

**Response to the reviews on “Toward enhanced capability for detecting and predicting dust events in the Western United States: the Arizona Case Study” by Huang et al., 2015**

Min Huang on behalf of the authors, Oct 2015

We appreciate the valuable comments and corrections from both reviewers, which helped improve this manuscript. Please see below our point-to-point response to both reviewers' general and specific comments. The original comments and our responses are in black and blue, respectively.

Response to the comments by Dr. Janae Csavina

Huang et al. are presenting on research that hits almost every item in the scope of ACP: chemical and physical processes using atmospheric modelling, field measurements, and remote sensing. The title clearly presents the contents of the manuscript with the subject matter of better detecting and predicting dust events being highly relevant in the scientific community today and highly needed to mitigate the deleterious societal impacts. The authors combine a multitude of relevant observational datasets available to the community to present the novel approach of improved prediction. The authors' navigation through the different datasets was a little hard to follow at times but the presentation of the comparison between datasets and overall thoughts on inconsistencies with supported literature is helpful. The information on the data, methods, results and supplemental datasets provide the necessary path for other scientists to perform similar work. While as a reader, I wanted more out of the conclusions and suggestions, I believe this is more a reflection on the need for improvement in this research area than a lack in significance of the manuscript and the combination of these datasets available to the community is a novel and possibly necessary approach well illustrated in the results.

Thanks for this comment. Conclusions and suggestions on future directions have been refined or expanded (as also summarized below). Many changes also addressed the comments by the other reviewer.

On observation:

- Characterizing dust composition and improving the identification of dust source regions by using satellite measurements (e.g., land, soil and composition products such as NH<sub>3</sub>) and in-situ measurements of trace gases and aerosol compositions;
- Using hourly measurements (e.g., AQS/AirNow and meteorology) for timely identifying dust events;
- Expecting newer/improved products from polar-orbiting instruments, and future products from geostationary satellites that will sample more frequently.

On modeling and evaluation:

- Integrating observations (satellite soil moisture and land products) into regional dust emission modeling;
- Comprehensive model evaluation using surface hourly measurements (e.g., AQS/AirNow and meteorology) along with available satellite observations;
- Improving the capability of well simulating both ozone and PM when dust storm and stratospheric ozone intrusion concur.

There are specific recommendations below that would help the reader better and more quickly understand the material:

~Provide a summary table of the datasets (acronyms, source, data product [soil moisture; vegetation; drought; PM10; PM2.5], data input [temp & precip; satellite imagery; HiVol field measurement; modeled PM measurements]) and possibly overall conclusions/benefits/suggestions about the dataset.

Good suggestion. A summary table (current Table 1) has been created, which includes the information of all datasets used in this paper.

~Section 2.5 refer to the NAM model and GEOS-Chem without much explanation.

The NAM model was first introduced in Section 2.4 (current Section 2.5), as one option of the meteorological fields for the HYSPLIT calculations. We expanded the introduction and references of both models in Sections 2.5 and 2.6, and also in the current Table 1.

~The introduction needs to include why stratospheric ozone is important for dust storm impact/prediction. On Lines 18 through 21 on 20760 "It's known that stratospheric ozone intrusion...." needs to be covered prior to the results (maybe just move this point).

Related background information is now included in Section 1.

~Line 15 on 20755 says "impact" but does not indicate whether this is an improved impact on modeling.

We expect an overall improvement. This paragraph has been rewritten, and some discussions on MODIS-derived dust source areas have been added, as suggested by the other reviewer.

~There are a couple instances in the results where it is unclear where the authors' results end and where the literature support begin (Line 15 on 20755 and Line 18 on 20756).

This paragraph starting from line 15 on 20755 has been rewritten for clarity, and some discussions on MODIS-derived dust source areas have been added. Literature (including Kim, D. et al., 2013) now can be found in Section 2.2. We did not cite any literature near Line 18 on 20756, so we are not sure what this part of the comment referred to.

Some technical issues:

~Many figures need better explanation of the scale being presented (Fig 1a & b, 2, 3b) either in the discussion or figure caption.

The linear color scales in Figure 1a-b were determined by the range of satellite NDVI and soil moisture in the southwestern Arizona in selected years. Specifically, NDVI spanned from  $<0.1$  to  $>0.3$  for these years, and soil moisture ranged from  $\sim 0.05$  to  $>0.15$ . Values larger than 0.4 (NDVI) and 0.2 (soil moisture), mostly out of the southwestern Arizona region that we mainly focus on, are colored in purple.

The linear color scale for Figure 2 was determined also by the range of satellite DOD values from 2005-2013. In general, the DOD values fell within the range of 0-0.6 (note that we now use deep blue collection 6).

Figure 1c and Figure 3b (current Figure 4b) show the anomalies of different variables, and the anomaly is defined as the ratio of annual mean value over the multi-year mean value, in Section 3.1. We now also define this in Figure captions. In both plots, the ranges of the y axes were determined by the ranges of anomalies during 2005-2013 for the plotted variables.

~Figure 1 needs some clarification. I believe the numbers in the upper left hand of 1a indicate years chosen to study due to dry and wet conditions observed from analysis in 1c.

This understanding is correct. For clarity, we added in the caption of Figure 1 "The text in the upper left corner of each panel of (a) and (b) indicates the year of data."

It almost seems like 1c should be presented on first if that is the case and clarifying that these are indeed years and why chosen is necessary.

The order of (a)-(c) of Figure 1 is consistent with the order they are cited in the main text, and in the text 1a-1b were mentioned before 1c in Section 3.1. Figure 1a-b show the conditions over the entire state of Arizona on "selected moderate to severe wet or dry years in the southwestern Arizona", while Figure 1c shows only the inter-annual variability over the southwestern Arizona, where dust activity is in general more intense than the rest of the state. The "southwestern Arizona" is defined in the figure.

~Figure 2, the purple star is not discernible.

The size of this purple star in current Figure 2a has been doubled.

~Line 10 on 20747 and Line 5 on 20749 are missing "("

Corrected.

#### Response to the comments by Dr. William Sprigg

General Comments: This paper does report on some significant findings over the past decade regarding elevated dust detection and modeling. A partial list is provided in specific, following remarks. While the approach is novel, and introduces use of new tools, the authors' case could be made stronger for, "This study develops dust records in Arizona in 2005–2013. . ." In particular, their study report is vague about agriculture sources and their variability, not very specific about the influence of extant sources, and the frequency by which sources should be identified and monitored in order to make a reliable dust record using consistent methods.

Thanks for this comment. The manuscript has been revised to elaborate the sources of dust.

First, on a large spatial scale (i.e., southwestern Arizona/western US), we now specified dust source areas from barren, cropland/agriculture, and open shrubland using MODIS land products, following the method in Vukovic et al. (2014). The details of this method are in Section 2.2. This analysis is to mainly support our discussions on the correlations between drought conditions and dust activity during the past decade, near the end of Section 3.1: Dust source areas (determined by land cover and NDVI data) and soil moisture varied between dry and wet years, and both factors would affect dust production. Barren and cropland contributed most and least to the total dust source areas in these regions, respectively. And the dust sources from open shrubland were most temporally variable. In future, we recommended exploring satellite observations of dust co-emitted species (e.g., NH<sub>3</sub> to indicate the anthropogenic sources, particularly from agriculture, as in Ginoux et al., 2012a) for indicating the dust sources and their variability.

