1 Biomass burning emissions and potential air quality impacts of

2 volatile organic compounds and other trace gases from fuels

common in the United States

5 J.B. Gilman^{1,2}, B.M. Lerner^{1,2}, W.C. Kuster^{1,2*}, P.D. Goldan^{1,2*}, C. Warneke^{1,2}, P.R. Veres^{1,2},

6 J.M. Roberts², J.A. de Gouw^{1,2}, I.R. Burling^{3**}, and R.J. Yokelson³

7

4

8 [1] CIRES at University of Colorado, Boulder, CO

- 9 [2] NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory, Boulder, CO
- 10 [3] Department of Chemistry, University of Montana, Missoula, Montana, USA
- 11 [*] Now retired
- 12 [**] Now with Cytec Canada, Niagara Falls, Ontario, Canada
- 13 Correspondence to: Jessica.Gilman@NOAA.gov
- 14

15 Abstract

16 A comprehensive suite of instruments was used to quantify the emissions of over 200 organic 17 gases, including methane and volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and 9 inorganic gases from 56 laboratory burns of 18 different biomass fuel types common in the southeastern, southwestern, or 18 northern United States. A gas chromatograph-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) instrument provided 19 20 extensive chemical detail of discrete air samples collected during a laboratory burn and was complemented by real-time measurements of organic and inorganic species via an open-path Fourier 21 22 transform infrared spectroscopy (OP-FTIR) instrument and 3 different chemical ionization-mass spectrometers. These measurements were conducted in February 2009 at the U.S. Department of 23 Agriculture's Fire Sciences Laboratory in Missoula, Montana and were used as the basis for a number of 24 25 emission factors reported by Yokelson et al. (2013). The relative magnitude and composition of the gases emitted varied by individual fuel type and, more broadly, by the 3 geographic fuel regions being 26 27 simulated. Discrete emission ratios relative to carbon monoxide (CO) were used to characterize the 28 composition of gases emitted by mass; reactivity with the hydroxyl radical, OH; and potential secondary 29 organic aerosol (SOA) precursors for the 3 different U.S. fuel regions presented here. VOCs contributed 30 less than 0.78% ± 0.12% of emissions by mole and less than 0.95% ± 0.07% of emissions by mass (on average) due to the predominance of CO₂, CO, CH₄, and NO_x emissions; however, VOCs contributed 70-31 32 90 (±16)% to OH reactivity and were the only measured gas-phase source of SOA precursors from combustion of biomass. Over 82% of the VOC emissions by mole were unsaturated compounds 33 including highly reactive alkenes and aromatics and photolabile oxygenated VOCs (OVOCs) such as 34 35 formaldehyde. OVOCs contributed 57-68% of the VOC mass emitted, 41-54% of VOC-OH reactivity, and aromatic-OVOCs such as benzenediols, phenols, and benzaldehyde were the dominant potential SOA 36 37 precursors. In addition, ambient air measurements of emissions from the Fourmile Canyon Fire that

Gilman, submitted to ACP on 11/24/2015

- 38 affected Boulder, Colorado in September 2010 allowed us to investigate biomass burning (BB) emissions
- in the presence of other VOC sources (i.e., urban and biogenic emissions) and identify several promising
- 40 BB markers including benzofuran, 2-furaldehyde, 2-methylfuran, furan, and benzonitrile.
- 41 Keywords: Biomass burning, emissions, VOCs, OH reactivity, SOA potential

42 **1** Introduction

43 Biomass burning (BB) emissions are composed of a complex mixture of gases and particles that 44 may directly and/or indirectly affect both climate and air quality (Jaffe and Wigder, 2012; Sommers et al., 2014). Emissions include greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide (CO_2), methane (CH_4), and nitrous 45 oxide (N₂O); carcinogens such as formaldehyde and benzene; and other components potentially harmful 46 to human health including particulate matter, carbon monoxide (CO) and isocyanic acid (HNCO) (Crutzen 47 48 and Andreae, 1990; Hegg et al., 1990; Andreae and Merlet, 2001; Demirbas and Demirbas, 2009; 49 Estrellan and lino, 2010; Roberts et al., 2010; Roberts et al., 2011; Sommers et al., 2014). The co-50 emission of nitrogen oxides ($NO_x = NO + NO_2$) and reactive volatile organic compounds (VOCs, also known as non-methane organic compounds) from combustion of biomass may degrade local and regional 51 52 air quality by the photochemical formation of tropospheric ozone (O_3) , a hazardous air pollutant, and 53 secondary organic aerosol (SOA) (Alvarado et al., 2015). This work characterizes primary biomass 54 burning emissions of organic and inorganic gases of fuels common to the United States and compares 55 the relative impacts on regional air quality as it relates to potential O_3 and SOA formation. Tropospheric O_3 may be formed in the atmosphere from the interactions of VOCs, NO_x, and a 56 radical source such as the hydroxyl radical (OH), which is formed from the photolysis of O₃, aldehydes, 57 58 hydroperoxides, or nitrous acid (HONO). Biomass burning is a large, primary source of VOCs, NOx, and 59 HONO (i.e., O₃ precursors); however, these species are emitted at varying relative ratios depending on 60 the fuel type and burn conditions making it difficult to predict O₃ formation from the combustion of 61 biomass (Akagi et al., 2011; Jaffe and Wigder, 2012). An additional O_3 formation pathway occurs via oxidation of VOCs often initiated by reaction with the hydroxyl radical (·OH) in the presence of NO2 62 63 leading to the formation of peroxynitrates, such as peroxyacetic nitric anhydride (PAN). The formation of 64 peroxynitrates may initially diminish O₃ formation in fresh BB plumes due to the initial sequestration of 65 NO₂, but enhance O₃ downwind formation via production of NO₂ from thermal dissociation of peroxynitrates (Jaffe and Wigder, 2012). Due to the complex relationship between O₃ production and 66 VOC/NO_x ratios and peroxynitrates, we use OH reactivity as a simplified metric to compare reactivity of all 67 measured gaseous emissions by fuel region in order to identify the key reactive species that may 68 69 contribute to photochemical O₃ formation. 70 SOA is organic particulate mass that is formed in the atmosphere from the chemical evolution of

71 primary emissions of organic species. Here, chemical evolution refers to a complex series of reactions of 72 a large number of organic species that results in the formation of relatively low volatility and/or high 73 solubility oxidation products that will readily partition to, or remain in, the particle phase (Kroll and 74 Seinfeld, 2008). SOA formation from BB emissions is highly variable (Hennigan et al., 2011) and chemical modeling results suggest that there is a "missing large source of SOA" precursors that cannot 75 be explained by the sum of measured aerosol yields of SOA precursors such as toluene (Alvarado et al., 76 77 2015). Aerosol yield is a measure of the mass of condensable compounds created from oxidation per 78 mass of VOC precursor and is often used to predict potential SOA mass of complex mixtures; however,

care must be taken to ensure that the aerosol yields for all precursors were determined under similar

80 conditions (e.g., VOC:NO_x ratios, oxidant concentrations, etc.). In order to conduct comparisons of the

potential to form SOA on a consistent scale, we use a model-based unitless metric, termed SOA potential

82 (SOAP), published by Derwent et al. (2010) which "reflects the propensity of VOCs to form SOA on an

83 equal mass basis relative to toluene."

Advances in instrumentation and complementary measurement approaches have enabled 84 85 chemical analyses of a wide range of species emitted during laboratory-based biomass burning 86 experiments (Yokelson et al., 1996; McDonald et al., 2000; Schauer et al., 2001; Christian et al., 2003; Veres et al., 2010; Yokelson et al., 2013; Hatch et al., 2015; Stockwell et al., 2015). This information 87 88 supplements several decades of field measurements of BB emissions reported in the literature (Andreae 89 and Merlet, 2001; Friedli et al., 2001; Akagi et al., 2011; Simpson et al., 2011). Chemically detailed, representative measurements of VOCs and other trace gases from biomass combustion are critical inputs 90 91 to photochemical transport models aimed at reproducing observed downwind changes in the concentrations of reactive species including VOCs, O₃, peroxynitrates, and organic aerosol (Trentmann et 92 93 al., 2003; Trentmann et al., 2005; Mason et al., 2006; Alvarado and Prinn, 2009; Heilman et al., 2014; Urbanski, 2014; Alvarado et al., 2015) and are essential to understanding impacts on chemistry, clouds, 94

95 climate, and air quality.

For this study, a comprehensive suite of gas-phase measurement techniques was used to 96 97 quantify the emissions of 200 organic gases, including methane and VOCs, and 9 inorganic gases from 98 laboratory biomass burns of 18 fuel types from 3 geographic regions in the US (hereafter referred to as "fuel regions") in order to compare the potential atmospheric impacts of these gaseous emissions. A list 99 100 of all gas-phase instruments and manuscripts detailing the results of the coincident measurement 101 techniques is included in Table 1. These companion manuscripts include fire-integrated emission ratios (ERs) for species such as inorganic gases including HONO (Burling et al., 2010) and HNCO (Roberts et 102 103 al., 2010), organic acids (Veres et al., 2010), formaldehyde and methane (Burling et al., 2010), and a 104 large number of identified and unidentified protonated molecules (Warneke et al., 2011). Yokelson et al. 105 (2013) synthesized the results of all the measurement techniques, including the GC-MS data presented 106 here, in an effort to compile an improved set of fuel-based emission factors for prescribed fires by coupling lab and field work. Comparisons between laboratory and field measurements of BB emission 107 108 factors are presented elsewhere (Burling et al., 2010; Burling et al., 2011; Yokelson et al., 2013). 109 Here we detail the results of the 56 biomass burns sampled by a gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) instrument which provided unparalleled chemical speciation, but was limited to 110

sampling a relatively short, discrete segment of a laboratory burn. We begin by comparing mixing ratios

measured by the GC-MS instrument to those concurrently measured by infrared spectroscopy and

proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry, both of which provide high time resolution sampling of

114 laboratory fires. We then compare discrete ERs and fire-integrated ERs, representing the entirety of

emissions from a laboratory burn, in order to quantify any potential bias that resulted from discrete versus

116 "continuous" sampling techniques utilized in this study. In order to merge datasets from multiple

- 117 instruments, we report mean discrete ERs of over 200 identified gases relative to CO for southwestern,
- southeastern, and northern fuel regions to compare the chemical composition of the mass emitted, the
- reactivities of the measured gases with the hydroxyl radical in order to identify the key reactive species
- that will likely contribute to O₃ formation, and utilize a model-derived metric developed by Derwent et al.
- 121 (2010) to compare relative SOA formation potentials from each fuel region. Detailed chemical models are
- required to more accurately account for the various O_3 and SOA formation pathways, which is beyond the
- 123 scope of this study.

In addition to the laboratory fire measurements, we present field-measurements of rarely-reported
 VOCs in ambient air during the Fourmile Canyon Fire that affected Boulder, Colorado in September 2010.
 The latter measurements revealed BB markers that were specific to the BB emissions, minimally
 influenced by urban or biogenic VOC emission sources, and were emitted in detectable quantities with
 long enough lifetimes to be useful even in aged, transported BB plumes.

129

130 2 Methods

131 **2.1 Fuel and biomass burn descriptions**

132 The laboratory-based measurements of BB emissions were conducted in February 2009 at the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Fire Sciences Laboratory in Missoula, Montana. A detailed list of the 133 134 biomass fuel types, species names, fuel source origin, and the carbon and nitrogen content of the fuels 135 studied here are included in Burling et al. (2010). Up to 5 replicate burns were conducted for each of the 18 different fuels studied. These fuels are categorized into 3 geographic fuel regions based on where the 136 137 fuels were collected. The data presented here include 9 southwestern fuels from southern California and Arizona including chaparral shrub, mesquite, and oak savanna/woodland; 6 southeastern fuels 138 represented the pine savanna/shrub complexes indigenous to coastal North Carolina and pine litter from 139 Georgia; and 3 northern fuels including an Englemann spruce, a grand fir, and ponderosa pine needles 140 from Montana. All fuels were harvested in January 2009 and sent to the Fire Sciences Laboratory where 141 142 they were stored in a walk-in cooler prior to these experiments.

143 All biomass burns were conducted inside the large burn chamber (12.5 x 12.5 x 20 m height), 144 which contains a fuel bed under an emissions-entraining hood, an exhaust stack, and an elevated sampling platform surrounding the exhaust stack approximately 17 m above the fuel bed (Christian et al., 145 2003; Christian et al., 2004; Burling et al., 2010). Each fuel sample was arranged on the fuel bed in a 146 147 manner that mimicked their natural orientation and fuel loading when possible and was ignited using a small propane torch (Burling et al., 2010). During each fire, the burn chamber was slightly pressurized 148 149 with outside air conditioned to a similar temperature and relative humidity as the ambient air inside the 150 burn chamber. The subsequent emissions were entrained by the pre-conditioned ambient air and continuously vented through the top of the exhaust stack. The residence time of emissions in the exhaust 151

stack ranged from ~5 to 17 seconds depending on the flow/vent rate. Each burn lasted approximately 2040 min from ignition to natural extinction.

154 **2.2 Instrumentation and sampling**

155 A list of the gas-phase instruments and measurement techniques used in this study, a brief description of the inherent detection qualifications of each instrument, and references appears in Table 1. 156 157 The gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) instrument and the proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry (PTR-MS) instrument were located in a laboratory adjacent to the burn chamber. The 158 proton-transfer-reaction ion-trap mass spectrometry (PIT-MS) instrument, negative-ion proton-transfer 159 chemical-ionization mass spectrometry (NI-PT-CIMS) instrument, and open-path Fourier transform 160 161 infrared (OP-FTIR) optical spectroscopy instrument were located on the elevated platform inside the burn chamber. Hereafter, each instrument will be referred to by the associated instrument identifier listed in 162 Table 1. 163

Sampling inlets for the four mass spectrometers were located on a bulkhead plate on the side of 164 the exhaust stack 17 m above the fuel bed. The GC-MS and PTR-MS shared a common inlet, which 165 consisted of 20 m of unheated 3.97 mm i.d. perfluoroalkoxy Teflon tubing (Warneke et al., 2011). The 166 167 portion of the inlet line inside the exhaust stack (40 cm) was sheathed by a stainless steel tube (40 cm, 168 6.4 mm I.D) that extended 30 cm from the wall of the exhaust stack and was pointing upwards (away from 169 the fuel bed below) in an effort to reduce the amount of particles pulled into the sample line. A sample pump continuously flushed the 20 m sample line with 7 L min⁻¹ flow of stack air reducing the inlet 170 residence time to less than 3 seconds. Separate inlets for both the PIT-MS and NI-PT-CIMS were of 171 172 similar materials and design, but shorter lengths further reducing inlet residence times and allowing for sample dilution for the NI-PT-CIMS (Roberts et al., 2010; Veres et al., 2010). 173 The open optical path of the OP-FTIR spanned the full width of the exhaust stack so that the 174

174 The open optical path of the OP-FTIR spanned the full width of the exhaust stack so that the 175 emissions could be measured instantaneously without the use of an inlet. All measurements were time 176 aligned with the OP-FTIR in order to account for different inlet residence times and instrument response 177 times. Previous comparisons of OP-FTIR to a PTR-MS with a moveable inlet confirmed the stack 178 emissions are well-mixed at the height of the sampling platform (Christian et al., 2004). Other possible 179 sampling artifacts, such as losses to the walls of the inlets, were investigated via laboratory tests and in-180 situ instrument comparisons (Burling et al., 2010; Roberts et al., 2010; Veres et al., 2010; Warneke et al., 181 2011).

182 2.3 Discrete sampling by in-situ GC-MS

A custom-built, dual-channel GC-MS was used to identify and quantify an extensive set of VOCs. For each biomass burn, the GC-MS simultaneously collected two samples, one for each channel, and analyzed them in series using either an Al₂O₃/KCI PLOT column (channel 1) or a semi-polar DB-624 capillary column (channel 2) plumbed to a heated 4-port valve that sequentially directed the column effluent to a linear quadrupole mass spectrometer (Agilent 5973N). The sample traps for each channel were configured to maximize the cryogenic trapping efficiencies of high-volatility VOCs (channel 1) or VOCs of lesser volatility and/or higher polarity (channel 2) while minimizing the amount of CO₂ and water in each sample (Goldan et al., 2004; Gilman et al., 2010). While ozone traps were not required for these experiments, they were left in the sample path in order to be consistent with other ambient air measurements and laboratory calibrations using this instrument.

For each channel, 70 mL min⁻¹ was continuously sub-sampled from the high volume (7 L min⁻¹) sample stream for 20 to 300 seconds resulting in sample volumes from 23-350 mL each. Smaller sample volumes were often collected during periods of intense flaming combustion in order to avoid trapping excessive CO₂, which could lead to dry ice forming in the sample trap, thereby restricting sample flow. Larger sample volumes allowed for detection of trace species, but peak resolution would degrade if the column was overloaded. Sample acquisition times longer than 300 seconds were not possible with the GC-MS used in this study.

