, 6590-6602, 10.1007/s11356-014-2562-z, 2014.
- Niu, L., Ye, H., Xu, C., Yao, Y., and Liu, W.: Highly time- and size-resolved fingerprint
 analysis and risk assessment of airborne elements in a megacity in the Yangtze River
 Delta, China, Chemosphere, 119, 112-121,
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.05.062, 2015.
- Norris, G., Duvall, R., Brown, S., and Bai, S.: EPA positive matrix factorization (PMF) 5.0
 fundamentals & user guide, Prepared for the US Environmental Protection Agency,
 Washington, DC, by the National Exposure Research Laboratory, Research Triangle
 Park, 2014.
- Ogulei, D., Hopke, P. K., and Wallace, L. A.: Analysis of indoor particle size distributions in
 an occupied townhouse using positive matrix factorization, Indoor Air, 16, 204-215,
 10.1111/j.1600-0668.2006.00418.x, 2006a.
- Ogulei, D., Hopke, P. K., Zhou, L., Patrick Pancras, J., Nair, N., and Ondov, J. M.: Source
 apportionment of Baltimore aerosol from combined size distribution and chemical
 composition data, Atmos. Environ., 40, Supplement 2, 396-410,
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.11.075, 2006b.
- Paatero, P., and Tapper, U.: Positive matrix factorization: A non-negative factor model with
 optimal utilization of error estimates of data values, Environmetrics, 5, 111-126,
 10.1002/env.3170050203, 1994.
- Paatero, P.: Least squares formulation of robust non-negative factor analysis, Chemometr.
 Intell. Lab., 37, 23-35, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0169-7439(96)00044-5, 1997.
- Pandey, P., Patel, D. K., Khan, A. H., Barman, S. C., Murthy, R. C., and Kisku, G. C.:
 Temporal distribution of fine particulates (PM2.5, PM10), potentially toxic metals,
 PAHs and Metal-bound carcinogenic risk in the population of Lucknow City, India, J.
 Environ. Sci. Health., Part A, 48, 730-745, 10.1080/10934529.2013.744613, 2013.
- Polissar, A. V., Hopke, P. K., Malm, W. C., and Sisler, J. F.: Atmospheric aerosol over
 Alaska: 1. Spatial and seasonal variability, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 19035-19044,
 10.1029/98JD01365, 1998a.

- Polissar, A. V., Hopke, P. K., Paatero, P., Malm, W. C., and Sisler, J. F.: Atmospheric
 aerosol over Alaska: 2. Elemental composition and sources, J. Geophys. Res., 103,
 19045-19057, 10.1029/98JD01212, 1998b.
- 1147 Querol, X., Fernández-Turiel, J., and López-Soler, A.: Trace elements in coal and their
 1148 behaviour during combustion in a large power station, Fuel, 74, 331-343,
 1149 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0016-2361(95)93464-O, 1995.
- Rahman, S. A., Hamzah, M. S., Wood, A. K., Elias, M. S., Salim, A., Ashifa, N., and Sanuri, 1150 E.: Sources apportionment of fine and coarse aerosol in Klang Valley, Kuala Lumpur 1151 1152 using positive matrix factorization, Atmos. Pollut. Res., 2. 197-206, 10.5094/APR.2011.025, 2011. 1153
- Reid, J. S., Hyer, E. J., Johnson, R. S., Holben, B. N., Yokelson, R. J., Zhang, J., Campbell, J. 1154 R., Christopher, S. A., Di Girolamo, L., Giglio, L., Holz, R. E., Kearney, C., 1155 Miettinen, J., Reid, E. A., Turk, F. J., Wang, J., Xian, P., Zhao, G., Balasubramanian, 1156 R., Chew, B. N., Janjai, S., Lagrosas, N., Lestari, P., Lin, N.-H., Mahmud, M., 1157 Nguyen, A. X., Norris, B., Oanh, N. T. K., Oo, M., Salinas, S. V., Welton, E. J., and 1158 Liew, S. C.: Observing and understanding the Southeast Asian aerosol system by 1159 1160 remote sensing: An initial review and analysis for the Seven Southeast Asian Studies 1161 (7SEAS) program, Atmos. Res., 122, 403-468, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2012.06.005, 2013. 1162
- Remoundaki, E., Kassomenos, P., Mantas, E., Mihalopoulos, N., and Tsezos, M.:
 Composition and mass closure of PM2. 5 in urban environment (Athens, Greece),
 Aerosol Air Qual. Res., 13, 72-82, 10.4209/aaqr.2012.03.0054, 2013.
- Sahani, M., Zainon, N. A., Mahiyuddin, W. R. W., Latif, M. T., Hod, R., Khan, M. F., Tahir,
 N. M., and Chan, C.-C.: A case-crossover analysis of forest fire haze events and
 mortality in Malaysia, Atmos. Environ., 96, 257-265,
 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.07.043, 2014.
- Sánchez-Soberón, F., Rovira, J., Mari, M., Sierra, J., Nadal, M., Domingo, J. L., and
 Schuhmacher, M.: Main components and human health risks assessment of PM10,
 PM2.5, and PM1 in two areas influenced by cement plants, Atmos. Environ., 120,
 109-116, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.08.020, 2015.
- Santoso, M., Hopke, P. K., Hidayat, A., and Diah Dwiana, L.: Sources identification of the
 atmospheric aerosol at urban and suburban sites in Indonesia by positive matrix
 factorization, Sci. Total. Environ., 397, 229-237,
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.01.057, 2008.