Second, on a much smaller scale, we examined the relationships between co-located hourly surface trace gases (NO<sub>x</sub> and CO) and PM measurements in Phoenix (in Section 3.3), as well as the PM<sub>2.5</sub>/PM<sub>10</sub> ratios. This method helped exclude the high PM events strongly influenced by anthropogenic and/or biomass burning sources. Further, HYSPLIT trajectories also helped identifying the upwind dust sources during these dust events. However, better characterizing and attributing the observed dust in Arizona from different sources will need further study, which can benefit from speciated aerosol measurements, and referring to the criteria from previous measurement studies: e.g., High organics and PO<sub>4</sub> may indicate that dust was originated from cattle feedlot; Metals such as Cu, Pb, Sb and Zn can be used to distinguish human sources from the others (e.g., Upadhyay et al., 2015; Clements et al., 2013); The Fe/Ca ratio can be used to distinguish distant sources (e.g., Asian) from local sources (e.g., Van Curen et al., 2005). Currently, there are only very limited routine aerosol composition measurements (e.g., at IMPROVE sites) in the studied areas, and adding sites that monitor aerosol speciation more frequently would be helpful for better understanding the sources of PM and their temporal changes.

We have added related discussions and suggestions in Sections 3.1, 3.3 and 4.

The authors include a nice example of how models (HYSPLIT and CMAQ) may apply in understanding the observed "dust record" for Arizona, but this reviewer felt the connection between modeling a dust event (with CMAQ) and creating a dust record needed more explanation. If the authors cannot explain why the CMAQ run adds significantly to new, important findings shown in this paper, the CMAQ component should be extracted. The paper should be published only after addressing the main points of this review.

We have substantially rewritten Section 3.4 that contains the CMAQ results. Section 3.4 introduces a recent dust event when high PM (mostly from dust) and ozone (influenced by stratospheric ozone intrusion) were

observed in several western states including Arizona. As this reviewer pointed out, demonstration of such events is a significant contribution from this study. This selected case study includes a number of observational (AirNow, IMPROVE, AIRS) and modeling (CMAQ and RAQMS) datasets. The CMAQ base and sensitivity results contribute to this case study in terms of how dust affected the surface PM distributions during this event, and therefore, it is important to keep these results together with the other datasets in this case study.

In addition, there are two major connections between the decadal dust records and regional dust/air quality modeling, and these have been made clearer in the revision:

- 1) Evaluate the trends and variability of dust record and emphasize the importance of timely and accurately modeling dust events under the changing climate, in order to reduce their negative impacts in time.
- 2) Suggest the usefulness and limitation of using current observations for evaluating and further improving this dust modeling system (e.g., IMPROVE vs. AQS/AirNow; using satellite-based dynamical vs. static dust source regions in the dust emission modeling).

Scientific quality: Are the scientific approach and applied methods valid? Are the results discussed in an appropriate and balanced way (consideration of related work, including appropriate references)? Relevant work by others is missed, lowering readers' confidence in the thoroughness of the study being reported upon. E.g. Mahler, Yin, Vukovic, Sprigg, Morain, the USGS (e.g. Reynolds et al.) and NASA JPL (e.g. Painter)

We have cited related literature (not limited to those suggested here) in multiple sections of the paper.

1 Does the paper address relevant scientific questions within the scope of ACP? Yes

2 Does the paper present novel concepts, ideas, tools, or data? Yes, combining a variety of satellite-based (MODIS) and surface-in-situ (AirNow & IMPROVE), models (HYSPLIT, CMAQ, NAM) to assess potential relationships of interannual drought and airborne dust.

3 Are substantial conclusions reached? Stratospheric ozone intrusion and other synoptic weather patterns combine to generate dust, hence a forecast system should account for both. This is an important conclusion, for this phenomenon may not be important for much of the rest of the world. Identifying its importance in Arizona and the SW US is useful. Substantial conclusions are not reached in the sections describing CMAQ. One storm, one example, at 17 km spatial resolution, does not appear to add much to extending the dust record. Nor does this one model test tell us much about how to use dust sources to improve model simulations of dust concentrations. Too, the paper's Abstract concludes that the, ". . . 12km CMAQ model during a recent strong dust event in the western US 20 accompanied by stratospheric ozone intrusion . . . (shows) that the current modeling system well captures the temporal variability and the magnitude of aerosol concentrations during this event . . ." This statement needs a definition of "well captured." There is at least one other modeling system (e.g. Vukovich, et al., 2014; Sprigg, et al., 2014) that if compared, arguably would affect this wording.

We agree that the combined stratospheric intrusion and dust impact on air quality is one of this paper's highlights. Taken the other reviewer's suggestion, the combined stratospheric intrusion and dust impact is now introduced in Section 1. We also added AIRS ozone and CO as observational evidence of the stratospheric intrusion.

In Section 3.4, we show the poor surface air quality in the western US during a period when stratospheric ozone intrusion and high dust concurred using the CMAQ and RAQMS model results, along with the observational evidence (e.g., satellite ozone and dust products, surface PM).

The broader spatial coverage (i.e., over the entire continental US) is one of the advantages of this CMAQ modeling system, compared to many of the cited modeling studies (including those suggested by the reviewer) that used similar or finer resolutions but mainly focused on Arizona. With this broader spatial coverage, this system is suitable for studying dust events over various source and receptor regions in the

US. For example, during this May 11, 2014 event, both CMAQ and observations showed that dust was originated from California on the previous day, and Arizona was just one of the affected states.

In this case study, the current CMAQ modeling system is used to assess the impact of dust emission on regional air quality, rather than to explore how sensitive dust emission/atmospheric concentration to the dust source input. However, according to previous studies and the findings in previous sections of this paper, we suggested that dynamical dust source should be experimented in the future in this modeling system. The CMAQ model performance was quantitatively evaluated (Section 3.4), and we agree that vague statements including “well captured” should be avoided.

4 Are the scientific methods and assumptions valid and clearly outlined? They are clearly outlined, but an unwritten assumption by the authors is that their methods of monthly satellite measures of soil moisture and NDVI are adequate in covering the highly variable contributions of agriculture (e.g. irrigation, crop cycles) to dust sources and emissions. This assumption is doubtful.

In Section 3.1, we averaged the daily satellite soil moisture and monthly-mean NDVI data through the dust seasons (March-August) for each year during 2005-2013. The inter-annual variability of these drought indicators over the dustiest region in Arizona (i.e., southwestern AZ) was compared with those of the observed dust activity (e.g., satellite DOD). In this analysis, our assumption is that the satellite products can reasonably well (i.e., to the similar degree of the satellite DOD). Note that we now use the MODIS deep blue collection 6 and cited previous validation study on the change in AOD bias through the past decade) represent the drought conditions on large spatial (southwestern AZ) and temporal (inter-annually) scales. In fact, generally, averaging satellite data over large spatial/temporal scales can reduce the uncertainty. We agree that satellites could have some limitations to precisely represent the surface conditions and the variability of soil moisture, as well as to capture all dust events (as in concluded from Section 3.3).

More discussions have been added to Sections 3.1 and 4, stating that satellite products in finer spatial and temporal resolutions (including those from newer sensors such as SMAP and VIIRS) would be more beneficial for locating dust source regions and dust emission modeling, and better quantifying and reducing their uncertainty should be encouraged. This not only applies to dust sources from cropland/agriculture, which contributes to a very small fraction of the total dust source regions in the southwestern US (consistent with the findings by Ginoux et al. (2012b) and Nordstrom and Hotta (2004))--As we show in Section 3.1, based on MODIS land products, dust sources from open shrubland were more temporally variable than cropland and contributed more to the total dust source areas than the cropland.

