The sensitivities of emissions reductions for the mitigation of UK 1

PM2.5 2

3

M. Vieno¹, M.R. Heal², M.L. Williams³, E.J. Carnell¹, E. Nemitz¹, J.R. Stedman⁴ and S. 4 Reis^{1,5}

- 5
- [1] Natural Environment Research Council, Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Penicuik, UK 6
- 7 [2] School of Chemistry, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK
- 8 [3] Environmental Research Group, Kings College London, London, UK
- 9 [4] Ricardo-AEA, Harwell, UK
- 10 [5] University of Exeter Medical School, Knowledge Spa, Truro, UK
- Correspondence to: M. Vieno (vieno.massimo@gmail.com) 11
- 12

13 ABSTRACT

14

The reduction of ambient concentrations of fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) is a key objective for 15 16 air pollution control policies in the UK and elsewhere. Long-term exposure to PM_{2.5} has been 17 identified as a major contributor to adverse human health effects in epidemiological studies and 18 underpins ambient PM_{2.5} legislation. As a range of emission sources and atmospheric chemistry 19 transport processes contribute to PM_{2.5} concentrations, atmospheric chemistry transport models 20 are an essential tool to assess emissions control effectiveness. The EMEP4UK atmospheric 21 chemistry transport model was used to investigate the impact of reductions in UK 22 anthropogenic emissions of primary PM_{2.5}, NH₃, NO_x, SO_x or non-methane VOC on surface 23 concentrations of PM_{2.5} in the UK for a recent year (2010) and for a future current legislation 24 emission (CLE) scenario (2030). In general, the sensitivity to UK mitigation is rather small. A 25 30% reduction in UK emissions of any one of the above components yields (for the 2010 simulation) a maximum reduction in PM_{2.5} in any given location of ~0.6 μ g m⁻³ (equivalent to 26 27 ~6% of the modelled $PM_{2.5}$). On average across the UK, the sensitivity of $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations 28 to a 30% reduction in UK emissions of individual contributing components, for both the 2010 29 and 2030 CLE baselines, increases in the order NMVOC, NO_x, SO_x, NH₃ and primary PM_{2.5}; 30 however there are strong spatial differences in the $PM_{2.5}$ sensitivities across the UK. 31 Consequently, the sensitivity of PM_{2.5} to individual component emissions reductions varies 32 between area and population weighting. Reductions in NH₃ have the greatest effect on area33 weighted PM_{2.5}. A full UK population weighting places greater emphasis on reductions of primary PM_{2.5} emissions, which is simulated to be the most effective single-component control 34 35 on PM_{2.5} for the 2030 scenario. An important conclusion is that weighting corresponding to the 36 Average Exposure Indicator metric (using data from the 45 model grids containing a monitor 37 whose measurements are used to calculate the UK AEI) further increases the emphasis on the 38 effectiveness of primary PM_{2.5} emissions reductions (and of NO_x emissions reductions) relative 39 to the effectiveness of NH3 emissions reductions. Reductions in primary PM2.5 have the largest 40 impact on the AEI in both 2010 and the 2030 CLE scenario. The summation of the modelled reductions to the UK PM_{2.5} AEI from 30% reductions in UK emissions of primary PM_{2.5}, NH₃, 41 SO_x , NO_x and VOC totals 1.17 µg m⁻³ and 0.82 µg m⁻³ for the 2010 and 2030 CLE simulations, 42 respectively (not accounting for non-linearity). 43

44

45 **1** Introduction

46

47 Atmospheric particulate matter (PM) has a range of adverse impacts including on climate change through radiative forcing (IPCC, 2013) and on human health (WHO, 2006, 2013). The 48 49 global health burden from exposure to ground-level ambient fine particulate matter (as 50 characterised by the PM_{2.5} metric) is substantial. The Global Burden of Disease project 51 attributed 3.2 million premature deaths and 76 million disability-adjusted life years to exposure 52 to ambient $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations prevailing in 2005 (Lim et al., 2012). Exposure to ambient 53 PM_{2.5} remains a major health issue in Europe. The European Environment Agency report that 54 for the period 2010-2012, 10-14% of the urban population in the EU28 countries was exposed 55 to ambient concentrations of PM2.5 exceeding the EU annual-average PM2.5 reference value of 25 µg m⁻³, but 91-93% were exposed to concentrations exceeding the WHO annual-average 56 57 PM_{2.5} air quality guideline of 10 μ g m⁻³ (EEA, 2014).

58

European Commission (EC) legislation for $PM_{2.5}$ includes an obligation on individual member states to reduce exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ in areas of population by a prescribed percentage between 2010 and 2020. The exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ is quantified through the Average Exposure Indicator (AEI) which is the average of the annual $PM_{2.5}$ measured across designated urban background and suburban sites spread over cities and large towns (averaged over the 3-year periods spanning 2010 and 2020). The AEI is therefore a quasi-indicator of population-weighted $PM_{2.5}$. For the UK, the calculation of the AEI uses data from 45 sites (Brookes et al., 2012) and the required reduction by 2020 is 15% from its 2010 value of 13 μ g m⁻³ (Defra, 2012).

67

While standards focus on PM_{2.5} mass concentrations, meeting these standards is complicated by the considerable chemical heterogeneity, which arises because ambient PM_{2.5} comprises both primary PM emissions and secondary inorganic and organic components formed within the atmosphere from gaseous precursor emissions, specifically NH₃, NO_x (NO & NO₂), SO₂ and a wide range of non-methane volatile organic compounds (VOC) (USEPA, 2009; AQEG, 2012). Meteorological conditions also control PM_{2.5} concentrations through their influences on dispersion, chemistry and deposition.

75

European legislation sets current and future caps on anthropogenic emissions of primary and secondary-precursor components of $PM_{2.5}$ at national level and from individual sources (Heal et al., 2012). Although it is well-known that much of the ambient $PM_{2.5}$ in the UK derives from trans-boundary emissions and transport into the UK (Vieno et al., 2014;AQEG, 2015), a pertinent policy question to address is: what additional surface $PM_{2.5}$ reductions could the UK unilaterally achieve, at least in principle? In other words, what are the sensitivities of UK $PM_{2.5}$ to UK reductions in emissions of relevant components?

83

84 This is the motivation for the work presented here, which investigates the impact of reductions 85 from UK anthropogenic sources of emissions of primary PM_{2.5} and of precursors of secondary PM_{2.5} on surface PM_{2.5} concentrations across the whole UK. To adequately simulate the UK 86 87 national domain requires the use of a regional atmospheric chemistry transport model (ACTM), 88 in this study the EMEP4UK Eulerian ACTM (Vieno et al., 2009; Vieno et al., 2010; Vieno et al., 89 2014). Recognising that reductions in UK and rest-of-Europe emissions are already projected 90 under current legislation, this work compares the present-day sensitivity of UK emissions 91 reductions on UK PM_{2.5} with a future time point (2030) to examine the effectiveness of potential 92 options in the future. It is recognised that climate change may also have some influence on future PM_{2.5} concentrations in the UK; however the focus is here on UK precursor emission 93 sensitivity and many studies have concluded that on the 2030 timescale air pollutant 94 95 concentrations will be much more strongly influenced by changes in precursor emissions than 96 by changes in climate (e.g. Langner et al. (2012);Coleman et al. (2013);Colette et al. (2013)).

97 Throughout, the focus is on annual average $PM_{2.5}$, since this is the metric within the AEI, which 98 in turn is driven by the evidence from epidemiological studies that demonstrate associations 99 between adverse health outcomes and long-term (annual average) concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$ 100 (COMEAP, 2010;WHO, 2013). It is also recognised that, whilst the focus here is on reduction 101 in concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$ from the perspective of its impact on human health, the reduction of 102 anthropogenic emissions in general will also have other benefits including on human health, on 103 N and S deposition, and on ozone formation.