The mass spectrometer was operated in either total ion mode, scanning all mass-to-charge ratios 200 201 (m/z) from 29 to 150; or in selective ion mode, scanning a subset of m/z's. The majority of the samples were analyzed in selective ion mode for improved signal-to-noise; however, at least one sample of each 202 fuel type was analyzed in total ion mode to aid identification and quantify species whose m/z may not 203 have been scanned in selective ion mode. The entire GC-MS sampling and analysis cycle required 30 204 205 minutes; therefore, the GC-MS was limited to sampling each laboratory burn only once per fire for burns that lasted less than 30 minutes. Discrete GC-MS samples were collected at various stages of replicate 206 207 burns as determined by visual inspection of the fire in addition to the real-time measurements via PTR-208 MS. The majority of the GC-MS samples were collected during the first-half of the laboratory burns when the gaseous emissions were most intense and analysis suggests that an equivalent number of GC-MS 209 210 samples were collected in the flaming and smoldering phases (see Sect. 3.2).

211 Each VOC was identified by its retention time and quantified by the integrated peak area of a 212 distinctive m/z in order to reduce any potential interferences from co-eluting compounds. Identities of 213 new compounds that had never before been measured by this GC-MS were confirmed by 1) matching the 214 associated electron ionization mass spectrum when operated in total ion mode to the National Institute of 215 Standards and Technology's mass spectral database, and 2) comparing their respective retention times 216 and boiling points to a list of compounds previously measured by the GC-MS. Examples of these species include: 1,3-butadiyne (C₄H₂), butenyne (vinyl acetylene, C₄H₄), methylnitrite (CH₃ONO), nitromethane 217 218 (CH_3NO_2) , methyl pyrazole $(C_4H_6N_2)$, ethyl pyrazine $(C_6H_8N_2)$, and tricarbon dioxide (carbon suboxide, 219 C_3O_2). For some species, we were able to identify the chemical family (defined by its molecular formula and common chemical moiety) but not the exact chemical structure or identity. For these cases, we 220 present the emissions as a sum of the unidentified isomers for a particular chemical family (see Table 2). 221 We report only the compounds that were above the limits of detection for the majority of the biomass 222 223 burns and where the molecular formula could be identified.

224 Of the 187 gases quantified by the GC-MS in this study, 95 were individually calibrated with 225 commercially available and/or custom-made gravimetrically-based compressed gas calibration standards.

The limit of detection, precision, and accuracy are compound dependent, but are conservatively better 226 than 0.010 ppby, 15%, and 25%, respectively (Gilman et al., 2009; Gilman et al., 2010). For compounds 227 where a calibration standard was not available (identified by an asterisk in Table 2), the calibration factors 228 were estimated using measured calibrations of compounds in a similar chemical family with a similar 229 230 retention time, and when possible a similar mass fragmentation pattern. In order to estimate the uncertainty in the accuracy of un-calibrated species, we use measured calibrations of ethyl benzene, o-231 232 xylene, and the sum of m- and p-xylenes as a test case. These aromatic species have similar mass 233 fragmentation patterns, are all quantified using m/z 91, and elute within 1 minute of each other signifying similar physical properties. If a single calibration factor was used for all these isomers, then the reported 234 mixing ratios could be miscalculated by up to 34%. We therefore conservatively estimate the accuracy of 235 236 all un-calibrated species as 50%.

237 **2.4 Calculations**

238 2.4.1 Emission ratios

Emission ratios (ER) to carbon monoxide (CO) for each gas-phase compound, X, were calculated as follows:

$$ER = \frac{\Delta X}{\Delta CO} = \frac{\int_{t_{start}}^{t_{end}} (X_{fire} - X_{bknd})dt}{\int_{t_{start}}^{t_{end}} (CO_{fire} - CO_{bknd})dt}$$
(1)

where ΔX and ΔCO are the excess mixing ratios of compound X or CO, respectively, during a fire above 242 the background. Background values, X_{bknd} and CO_{bknd}, are equal to the average mixing ratio of a species 243 244 in the pre-conditioned ambient air inside the exhaust stack in the absence of a fire. For the OP-FTIR, PTR-MS, PIT-MS and NI-PT-CIMS, backgrounds were determined from the mean responses of the 245 246 ambient air inside the exhaust stack for a minimum of 60 s prior to the ignition of each fire. At least one background sample was collected for the GC-MS each day. The composition and average mixing ratios 247 of VOCs in the stack backgrounds were consistent over the course of the campaign and were generally 248 249 much lower than the mixing ratios observed during biomass burns. For example, the average background ethyne measured by the GC-MS was 1.22 ± 0.33 ppbv (median = 1.21 ppbv) compared to a 250 mean ethyne of 150 ± 460 ppbv (median = 42 ppbv) in the fires. The large standard deviation for ethyne 251 in the biomass burns reflects the large variability in ethyne emissions rather than uncertainty in the 252 253 measurement.

The type of emission ratio, discrete or fire-integrated, is determined by the sampling frequency of 254 the instrument and sampling duration. The GC-MS used in these experiments is only capable of 255 collecting discrete samples. Discrete ERs represent the average ΔX relative to ΔCO for a relatively short 256 portion of a fire corresponding to the GC-MS sample acquisition time. The OP-FTIR, PTR-MS, and NI-257 PT-CIMS are fast-response instruments that sampled every 1 to 10 seconds over the entire duration of 258 259 each fire. These measurements were used to calculate both fire-integrated ERs that represent $\Delta X / \Delta CO$ 260 over the entirety of a fire ($dt \ge 1000s$) (Burling et al., 2010; Veres et al., 2010; Warneke et al., 2011) as 261 well as discrete ERs coincident with the GC-MS sample acquisition (dt = 20 to 300s) as discussed in

- Section 2.3. We reference all ERs to CO because the majority of VOCs and CO are co-emitted by
- smoldering combustion during the fire whereas CO₂ emissions occur mostly from flaming combustion
- 264 (see Section 3.1). Additionally, ratios to CO are commonly reported in the literature for biomass burning
- and urban VOC emission sources. All data presented here are in units of ppbv VOC per ppmv CO, which
- is equivalent to a molar ratio (mmol VOC per mol CO).
- 267

270

2.4.2 Modified combustion efficiency

268 Modified combustion efficiency (MCE) is a used here to describe the relative contributions of 269 flaming and smoldering combustion and is equal to:

 $MCE = \frac{\Delta CO2}{[\Delta CO + \Delta CO2]}$ (2)

where ΔCO and ΔCO_2 are the excess mixing ratios of CO or CO₂, respectively, during a fire above the background (Yokelson et al., 1996). MCE can be calculated instantaneously or for discrete (timeintegrated) samples.

274

2.4.3 Degree of unsaturation

The degree of unsaturation (D) is also known as "ring and double bond equivalent" (Murray et al., 276 2013)) and is equal to:

277 $D = \frac{[2C+N-H+2]}{2}$ (3)

where C, N, and H denote the number of carbon, nitrogen, and hydrogen atoms, respectively. Table 2 includes D values for each species reported.

280 **2.4.4 Molar mass**

281 Molar mass (µg m⁻³) emitted per ppmv CO is equal to:

282

Molar Mass =
$$\sum \left(\frac{\text{ER} \times \text{MW}}{\text{MV}}\right)$$
 (4)

where ER is the mean discrete emission ratio of a gas, MW is molecular weight (g mol⁻¹), and MV is molar
volume (24.5 L at 1 atm and 25°C). Table 2 includes the nominal MW for each species reported.

285 **2.4.5 OH reactivity**

Total OH reactivity represents the sum of all sinks of the hydroxyl radical (•OH) with all reactive gases and is equal to:

288

OH reactivity = $\sum (\text{ER} \times \mathbf{k}_{\text{OH}} \times A)$ (5)

where ER is the discrete emission ratio for each measured gases (VOCs, CH₄, CO, NO₂, and SO₂; ppbv
 per ppmv CO), k_{OH} is the second-order reaction rate coefficient of a gas with the hydroxyl radical (cm³

molec⁻¹ s⁻¹), and A is a molar concentration conversion factor (2.46×10¹⁰ molec cm⁻³ ppbv⁻¹ at 1 atm and

- 292 25°C). Table 2 includes the k_{OH} values for all reported species that were compiled using the National
- 293 Institute of Standards and Technology's Chemical Kinetics Database and the references therein (Manion
- et al., 2015). We estimated k_{OH} values (indicated by an asterisk in Table 2) that were not in the database
- 294 using those of analogous compounds.

296

2.4.6 SOA formation potential

The total SOA formation potential represents the sum of all "potential" SOA formed from all measured gases and is equal to:

- 299 SOA formation potential = $\Sigma(\text{ER} \times \text{SOAP})$ (6) where ER is the discrete emission ratio for each measured gases (VOCs, CH₄, CO, NO₂, and SO₂; ppbv 300 301 per ppmv CO) and SOAP is a unitless, model-derived SOA potential published by Derwent et al. (2010). Briefly, Derwent et al. (2010) calculated SOAPs of 113 VOCs using a photochemical transport model that 302 included explicit chemistry from the Master Chemical Mechanism (MCM v 3.1) and was initialized using 303 304 an idealized set of atmospheric conditions typical of a polluted urban boundary layer. All SOAP values 305 reflect the simulated mass of aerosol formed per mass of VOC reacted and are expressed relative to toluene (i.e., SOAP_{Toluene} ≡ 100). The SOAP values published in the Derwent et al. (2010) study are 306 included in Table 2 and were used to estimate values for all other species (indicated by an asterisk in 307 Table 2) based on chemical similarities. For example, species such as styrene and benzaldehyde have 308 SOAP values of ~200 (i.e., twice as much potential SOA formed compared to toluene) and were used as 309 proxies for SOAP values for aromatics with unsaturated substituents, benzofurans, and benzenediols. 310
- 311

2.5 Fourmile Canyon Fire in Boulder, Colorado

Ambient air measurements of biomass burning emissions from the Fourmile Canyon Fire that 312 occurred in the foothills 10 km west of Boulder. Colorado were conducted from 7-9 September 2010. 313 Over the course of the Fourmile Fire, approximately 25 km² of land including 168 structures burned. The 314 315 burned vegetation consisted primarily of Douglas-fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii) and ponderosa pine (Pinus ponderosa) mixed with juniper (Juniperius scopulorum and communis), mountain mahogany 316 317 (Cercocarpus), and various shrubs and grasses common to the mountain zone of the Colorado Front Range (Graham et al., 2012). During the measurement period, down-sloping winds ranging from 1 to 12 318 m s⁻¹ (mean = 3.5 m s⁻¹) periodically brought biomass burning emissions to NOAA's Earth System 319 320 Research Laboratory located at the western edge of the city of Boulder. The previously described in-situ GC-MS was housed inside the laboratory and sampled outside air via a 15 m perfluoroalkoxy Teflon 321 sample line (residence time < 2 s) attached to an exterior port on the western side of the building. CO 322 was measured via a co-located vacuum-UV resonance fluorescence instrument (Gerbig et al., 1999). 323 324

325 **3 Results and Discussion**

326

3.1 Temporal profiles and measurement comparisons

Temporal profiles of laboratory biomass burns provide valuable insight into the combustion chemistry and processes that lead to the emissions of various species (Yokelson et al., 1996). Figure 1 shows temporal profiles of an example burn in order to illustrate (i) flaming, mixed, and smoldering combustion phases/processes and (ii) the sampling frequencies and temporal overlap of the fastresponse instruments compared to the GC-MS. Upon ignition, there is an immediate and substantial increase in CO_2 and NO_x ($NO_x = NO + NO_2$) indicative of vigorous flaming combustion. This transitions to

a mixed-phase characterized by diminishing CO₂ and NO_x emissions and a second increase in CO. The 333 fire eventually evolves to a weakly-emitting, protracted period of mostly smoldering combustion (Yokelson 334 et al., 1996; Burling et al., 2010). Figure 1 also includes the temporal profile of the modified combustion 335 efficiency (MCE, Eq. (2)) which is a proxy for the relative amounts of flaming and smoldering combustion 336 337 (Yokelson et al., 1996). During the initial flaming phase of the fire, the MCE approaches unity due to the dominance of CO₂ emissions. The MCE gradually decreases during smoldering combustion when CO 338 emissions are more prominent. The majority of the GC-MS samples were collected during the first-half of 339 340 the laboratory burns (e.g., t < 1000 s in Fig. 1) when the gaseous emissions were most intense. A fewer number of samples were collected during the end of a burn (e.g., $t \ge 1000$ s in Fig. 1) when emissions 341 were lower for most species. See Sect. 3.2 for further discussion of the GC-MS sampling strategy. 342

343 In order to compare measurements from multiple instruments, we calculated the average excess 344 mixing ratios of a species, ΔX , measured by the fast-response instruments over the corresponding GC-345 MS sample acquisition times for all 56 biomass burns. We compare the measurements using correlation plots of ΔX for VOCs measured by the GC-MS versus the same compound measured by the OP-FTIR or 346 an analogous m/z measured by the PTR-MS. The slopes and correlation coefficients, r, were determined 347 348 by linear orthogonal distance regression analysis and are compiled in Fig. 2a. The average slope and 349 standard deviation of the instrument comparison is 1.0 ± 0.2 and 0.93 < r < 0.99 signifying good overall agreement between the different measurement techniques for the species investigated here. A few 350 comparisons are discussed in more detail below. 351

352 The largest difference between the GC-MS and the OP-FTIR observations was for propene (slope = 1.36) indicating that the GC-MS response is greater than the OP-FTIR; however, a correlation 353 354 coefficient of 0.99 suggests that the offset is more likely from a calibration difference that remains 355 unresolved. The possibility of a species with the same retention time and similar fragmentation pattern as propene that is also co-emitted at a consistent ratio relative to propene is unlikely but cannot be 356 357 completely ruled out. For furan, the GC-MS had a lower response than OP-FTIR (slope = 0.77) indicating 358 that the GC-MS may be biased low for furan or that the OP-FTIR may have spectral interferences that 359 bias the measurement high. The temporal profiles of these measurements shown in Fig. 1 suggest that 360 there was a spectral interference with the OP-FTIR measurement of furan as evidenced by the large emissions in the flaming phase that was not captured by the m/z 69 response of the PTR-MS. These 361 362 early "spurious" OP-FTIR furan responses would (i) only affect the comparison for the GC-MS samples collected in the flaming phase of the fires and (ii) have not been observed in other biomass burning 363 experiments utilizing this OP-FTIR (Christian et al., 2004; Stockwell et al., 2014). 364

365 Comparison of the GC-MS Σ (isoprene+furan) vs. PTR-MS *m*/*z* 69 has the lowest slope (GC-MS 366 vs. PTR-MS = 0.64) indicating the contribution of other VOCs, e.g. cis- and trans-1,3-pentadienes, to the 367 *m*/*z* 69 response of the PTR-MS in fresh smoke (Warneke et al., 2011). Carbon suboxide (C₃O₂) has also 368 been shown to contribute to *m*/*z* 69 response for the PTR-MS technique (Stockwell et al., 2015). Direct 369 comparisons of the real-time measurements for a variety of other species not measured by the GC-MS

(e.g., formaldehyde, formic acid, and HONO) can be found elsewhere (Burling et al., 2010; Veres et al.,

371 2010; Warneke et al., 2011).

372

3.2 Comparison of discrete and fire-integrated ERs

373 Fire-integrated ERs represent emissions from all combustion processes of a biomass burn whereas discrete ERs capture a relatively brief snapshot of emissions from mixed combustion processes 374 375 during a particular sampling period. Figure 1 includes time series of VOC to CO ERs determined by the 376 real-time measurement techniques for select gases. Here we compare the two different measurement strategies, discrete vs. fire-integrated, in order to (i) determine if the discrete ERs measured by the GC-377 378 MS may be biased by the sample acquisition times which typically occurred within the first-half of a laboratory burn (t < 1000s, Fig. 1) when emissions for most gases from flaming and smoldering 379 380 combustion generally "peaked" and (ii) assess how well the discrete GC-MS samples are able to capture the fire-to-fire variability of emissions relative to CO. We do this by determining discrete ERs for the OP-381 FTIR or PTR-MS for each of the 56 biomass burns using Eq. 1 where tstart and tend times correspond to the 382 383 GC-MS sample acquisition. The discrete ERs are then compared to the fire-integrated ERs measured by the same fast-response instrument so that potential measurement artifacts will not affect the comparison. 384

The slopes and correlation coefficients, r, of discrete versus fire-integrated ERs for select VOCs 385 386 are summarized in Fig. 2b. These values were calculated using a linear orthogonal distance regression 387 analysis of correlation plots of discrete vs. fire-integrated ERs as shown in Fig. 3. The average slope and 388 standard deviation is 1.2 ± 0.2 indicating that the discrete ERs are generally higher than the fireintegrated ERs by 20% on average. This positive bias is a consequence of the GC-MS sampling strategy 389 which rarely included samples collected during purely smoldering combustion that occurs at the end of a 390 391 burn (e.g., $t \ge 1000$ s in Fig. 1) when absolute emissions and ERs are lower for most species. Using the data in Fig. 1 as an example, 95% of the emissions of benzene (in ppbv) occur between ignition and 1000 392 s, and the mean ER during this time is twice as large as the mean ER in the later portion of the fire (time 393 394 = 1001 s to extinction). For VOCs emitted during the later stages of a fire (e.g., 1,3-benzenediol), the discrete ERs will likely underestimate the emissions relative to CO. For example, the discrete ERs for 395 396 benzenediol for the southeastern and southwestern fuels (Table 2) are 30% lower than the mean fire-397 integrated ERs reported by Veres et al. (2010).

