Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 15, 2119–2155, 2015 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/15/2119/2015/ doi:10.5194/acpd-15-2119-2015 © Author(s) 2015. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ACP if available.

A comparison of measured HONO uptake and release with calculated source strengths in a heterogeneous forest environment

M. Sörgel^{1,*}, I. Trebs², D. Wu³, and A. Held^{1,4}

¹University of Bayreuth, Atmospheric Chemistry, Bayreuth, Germany ²Luxembourg Institute of Science and Technology, Environmental Research and Innovation (ERIN) Department , 5 avenue des Hauts-Fourneaux, 4362 Esch/Alzette, Luxembourg ³Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Biogeochemistry Department, Mainz, Germany ⁴Bayreuth Center of Ecology and Environmental Research, Bayreuth, Germany ^{*}now at: Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Biogeochemistry Department, Mainz, Germany

Received: 3 November 2014 - Accepted: 1 December 2014 - Published: 23 January 2015

Correspondence to: M. Sörgel (m.soergel@mpic.de)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.



Abstract

Vertical mixing ratio profiles of nitrous acid (HONO) were measured in a clearing and on the forest floor in a rural forest environment. For the forest floor, HONO was found to be predominantly deposited, whereas net deposition was dominating in the clearing only

- ⁵ during nighttime and net emissions were observed during daytime. For selected days, net fluxes of HONO were calculated from the measured profiles using the aerodynamic gradient method. The emission fluxes were in the range of 0.02 to 0.07 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹, and, thus were in the lower range of previous observations. These fluxes were compared to the strengths of postulated HONO sources. Laboratory measurements of dif ¹⁰ ferent soil samples from both sites revealed an upper limit for soil biogenic HONO emission fluxes of 0.025 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹. HONO formation by light induced NO₂ conver-
- sion was calculated to be below $0.03 \text{ nmol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for the investigated days, which is comparable to the potential soil fluxes. Due to light saturation at low irradiance, this reaction pathway was largely found to be independent of light intensity, i.e. it was only dependent on ambient NO₂.

We used three different approaches based on measured leaf nitrate loadings for calculating HONO formation from HNO_3 photolysis. While the first two approaches based on empirical HONO formation rates yielded values in the same order of magnitude as the estimated fluxes, the third approach based on available kinetic data of the pos-

- ²⁰ tulated pathway failed to produce noticeable amounts of HONO. Estimates based on reported cross sections of adsorbed HNO₃ indicate that the lifetime of adsorbed HNO₃ was only about 15 min, which would imply a substantial renoxification. Although the photolysis of HNO₃ was significantly enhanced at the surface, the subsequent light induced conversion of the photolysis product NO₂ did not produce considerable amounts of HONO. Consequently, this reaction might occur via an alternative mechanism.
- ²⁵ of HONO. Consequently, this reaction might occur via an alternative mechanism.



1 Introduction

5

25

Gaseous nitrous acid (HONO) may contribute up to ~ 80% to the primary formation of hydroxyl radicals (OH), which play a key role in the degradation of most air pollutants (Kleffmann et al., 2005, Kleffmann 2007; Volkamer et al., 2010). The source of OH radicals is the photolysis of HONO Reaction (R1):

 $HONO + hv(< 400 \text{ nm}) \rightarrow NO + OH$ $NO + OH + M \rightarrow HONO + M$ $HONO + OH \rightarrow NO_2 + H_2O$

The back Reaction (R2) consumes OH and regenerates HONO. Reaction (R3) is typ-

- ically a minor loss term for HONO (e.g., Su et al., 2008; Sörgel et al., 2011a; Oswald et al., 2015) and OH due to the low concentrations of both reaction partners. Solely considering (R1) to (R3) HONO is an OH radical reservoir as discussed for urban plumes (Lee et al., 2013). If (R1) to (R3) are in equilibrium, a photo stationary state (PSS) is established (e.g. Cox, 1974; Kleffmann et al., 2005). In case an additional
 efficient HONO loss term exists (e.g. deposition) (Harrison et al., 1996; Wong et al., 2011; Vandenboer et al., 2013), HONO formation would be a sink for OH radicals. For instance it was shown that plants (Schimang et al., 2006) and soils (Donaldson et al., 2014) efficiently take up HONO. However, if additional sources of HONO exist that exceed the loss terms; HONO is a source for OH radicals.
- exceed the loss terms; HONO is a source for OH radicals.
 A well-known source of HONO is the heterogeneous disproportionation of NO₂, forming HONO and HNO₃:

 $2NO_2 + H_2O \rightarrow HONO + HNO_3$

Although Reaction (R4) is well-known, its mechanism is still unclear. A potential mechanism involving the dimer of $NO_2(N_2O_4)$ was proposed by Finlayson-Pitts and coworkers (Finlayson-Pitts et al., 2003), and has been further analysed using theoretical approaches (Miller et al., 2009; De Jesus Medeiros and Pimentel, 2011). This



(R1)

(R2)

(R3)

(R4)

reaction was found to be too slow to explain daytime HONO mixing ratios well above the PSS (e.g., Kleffmann et al., 2005; Sörgel et al., 2011a; Wong et al., 2013). However, it is linked to the nighttime accumulation of HONO, which triggers early morning photochemistry (Alicke et al., 2003). Other light-independent mechanisms for NO₂

⁵ conversion to HONO, such as the reduction by organics (Gutzwiller et al., 2002) and chemisorption on mineral surfaces (Gustafsson et al., 2008) were also proposed. All these reactions have not yet been quantified under field conditions and concerns exist whether or not chemisorption would take place under environmental conditions (Finnlayson-Pitts, 2009). Furthermore, NO₂ reduction on soot was found to be quickly
 deactivated (Kleffmann et al., 1999; Arens et al., 2001; Aubin and Abbatt, 2007).

As the observed HONO mixing ratios almost always exceed those calculated from the PSS assumption (summarized by Kleffmann (2007) and Volkamer et al., 2010), numerous attempts to identify HONO sources driven by light or by temperature that can overcome the loss by photolysis were made. Recently, it was found that the het-

erogeneous disproportionation (R4) can be catalysed by anions that are formed during photooxidation in the atmosphere (Yabushita et al., 2009; Colussi et al., 2013). Light-enhancement of (R4) has also been attributed to HNO₃ photolysis (Ramazan et al., 2004), and photolysis of adsorbed HNO₃ on natural surfaces was proposed as an important HONO source in the atmosphere (Zhou et al., 2002, 2003, 2011).

In contrast to HONO formation observed on natural surfaces (Zhou et al., 2003, 2011), HONO has not been detected as a primary reaction product of HNO₃ photolysis in laboratory studies up to now (Zhu et al., 2010; Schuttlefield et al., 2008; Rubas-inghege and Grassian, 2009; Abida et al., 2012). Most studies (Zhu et al., 2010; Schuttlefield et al., 2008; Abida et al., 2012) report NO and NO₂ as the main products of this reaction (Rubasinghege and Grassian, 2009). The formation of NO₂ and NO₂^{*} is also proposed for an alternative mechanism, which involves photolysis of complexes of either HNO₃ or NO₃⁻ and NO₂ or N₂O₄, respectively (Kamboures et al., 2008). Recent studies applying a novel laser-based technique (Zhu et al., 2010; Abida et al., 2012) identified excited NO₂^{*} as the main photolysis product of adsorbed HNO₃, and, further-



more confirmed an enhanced absorption cross section of adsorbed HNO_3 compared to gas phase HNO_3 . Potentially, NO_2^* reacting with water vapour can produce HONO, but this reaction does not result in significant amounts of HONO under atmospheric conditions (Crowley and Carl 1997; Sörgel et al., 2011a; Amedro et al., 2011). Hence,

- ⁵ Zhou et al. (2011) suggested that NO₂ formed during HNO₃ photolysis further reacts via the mechanism proposed by Stemmler and co-workers (Stemmler et al., 2006, 2007), where solid organic material like humic acids (HA) acts as a photosensitizer and reduces NO₂ (George et al., 2005). Photosensitized reactions may be a promising pathway for explaining daytime HONO formation as hypothesized from correlations
- of the unknown HONO source with the photolysis frequency of NO₂, j(NO₂), or irradiance (e.g. Su et al., 2008; Sörgel et al., 2011a; Wong et al., 2012). The photolysis of o-nitrophenols was also proposed as a HONO source (Bejan et al., 2006) that, however, has not yet been quantified in field measurements. As it depends on the amount of nitrophenols in air, this source is expected to be more important for polluted urban
 conditions (Bejan et al., 2006).

A process directly driven by temperature could be the volatilization of HONO from soil nitrite (Kubota and Asami, 1985; Su et al., 2011). The temperature dependence of this process has been attributed to the temperature dependence of the Henry's law equilibrium between soil-solution and soil-air (Su et al., 2011). Additionally, it was suggested that HONO emissions are driven by ammonia oxidizing bacteria in soil, whose activity also depends on temperature (Oswald et al., 2013). Nitrogen availability for microorganisms was found as a limiting factor for HONO emissions from natural soils (Malianen et al., 2013).