- Santoso, M., Lestiani, D. D., and Markwitz, A.: Characterization of airborne particulate
 matter collected at Jakarta roadside of an arterial road, J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.,
 297, 165-169, 10.1007/s10967-012-2350-5, 2013.
- Satsangi, P. G., Yadav, S., Pipal, A. S., and Kumbhar, N.: Characteristics of trace metals in
 fine (PM2.5) and inhalable (PM10) particles and its health risk assessment along with
 in-silico approach in indoor environment of India, Atmos. Environ., 92, 384-393,
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.04.047, 2014.
- Seinfeld, J. H., and Pandis, S. N.: Atmospheric chemistry and physics: from air pollution toclimate change, John Wiley & Sons, 2012.
- Seneviratne, M., Waduge, V. A., Hadagiripathira, L., Sanjeewani, S., Attanayake, T.,
 Jayaratne, N., and Hopke, P. K.: Characterization and source apportionment of
 particulate pollution in Colombo, Sri Lanka, Atmos. Pollut. Res., 2,
 10.5094/APR.2011.026, 2011.
- Sillanpää, M., Hillamo, R., Saarikoski, S., Frey, A., Pennanen, A., Makkonen, U., Spolnik, 1191 Z., Van Grieken, R., Braniš, M., Brunekreef, B., Chalbot, M.-C., Kuhlbusch, T., 1192 Sunyer, J., Kerminen, V.-M., Kulmala, M., and Salonen, R. O.: Chemical 1193 composition and mass closure of particulate matter at six urban sites in Europe, 1194 1195 Atmos. Environ., 40, Supplement 2, 212-223, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.01.063, 2006. 1196
- Song, Y., Xie, S., Zhang, Y., Zeng, L., Salmon, L. G., and Zheng, M.: Source apportionment
 of PM2.5 in Beijing using principal component analysis/absolute principal component
 scores and UNMIX, Sci. Total. Environ., 372, 278-286,
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2006.08.041, 2006.
- Srimuruganandam, B., and Shiva Nagendra, S. M.: Application of positive matrix
 factorization in characterization of PM10 and PM2.5 emission sources at urban
 roadside, Chemosphere, 88, 120-130,
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.02.083, 2012.
- Streets, D., Yarber, K., Woo, J. H., and Carmichael, G.: Biomass burning in Asia: Annual
 and seasonal estimates and atmospheric emissions, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 17,
 1099, 10.1029/2003GB002040, 2003, 2003.
- Sun, Y., Zhuang, G., Tang, A., Wang, Y., and An, Z.: Chemical Characteristics of PM2.5 and
 PM10 in Haze–Fog Episodes in Beijing, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 3148-3155,
 10.1021/es051533g, 2006.