See, e.g., papers by:

a) Vukovic A., Vujadinovic M., Pejanovic G., Andric J., Kumjian M.J., Djurdjevic V., Dacic M., Prasad A.K., El-Askary H.M., Paris B.C., Petkovic S., Nickovic S., and W.A. Sprigg (2014) “Numerical Simulation of ‘An American Haboob’”, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 3211-3230, 2014, doi:10.5194/acp-14-3211-2014

b) Sprigg W., Nickovic S., Galgiani J.N., Pejanovic G., Petkovic S., Vujadinovic M., Vukovic A., Dacic M., DiBiase S., Prasad A. and H. El-Askary (2014) Regional dust storm modeling for health services: the case for valley fever, *J. Aeolian Res.* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aeolia.2014.03.001>; Elsevier, AEOLIA-D-13-00085R1

c) Yin, D. and W. A. Sprigg (2010) Modeling Airborne Mineral Dust: A Mexico - United States Trans-boundary Perspective. Pp. 303- 317 in W. Halvorson, C. Schwalbe, and C. van Riper, III (eds), *Southwestern Desert Resources*. University of Arizona Press, Tucson, AZ, 359 pp.

d) Yin, D., S. Nickovic and W.A. Sprigg (2007) The impact of using different land cover data on wind-blown desert dust modeling results in the southwestern United States. *Atmospheric Environment*, DOI.10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.10.061.

e) Yin, D., S. Nickovic and W.A. Sprigg (2007) Effect of wind speed and relative humidity on atmospheric dust concentrations in semi-arid climates; J. Atmos. Env. 41(10):2214-2224; Science of the Total Environment 04/2014: 487C:82-90. DOI.10.1016/j.scitotenv.014.03.138

f) Mahler, A-B., K. Thome, D. Yin, W. A. Sprigg (2006) Dust transport model validation using satellite and ground-based methods in the southwestern United States; SPIE, Vol. 6299; ISBN: 9780819463784

A search of the literature by the authors would have revealed modeling, forecasting and simulating dust concentrations in the exact area (and time) of their study. References are first noticed missing on pages 20746 - 7.

[We have extensively cited related literature in multiple sections of the paper, including but not limited to these suggested above.](#)

5 Are the results sufficient to support the interpretations and conclusions?

6 Is the description of experiments and calculations sufficiently complete and precise to allow their reproduction by fellow scientists (traceability of results)? Yes

7 Do the authors give proper credit to related work and clearly indicate their own new/original contribution? Note author oversight of literature and work on dust source identification and monitoring, and dust modeling, simulation and forecasting in the region of their study, Arizona.

[As in the response to your previous comments: Related works have been added in various sections to support discussions and conclusions of this study.](#)

8 Does the title clearly reflect the contents of the paper? Yes

9 Does the abstract provide a concise and complete summary? I think it risky to state, as in the abstract, "Studies have revealed intensified dust activity in the western US during the past decades . . ." An intention of the authors' research is to determine this. I am unaware of solid evidence of such, which makes the authors' research timely and important.

[The Brahney et al. \(2013\) study that we cited in Section 1 \(Line 5, 20745\) reported overall increasing trends of dust production and deposition in the inter-mountain west, the midwest, and the northwest US from 1994 to 2010. Motivated by such studies, we explore the conditions on a state level \(for Arizona\), extend the records till more recent years, and use diverse observation datasets, particularly those with broad spatial coverage \(such as satellite observations\). Our study furthers such previous studies, and differs from theirs in terms of spatial scales, temporal ranges, and the methods/data.](#)

10 Is the overall presentation well structured and clear? Yes

11 Is the language fluent and precise? Yes

12 Are mathematical formulae, symbols, abbreviations, and units correctly defined and used? Yes

13 Should any parts of the paper (text, formulae, figures, tables) be clarified, reduced, combined, or eliminated? Yes, the section on CMAQ modeling should either be eliminated or elaborated upon significantly.

[Please see our response to your previous comments, regarding the importance of CMAQ-related contents.](#)

14 Are the number and quality of references appropriate? No. See previous remarks.

[As in the response to your previous comments: Related works have been added in various sections to support discussions and conclusions of this study.](#)

15 Is the amount and quality of supplementary material appropriate? Yes

References (in addition to the reviewers' suggestions)

Clements, A. L., Fraser, M. P., Upadhyay, N., Herckes, P., Sundblom, M., Lantz, J., and Solomon, P. A.: Characterization of summertime coarse particulate matter in the Desert Southwest—Arizona, USA, *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association*, 63(7), 764-772, doi:10.1080/10962247.2013.787955, 2013.

Ginoux, P., Clarisse, L., Clerbaux, C., Coheur, P.-F., Dubovik, O., Hsu, N. C., and Van Damme, M.: Mixing of dust and NH<sub>3</sub> observed globally over anthropogenic dust sources, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 7351-7363, doi:10.5194/acp-12-7351-2012, 2012a.

Ginoux, P., Prospero, J. M., Gill, T. E., Hsu, N. C., and Zhao, M.: Global-scale attribution of anthropogenic and natural dust sources and their emission rates based on MODIS deep blue aerosol products, *Rev. Geophys.*, 50, RG3005, doi:10.1029/2012RG000388, 2012b.

Nordstrom, K. F. and Hotta, S.: Wind erosion from cropland in the USA: A review of problems, solutions and prospects, *Geoderma*, 12(3-4), 157-167, doi: doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2003.11.012, 2004.

Upadhyay, N., Clements, A. L., Fraser, M. P., Sundblom, M., Solomon, P., and Herckes, P.: Size-Differentiated Chemical Composition of Re-Suspended Soil Dust from the Desert Southwest United States, *Aerosol and Air Quality Research*, 15, 387-398, doi: 10.4209/aaqr.2013.07.0253, 2015.

Van Curen, R. A., and T. A. Cahill: Asian aerosols in North America: Frequency and concentration of fine dust, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, 4804 (D24), doi:10.1029/2002JD002204, 2002.

1 **Toward Enhanced Capability for Detecting and Predicting Dust Events in the Western**  
2 **United States: The Arizona Case Study**

3

4 Min Huang<sup>1,2</sup>, Daniel Tong<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Pius Lee<sup>1</sup>, Li Pan<sup>1,3</sup>, Youhua Tang<sup>1,3</sup>, Ivanka Stajner<sup>4</sup>,

5 R. Bradley Pierce<sup>5</sup>, Jeffery McQueen<sup>6</sup>, Julian Wang<sup>1</sup>

6

7 [1] {NOAA/OAR/ARL, NOAA Center for Weather and Climate Prediction, College Park, MD

8 20740, USA}

9 [2] {Center for Spatial Information Science and Systems, George Mason University, Fairfax VA

10 22030, USA}

11 [3] {Cooperative Institute for Climate and Satellites, University of Maryland, College Park, MD

12 20740, USA}

13 [4] {NOAA/NWS/~~STI~~, Silver Spring, MD 20910, USA}

14 [5] {NOAA/NESDIS, Madison, WI 53706, USA}

15 [6] {NOAA/NWS/NCEP/EMC, NOAA Center for Weather and Climate Prediction, College