Regardless of the mechanism, the ground surface has been proposed as a major source of HONO (e.g. Febo et al., 1996; Stutz et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2009; Sörgel et al., 2011b; Wong et al., 2012, 2013; VandenBoer et al., 2013), although there is a potential contribution from other heterogeneous sources within the boundary layer (Zhang et al., 2009; Wong et al., 2013). Flux measurements of HONO (Zhou et al., 2011; Ren et al., 2011) reported strong daytime upward fluxes, thus confirming a ground source.



Contrarily, a recent study (Li et al., 2014) based on concentration measurements of HONO in the residual layer and the mixed layer proposed that an internal recycling mechanism (reaction between NO_x and HO_x) is mainly responsible for HONO formation.

In this study, we present vertical mixing ratio profiles of HONO measured close to the ground surface (< 2m) in a clearing and on the forest floor in a heterogeneous forest landscape in order to identify sources and sinks of HONO in natural environments. Under favourable conditions, our setup can be used to derive estimates of the surface fluxes of HONO by the aerodynamic gradient method. These fluxes are compared to
 best estimates of HONO source strengths of three proposed mechanisms derived from measured quantities: (a) soil HONO emissions, (b) photosensitized NO₂ conversion, and (c) HNO₃ photolysis.

2 Experimental

Vertical mixing ratio profiles of HONO, nitrogen oxides (NO_x), and ozone were mea¹⁵ sured in a clearing and on the forest floor at the Waldstein ecosystem research site in the Fichtelgebirge mountains, NE Bavaria (Germany) in 2011 and 2012 as part of the research project "Exchange processes in mountainous regions (EGER)," Foken et al. (2012). The profile measurements were made in June/July 2011 (intensive observation period IOP-3) in the clearing "Köhlerloh" (50° 08' 22.3" N, 11° 52' 01.5" E),
²⁰ and in August/September 2012 (IOP-4) on the forest floor about 290 m north of the clearing site close to the main tower (50° 08' 31.2" N, 11° 52' 00.8" E; 775 ma.s.l.) of the "Weidenbrunnen" site. Meteorological variables for the comparison of both campaigns were taken from the "Pflanzgarten" site, which is 280 m north-west of the main tower and 490 m north north-west of the clearing site. An aerial view of the different sites can be found in the Supplement (Fig. S1).

HONO was measured using a commercially available long path absorption photometer (LOPAP, QUMA, Wuppertal, Germany) with a time resolution of 3 min. A de-



tailed description of the instrument is provided by Heland et al. (2001) and Kleffmann et al. (2002). The instrument was placed on a scaffold in a ventilated aluminium box as described by Sörgel et al. (2011b). The limit of detection (3σ of zero air noise) ranged from 1 to 7 ppt. NO and NO₂ were measured by chemiluminescence (Model 42i-TL Thermo Scientific, Franklin, MA, USA) using a specific photolytic converter for NO₂ (Droplet Measurement Technologies, Boulder, Co, USA). The limit of detection was 50 ppt for NO and about 140 ppt for NO₂. Trace gas profiles of HONO, NO, and NO₂ were obtained by moving the external sampling unit of the LOPAP and an inlet line for NO_v to five (0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.8 and 1.6 m) or three (0.1, 0.4 and 1.6 m) different heights using an automated lift system (Fig. S2). The dwell time at each height was 6 and 7 min 10 in IOP-3 and 9 min (IOP-4), which allowed sufficient sampling periods with respect to the time resolution of the LOPAP (1-2 data points). All data of the lift system (NO_v, HONO, temperature and lift position) were recorded every 20 s. Additionally, eddy covariance measurements were made during IOP-3 with a CSAT3 sonic anemometer (Campbell Scientific, Logan, UT, USA) located at a height of 2.25 m on a mast about 15

20 m north-west of the profile measurements. During IOP-4, a Young sonic anemometer (Model 81000, R. M. Young, Traverse City, MI, USA) was located about 2 m east of the profile measurements at a height of 2 m. The friction velocity (u_*) was calculated with the TK3 software (Mauder and Foken, 2011). Air temperature was measured by radiation shielded and ventilated Pt-100 sensors with a resolution of 0.1 K at 1.4 m (1.6 m in IOP-4) and 0.1 m a.g.l. Soil temperature was monitored with a Pt-100 sensor at a depth of 2 cm.

At the "Pflanzgarten" site, air temperature and relative humidity (RH) were measured with HMP45 sensors (Vaisala, Helsinki, Finland) at a height of 2 m, precipitation ²⁵ was measured with an OMC-212 rain gauge (Observator instruments, Ridderkerk, the Netherlands), and solar global irradiance was measured on the roof of the measurement container with a CM5 pyranometer (Kipp and Zonen, Delft, the Netherlands). The HONO photolysis frequency, *j*(HONO), was calculated from global radiation according to Trebs et al. (2009).



Spectral irradiance and photolysis frequencies were calculated using the Tropospheric Ultraviolet and Visible (TUV) radiation model (Madronich and Flocke, 1998) version 5.0. Additional information about methods and instruments can be found in the Supplement.

5 3 Results and discussion

3.1 Meteorological conditions and comparison of sites

As shown in Fig. 1, the range of air temperature at the "Pflanzgarten" site was comparable for both campaigns and ranged between about 5 and 27 °C. The maximum temperatures were 27.3 °C for IOP-3 and 25.8 °C for IOP-4, respectively. The minimum temperature of the June/July period (IOP-3) was lower (5.5°C) than during IOP-4 in 10 September (6.0 °C). Mean values (and standard deviations) were 14.7 ± 5.1 °C for IOP-3 and 14.2 ± 4.4 °C for IOP-4. Accordingly, RH values cover similar ranges from about 30 to 100% with somewhat higher values in the summer campaign due to frequent rain events (i.e. an average precipitation of 1.8 mm d^{-1} in IOP-3 and 0.3 mm d^{-1} in IOP-4). The long-term monthly means (1971–2000) at this site are 3.6 mm d^{-1} for June, 15 4.1 mm d⁻¹ in July and 2.8 mm d⁻¹ in September (Foken, 2003). Consequently, both periods exhibited less precipitation than the long term average, although frequent but light rain events occurred during IOP-3, whereas in September (IOP-4) precipitation events were rare. Maximal RH values are slightly different for the two IOPs and range from 95 to ~ 100 %. The values greater than 100 % have to be viewed with caution 20 as the sensor accuracy in the range from 90 to 100% RH is $\pm 3\%$ and the sensor is not able to measure accurately if humidity is condensing. Global radiation, and thus *i*(HONO), were higher in June/July 2011 than in September 2012. Correspondingly, the

calculated *j*(HONO) values show a maximum of $2 \times 10^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$ in 2011 and $1.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$ in 2012. The radiation and photolysis frequencies at the forest floor are a factor of 10 to 40 lower than above the canopy depending on the time of day and canopy structure



(Sörgel et al., 2011b). *J*(HONO) values calculated by applying a factor of 10 are shown in Fig. 1d. Since weather conditions were comparable, major differences between the two campaigns are expected to be due to (a) availability of radiation, (b) turbulent exchange and (c) groundcover. Radiation and turbulent exchange are reduced at the forest site below the canopy compared to the open clearing. The ground cover at the clearing was dominated by grass and blueberry, while the forest floor was mainly covered by moss.

3.2 HONO mixing ratio differences and estimated net fluxes

NO mixing ratios at the 1.6 m level were generally low, especially during nighttime.
¹⁰ Average mixing ratios were 0.2 ppb during the first period in 2011 (Fig. 2a), 0.1 ppb during the second period in 2011 (Fig. 2b), and 0.05 ppb in 2012 (Fig. 3a). Due to the well-known soil NO emissions (e.g., Ludwig et al., 2001; Bargsten et al., 2010) caused by microbiological activity, NO mixing ratios were higher at 0.1 m. The average mixing ratios close to the ground (not shown) at 0.1 m were 0.75 ppb during the first period,
¹⁵ 0.5 ppb during the second period in 2011, and 0.1 ppb in 2012. Average NO₂ mixing ratios at the upper level were 1.7 ppb (min. 0.3 and max. 3 ppb) during the first period,

1.1 ppb (min. 0.2 and max. 2.4 ppb) during the second period in 2011, and 1.6 ppb (min. 0.2 and max. 4.8 ppb) in 2012. Average HONO mixing ratios at the 1.6 m level were 94 ppt (min. 12 and max. 308 ppt) during the first period, 80 ppt (min. 30 and max.
²⁰ 316 ppt) during the second period in 2011, and 90 ppt (min. 26 and max. 257 ppt) in 2012.