- Taner, S., Pekey, B., and Pekey, H.: Fine particulate matter in the indoor air of barbeque
 restaurants: Elemental compositions, sources and health risks, Sci. Total. Environ.,
 454–455, 79-87, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.03.018, 2013.
- Tao, J., Zhang, L., Engling, G., Zhang, R., Yang, Y., Cao, J., Zhu, C., Wang, Q., and Luo, L.:
 Chemical composition of PM2.5 in an urban environment in Chengdu, China:
 Importance of springtime dust storms and biomass burning, Atmos. Res., 122, 270283, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2012.11.004, 2013.
- Tao, J., Gao, J., Zhang, L., Zhang, R., Che, H., Zhang, Z., Lin, Z., Jing, J., Cao, J., and Hsu,
 S. C.: PM2.5 pollution in a megacity of southwest China: source apportionment and
 implication, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 8679-8699, 10.5194/acp-14-8679-2014, 2014.
- Taylor, S. R.: Abundance of chemical elements in the continental crust: a new table,
 Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 28, 1273-1285, 1964.
- Terzi, E., Argyropoulos, G., Bougatioti, A., Mihalopoulos, N., Nikolaou, K., and Samara, C.:
 Chemical composition and mass closure of ambient PM10 at urban sites, Atmos.
 Environ., 44, 2231-2239, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.02.019, 2010.
- Thurston, G. D., and Spengler, J. D.: A quantitative assessment of source contributions to
 inhalable particulate matter pollution in metropolitan Boston, Atmos. Environ., 19, 925, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(85)90132-5, 1985.
- Torfs, K., and Van Grieken, R.: Chemical relations between atmospheric aerosols, deposition
 and stone decay layers on historic buildings at the mediterranean coast, Atmos.
 Environ., 31, 2179-2192, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(97)00038-1, 1997.
- Vedantham, R., Landis, M. S., Olson, D., and Pancras, J. P.: Source Identification of PM2.5
 in Steubenville, Ohio Using a Hybrid Method for Highly Time-Resolved Data,
 Environ. Sci. Technol., 48, 1718-1726, 10.1021/es402704n, 2014.
- Vejahati, F., Xu, Z., and Gupta, R.: Trace elements in coal: Associations with coal and
 minerals and their behavior during coal utilization A review, Fuel, 89, 904-911,
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2009.06.013, 2010.
- Viana, M., Kuhlbusch, T. A. J., Querol, X., Alastuey, A., Harrison, R. M., Hopke, P. K., 1238 Winiwarter, W., Vallius, M., Szidat, S., Prévôt, A. S. H., Hueglin, C., Bloemen, H., 1239 Wåhlin, P., Vecchi, R., Miranda, A. I., Kasper-Giebl, A., Maenhaut, W., and 1240 Hitzenberger, R.: Source apportionment of particulate matter in Europe: A review of 1241 J. Sci., 39. 827-849, 1242 methods and results. Aerosol 1243 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2008.05.007, 2008.

- Wahid, N. B. A., Latif, M. T., and Suratman, S.: Composition and source apportionment of
 surfactants in atmospheric aerosols of urban and semi-urban areas in Malaysia,
 Chemosphere, 91, 1508-1516, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.12.029,
 2013.
- Wåhlin, P., Berkowicz, R., and Palmgren, F.: Characterisation of traffic-generated particulate
 matter in Copenhagen, Atmos. Environ., 40, 2151-2159,
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.11.049, 2006.
- Waked, A., Favez, O., Alleman, L. Y., Piot, C., Petit, J. E., Delaunay, T., Verlinden, E.,
 Golly, B., Besombes, J. L., Jaffrezo, J. L., and Leoz-Garziandia, E.: Source
 apportionment of PM10 in a north-western Europe regional urban background site
 (Lens, France) using positive matrix factorization and including primary biogenic
 emissions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 3325-3346, 10.5194/acp-14-3325-2014, 2014.
- Wang, Y., and Hopke, P. K.: A ten-year source apportionment study of ambient fine
 particulate matter in San Jose, California, Atmos. Pollut. Res., 4, 398-404,
 10.5094/APR.2013.045, 2013.
- Watson, J. G., Robinson, N. F., Chow, J. C., Henry, R. C., Kim, B. M., Pace, T. G., Meyer, E.
 L., and Nguyen, Q.: The USEPA/DRI chemical mass balance receptor model, CMB
 7.0, Environ. Softw., 5, 38-49, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0266-9838(90)90015-X,
 1990.
- Wilson, T. R.: Salinity and the major elements of sea-water, in Chemical Oceanography,
 edited by J. P. Riley and G. Skirrow, pp. 365–413, Academic, San Diego, Calif.,
 1265 1975.
- Wu, C.-f., Wu, S.-y., Wu, Y.-H., Cullen, A. C., Larson, T. V., Williamson, J., and Liu, L. J.
 S.: Cancer risk assessment of selected hazardous air pollutants in Seattle, Environ.
 Int., 35, 516-522, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2008.09.009, 2009.
- Wu, Y.-S., Fang, G.-C., Lee, W.-J., Lee, J.-F., Chang, C.-C., and Lee, C.-Z.: A review of atmospheric fine particulate matter and its associated trace metal pollutants in Asian countries during the period 1995–2005, J. Hazard. Mater., 143, 511-515, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.09.066, 2007.
- Yang, W., Zhang, S., Tang, J., Bu, K., Yang, J., and Chang, L.: A MODIS time series data
 based algorithm for mapping forest fire burned area, Chin. Geogr. Sci., 23, 344-352,
 10.1007/s11769-013-0597-6, 2013.