16 Park, MD 20740, USA}

17 Correspondence to: Min Huang (mhuang10@gmu.edu)

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: OST

19 | **Abstract**

20 | Dust aerosols affect human life, ecosystems, atmospheric chemistry and climate in various  
21 | aspects. Some studies have revealed intensified dust activity in the western US during the past  
22 | decades despite the weaker dust activity in non-US regions. It is important to extend the  
23 | historical dust records, to better understand their temporal changes, and use such information to  
24 | improve the daily dust forecasting skill as well as the projection of future dust activity under the  
25 | changing climate. This study develops dust records in Arizona in 2005-2013 using multiple  
26 | observation datasets, including in-situ measurements at the surface Air Quality System (AQS)  
27 | and Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments (IMPROVE) sites, and level 2  
28 | deep blue aerosol product by the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer. The diurnal  
29 | and inter-annual variability of identified dust events are shown related to observed weather  
30 | patterns (e.g., wind and soil moisture) and surface conditions (e.g., land cover type, vegetation  
31 | conditions), suggesting a potential for use of satellite soil moisture and land products to help  
32 | interpret and predict dust activity. Back-trajectories computed using NOAA's Hybrid Single  
33 | Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) Model indicate that the Sonoran and  
34 | Chihuahuan deserts are important dust source regions during identified dust events in Phoenix,  
35 | Arizona. Finally, we assess the impact of a recent strong dust event on western US air quality  
36 | using various observational and modeling data sets, during a period with a stratospheric ozone  
37 | intrusion event. The capability of the current US National Air Quality Forecasting Capability  
38 | (NAQFC) CMAQ modeling system to represent the magnitude and the temporal variability of  
39 | aerosol concentrations is evaluated for this event. Directions of integrating observations to  
40 | further improve dust emission modeling in CMAQ are also suggested.

41

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Formatted: Level 1

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: Studies

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: .

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: vegetation index

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: Chihuahua

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: evaluate

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: performance of the US National Air Quality Forecasting Capability (NAQFC) 12 km CMAQ model during a

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: in the

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: accompanied by

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: It is shown that

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: well captures the temporal variability and

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: during

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: , and the usefulness and limitations of different observations in model evaluation are discussed

58 **1. Introduction**

59 Dust aerosols, generated by anthropogenic or natural sources, present strong spatial and temporal  
60 variability (Ginoux et al., 2001, 2010, [2012a, b](#); Carslaw et al., 2010; Prospero et al., 2002;  
61 Zender et al., 2004), and affect human life, ecosystems, atmospheric chemistry and climate in  
62 many aspects. Degraded visibility during dusty periods prevents normal outdoor activities and  
63 transportation, and dust activity may be associated with a number of human diseases such as  
64 “valley fever”, “Haboob Lung Syndrome” and certain eye diseases ([Sprigg et al., 2014](#); Goudie,  
65 2013; Panikkath et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2009a; [Morain et al., 2010](#)). Dust neutralizes acid rain  
66 (Hedin and Likens, 1996), and [interacts with terrestrial and](#) ocean ecosystems (Gassó et al., 2010;  
67 Chen et al., 2013; [Yu et al., 2015](#); [Reynolds et al., 2001, 2006](#)). Also, dust absorbs sunlight,  
68 reduces the planetary albedo over bright surfaces such as snow, ice and deserts, and modifies  
69 cloud properties and precipitation (Zhao et al., 2012; Creamean et al., 2013, 2015). The  
70 deposition of dust on snow and ice can accelerate their melting and affect regional climate ([e.g.](#),  
71 Carslaw et al., 2010; [Painter et al., 2007](#)). In addition, mineral dust aerosols affect atmospheric  
72 chemistry through surface adsorption and reactions ([Dentener et al., 1996](#); Grassian, 2001;  
73 Underwood et al., 2001; Fairlie et al., 2010).

74  
75 North America contributes to a small proportion of the world’s total dust emissions, ranging  
76 from <0.1% to ~5% as reported in previous studies (Miller et al., 2004a, b; Tanaka and Chiba,  
77 2006; Zender et al., 2003; Ginoux et al., 2004; [Ravi et al., 2011](#)), and the important emitters  
78 include the four major deserts in the western US, i.e., Great Basin, Mojave, Sonoran, and  
79 Chihuahuan deserts. Dust storms in the western US usually last for 2-21 hours, due to various  
80 mechanisms (Lei and Wang, 2014). Surface and satellite observations, along with modeling

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: 2012

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: )

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: nourishes forests (Yu et al., 2015),

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: the deposited nutritional or harmful contents in dust particles interact

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: the

87 analysis, have provided evidence that the western US is not only affected by local dust emissions,  
88 but is also susceptible to dust transported from the overseas (e.g., Van Curen et al., 2002; Fischer  
89 et al., 2009; Uno et al., 2009; Fairlie et al., 2007; Chin et al., 2007; Eguchi et al., 2009; Stith et  
90 al., 2009; Dunlea et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2009b). Using a global transport model, Fairlie et al.  
91 (2007) reported that dust from the overseas contributed to <30% of the total dust in the  
92 southwestern US and >80% of the total dust in the northwestern US in Spring 2001, and these  
93 non-US contributions were much larger than in other seasons. Recent dust observations have  
94 revealed rapid intensification of dust storm activity in the western US (e.g., Brahney et al., 2013),  
95 despite the weaker dust activity in many non-US regions (e.g., Mahowald et al., 2007; Zhu et al.,  
96 2008; Shao et al., 2013). This increasing trend enhances the concerns about their various impacts  
97 or even another “Dust Bowl”, which occurred in the 1930s due to severe drought conditions and  
98 inappropriate farming methods (Lee and Gill, 2015;  
99 [http://www.livinghistoryfarm.org/farminginthe30s/water\\_02.html](http://www.livinghistoryfarm.org/farminginthe30s/water_02.html);  
100 [http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/drought/drght\\_history.html](http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/drought/drght_history.html)) and at that time led to significantly  
101 negative agricultural and ecological impacts in the western/central US.

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: ([http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/drought/drght\\_history.html](http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/drought/drght_history.html))

102  
103 Surface and satellite observations have been used to study dust trends and variability, as well as  
104 for model evaluation (e.g., Tong et al., 2012; Appel et al., 2013; Torres et al., 2002; Ginoux and  
105 Torres, 2003; Draxler et al., 2010; [Vukovic et al., 2014](#); [Mahler et al., 2006](#); [Raman and Arellano,](#)  
106 [2010](#); [Morain et al., 2010](#)). Surface observations used in many of these studies are sparsely  
107 and/or infrequently sampled, and there is delay for obtaining some of these datasets which  
108 prevents timely updates on the observed dust records. The capability of satellite aerosol optical  
109 depth products to capture the dust events depends on various factors such as sensor

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: ).

113 characteristics, cloud conditions, surface reflectance and dust mineralogy (e.g., Baddock et al.,  
114 2009). There still lacks comprehensively developed observational dust records with broad spatial  
115 coverage till the very recent years, and accurately simulating dust aerosols is challenging.  
116 Therefore, it is important to extend the temporal changes of observed dust activity to recent years  
117 using diverse observations. These various observations can assist evaluating the chemical  
118 transport model skills especially during dust events. Furthermore, better understanding the  
119 linkages between the temporal changes of dust observations and the observed surface/weather  
120 conditions can be beneficial for advancing the dust emission modeling skills via improving the  
121 meteorology and dust source input data, as well as for projecting future dust activity under the  
122 changing climate.