104

105 2 Methods

106

107 2.1 Model description and set-up

The EMEP4UK model used here is a regional ACTM based on version rv4.4 (www.emep.int)
of the EMEP MSC-W model which is described in Simpson et al. (2012). A detailed description
of the EMEP4UK model is given in Vieno et al. (2010), and Vieno et al. (2014).

111

112 The EMEP4UK model meteorological driver is the Weather Research and Forecast (WRF) 113 model version 3.1.1 (www.wrf-model.org). The EMEP4UK and WRF model horizontal 114 resolution is 50 km \times 50 km for the extended European domain and 5 km \times 5 km for the inner 115 domain as illustrated in Figure 1. The EMEP4UK model uses a nested approach, the European 116 domain concentrations are used as boundary condition for the UK domain. The boundary conditions at the edge of the European domain are prescribed concentrations in terms of latitude 117 118 and adjusted for each year. For ozone, 3-D fields for the whole domain are specified from climatological ozone-sonde data-sets, modified monthly against clean-air surface observations 119 120 as described in Simpson et al. (2012).

121

The default EMEP MSC-W chemical scheme was used for the present study, as it has been extensively validated at the European scale (Simpson et al. (2012), www.emep.int). The scheme has 72 species and 137 reactions, and full details are given in Simpson et al. (2012). The gas/aerosol partitioning is the model for aerosols reacting system (MARS) formulation (Simpson et al., 2012). In the model version used here, $PM_{2.5}$ is the sum of the fine ($PM_{2.5}$) fraction of: ammonium (NH_4^+), sulphate (SO_4^{2-}), nitrate (NO_3^-), elemental carbon (EC), organic matter (OM), sea salt (SS), mineral dust, and 27% of the coarse nitrate. PM_{10} is the sum of PM_{2.5} plus the coarse (PM_{2.5-10}) fraction of EC, OM, NO₃⁻, SS, and dust.

130 Whilst fine nitrate production is modelled using a thermodynamic model (MARS), the 131 formation of coarse nitrate from nitric acid (HNO_3) uses a parameterised approach that seeks 132 to capture the HNO₃ reaction with sea salt and crustal material. The conversion rate of HNO₃ 133 to coarse nitrate depends on relative humidity, as described by Simpson et al. (2012), but is not 134 explicitly linked to the surface area of the existing coarse aerosol. Both nitrate generation mechanisms compete for the same HNO₃, and whilst this constrains the total amount of nitrate 135 136 produced, it is acknowledged that the resulting split into fine and coarse nitrate is somewhat 137 uncertain as discussed in Aas et al. (2012). A more explicit aerosol scheme is under 138 development for the model.

139

140 Anthropogenic emissions of NO_x, NH₃, SO₂, primary PM_{2.5}, primary PM_{coarse}, CO and non-141 methane VOC for the UK are derived from the National Atmospheric Emission Inventory 142 (NAEI, http://naei.defra.gov.uk) at 1 km \times 1 km resolution and aggregated to 5 km \times 5 km 143 resolution. For the European domain, the model uses the EMEP 50 km \times 50 km resolution 144 emission estimates provided by the Centre for Emission Inventories and Projections (CEIP, 145 http://www.ceip.at/). Shipping emissions estimates, for the inner domain, are derived from the 146 ENTEC (now Amec Foster Wheeler) emissions estimate (ENTEC, 2010). Natural emissions of isoprene and DMS are as described in Simpson et al. (2012). 147

148

The EMEP MSC-W model from which the EMEP4UK model is derived is used widely in support of European air quality science and policy development and the performances of both have been extensively evaluated (Carslaw, 2011b;Schulz et al., 2013;Simpson et al., 2014;Schaap et al., 2015).

153

154 **2.2 Model experiments**

A base run and a set of 5 sensitivity experiments were carried out for emissions and meteorology for 2010. The experiments applied 30% reductions to UK anthropogenic emissions from all sectors for each of the following pollutants individually: primary PM_{2.5}, NH₃, NO_x, SO_x and NMVOC. This 30% perturbation was applied to land-based emissions only; shipping emissions (both domestic and international) were left unchanged.

161 Model runs were repeated for a 2030 future emissions scenario to investigate sensitivities of UK PM_{2.5} to UK emissions reductions further along the pathway of current legislation (CLE) 162 163 emissions. The 2030 CLE emissions used in the model runs were based on the 2030 IIASA 164 CLE projection (IIASA, 2012) for Europe and the Updated Energy Projections (UEP, version 165 45) for the UK. The UEPs are developed and regularly updated by analysing and projecting 166 future energy use and are based on assumptions of future economic growth, fossil fuel prices, 167 UK population development and other key variables. A set of projections is based on a range 168 of assumptions to represent the uncertainty in making such projections into the future. For this 169 manuscript, the mid-range estimates were used. For a full description of the UEPs and the 170 methodology for their compilation, see DECC (2015). Emissions from shipping were 2020 171 emissions estimate provided by ENTEC (now Amec Foster Wheeler) (ENTEC, 2010).

172

No change in the spatial distribution of emissions was made. Whilst there will likely be some changes in the spatial distribution of emissions, such changes are not easily predicted for a future scenario, and may be anticipated to be smaller than the changes in absolute amounts of emissions. The boundary and initial conditions for ozone and particles outside the European domain were left unchanged to the year 2010, as was the meteorology. The use of the same meteorology isolates the sensitivity of surface $PM_{2.5}$ to emissions reductions at some future date from the effects on surface $PM_{2.5}$ due to differences in meteorology.

180

181 As well as maps of annual-average surface PM_{2.5} concentrations the following three summary 182 statistics for UK PM_{2.5} were calculated: (i) the area-weighted average, i.e. the average of all 5 183 km \times 5 km model grids over the UK; (ii) the population-weighted average, i.e. the 5 km \times 5 184 km gridded estimates of PM_{2.5} surface concentrations re-projected onto the British National 185 Grid and multiplied by population estimates at the same spatial resolution (derived from the 186 UK census, http://census.edina.ac.uk/) (Figure 2) and divided by the sum of the UK population; 187 (iii) a value analogous to the Average Exposure Indicator (AEI), calculated as the average of 188 the concentrations for the 45 model grids containing a PM_{2.5} monitor whose measurements are 189 used to define the UK's 2010 AEI value (Brookes et al., 2012).