The ability of the GC-MS to capture the fire-to-fire variability in VOC emissions relative to CO is 398 evaluated by the strength of the correlation, r, between the discrete and fire-integrated ERs (Fig. 2b). 399 Species with the weakest correlations, such as ethyne and benzene, show a distinct bifurcation that is 400 401 dependent upon the MCE of the discrete samples (Fig. 3). These compounds have a significant portion 402 of their emissions in both the flaming and smoldering phases of a fire (see Fig. 1). For these types of compounds, discrete samples collected in the smoldering phase (low MCE) did not adequately represent 403 the fire-integrated emissions that include the intense flaming emissions (high MCE) resulting in poor 404 405 correlation between discrete and fire-integrated ERs for these species. We note that (i) the slopes are 406 near unity for ethyne and benzene and (ii) there are an equal number of points above and below the 1:1

line for these species indicating that there were an equal number of GC-MS samples collected in both the
 flaming and smoldering phases of the laboratory burns. VOCs that had the strongest correlations

409 between the discrete and fire-integrated ERs (e.g., methanol and toluene where r > 0.88) do not show a

410 strong dependence on the MCE. Since CO is strongly associated with smoldering combustion (Yokelson

411 et al., 1996; Burling et al., 2010), VOCs emitted primarily during this phase will be more tightly correlated

412 with CO and the variability in the discrete vs. fire-integrated will be minimized.

In summary, the discrete GC-MS samples best characterize the fire-integrated emissions and 413 414 fire-to-fire variability of species produced primarily by smoldering combustion. We conservatively estimate these values to be within a factor of 1.5 of the fire-integrated ERs for the majority of the species 415 measured. A similar conclusion was reached by comparing discrete ERs measured during the same fire 416 417 to each other by Yokelson et al. (2013). While fire-integrated ERs are considered to best represent BB emissions, these analyses suggest that collecting and averaging multiple discrete ERs at various stages 418 419 of the same or replicate burns, as presented here, are an adequate substitute when fire-integrated ERs cannot be determined. Fire-integrated ERs are commonly used to determine fuel-based emission factors 420 for a fire, but care must be taken converting discrete ERs into emission factors, as also discussed for this 421 422 data in Yokelson et al. (2013).

423 **3.3 Characterization of laboratory BB emissions**

In order to merge datasets from multiple instruments, we report mean discrete ERs of over 200 424 organic gases, including methane and VOCs, and 9 inorganic gases relative to CO for the southwestern, 425 426 southeastern, and northern fuel types in the United States (Table 2). Mean ERs for each of the 18 individual fuel types are available at http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/csd/groups/csd7/measurements/ 427 2009firelab/. This study utilizes discrete ERs to characterize the chemical composition of the measured 428 molar mass emitted, the VOC-OH reactivity, and the relative SOA formation potential of the measured 429 gaseous emissions from various fuels categorized by the region where they were collected in order to 430 431 compare potential atmospheric impacts of these emissions and identify key species that may impact air quality through formation of O₃ and/or SOA. 432

Figure 4 is a pictograph of all ERs presented in Table 2 as well as a histogram of the ERs for 433 each of the 3 fuel regions in order to highlight commonalities and differences in the magnitudes and 434 general chemical composition of fuels from different regions in the U.S.. The distribution of ERs are 435 shown as a function of three simple properties including the degree of unsaturation (D, Eq. (3)); the 436 437 number of oxygen atoms; and molecular weight (MW) of individual VOCs. Atmospheric lifetimes and fates of VOCs will depend, in part, on these properties, which we use as simplified proxies for reactivity 438 (D), solubility (O-atoms), and volatility (MW). Using this general framework, we highlight several key 439 features that will be explored in further detail in the subsequent sections: 440

441

(i) ERs are highly variable and span more than 4 orders of magnitude.

- (ii) The relative magnitude and composition of the gases emitted are different for fuels from each 442 of the 3 geographic regions, i.e., the distribution of ERs are unique for the fuels within each 443 fuel region. 444 (iii) Southwestern fuels generally have lower ERs and northern fuels have the largest ERs. 445 Collectively, the molar emission ratios are a factor of 3 greater for the northern fuels than the 446 447 southwestern. (iv) The largest ERs for all three fuel regions are associated with low molecular weight species 448 449 (MW < 80 g/mol) and/or those that contain 1 or more oxygen atom(s). These species also have lower degrees of unsaturation ($D \le 2$) and populate the upper left quadrants of Fig. 4. 450 VOCs with the largest ERs common to all fuel types are formaldehyde, ethene, acetic acid, 451 452 and methanol (Table 2). (v) Over 82% of the molar emissions of VOCs from biomass burning are unsaturated 453 454 compounds ($D \ge 1$) defined as having one or more pi-bonds (e.g., C-C or C-O double bonds, cyclic or aromatic rings, etc.). In general, these species are more likely to react with 455 atmospheric oxidants and/or photo-dissociate depending on the chemical moiety, making 456 457 unsaturated species potentially important O_3 and SOA precursors. VOCs that contain triple bonds (e.g., ethyne) are a notable exception as they tend to be less reactive. 458
- (vi) The number of VOCs in the upper right quadrants of Fig. 4 (increasing ERs and degree of
 unsaturation) is greatest for northern fuels and least for southwestern fuels. Many of the
 VOCs in this quadrant also have relatively high molecular weights (MW ≥ 100 g/mol) and
 most contain at least one oxygen atom (e.g., benzenediol and benzofuran). The combination
 of these physical properties indicate that these species are relatively reactive, soluble, and of
 low enough volatility to make them potentially important SOA precursors.
- 465

3.3.1 Molar mass of measured BB emissions

Here we compare the magnitude and composition of biomass burning emissions as a function of molar mass, which is a readily calculated physical property used to quantify BB emissions. For all 3 fuel regions, CO_2 was the overwhelmingly dominant gas-phase emission and singularly contributed over 95% of the molar mass emitted that was measured. Collectively, CH_4 and the inorganic gases (e.g., CO_2 , CO, NO_x, etc.) comprised over 99% of all gaseous molar mass emitted and measured, while VOCs contributed only 0.27 ± 0.03%, 0.34% ± 0.03%, and 0.95% ± 0.07% for the southeastern, southwestern, and northern fuels, respectively.

Figure 5a-c shows the fractional composition and total molar mass of measured VOCs emitted per ppmv CO for each fuel region. The molar mass emitted by northern fuels $(324 \pm 22 \ \mu g \ m^{-3} \ ppmv \ CO^{-1})$ is 3.5 times greater than the southwestern fuels $(92 \pm 9 \ \mu g \ m^{-3} \ ppmv \ CO^{-1})$. For all 3 fuel regions, the emissions are dominated by oxygen-containing VOCs (OVOCs), which collectively comprise 57-68% of the total mass emissions. The single largest contribution by a single chemical class is from OVOCs with low degrees of unsaturation (D \leq 1), which contribute 29-40% of the total molar mass. This chemical

family is dominated by acetic acid, formaldehyde, and methanol emissions (Table 2). Compared to 479 hydrocarbons and OVOCs, nitrogen-containing VOCs are emitted in substantially smaller fractions, less 480 than 8% of the total measured molar mass. Dominant nitrogen VOCs include hydrocyanic acid (HCN), 481 isocyanic acid (HNCO), acetonitrile (CH₃CN), and methylnitrite (CH₃ONO). The addition of all nitrogen-482 483 containing organics presented here would add approximately 5% to the nitrogen budget presented in Burling et al. (2010); however, this would still leave > 50% of the fuel nitrogen potentially ending up in the 484 ash, or being emitted as N2 or other unmeasured nitrogen-containing gases based on the nitrogen content 485 486 of the fuels which ranged from 0.48 to 1.3%.

One limitation of this analysis is the exclusion of "unknown" species, which are (i) gaseous 487 compounds that were measured but remain unidentified and were therefore omitted from this analysis 488 489 because the chemical formula and family could not be properly identified or (ii) were undetectable by the suite of instruments listed in Table 1. We estimate the mass contribution from the first scenario using the 490 491 fuel-based emission factors compiled by Yokelson et al. (2013) for all measured species including "unknown" masses observed by the PIT-MS. These "unidentified" non-methane organic compounds 492 493 (NMOC, equivalent to VOCs) accounted for 31-47% of the mass of VOCs emitted for the same fuels 494 studied here (Yokelson et al., 2013). The second category of un-observed unknown species are likely to 495 be of sufficiently high molecular weight, high polarity, and/or low volatility and thermal stability to escape detection by GC-MS, a variety of chemical ionization mass spectrometers, and the OP-FTIR. For 496 497 example, BB emissions of species such as glyoxal, glycoaldehyde, acetol, guaiacols, syringols, and 498 amines have been reported in the literature (McDonald et al., 2000; Schauer et al., 2001; McMeeking et al., 2009; Akagi et al., 2011; Akagi et al., 2012; Hatch et al., 2015) but would not be detectable by any of 499 500 the instruments used in this experiment. The contribution of these types of compounds is difficult to 501 assess, so we roughly estimate an additional contribution of ~ 5% to the total mass of VOCs emitted could be from un-observed unknown VOCs. Collectively, we estimate that the species reported in Table 502 503 2 and compiled in Fig. 5a-c account for approximately 48-64% of the expected mass of non-methane 504 organic gases emitted from the fuels studied here. The total VOC molar mass for each fuel type should 505 be considered a lower limit and could increase by a factor of ~ 2; however, doubling the molar mass of 506 VOCs to account for all identified and "unknown" species would increase the total mass measured by less than 0.78% since the vast majority of carbon emissions from biomass burning are in the form of CO, CO₂, 507 508 and CH₄ (Yokelson et al., 1996; Burling et al., 2010). All of the totals presented in Figure 5 should also be 509 considered lower limits; however, the additional contribution of unidentified and/or un-measured species to the following discussions could not be determined. 510

511

3.3.2 OH reactivity of measured BB emissions

512 Oxidation of VOCs, often initiated by reaction with the hydroxyl radical (\cdot OH), in the presence of 513 NO_x (NO + NO₂) leads to the photochemical formation of O₃ and peroxynitrates, including peroxyacetic 514 nitric anhydride (PAN). Due to the complex relationship between O₃ production and VOC/NO_x ratios and 515 peroxynitrates, we use OH reactivity to (i) compare the magnitude of reactive gases emitted by

- 516 combustion of fuels characteristic of each region and to (ii) identify key reactive species that may
- 517 contribute to the photochemical formation of O₃ in a BB plume. Based on the calculated OH reactivities of
- all measured species listed in Table 2, VOCs are the dominant sink of OH for all fuel regions contributing
- 519 70-90 (±16)% of the total calculated OH reactivity even though non-methane VOCs were only 0.27-0.95%

520 of the molar mass emitted.

Figure 5d-f shows the fractional contributions and total VOC-OH reactivities per ppmv CO for 521 each of the 3 fuel regions. The fresh BB emissions from northern fuels have the highest OH reactivity (61 522 523 \pm 10 s⁻¹ ppmv CO⁻¹), which is 4.7 times greater than southwestern fuels (13 \pm 3 s⁻¹ ppmv CO⁻¹). Collectively, OVOCs provide the majority of the OH reactivity of the southeastern fuels (54%), while 524 hydrocarbons dominate the southwestern (52%) and northern fuels (57%). Northern fuels have the 525 526 largest contribution from highly reactive terpenes (14%) due to the ERs of these species being, on average, a factor of 5 greater than southeastern fuels and a factor of 40 greater than southwestern fuels. 527 528 For all 3 fuel regions, alkenes have the largest contribution of any singular chemical class due to the large ERs of the reactive species ethene and propene, the latter of which is the single largest 529 530 individual contributor to OH reactivity of any species measured. Oxidation of alkenes proceeds by OH addition to the double-bond or hydrogen abstraction and often results in the secondary formation of 531 carbonyls (e.g., acetaldehyde and acetone), which are important peroxynitrate precursors (Roberts et al., 532 2007; Fischer et al., 2014). Primary emissions of formaldehyde is the second-largest contributor, after 533

propene, to the OH reactivity of all VOCs emitted for all 3 fuel regions. Formaldehyde is reactive with OH and is a photolytic source of RO- radicals that also contribute to O_3 formation, in addition to being an air toxic.

Other important contributions to OH reactivity of BB emissions include unsaturated OVOCs (e.g., 537 538 2-propenal, methyl vinyl ketone, and methacrolein), poly-unsaturated alkenes (e.g., 1,3-butadiene and 1,3-cyclopentadiene), and furans. The majority of these types of species are highly reactive with a variety 539 540 of oxidants and many of their oxidation products are photochemically active. For example, oxidation of 541 1,3-butadiene results in highly reactive OVOC products including furans and 2-propenal, a precursor of 542 peroxyacrylic nitric anhydride (APAN) (Tuazon et al., 1999). The OH reactivity of furans is dominated by 543 2-methylfuran, 2-furaldehyde (2-furfural), and furan. Alkyl furans (e.g., 2,5-dimethylfuran and 2ethylfuran) have reaction rate coefficients on the order of ~ 1x10⁻¹⁰ cm³ molec⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 298K (roughly 544 545 equivalent to that of isoprene) and the major oxidation products include dicarbonyls (Bierbach et al., 1992, 1995; Alvarez et al., 2009). Up to 27 furan isomers have been identified from the combustion of 546 Ponderosa Pine (Hatch et al., 2015), indicating this is an important class of species that should be further 547 explored in order to better determine their potential contributions to O_3 and SOA formation. 548

549 Nitrogen-containing VOCs contribute less than 4% of the OH reactivity of all fuels due to the low 550 reactivities of the most abundant emissions, which often contain $-C\equiv N$ functional groups. Some nitriles, 551 such as acetonitrile (CH₃CN), can have lifetimes on the order of months making these species good 552 markers of long-range transport of BB plumes (Holzinger et al., 1999; de Gouw et al., 2003; de Gouw et

al., 2006). Other more reactive nitrogen-containing organics including 2-propenenitrile, benzonitrile, and
heterocyclic species such as pyrroles could serve as BB markers of fresh plumes (Friedli et al., 2001; Karl
et al., 2007).

556

3.3.3 SOA formation potential of measured BB emissions

Figure 5g-i shows the composition and mean SOA formation potentials of VOCs emitted for each 557 of the 3 fuel regions. Southwestern fuels have the lowest SOA potential (480 per ppmv CO) compared to 558 southeastern and northern fuels that have estimated SOAPs 2.7 and 5.1 times greater, respectively. 559 Unsaturated OVOCs are the dominant fraction for all three fuel regions due to the relatively large ERs 560 and SOAPs of benzenediols (sum of 1,2- and 1,3-), benzaldehyde, and phenols. Schauer et al. (2001) 561 reports significant gaseous emissions of benzenediols from combustion of pine in a fireplace and shows 562 563 that 1,2-benzenediol (o-benzenediol) is the dominant gas-phase isomer while 1,3-benzenediol (m-564 benzenediol) is primarily associated with the particle phase. The discrete ERs used in this comparison may underestimate the emissions and SOA contribution of several compounds emitted in the later 565 566 portions of a laboratory burn when emissions of most VOCs and CO were lower as previously discussed (Sect. 3.2). 567

The largest contributions to SOAP from hydrocarbons include aromatics with saturated functional groups (if any) such as benzene and toluene and aromatics with unsaturated substituents such as styrene. Traditionally, these are the species that are thought to be the largest contributors to SOA formation from urban emissions (Odum et al., 1997; Bahreini et al., 2012), although predicted SOA is typically much lower than observed in ambient air suggesting that the aerosol yields may be too low or there are additional SOA precursors that remain unaccounted for (de Gouw et al., 2005).

574 Monoterpenes have a very small (<2%) contribution to total SOAP. The calculated SOAPs of monoterpenes are only 20% that of toluene (Derwent et al., 2010). This is in contrast to measured 575 aerosol yields which are approximately 1.7 times higher for monoterpenes compared to toluene (Pandis 576 577 et al., 1992). As a sensitivity test, we increased the SOAPs of the monoterpenes by a factor of 10 bringing the SOAP ratio of monoterpenes to toluene in line with that of measured aerosol yields. This 578 579 resulted in modest increases in total SOAP of only 2% for SW and 5% for SE fuels. Northern fuels had the largest increase in total SOAP at 16%. With the adjusted monoterpene SOAPs, the fractional 580 contribution of terpenes increased from 1.8% (Fig. 5i) to 15% of the total SOAP while the contribution of 581 unsaturated OVOCs remained the dominant class but was reduced from 67% to 58% of the total SOAP. 582 This sensitivity test suggests that the contributions of monoterpenes are likely underestimated for 583 584 northern fuels if the SOAP scale is used; however, the largest contributions to SOAP for the northern 585 fuels continues to be from oxygenated aromatics (benzenediols, phenols, and benzaldehyde). For comparison, Hatch et al. (2015) estimated that the SOA mass formed from the combustion of Ponderosa 586 Pine is dominated by aromatic hydrocarbons (45%), terpenes (25%), phenols (9%), and furans (9%); 587 however, their analysis did not include contributions from benzenediols (not measured), benzaldehyde or 588 589 benzofurans (measured but not included in estimate).