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** land use

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** land cover

123  
124 Several studies found that dust events can be accompanied by stratospheric intrusion in multiple  
125 regions of the world (e.g., Pan and Randel, 2006; Yasunari et al., 2007; Yasunari and Yamazaki,  
126 2009; Reddy and Pierce, 2012). Recently, substantial attention has been called on the influences  
127 of stratospheric ozone intrusion on western US surface/near-surface ozone variability (e.g., Lin  
128 et al., 2012; Langford et al., 2014). Observations and modeling tools are useful for identifying  
129 the periods when dust events are associated with stratospheric intrusions, as well as to assess the  
130 impact of elevated surface/near-surface ozone and PM concentrations on public health and the  
131 environment during such events.

132  
133 This study develops decadal dust records in the state of Arizona using multiple in-situ and  
134 satellite observation datasets, and relates the diurnal and inter-annual variability of observed dust  
135 activity to the observed surface conditions (e.g., land cover type, vegetation conditions) and

138 weather patterns (e.g., wind and soil moisture) (Sections 3.1-3.3). We also analyze observations  
139 and model simulations during a recent strong dust event in the western US accompanied by a  
140 stratospheric ozone intrusion. The modeling analyses include the US National Air Quality  
141 Forecasting Capability (NAQFC) 12 km Community Multi-scale Air Quality (CMAQ) regional  
142 model base and sensitivity simulations (Section 3.4). In the analysis, we discuss the usefulness  
143 and limitations of different observations for identifying potential exceptional events and for  
144 model evaluation. We also suggest future directions of integrating observations into regional dust  
145 emission modeling in the western US for further improvement of the air quality forecasts.

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** and vegetation conditions (

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** evaluate

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** performance of

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** simulation during a recent strong dust event in the western US

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** types of

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** dust

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** the CMAQ

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** its

## 147 2. Data and Method

### 148 2.1. Drought indicators

149 Three datasets were analyzed to interpret the observed inter-annual variability of the drought  
150 conditions from 2005 to 2013 in Arizona, an important dust source and receptor region in the  
151 western US. They are: Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) from the Moderate  
152 Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) instrument on NASA Aqua satellite, a  
153 European soil moisture dataset that merged both passive and active satellite sensor data, and the  
154 Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI).

155  
156 NDVI is the most commonly used vegetation index, calculated using the reflected visible and  
157 near-infrared light by vegetation (Scheffé et al., 2014; Brown et al., 2006). Smaller NDVI values  
158 refer to Jess vegetated areas, which may have high potential of emitting dust (Kim, D. et al., 2013;  
159 Vukovic et al., 2014). NDVI has been used for monitoring land cover changes and indicating  
160 drought (Tucker and Choudhury, 1987; Karnieli et al., 2010; Wan et al., 2004), and it has been

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** on

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** little vegetation coverage

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** . For example, NDVI values from NOAA's Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) instrument are usually below 0.15 over the bare ground

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** has

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** , and this threshold can vary by satellite instruments

179 shown found to be correlated with meteorological based drought indexes such as Standardized  
180 Precipitation Index (Ji and Peters, 2003). In this study we used the monthly-mean 1 km MODIS  
181 NDVI product version 5, which temporally aggregated the 16-day 1 km MODIS NDVI using a  
182 weighted average. Following the Users' guide instructions  
183 ([http://vip.arizona.edu/documents/MODIS/MODIS\\_VI\\_UsersGuide\\_01\\_2012.pdf](http://vip.arizona.edu/documents/MODIS/MODIS_VI_UsersGuide_01_2012.pdf)), only the data  
184 flagged good quality were used. To avoid the known effects from the degradation of the Terra  
185 MODIS sensor (e.g., Wang et al., 2012), only the NDVI data from the Aqua MODIS  
186 (MYD13A3) was used.

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: index

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: Only

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: flagged good quality data were used following the

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: in its users' guide

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: .

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: sensor

187  
188 Soil moisture has also been used for drought monitoring, and several studies have found that  
189 satellite and modeled soil moisture is related to dust outbreaks in Asian countries (Liu et al.,  
190 2004; Kim, Y. et al., 2013; Kim and Choi, 2015). This study used a multi-sensor satellite soil  
191 moisture product from the European Satellite Agency (ESA) within the soil moisture Climate  
192 Change Initiative project that merged all available passive and active products and preserved the  
193 original dynamics of these remote sensing observations. The data are produced daily on a  
194  $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$  horizontal resolution grid. Long-term soil moisture changes in the US based on the  
195 CCI soil moisture product contributed to the US National Climate Assessment report  
196 (<http://nca2014.globalchange.gov/report>, page 72-73).

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: (<http://www.esa-soilmoisture-cci.org>).

197  
198 Monthly PDSI data calculated from temperature and precipitation (Palmer, 1965; Alley, 1984),  
199 is widely used for identifying long-term and abnormal moisture deficiency or excess. Studies  
200 have found that PDSI is moderately or significantly correlated ( $r=0.5$  to  $0.7$ ) with observed soil  
201 moisture content within the top 1 m depth during warm-season months in various regions (Dai et

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: (<http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/temp-and-precip/drought/historical-palmers.php>),

212 al., 2004). In this study, we analyzed the inter-annual variability of PDSI in two NOAA climate  
213 regions in Arizona (Karl and Koss, 1984; [http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/monitoring-  
215 values \(e.g., negative 2 is moderate drought, negative 3 is severe drought, and negative 4 is  
216 extreme drought\)](http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/monitoring-<br/>214 references/maps/us-climate-regions.php)), and positive PDSI values indicate wet conditions.

217

## 218 2.2. Specification of dust sources using satellite (MODIS) land cover and NDVI products

219 The dust productive areas depend on surface conditions such as land cover types and vegetation  
220 conditions, and therefore are temporally variable. Several studies specified dynamic dust source  
221 regions using either or both satellite land cover types and NDVI products (e.g., Vukovic et al.,  
222 2014; Yin et al., 2007; Kim, D. et al., 2013). In this study, to explore the inter-annual variability  
223 of dust sources in the western US and its influences on the dust activity, we specified the dust  
224 sources following the methods in Vukovic et al. (2014). First, for each year during 2005-2013,  
225 we located open shrubland, cropland, and barren areas, where dust can potentially be emitted  
226 from, according to the annual-mean MODIS land cover type product Collection 5 (MCD12Q1,  
227 500 m resolution in tile grid, Friedl et al., 2010) and its 17-category International Geosphere  
228 Biosphere Programme (IGBP) land cover classification scheme (defined at:  
229 [https://lpdaac.usgs.gov/dataset\\_discovery/modis/modis\\_products\\_table/mcd12q1](https://lpdaac.usgs.gov/dataset_discovery/modis/modis_products_table/mcd12q1)). Then, for  
230 each month and each of the three erodible land cover types, dust source areas were determined  
231 based on the monthly-mean Aqua MODIS NDVI values (introduced in Section 2.1) and the  
232 following criteria:

233 Barren (category 16): 100% dust source (independent from NDVI);

234 Cropland and cropland/native vegetation (categories 12 and 14): if NDVI <= 0.25, 100% dust  
235 source;

236 Open shrubland (category 7): if NDVI <= 0.1, 100% dust source; if NDVI is within 0.11-0.13,  
237 decreasing linearly from 70 to 30% as a dust source.