Since vertical mixing ratio differences are the result of the competition between sources and sinks as well as of transport dynamics, Figs. 2 and 3 additionally show vertical temperature differences and the friction velocity u_* . Temperature differences

²⁵ reflect atmospheric stability and u_* is a measure of the intensity of turbulent exchange. A typical diurnal cycle caused by radiative heating and cooling of the surface was observed at the clearing, with stable conditions (positive temperature differences) during the night and unstable conditions during the day. The temperature differences between



0.1 and 1.4 m above the ground were up to 6 K during the night and up to -4 K during the day. During stable conditions, u_* dropped and mixing ratio differences increased due to supressed transport. In the clearing, very stable and calm conditions caused large HONO and NO (not shown) mixing ratio differences during sunset. Below the

- ⁵ canopy at the forest site, diurnal cycles of stability are typically opposite to those observed in the clearing (Foken, 2008). However, the observed temperature differences do not feature a clear diurnal pattern and differences are generally an order of magnitude lower than at the clearing. This can be explained by the reduced heating of the forest floor and the reduced radiative cooling due to the shading of the canopy. As wind-
- ¹⁰ speed is reduced by the canopy as well, the friction velocity is on average a factor of three to four lower. Maximal values of u_* were 0.46 m s⁻¹ in the clearing and 0.16 m s⁻¹ on the forest floor, respectively. HONO differences in the clearing (1.6 to 0.1 m) shown in Fig. 2c and d feature distinct diurnal cycles with positive gradients at night indicating net deposition and negative gradients during day indicating net emission. On the forest
- ¹⁵ floor, HONO differences were either positive or close to zero, i.e. net emission was not observed (Fig. 3b).

We calculated net HONO fluxes from selected profiles using the aerodynamic gradient technique (cf. Wolff et al., 2010). Despite the fact that u_* was measured at 2.25 m on a separate tower about 20 m from the profile measurements at the clearing, the ²⁰ measurements were influenced by the same ground cover (dimensions of clearing ~ 300 m × 400 m). At the forest floor both measurements were collocated (~ 2 m distance and u_* measured in 2 m height). Mixing ratio differences were considered to be representative for the air layer between 1.6 and 0.1 m at the forest floor, but at the clearing differences between 1.6 m and 0.4 were taken as 0.1 m was below the zero ²⁵ plane displacement height (*d*).

The calculated daytime net emission fluxes of HONO at the clearing were in the range of 0.01 to $0.07 \text{ nmol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (mean $0.04 \pm 0.02 \text{ nmol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$; N = 17). This is about a factor of three lower than fluxes reported for another rural forested site (Zhou et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2012) and about an order of magnitude lower



than for semi-rural and urban sites (Harrison and Kitto 1994; Harrison et al., 1996; Ren et al., 2011). However, these fluxes are higher than the values observed at Blodgett Forest (Ren et al., 2011). The mean HONO net emission flux estimate of $0.04 \text{ nmol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ with a corresponding mixing ratio of 65 ppt at 1.6 m at the clearing compares reasonably well with the somewhat lower fluxes at Blodgett Forest (flux < 0.01 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹; 20–30 ppt) and with the somewhat higher fluxes at the PROPHET site (mean flux 0.19 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹; 70 ppt). The calculated fluxes indicate the existence of a daytime ground source, whose strength is comparable in order of magnitude to that found in other studies in rural forested areas. Nighttime net deposition fluxes (0.006 ± 0.003 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹; N = 12) were about a factor of seven lower than daytime net emission fluxes at the clearing (see Sect. 3.3.1).

At the forest floor, only net deposition was observed with fluxes varying between zero and about 0.012 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (mean: 0.004 ± 0.003; N = 52) for the selected days (4–7 September 2012). Hence, net deposition fluxes at the forest floor were comparable to

nighttime net deposition at the clearing. Assuming that daytime deposition fluxes at the clearing are within the same range, emission fluxes at the clearing are at least about 15 % higher than the net fluxes. If considerable stomatal uptake of HONO, as proposed by Schimang et al. (2006), occurs, the daytime deposition would be much higher than during nighttime due to stomatal aperture. Hence, to sustain the observed net emission fluxes. the HONO emission from the ground would be even higher.

It should be noted that the derived fluxes should be considered as rough estimates for several reasons. The profiles were measured sequentially and not simultaneously at the different heights. Hence, only profiles under stationary conditions were evaluated, i.e. when mixing ratio changes between two profile cycles were small at each measure-

25 ment height. Furthermore, the mixing ratio differences during daytime were rather small (5 to 26 ppt; mean 14 ppt). The differences were 1.3 to 8.5 times the standard deviation of the mean values at one height and larger than the combined errors (sum of standard deviations of both heights). Differences that were smaller than the combined standard deviation were omitted for the flux calculations. Besides the uncertainty in the mixing



ratio differences, the estimate of the zero-plane displacement height d has considerable influence on the fluxes. We used d = 0.7 times the canopy height (Foken, 2008) with a canopy height of 0.25 m of the surrounding blueberry canopy (E. Falge, personal communication, 2014) at the clearing. As roughness elements (like dead wood, blue-

⁵ berry, small spruce and grass) were distributed very inhomogeneously, it is unclear if the applied displacement height is appropriate and would hold for all wind directions. If the canopy height would have been chosen as 0.4 m instead, the fluxes would decrease by about 20%. Compared to the error of the mixing ratio differences and of the displacement height, the error in u_* is expected to be negligible. At the forest floor we measured at a flat surface covered with moss that has a comparably low roughness

(d = 0.007 m), thus the fluxes are less sensitive to small differences in d.

3.3 HONO sinks

3.3.1 Deposition

15

20

Except for the uptake of HONO by aerosol surfaces, no considerable gas phase HONO sinks exist in the absence of light. This implies that dry and wet deposition are the most important loss pathways in the dark.

Net deposition means that although HONO formation by either heterogeneous disproportionation of NO₂ or direct soil emission may take place, net deposition is observed because the production of HONO is smaller than the loss by deposition. For our study, soil emissions can be neglected (see 3.4.1). Calculated nighttime deposition velocities of 0.08 to 0.5 cm s^{-1} (mean 0.24 ± 0.13) at the clearing were in the lower range of reported values at 0.08 to 6 cm s^{-1} (Harrison and Kitto 1994; Harrison et al., 1996; Stutz et al., 2002).

At the forest floor, deposition was the dominating process during day and night. The vertical profiles (Fig. 3b) do not provide evidence that HONO emission from the ground surface takes place because the differences are either positive or ambiguous within the uncertainty range. The HONO deposition velocities ranged from 0.03 to 0.4 (mean



 $0.16 \pm 0.08 \,\mathrm{cm\,s}^{-1}$), which is in the lower range of previously reported values (e.g., Harrison et al., 1996; Stutz et al., 2002) and a factor of 1.5 lower than at the clearing. To our knowledge, measured HONO fluxes at forest floors have not been reported up to now.

- In a modelling study, Wong et al. (2011) pointed out that nighttime deposition is an important part of HONO cycling, which was recently confirmed by vertical profile measurements (VandenBoer et al., 2013). VandenBoer et al. (2013) proposed that the deposited HONO might form a reservoir that is re-emitted during the day, and, can thus explain a significant fraction of the missing daytime source. For the forest floor, we can
- exclude this pathway as a general source of HONO because no emissions were observed. This is in line with laboratory studies, which showed that HONO can be taken up by plants (Schimang et al., 2006) and soil (Donaldson et al., 2014). Due to the limited available data we cannot exclude that re-emission may occasionally take place. However, we showed that net deposition (even if it is small) persists during the day at
- the forest floor during our measurement period. Thus, sources and sinks coexist on small scales, which has to be taken into account for measurements at elevated levels that integrate over larger areas (horizontal heterogeneity), as well as for measurements above the canopy (vertical heterogeneity). The prevailing HONO deposition at the forest floor might also explain the poor correlations of HONO and NO₂ found during the ECEP IOP 1 comparison at the camparison of the state formed above the camparison.
- ²⁰ EGER IOP-1 campaign at the same site both at the forest floor and above the canopy in September 2007 (Sörgel et al., 2011b).