- Yin, J., and Harrison, R. M.: Pragmatic mass closure study for PM1.0, PM2.5 and PM10 at
 roadside, urban background and rural sites, Atmos. Environ., 42, 980-988,
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.10.005, 2008.
- Yu, L., Wang, G., Zhang, R., Zhang, L., Song, Y., Wu, B., Li, X., An, K., and Chu, J.:
 Characterization and source apportionment of PM2. 5 in an urban environment in
 Beijing, Aerosol Air Qual. Res., 13, 574-583, 2013.
- Zhang, H., Li, J., Ying, Q., Yu, J. Z., Wu, D., Cheng, Y., He, K., and Jiang, J.: Source apportionment of PM2.5 nitrate and sulfate in China using a source-oriented chemical transport model, Atmos. Environ., 62, 228-242, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.08.014, 2012.
- Zhang, N., Han, B., He, F., Xu, J., Niu, C., Zhou, J., Kong, S., Bai, Z., and Xu, H.:
 Characterization, health risk of heavy metals, and source apportionment of
 atmospheric PM2.5 to children in summer and winter: an exposure panel study in
 Tianjin, China, Air Qual. Atmos. Health, 1-11, 10.1007/s11869-014-0289-0, 2014.
- Zhang, R., Jing, J., Tao, J., Hsu, S. C., Wang, G., Cao, J., Lee, C. S. L., Zhu, L., Chen, Z.,
 Zhao, Y., and Shen, Z.: Chemical characterization and source apportionment of
 PM2.5 in Beijing: seasonal perspective, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 7053-7074,
 10.5194/acp-13-7053-2013, 2013.
- Zhang, X., Hecobian, A., Zheng, M., Frank, N. H., and Weber, R. J.: Biomass burning impact
 on PM 2.5 over the southeastern US during 2007: integrating chemically speciated
 FRM filter measurements, MODIS fire counts and PMF analysis, Atmos. Chem.
 Phys., 10, 6839-6853, 10.5194/acp-10-6839-2010, 2010.
- Zhang, Z., Gao, J., Engling, G., Tao, J., Chai, F., Zhang, L., Zhang, R., Sang, X., Chan, C.-y.,
 Lin, Z., and Cao, J.: Characteristics and applications of size-segregated biomass
 burning tracers in China's Pearl River Delta region, Atmos. Environ., 102, 290-301,
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.12.009, 2015.