238

### 239 2.3. *Aerosol observations*

240 Both remote sensing and in-situ aerosol observations were used to explore the dust aerosol  
241 distributions in Arizona. We first demonstrate the large-scale spatial distributions of aerosols  
242 using satellite aerosol products, and discuss their diurnal (e.g., late morning vs. early afternoon  
243 times) and inter-annual variability linking to the weather and vegetation conditions. We mainly  
244 focus on spring and summer time periods when dust activity is generally strong in Arizona as  
245 found by Ginoux et al. (2012a) for the 2003-2009 period. In-situ observations at Arizona surface  
246 monitoring sites were also analyzed, focusing on their temporal variability in the populated  
247 Phoenix urban area (i.e., with a population of ~1.5 million). Finally, we identified dust events in  
248 Phoenix using hourly surface observations and discuss the time of occurrence of these identified  
249 dust events.

250

#### 251 2.3.1. MODIS deep blue Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD) and Dust Optical Depth (DOD)

252 We extracted scenes dominated by dust aerosols from the MODIS level 2 deep blue aerosol  
253 product Collection 6 (Hsu et al., 2013) during 2005-2013. This product includes the values of  
254 AOD and single scattering albedo (SSA) at 412 nm, 470 nm, 550 nm, and 670 nm, as well as  
255 Angstrom exponent between 412 and 470 nm. It is recommended for identifying both dust  
256 sources and plumes at high spatial resolution (e.g., Baddock et al., 2009). The Collection 6 deep

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: 2012

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: generate DOD maps over Arizona on a 0.1°×0.1° horizontal resolution grid, using

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: 2004) collection 5.1

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: (data ordered from: <http://ladsweb.nascom.nasa.gov/data/>).

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: Following

264 blue data were created using enhanced deep blue algorithm (from the previous Collection 5.1),  
265 with improved surface reflectance determination, aerosol model selection, and cloud screening  
266 schemes. Also, the deep blue data from Terra MODIS have been extended beyond 2007 using  
267 suitable calibration corrections (Hsu et al., 2013). Compared with the Aerosol Robotic Network  
268 (AERONET) AOD data, the Collection 6 deep blue AOD data from Aqua MODIS show a ~0.03  
269 change in bias through the decade, with overall negative biases in 2005-2007 and 2011, and  
270 positive biases in 2009, 2010, and 2012 (Sayer et al., 2013).

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: method in Ginoux

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: . (

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: ), DOD was

272 The very good (Quality Assurance Flag=3, as recommended by Shi et al. (2013) and Sayer et al.  
273 (2013)) MODIS deep blue AOD data from Terra and Aqua were selected and gridded on  
274  $0.1^\circ \times 0.1^\circ$  horizontal resolution for each day. The DOD values were then determined by  
275 screening the 550 nm AOD data based on three criteria to represent dust-dominant scenes; 1)  
276 Angstrom exponent within 0-0.5, which selects the particles in large sizes; 2) SSA at 412 nm  
277 <0.95, which selects the absorbing aerosols and efficiently eliminates the sea salt dominated  
278 scenes; 3) difference of SSA between 412 nm and 670 nm is positive, due to the specific optical  
279 property of dust that there is a sharp increase of absorption from red to deep blue (Ginoux et al.,  
280 2012a; Hsu et al., 2013).

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: the

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: dominated by dust aerosols

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: <

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: . Deep Blue collection 5.1 data for Aqua MODIS is available throughout the study period, but this dataset is only available during 2005-2007 for Terra MODIS due to the known calibration issues after 2007 (e.g., Shi et al.,

282 2.3.2. Particulate matter (PM) measurements from the surface Interagency Monitoring of  
283 Protected Visual Environments (IMPROVE) sites  
284 Most IMPROVE surface sites are located in rural regions, many of which are at the national  
285 parks to measure background pollution levels. We here analyzed the temporal variability of  
286 observed particulate matter mass PM10 (i.e., <10  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter), along with the fine (i.e., <2.5

298  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter) soil particles at the Phoenix site (PHOE1, latitude/longitude:  
299 33.5038°N/112.0958°W) within the IMPROVE network during 2005-2013. These fine soil data  
300 are computed based on five (Al, Si, Ca, Fe, and Ti) soil-derived trace metals in their assumed  
301 oxidized form measured at the IMPROVE site (Malm et al., 2004). Daily mean IMPROVE data  
302 are available every three days, and there is approximately a year of delay for obtaining these data.

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** (data from:  
<http://views.cira.colostate.edu/fed/DataWizard/Default.aspx>). IMPROVE's

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** is

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** [http://vista.cira.colostate.edu/improve/publications/graylit/023\\_SoilEquation/Soil\\_Eq\\_Evaluation.pdf](http://vista.cira.colostate.edu/improve/publications/graylit/023_SoilEquation/Soil_Eq_Evaluation.pdf)).

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** is

### 304 2.3.3. Air Quality System (AQS) and AirNow PM and trace gas measurements

305 In general the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) AQS sites are designed to monitor  
306 air quality in populated urban or suburban areas. In this study the AQS hourly PM10 and PM2.5  
307 data during 2005-Sep 2013, and AirNow during Sep-Dec 2013, at the Phoenix JLG supersite (co-  
308 located with the IMPROVE PHOE1 site, AQS site #040139997) were analyzed to study the  
309 temporal variability of dust events on hourly temporal resolution. In the case study on the dusty  
310 year of Dec 2006-Nov 2007, AQS trace gas measurements (i.e., carbon monoxide (CO) and  
311 oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>)) were used as tracers of anthropogenic or biomass burning sources to  
312 evaluate the dust events that are identified based on the hourly PM observations. The AQS data  
313 qualifier codes were also examined which provide clues of the event types (e.g., high winds,  
314 long-range transport of PM from non-US regions).

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** (downloaded from:  
<http://www.epa.gov/ttn/airs/airsaqs/detaildata/downloaddata.htm>)

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** (downloaded from:  
[www.epa.gov/airnow/2013](http://www.epa.gov/airnow/2013))

### 316 2.3.4. Other satellite aerosol products

317 The achieved NOAA Hazard Mapping System (HMS) text product, narratively describes the  
318 observed smoke and dust events based on images of multiple satellites. It qualitatively indicates  
319 the dust locations and the intensity, which in this study supports the analysis during a recent  
320 strong event we selected for case study in Section 3.4. We also used the dust score data from the

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** <#>NOAA Hazard Mapping System  
Fire and Smoke text product . ... [1]

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:**  
(<http://www.ssd.noaa.gov/PS/FIRE/smoke.html>)

339 Atmospheric Infrared Sensor (AIRS) instrument on board the Aqua satellite to qualitatively  
340 represent the presence of atmospheric dust during this recent event. The Aqua satellite has  
341 ascending overpassing times in the early afternoon (~1:30 pm local time).  
342

#### 343 2.4. *Observed wind speed and direction*

344 As atmospheric dust concentrations depend on the wind fields (e.g., Kavouras et al., 2007; Ravi  
345 et al., 2011; Csavina et al., 2014), we used the observed hourly surface wind speed and direction  
346 in Dec 2006-Nov 2007 at the Phoenix Encanto site (latitude/longitude: 33.4792°N/112.0964°W,  
347 within the Arizona meteorological network (AZMET)) together with the hourly AQS PM  
348 observations to identify the dust events. Phoenix Encanto is the closest site to the Phoenix JLG  
349 supersite within the AZMET that had available meteorological observations during this period.