- 191 **3 Results**
- 192

193 Example comparisons between EMEP4UK-modelled surface concentrations of PM2.5 194 components and total measured $PM_{2.5}$ are shown in Figure 3 for three UK national network 195 monitoring sites: Edinburgh St. Leonards, an urban background site in the north of the UK; 196 London North Kensington, an urban background site in central London in the south-east of the 197 UK; and Harwell, a rural background site in central England. Monthly averages of the hourly measured and modelled data are presented. Model simulations follow the observational time 198 trends well. The model simulations of the SIA components SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^{-} and NH_4^{+} have 199 previously been individually evaluated by Vieno et al. (2014) against 10 years of speciated 200 201 observations made at ~30 sites across the UK in the AGANET network (Conolly et al., 2011). 202 The four UK sites included in Vieno et al. (2014) showed good agreement between the monthly averaged EMEP4UK simulation and the observed NO₃⁻ and SO₄²⁻, with a bias range of 0.28 to 203 -0.62 and 0.8 to -0.27 µg m⁻³, respectively. The EMEP4UK model was also evaluated against 204 205 observations and other models in a UK model inter-comparison organised by the UK 206 Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs (Defra) (Carslaw, 2011b, a). The persistent 207 negative bias in the sum of the modelled PM_{2.5} against observation in Figure 3 is consistent 208 with the absence of re-suspended dust in the model configuration used here, and possibly also 209 reflects a difference in the treatment of particle-bound water in model and measurement. The 210 omission of re-suspended dust does not impact on the investigations here of the sensitivities of 211 PM_{2.5} concentrations to anthropogenic emissions reductions; however it is acknowledged that 212 since particle-bound water is related to the mass of secondary inorganic components its 213 omission will have some impact on the sensitivity of PM2.5 to inorganic precursor gas emissions 214 reductions. Different measurement techniques and conditions incorporate different proportions 215 of the ambient PM_{2.5} water content. We focus here on changes to the dry mass concentrations of surface $PM_{2.5}$ derived from changes in the emissions of primary $PM_{2.5}$ and in secondary $PM_{2.5}$ 216 217 precursor gases. (It is also noted that values of relative reductions in modelled PM_{2.5} will be 218 slightly higher than if expressed relative to measured PM_{2.5} at that location.) Some model 219 underestimation may also derive from dilution of primary PM_{2.5} emissions into the 5 km grid 220 of the model compared with the primary emissions more local to an urban background monitor. 221 The simulated 'baseline' 2010 annual-average surface concentrations for PM_{2.5} at 50 km 222 horizontal resolution for the EMEP4UK European domain and for the nested 5 km horizontal

resolution British Isles domain are shown in Figure 1. The UK 2010 annual-average surface concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$ are generally lower compared with neighbouring continental countries such as France, the Netherlands and Germany. The influence of emissions originating from continental Europe is revealed by the gradient of decreasing $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations away from the continent. An analysis presented in AQEG (2015) also using the EMEP4UK model showed that UK emissions contribute around 55% of the total $PM_{2.5}$ in the UK. This limits the extent to

229 which long-term average concentrations can be reduced by UK action alone.

230

Figure 4 shows maps of the impacts on 2010 surface PM_{2.5} for 30% reductions in UK terrestrial 231 232 emissions of each of NH₃, NO_x, SO_x, VOC and primary PM_{2.5}. The effect of these emissions 233 reductions on the three measures of UK-average surface concentrations of PM_{2.5} are illustrated 234 in Figure 5, based on the data given in Table 1. The principal observations from the two figures 235 are that PM_{2.5} levels in the UK do not show strong responses to UK-only reductions in emissions 236 of individual components/precursors of PM_{2.5}, and that the responses are highly geographically 237 variable. The maximum reduction in PM_{2.5} concentrations (at a 5 km grid resolution) reaches 238 ~0.6 μ g m⁻³ (~6% of the modelled components) in response to a 30% reduction in UK emissions 239 of individual components, and in most locations the reductions in PM_{2.5} concentrations are 240 considerably smaller. This again indicates the influence on PM_{2.5} in the UK (on an annual average basis) from emissions outside of the UK. In the case of the formation of SIA 241 242 components, it also reflects the non-linearity in the precursor oxidation chemistry and gas-243 particle phase partitioning that occurs between emission location and receptor location 244 (Harrison et al., 2013; Vieno et al., 2014).

245

Figure 4 and Figure 5 show that, on average across the UK, the sensitivity of $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations to a 30% reduction in UK emissions of individual contributing components increases in the order VOC, NO_x , SO_x , primary $PM_{2.5}$ and NH_3 . The exact order varies slightly with the UK-average measure used (Figure 5). This is due to differences in the spatial patterns of the $PM_{2.5}$ reductions shown in Figure 4 in relation to the distribution of UK population shown in Figure 2.

252

The 30% reductions in UK VOC emissions gives maximum reductions of ~0.15 μ g m⁻³ (1.5%) in PM_{2.5} concentrations in central and northern England and central Scotland (Figure 4e). The

30% reductions in UK NO_x emissions yield around 0.2 μ g m⁻³ (3%) reductions in PM_{2.5} over 255 some rural areas (Figure 4c), and generally a maximum of 0.15 μ g m⁻³ (1.5%) reductions in 256 PM_{2.5} over other rural areas. An important observation is that reductions of PM_{2.5} over urban 257 258 centres are smaller (no more than 0.15 μ g m⁻³) than in rural areas for these reductions in NO_x emissions. The 30% reductions in UK SO_x emissions yield up to ~0.45-0.5 μ g m⁻³ (5%) 259 260 reductions in PM_{2.5} in the Trent valley and up to around 0.3-0.35 μ g m⁻³ (3%) reductions in 261 PM_{2.5} over large areas of central and northern England and central Scotland (Figure 4d). The 262 locations with greatest sensitivities to the 30% NO_x emissions reductions (Figure 4c) are 263 generally those with the lowest sensitivities to SO_x emissions reductions (Figure 4d). As with 264 the NO_x emissions reductions, the reductions in PM_{2.5} concentrations for reductions in SO_x 265 emissions is not, in general, associated with the major urban areas, except where these also have 266 major SO_x sources in the vicinity (e.g. Trent Valley, West Midlands, Cheshire). This is primarily caused by the spatial distribution of major sources of SO_x emissions. As ~80% of UK 267 268 SO_x 2010 emissions originate from large point sources (power plants, industrial facilities), 269 which are not located in the heart of urban areas, associated emission reductions have the most 270 profound effects in rural areas. However, the greater sensitivity to SO_x close to large point 271 sources (e.g. coal-fired power plants) may in part be an artefact due to the model assumption that 5% of SO_x emissions are directly in the form of SO₄²⁻, which may no longer be appropriate 272 273 for these sources or for models running at relatively high horizontal spatial resolution. The SO_x 274 and NO_x gases compete in their reaction with NH_3 to form particulate ammonium sulphate 275 ((NH₄)₂SO₄) or ammonium nitrate (NH₄NO₃). The larger sensitivity of PM_{2.5} formation to NH₃ 276 emissions reductions indicates that NH₃ is the limiting species; whilst the greater sensitivity to 277 SO_x than to NO_x emissions reductions reflects that the reaction between NH_3 and SO_x is fast 278 and essentially irreversible compared with the equilibrium reactions between gaseous NH₃ and 279 NO_x species and NH₄NO₃.

280

The largest reductions in $PM_{2.5}$ (when weighted towards areas of greatest population) derive from 30% reductions in UK NH₃ and primary $PM_{2.5}$ emissions (Figure 4b and Figure 4f), up to 0.45 µg m⁻³ for NH₃ reductions and greater for primary $PM_{2.5}$ reductions (up to ~6% of modelled $PM_{2.5}$ in both cases). There is a distinct inverse geographic relationship in the $PM_{2.5}$ sensitivity to reductions of these two components. The reductions in NH₃ emissions give greatest $PM_{2.5}$ decreases in agricultural areas, whereas the reductions in primary $PM_{2.5}$ give 287 greatest decreases in the large conurbations and other areas of high population density. The 288 difference in geographical patterns is highlighted more clearly in Figure 6a which shows the 289 data in Figure 4b minus the data in Figure 4f. Blue colours in Figure 6a indicate where 290 reductions in PM_{2.5} from a 30% reduction in NH₃ emissions exceed the reductions in PM_{2.5} 291 from a 30% reduction in primary PM_{2.5} emissions, and vice-versa for red colours. White colours 292 indicate comparable reductions in PM2.5 via primary PM2.5 or NH3 emissions reductions. The 293 geographical pattern in PM_{2.5} sensitivity reflects the geographical pattern of the emission 294 sources and the fact that, because of the short atmospheric lifetime of NH₃, UK emissions of 295 NH₃ also generally have short-range influence.