3.3.2 Photolysis

Photolysis has been identified as the dominating HONO loss process during the day (e.g., Kleffmann, 2007; Su et al., 2008; Sörgel et al., 2011a; Wong et al., 2013; VandenBoer et al., 2013; Oswald et al., 2015). We calculated the HONO loss rates

²⁵ VandenBoer et al., 2013; Oswald et al., 2015). We calculated the HONO loss rates from photolysis frequencies and HONO mixing ratios within a boundary layer height of 1000 m in two different ways: (a) the measured HONO mixing ratio at 1.6 m was used



for the entire volume or, (b) assuming a linear HONO profile throughout the boundary layer to account for elevated HONO levels close to the ground as observed by Zhang et al. (2009) and VandenBoer et al. (2013). The artificial linear HONO profile was created using the measurements at 1.6 m and a background level (free troposphere) of 10 ppt (Zhang et al., 2009). The geometric mean of these values was used to calculate the HONO loss within the boundary layer volume. Using these two simplified approaches yields loss rates of (a) 0.2–1 ppb h⁻¹ and (b) 0.08–0.5 ppb h⁻¹. These values are within the range of values reported for the unknown HONO source (e.g. Kleffmann, 2007). This is not surprising because the photolytic loss and the unknown source were found to be the dominant terms of the HONO budget for low NO_x levels (e.g., Sörgel et al., 2011a; Oswald et al., 2015), i.e. in the absence of other sources and sinks the photolytic loss equals the unknown source. Integrating the photolytic loss term over a boundary layer height of 1000 m and converting it into a surface flux yields mean fluxes of (a) 4.6 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹ and (b) 2 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹ respectively, which is a fac-

- tor 100 and 40 higher than the mean emission flux derived from the measurements at the clearing (see Sect. 3.2). Consequently, the contribution of the surface emissions to the HONO source would be in the order of a few percent. This is in agreement with a proposed internal volume source (Li et al., 2014) and estimates of ground source contributions of about 20% derived from measured boundary layer profiles (Zhang
- et al., 2009; Li et al., 2014). A much higher contribution of the ground source of more than 80% was found in a modelling study by Wong et al. (2013) that was based on profile measurements in the lowest 300 m of the boundary layer (Wong et al., 2012). As none of the other boundary layer profile measurements have been analysed with a chemistry-transport model up to now, this issue remains unclear.

25 3.4 HONO ground sources

The existence of a HONO ground source was confirmed by profile (e.g., Zhang et al., 2009; VandenBoer et al., 2013) and flux measurements (Zhou et al., 2011; Ren et al.,



2011). In the following we compare the measured ground source to estimates for three different proposed formation mechanisms based on measured quantities.

3.4.1 Soil emissions

For both the forest and the clearing site, a set of soil samples was collected from two different ground cover types and potential HONO emission fluxes were measured using a dynamic chamber in the laboratory (for details see Supplement). HONO fluxes were mostly within the calculated uncertainty range (Fig. S3). The sample taken directly below the lift system at the clearing (sample 4, Fig. S3) was the only sample for which potential emissions were observed. From those measurements we derive an upper limit for the HONO soil emission flux of 0.025±0.015 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹. This flux also represents an upper limit with regard to the experimental conditions as the chamber was flushed with zero air and the samples were measured at 25 °C. During the field measurements, the soil temperature at 2 cm depth did not exceed 20 °C at the clearing. Comparison

- of the maximal fluxes measured in the laboratory $(0.025 \text{ nmol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1})$ with maximal fluxes calculated from soil nitrite and pH values ($F(\text{HONO}_{\text{max}}) = 1810 \text{ nmol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) according to Su et al. (2011) reveals that the measured fluxes are at least four orders of magnitude lower. For the calculations we used a gravimetric soil water content of $\vartheta_{\text{soil}} = 0.2 \text{ kg kg}^{-1}$, a transfer velocity (v_{tr}) of 1 cm s⁻¹ (Su et al., 2011) and measured pH and nitrite values (see Table S1 in the Supplement). The discrepancy between our mea-
- ²⁰ surements and the calculations according to Su et al. (2011) reduces to about a factor of 50 when v_{tr} is determined for our measurement setup instead of using a fixed value of 1 cm s⁻¹. The transfer velocity v_{tr} was determined by calculating the soil resistance according to Moldrup et al. (2000) from measured soil properties for the Waldstein site (Bargsten et al., 2010) and using the aerodynamic resistance ($R_{aero} = 90 \text{ sm}^{-1}$) from
- ²⁵ a chamber system of similar design and dimensions (Pape et al., 2009). This comparison emphasizes the importance of explicitly considering mass transfer between the soil and atmosphere. Additionally, based on soil nitrite (~ 1 μ g g⁻¹ N) and pH (~ 3) values at our site, one would expect rather high HONO emissions according to the acid base and



Henry's law equilibrium. Hence, it seems more likely that microbes are directly involved in the HONO formation as proposed by Oswald et al. (2013), but microbial activity in our samples was low due to the low pH (~ 3) of the organic soil (e.g., Matthies et al., 1997; de Boer and Kowalchuk, 2001; Rousk et al., 2010). Maljanen et al. (2013) found that
⁵ some acidic forest soils emit measurable amounts of HONO and, thus, proposed nitrogen availability for the microbes as an important factor controlling HONO emissions. Another possible effect would be HONO loss in the soil by chemodenitrification as proposed by Clark (1962). During chemodenitrification in the soil, HONO is converted to NO and N₂O depending on pH and organic content with the highest conversion rates at low pH and high organic content (e.g. Allison, 1963; van Cleemput and Baert, 1984; Ventera et al., 2005). The acidic conditions of the organic soil at the Waldstein site may lead to additional HONO loss by chemodenitrification and, thus, low soil HONO

3.4.2 Light-induced NO₂ conversion

emissions.

1

¹⁵ HONO fluxes from light-induced NO₂ conversion were calculated by assuming that the flux from the surface equals the chemical formation at the surface. HONO is formed by reactive collisions of NO₂ with the humic acid surface, and Stemmler et al. (2007) defined their uptake coefficient (γ_{rxn}) as the ratio of these reactive collisions to the number of gas-kinetic collisions of NO₂ molecules with the surface. Hence, we calculated the HONO flux by multiplying the number of gas kinetic collisions given by Eq. (1) with the reactive uptake coefficient given by Eq. (2) (Stemmler et al., 2007):

$$Z_{\rm w} = \frac{n \times \omega}{4}$$
(1)
$$Y_{\rm rxn} = \frac{4}{\omega} \times \frac{1}{9.3 \times 10^{22} \times [\rm NO_2] \times [F]^{-1} \times 2330}$$
(2)

where Z_w is the number of collisions per time (s) and area (m²), *n* is the volume num-²⁵ ber density per m³, ω is the mean thermal velocity of NO₂ in ms⁻¹, [NO₂] is the NO₂



mixing ratio in ppb measured at 10 cm above the surface, and *F* is the actinic flux in the 400–750 nm range in photons per m³ and s⁻¹. For simplicity, we used the irradiance in the 400–700 nm range (equivalent to the photosynthetic active radiation (PAR)) instead of the actinic flux from 400–750 nm for F because this value can be directly compared

- to measurements and to the model output of the TUV. Furthermore, in the study of Stemmler et al. (2007) the actinic flux of the lamps and absorption of the humic acid was low in the 700–750 nm wavelength range, thus having a small influence on the reactive uptake. Since our simple model assumes a smooth surface completely covered with humic acid, it is well justified to use the irradiance instead of the actinic flux.
- ¹⁰ Calculation of the HONO flux using Eqs. (1) and (2) with NO₂ mixing ratios measured 10 cm above the surface and modelled irradiance resulted in light-saturation of HONO formation in the early morning at about 7:00 CET and it remains independent of light intensity for most of the day (see Fig. 4). In addition, the saturation itself is dependent on NO₂ with the fastest saturation observed for low NO₂ mixing ratios. If this saturation
- ¹⁵ behaviour also prevails on natural surfaces, the unknown HONO source should be wellcorrelated with NO₂ only at mixing ratios below 1 ppb, which to our knowledge has not been reported up to now. Previous studies found that the unknown HONO source correlated with $j(NO_2)$ or irradiance with only a minor dependence on NO₂ (e.g., Su et al., 2008; Sörgel et al., 2011a; Wong et al., 2012). However, the type and structure of pho-
- ²⁰ tosensitizers on natural surfaces might differ substantially from a pure humic acid film and, thus, might not be saturated at high light intensities. For example for humic acid dissolved in ice, Bartels-Rausch et al. (2010) did not observe deactivation of the surface uptake. However, only actinic fluxes of up to about 100 W m⁻² (400–700 nm) were considered, compared to irradiance values of about 400 W m⁻² in the same wavelength
- range around noon in our study. Consequently, we consider the lightsaturation of NO₂ conversion on organic surfaces as a key issue for determining the role of this HONO formation pathway in the environment.



3.4.3 Photolysis of adsorbed HNO₃

25

The photolysis of HNO_3 adsorbed to surfaces has also been suggested as a source of HONO (e.g., Zhou et al., 2002, 2011). We measured the leaf nitrate loadings of young spruce trees (up to 1.6 m height) at the clearing close to the HONO measurement

- setup. A detailed description of the sampling and the calculations can be found in the Supplement. Unfortunately, measurements of the nitrate loadings on the grass below the HONO measurments are not available, but we assume that they are comparable to the nitrate loadings of the trees. Nitrate loadings at the forest site were not measured, but the contribution of HNO₃ photolysis is expected to be much lower than at the clear ing as the available radiation is attenuated by the canopy by a factor of about 10–40 (Sörgel et al., 2011b). Furthermore, we have found no evidence for a HONO source at
- (Sörgel et al., 2011b). Furthermore, we have found no evidence for a HONO source at the forest floor (cf. Sect. 3.2).