#### 350 2.5. *Backward air mass trajectory analysis*

351 Backward air mass trajectories were computed to locate the sources of dust aerosols observed at  
352 the Phoenix JLG site during the identified dust events in Dec 2006-Nov 2007. These trajectories  
353 were calculated using NOAA's Hybrid Single Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory  
354 (HYSPLIT) Model, version 4 (Draxler and Rolph, 2015; Stein et al., 2015). The accuracy of the  
355 trajectories depends on the resolution of the wind data (Draxler and Hess, 1998), and we  
356 calculated these trajectories based on the 3-hourly North America Regional Reanalysis (NARR)  
357 data (Mesinger et al., 2006) on 32 km horizontal resolution and 9 vertical levels below 800 hPa.  
358 NARR is the finest meteorology HYSPLIT can currently run with for studying this year, as the  
359 horizontally finer (12 km) North American Mesoscale Forecast System (NAM, Janjic, 2003;  
360 Janjic et al., 2004) wind fields are only available for HYSPLIT calculations for the time after  
361

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** Observed

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** ), <http://ag.arizona.edu/azmet/index.html>) were used for identifying the dust events

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Formatted:** Indent: Left: 0 cm

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** and MODIS land cover type data

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** , <http://ready.arl.noaa.gov/HYSPLIT.php>

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** with

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** HYSPLIT can only run with

369 May 2007. These trajectories were initiated at 500 m above Phoenix’s ground level at identified  
370 dust periods and were computed for 24 hours. The HYSPLIT-indicated air mass origins during  
371 the Phoenix dust events will be discussed together with the MODIS land cover product (details  
372 in Section 2.2).

#### 374 2.6. Chemical transport model base and sensitivity simulations

375 The US NAQFC 12 km CMAQ (Byun and Schere, 2006; Chai et al., 2013; Pan et al., 2014)  
376 model simulations were used to depict the PM distributions during a recent strong dust event in  
377 the western US that was accompanied by a stratospheric ozone intrusion. Dust emissions for  
378 NAQFC’s CMAQ simulations were calculated by the FENGSHA dust emission model based on  
379 modified Owen’s equation, which is a function of wind speed, soil moisture, soil texture and  
380 erodible land use types (Tong et al., 2015). Both the FENGSHA and CMAQ model calculations  
381 were driven by meteorological fields from the NAM model, which is known to usually have  
382 positive biases in temperature, moisture, and wind speed in the continental US (e.g., McQueen et  
383 al., 2015a, b). The CMAQ base simulation was evaluated against surface observations at the  
384 AirNow and IMPROVE sites, and we focused on PM2.5 concentrations as it is one of the  
385 standard NAQFC products. To quantify the impact of western US dust emissions on PM2.5  
386 concentrations during this event, an additional sensitivity simulation was conducted in which no  
387 dust emissions were included. NAQFC CMAQ lateral chemical boundary conditions were  
388 downscaled from monthly mean output from a global GEOS-Chem simulation of year 2006  
389 (<http://www.geos-chem.org/>; [http://acmg.seas.harvard.edu/geos/geos\\_chem\\_narrative.html](http://acmg.seas.harvard.edu/geos/geos_chem_narrative.html), and  
390 the references therein. The details of this GEOS-Chem simulation and the boundary condition  
391 downscaling methods are included in Barrett et al. (2012)). These boundary conditions do not

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Deleted:** - ... [2]  
Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Formatted:** Font:Times New Roman  
Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Formatted:** List Paragraph, Justified

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Deleted:** .

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Deleted:** in that  
Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Deleted:** a  
Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Deleted:** product

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Deleted:** Chai et al., 2013; Pan et al., 2014), and therefore this system does not well treat chemical species transported from outside of the model domain.

402 represent the day-to-day variability in the trans-boundary chemical species impacting the CMAQ  
403 model domain. Stratospheric ozone intrusion during this dust event is indicated by  
404 meteorological conditions and chemical fields from the global 1°×1° Realtime Air Quality  
405 Modeling System (RAQMS) (Pierce et al., 2007) which assimilated satellite ozone observations.

406

### 407 2.7. Ozone and carbon monoxide (CO) products from AIRS

408 The level 3 daytime ozone and carbon monoxide (CO) profiles (AIRX3STD version 6, gridded  
409 in 1°×1° horizontal resolution) from the AIRS instrument were used to help identify the  
410 stratospheric intrusion during a recent dust event in Section 3.4. AIRS ozone is sensitive to the  
411 altitudes near the tropopause, with positive biases over ozonesondes in the upper troposphere  
412 (e.g., Bian et al., 2007). Due to its broad spatial coverage and the capability of reproducing the  
413 dynamical variability of ozone near the tropopause, AIRS ozone has been used in a number of  
414 studies on stratospheric intrusion (e.g., Lin et al., 2012; Pan and Randel, 2006; Pan et al., 2007).  
415 AIRS CO, which is most sensitive to 300-600 hPa (Warner et al., 2007), can distinguish  
416 stratospheric intrusion from long-range transported pollution when used together with ozone.

417

## 418 **3. Results and Discussions**

### 419 *3.1. Decadal drought indicators, dust sources and satellite DOD in Arizona*

420 We first review the spatial and inter-annual variability of the drought conditions during 2005-  
421 2013 in Arizona in the dusty seasons (i.e., spring and summer from March to August), based on  
422 satellite NDVI (Figure 1a) and soil moisture (Figure 1b) products. These observations show that  
423 southwestern and south central Arizona, a region close to the Sonoran Desert, is overall drier  
424 than the rest of the state with less greenness. Most of these dry regions fall into two NOAA

425 climate divisions (i.e., “South Central” including the Maricopa and Pinal counties and “South  
426 West” including the La Paz and Yuma counties). The mean PDSI values in spring and summer in  
427 these two climate divisions were calculated (Figure 1c), indicating moderate to severe dry  
428 conditions under warm weather in these regions in the past decade, except 2005 (extreme wet),  
429 2008 (near neutral), and 2010 (moderate wet). The PDSI values were then correlated with the  
430 anomalies of satellite NDVI and soil moisture, defined as the ratio of annual mean value over the  
431 multi-year mean value. In general, Figure 1c shows that the PDSI-indicated drought conditions  
432 are consistent with those based on the satellite NDVI and soil moisture products: i.e., with  
433 correlation coefficient  $r(\text{PDSI vs. NDVI anomaly})$  and  $r(\text{PDSI vs. soil moisture anomaly})$  of 0.96  
434 and 0.84, respectively.

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: observed by

435  
436 Gridded MODIS DOD maps are shown in Figure 2a-b for each year’s dusty season during 2005-  
437 2013 and they were related to the satellite-based weather and vegetation conditions (Figure 1c).

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: 2

438 To exclude the locations occasionally affected by long-range transported dust aerosols, data are  
439 shown only for the grids that DOD data are available on 5% of the total number of days in each  
440 year, defined as “areas of dust impact”. In all maps, high DOD values ( $>0.2$ ) are seen in the dry  
441 southwest and south central climate divisions. Aqua MODIS observed higher DOD than in Terra  
442 MODIS DOD by 4-19% (~11% on average). Assuming Terra and Aqua MODIS DOD have  
443 similar quality in this region, this indicates higher dust in the early afternoon than in the late  
444 morning. Inter-annual variability is also seen from these DOD maps over large spatial scales,  
445 with smaller “areas of dust impact” and DOD values in these areas in the wetter years (e.g., 2005  
446 and 2010). The differences among the annual-mean DOD values are often much larger than  
447 those of the MODIS AOD biases reported by Sayer et al. (2013). The correlation coefficients

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: during 2005-2007 by 19-37%, indicating

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: dusty regions in

452 between the anomalies of Aqua MODIS DOD and the three drought indicators (NDVI, soil  
453 moisture, and PDSI) in the past decade are -0.82, -0.58, -0.79, respectively. The anomalies of  
454 Terra DOD show similar correlations with these three drought indicators. Such anti-correlations  
455 suggest the importance of drought monitoring to the interpretation and prediction of dust activity.  
456 Particularly, it is noted that satellite can provide soil moisture measurements of much broader  
457 spatial coverage than the surface sites (e.g., there is only one site of Walnut Gulch in Arizona  
458 within the Soil Climate Analysis Network), and drought monitoring can be better assisted by  
459 newer satellite soil moisture observations, such as those from NASA's newly launched Soil  
460 Moisture Active Passive (SMAP).