296

297 Figure 7 shows the map of annual-average surface concentration of PM_{2.5} estimated for the 298 2030 CLE emissions projections, and of the difference between the PM_{2.5} concentrations in 299 2030 and 2010. Surface concentrations of PM_{2.5} over the UK are simulated to reduce by up to 2.8 µg m⁻³ between 2010 and the 2030 CLE emissions scenario used. The UK-wide reductions 300 in PM_{2.5} between 2010 and 2030 CLE are 1.70, 2.24 and 2.42 μ g m⁻³ for the area-weighted, 301 302 population-weighted and AEI summary measures, respectively. The impacts on surface PM_{2.5} 303 in 2030 of additional 30% reductions applied to UK-only terrestrial emissions of each of NH₃, 304 NO_x, SO_x, VOC and primary PM_{2.5} individually are shown in Figure 8. Figure 5 illustrates the 305 quantitative effect of these further emissions reductions against the 2030 CLE scenario on the 306 three summary measures of UK-average surface concentrations of PM_{2.5}.

307

308 The maps in Figure 8 show qualitatively very similar findings to their equivalent maps in Figure 309 4. In 2030, UK PM_{2.5} is projected to remain more sensitive to reductions in UK emissions of 310 NH₃ and primary PM_{2.5} than to reductions in UK SO_x and NO_x; and, from a population-311 weighted perspective, to be relatively more sensitive to further primary PM_{2.5} and NH₃ 312 emissions reductions, particularly to primary PM_{2.5} emissions reductions, than was the case for the 2010 simulations (Figure 5). For the 2030 simulations, additional 30% reductions in UK 313 primary PM_{2.5} or NH₃ emissions yield reductions in PM_{2.5} of up to 0.5 μ g m⁻³ or 0.25 μ g m⁻³, 314 respectively (Figure 8), whilst in 2010 additional 30% reductions in primary PM_{2.5} or NH₃ 315 emissions yield reductions in PM_{2.5} of up to 0.6 μ g m⁻³ or 0.45 μ g m⁻³, respectively (Figure 4). 316 317 The 2030 results again emphasise a geographic pattern of greatest sensitivity of PM_{2.5} to 318 reductions in the areas of high population density. Figure 6b plots the difference in response to the NH₃ and primary PM_{2.5} emissions reductions in 2030, analogous to the plot in Figure 6a for the 2010 sensitivities. Figure 6b clearly emphasises that for this projection for 2030, UK PM_{2.5} is relatively even more sensitive to further reductions in UK primary PM_{2.5} emissions compared with further reductions in UK NH₃ emissions, particularly in populated areas, than is the case for 2010; albeit that the additional absolute reductions in PM_{2.5} for a given percentage of emissions reductions is smaller in 2030 than in 2010 (Figure 5) because of the general decline in emissions across Europe during this period for this scenario.

326

327 4 Discussion

328

Simulations were undertaken for both 2010 and a 2030 scenario to investigate whether conclusions on effectiveness of potential UK mitigation differ between the two time points. It is recognised that reductions in emissions of primary $PM_{2.5}$ and precursor gases from many anthropogenic sources are already anticipated going forward under current legislation, so it is important to know, for a future policy perspective, the anticipated sensitivities of UK $PM_{2.5}$ to additional UK emission reductions in the future.

335

336 The simulations for both 2010 and 2030 CLE show that if the focus is on the reduction of 337 spatially-averaged PM_{2.5} concentrations then the most effective UK control, via an individual 338 component, is achieved through reduction of UK emissions of NH₃, as shown in Figure 5. 339 However, the conclusion is different when considering population-weighted PM_{2.5} reductions 340 for the mitigation of human health effects. For a full population weighting across all 5 km \times 5 341 km model grids, reductions in UK primary PM_{2.5} emissions are almost as effective as reductions 342 in UK NH₃ emissions for the 2010 simulations, but primary PM_{2.5} emissions reductions are 343 simulated to be the most effective additional control in the 2030 CLE future (Figure 5). 344 Emphasis on population weighting also increases the sensitivities of PM_{2.5} to reductions in NO_x 345 emissions in both 2010 and 2030 CLE because a major source of NO_x is road traffic whose 346 emissions are associated with where population live. On the other hand, the sensitivity of PM_{2.5} 347 to further reductions in UK SO_x emissions is markedly lower in 2030 than in 2010 because of 348 the large reductions in SO_x emissions already implemented under the CLE scenario. It is also 349 recognised that reductions in NO_x and VOC emissions have the potential to deliver health

benefits separately from their contribution to reduction in $PM_{2.5}$ through reductions in population exposure to surface NO₂ and O₃.

352

353 An important observation is that the effectiveness of emissions reductions on PM_{2.5} using a 354 population weighting for the quantification differs between evaluation via full nation-wide 355 gridded population-weighting or via use of data only at the locations used to derive the AEI. 356 Quantification through the AEI puts greater emphasis on the effectiveness of primary PM_{2.5} 357 emissions reduction, and on NO_x emissions reductions, (Figure 5) because the monitor locations 358 contributing to the AEI are sited in the largest cities and towns where emissions of primary 359 PM_{2.5} and NO_x are prevalent. Based on the AEI, control of primary PM_{2.5} is the most effective individual component in 2010 as well as in 2030 CLE. These observations are pertinent given 360 361 that the AEI is the air quality metric for $PM_{2.5}$.

362

363 Analyses from the EUCAARI study in Kulmala et al. (2011) and a more recent European study 364 in Megaritis et al. (2013) both suggest that reducing NH₃ emissions is the most effective way to reduce PM_{2.5} under present-day conditions. Whilst the current study also emphasises the 365 366 sensitivity of PM_{2.5} to NH₃ emissions reductions, it also emphasises that, for the UK, a sensitivity to primary PM_{2.5} emissions reductions is at least as great as for NH₃ when 367 368 considering population-weighting of PM_{2.5} concentrations, both currently and for a future CLE 369 scenario. In fact the sensitivity to primary $PM_{2.5}$ emissions may be underestimated by the 370 simulations because of dilution of primary $PM_{2.5}$ emissions into the 5 km \times 5 km grid resolution 371 of the model. It has been calculated that a 1:1 relationship between UK primary PM_{2.5} emissions 372 reductions and the reduction in the primary PM_{2.5} component of the UK 2010 AEI would lead to a reduction in the 2010 AEI of 0.8 μ g m⁻³ (AQEG, 2015), compared with the 0.37 μ g m⁻³ 373 374 derived from the model simulations in this work (Table 1). Even so, the total impact of 30% 375 reductions in UK emissions of all the components/precursors listed in Table 1 on the 2010 baseline, is only of comparable magnitude (1.2 μ g m⁻³) to the 15% (or 1.3 μ g m⁻³) reduction 376 377 required in the UK AEI by 2020. However, reductions in these emissions from outside the UK 378 will also contribute to reducing the UK PM_{2.5} AEI. Conversely, reductions of emissions in the 379 UK will also yield benefits for surface PM2.5 concentrations elsewhere in Europe. The country-380 to-country source-receptor matrices developed by EMEP MSC-W at the 50 km resolution 381 indicate that reductions in the UK of the same primary and precursor species considered in this

work would (for 2011 emissions) lead to reductions in $PM_{2.5}$ in neighbouring countries up to about one-third the magnitude of the $PM_{2.5}$ reductions in the UK (Fagerli et al., 2014). Reductions of emissions in the UK would also lead to other benefits outside the UK on, for example, NO₂ and O₃ exposure and on N and S deposition.