The nitrate loadings of the young spruce trees at the clearing are $1.7 \pm 0.7 \, 10^{-5} \, \text{mol} \, \text{m}^{-2}$, which is in relatively good agreement with the value of $0.8 \pm 0.3 \, 10^{-5} \, \text{mol} \, \text{m}^{-2}$ reported by Zhou et al. (2011). Both research sites are located in rural forested areas, but considering the influence of different environmental variables, such

as NO_x mixing ratios, precipitation intensity and plant surfaces, all of which influence HNO_3 formation and deposition, a variation by a factor of two may be expected.

The potential HONO emission fluxes from the photolysis of adsorbed HNO₃ were calculated using three different approaches:

- 1. All measured nitrate represents adsorbed HNO_3 at the top surface of the needles, and HONO formation from photolysis of adsorbed HNO_3 proceeds with an empirical enhancement factor of 43 of $j(HNO_3)$ (Zhou et al., 2011).
- 2. Similar to 1. but the nitrate loading is distributed over the whole geometric surface
- of the needles (Oren et al., 1986), thus, a factor of 2.65 less HNO₃ is exposed to radiation.



3. The photolysis frequency of adsorbed HNO_3 is calculated directly from the absorption cross section of adsorbed HNO_3 on fused silica reported by Zhu et al. (2008) and the corresponding irradiance calculated by the TUV model. This photolysis frequency multiplied with the nitrate loading according to 2. yields the NO_2 formed at the surface. Then, HONO formation is calculated as described in Sect. 3.4.2. To derive the reactive uptake coefficient according to Eq. (2) (Stemmler et al., 2007) we used the irradiance integrated over the 290–700 nm wavelength range and calculated the NO_2 mixing ratio which is equivalent to the amount of NO_2 molecules formed at the surface by HNO_3 photolysis.

5

¹⁰ A comparison of $j(NO_2)$ values from the TUV model with those calculated from global radiation measurements by the approach of Trebs et al. (2009) showed a reasonable agreement. The values agree within 8 % around noon.

Figure 5 summarizes the results of the different approaches. Based on empirical factors of light enhancement and HONO formation (Zhou et al., 2011) approaches 1

- and 2 yielded a light-dependent HONO source in the same order of magnitude as the estimated HONO fluxes $(0.04 \pm 0.02 \text{ nmol m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$; see Sect. 3). The calculated potential HONO fluxes according to approach 1 are a factor of two higher (about 0.46 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹) than those of Zhou et al. (2011) (0.25 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹), which is consistent with the factor of two higher nitrate loading measured at our site. However, we consider approach 2 to be more realistic. The diurnal cycle of this source (Fig. 5) fol-
- ²⁰ consider approach 2 to be more realistic. The diurnal cycle of this source (Fig. 5) follows $j(HNO_3)$ as the mean nitrate loading is used for the calculation. This seems to be valid as we found rather constant surface nitrate loadings during different times of the day (see Fig. S4).

Approach 3, a combination of photolysis of adsorbed HNO₃ and light-induced conversion of the photolysis product NO₂ (see also Sect. 3.4.2) as proposed by Zhou et al. (2011), reveals several interesting findings:

 The calculated photolysis frequency of adsorbed HNO₃ is higher than in the gas phase by a factor of 2000.



2138

- The lifetime of adsorbed HNO₃ with respect to photolysis is only about 15 min at noon.
- NO₂ formed at the surface by HNO₃ photolysis corresponds to a mixing ratio of NO₂ in the gas phase of only a few ppt.
- ⁵ If the strongly enhanced photolysis of adsorbed HNO₃ is valid for natural surfaces, this would have important implications for HNO₃ deposition. HNO₃ would most likely be an intermediate with a lifetime comparable to that of HONO (about 15 min at noon) than a final sink for NO_x. However, even if photolysis of adsorbed HNO₃ is strongly enhanced, formation of HONO would be rather slow if the subsequent reaction of NO₂*
- (Abida et al., 2012) occurs via the light-induced NO₂ conversion (Stemmler et al., 2006) as proposed by Zhou et al. (2011). Hence, a different NO₂^{*} reaction mechanism to explain the proposed HONO formation from HNO₃ must exist. A potential pathway for NO₂^{*} to form HONO would be the reaction with water (e.g., Crowley and Carl, 1997; Amedro et al., 2011). Sörgel et al. (2011a) speculated that the reaction of NO₂^{*} with
- ¹⁵ water at the surface might be faster than the respective gas phase reaction, which is not of atmospheric importance (e.g., Crowley and Carl, 1997; Sörgel et al., 2011a; Amedro et al., 2011). According to Abida et al. (2012), deactivation of NO₂^{*} is much faster at the surface than in the gas phase, thus reducing the probability for reactive quenching with water and formation of HONO. For a quantitative evaluation of this reaction pathway, knowledge of the ratio of deactivation to reactive quenching of surface adsorbed NO^{*}.
- $_{\rm 20}$ knowledge of the ratio of deactivation to reactive quenching of surface adsorbed NO_2^* and H_2O is crucial.

3.5 Comparison of calculated fluxes and source estimates

Transferring the HONO formation mechanisms proposed from laboratory measurements to field conditions involves uncertainties as discussed in detail in the previous sections. However, except for HNO₃ photolysis (Zhou et al., 2011) these source mechanisms have not been quantified in field studies up to now. Furthermore, to our knowledge the various reactions have not been studied under natural conditions, except



for a proof of principle with irradiated bare soil as a natural humic acid environment (Stemmler et al., 2006), and the empirically derived HNO₃ conversion factors (Zhou et al., 2003). In Fig. 6 all source estimates and the observed flux estimates from the field are summarized. The main findings are (a) that all sources are within the same order of magnitude, and (b) due to the large systematic uncertainties of the source estimates and the potentially large errors of the flux estimates, none of the sources can be favoured or excluded.

The soil flux was the only source to be measured directly, and these measurements were performed in the laboratory. The soil HONO flux would likely be lower in the field as the soil at the site was covered by vegetation which can take up HONO (Schimang et al., 2006) and because ambient HONO mixing ratios were above zero. NO₂ mixing ratios dropped below 500 ppt in the afternoon, leading to very low HONO fluxes from light-induced NO₂ conversion. Surprisingly, this photochemical source did not show a diurnal cycle but became light-saturated early in the morning and, thus, was solely

- ¹⁵ dependent on NO₂ mixing ratios. It remains an open question whether light saturation occurs also on natural surfaces. The photolysis of adsorbed HNO₃ produced considerable HONO fluxes (even for case 2, Sect. 3.4.3) when using an empirically derived HONO conversion factor (Zhou et al., 2003, 2011). In contrast, the proposed mechanism based on reaction kinetics (case 3, Sect. 3.4.3) failed to produce considerable
- amounts of HONO. Although some of the sources were unexpectedly small, the combination of all three sources yields much higher fluxes than measured in the field. This may be attributed to enhanced deposition of HONO during the day due to stomata opening and take-up by plants (Schimang et al., 2006), which would reduce measured net emission fluxes. However, the contribution of daytime deposition has not been measured up to now.



4 Conclusions

Our results reveal that the forest floor was predominantly a net sink for HONO, and the clearing constitutes a net sink for HONO during nighttime and a net source during daytime. Hence, net sources and net sinks coexist in heterogeneous landscapes.

- HONO emissions calculated for three proposed mechanisms agreed with the measured fluxes within one order of magnitude. On the one hand, this shows that the postulated sources are of the right order of magnitude, but on the other hand, even the presented comprehensive data set including vertical profiles is not sufficient to exclude or confirm one individual source. The detailed investigation of three potential HONO
 sources, i.e., soil emissions, NO₂ conversion with humic acids and photolysis of adsorbed HNO₃, revealed important findings:
 - Soil emissions were found to be several orders of magnitude lower than expected from the model of Su et al. (2011), and calculated fluxes are very sensitive to the parameterization of mass transfer from the soil to the atmosphere. Furthermore, acidic soils do not necessarily favour HONO emissions. Emissions are a factor of 700 higher for agricultural soils (Oswald et al., 2013), thus emissions might be highly influenced by microbial activities.
 - NO₂ conversion on humic acid surfaces was found to be light-saturated from the early morning throughout most of the daytime under ambient conditions and, thus, only dependent on NO₂. This saturation effect has not been observed in field measurements up to now. Consequently, we could not identify the expected correlation of HONO formation with *j*(NO₂) for this reaction. Furthermore, at low NO₂ levels this source is very small at our site.
 - Photolysis of adsorbed HNO₃ was found to explain the estimated HONO fluxes when using an empirical parameterization for HONO formation, but it failed to produce noticeable amounts of HONO when the formation was calculated according



25

15

to the proposed mechanism and literature values for adsorption cross sections and reaction kinetics.