Table 1 The statistical parameters of the PM_{2.5} and its compositions

Species	Overall (n=27)		SW ^b (n=9)		NE ^c (n=18	3)	MDL ^d	Recovery (%)
2.	Mean±SD ^a	Range	Mean±SD	Range	Mean±SD	Range		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
AI	267.6±145.0	98.48-826.6	203.1±118.42	98.48-416.09	299.8±149.2	101.9-826.6	0.70	70 (54-97)
Ва	1660±1501	319.2-6092	1372±1480	319.2-5187	1804±1532	447.6-6092	0.02	-
Ca	1770±725.9	n.d3150	1584±325.5	1234-2154	1975±683.4	882.1-3150	2.88	33 (23-47)
Fe	3052±654.6	2171-4567	2513±239.6	2171-2893	3322±630.4	2530-4567	0.40	80 (69-95)
Mg	207.6±83.85	34.43-371.7	207.1±72.85	119.0-356.0	207.9±90.86	34.43-371.7	0.17	95 (81-111)
Pb	21.84±16.30	3.57-76.17	28.06±20.27	13.1-76.17	18.72±13.49	3.57-51.70	0.01	119 (89-134)
Zn	389.2±179.8	178.8-817.9	526.8±236.3	178.8-817.9	320.4±90.25	184.7-448.2	0.22	102 (84-129)
Ag	0.09±0.05	n.d0.21	0.05±0.04	0.01-0.11	0.10±0.05	0.02-0.21	0.01	120 (97-170)
As	5.76±4.74	1.10-18.33	5.22±2.93	1.55-9.79	6.04±5.49	1.10-18.33	0.45	88 (81-94)
Cd	0.54±0.29	0.13-1.15	0.44±0.22	0.13-0.81	0.58±0.32	0.17-1.15	<0.01	85 (81-89)
Cr	107.68±18.57	82.32-152.62	91.06±7.52	82.32-104.4	115.9±16.78	91.17-152.6	0.02	56 (31-87)
Li	0.22±0.12	0.04-0.43	0.11±0.06	0.04-0.21	0.28±0.10	0.07-0.43	0.09	-
Be	0.01±0.01	n.d0.03	0.003±0.01	n.d0.01	0.01±0.01	n.d0.03	<0.01	-
Bi	0.76±0.60	0.08-2.08	0.67±0.35	0.13-1.17	0.80±0.70	0.08-2.08	0.03	-
Co	0.85±0.47	0.39-2.36	1.16±0.61	0.39-2.36	0.70±0.30	0.39-1.38	0.08	96 (87-109)
Cu	28.33±11.02	16.83-62.55	32.39±10.08	19.78-49.27	26.30±11.17	16.83-62.55	0.30	101 (96-105)
Mn	4.03±1.91	0.23-7.18	3.13±2.07	0.23-6.08	4.49±1.71	1.46-7.18	0.95	126 (114-147)
Ni	17.24±8.55	7.86-46.70	23.59±11.11	7.86-46.70	14.06±4.66	8.84-27.03	0.67	91 (82-99)
Rb	3.59±1.08	1.74-6.16	4.14±1.29	2.23-6.16	3.32±0.87	1.74-4.69	0.13	78 (52-113)
Se	0.65±0.33	0.20-1.24	0.36±0.10	0.20-0.53	0.79±0.31	0.39-1.24	0.09	94 (78-110)
Sr	40.25±31.05	13.75-120.93	35.88±32.10	13.75-118.47	42.43±31.22	15.72-120.9	0.38	91 (75-125)
V	5.13±3.05	0.63-13.16	3.70±2.47	0.63-7.82	5.85±3.12	2.21-13.16	<0.01	85 (77-93)
Na⁺	532.1±262.0	n.d1029.07	363.9±185.6	159.9-778.8	606.90	23.66-1029.1	62.68	-
NH_4^+	598.9±399.2	82.60-1622.17	542.5±320.8	82.60-1141.4	627.2±439.0	105.5-1622.2	-	-
K⁺	343.3±183.2	70.18-696.04	307.8±103.5	175.6-484.6	361.1±212.7	70.18-696.0	2.35	-
Ca ²⁺	255.9±84.22	87.55-455.55	295.1±95.8	186.4-455.6	236.3±72.84	87.55-360.4	23.21	-
Mg ²⁺	42.26±17.57	12.70-77.60	32.61±18.32	12.70-71.94	47.09±15.49	15.65-77.60	23.71	-
Cl	56.71±44.94	4.67-151.18	67.63±24.21	40.07-107.18	51.25±52.13	4.67-151.2	0.98	-
NO ₃	926.9±1031.8	98.66-3523.7	194.8±73.63	98.66-311.3	1293±1095	136.5-3524	16.51	-
SO4 ²⁺	2127±2068	n.d6211	n.d.	n.d.	2127±2068	350.5-6211	1.82	-
^е РМ _{2.5}	25.13±9.21	7.01-42.28	22.16±9.14	7.01-35.73	26.61±9.14	12.76-42.28	-	-