590 **3.4 Field measurements of BB emissions**

Here we present field-measurements of VOCs in ambient air during the Fourmile Canyon Fire 591 592 that affected Boulder, Colorado in September 2010. The in-situ GC-MS measurements are shown in Fig. 593 6 and summarized in Table 3. We were able to identify and quantify a number of VOCs in ambient BB plumes that we had only previously observed in the fire emissions at the Fire Sciences Laboratory. 594 595 Analysis of BB plumes from the Fourmile Canyon Fire afforded a unique opportunity to investigate BB 596 emissions measured by this same GC-MS system in simulated and real fires and to explore issues associated with the presence of other VOC sources such as urban emissions and natural biogenic 597 598 emissions during both the daytime and nighttime; with nighttime smoke measurements being very rarely reported (Adler et al., 2011). 599

600 First we identify the potential emission sources impacting the measurements. Acetonitrile is a 601 common BB tracer that we use to help clarify periods of BB influence. As seen in Fig. 6, BB plumes are readily distinguished by concurrent increases in acetonitrile (CH₃CN), carbon monoxide (CO), and several 602 603 VOCs. Species such as benzonitrile and furan are very tightly correlated with acetonitrile (r > 0.94, Table 3) and enhancements in ambient mixing ratios above detection limit only occur in the BB plumes 604 indicating that BB was the only significant source of these compounds. VOCs such as isoprene and 605 606 alpha-pinene were similarly enhanced in the BB plumes and well correlated with acetonitrile during BB 607 episodes; however, the mixing ratios observed in the BB plume were generally lower than those observed 608 at other times from the natural sunlight-dependent emissions of isoprene (e.g., 09:00 - 15:00 local time) and from the accumulation of monoterpenes in the nocturnal boundary layer (e.g., 9/8/2010 18:00 to 609 9/9/2010 06:00). 3-Carene was the only monoterpene that had significantly higher mixing ratios in the BB 610 611 plume than in biogenic emissions. Ethene, ethyne, benzene, styrene, and methanol were enhanced in the BB plumes but are also present in urban emissions. An urban plume at 06:00-09:00 9/9/2010 (Fig. 6) 612 is enhanced in all of these species and CO; however, acetonitrile is not enhanced. 613

614 Observed enhancement ratios of several VOCs relative to acetonitrile and CO are compiled in Table 3 along with the types of emission sources for each VOC. Figure 7 shows a comparison of the 615 616 VOC to acetonitrile ratios of select species for the Fourmile Canyon Fire and the laboratory-based biomass burns of all fuel types. We have identified benzofuran, 2-furaldehyde, 2-methylfuran, furan, and 617 benzonitrile as the "best" tracers for BB emissions from these observations. These species (i) were well 618 correlated with both acetonitrile and CO in the BB plumes, (ii) had negligible emissions from the urban 619 and biogenic sources impacting the measurement site, and (iii) had large enhancements in BB plumes. 620 621 In theory, the relative ratios of these species to acetonitrile may also be used as a BB-specific 622 photochemical clock since each of these species represent a range of reactivities that are much greater than that of acetonitrile (Table 3). We compared the enhancement ratios of each VOC marker vs. 623 acetonitrile for the two BB plumes observed on 9/8/2010 in order to determine if the relative age of the 624 two BB plumes could be distinguished. While the enhancement ratios for several VOCs in each plume 625 626 were statistically different from one another, there was no clear relationship between the observed

differences in the enhancement ratios and the relative reactivity of the VOCs. Thus, small differences in

the observed enhancement ratios more likely relate to differences in the fuel composition, the relative

ratio of flaming vs. smoldering emissions in each BB plume, or variable secondary sources. Given

- enough time for significant photochemistry to occur as a BB plume moves further from the source, these
- ratios could be more useful to estimate photochemical ages.
- 632

633 **4 Conclusions**

634 We report a chemically detailed analysis of the trace gases emitted from burning 18 different biomass fuel types important in the southwestern, southeastern, and northern U.S. A complementary 635 suite of state-of-the-art instruments was used to identify and quantify over 200 organic and 9 inorganic 636 gases emitted from laboratory burns. Most of the species were quantified via discrete sampling by the 637 GC-MS, which also provided confirmation for the real-time PIT-MS and PTR-MS mass assignments 638 639 (Warneke et al., 2011). The variability in emissions over the course of each biomass burn was measured in detail by the fast-response instruments providing valuable insight into the combustion chemistry and 640 processes that govern the emissions of various species. 641

By comparing discrete and fire-integrated ERs for various VOCs relative to CO, we show that the discrete GC-MS samples adequately represented the fire-integrated ER within an average factor of 1.2 ± 0.2 and fire-to-fire variability for VOCs emitted mainly by smoldering, which are the majority of VOCs. Discrete ERs for VOCs emitted by both flaming and smoldering were highly variable and showed a clear bifurcation depending on the mix of combustion processes during sampling. This analysis highlights the importance of collecting multiple discrete samples at various stages of replicate burns if fire-integrated emissions cannot be measured to ensure adequate measurement of all VOCs.

649 The distribution of VOC emissions (magnitude and composition) was different for each fuel region. The largest total VOC emissions were from fuels representing the northern U.S. while 650 southwestern U.S. fuels produced the lowest total VOC emissions. VOCs contributed less than 0.78% ± 651 0.12% of total detected gas-phase emissions by mole and less than $0.95\% \pm 0.07\%$ by mass due to the 652 predominance of CO₂, CO, CH₄, and NO_x emissions. However, VOCs contributed 70-90 (±16)% of the 653 654 total calculated OH reactivity and 100% of the potential SOA precursors emitted from combustion of biomass. Over 82% of the VOC emissions by mole are unsaturated species including highly reactive 655 alkenes, aromatics and terpenes as well as photolabile OVOCs such as aldehydes and ketones. VOCs 656 with the largest ERs common to all fuel types are formaldehyde, ethene, acetic acid, and methanol. 657

0VOCs contributed the dominant fraction of both the total VOC mass emitted (>57%) and potential SOA precursors (>52%), and also contributed a significant fraction of the OH reactivity for all fuel regions making them an important class of VOCs to understand the air quality impacts of BB emissions. Reactive and photolabile OVOCs such as formaldehyde, 2-propenal (acrolein), and 2-butenal (crotonaldehyde) are toxic, a source of free radicals, and/or precursors of peroxynitrates that may contribute to O₃ formation downwind of the source. Furans are a class of OVOCs in BB emissions that

664 contributed 9 to 14% of the VOC-OH reactivity for all fuel regions; however, their potential as SOA

- 665 precursors, particularly for species such as 2-furaldehyde and benzofuran, requires further study. The
- 666 estimated SOA formation potential was dominated by oxygenated aromatics (benzenediols, phenols, and
- 667 benzaldehyde). Potentially important species that were not measured but should be considered in future
- 668 studies include glyxoal, glycoaldehyde, acetol, guaiacols, and syringols (Stockwell et al., 2015).
- The Fourmile Canyon Fire in Boulder, CO, allowed us to identify and quantify a number of VOCs in ambient BB plumes that we had only previously observed in the emissions from laboratory fires at the
- Fire Sciences facility and investigate BB emissions in the presence of other VOC sources such as urban
- emissions and biogenic emissions during both the day and nighttime. We identified benzofuran, 2-
- 673 furaldehyde, 2-methylfuran, furan, and benzonitrile as the "best" tracers for BB emissions from our
- observations. In theory, the relative ratios of these species to acetonitrile may also be used as a BB-
- specific photochemical clock since each of these species represent a range of reactivities assuming a
- 676 negligible photochemical source.
- 677

678 Acknowledgements

- This work was supported by the Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program (SERDP) projects RC-1648 and RC-1649 and we thank the sponsors for their support. J. Gilman, W. Kuster, P. Veres, J. M. Roberts, C. Warneke, and J. de Gouw were supported in part by National Science
- Foundation (NSF) Grant No. ATM 1542457, the CIRES Innovative Research Program, and NOAA's
- Health of the Atmosphere and Climate Goals Programs. R. Yokelson was also supported by NSF Grant
- No. ATM 0936321. We appreciate the efforts of Jim Reardon, David Weise, Joey Chong, Bonni Corcoran,
- Amy Olson, Violet Holley, Signe Leirfallom, Anna Lahde, Jehn Rawling, Greg Cohen, and Emily Lincoln to
- sample/harvest the wildland fuels and/or assemble the laboratory fuel beds for this study.
- 687

688 **References**

- Adler, G., Flores, J. M., Riziq, A. A., Borrmann, S., and Rudich, Y.: Chemical, physical, and optical
- evolution of biomass burning aerosols: a case study, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 1491-1503,
- 691 doi:10.5194/acp-11-1491-2011, 2011.
- 692
- Akagi, S. K., Yokelson, R. J., Wiedinmyer, C., Alvarado, M. J., Reid, J. S., Karl, T., Crounse, J. D., and
 Wennberg, P. O.: Emission factors for open and domestic biomass burning for use in atmospheric
 models, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 4039-4072, doi:10.5194/acp-11-4039-2011, 2011.
- 696
- Akagi, S. K., Craven, J. S., Taylor, J. W., McMeeking, G. R., Yokelson, R. J., Burling, I. R., Urbanski, S. P.,
- Wold, C. E., Seinfeld, J. H., Coe, H., Alvarado, M. J., and Weise, D. R.: Evolution of trace gases and
- particles emitted by a chaparral fire in California, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 1397-1421, doi:10.5194/acp-
- 700 12-1397-2012, 2012.
- 701

- 702Alvarado, M. J., and Prinn, R. G.: Formation of ozone and growth of aerosols in young smoke plumes703from biomass burning: 1. Lagrangian parcel studies, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 114, D09306,
- 704 doi:10.1029/2008jd011144, 2009.

705

- Alvarado, M. J., Lonsdale, C. R., Yokelson, R. J., Akagi, S. K., Coe, H., Craven, J. S., Fischer, E. V.,
 McMeeking, G. R., Seinfeld, J. H., Soni, T., Taylor, J. W., Weise, D. R., and Wold, C. E.: Investigating the
- links between ozone and organic aerosol chemistry in a biomass burning plume from a prescribed fire in
 California chaparral, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 6667-6688, doi:10.5194/acp-15-6667-2015, 2015.
- 710
- Alvarez, E. G., Borras, E., Viidanoja, J., and Hjorth, J.: Unsaturated dicarbonyl products from the OHinitiated photo-oxidation of furan, 2-methylfuran and 3-methylfuran, Atmos. Environ., 43, 1603-1612,
 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.12.019, 2009.
- 714
- Andreae, M. O., and Merlet, P.: Emission of trace gases and aerosols from biomass burning, Global
 Biogeochem. Cy., 15, 955-966, 2001.

717

- Bahreini, R., Middlebrook, A. M., de Gouw, J. A., Warneke, C., Trainer, M., Brock, C. A., Stark, H., Brown,
- S. S., Dube, W. P., Gilman, J. B., Hall, K., Holloway, J. S., Kuster, W. C., Perring, A. E., Prevot, A. S. H.,
- Schwarz, J. P., Spackman, J. R., Szidat, S., Wagner, N. L., Weber, R. J., Zotter, P., and Parrish, D. D.:
- Gasoline emissions dominate over diesel in formation of secondary organic aerosol mass, Geophys. Res.
 Lett., 39, L06805, doi:10.1029/2011gl050718, 2012.
- 723
- Bierbach, A., Barnes, I., and Becker, K. H.: Rate Coefficients For The Gas-Phase Reactions Of Hydroxyl
 Radicals With Furan, 2-Methylfuran, 2-Ethylfuran And 2,5-Dimethylfuran At 300+/-2-K, Atmos. Environ.,
 26, 813-817, 1992.

727

Bierbach, A., Barnes, I., and Becker, K. H.: Product and kinetic study of the oh-initiated gas-phase
oxidation of Furan, 2-methylfuran and furanaldehydes at ≈ 300 K, Atmos. Environ., 29, 2651-2660,
doi:10.1016/1352-2310(95)00096-H, 1995.

731

- Burling, I. R., Yokelson, R. J., Griffith, D. W. T., Johnson, T. J., Veres, P., Roberts, J. M., Warneke, C.,
- Urbanski, S. P., Reardon, J., Weise, D. R., Hao, W. M., and de Gouw, J.: Laboratory measurements of
- trace gas emissions from biomass burning of fuel types from the southeastern and southwestern United
- 735 States, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 11115-11130, doi:10.5194/acp-10-11115-2010, 2010.

736

- Burling, I. R., Yokelson, R. J., Akagi, S. K., Urbanski, S. P., Wold, C. E., Griffith, D. W. T., Johnson, T. J.,
 Reardon, J., and Weise, D. R.: Airborne and ground-based measurements of the trace gases and particles
 emitted by prescribed fires in the United States, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 12197-12216,
- 740 doi:10.5194/acp-11-12197-2011, 2011.

- 742 Christian, T. J., Kleiss, B., Yokelson, R. J., Holzinger, R., Crutzen, P. J., Hao, W. M., Saharjo, B. H., and
- 743 Ward, D. E.: Comprehensive laboratory measurements of biomass-burning emissions: 1. Emissions from
- Indonesian, African, and other fuels, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 108, doi:10.1029/2003jd003704, 2003.

745 746 Christian, T. J., Kleiss, B., Yokelson, R. J., Holzinger, R., Crutzen, P. J., Hao, W. M., Shirai, T., and Blake, D. 747 R.: Comprehensive laboratory measurements of biomass-burning emissions: 2. First intercomparison of 748 open-path FTIR, PTR-MS, and GC- MS/FID/ECD, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 109, 2004. 749 Crutzen, P. J., and Andreae, M. O.: Biomass burning in the Tropics - impact on atmospheric chemistry 750 751 and biogeochemical cycles, Science, 250, 1669-1678, doi:10.1126/science.250.4988.1669, 1990. 752 753 de Gouw, J. A., Warneke, C., Parrish, D. D., Holloway, J. S., Trainer, M., and Fehsenfeld, F. C.: Emission sources and ocean uptake of acetonitrile (CH₃CN) in the atmosphere, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 108, 754 755 4329, doi:10.1029/2002jd002897, 2003. 756 de Gouw, J. A., Middlebrook, A. M., Warneke, C., Goldan, P. D., Kuster, W. C., Roberts, J. M., Fehsenfeld, 757 F. C., Worsnop, D. R., Canagaratna, M. R., Pszenny, A. A. P., Keene, W. C., Marchewka, M., Bertman, S. 758 759 B., and Bates, T. S.: Budget of organic carbon in a polluted atmosphere: Results from the New England 760 Air Quality Study in 2002, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 110, D16305, doi:10.1029/2004JD005623, 2005. 761 762 de Gouw, J. A., Warneke, C., Stohl, A., Wollny, A. G., Brock, C. A., Cooper, O. R., Holloway, J. S., Trainer, 763 M., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Atlas, E. L., Donnelly, S. G., Stroud, V., and Lueb, A.: Volatile organic compounds composition of merged and aged forest fire plumes from Alaska and western Canada, J. Geophys. Res.-764 Atmos., 111, D10303, doi:10.1029/2005JD006175, 2006. 765 766 767 Demirbas, M. F., and Demirbas, T.: Hazardous emissions from combustion of biomass, Energ. Source, 31, 768 527-534, doi:10.1080/15567030802466953, 2009. 769 770 Derwent, R. G., Jenkin, M. E., Utembe, S. R., Shallcross, D. E., Murrells, T. P., and Passant, N. R.: 771 Secondary organic aerosol formation from a large number of reactive man-made organic compounds, 772 Sci. Total Environ., 408, 3374-3381, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.04.013, 2010. 773 774 Estrellan, C. R., and lino, F.: Toxic emissions from open burning, Chemosphere, 80, 193-207, 775 doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.03.057, 2010. 776 777 Fischer, E. V., Jacob, D. J., Yantosca, R. M., Sulprizio, M. P., Millet, D. B., Mao, J., Paulot, F., Singh, H. B., 778 Roiger, A., Ries, L., Talbot, R. W., Dzepina, K., and Deolal, S. P.: Atmospheric peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN): a 779 global budget and source attribution, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 2679-2698, doi:10.5194/acp-14-2679-780 2014, 2014. 781 782 Friedli, H. R., Atlas, E., Stroud, V. R., Giovanni, L., Campos, T., and Radke, L. F.: Volatile organic trace 783 gases emitted from North American wildfires, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 15, 435-452, 2001. 784 785 Gerbig, C., Schmitgen, S., Kley, D., Volz-Thomas, A., Dewey, K., and Haaks, D.: An improved fast-response 786 vacuum-UV resonance fluorescence CO instrument, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 104, 1699-1704, 1999.