Since HNO₃ photolysis is not correlated to $j(NO_2)$ either, the correlation of the unknown HONO source to $j(NO_2)$ as observed for example by Su et al. (2008) and Sörgel et al. (2011a) might originate from the unbalanced photolytic loss term of HONO ($j(HONO) \times [HONO]$). This loss term is highly correlated to $j(NO_2)$ in the budget cal-

- culations (Oswald et al., 2015), and is generally interpreted as the unknown source. Recently, an internal source of HONO in the boundary layer from the interconversion between NO_x and HO_x has been postulated with a contribution of about 75% (Li et al.,
- ¹⁰ 2014). Such a source would explain the observed correlation to $j(NO_2)$ or j(HONO). In our study, the surface emission flux of HONO is only in the order of a few per cent of the calculated photolytic loss within the boundary layer, which is even less than estimated from boundary layer profile measurements (~ 20% ground contribution; Zhang et al., 2009; Li et al., 2014).
- However, a daytime ground source of HONO exists that can produce additional OH, thus enhancing the oxidation capacity of the lower troposphere. The relative contributions of ground sources and volume sources and, hence, the contribution of HONO to primary OH formation remains to be quantified by combining field measurements with the application of chemistry and transport models.

²⁰ The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/acpd-15-2119-2015-supplement.

Acknowledgements. The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support by the German Science Foundation (DFG project HE 5214/4-1) and by the Max Planck Society. We would like to acknowledge the Department for Micrometeorology of the University of Bayreuth for the eddy

²⁵ flux measurements during IOP-3 and the meteorological data from the "Pflanzgarten"-site. Groundcover types and plant area data are courtesy of Eva Falge and Linda Voss. We are



grateful to Robert Oswald for checking and assisting with the calculations regarding the soil emissions. Erica Duran helped with the nitrate loading sampling and calculated the needle areas. We thank Tracey Andreae for proofreading the manuscript.

⁵ The service charges for this open access publication have been covered by the Max Planck Society.

References

15

20

25

- Abida, O., Du, J., and Zhu, L.: Investigation of the photolysis of the surface-adsorbed HNO₃ by combining laser photolysis with Brewster angle cavity ring-down spectroscopy, Chem. Phys.
- ¹⁰ Lett., 534, 77–82, 2012.
 - Alicke, B., Geyer, A., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Konrad, S., Pätz, H. W., Schäfer, J., Stutz, J., Volz-Thomas, A., and Platt, U.: OH formation by HONO photolysis during the BERLIOZ experiment, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 8247, doi:10.1029/2001JD000579, 2003.
 - Allison, F.: Losses of gaseous nitrogen from soils by chemical mechanisms involving nitrous acid and nitrites, Soil Sci., 96, 404–409, 1963.
 - Amedro, D., Parker, A. E., Schoemaecker, C., and Fittschen, C.: Direct observation of OH radicals after 565 nm multi-photon excitation of NO₂ in the presence of H₂O, Chem. Phys. Lett., 513, 12–16, 2011.

Arens, F., Gutzwiller, L., Baltensperger, U. R., Gäggler, H. W., and Ammann, M.: Heterogeneous reaction of NO₂ on diesel soot particles, Environ. Sci. Technol., 35, 2191–2199, 2001.

- Aubin, D. G. and Abbatt, J. P. D.: Interaction of NO₂ with hydrocarbon soot: focus on HONO yield, surface modification, and mechanism, J. Phys. Chem. A, 111, 6263–6273, 2007.
 - Bargsten, A., Falge, E., Pritsch, K., Huwe, B., and Meixner, F. X.: Laboratory measurements of nitric oxide release from forest soil with a thick organic layer under different understory types, Biogeosciences, 7, 1425–1441, doi:10.5194/bg-7-1425-2010, 2010.
- Bartels-Rausch, T., Brigante, M., Elshorbany, Y. F., Ammann, M., D'Anna, B., George, C., Stemmler, K., Ndour, M., and Kleffmann, J.: Humic acid in ice: Photo-enhanced conversion of nitrogen dioxide into nitrous acid, Atmos. Environ., 44, 5443–5450, 2010.



- 2143
- Foken, T.: Lufthygienisch-bioklimatische Kennzeichnung des oberen Egertales (Fichtelgebirge bis Karlovy Vary), Bayreuther Forum Ökologie, 100, Bayreuth, 70 pp., 2003. Foken, T: Micrometeorology, Springer, Heidelberg, 308 pp., 2008.
- aerosols, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 11, 7760-7779, 2009. 25 Finlayson-Pitts, B. J., Wingen, L. M., Sumner, A. L., Syomin, D., and Ramazan, K. A.: The heterogeneous hydrolysis of NO₂ in laboratory systems and in outdoor and indoor atmospheres: an integrated mechanism, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 5, 223-242, doi:10.1039/B208564J, 2003.
- diffusion denuders, Atmos. Environ. 30 3599-3609, 1996. Finlayson-Pitts, B. J.: Reactions at surfaces in the atmosphere: integration of experiments and theory as necessary (but not necessarily sufficient) for predicting the physical chemistry of

Febo, A., Perrino, C., and Allegrini, I.: Measurement of nitrous acid in Milan, Italy, by DOAS and

Donaldson, M. A., Berke, A. E., and Raff, J. D.: Uptake of gas phase nitrous acid onto boundary layer soil surfaces, Environ. Sci. Technol., 48, 375-383, doi:10.1021/es404156a, 2014. 20

Phys. Chem. A, 115, 6357-6365, 2011.

De Boer, W. and Kowalchuk, G. A.: Nitrification in acid soils: micro-organisms and mechanisms, Soil Biol. Biochem., 33, 853-866, 2001. De Jesus Medeiros, D. and Pimentel, A. S.: New insights in the atmospheric HONO formation:

new pathways for N_2O_4 isomerization and NO_2 dimerization in the presence of water, J.

15

- 10 dioxide, J. Photochem., 3, 291-304, 1974. Crowley, J. N. and Carl, S., A.: OH formation in the photoexcitation of NO₂ beyond the dissociation threshold in the presence of water vapor, J. Phys. Chem. A, 101, 4178-4184, 1997.
- dard, W. A.: Tropospheric aerosol as a reactive intermediate, Faraday Discuss., 165, 407-420, 2013. Cox, R. A.: The photolysis of nitrous acid in the presence of carbon monoxide and sulphur
- 5 College of Manawatu, Palmerston North, New Zealand, 13-22 November, 173–176, 1962. Colussi, A. J., Enami, S., Yabushita, A., Hoffmann, M. R., Liu, W.-G., Mishraaf, H., and God-
- Society of Soil Science, Communications IV and V, edited by: Neale, G. J., Massey University

Bejan, I., Aal, Y. A. E., Barnes, I., Benter, T., Bohn, B., Wiesen, P., and Kleffmann, J.: The

photolysis of ortho-nitrophenols: a new gas phase source of HONO, Phys. Chem. Chem.

Phys., 8, 2028–2035, doi:10.1039/b516590c, 2006. Clark, F. E.: Losses of nitrogen accompanying nitrification, in: Transactions of the International

Discussion 15, 2119–2155, 2015 Paper

Discussion

Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

A comparison of measured HONO uptake and release

ACPD

M. Sörgel et. al.





- Foken, T., Meixner, F. X., Falge, E., Zetzsch, C., Serafimovich, A., Bargsten, A., Behrendt, T., Biermann, T., Breuninger, C., Dix, S., Gerken, T., Hunner, M., Lehmann-Pape, L., Hens, K., Jocher, G., Kesselmeier, J., Lüers, J., Mayer, J.-C., Moravek, A., Plake, D., Riederer, M., Rütz, F., Scheibe, M., Siebicke, L., Sörgel, M., Staudt, K., Trebs, I., Tsokankunku, A.,
- Welling, M., Wolff, V., and Zhu, Z.: Coupling processes and exchange of energy and reac-5 tive and non-reactive trace gases at a forest site - results of the EGER experiment, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 1923–1950, doi:10.5194/acp-12-1923-2012, 2012.
 - George, C., Strekowski, R. S., Kleffmann, J., Stemmler, K., and Ammann, M.: Photoenhanced uptake of gaseous NO₂ on solid organic compounds: a photochemical source of HONO?, Faraday Discuss., 130, 195-210, 2005.
- Gustafsson, R. J., Kyriakou, G., and Lambert, R. M.: The molecular mechanism of tropospheric nitrous acid production on mineral dust surfaces, Chem. Phys. Chem., 9, 1390-1393, 2008. Gutzwiller, L., Arens, F., Baltensberger, U., Gäggler, H. W., and Ammann, M.: Significance of semivolatilediesel exhaust organics for secondary HONO formation, Environ. Sci. Technol., 36, 677-682, 2002.