386

387 Although the model used in this study is widely applied across Europe for air quality policy 388 development (Fagerli et al., 2014), the data presented here are from simulations from a single 389 model. The model simulations of the effect of inorganic precursor gases on the secondary 390 inorganic PM_{2.5} are dependent on accurate representation of the relevant chemistry and phase 391 partitioning. It is possible that the SIA representation in the EMEP4UK model may 392 underestimate the nitrate in the PM_{2.5} size fraction, and hence downplay somewhat the 393 sensitivity of $PM_{2.5}$ to NO_x emissions reductions. In addition, not explicitly calculating the 394 uptake of HNO₃ by mineral dust may reduce the NO₃⁻ changes due to NO_x emissions reduction. 395 However, the EMEP4UK particle sulphate, nitrate and ammonium concentrations all compare 396 well with the multi-year time series of measurements of these components at ~30 sites across 397 the UK in the Acid Gas and Aerosol Network (AGANet) and National Ammonia Monitoring 398 Network (NAMN) (Vieno et al., 2014). Variation in particle-bound water may also impact on 399 the exact PM_{2.5} mass sensitivities associated with inorganic precursor gas emissions reductions. 400

401 Inter-annual variability in meteorology may also have an influence, in particular in determining 402 the balance in any year between $PM_{2.5}$ in the UK derived from UK emissions and that derived 403 from emissions outside the UK (Vieno et al., 2014). However, whilst the precise quantitative 404 sensitivities of annual average $PM_{2.5}$ to emissions reductions will be subject to inter-annual 405 meteorological variability, it is anticipated that the broad findings of this study will hold.

406

407 The interpretation of the modelling results has been undertaken from the perspective that 408 reduction in all anthropogenically-derived components of $PM_{2.5}$ is equally important. This 409 remains the current position for the EU legislation that sets limits and targets for concentrations 410 of $PM_{2.5}$ (Heal et al., 2012); i.e. no consideration is given to the potential different toxicity to 411 human health of different components of $PM_{2.5}$. The UK Committee on the Medical Effects of 412 Air Pollutants has also recently concluded that reductions in concentrations of both primary and 413 secondary particles are likely to benefit public health (COMEAP, 2015). Nevertheless, although 414 not conclusive, there is evidence that traffic-related sources of PM, or combustion sources more 415 generally, are particularly associated with adverse health outcomes (Grahame and Schlesinger, 416 2007, 2010; Janssen et al., 2011; Stanek et al., 2011; WHO, 2013; Grahame et al., 2014). The 417 possibility that primary PM_{2.5} is more toxic per unit mass than secondary PM_{2.5}, places greater 418 emphasis on the finding from this work on the effectiveness of reductions in emissions of 419 primary PM_{2.5}. Interpretation of the modelling results has also not considered the relative costs 420 or feasibilities of implementing further reductions in the emissions of the individual precursors 421 and components investigated.

422

423 Finally, it should be remembered that measures taken in the UK to reduce concentrations of

424 ambient PM_{2.5} and of precursor gases, both within and outside of populated areas, will have

- 425 multiple co-benefits on human health, N and S deposition, ozone formation and radiative
- 426 forcing, not just in the UK but elsewhere.
- 427

428 **5** Conclusions

429

430 The sensitivity of annual-average surface concentrations of PM_{2.5} across the UK to reductions 431 in UK terrestrial anthropogenic emissions in primary PM_{2.5}, NH₃, NO_x, SO_x and non-methane 432 VOC was investigated using the EMEP4UK atmospheric chemistry transport model for 2010 433 and for a 2030 current legislation scenario that includes projected pan-European emission 434 changes. In general, the sensitivity of modelled concentrations to UK-only mitigation is rather 435 small. A 30% reduction in UK emissions of any one of the above listed PM components yields 436 (for the 2010 simulation) a maximum reduction in PM_{2.5} concentrations in any given location 437 of ~0.6 μ g m⁻³ (equivalent to ~6% of the total modelled PM_{2.5} mass concentration). On average 438 across the UK, the sensitivity of PM_{2.5} concentrations to a 30% reduction in UK emissions of 439 individual contributing components, for both the 2010 and 2030 CLE baselines, increases in 440 the order NMVOC, NO_x, SO_x, NH₃ and primary PM_{2.5}, but there are strong spatial differences 441 in the PM_{2.5} sensitivities across the UK. Consequently, the sensitivity of PM_{2.5} to individual 442 component emissions reductions varies between area and population weighting. Reductions in 443 NH₃ have the greatest area-weighted effect on PM_{2.5}. A full UK population weighting places 444 greater emphasis on reductions of primary PM_{2.5} emissions, which is simulated to be the most effective single-component control on PM_{2.5} for the 2030 scenario. An important observation 445

- 446 is that weighting corresponding to the Average Exposure Indicator metric (using data from the 447 45 model grids containing a monitor whose measurements are used to calculate the UK AEI) 448 further increases the emphasis on the effectiveness of primary PM_{2.5} emissions reductions (and 449 of NO_x emissions reductions) relative to the effectiveness of NH₃ emissions reductions. 450 Reductions in primary PM_{2.5} has the largest impact on the AEI in 2010 as well as the 2030 CLE 451 scenario. The summation of the reductions to the UK PM_{2.5} AEI of the 30% reductions in UK 452 emissions of primary PM_{2.5} and of NH₃, SO_x, NO_x and VOC totals $\sim 1.2 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ and $\sim 0.8 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ 453 ³ with respect to the 2010 and 2030 CLE baselines, respectively (not accounting for nonlinearity). 454
- 455
- 456
- 457

458 Acknowledgements

This work is funded jointly by the UK Department for the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
(Defra), the NERC Centre for Ecology and Hydrology (CEH), the EMEP programme under the
United Nations Economic Commission for Europe Convention on Long-range Transboundary
Air Pollution, the Norwegian Meteorological Institute (Met.No) and the European Union
projects; NitroEurope IP and ÉCLAIRE.

465 **References**

- Aas, W., Tsyro, S., Bieber, E., Bergström, R., Ceburnis, D., Ellermann, T., Fagerli, H., Frölich,
 M., Gehrig, R., Makkonen, U., Nemitz, E., Otjes, R., Perez, N., Perrino, C., Prévôt, A. S. H.,
- 468 Putaud, J. P., Simpson, D., Spindler, G., Vana, M., and Yttri, K. E.: Lessons learnt from the
- 469 first EMEP intensive measurement periods, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 8073-8094, 10.5194/acp-
- 470 12-8073-2012, 2012.
- 471 AQEG: Mitigation of United Kingdom PM2.5 Concentrations. Air Quality Expert Group, UK
- 472 Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, London. PB13837, http://uk-
- 473 air.defra.gov.uk/assets/documents/reports/cat11/1508060903 DEF-
- 474 PB14161_Mitigation_of_UK_PM25.pdf, access 01/11/2015, 2015.
- 475 Brookes, D. M., Stedman, J. R., Kent, A. J., King, R. J., Venfield, H. L., Cooke, S. L., Lingard,
- 476 J. J. N., Vincent, K. J., Bush, T. J., and Abbott, J.: Technical report on UK supplementary
- 477 assessment under the Air Quality Directive (2008/50/EC), the Air Quality Framework Directive
- 478 (96/62/EC) and Fourth Daughter Directive (2004/107/EC) for 2011., Prepared by Ricardo-AEA
- 479 for the UK Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, London,
- 480 AEAT/ENV/R/3316, access 01/04/2015, 2012.
- 481 Carslaw, D. C.: Report: Defra deposition model evaluation analysis Phase 1, London,
 482 <u>http://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/library/reports?report_id=652</u>, access 01/04/2015, 2011a.
- 483 Carslaw, D. C.: Report: Defra regional and transboundary model evaluation analysis Phase 1,
 484 London, <u>http://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/library/reports?report_id=653</u>, access 01/04/2015, 2011b.
- Coleman, L., Martin, D., Varghese, S., Jennings, S. G., and O'Dowd, C. D.: Assessment of
 changing meteorology and emissions on air quality using a regional climate model: Impact on
 ozone, Atmospheric Environment, 69, 198-210, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.11.048, 2013.
- Colette, A., Bessagnet, B., Vautard, R., Szopa, S., Rao, S., Schucht, S., Klimont, Z., Menut, L.,
 Clain, G., Meleux, F., Curci, G., and Rouil, L.: European atmosphere in 2050, a regional air
 quality and climate perspective under CMIP5 scenarios, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics,
 13, 7451-7471, 10.5194/acp-13-7451-2013, 2013.
- 492 COMEAP: The mortality effects of long-term exposure to particulate air pollution in the United
- Kingdom, Department of Health Committee on the Medical Effects of Air Pollution, Chilton,
 ISBN 978-0-85951-685-3, <u>http://comeap.org.uk/documents/reports.html</u>, access 01/12/2014,
- 495 2010.
- 496 COMEAP: Statement on the evidence for differential health effects of particulate matter
- 497 according to source or components, UK Department of Health Committee on the Medical 498 Effects of Air Pollutants, Chilton, <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/particulate-</u>
- 499 <u>air-pollution-health-effects-of-exposure</u>, access 01/03/2015, 2015.
- 500 Conolly, C., Lawrence, H., Vincent, K., Donovan, B., Davies, M., Colbeck, C., Cape, J. N.,
- 501 Tang, Y. S., Bealey, W. J., Leaver, D., Poskitt, J., Beith, S., Thacker, S., Hockenhull, K.,
- Woods, C., Simmons, I., Braban, C. F., van Dyke, N., Rowland, P., Fowler, D., and Sutton, M.
 A.: UK Eutrophying and Acidifying Atmospheric Pollutants (UKEAP) Annual Report 2010,
- 504 http://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/library/reports?report_id=651, Defra, London, access 01/04/2015,
- 505 2011.