Gilman, submitted to ACP on 11/24/2015

Gilman, J. B., Kuster, W. C., Goldan, P. D., Herndon, S. C., Zahniser, M. S., Tucker, S. C., Brewer, W. A., 788 789 Lerner, B. M., Williams, E. J., Harley, R. A., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Warneke, C., and de Gouw, J. A.: 790 Measurements of volatile organic compounds during the 2006 TexAQS/GoMACCS campaign: Industrial 791 influences, regional characteristics, and diurnal dependencies of the OH reactivity, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 114, doi:10.1029/2008jd011525, 2009. 792 793 794 Gilman, J. B., Burkhart, J. F., Lerner, B. M., Williams, E. J., Kuster, W. C., Goldan, P. D., Murphy, P. C., Warneke, C., Fowler, C., Montzka, S. A., Miller, B. R., Miller, L., Oltmans, S. J., Ryerson, T. B., Cooper, O. 795 R., Stohl, A., and de Gouw, J. A.: Ozone variability and halogen oxidation within the Arctic and sub-Arctic 796 797 springtime boundary layer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 10223-10236, doi:10.5194/acp-10-10223-2010, 798 2010. 799 800 Goldan, P. D., Kuster, W. C., Williams, E., Murphy, P. C., Fehsenfeld, F. C., and Meagher, J.: Nonmethane 801 hydrocarbon and oxy hydrocarbon measurements during the 2002 New England Air Quality Study, J. 802 Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 109, D21309, doi:10.1029/2003JD004455, 2004. 803 Hatch, L. E., Luo, W., Pankow, J. F., Yokelson, R. J., Stockwell, C. E., and Barsanti, K. C.: Identification and 804 quantification of gaseous organic compounds emitted from biomass burning using two-dimensional gas 805 chromatography-time-of-flight mass spectrometry, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 1865-1899, 806 807 doi:10.5194/acp-15-1865-2015, 2015. 808 Hegg, D. A., Radke, L. F., Hobbs, P. V., Rasmussen, R. A., and Riggan, P. J.: Emissions of some trace gases 809 810 from biomass fires, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 95, 5669-5675, doi:10.1029/JD095iD05p05669, 1990. 811 812 Heilman, W. E., Liu, Y., Urbanski, S., Kovalev, V., and Mickler, R.: Wildland fire emissions, carbon, and climate: Plume rise, atmospheric transport, and chemistry processes, Forest Ecol. Manag., 317, 70-79, 813 814 doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2013.02.001, 2014. 815 816 Hennigan, C. J., Miracolo, M. A., Engelhart, G. J., May, A. A., Presto, A. A., Lee, T., Sullivan, A. P., 817 McMeeking, G. R., Coe, H., Wold, C. E., Hao, W. M., Gilman, J. B., Kuster, W. C., de Gouw, J., Schichtel, B. A., Collett, J. L., Jr., Kreidenweis, S. M., and Robinson, A. L.: Chemical and physical transformations of 818 819 organic aerosol from the photo-oxidation of open biomass burning emissions in an environmental 820 chamber, 11, 7669-7686, doi:10.5194/acp-11-7669-2011, 2011. 821 Holzinger, R., Warneke, C., Hansel, A., Jordan, A., Lindinger, W., Scharffe, D. H., Schade, G., and Crutzen, 822 P. J.: Biomass burning as a source of formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, methanol, acetone, acetonitrile, and 823 824 hydrogen cyanide, Geophys. Res. Lett., 26, 1161-1164, doi:10.1029/1999gl900156, 1999. 825 826 Jaffe, D. A., and Wigder, N. L.: Ozone production from wildfires: A critical review, Atmos. Environ., 51, 1-827 10, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.11.063, 2012. 828 Karl, T. G., Christian, T. J., Yokelson, R. J., Artaxo, P., Hao, W. M., and Guenther, A.: The Tropical Forest 829 830 and Fire Emissions Experiment: method evaluation of volatile organic compound emissions measured by

- PTR-MS, FTIR, and GC from tropical biomass burning, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 5883-5897,
- doi:10.5194/acp-7-5883-2007, 2007.
- 833
- Kroll, J. H., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Chemistry of secondary organic aerosol: Formation and evolution of low-
- volatility organics in the atmosphere, Atmos. Environ., 42, 3593-3624,
- doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.01.003, 2008.
- 837
- Manion, J. A., Huie, R. E., Levin, R. D., Burgess Jr., D. R., Orkin, V. L., Tsang, W., McGivern, W. S.,
- Hudgens, J. W., Knyazev, V. D., Atkinson, D. B., Chai, E., Tereza, A. M., Lin, C. J., Allison, T. C., Mallard, W.
- G., Westley, F., Herron, J. T., Hampson, R. F., and Frizzell, D. H.: NIST Standard Reference Database 17,
- 841 Version 7.0 (Web Version <u>http://kinetics.nist.gov/)</u>, 2015.
- 842
- Mason, S. A., Trentmann, J., Winterrath, T., Yokelson, R. J., Christian, T. J., Carlson, L. J., Warner, T. R.,
- 844 Wolfe, L. C., and Andreae, M. O.: Intercomparison of two box models of the chemical evolution in
- biomass-burning smoke plumes, J. Atmos. Chem., 55, 273-297, doi:10.1007/s10874-006-9039-5, 2006.
- 846
- McDonald, J. D., Zielinska, B., Fujita, E. M., Sagebiel, J. C., Chow, J. C., and Watson, J. G.: Fine particle and
 gaseous emission rates from residential wood combustion, Environ. Sci. Technol., 34, 2080-2091,
 doi:10.1021/es9909632, 2000.
- 850

McMeeking, G. R., Kreidenweis, S. M., Baker, S., Carrico, C. M., Chow, J. C., Collett, J. L., Hao, W. M.,
Holden, A. S., Kirchstetter, T. W., Malm, W. C., Moosmuller, H., Sullivan, A. P., and Wold, C. E.: Emissions
of trace gases and aerosols during the open combustion of biomass in the laboratory, J. Geophys. Res.Atmos., 114, D19210, doi:10.1029/2009jd011836, 2009.

- 855
- Murray, K. K., Boyd, R. K., Eberlin, M. N., Langley, G. J., Li, L., and Naito, Y.: Definitions of terms relating
 to mass spectrometry (IUPAC Recommendations 2013), 85, 1515-1609, doi:10.1351/pac-rec-06-04-06,
 2013.
- 859
- Odum, J. R., Jungkamp, T. P. W., Griffin, R. J., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: The atmospheric aerosol forming potential of whole gasoline vapor, Science, 276, 96-99, 1997.
- 862
- Pandis, S. N., Harley, R. A., Cass, G. R., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Secondary organic aerosol formation and
 transport, Atmos. Environ., 26, 2269-2282, 1992.
- 865
- Roberts, J. M., Marchewka, M., Bertman, S. B., Sommariva, R., Warneke, C., de Gouw, J., Kuster, W.,
 Goldan, P., Williams, E., Lerner, B. M., Murphy, P., and Fehsenfeld, F. C.: Measurements of PANs during
- the New England air quality study 2002, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 112, D20306,
- 869 doi:10.1029/2007JD008667, 2007.

- 871 Roberts, J. M., Veres, P., Warneke, C., Neuman, J. A., Washenfelder, R. A., Brown, S. S., Baasandorj, M.,
- 872 Burkholder, J. B., Burling, I. R., Johnson, T. J., Yokelson, R. J., and de Gouw, J.: Measurement of HONO,
- 873 HNCO, and other inorganic acids by negative-ion proton-transfer chemical-ionization mass spectrometry

- (NI-PT-CIMS): application to biomass burning emissions, Atmos. Meas. Technol., 3, 981-990,
- doi:doi:10.5194/amt-3-981-2010, 2010.
- 876

877 Roberts, J. M., Veres, P. R., Cochran, A. K., Warneke, C., Burling, I. R., Yokelson, R. J., Lerner, B., Gilman, J.

- 878 B., Kuster, W. C., Fall, R., and de Gouw, J.: Isocyanic acid in the atmosphere and its possible link to
- smoke-related health effects, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 108, 8966-8971,
- doi:10.1073/pnas.1103352108, 2011.
- 881
- 882 Schauer, J. J., Kleeman, M. J., Cass, G. R., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Measurement of emissions from air
- pollution sources. 3. C-1-C-29 organic compounds from fireplace combustion of wood, Environ. Sci.
 Technol., 35, 1716-1728, doi:10.1021/es001331e, 2001.
- 885
- Simpson, I. J., Akagi, S. K., Barletta, B., Blake, N. J., Choi, Y., Diskin, G. S., Fried, A., Fuelberg, H. E.,
- Meinardi, S., Rowland, F. S., Vay, S. A., Weinheimer, A. J., Wennberg, P. O., Wiebring, P., Wisthaler, A.,
- 888 Yang, M., Yokelson, R. J., and Blake, D. R.: Boreal forest fire emissions in fresh Canadian smoke plumes:
- 889 C₁-C₁₀ volatile organic compounds (VOCs), CO₂, CO, NO₂, NO, HCN and CH₃CN, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11,
- 890 6445-6463, doi:10.5194/acp-11-6445-2011, 2011.
- 891
- Sommers, W. T., Loehman, R. A., and Hardy, C. C.: Wildland fire emissions, carbon, and climate: Science
 overview and knowledge needs, Forest Ecol. Manag., 317, 1-8, doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2013.12.014, 2014.
- 894
- Stockwell, C. E., Yokelson, R. J., Kreidenweis, S. M., Robinson, A. L., DeMott, P. J., Sullivan, R. C., Reardon,
 J., Ryan, K. C., Griffith, D. W. T., and Stevens, L.: Trace gas emissions from combustion of peat, crop
 residue, domestic biofuels, grasses, and other fuels: configuration and Fourier transform infrared (FTIR)
 component of the fourth Fire Lab at Missoula Experiment (FLAME-4), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 97279754, doi:10.5194/acp-14-9727-2014, 2014.
- 900
- Stockwell, C. E., Veres, P. R., Williams, J., and Yokelson, R. J.: Characterization of biomass burning
 emissions from cooking fires, peat, crop residue, and other fuels with high-resolution proton-transferreaction time-of-flight mass spectrometry, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 845-865, doi:10.5194/acp-15-8452015, 2015.
- 905
- Trentmann, J., Andreae, M. O., and Graf, H. F.: Chemical processes in a young biomass-burning plume, J.
 Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 108, 4705-4714, doi:10.1029/2003jd003732, 2003.
- 908
- 909 Trentmann, J., Yokelson, R. J., Hobbs, P. V., Winterrath, T., Christian, T. J., Andreae, M. O., and Mason, S.
- A.: An analysis of the chemical processes in the smoke plume from a savanna fire, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 110, D12301, doi:10.1029/2004jd005628, 2005.
- 912
- Tuazon, E. C., Alvarado, A., Aschmann, S. M., Atkinson, R., and Arey, J.: Products of the gas-phase
- reactions of 1,3-butadiene with OH and NO₃ Radicals, Environ. Sci. Technol., 33, 3586-3595,
- 915 doi:10.1021/es990193u, 1999.

Urbanski, S.: Wildland fire emissions, carbon, and climate: Emission factors, Forest. Ecol. Manag., 317,
51-60, doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2013.05.045, 2014.

919

Veres, P., Roberts, J. M., Burling, I. R., Warneke, C., de Gouw, J., and Yokelson, R. J.: Measurements of
 gas-phase inorganic and organic acids from biomass fires by negative-ion proton-transfer chemical-

- ionization mass spectrometry, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 115, D23302, doi:10.1029/2010jd014033, 2010.
- 923

Warneke, C., Roberts, J. M., Veres, P., Gilman, J., Kuster, W. C., Burling, I., Yokelson, R., and de Gouw, J.

- A.: VOC identification and inter-comparison from laboratory biomass burning using PTR-MS and PIT-MS,
- 926 Int. J. Mass Spectrom., 303, 6-14, doi:10.1016/j.ijms.2010.12.002, 2011.
- 927
- Yokelson, R. J., Griffith, D. W. T., and Ward, D. E.: Open-path Fourier transform infrared studies of largescale laboratory biomass fires, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 101, 21067-21080, 1996.
- 930
- Yokelson, R. J., Burling, I. R., Gilman, J. B., Warneke, C., Stockwell, C. E., de Gouw, J., Akagi, S. K.,
- Urbanski, S. P., Veres, P., Roberts, J. M., Kuster, W. C., Reardon, J., Griffith, D. W. T., Johnson, T. J.,
- Hosseini, S., Miller, J. W., Cocker, D. R., Jung, H., and Weise, D. R.: Coupling field and laboratory
- 934 measurements to estimate the emission factors of identified and unidentified trace gases for prescribed
- 935 fires, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 89-116, doi:10.5194/acp-13-89-2013, 2013.

936

937

Table 1. Measurement descriptions.

Instrument Identifier	Measurement Technique	Measurement Descriptions	Detection Qualifications	Instru. Details and Companion Papers
GC-MS	Gas chromatography- (Quadrupole) Mass Spectrometry	Discrete sampling via cryogenic pre- concentration, chromatographic separation, detection and identification via electron impact (EI) mass spectrum	Melting point greater than -185 °C; Boiling point less than 220 °C; Sufficiently non-polar (e.g., no acids); Fragment ion (m/z): 26 to 150	Goldan et al. (2004) Gilman et al. (2010) Yokelson et al. (2013)
PTR-MS	Proton Transfer Reaction- (Quadrupole) Mass Spectrometry	Real-time sampling via proton transfer reactions with H_3O^* , quantification via protonated molecule [M+H] ⁺	Proton affinity greater than water; Protonated molecular mass (m/z): 20-240	Warneke et al. (2011) Yokelson et al. (2013)
PIT-MS	Proton Transfer Reaction- (Ion Trap) Mass Spectrometry	Real-time sampling via proton transfer reactions with H_3O^* , quantification via protonated molecule [M+H] ⁺	Proton affinity greater than water; Protonated molecular mass (m/z): 20-240	Warneke et al. (2011) Yokelson et al. (2013)
NI-PT- CIMS	Negative Ion-Proton Transfer Reaction- (Quadrupole) Mass Spectrometry	Real-time sampling via proton transfer reactions with CH ₃ C(O)O ⁻ , quantification via deprotonated ion [M- H] ⁻	Gas-phase acidity greater than that of acetic acid; Deprotonated molecular mass (m/z): 10- 225	Veres et al. (2011) Roberts et al. (2011) Yokelson et al. (2013)
OP-FTIR	Open Path-Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy	Real-time spectral scanning via open path White cell (58 m pathlength), offline identification via compound specific infrared absorption features	Strong absoprtion features between 600- 3400 cm ⁻¹ that are unique and free of interferences from other strong IR- absorbers (e.g., H_2O)	Burling et al. (2011) Yokelson et al. (2013)

943 **Table 2**. Mean VOC to CO discrete emission ratios (ERs, ppbv per ppmv CO) for the southwestern (SW), southeastern (SE), and northern (N)

944 fuel regions.

Name	Formula	MW	D	m/z	SW Mean ER (± s.d.) npnts		SE Mean ER (± s.d.) npnts		N Mean ER (± s.d.) npnts			k _{он}	SOAP		
Alkanes (Saturated, D = 0)															
Ethane	C2H6	30	0	27	1.8388	(1.2846)	25	4.5311	(3.8024)	23	6.8510	(3.5152)	4	0.25	0.1
Propane	C3H8	44	0	27	0.6317	(0.9985)	23	1.5957	(1.2193)	18	1.4633	(0.9354)	4	1.1	0
Butane_iso	C4H10	58	0	43	0.0522	(0.0813)	29	0.2984	(0.4734)	20	0.0982	(0.0620)	4	2.1	0
Butane_n	C4H10	58	0	43	0.1038	(0.1829)	29	0.3333	(0.2902)	20	0.4005	(0.2804)	4	2.4	0.3
Propane_22dimethyl*	C5H12	72	0	57	0.0003	(0.0008)	29	0.0004	(0.0008)	23	0.0006	(0.0007)	4	0.83	0.2
Pentane_iso	C5H12	72	0	43	0.0167	(0.0585)	29	0.0580	(0.0878)	23	0.0322	(0.0261)	4	3.6	0.2
Pentane_n	C5H12	72	0	43	0.0271	(0.0427)	29	0.0889	(0.0789)	23	0.1400	(0.1130)	4	3.8	0.3
Butane_22dimethyl	C6H14	86	0	71	0.0002	(0.0008)	29	0.0001	(0.0002)	23			0	2.2	0.1*
Pentane_3methyl	C6H14	86	0	57	0.0009	(0.0010)	9	0.0089	(0.0117)	16	0.0045	(0.0031)	4	5.2	0.2
Hexane_n	C6H14	86	0	57	0.0159	(0.0225)	29	0.0572	(0.0516)	23	0.0814	(0.0634)	4	5.2	0.1
Heptane_n	C7H16	100	0	43	0.0218	(0.0176)	9	0.0640	(0.0387)	14	0.0836	(0.0674)	4	6.8	0.1
Octane_n	C8H18	114	0	43	0.0138	(0.0128)	9	0.0469	(0.0281)	14	0.0536	(0.0353)	4	8.1	0.8
Nonane_n	C9H20	128	0	57	0.0085	(0.0079)	9	0.0358	(0.0213)	13	0.0369	(0.0269)	4	9.7	1.9
Decane_n	C10H22	142	0	57	0.0083	(0.0060)	9	0.0310	(0.0222)	14	0.0330	(0.0212)	4	11	7
Undecane_n	C11H24	156	0	57	0.0111	(0.0054)	8	0.0412	(0.0304)	12	0.0425	(0.0208)	4	12	16.2
Alkenes (Unsaturated, D =	1)														
Ethene	C2H4	28	1	27	5.8525	(4.1077)	25	8.1879	(4.2382)	21	18.316	(12.8430)	4	8.5	1.3
Propene	C3H6	42	1	41	2.0801	(2.0528)	29	3.4917	(2.1610)	23	8.5115	(3.4340)	4	26	1.6
Propene_2methyl	C4H8	56	1	41	0.1046	(0.1652)	29	0.2668	(0.2151)	23	0.3162	(0.3624)	4	51	0.6
Butene_1	C4H8	56	1	41	0.2961	(0.3761)	29	0.4851	(0.3320)	23	1.5227	(0.6632)	4	31	1.2
Butene_cis2	C4H8	56	1	41	0.0579	(0.0937)	29	0.1209	(0.0920)	23	0.2397	(0.1916)	4	56	3.6
Butene_trans2	C4H8	56	1	41	0.0615	(0.1036)	29	0.1427	(0.1174)	23	0.2732	(0.2648)	4	64	4
Butene_1_2methyl	C5H10	70	1	55	0.0202	(0.0256)	29	0.0391	(0.0284)	23	0.0881	(0.0462)	4	61	0.9
Butene_1_3methyl	C5H10	70	1	55	0.0091	(0.0202)	8	0.0152	(0.0168)	15	0.0183	(0.0164)	4	32	0.6
Butene_2_2methyl	C5H10	70	1	55	0.0224	(0.0317)	8	0.0996	(0.0634)	14	0.1881	(0.0965)	4	87	1.9
Cyclopentane	C5H10	70	1	42	0.0024	(0.0040)	29	0.0064	(0.0053)	23	0.0108	(0.0074)	4	4.8	0*
Pentene_1	C5H10	70	1	55	0.0429	(0.0654)	29	0.0902	(0.0773)	23	0.2311	(0.1872)	4	31	0
Pentene_cis2	C5H10	70	1	55	0.0432	(0.0638)	8	0.1396	(0.0883)	14	0.2905	(0.1492)	4	65	3.1
Pentene_trans2	C5H10	70	1	55	0.0276	(0.0341)	29	0.0422	(0.0304)	23	0.1180	(0.0667)	4	67	3.1
Cyclopentane_1methyl	C6H12	84	1	56	0.0040	(0.0037)	9	0.0147	(0.0139)	16	0.0159	(0.0113)	4	8.6	0*
Pentene_1_2methyl*	C6H12	84	1	56	0.0890	(0.1102)	9	0.1782	(0.1162)	14	0.4980	(0.2945)	4	55	1*