15

10

- Harrison, R. M. and Kitto, A.-M. N.: Evidence for a surface source of atmospheric nitrous acid, Atmos. Environ., 28, 1089-1094, 1994.
- Harrison, R. M., Peak, J. D., and Collin, G. M.: Tropospheric cycle of nitrous acid, J. Geophys. Res., 101, 14429–14439, 1996.
- Heland, J., Kleffmann, J., Kurtenbach, R., and Wiesen, P.: A new instrument to measure 20 gaseous nitrous acid (HONO) in the atmosphere, Environ. Sci. Technol., 35, 3207-3212, 2001.
 - Kamboures, M. A., Raff, J. D., Miller, Y., Phillips, L. F., Finlayson-Pitts, B. J., and Gerber, R. B.: Complexes of HNO₃ and NO₃ with NO₂ and N₂O₄, and their potential role in atmospheric HONO formation, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 10, 6019-6032, 2008.
 - Kleffmann, J.: Daytime sources of nitrous acid (HONO) in the atmospheric boundary layer, Chem. Phys. Chem, 8, 1137–1144, 2007.
 - Kleffmann, J., Becker, K. H., Lackhoff, M., and Wiesen, P.: Heterogeneous conversion of NO₂ on carbonaceous surfaces, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 1, 5443-5450, 1999.
- 30 Kleffmann, J., Heland, J., Kurtenbach, R., Lörzer, J., and Wiesen, P.: A new instrument (LOPAP) for the detection of nitrous acid (HONO), Environ. Sci. Pollut. R., 4, 48–54, 2002.
 - Kleffmann, J., Gavriloaiei, T., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Koppmann, R., Rupp, L., Schlosser, E., Siese, M., and Wahner, A.: Daytime formation of nitrous acid: A major source



of OH radicals in a forest, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L05818, doi:10.1029/2005GL022524, 2005.

Kubota, M. and Asami, T.: Source of nitrous acid volatilized from upland soils, Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 31, 35–42, 1985.

⁵ Lee, B. H., Wood, E. C., Herndon, S. C., Lefer, B. L., Luke, W. T., Brune, W. H., Nelson, D. D., Zahniser, M. S., and Munger, J. W.: Urban measurements of atmospheric nitrous acid: a caveat on the interpretation of the HONO photostationary state, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 1–8, doi:10.1002/2013JD020341, 2013.

Li, X., Rohrer, F., Hofzumahaus, H., Brauers, T., Häseler, R., Bohn, B., Broch, S., Fuchs, H.,

Gomm, S., Holland, F., Jäger, J., Kaiser, J., Keutsch, F. N., Lohse, I., Lu, K., Tillmann, R., Wegener, R., Wolfe, G. M., F. Mentel, T. F., Kiendler-Scharr, A., and Wahner, A.: Missing gasphase source of HONO inferred from zeppelin measurements in the troposphere, Science, 344, 292–296, doi:10.1126/science.1248999, 2014.

Ludwig, J., Meixner, F. X., Vogel, B., and Förstner J.: Soil-air exchange of nitric oxide: an

- overview of processes, environmental factors, and modeling studies, Biogeochemistry, 52, 225–257, 2001.
 - Madronich, S. and Flocke, S.: The role of solar radiation in atmospheric chemistry, in: The Handbook of Environment Chemistry / Reactions and Processes / Environmental Photochemistry Part I: BD 2 / Part L, edited by: Boule, P., Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 373, 1–26, 1998.
- Maljanen, M., Yli-Pirilä, P., Hytönen, J., Joutsensaari, J., and Martikainen, P. J.: Acidic northern soils as sources of atmospheric nitrous acid (HONO), Soil Biol. Biochem., 67, 94–97, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.08.013, 2013.
 - Matthies, C., Erhard, H.-P., Drake, H. L.: Effects of pH on the comparative culturability of fungi and bacteria from acidic and less acidic forest soils, J. Basic. Microb., 37, 335–343, 1997.
- Mauder, M. and Foken, T.: Documentation and instruction manual of the eddy-covariance software package TK3, Universität Bayreuth, Abteilung Mikrometeorologie, 46, Bayreuth, 60 pp., ISSN1614-8924, 2011.

Miller, Y., Finlayson-Pitts, B. J., and Gerber, R. B.: Ionization of N₂O₄ in contact with water: mechanism, time scales and atmospheric implications, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 131, 12180–12185, doi:10.1021/ja900350g, 2009.

Moldrup, P., Olesen, T., Gamst, J., Schjonning, P., Yamaguchi, T., and Rolston, D. E.: Predicting the gas diffusion coefficient in repacked soil: water-induced linear reduction model, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 64, 1588–1594, 2000.



Oswald, R., Behrendt, T., Ermel, M., Wu, D., Su, H., Cheng, Y., Breuninger, C., Moravek, A., Mougin, Delon, C., Loubet, B., Pommerening-Röser, A., Sörgel, M., Pöschl, U., Hoffmann, T., Andreae, M. O., Meixner, F. X., and Trebs, I.: HONO emissions from soil bacteria as a major source of atmospheric reactive Nitrogen, Science, 341, 1233–1235, doi:10.1126/science.1242266, 2013.

- doi:10.1126/science.1242266, 2013.
 Oswald, R., Ermel, M., Hens, K., Novelli, A., Ouwersloot, H. G., Paasonen, P., Petäjä, T., Sipilä, M., Keronen, P., Bäck, J., Königstedt, R., Hosaynali Beygi, Z., Fischer, H., Bohn, B., Kubistin, D., Harder, H., Martinez, M., Williams, J., Hoffmann, T., Trebs, I., and Sörgel, M.: A comparison of HONO budgets for two measurement heights at a field station within the boreal forest
- in Finland, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 799–813, doi:10.5194/acp-15-799-2015, 2015.
 Oren, R., Schulze, E.-D., Matyssek, R., and Zimmermann, R.: Estimating photosynthetic rate and annual carbon gain in conifers from specific leaf weight and leaf biomass, Oecologia, 70, 187–193, 1986.

Pape, L., Ammann, C., Nyfeler-Brunner, A., Spirig, C., Hens, K., and Meixner, F. X.: An automated dynamic chamber system for surface systems are produced at the second system of the second system of

tomated dynamic chamber system for surface exchange measurement of non-reactive and reactive trace gases of grassland ecosystems, Biogeosciences, 6, 405–429, doi:10.5194/bg-6-405-2009, 2009.

Ramazan, K. A., Syomin, D., Finlayson-Pitts, B. J.: The photochemical production of HONO during the heterogeneous hydrolysis of NO₂, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 6, 3836–3843, 2004.

Ren, X., Sanders, J. E., Rajendran, A., Weber, R. J., Goldstein, A. H., Pusede, S. E., Browne, E. C., Min, K.-E., and Cohen, R. C.: A relaxed eddy accumulation system for measuring vertical fluxes of nitrous acid, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 4, 2093–2103, doi:10.5194/amt-4-2093-2011, 2011.

Rousk, J., Bååth, E., Brookes, P. C., Lauber, C. L., Lozupone, C., Caporaso, J. G., Knight, R.,

²⁵ and Fierer, N.: Soil bacterial and fungal communities across a pH gradient in an arable soil, ISME J., 4, 1340–1351, 2010.

Rubasinghege, G. and Grassian, V. H.: Photochemistry of adsorbed nitrate on aluminum oxide particle surfaces, J. Phys. Chem. A, 113, 7818–7825, 2009.

Schimang, R., Folkers, A., Kleffmann, J., Kleist, E., Miebach, M., Wildt, J.: Uptake of gaseous nitrous acid (HONO) by several plant species, Atmos. Environ., 40, 1324–1335, 2006.

Schuttlefield, J., Rubasinghege, G., El-Maazawi, M., Bone, J., and Grassian, V. H.: Photochemistry of adsorbed nitrate, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 130, 12210–12211, 2008.



- Sörgel, M., Regelin, E., Bozem, H., Diesch, J.-M., Drewnick, F., Fischer, H., Harder, H., Held, A., Hosaynali-Beygi, Z., Martinez, M., and Zetzsch, C.: Quantification of the unknown HONO daytime source and its relation to NO₂, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 10433–10447, doi:10.5194/acp-11-10433-2011, 2011a.
- Sörgel, M., Trebs, I., Serafimovich, A., Moravek, A., Held, A., and Zetzsch, C.: Simultaneous HONO measurements in and above a forest canopy: influence of turbulent exchange on mixing ratio differences, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 841–855, doi:10.5194/acp-11-841-2011, 2011b.