^aSD: standard deviation, ^bSW: south-westerly monsoon, ^cNE: north-westerly monsoon, ^dMDL: method detection limit, ^ePM_{2.5} (μ g m⁻³), n.d.: not detected, "-": no

Variables	Mineral/road dust		Motor vehicle emissions/		Nitrate aero	Nitrate aerosol		Coal burning		Marine/sulfate aerosol	
-	ng m⁻³	%	ng m ⁻³	%	ng m⁻³	%	ng m ⁻³	%	ng m ⁻³	%	
PM _{2.5}	3.17±0.15**	13±1	7.47±1.26**	31±5	4.11±0.47**	17±2	4.60±0.37**	19±2	4.99±0.67**	20±3	
AI	42.65±3.17	19±1	45.37±3.85	20±2	69.06±2.45	31±1	29.84±1.73	13±1	36.71±2.51	16±1	
Ba	269.3±205.9	22±17	32.85±146.9	3±14	166.9±71.90	13±6	661.7±246.9	52±19	117.8±116.8	10±11	
Ca	445.1±32.07	28±2	235.43±37.76	15±2	350.6±35.82	22 ± 2	303.4±30.14	19±2	267.1±26.00	17±2	
Mg	92.36±5.02	52±3	47.59±21.66	27±12	25.43±12.33	14±7	10.32±6.11	6±3	1.23±5.50	1±3	
Pb	3.56±0.79	20±4	9.11±2.32	50±13	0.58±0.40	3±2	3.61±0.42	20±2	1.25±1.36	7±8	
Zn	157.7±17.09	48±5	45.66±30.11	14±9	60.74±21.74	18±7	50.56±19.46	15±6	14.33±8.53	4±3	
As	0.18±.35	4±7	1.76±0.55	41±14	0.05±0.10	1±2	2.37±0.65	53±13	0.05±0.22	1±6	
Cd	0.03±0.01	6±2	0.22±0.06	44±12	0.07±0.02	13±3	0.13±0.02	27±3	0.05±0.02	10±5	
Cu	12.38±0.59	50±2	3.55±2.37	14±10	4.20±1.45	17±6	3.27±1.16	13±5	1.45±0.42	6±2	
Mn	-	-	0.84±0.27	25±8	1.16±0.19	35±6	0.62±0.26	18±7	0.71±0.09	21±3	
Ni	7.21±0.50	48±4	2.79±1.18	18±8	1.70±0.77	11±5	3.11±0.80	20±5	0.36±0.23	2±2	
Rb	1.33±0.07	38±2	0.76±0.20	22±6	0.45±0.14	13±4	0.67±0.10	19±3	0.26±0.04	7±1	
Se	0.05±0.01	8±2	0.14±0.03	24±6	0.14±0.02	23±3	0.11±0.02	19±3	0.16±0.01	27±1	
Sr	8.26±4.51	25±14	1.19±3.18	4±11	4.60±1.80	14±6	15.05±5.05	45±15	3.59±2.40	11±9	
V	0.19±0.08	5±2	0.81±0.24	20±6	1.25±0.20	30±6	0.59±0.32	14±7	1.28±0.17	31±4	
Na⁺	88.10±28.60	19±6	17.28±56.76	4±12	120.8±10.99	26±3	7.93±4.69	2±1	234.2±20.31	50±5	
Ammonium	59.48±30.60	11±6	241.1±61.51	44±11	82.56±18.67	15±4	8.55±16.10	2±3	156.2±48.24	28±8	
K⁺	65.10±18.20	20±6	91.08±16.94	28±5	50.69±6.14	16±2	9.53±3.42	3±1	108.4±16.41	33±5	
Ca ²⁺	99.79±3.69	42±1	50.52±18.74	21±8	47.25±9.79	20±4	12.39±6.67	5±3	26.39±4.03	11±2	
Mg ²⁺	8.18±1.46	23±4	6.96±1.06	19±3	9.27±0.31	26±1	1.92±0.38	5±1	9.72±0.32	27±1	
Cl	15.88±4.06	36±10	1.83±2.95	4±8	-	-	5.90±0.73	13±2	20.58±6.45	46±13	
Nitrate	90.86±36.16	11±4	6.66±21.39	1±2	611.0±27.43	75±3	5.75±16.39	1±2	103.4±53.25	13±7	
Sulfate	307.2±142.1	21±10	58.02±152.1	4±11	74.23±33.91	5±2	89.77±10.15	6±1	935.1±112.5	64±7	