Cyclohexane	C6H12	84	1	84	0.0012	(0.0014)	9	0.0052	(0.0028)	14	0.0052	(0.0035)	4	7	0
Hexene_1	C6H12	84	1	84	0.1029	(0.1182)	8	0.2039	(0.0943)	12	0.4904	(0.2844)	4	37	0
Hexene_cis2	C6H12	84	1	84	0.0256	(0.0338)	9	0.0522	(0.0443)	16	0.1552	(0.0586)	4	62	1.3
Hexenes (Sum of 3 isomers)*	C6H12	84	1	84	0.0931	(0.1166)	9	0.1788	(0.1376)	16	0.5432	(0.2920)	4	62	1.3*
Cyclohexane_methyl	C7H14	98	1	83	0.0023	(0.0023)	8	0.0097	(0.0063)	14	0.0111	(0.0071)	4	9.6	0*
Heptene_1*	C7H14	98	1	56	0.0547	(0.0595)	9	0.1168	(0.0721)	14	0.2868	(0.1559)	4	38	0*
Octene_1	C8H16	112	1	55	0.0431	(0.0486)	9	0.1013	(0.0482)	13	0.1651	(0.0926)	4	36	0*
Nonene_1*	C9H18	126	1	41	0.0097	(0.0122)	9	0.0196	(0.0153)	16	0.0474	(0.0326)	4	42	1.9*
Decene_1*	C10H20	140	1	56	0.0133	(0.0159)	9	0.0260	(0.0228)	16	0.0812	(0.0415)	4	46	7*
Undecene_1*	C11H22	154	1	55	0.0103	(0.0100)	9	0.0279	(0.0292)	16	0.0647	(0.0251)	4	48	16*
Alkynes and Alkenes (Polyur	nsaturated, I) > 1)													
Ethyne	C2H2	26	2	IR	2.3905	(3.0119)	27	1.7412	(1.3580)	23	5.0910	(5.6894)	4	0.9	0.1
Propyne*	C3H4	40	2	39	0.2093	(0.1503)	29	0.1850	(0.1626)	23	0.7876	(0.6405)	4	3.1	0*
Butadiyne_13 (Diacetylene)*	C4H2	50	4	50	0.0080	(0.0054)	9	0.0041	(0.0052)	16	0.0427	(0.0651)	4	16	0*
Butenyne (Vinylacetylene)*	C4H4	52	3	52	0.0285	(0.0452)	9	0.0154	(0.0190)	16	0.0824	(0.1062)	4	20*	0*
Butadiene_12*	C4H6	54	2	54	0.0101	(0.0146)	29	0.0087	(0.0095)	23	0.0441	(0.0343)	4	27	1.8*
Butadiene_13	C4H6	54	2	54	0.4065	(0.5315)	29	0.4122	(0.3530)	23	1.8781	(0.9509)	4	67	1.8
Butyne (1- or 2-)*	C4H6	54	2	54	0.0221	(0.0287)	9	0.0158	(0.0146)	16	0.0693	(0.0300)	4	8*	0*
Cyclopentadiene_13*	C5H6	66	3	66	0.1724	(0.3868)	8	0.1747	(0.0992)	14	0.5836	(0.3458)	4	92	0*
Pentenyne isomer (e.g., propenylacetylene)*	C5H6	66	3	66	0.0161	(0.0176)	9	0.0107	(0.0119)	16	0.0651	(0.0395)	4	92*	0*
Butyne 3methyl*	C5H8	68	2	67	0.0090	(0.0166)	9	0.0103	(0.0108)	16	0.0426	(0.0303)	4	°= 11*	0*
Cyclopentene*	C5H8	68	2	67	0.0699	(0.1240)	7	0.1125	(0.0789)	14	0.2815	(0.1725)	4	57	1.8*
Pentadiene cis13	C5H8	68	2	67	0.0457	(0.0795)	8	0.0627	(0.0360)	14	0.1733	(0.0691)	4	83	1.8*
Pentadiene trans13	C5H8	68	2	67	0.0668	(0.1069)	9	0.1044	(0.0538)	14	0.2504	(0.0927)	4	83	1.8*
Hexadienyne (e.g., divinvlacetylene)*	C6H6	78	4	78	0.0140	(0.0152)	9	0.0088	(0.0072)	16	0.0569	(0.0382)	4	67*	1.8*
Cyclopentadiene_methyl (Sum of 2 isomers)*	C6H8	80	3	79	0.0242	(0.0329)	9	0.0516	(0.0554)	16	0.1831	(0.1771)	4	103*	1.8*
Hexenyne (e.g., 2-methyl-1- penten-3-yne)*	C6H8	80	3	80	0.0110	(0.0127)	9	0.0102	(0.0117)	16	0.0674	(0.0545)	4	37*	1*
Cyclohexene	C6H10	82	2	67	0.0170	(0.0235)	9	0.0345	(0.0205)	14	0.0927	(0.0506)	4	62	0*
Cyclopentene_1methyl*	C6H10	82	2	67	0.0202	(0.0298)	9	0.0466	(0.0259)	13	0.1109	(0.0539)	4	60*	0*
Hexadiene_cis13*	C6H10	82	2	67	0.0026	(0.0037)	9	0.0044	(0.0030)	14	0.0097	(0.0018)	4	97	1.8*
Hexadiene_trans13*	C6H10	82	2	67	0.0039	(0.0081)	9	0.0045	(0.0042)	12	0.0266	(0.0151)	4	97	1.8*
Other C6H10 (Sum of 5 isomers)*	C6H10	82	2	67	0.0348	(0.0466)	9	0.0531	(0.0418)	16	0.1954	(0.0798)	4	97*	1*

Heptadiyne (Sum of 2 isomers)*	C7H8	92	4	91	0.0073	(0.0094)	9	0.0035	(0.0053)	16	0.0464	(0.0394)	4	2*	1*
Cyclohexene_1methyl*	C7H12	96	2	81	0.0098	(0.0120)	8	0.0262	(0.0139)	13	0.0437	(0.0259)	4	96	0*
Octadiene*	C8H14	110	2	55	0.0347	(0.0531)	9	0.0673	(0.0416)	16	0.1387	(0.0536)	4	110	1.9*
Nonadiene*	C9H16	124	2	54	0.0020	(0.0027)	9	0.0048	(0.0048)	16	0.0171	(0.0077)	4	120*	1.9*
C10H14 non-aromatic (e.g., hexahydronaphthalene)*	C10H14	134	4	91	0.0013	(0.0018)	9	0.0041	(0.0055)	16	0.0155	(0.0090)	4	130*	90*
Terpenes (Polyunsaturated, I	D > 1)														
Isoprene	C5H8	68	2	67	0.1289	(0.1447)	29	0.2428	(0.1944)	23	0.6942	(0.4405)	4	100	1.9
Camphene	C10H16	136	3	93	0.0032	(0.0026)	9	0.0538	(0.0979)	14	0.1193	(0.1459)	4	53	18*
Carene_3	C10H16	136	3	93	0.0050	(0.0052)	8	0.0289	(0.0303)	12	0.1578	(0.2107)	4	85	18*
Limonene_D	C10H16	136	3	68	0.0219	(0.0249)	29	0.1232	(0.1302)	23	0.8384	(1.1869)	4	170	18*
Limonene_iso*	C10H16	136	3	68	0.0002	(0.0005)	9	0.0094	(0.0109)	16	0.0237	(0.0206)	4	170	18*
Myrcene*	C10H16	136	3	93	0.0075	(0.0106)	8	0.0068	(0.0055)	10	0.1313	(0.1849)	4	200	18
Pinene_alpha	C10H16	136	3	93	0.0058	(0.0051)	9	0.1013	(0.1454)	15	0.8105	(1.2079)	4	52	17
Pinene_beta	C10H16	136	3	93	0.0051	(0.0092)	29	0.0194	(0.0220)	23	0.1638	(0.1545)	4	74	18*
Terpinene_gamma*	C10H16	136	3	93	0.0044	(0.0026)	5	0.0118	(0.0066)	4	0.0310	(0.0336)	2	177	18*
Terpinolene*	C10H16	136	3	93	0.0053	(0.0020)	4	0.0131	(0.0163)	8	0.0339	(0.0435)	4	225	18*
Sesquiterpenes (Sum of all isomers)	C15H24	204	4	205 +	0.0092	(0.0088)	29	0.0669	(0.0786)	23	0.0915	(0.0659)	4	300*	20*
,															
Aromatics with saturated sub	osituents (D	= 4)													
Aromatics with saturated sub Benzene	osituents (D C6H6	= 4) 78	4	78	0.8385	(0.7301)	29	0.7008	(0.3680)	23	2.1381	(1.3236)	4	1.2	93
Aromatics with saturated sub Benzene Toluene	Disituents (D C6H6 C7H8	= 4) 78 92	4 4	78 91	0.8385 0.3549	(0.7301) (0.3417)	29 29	0.7008 0.6196	(0.3680) (0.4414)	23 23	2.1381 1.3375	(1.3236) (0.5725)	4 4	1.2 5.6	93 100
Aromatics with saturated sub Benzene Toluene Benzene_ethyl	Desituents (D C6H6 C7H8 C8H10	= 4) 78 92 106	4 4 4	78 91 91	0.8385 0.3549 0.0495	(0.7301) (0.3417) (0.0498)	29 29 29	0.7008 0.6196 0.0829	(0.3680) (0.4414) (0.0583)	23 23 23	2.1381 1.3375 0.1766	(1.3236) (0.5725) (0.0919)	4 4 4	1.2 5.6 7.5	93 100 112
Aromatics with saturated sub Benzene Toluene Benzene_ethyl Xylene_o	Desituents (D C6H6 C7H8 C8H10 C8H10	= 4) 78 92 106 106	4 4 4 4	78 91 91 91	0.8385 0.3549 0.0495 0.0391	(0.7301) (0.3417) (0.0498) (0.0418)	29 29 29 29	0.7008 0.6196 0.0829 0.0730	(0.3680) (0.4414) (0.0583) (0.0527)	23 23 23 23	2.1381 1.3375 0.1766 0.1429	(1.3236) (0.5725) (0.0919) (0.0579)	4 4 4 4	1.2 5.6 7.5 14	93 100 112 96
Aromatics with saturated sub Benzene Toluene Benzene_ethyl Xylene_o Xylenes_m&p (Sum of 2 isomers)	C6H6 C7H8 C8H10 C8H10 C8H10 C8H10	= 4) 78 92 106 106	4 4 4 4	78 91 91 91 91	0.8385 0.3549 0.0495 0.0391 0.0981	(0.7301) (0.3417) (0.0498) (0.0418) (0.1136)	29 29 29 29 29 29	0.7008 0.6196 0.0829 0.0730 0.2107	(0.3680) (0.4414) (0.0583) (0.0527) (0.1546)	23 23 23 23 23 23	 2.1381 1.3375 0.1766 0.1429 0.5088 	(1.3236) (0.5725) (0.0919) (0.0579) (0.2484)	4 4 4 4	1.2 5.6 7.5 14 19 *	93 100 112 96 76 *
Aromatics with saturated sub Benzene Toluene Benzene_ethyl Xylene_o Xylenes_m&p (Sum of 2 isomers) Benzene_123trimethyl	C6H6 C7H8 C8H10 C8H10 C8H10 C8H10 C8H10 C9H12	= 4) 78 92 106 106 106 120	4 4 4 4 4	78 91 91 91 91 91 105	0.8385 0.3549 0.0495 0.0391 0.0981 0.0150	(0.7301) (0.3417) (0.0498) (0.0418) (0.1136) (0.0137)	29 29 29 29 29 29 29 9	0.7008 0.6196 0.0829 0.0730 0.2107 0.0617	(0.3680) (0.4414) (0.0583) (0.0527) (0.1546) (0.0425)	23 23 23 23 23 23 23 15	 2.1381 1.3375 0.1766 0.1429 0.5088 0.0906 	(1.3236) (0.5725) (0.0919) (0.0579) (0.2484) (0.0562)	4 4 4 4 4	1.2 5.6 7.5 14 19* 29	93 100 112 96 76 * 44
Aromatics with saturated sub Benzene Toluene Benzene_ethyl Xylene_o Xylenes_m&p (Sum of 2 isomers) Benzene_123trimethyl Benzene_124trimethyl	C6H6 C7H8 C8H10 C8H10 C8H10 C8H10 C9H12 C9H12	= 4) 78 92 106 106 106 120 120	4 4 4 4 4 4	78 91 91 91 91 105 105	0.8385 0.3549 0.0495 0.0391 0.0981 0.0150 0.0172	(0.7301) (0.3417) (0.0498) (0.0418) (0.1136) (0.0137) (0.0217)	29 29 29 29 29 29 9 29	0.7008 0.6196 0.0829 0.0730 0.2107 0.0617 0.0416	(0.3680) (0.4414) (0.0583) (0.0527) (0.1546) (0.0425) (0.0291)	23 23 23 23 23 23 15 23	2.1381 1.3375 0.1766 0.1429 0.5088 0.0906 0.0828	(1.3236) (0.5725) (0.0919) (0.0579) (0.2484) (0.0562) (0.0339)	4 4 4 4 4 4 4	1.2 5.6 7.5 14 19 * 29 32	93 100 112 96 76* 44 21
Aromatics with saturated sub Benzene Toluene Benzene_ethyl Xylene_o Xylenes_m&p (Sum of 2 isomers) Benzene_123trimethyl Benzene_135trimethyl	C6H6 C7H8 C8H10 C8H10 C8H10 C8H10 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12	= 4) 78 92 106 106 106 120 120 120	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	78 91 91 91 91 105 105 105	0.8385 0.3549 0.0495 0.0391 0.0981 0.0150 0.0172 0.0090	(0.7301) (0.3417) (0.0498) (0.0418) (0.1136) (0.0137) (0.0217) (0.0083)	29 29 29 29 29 29 9 29 29 9	0.7008 0.6196 0.0829 0.0730 0.2107 0.0617 0.0416 0.0234	(0.3680) (0.4414) (0.0583) (0.0527) (0.1546) (0.0425) (0.0291) (0.0154)	23 23 23 23 23 23 15 23 15	2.1381 1.3375 0.1766 0.1429 0.5088 0.0906 0.0828 0.0401	(1.3236) (0.5725) (0.0919) (0.0579) (0.2484) (0.0562) (0.0339) (0.0158)	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	1.2 5.6 7.5 14 19* 29 32 60	93 100 112 96 76* 44 21 14
Aromatics with saturated sub Benzene Toluene Benzene_ethyl Xylene_o Xylenes_m&p (Sum of 2 isomers) Benzene_123trimethyl Benzene_135trimethyl Benzene_1ethyl_2methyl	C6H6 C7H8 C8H10 C8H10 C8H10 C8H10 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12	= 4) 78 92 106 106 106 120 120 120 120	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	78 91 91 91 105 105 105 105	0.8385 0.3549 0.0495 0.0391 0.0981 0.0150 0.0172 0.0090 0.0094	(0.7301) (0.3417) (0.0498) (0.0418) (0.1136) (0.0137) (0.0217) (0.0083) (0.0114)	29 29 29 29 29 29 9 29 9 9 9	0.7008 0.6196 0.0829 0.0730 0.2107 0.0617 0.0416 0.0234 0.0164	(0.3680) (0.4414) (0.0583) (0.0527) (0.1546) (0.0425) (0.0291) (0.0154) (0.0122)	23 23 23 23 23 23 15 23 15 15	2.1381 1.3375 0.1766 0.1429 0.5088 0.0906 0.0828 0.0401 0.0374	(1.3236) (0.5725) (0.0919) (0.0579) (0.2484) (0.0562) (0.0339) (0.0158) (0.0193)	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	1.2 5.6 7.5 14 19* 29 32 60 13	93 100 112 96 76* 44 21 14 95
Aromatics with saturated sub Benzene Toluene Benzene_ethyl Xylene_o Xylenes_m&p (Sum of 2 isomers) Benzene_123trimethyl Benzene_135trimethyl Benzene_14thyl_2methyl Benzene_1ethyl_2methyl Benzene_1ethyl_3&4_methyl (Sum of 2 isomers)	C6H6 C7H8 C8H10 C8H10 C8H10 C8H10 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12	= 4) 78 92 106 106 120 120 120 120 120	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	78 91 91 91 105 105 105 105	0.8385 0.3549 0.0495 0.0391 0.0981 0.0150 0.0172 0.0090 0.0094 0.0186	(0.7301) (0.3417) (0.0498) (0.0418) (0.1136) (0.0137) (0.0217) (0.0083) (0.0114) (0.0228)	29 29 29 29 29 9 29 9 29 9 9 9	0.7008 0.6196 0.0829 0.0730 0.2107 0.0617 0.0416 0.0234 0.0164	(0.3680) (0.4414) (0.0583) (0.0527) (0.1546) (0.0425) (0.0291) (0.0154) (0.0154) (0.0122) (0.0312)	23 23 23 23 23 23 15 23 15 15 15 23	2.1381 1.3375 0.1766 0.1429 0.5088 0.0906 0.0828 0.0401 0.0374 0.1265	(1.3236) (0.5725) (0.0919) (0.0579) (0.2484) (0.0562) (0.0339) (0.0158) (0.0193) (0.0737)	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	1.2 5.6 7.5 14 19* 29 32 60 13 16*	93 100 112 96 76* 44 21 14 95 85*
Aromatics with saturated sub Benzene Toluene Benzene_ethyl Xylene_o Xylenes_m&p (Sum of 2 isomers) Benzene_123trimethyl Benzene_124trimethyl Benzene_135trimethyl Benzene_1ethyl_2methyl Benzene_1ethyl_3&4_methyl (Sum of 2 isomers) Benzene_isoPropyl	C6H6 C7H8 C8H10 C8H10 C8H10 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12	= 4) 78 92 106 106 120 120 120 120 120 120	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	78 91 91 91 105 105 105 105 105	0.8385 0.3549 0.0495 0.0391 0.0981 0.0150 0.0172 0.0090 0.0094 0.0186 0.0041	(0.7301) (0.3417) (0.0498) (0.0418) (0.0137) (0.0217) (0.0083) (0.0114) (0.0228) (0.0042)	29 29 29 29 29 9 29 9 9 9 9 29 9 9	0.7008 0.6196 0.0829 0.0730 0.2107 0.0617 0.0416 0.0234 0.0164 0.0395 0.0073	(0.3680) (0.4414) (0.0583) (0.0527) (0.1546) (0.0425) (0.0291) (0.0154) (0.0154) (0.0122) (0.0312) (0.0065)	23 23 23 23 23 23 15 23 15 15 15 23 14	2.1381 1.3375 0.1766 0.1429 0.5088 0.0906 0.0828 0.0401 0.0374 0.1265 0.0290	(1.3236) (0.5725) (0.0919) (0.0579) (0.2484) (0.0562) (0.0339) (0.0158) (0.0193) (0.0737) (0.0211)	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	1.2 5.6 7.5 14 19* 29 32 60 13 16* 6.6	93 100 112 96 76* 44 21 14 95 85* 96
Aromatics with saturated sub Benzene Toluene Benzene_ethyl Xylene_o Xylenes_m&p (Sum of 2 isomers) Benzene_123trimethyl Benzene_124trimethyl Benzene_135trimethyl Benzene_14thyl_3&4_methyl (Sum of 2 isomers) Benzene_isoPropyl Benzene_nPropyl	C6H6 C7H8 C8H10 C8H10 C8H10 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12	= 4) 78 92 106 106 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	78 91 91 91 105 105 105 105 105 105 91	0.8385 0.3549 0.0495 0.0391 0.0981 0.0150 0.0172 0.0090 0.0094 0.0186 0.0041 0.0081	(0.7301) (0.3417) (0.0498) (0.0418) (0.0137) (0.0217) (0.0083) (0.0114) (0.0228) (0.0042) (0.0096)	29 29 29 29 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	0.7008 0.6196 0.0829 0.0730 0.2107 0.0617 0.0416 0.0234 0.0164 0.0395 0.0073 0.0173	(0.3680) (0.4414) (0.0583) (0.0527) (0.1546) (0.0425) (0.0291) (0.0154) (0.0154) (0.0122) (0.0312) (0.0065) (0.0102)	23 23 23 23 23 15 23 15 15 15 23 14 14	2.1381 1.3375 0.1766 0.1429 0.5088 0.0906 0.0828 0.0401 0.0374 0.1265 0.0290 0.0331	(1.3236) (0.5725) (0.0919) (0.0579) (0.2484) (0.0562) (0.0339) (0.0158) (0.0193) (0.0737) (0.0211) (0.0204)	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	1.2 5.6 7.5 14 19* 29 32 60 13 16* 6.6 5.7	93 100 112 96 76* 44 21 14 95 85* 96 110
Aromatics with saturated sub Benzene Toluene Benzene_ethyl Xylene_o Xylenes_m&p (Sum of 2 isomers) Benzene_123trimethyl Benzene_124trimethyl Benzene_135trimethyl Benzene_14thyl_2methyl Benzene_1ethyl_3&4_methyl (Sum of 2 isomers) Benzene_isoPropyl Benzene_nPropyl Benzene_isoButyl	C6H6 C7H8 C8H10 C8H10 C8H10 C8H10 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12 C9H12	= 4) 78 92 106 106 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 134	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	78 91 91 91 105 105 105 105 105 91 91	0.8385 0.3549 0.0495 0.0391 0.0981 0.0150 0.0172 0.0090 0.0094 0.0186 0.0041 0.0081 0.0056	(0.7301) (0.3417) (0.0498) (0.0418) (0.0137) (0.0217) (0.0083) (0.0114) (0.0228) (0.0042) (0.0096) (0.0065)	29 29 29 29 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	0.7008 0.6196 0.0829 0.0730 0.2107 0.0617 0.0416 0.0234 0.0164 0.0395 0.0073 0.0173 0.0119	(0.3680) (0.4414) (0.0583) (0.0527) (0.1546) (0.0291) (0.0291) (0.0154) (0.0122) (0.0312) (0.0065) (0.0102) (0.0104)	23 23 23 23 15 23 15 15 15 23 14 14 14	2.1381 1.3375 0.1766 0.1429 0.5088 0.0906 0.0828 0.0401 0.0374 0.1265 0.0290 0.0331 0.0248	(1.3236) (0.5725) (0.0919) (0.0579) (0.2484) (0.0562) (0.0339) (0.0158) (0.0193) (0.0737) (0.0211) (0.0204) (0.0145)	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	1.2 5.6 7.5 14 19* 29 32 60 13 16* 6.6 5.7 7*	93 100 112 96 76* 44 21 14 95 85* 96 110 90*