- 506DECC:DepartmentofEnergyandClimateChange(DECC)507https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/energy-and-emissions-projections.Last508Accessed: 20/7/2015, 2015.
- 509 Defra: Air Pollution in the UK 2011, Department for Environment, <u>http://uk-</u> 510 air.defra.gov.uk/assets/documents/annualreport/air_pollution_uk_2011_issue_2.pdf,
- 511 Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs, access 01/12/2014, London, 2012.
- 512 EEA: Air quality in Europe 2014 report. EEA Report No 5/2014., European Environment
- 513 Agency. , <u>http://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/air-quality-in-europe-2014</u>, Publications
- 514 Office of the European Union, Luxembourg, access 01/01/2014, 2014.
- 515ENTEC:Defra,UKshipemissionsinventory,finalreport,http://uk-base-14:00516air.defra.gov.uk/reports/cat15/1012131459_21897_Final_Report_291110.pdfCrown517copyright, 2010.Crown
- 518 Fagerli, H., Schulz, M., Gauss, M., Tsyro, S., Jonson, J. E., Benedictow, A., Simpson, D.,
- 519 Valdebenito, Á., Griesfeller, J., Semeena, V. S., Wind, P., Olivié, D., Aas, W., Hamburger, D.,
- 520 Hjellbrekke, A. G., Solberg, S., Torseth, K., Yttri, K. E., Karl, M., Mareckova, K., Wankmüller,
- 521 R., Alastuey, A., Posch, M., and Tuovinen, J. P.: Transboundary particulate matter, photo-
- 522 oxidants, acidifying and eutrophying components, EMEP Status Report 2014; ISSN 1504-6109,
- 523 August 29, 2014, 2014.
- 524 Grahame, T. J., and Schlesinger, R. B.: Health effects of airborne particulate matter: Do we 525 know enough to consider regulating specific particle types or sources?, Inhal Toxicol, 19, 457-526 481, 2007.
- 527 Grahame, T. J., and Schlesinger, R. B.: Cardiovascular health and particulate vehicular 528 emissions: a critical evaluation of the evidence, Air Qual Atmos Hlth, 3, 3-27, DOI 529 10.1007/s11869-009-0047-x, 2010.
- Grahame, T. J., Klemm, R., and Schlesinger, R. B.: Public health and components of particulate
 matter: The changing assessment of black carbon, J Air Waste Manage, 64, 620-660, Doi
 10.1080/10962247.2014.912692, 2014.
- Harrison, R. M., Jones, A. M., Beddows, D. C. S., and Derwent, R. G.: The effect of varying
 primary emissions on the concentrations of inorganic aerosols predicted by the enhanced UK
 Photochemical Trajectory Model, Atmospheric Environment, 69, 211-218, DOI
 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.12.016, 2013.
- Heal, M. R., Kumar, P., and Harrison, R. M.: Particles, air quality, policy and health, Chem Soc
 Rev, 41, 6606-6630, Doi 10.1039/C2cs35076a, 2012.
- 539 IPCC: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to
- the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge
 University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 1535 pp., 2013.
- 541 University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New Tork, 101, 051, 1555 pp., 20.
- 542 Janssen, N. A. H., Hoek, G., Simic-Lawson, M., Fischer, P., van Bree, L., ten Brink, H.,
- 543 Keuken, M., Atkinson, R. W., Anderson, H. R., Brunekreef, B., and Cassee, F. R.: Black Carbon 544 as an Additional Indicator of the Adverse Health Effects of Airborne Particles Compared with
- 545 PM10 and PM2.5, Environ Health Persp, 119, 1691-1699, Doi 10.1289/Ehp.1003369, 2011.
- 546 Kulmala, M., Asmi, A., Lappalainen, H. K., Baltensperger, U., Brenguier, J. L., Facchini, M.
- 547 C., Hansson, H. C., Hov, O., O'Dowd, C. D., Poschl, U., Wiedensohler, A., Boers, R., Boucher,
- 548 O., de Leeuw, G., van der Gon, H. A. C. D., Feichter, J., Krejci, R., Laj, P., Lihavainen, H.,

549 Lohmann, U., McFiggans, G., Mentel, T., Pilinis, C., Riipinen, I., Schulz, M., Stohl, A., 550 Swietlicki, E., Vignati, E., Alves, C., Amann, M., Ammann, M., Arabas, S., Artaxo, P., Baars, 551 H., Beddows, D. C. S., Bergstrom, R., Beukes, J. P., Bilde, M., Burkhart, J. F., Canonaco, F., 552 Clegg, S. L., Coe, H., Crumeyrolle, S., D'Anna, B., Decesari, S., Gilardoni, S., Fischer, M., 553 Fjaeraa, A. M., Fountoukis, C., George, C., Gomes, L., Halloran, P., Hamburger, T., Harrison, 554 R. M., Herrmann, H., Hoffmann, T., Hoose, C., Hu, M., Hyvarinen, A., Horrak, U., Iinuma, Y., 555 Iversen, T., Josipovic, M., Kanakidou, M., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Kirkevag, A., Kiss, G., Klimont, Z., Kolmonen, P., Komppula, M., Kristjansson, J. E., Laakso, L., Laaksonen, A., 556 557 Labonnote, L., Lanz, V. A., Lehtinen, K. E. J., Rizzo, L. V., Makkonen, R., Manninen, H. E., McMeeking, G., Merikanto, J., Minikin, A., Mirme, S., Morgan, W. T., Nemitz, E., O'Donnell, 558 559 D., Panwar, T. S., Pawlowska, H., Petzold, A., Pienaar, J. J., Pio, C., Plass-Duelmer, C., Prevot, 560 A. S. H., Pryor, S., Reddington, C. L., Roberts, G., Rosenfeld, D., Schwarz, J., Seland, O., 561 Sellegri, K., Shen, X. J., Shiraiwa, M., Siebert, H., Sierau, B., Simpson, D., Sun, J. Y., Topping, D., Tunved, P., Vaattovaara, P., Vakkari, V., Veefkind, J. P., Visschedijk, A., Vuollekoski, H., 562 563 Vuolo, R., Wehner, B., Wildt, J., Woodward, S., Worsnop, D. R., van Zadelhoff, G. J., Zardini, A. A., Zhang, K., van Zyl, P. G., Kerminen, V. M., Carslaw, K. S., and Pandis, S. N.: General 564 overview: European Integrated project on Aerosol Cloud Climate and Air Quality interactions 565 566 (EUCAARI) - integrating aerosol research from nano to global scales, Atmospheric Chemistry 567 and Physics, 11, 13061-13143, DOI 10.5194/acp-11-13061-2011, 2011.