Table 2 The contribution of sources to $PM_{2.5}$ and the compositions estimated by PMF 5.0

**unit: μg m⁻³, SD^a: standard deviation, "-": no data

Table 3. Hazard quotient (HQ) or non-carcinogenic risk and lifetime carcinogenic risk (LCR) for selected heavy metals in PM_{2.5} based on their various sources

Inhalation	Mineral/road dust		Motor vehicl	Motor vehicle/biomass		urning	PN	PM _{2.5}		
-	HQ	<mark>L</mark> CR	HQ	L <mark>C</mark> R	HQ	<mark>L</mark> CR	HQ	<mark>L</mark> CR		
Pb	-	4.0×10 ⁻⁸		1.0×10 ⁻⁷	-	4.1×10 ⁻⁸	-	2.5×10 ⁻⁷		
As	1.8×10 ⁻³	1.1×10 ⁻⁷	4.9×10 ⁻²	1.1×10 ⁻⁶	6.6×10 ⁻²	1.5×10 ⁻⁶	15.9×10 ⁻²	3.5×10 ⁻⁶		
Cd	4.6×10 ⁻⁴	8.2×10 ⁻⁹	9.1×10 ⁻³	5.6×10 ⁻⁸	5.5×10 ⁻³	3.4×10 ⁻⁸	2.2×10 ⁻²	1.4×10 ⁻⁷		
Cu	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Mn	-	-	7.0×10 ⁻³	-	5.1×10 ⁻³	-	3.3×10 ⁻²	-		
Zn	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Ni	2.0×10 ⁻²	2.5×10 ⁻⁷	2.3×10 ⁻²	9.5×10 ⁻⁸	2.6×10 ⁻²	1.1×10 ⁻⁷	14.3×10 ⁻²	5.9×10 ⁻⁷		
THR (HI and LCR)	2.3×10 ⁻²	1.6×10 ⁻⁷	8.8×10 ⁻²	1.2×10 ⁻⁶	10.2×10 ⁻²	1.5×10 ⁻⁶	35.7×10 ⁻²	3.9×10 ⁻⁶		

Pb*: pb (acetate), As*: As (Inorganic), Cd*: Cd (Diet), Mn*: Mn (Diet), Zn*: Zn (Metallic), Ni*: Ni (Refinery Dust), "-": no data, THR: Total Health Risk, HI: Hazard Index, LCR: Lifetime Cancer Risk



Figure 1 Map of the study area showing the sampling site and nearby line sources



Figure 2 Monthly climatology wind vector from January 2004 to June 2014



Figure 3 The location of biomass fire hotspots and the monthly mean cluster of backward trajectories by HYSPLIT 4.9 model for 120 h and 500 m releasing height starting from 16:00 UTC during the south-westerly and the north-easterly monsoon



Figure 4 Time series of 24 h averages of $PM_{2.5}$, wind speed (m s⁻¹) and yearly daily mean of the boundary layer height (BLH) over the region of Malaysian Peninsula



Figure 5 (a) Enrichment factor (EF) of heavy metals in $PM_{2.5}$, (b) correlation plot of nss-K⁺ and total-K⁺, (c) reconstructed mass concentration of $PM_{2.5}$ by mass closure model, (d) correlation plot of K⁺ and Na⁺, and (e) correlation plot of estimated $PM_{2.5}$ (MC) and measured $PM_{2.5}$ (HVS)



Figure 6 (a) The source profiles of $PM_{2.5}$ prediction by positive matrix factorization model and (b) comparison of modeled $PM_{2.5}$ (PMF) and measured $PM_{2.5}$ (HVS).