Benzene_1methyl_4isopropyl (p-Cymene)	C10H14	134	4	119	0.1081	(0.2713)	29	0.1030	(0.0974)	23	0.1726	(0.1400)	4	15	95*
Benzene_nPropyl_methyl (Sum of 2 isomers)*	C10H14	134	4	105	0.0074	(0.0084)	9	0.0200	(0.0187)	16	0.0420	(0.0213)	4	10*	95*
Benzene_14diethyl	C10H14	134	4	119	0.0007	(0.0011)	9	0.0018	(0.0039)	16	0.0165	(0.0074)	4	10*	90*
Xylene_ethyl (Sum of 2 isomers)*	C10H14	134	4	119	0.0093	(0.0102)	9	0.0149	(0.0144)	16	0.0379	(0.0158)	4	10*	90*
Aromatics with unsaturated s	ubstituents	(D > 4)													
Benzene_ethynyl (Phenylethyne)*	C8H6	102	6	102	0.0323	(0.0238)	9	0.0153	(0.0163)	16	0.0686	(0.0700)	4	1*	90*
Styrene (Phenylethene)	C8H8	104	5	104	0.0883	(0.0840)	29	0.1067	(0.1054)	23	0.3361	(0.2437)	4	43	212
Indene*	C9H8	116	6	115	0.0358	(0.0446)	9	0.0408	(0.0325)	16	0.1311	(0.1116)	4	51	90
Benzene_1propenyl*	C9H10	118	5	117	0.0046	(0.0054)	9	0.0039	(0.0045)	16	0.0135	(0.0074)	4	60	200*
Benzene_2propenyl*	C9H10	118	5	117	0.0067	(0.0066)	9	0.0097	(0.0080)	16	0.0236	(0.0103)	4	60	200*
Benzene_isoPropenyl*	C9H10	118	5	118	0.0052	(0.0059)	9	0.0049	(0.0050)	16	0.0232	(0.0129)	4	53	200*
Styrene_2methyl*	C9H10	118	5	117	0.0142	(0.0125)	9	0.0153	(0.0140)	16	0.0414	(0.0176)	4	53*	200*
Styrene_3methyl*	C9H10	118	5	117	0.0229	(0.0255)	9	0.0297	(0.0234)	16	0.0865	(0.0420)	4	53*	200*
Styrene_4methyl*	C9H10	118	5	117	0.0080	(0.0097)	9	0.0143	(0.0116)	16	0.0314	(0.0122)	4	53*	200*
Indane*	C9H10	118	5	117	0.0084	(0.0066)	8	0.0155	(0.0069)	13	0.0261	(0.0108)	4	19	90
Naphthalene*	C10H8	128	7	128	0.0070	(0.0048)	9	0.0040	(0.0050)	16	0.0215	(0.0122)	4	23	200*
Indene_1or3methyl*	C10H10	130	6	130	0.0010	(0.0009)	9	0.0004	(0.0011)	16	0.0079	(0.0059)	4	51*	200*
Naphthalene_12dihydro*	C10H10	130	6	130	0.0062	(0.0054)	9	0.0099	(0.0103)	16	0.0277	(0.0106)	4	23*	90*
Naphthalene_13dihydro*	C10H10	130	6	130	0.0062	(0.0066)	9	0.0099	(0.0113)	16	0.0339	(0.0120)	4	23*	90*
Benzene_1butenyl*	C10H12	132	5	117	0.0021	(0.0028)	9	0.0027	(0.0038)	16	0.0140	(0.0048)	4	33*	200*
Benzene_methylpropenyl (2- phenyl-2-butene)*	C10H12	132	5	117	0.0274	(0.0443)	9	0.0179	(0.0179)	16	0.0436	(0.0270)	4	33	200*
Styrene_ethyl*	C10H12	132	5	117	0.0048	(0.0052)	9	0.0063	(0.0105)	16	0.0196	(0.0085)	4	33*	200*
Nitrogen-containing organics															
Acid_Hydrocyanic (Hydrogen cyanide)	HCN	27	2	IR	1.2331	(1.2922)	29	2.7807	(1.6904)	23	3.0223	(2.2719)	4	0.03	1*
Acid_Isocyanic	HNCO	43	2	42-	0.8433	(0.6858)	16	0.8046	(0.5742)	17	1.3360	(0.2301)	2	0	1*
Methylnitrite (Nitrous acid, methyl ester)*	CH3NO2	61	1	61	0.8994	(1.1114)	7	0.5241	(0.5064)	12	0.7641	(0.8964)	3	0.3	1*
Nitromethane*	CH3NO2	61	1	61	0.0272	(0.0237)	9	0.0323	(0.0326)	16	0.0713	(0.0868)	4	0.02	1*
Acetonitrile	C2H3N	41	2	41	0.7731	(0.9389)	29	0.9841	(0.5366)	23	1.6524	(0.8811)	4	0.02	1*
Hvdrazine 11dimethvl*	C2H8N2	60	0	60	0.0636	(0.1324)	9	0.1360	(0.2705)	16	0.1976	(0.2297)	4	60	0*
Propenenitrile_2 (Acrylonitrile)	C3H3N	53	3	53	0.0869	(0.0731)	29	0.1199	(0.0754)	23	0.3217	(0.2551)	4	4.0	1*

Propanenitrile (Cvanoethane)*	C3H5N	55	2	54	0.0314	(0.0380)	9	0.0432	(0.0366)	16	0.0981	(0.0803)	4	0.26	1*
Pyrrole*	C4H5N	67	3	67	0.0393	(0.0591)	9	0.0367	(0.0392)	16	0.1066	(0.1088)	4	145	1*
Pyrazole_1methyl*	C4H6N2	82	3	82	0.0074	(0.0073)	9	0.0198	(0.0176)	16	0.0359	(0.0161)	4	150*	1*
Diazine_methyl (Sum of 3	C5H6N2	94	4	94	0 0292	(0.0312)	9	0 0535	(0.0456)	16	0 1125	(0.0303)	4	10*	1*
Pyrrole 1methyl*	C5H7N	81	3	80	0.0202	(0.0299)	9	0.0083	(0.0105)	16	0.0217	(0.0304)	4	145*	1*
Pyrazine 2ethyl*	C6H8N2	108	4	108	0.0062	(0.0092)	9	0.0152	(0.0113)	16	0.0296	(0.0168)	4	10*	1*
Benzonitrile (Cvanobenzene)	C7H5N	103	6	103	0.0622	(0.0334)	9	0.1395	(0.0757)	16	0.1380	(0.0746)	4	1*	90*
OVOCs with low degrees of	unsaturation	(D ≤ 1))			(,			()			(,			
Formaldehyde	CH2O	30	1	IR	5.3939	(3.1497)	29	12.235	(7.2935)	23	17.918	(10.5410)	4	9.4	0.7
Acid_Formic	CH2O2	46	1	IR	0.6359	(0.5705)	29	1.6007	(1.1054)	23	1.7538	(1.9738)	4	0.45	0.1
Methanol	CH4O	32	0	31	3.6175	(2.9726)	29	7.7807	(5.5412)	23	13.698	(8.7348)	4	0.9	0.3
Acetaldehyde	C2H4O	44	1	44	1.5503	(1.1511)	29	2.8332	(1.8131)	23	5.4742	(3.5540)	4	16	0.6
Acid_Acetic	C2H4O2	60	1	IR	5.3926	(3.2343)	29	13.029	(8.8369)	23	9.6068	(6.2350)	4	0.7	0.1
Formate_methyl (Formic Acid, methyl ester)	C2H4O2	60	1	60	0.0675	(0.0390)	8	0.1031	(0.0626)	15	0.2096	(0.0831)	4	0.18	0.1
Acid_Glycolic	C2H4O3	76	1	75-	0.0068	(0.0061)	15	0.1183	(0.1251)	17	0.0114	(0.0115)	2	0.50*	0.1*
Ethanol	C2H6O	46	0	31	0.0498	(0.0617)	29	0.4817	(0.8472)	23	0.2673	(0.1892)	4	3.4	0.6
Acetone	C3H6O	58	1	43	0.6501	(0.7408)	29	1.6035	(1.1498)	23	2.6208	(1.0656)	4	0.19	0.3
Propanal	C3H6O	58	1	58	0.2135	(0.2333)	29	0.4497	(0.3177)	23	0.9246	(0.3186)	4	20	0.5
Acetate_methyl (Acetic Acid, methyl ester)*	C3H6O2	74	1	74	0.4593	(0.4854)	9	0.6741	(0.4345)	16	0.6537	(0.3598)	4	0.35	0.1
Formate_ethyl (Formic Acid, ethyl ester)*	C3H6O2	74	1	30	0.0214	(0.0157)	5	0.0349	(0.0160)	10	0.0472	(0.0228)	4	0.96	0.1*
Butanal_n	C4H8O	72	1	72	0.0496	(0.0610)	29	0.0850	(0.0641)	23	0.1971	(0.0829)	4	24	0
Butanone_2 (MEK)	C4H8O	72	1	43	0.1788	(0.2216)	29	0.4143	(0.3061)	23	0.8027	(0.3109)	4	1.2	0.6
Propanal_2methyl*	C4H8O	72	1	72	0.0535	(0.0599)	9	0.1426	(0.0933)	15	0.1657	(0.0976)	4	27	0.3
Propanoate_methyl (Propanoic Acid, methyl ester)*	C4H8O2	88	1	88	0.0064	(0.0085)	9	0.0081	(0.0082)	16	0.0186	(0.0110)	4	0.88	0.1*
Butanol_1*	C4H10O	74	0	56	0.8294	(1.6678)	8	0.2327	(0.2540)	16	0.1434	(0.0695)	4	8.5	0.3
Butanal_2methyl*	C5H10O	86	1	57	0.0442	(0.0476)	9	0.1398	(0.0760)	13	0.1323	(0.0939)	4	31	0.3*
Butanone_2_3methyl*	C5H10O	86	1	43	0.0243	(0.0315)	9	0.0780	(0.0394)	14	0.1092	(0.0551)	4	3.0	0.3
Pentanone_2	C5H10O	86	1	43	0.0576	(0.0457)	8	0.1095	(0.0537)	14	0.1791	(0.0935)	4	4.6	0.6
Pentanone_3	C5H10O	86	1	57	0.0381	(0.0366)	8	0.0869	(0.0483)	15	0.1330	(0.0562)	4	2.9	0.4
Butanoate_methyl (Butryic Acid, methyl ester)*	C5H10O2	102	1	74	0.0024	(0.0041)	9	0.0558	(0.1431)	16	0.0097	(0.0063)	4	3.5	0.1*