Langner, J., Engardt, M., Baklanov, A., Christensen, J. H., Gauss, M., Geels, C., Hedegaard,
G. B., Nuterman, R., Simpson, D., Soares, J., Sofiev, M., Wind, P., and Zakey, A.: A multimodel study of impacts of climate change on surface ozone in Europe, Atmos. Chem. Phys.
Discuss., 12, 4901-4939, 10.5194/acpd-12-4901-2012, 2012.

572 Lim, S. S., Vos, T., Flaxman, A. D., Danaei, G., Shibuya, K., Adair-Rohani, H., Amann, M., 573 Anderson, H. R., Andrews, K. G., Aryee, M., Atkinson, C., Bacchus, L. J., Bahalim, A. N., 574 Balakrishnan, K., Balmes, J., Barker-Collo, S., Baxter, A., Bell, M. L., Blore, J. D., Blyth, F., 575 Bonner, C., Borges, G., Bourne, R., Boussinesq, M., Brauer, M., Brooks, P., Bruce, N. G., 576 Brunekreef, B., Bryan-Hancock, C., Bucello, C., Buchbinder, R., Bull, F., Burnett, R. T., Byers, 577 T. E., Calabria, B., Carapetis, J., Carnahan, E., Chafe, Z., Charlson, F., Chen, H. L., Chen, J. 578 S., Cheng, A. T. A., Child, J. C., Cohen, A., Colson, K. E., Cowie, B. C., Darby, S., Darling, 579 S., Davis, A., Degenhardt, L., Dentener, F., Des Jarlais, D. C., Devries, K., Dherani, M., Ding, 580 E. L., Dorsey, E. R., Driscoll, T., Edmond, K., Ali, S. E., Engell, R. E., Erwin, P. J., Fahimi, S., 581 Falder, G., Farzadfar, F., Ferrari, A., Finucane, M. M., Flaxman, S., Fowkes, F. G. R., 582 Freedman, G., Freeman, M. K., Gakidou, E., Ghosh, S., Giovannucci, E., Gmel, G., Graham, 583 K., Grainger, R., Grant, B., Gunnell, D., Gutierrez, H. R., Hall, W., Hoek, H. W., Hogan, A., 584 Hosgood, H. D., Hoy, D., Hu, H., Hubbell, B. J., Hutchings, S. J., Ibeanusi, S. E., Jacklyn, G. 585 L., Jasrasaria, R., Jonas, J. B., Kan, H. D., Kanis, J. A., Kassebaum, N., Kawakami, N., Khang, 586 Y. H., Khatibzadeh, S., Khoo, J. P., Kok, C., Laden, F., Lalloo, R., Lan, Q., Lathlean, T., 587 Leasher, J. L., Leigh, J., Li, Y., Lin, J. K., Lipshultz, S. E., London, S., Lozano, R., Lu, Y., Mak, J., Malekzadeh, R., Mallinger, L., Marcenes, W., March, L., Marks, R., Martin, R., 588 589 McGale, P., McGrath, J., Mehta, S., Mensah, G. A., Merriman, T. R., Micha, R., Michaud, C., 590 Mishra, V., Hanafiah, K. M., Mokdad, A. A., Morawska, L., Mozaffarian, D., Murphy, T., 591 Naghavi, M., Neal, B., Nelson, P. K., Nolla, J. M., Norman, R., Olives, C., Omer, S. B., 592 Orchard, J., Osborne, R., Ostro, B., Page, A., Pandey, K. D., Parry, C. D. H., Passmore, E., 593 Patra, J., Pearce, N., Pelizzari, P. M., Petzold, M., Phillips, M. R., Pope, D., Pope, C. A., Powles, 594 J., Rao, M., Razavi, H., Rehfuess, E. A., Rehm, J. T., Ritz, B., Rivara, F. P., Roberts, T., 595 Robinson, C., Rodriguez-Portales, J. A., Romieu, I., Room, R., Rosenfeld, L. C., Roy, A.,

- 596 Rushton, L., Salomon, J. A., Sampson, U., Sanchez-Riera, L., Sanman, E., Sapkota, A., Seedat, 597 S., Shi, P. L., Shield, K., Shivakoti, R., Singh, G. M., Sleet, D. A., Smith, E., Smith, K. R., 598 Stapelberg, N. J. C., Steenland, K., Stockl, H., Stovner, L. J., Straif, K., Straney, L., Thurston, 599 G. D., Tran, J. H., Van Dingenen, R., van Donkelaar, A., Veerman, J. L., Vijayakumar, L., 600 Weintraub, R., Weissman, M. M., White, R. A., Whiteford, H., Wiersma, S. T., Wilkinson, J. 601 D., Williams, H. C., Williams, W., Wilson, N., Woolf, A. D., Yip, P., Zielinski, J. M., Lopez, 602 A. D., Murray, C. J. L., and Ezzati, M.: A comparative risk assessment of burden of disease and 603 injury attributable to 67 risk factors and risk factor clusters in 21 regions, 1990-2010: a 604 systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010, Lancet, 380, 2224-2260, 605 2012.
- Megaritis, A. G., Fountoukis, C., Charalampidis, P. E., Pilinis, C., and Pandis, S. N.: Response of fine particulate matter concentrations to changes of emissions and temperature in Europe,
- 608 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 13, 3423-3443, DOI 10.5194/acp-13-3423-2013, 2013.
- 609 Schaap, M., Cuvelier, C., Hendriks, C., Bessagnet, B., Baldasano, J. M., Colette, A., Thunis,
- 610 P., Karam, D., Fagerli, H., Graff, A., Kranenburg, R., Nyiri, A., Pay, M. T., Rouïl, L., Schulz,
- 611 M., Simpson, D., Stern, R., Terrenoire, E., and Wind, P.: Performance of European chemistry
- transport models as function of horizontal resolution, Atmospheric Environment, 112, 90-105,
- 613 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.04.003</u>, 2015.
- 614 Schulz, M., Gauss, M., Benedictow, A., Jonson, J. E., Tsyro, S., Nyıri, A., Simpson, D.,
- 615 Steensen, B. M., Klein, H., Valdebenito, A., Wind, P., Kirkevaag, A., Griesfeller, J., Bartnicki.,
- J., Olivie, D., Grini, A., Iversen, T., Seland, Ø., Semeena, V. S., Fagerli, F., Aas, W.,
 Hjellbrekke, A., Mareckova, K., Wankmuller, R., Schneider, P., Solberg, S., Svendby, T., Liu,
- 618 L., Posch, M., Vieno, M., Reis, S., Kryza, M., Werner, M., and Walaszek, K.: Transboundary
- 619 Acidification, Eutrophication and Ground Level Ozone in Europe in 2011, EMEP Status Report
- 620 2013; ISSN 1504-6109, August 7, 2013, 2013.
- 621 Simpson, D., Benedictow, A., Berge, H., Bergström, R., Emberson, L. D., Fagerli, H., Flechard,
- 622 C. R., Hayman, G. D., Gauss, M., Jonson, J. E., Jenkin, M. E., Nyíri, A., Richter, C., Semeena,
- 623 V. S., Tsyro, S., Tuovinen, J. P., Valdebenito, Á., and Wind, P.: The EMEP MSC-W chemical
- transport model technical description, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 7825-7865, 10.5194/acp-127825-2012, 2012.
- 626 Simpson, D., Andersson, C., Christensen, J. H., Engardt, M., Geels, C., Nyiri, A., Posch, M.,
- 627 Soares, J., Sofiev, M., Wind, P., and Langner, J.: Impacts of climate and emission changes on
- 628 nitrogen deposition in Europe: a multi-model study, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 6995-7017,
- 629 10.5194/acp-14-6995-2014, 2014.
- 630 Stanek, L. W., Brown, J. S., Stanek, J., Gift, J., and Costa, D. L.: Air Pollution Toxicology-A
 631 Brief Review of the Role of the Science in Shaping the Current Understanding of Air Pollution
- 632 Health Risks, Toxicol Sci, 120, S8-S27, DOI 10.1093/toxsci/kfq367, 2011.
- Vieno, M., Dore, A. J., Wind, P., Di Marco, C., Nemitz, E., Phillips, G., Tarrason, L., and
 Sutton, M. A.: Application of the EMEP Unified Model to the UK with a Horizontal Resolution
 of 5 x 5 km2, Atmospheric Ammonia, 367-372, 2009.
- 636 Vieno, M., Dore, A. J., Stevenson, D. S., Doherty, R., Heal, M. R., Reis, S., Hallsworth, S.,
- Tarrason, L., Wind, P., Fowler, D., Simpson, D., and Sutton, M. A.: Modelling surface ozone during the 2003 heat-wave in the UK, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 10, 7963-7978,
- 639 DOI 10.5194/acp-10-7963-2010, 2010.