Stemmler, K., Ammann, M., Donders, C., Kleffmann, J., and George, C.: Photosensitized re-

- duction of nitrogen dioxide on humic acid as a source of nitrous acid, Nature, 440, 195–198, 2006.
 - Stemmler, K., Ndour, M., Elshorbany, Y., Kleffmann, J., D'Anna, B., George, C., Bohn, B., and Ammann, M.: Light induced conversion of nitrogen dioxide into nitrous acid on submicron humic acid aerosol, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 4237–4248, doi:10.5194/acp-7-4237-2007, 2007.
- Stutz, J., Alicke, B., and Neftel, A.: Nitrous acid formation in the urban atmosphere: gradient measurements of NO₂ and HONO over grass in Milan, Italy, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 8192, doi:10.1029/2001JD000390, 2002.
 - Su, H., Cheng, Y. F., Shao, M., Gao, D. F., Yu, Z. Y., Zeng, L. M., Slanina, J., Zhang, Y. H., and Wiedensohler, A.: Nitrous acid (HONO) and its daytime sources at a rural site
- ²⁰ during the 2004 PRIDE-PRD experiment in China, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D14312, doi:10.1029/2007JD009060, 2008.
 - Su, H., Cheng, Y., Oswald, R., Behrendt, T., Trebs, I., Meixner, F.-X., Andreae, M. O., Cheng, P., Zhang, Y., and Pöschl, U.: Soil nitrite as a source of atmospheric HONO and OH radicals, Science, 333, 1616–1618, doi:10.1126/science.1207687, 2011.
- Trebs, I., Bohn, B., Ammann, C., Rummel, U., Blumthaler, M., Königstedt, R., Meixner, F. X., Fan, S., and Andreae, M. O.: Relationship between the NO₂ photolysis frequency and the solar global irradiance, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 2, 725–739, doi:10.5194/amt-2-725-2009, 2009.
 Van Cleemput, O. and Baert, L.: Nitrite: a key compound in N loss processes under acid conditions?, Plant Soil, 76, 233–241, 1984.
- ³⁰ VandenBoer, T. C., Brown, S. S., Murphy, J. G., Keene, W. C., Young, C. J., Pszenny, A. A. P., Kim, S., Warneke, C., de Gouw, J. A., Maben, J. R., Wagner, N. L., Riedel, T. P., Thornton, J. A., Wolfe, D. E., Dubé, W. P., Öztürk, F., Brock, C. A., Grossberg, N., Lefer, B., Lerner, B., Middlebrook, A. M., and Roberts, J. M.: Understanding the role of the ground



surface in HONO vertical structure: high resolution vertical profiles during NACHTT-11, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118, 10155–10171, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50721, 2013.

Venterea, R. T., Rolston, D. E., and Cardon, Z. G.: Effects of soil moisture, physical, and chemical characteristics on abiotic nitric oxide production, Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys., 72, 27–40, 2005.

5

10

15

Volkamer, R., Sheehy, P., Molina, L. T., and Molina, M. J.: Oxidative capacity of the Mexico City atmosphere – Part 1: A radical source perspective, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 6969–6991, doi:10.5194/acp-10-6969-2010, 2010.

Wolff, V., Trebs, I., Ammann, C., and Meixner, F. X.: Aerodynamic gradient measurements of the NH₃-HNO₃-NH₄NO₃ triad using a wet chemical instrument: an analysis of precision

- requirements and flux errors, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 3, 187–208, doi:10.5194/amt-3-187-2010, 2010.
- Wong, K. W., Oh, H.-J., Lefer, B. L., Rappenglück, B., and Stutz, J.: Vertical profiles of nitrous acid in the nocturnal urban atmosphere of Houston, TX, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 3595– 3609, doi:10.5194/acp-11-3595-2011, 2011.
- Wong, K. W., Tsai, C., Lefer, B., Haman, C., Grossberg, N., Brune, W. H., Ren, X., Luke, W., and Stutz, J.: Daytime HONO vertical gradients during SHARP 2009 in Houston, TX, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 635–652, doi:10.5194/acp-12-635-2012, 2012.

Wong, K. W., Tsai, C., Lefer, B., Grossberg, N., and Stutz, J.: Modeling of daytime HONO ver-

- tical gradients during SHARP 2009, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 3587–3601, doi:10.5194/acp-13-3587-2013, 2013.
 - Yabushita, A., Enami, S., Sakamoto, Y., Kawasaki, M., Hoffmann, M. R., and Colussi, A. J.: Anion-catalyzed dissolution of NO₂ on aqueous microdroplets, J. Phys. Chem. A, 113, 4844– 4848, 2009.
- ²⁵ Zhang, N., Zhou, X., Shepson, P. B., Gao, H., Alaghmand, M., and Stirm, B.: Aircraft measurement of HONO vertical profiles over a forested region, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L15820, doi:10.1029/2009GL038999, 2009.
 - Zhang, N., Zhou, X., Bertman, S., Tang, D., Alaghmand, M., Shepson, P. B., and Carroll, M. A.: Measurements of ambient HONO concentrations and vertical HONO flux above a north-
- ³⁰ ern Michigan forest canopy, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 8285–8296, doi:10.5194/acp-12-8285-2012, 2012.



- Zhou, X., He, Y., Huang, G., Thornberry, T. D., Carroll, M. A., and Bertman, S. B.: Photochemical production of nitrous acid on glass sample manifold surface, Geophys. Res. Lett., 29, 1681, doi:10.1029/2002GL015080, 2002.
- Zhou, X., Gao, H., He, Y., Huang, G., Bertman, S. B., Civerolo, K., and Schwab, J.: Nitric acid photolysis on surfaces in low-NO_x environments: significant atmospheric implications,
- Geophys. Res. Lett., 30, 1–4, 2003.
 Zhou, X. L., Zhang, N., TerAvest, M., Tang, D., Hou, J., Bertman, S., Alaghmand, M., Shepson, P. B., Carroll, M. A., Griffith, S., Dusanter, S., and Stevens, P. S.: Nitric acid photolysis on forest canopy surface as a source for tropospheric nitrous acid, Nat. Geosci., 4, 440–443,
- doi:10.1038/ngeo1164, 2011.
 - Zhu, C., Xiang, B., Zhu, L., Cole, R.: Determination of absorption cross sections of surfaceadsorbed HNO₃ in the 290–330 nm region by Brewster angle cavity ring-down spectroscopy, Chem. Phys. Lett., 458, 73–377, 2008.
 - Zhu, C., Xiang, B., Chu, L. T., and Zhu, L.: Photolysis of nitric acid in the gas phase, on aluminum surfaces and on ice films. J. Phys. Chem. A 114, 2561–2568, 2010.
- minum surfaces, and on ice films, J. Phys. Chem. A, 114, 2561–2568, 2010.





Figure 1. Temperature (red), relative humidity (RH, black) and precipitation (blue) averaged for a 10 min interval are shown in panels (a) for 25 June to 15 July 2011 (IOP-3), and (b) for 1 September 2012 to 11 September 2012 (IOP-4). Periods when HONO vertical profiles were measured are indicated by grey bars at the top of the graphs. Panels (c) and (d) show solar global irradiance (black) and *j*(HONO) in dark yellow, calculated according to Trebs et al. (2009), for the respective campaigns. Additionally, *j*(HONO) at the forest floor (orange) was calculated by applying a factor of 10 taking into account attenuation by the canopy (cf. Sörgel et al., 2011b). All data were taken from the "Pflanzgarten" site.





Figure 2. HONO (blue), NO (black) and NO₂ (grey) mixing ratios measured at the clearing at 1.6 m averaged for each height interval (i.e. omitting the first data points according to the time resolution of the instruments) from (a) 27 June to 30 June 2011 (NO_x: 3.5 min mean; HONO: 3 min mean), and (b) 11 July to 13 July 2011(NO_x: 4 min mean; HONO: 3 min mean). Missing NO values are below the detection limit (LOD₂ = 50 ppt). Vertical temperature differences (red triangles and line) and HONO mixing ratio differences (blue dots and line) for each cycle (~ 30 min) are shown in (c) and (d) as well as the friction velocity (30 min mean) in grey shading. Differences of mean HONO values measured at 1.6 and 0.1 m are presented and error bars denote combined standard deviations. For temperature, differences between 1.4 and 0.1 m are shown.





Figure 3. HONO (blue), NO (black) and NO₂ (grey) mixing ratios measured at the forest floor at 1.6 m averaged for each height interval (i.e. omitting the first data points according to the time resolution of the instruments) from 3 September to 9 September 2012 (NO_x: 7 min mean; HONO: 6 min mean) are shown in **(a)**. Missing NO values are below the detection limit (LOD₂ $_{\sigma}$ = 50 ppt). Vertical temperature differences (red triangles and line) and HONO mixing ratio differences (blue dots and line) for each cycle (~ 30 min) are shown in **(b)** as well as the friction velocity (30 min mean) in grey shading. Differences of mean HONO values measured at 1.6 and 0.1 m are presented and error bars denote combined standard deviations. For temperature, differences between 1.6 and 0.1 m are shown.





Figure 4. Diurnal cycles of HONO emission fluxes caused by light induced NO₂ conversion for different NO₂ mixing ratios are shown in (a). The corresponding correlations of HONO formation with $j(NO_2)$ are presented in (b).





Figure 5. HONO fluxes from photolysis of adsorbed HNO_3 calculated by three different approaches (for details see text). Diurnal cycles of the HONO fluxes are shown in **(a)**, whereas **(b)** shows the relationship between HONO fluxes and $j(NO_2)$.





Figure 6. Comparison of measured HONO fluxes at the clearing on 12 July 2012 with estimates of potential HONO sources. Black stars represent the fluxes derived from the aerodynamic gradient method. Blue diamonds are HONO fluxes calculated from the measured nitrate loadings according to Zhou et al. (2011) but using the geometric needle area (see Sect. 3.4.3, approach 2). Brown dots are calculated HONO fluxes according to Stemmler et al. (2007) assuming a flat surface covered with humic acid. The grey horizontal line marks the upper limit of soil HONO fluxes derived from laboratory dynamic chamber measurements.