Hexanal_n	C6H12O	100	1	56	0.0192	(0.0223)	29	0.0342	(0.0224)	23	0.0635	(0.0431)	4	28	0*
Hexanone_2	C6H12O	100	1	43	0.0101	(0.0063)	8	0.0269	(0.0092)	12	0.0462	(0.0268)	4	6.2	0.3
Hexanone_3	C6H12O	100	1	43	0.0314	(0.0315)	9	0.0834	(0.0317)	13	0.1646	(0.0868)	4	7.0	0
OVOCs with high degrees of	unsaturatio	n (D > 1	I)												
Propenal_2 (Acrolein)	C3H4O	56	2	56	0.8189	(0.6824)	29	1.3107	(0.8806)	23	3.5441	(1.6919)	4	20	1*
Acid_Acrylic	C3H4O2	72	2	71-	0.0409	(0.0438)	16	0.2159	(0.1637)	17	0.3672	(0.3881)	2	26*	1*
Acid_Pyruvic	C3H4O3	88	2	87-	0.0140	(0.0140)	15	0.1073	(0.1266)	17	0.0562	(0.0537)	2	0.12	0.1*
Butenal_2 (Crotonaldehyde)	C4H6O	70	2	70	0.1218	(0.1286)	29	0.3234	(0.2207)	23	0.5275	(0.1642)	4	35	1*
Methacrolein (MACR)	C4H6O	70	2	41	0.0895	(0.1077)	29	0.1807	(0.1257)	23	0.5501	(0.3146)	4	31	1*
Methylvinylketone (MVK)	C4H6O	70	2	55	0.4003	(0.5191)	29	0.8953	(0.6389)	23	2.1216	(0.8712)	4	19	1*
Butadione_23	C4H6O2	86	2	86	0.2147	(0.2059)	29	0.6435	(0.4616)	23	1.2062	(0.5357)	4	0.25	0.3*
Acrylate methyl (2-Propenoic						. ,			. ,			. ,			
Acid, methyl ester)	C4H6O2	86	2	85	0.0159	(0.0178)	9	0.0223	(0.0149)	16	0.0470	(0.0227)	4	13	1*
Acetate_vinyl (Acetic Acid,															
vinyl ester)	C4H6O2	86	2	86	0.0004	(0.0012)	9	0.0000	0.0000	16	0.0048	(0.0095)	4	25	1*
Dioxin_14_23dihydro*	C4H6O2	86	2	58	0.0023	(0.0044)	9	0.0043	(0.0059)	16	0.0179	(0.0162)	4	20*	0.1*
Cyclopentenedione*	C5H4O2	96	4	96	0.0056	(0.0080)	9	0.0265	(0.0337)	16	0.0401	(0.0326)	4	57*	1*
Cyclopentenone*	C5H6O	82	3	82	0.0825	(0.1208)	9	0.9873	(1.1659)	16	0.9221	(0.6570)	4	57*	1*
Pentenone (e.g., Ethyl vinyl	0-1100					(0.4.0-)			(0			(0,0000)			
ketone)*	C5H8O	84	2	84	0.2682	(0.4437)	9	0.8946	(0.5222)	16	1.4135	(0.6686)	4	36*	1*
Pentanone_cyclo	C5H8O	84	2	84	0.1145	(0.1015)	9	0.3433	(0.2471)	16	0.7012	(0.2870)	4	2.9	1*
Butenal_2_2methyl	C5H8O	84	2	84	0.0072	(0.0064)	9	0.0250	(0.0210)	16	0.0384	(0.0136)	4	52	1*
Methacrylate_methyl (Meth-	0511000	100	2	100	0.0206	(0.0222)	0	0 1055	(0.0225)	10	0 1007	(0.0527)	4	40	4*
Phenol		01	2 1	100 05±	0.0306	(0.0333) (0.4242)	9 25	0.1055	(0.0335)	13 21	0.1207 2 /0/7	(0.0537) (1.6182)	4 1	43 28	150*
Panzana 12812dial	001100	34	4	400	0.4202	(0.4242)	25	0.7740	(0.0273)	21	2.4541	(1.0102)	4	20	150
(Sum of 2 isomers)	C6H6O2	110	4	-	0.2438	(0.1859)	13	3.1107	(3.3461)	17	3.9631	(1.9126)	2	5.0*	200*
Benzaldehyde	C7H6O	106	5	77	0.2212	(0.1661)	29	0.4717	(0.3259)	23	0.6995	(0.2661)	4	13	216
Phenol_methyl (Sum of				109											
cresol isomers)	C7H8O	108	4	+	0.4807	(0.4799)	25	0.7770	(0.6290)	21	2.0703	(1.4093)	4	45*	150*
Furans (heterocyclic OVOCs	, D ≥ 1)														
Furan	C4H4O	68	3	68	0.2680	(0.2474)	29	0.7302	(0.4732)	23	1.1090	(0.4337)	4	40	1*
Furan_25dihydro*	C4H6O	70	2	70	0.0083	(0.0126)	9	0.0154	(0.0438)	16	0.0071	(0.0141)	4	25*	1*
Furan_tetrahydro*	C4H8O	72	1	72	0.0022	(0.0027)	9	0.0014	(0.0027)	16	0.0101	(0.0067)	4	15	1*
Furaldehyde_2 (Furfural)	C5H4O2	96	4	95	0.3567	(0.2119)	9	1.5298	(1.0837)	16	1.2999	(0.6550)	4	35	1*
Furaldehyde_3*	C5H4O2	96	4	95	0.0152	(0.0135)	9	0.0585	(0.0403)	16	0.0687	(0.0330)	4	49	1*
Furan_2methyl	C5H6O	82	3	82	0.2847	(0.3634)	9	0.6908	(0.4118)	16	1.2105	(0.4806)	4	62	1*
Furan_3methyl	C5H6O	82	3	82	0.0272	(0.0311)	29	0.0776	(0.0582)	23	0.1758	(0.0661)	4	94	1*
Furan_25dimethyl*	C6H8O	96	3	96	0.0328	(0.0472)	9	0.0857	(0.0587)	16	0.1808	(0.1005)	4	132	1*

Furan_2ethyl	C6H8O	96	3	81	0.0167	(0.0218)	29	0.0387	(0.0285)	23	0.0821	(0.0288)	4	108	1*
Benzofuran	C8H6O	118	6	118	0.0902	(0.0666)	9	0.1366	(0.0734)	16	0.2504	(0.0957)	4	37	90*
Benzofuran_methyl (Sum of 4 isomers)*	C9H8O	132	6	131	0.0599	(0.0444)	9	0.1078	(0.0938)	16	0.1980	(0.0363)	4	37*	90*
Methane and Inorganic Gase	s														
Methane	CH4	16	—	IR	40.911	(24.945)	29	62.302	(32.218)	23	96.707	(28.737)	4	0.006	0
Carbon Monoxide	CO	28	—	IR	1000	(0)	29	1000	(0)	23	1000	(0)	4	0.15	0
Carbon Dioxide	CO2	44	—	IR	18202	(20970)	29	31170	(71256)	23	17999	(14000)	4	0	0
Tricarbon Dioxide (Carbon						(0,0000)			(0.00)			(0.00.40)			
suboxide)	C3O2	68	_	68	0.0024	(0.0030)	9	0.0040	(0.0055)	16	0.0044	(0.0042)	4	1.5	0
Ammonia	NH3	17	_	IR	12.530	(8.838)	29	14.797	(6.131)	23	20.761	(16.928)	4	0.15	0
Nitrogen Oxide	NO	30	—	IR	38.788	(51.194)	29	39.695	(91.842)	23	26.530	(24.243)	4	0	0
Nitrogen Dioxide	NO2	46	—	IR	7.051	(8.565)	29	12.254	(21.246)	23	10.583	(10.218)	4	8.7	0
Nitrous Acid	HONO	47	—	46-	2.504	(2.827)	16	4.563	(6.049)	17	4.946	(5.254)	2	6.0	0
Sulfur Dioxide	SO2	64	_	IR	5.600	(9.993)	29	7.901	(14.488)	23	8.408	(5.347)	4	2.0	0
Hydrochloric Acid	HCI	36	_	IR	0.992	(2.574)	29	1.398	(4.825)	23	0.472	(0.719)	4	0.08	0
-	Total ERs (r	nmol/	mol	CO):	19356			32403			19317				
∑ ERs for	all nitrogen-co	ontainir	ng spe	ecies:	65	0.34%	Ν	77	0.24%	Ν	71	0.37%	Ν		
Σ ERs for all \	/OCs and % c	of total	emiss	sions:	46	0.24%	VOC	90	0.28%	VOC	150	0.78%	voc		
∑ ERs for unsatura	ated VOCs an	d % of	total	VOC:	39	84%	Unsat	74	82%	Unsat	126	84%	Unsat		
∑ ERs for oxygena	ated VOCs an	d % of	total	VOC:	24	53%	Оху	57	63%	Оху	81	54%	Оху		

945

946 Table 2 footnotes:

947 Description of naming scheme: propane_22dimethyl is equivalent to 2,2-dimethylpropane. If the exact compound identity could not be determined, then the

948 species are identified using general names that reflect the chemical family and formula are used. For example, hexenes (sum of 3 isomers) may include

- species such as cis- and trans-3-hexene. Alternative names, such as p-Cymene for 1-methyl-4-isopropylbenzene, or common abbreviations such as MEK
 for Butanone_2 are also included. (*) Identifies species whose calibration factors were estimated.
- 951 MW = molecular weight (g/mol); D = degree of unsaturation; m/z = fragment ion used to quantify a species by GC-MS where (+) denotes the protonated mass 952 measured by PTR-MS or PIT-MS, (-) denotes the deprotonated mass measured by NI-PT-CIMS, and (IR) denotes measurements by OP-FTIR.
- 953 ER = emission ratio in units of ppbv per ppmv CO equivalent to mmol per mol CO
- 954 avg = mean; s.d. = standard deviation; and npnts = number of points used to calculate average and standard deviation.
- 955 **Bold ER** = Largest 3 ERs for each compound class;
- 956 **Bold and Italicized ER** = Largest 3 ERs for all VOCs
- kOH = second-order reaction rate coefficients of VOC + OH reaction at STP (x10¹² cm³ molec⁻¹ s⁻¹) from the National Institute of Standards and Technology'sChemical Kinetics Database and the references therein (Manion et al., 2015). (*) Identifies estimated kOH values.
- SOAP = "secondary organic aerosol potential" values from Derwent et al. (2010). (*) Identifies estimated SOAP values.
- 960 **Bold kOH or SOAP values** = The largest 3 contributors to either OH reactivity or SOAP values for each compound class
- 961 **Bold and italicized kOH or SOAP values** = The largest 3 contributors to either OH reactivity or SOAP values for all VOCs

- 962 **Table 3**. Slopes and correlation coefficients (r) for VOC to carbon monoxide (CO) and VOC to acetonitrile (CH₃CN) ratios observed in biomass
- 963 burning (BB) plumes from the Fourmile Canyon Fire as identified in Figure .

		• •	VOC	vs.	_			_	
	VOC v	s. CO	CH ₃ C		E	mission	sources	Rxn	Rate Coef.
Name	Slope	r	Slope	r	BB	Urban	Biogenic	k он	vs. CH ₃ CN
Carene_3	0.420	0.96	0.065	0.97	yes		yes	85	4250
Butadiene_13	0.193	0.98	0.030	0.94	yes	yes		67	3330
Furan_2methyl	0.285	0.88	0.047	0.95	yes			62	3100
Propene_2methyl	0.422	0.98	0.065	0.98	yes	yes		51	2570
Styrene	0.140	0.97	0.021	0.94	yes	yes	yes	43	2150
Furan	0.513	0.70	0.115	0.95	yes			40	2000
Benzofuran	0.132	0.97	0.021	0.99	yes			37	1860
Furaldehyde_2	0.304	0.93	0.049	0.98	yes			35	1750
Butene_1	0.367	0.98	0.057	0.99	yes	yes		31	1570
Propene	4.161	0.97	0.639	0.99	yes	yes		26	1315
Propenal_2	0.894	0.98	0.137	0.98	yes	yes		20	1000
Propanal	1.063	0.95	0.148	0.90	yes	yes		20	1000
p-Cymene*	0.268	0.97	0.041	0.97	yes		yes	15	750
Benzaldehyde	0.979	0.98	0.144	0.95	yes		yes	13	650
Ethene	8.635	0.97	1.353	0.92	yes	yes		8.5	425
Benzene	1.894	0.99	0.284	0.96	yes	yes		1.2	60
Butanone_2									
(MEK)	1.129	0.93	0.164	0.94	yes	yes	yes	1.2	60
Benzonitrile	0.308	0.88	0.050	0.94	yes			1.0	50
Butadione_23	0.224	0.77	0.038	0.88	yes		yes	0.25	13
Acetonitrile	6.724	0.96	1.000	1.00	yes			0.02	1

964

965 Table 3 footnotes:

- 966 VOC to CO slope is in units of (ppbv VOC per ppmv CO)
- 967 VOC to CH₃CN slope is in units of (ppbv VOC per ppbv CH₃CN)
- 968 **Bold** face denotes VOCs that are the best available BB markers.
- k_{OH} = second-order reaction rate coefficients of VOC + OH reaction at STP (x10¹² cm³ molec⁻¹ s⁻¹) from the National Institute of Standards and
- 970 Technology's Chemical Kinetics Database and the references therein (Manion et al., 2015).
- 971 ** Ratio of koh+voc/koh+ch3cN at STP
- 972 ***Benzene_1methyl_4isopropyl

973 Figure Captions:

974

Figure 1. Temporal profiles of mixing ratios and emission ratios (ER) of selected gases and the modified 975 combustion efficiency (MCE) for an example laboratory burn of Emory Oak Woodland fuel from Fort 976 Huachuca, Arizona. a) Mixing ratios of CO₂, CO, and NO_x measured by OP-FTIR. The MCE trace is 977 978 colored by the key and scale on the right. The vertical bars represent the flaming combustion phase of the laboratory burn (yellow) and the GC-MS sample acquisition time (grey). b-f) Discrete GC-MS 979 980 measured mixing ratios are shown as markers. b-g) Mixing ratios measured by PTR-MS (benzene, m/z69 = isoprene+furan+other, and acetonitrile), OP-FTIR (furan, ethyne, and methanol), and NI-PT-CIMS 981 (benzenediol) are shown as lines and the corresponding VOC to CO ERs are shown as filled traces. 982 983





Figure 2. Slopes and correlation coefficients, r, determined from correlation plots of a) mixing ratios
measured by the GC-MS versus the average mixing ratio measured by the OP-FTIR or PTR-MS during
the GC-MS sample acquisition time and b) discrete vs. fire-integrated emission ratios of select VOCs
relative to CO as measured by the OP-FTIR or PTR-MS. The black dashed line represents slopes equal
to 1. The average of the slopes and the standard deviation is shown by the red shaded bands. The
green bands represent r > 0.90.

991



Figure 3. Correlation plots of the discrete versus fire-integrated emission ratios (ER) for ethyne and methanol measured by the OP-FTIR and benzene and toluene measured by the PTR-MS. Each data point represents one biomass burn and are colored by the modified combustion efficiency (MCE) corresponding to the discrete sampling times of the GC-MS. MCE values near unity are associated with flaming combustion and lower MCE values are associated with smoldering combustion. The linear 2sided regression lines forced through the origin are shown as red lines and the 1:1 ratio is shown by the dashed lines.

1000



Figure 4. Discrete molar emission ratios for all VOCs reported in Table 2 as a function of the degree of unsaturation, D, for each fuel region. Emission ratios are colored by the corresponding molecular weight and the marker width represents the corresponding number of oxygen (O) atoms. The dashed lines represent the median values for all VOCs from all fuel regions (ER = 0.0427 mmol per mol CO and D=2). The histogram on the right summarizes the distribution of molar emission ratios for each fuel region.

1007



1009 Figure 5. Contributions of (non-methane) VOCs reported in Table 2 to (a-c) the measured molar mass,

1010 (d-f) OH reactivity, and (g-i) relative SOA formation potential for the southwestern, southeastern, and1011 northern fuel regions. Totals for each fuel region are shown below each pie chart.



Figure 6. Time series of ambient air measurements in Boulder, CO during the Fourmile Canyon Fire.

1015 The top bar indicates nighttime (grey), daytime (yellow), and biomass burning plumes (red markers). CO

1016 and acetonitrile are included in all 4 panels.





Figure 7. Correlation plots of VOCs versus acetonitrile for all 56 laboratory biomass burns (grey markers) and Fourmile Canyon Fire (red markers correspond to the BB plume identified in Fig. 6). The best-fit line for the Fourmile Canyon Fire samples is shown in black along with the slope (S) and fit coefficients (r).

1022