- Vieno, M., Heal, M. R., Hallsworth, S., Famulari, D., Doherty, R. M., Dore, A. J., Tang, Y. S.,
 Braban, C. F., Leaver, D., Sutton, M. A., and Reis, S.: The role of long-range transport and
 domestic emissions in determining atmospheric secondary inorganic particle concentrations
 across the UK, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 8435-8447, 10.5194/acp-14-8435-2014, 2014.
- across the UK, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 8435-8447, 10.5194/acp-14-8435-2014, 2014.
- 644 WHO: Air quality guidelines. Global update 2005. Particulate matter, ozone, nitrogen dioxide
- and sulfur dioxide., World Health Organisation Regional Office for Europe, Copenhagen,
- 646
 http://www.euro.who.int/__data/assets/pdf_file/0005/78638/E90038.pdf,
 acces
 01/01/2015,
 647
 2006.
- 648WHO: Review of evidence on health aspects of air pollution REVIHAAP Project: Technical649Report,WorldHealthOrganisation,Copenhagen,650http://www.euro.who.int/__data/assets/pdf_file/0004/193108/REVIHAAP-Final-technical-
- 651 <u>report-final-version.pdf</u>, access 01/12/2014, 2013.
- 652
- 653

- 654 **Table:**
- 655

Table 1: EMEP4UK-modelled estimates of the impact of 30% UK terrestrial emissions reductions on three measures of UK-average surface concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$ (µg m⁻³): (i) the average of the model grids containing the 45 monitors used to calculate the UK $PM_{2.5}$ Average Exposure Indicator (AEI), (ii) the population-weighted average, and (iii) the area-weighted (i.e. geographical) average, for 2010, and for 2030 under a CLE emission scenario (using 2010 meteorology). For context, the modelled reductions in the baselines between 2010 and 2030 CLE for the three measures of UK-average $PM_{2.5}$ are 2.42, 2.24, and 1.70 µg m⁻³, respectively.

Emissions reduced	AEI		Population-weighted		Area-weighted	
	2010	2030 CLE	2010	2030 CLE	2010	2030 CLE
Primary PM _{2.5}	0.37	0.29	0.31	0.24	0.16	0.13
NH ₃	0.35	0.19	0.34	0.19	0.28	0.16
SO_x	0.27	0.15	0.26	0.15	0.19	0.11
NO _x	0.10	0.14	0.10	0.15	0.11	0.13
VOC	0.08	0.05	0.08	0.05	0.07	0.03
Total	1.17	0.82	1.10	0.77	0.82	0.57

 $PM_{2.5} \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$



Figure 1: 2010 EMEP4UK annual-average surface concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$ (µg m⁻³) at 50 km × 50 km horizontal resolution for the European model domain, and at 5 km × 5 km horizontal resolution for the nested British Isles domain (black box).



- Figure 2: Gridded UK population density based on the UK census at the 5 km \times 5 km grid spatial resolution. Units are population km⁻².



Figure 3: 2010 monthly-averaged EMEP4UK simulated $PM_{2.5}$ components and total $PM_{2.5}$ observations by TEOM-FDMS at the Edinburgh St. Leonards, London North Kensington and Harwell UK national network (AURN) monitoring sites. Both the modelled and observed data are averaged from hourly values. The linear regression between the monthly averaged observation and model is also shown at the top of each panel, along with the correlation coefficient, r, bias and mean square error.



682

Figure 4: Model simulations of the impact of 30% UK emissions reductions on annual-average surface concentration of $PM_{2.5}$. Panel (a) 2010 base-case scenario, no emissions reduction (bottom colour scale); remaining panels, the change in annual-average $PM_{2.5}$ for 30% UK emissions reductions in (b) NH₃, (c) NO_x, (d) SO_x, (e) VOC, and (f) primary PM_{2.5} (right colour scale). All units are μ g m⁻³.



693

Figure 5: The impact of 30% UK terrestrial emissions reductions in primary $PM_{2.5}$, NH_3 , SO_x , NO_x, and VOC (individually) on three measures of UK-average surface concentrations of PM_{2.5}: area weighted; population weighted; and the average for the 45 model grids containing the monitors used to calculate the UK PM_{2.5} Average Exposure Indicator (AEI). Data are shown for simulations for 2010, and for 2030 under a CLE emission scenario (using 2010 meteorology).



702

Figure 6: The difference between changes in simulated annual-average $PM_{2.5}$ (µg m⁻³) for 30% reductions in UK NH₃ emissions reduction and for 30% reductions in UK primary PM_{2.5} emissions reduction: (a) for the year 2010 (i.e. the data in Figure 4b minus the data in Figure 4f); and (b) for the year 2030 (i.e. the data in Figure 8b minus the data in Figure 8f). Blue colours indicate where reductions in PM_{2.5} for 30% reduction in NH₃ emissions exceed the reductions in PM_{2.5} for 30% reduction in primary PM_{2.5} emissions, and vice versa for the red colours. The same meteorological year 2010 was used.



Figure 7: EMEP4UK annual-average surface concentration of $PM_{2.5}$ (µg m⁻³) for a) 2010 emissions, and b) 2030 CLE emissions projection (bottom colour scale), and c) the difference 714

2030 CLE – 2010 CLE (right colour scale). The same meteorological year 2010 was used.



2030CLE $PM_{2.5} \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$



Figure 8: Model simulations of impact of 30% UK emissions reductions on annual-average surface concentration of $PM_{2.5}$ for a future scenario (with 2010 meteorology). Panel (a), 2030 CLE scenario, no emissions reduction (bottom colour scale); remaining panels, the change in annual-average $PM_{2.5}$ for 30% UK emissions reductions in (b) NH₃, (c) NO_x, (d) SO_x, (e) VOC, and (f) primary PM_{2.5} (right colour scale). All units are $\mu g m^{-3}$.