461

462 The correlations between dust activity and drought conditions can be partially attributed to the  
463 dependency of dust source regions as well as the threshold wind velocity (i.e., the minimum  
464 wind velocity required to initiate soil erosion) (Ravi et al., 2011, and the references therein) on  
465 the surface conditions in the western US. Figure 3 shows the MODIS-derived annual-mean dust  
466 source regions during the dusty season in 2005-2013 over several land use types (Maps of the  
467 dust sources from three land use types are shown for selected wet and dry years in Figure S1). In  
468 most years, barren contributed the most (>50%) and cropland contributed the least (<5%) to the  
469 dust source regions, qualitatively consistent with the findings by Ginoux et al. (2012a) and  
470 Nordstrom and Hotta (2004). In general, larger dust source regions are found in drier years, with  
471 the strongest inter-annual variability from the open shrubland category. As an important  
472 nonerodible roughness element, the variable vegetation also modified the threshold wind velocity  
473 for the soil erosion. These findings suggest that dust emission modeling can be improved by  
474 using satellite land products, instead of those based on static land data. Similar land products of

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: 86

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: 60

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: 78

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** The time-varying drought conditions and DOD maps also indicate that the source regions that have potential to emit dust particles are changing with the weather conditions rather than being static as currently treated in some dust emission models. Using dynamic dust source regions derived from satellite observations such as NDVI can have impact on dust emissions and chemical transport modeling (e.g., Kim, D. et al., 2013).

487 smaller footprints from newer satellite instruments, such as those from the Visible Infrared  
488 Imaging Radiometer Suite (VIIRS) instrument launched in 2011, can also be considered. In  
489 addition, soil moisture affects dust activity by modifying the threshold wind velocity, dependent  
490 on the soil type. Therefore, dust emission modeling can also benefit from careful evaluation and  
491 improvement of the soil moisture inputs using surface and satellite soil moisture measurements.

### 493 3.2. Decadal surface in-situ PM measurements in Phoenix

494 We then analyze the long-term surface PM measurements at the AQS and IMPROVE monitoring  
495 sites in the Phoenix area. The time series of PM10 from AQS/AirNow and IMPROVE sites in  
496 Phoenix are shown in Figure 4a during 2005-2013 in their original temporal resolution. It is  
497 shown that the 24 h mean IMPROVE PM10 data missed the extreme values (e.g.,  $>150 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )  
498 that were captured by the hourly AQS/AirNow observations at this location. The nine-year mean  
499 PM10 concentration at the AQS site ( $31.6 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) is slightly higher than at the IMPROVE site  
500 ( $28.2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) due to the different sampling frequency and methods. Another advantage of  
501 AQS/AirNow observations over those at the IMPROVE sites is that they are timely made  
502 available. IMPROVE fine soil particles demonstrate the similar temporal variability to  
503 IMPROVE PM10 with a correlation coefficient  $r$  of  $\sim 0.8$ . To explore the inter-annual variability  
504 of PM10 in dust seasons (spring-summer) at this site, we calculated the anomalies for each  
505 variable in each year (Figure 4b). Similar to the results from satellite observations, the inter-  
506 annual variability of surface PM observations are anti-correlated with regional soil wetness and  
507 vegetation cover. Inconsistency exists among the anomalies of these three variables, due to  
508 different sampling methods and densities, and also because the particle size distributions depend  
509 on soil wetness (Li and Zhang, 2014). Due to the different observation methods, uncertainties,

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: 3a

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: 3b

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: that

513 and sampling strategies (spatial and temporal), the anomalies of surface PM concentrations are  
514 more consistent with (i.e., whether >1 or <1) those of the MODIS DOD only in several  
515 significantly wet or dry years (i.e., 2005, 2007, 2010, 2011).

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: closer to

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: anomalies under

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: conditions.

### 517 3.3. Phoenix dust events in 2007 identified by hourly surface observations

518 We take the dry and dusty year of Dec 2006-Nov 2007 (Figure 4b) as an example to introduce a  
519 novel approach of identifying dust events using hourly observations. We first calculated the  
520 seasonal averages of PM10 and wind speed in Phoenix based on the AQS PM10 and AZMET  
521 wind speed observations. It is shown that in this year dominant westerly and easterly winds in  
522 spring and summer times carried much PM10 to Phoenix (Figure S2), whereas most PM10 in  
523 autumn and winter time came from the north and east. Hourly mean wind speed is highly  
524 correlated with the hourly maximum wind speed ( $r=0.95$ , slope $\approx-0.5$ ), and stronger winds were  
525 observed during spring and summer (Figure S3). Two steps followed to identify the individual  
526 dust events. In the first step, any period that PM10 and wind speed exceeded the seasonal mean  
527 values for no shorter than 2 hours (the lower end of dust storm duration in the western US  
528 reported by Lei and Wang, 2014) are defined as a dusty period. The second step screened the  
529 dust events selected in the first step using their median values of PM10 ( $55 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) and  
530 PM2.5/PM10 ( $\sim 0.2$ ) as lower and upper thresholds, and therefore relied on data availability of  
531 both PM2.5 and PM10. After these two steps of selection, 29 high dust periods are found as  
532 denoted in Figure S4 on Dec 7, 10, 27; Mar 27; Apr 8, 11 (twice), 12 (twice), 16, 18, 20; Jul 19,  
533 28, 30; Aug 13-14, 19, 20, 24, 25; Sep 4, 5, 7, 15, 19; Oct 5, 13, 16; and Nov 15. Around 76% of  
534 these events lasted for no longer than 5 hours, consistent with the findings by Lei and Wang  
535 (2014) that the majority of the exceptional dust storms in Arizona during 2003-2012 lasted for 2-

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: 3b

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: S1

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: faster

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: S2

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: S3

544 5 hours mainly due to meso- or small-scale weather systems (e.g., thunderstorms, convections  
545 along dry lines, gusty winds caused by high pressure systems). Hourly PM10 during these high  
546 dust periods ranged from 57-8540  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ , with PM2.5/PM10 ratio between  $\sim 0.07$  and  $\sim 0.2$ , and  
547 PM2.5 was highly correlated with PM10 during these periods ( $r > 0.9$ ). In Apr-May 2007, the  
548 Pacific Dust Experiment (PACDEX) was carried out to study dust emission and transport from  
549 Asia (Stith et al., 2009). The University of Iowa STEM chemical transport model tracer  
550 calculations (<http://data.eol.ucar.edu/codiac/dss/id=96.013>) estimated dust to be  $\sim 2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  in  
551 average (and not exceeding  $10 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  during transport events) at  $\sim 5.3$  km in Arizona during this  
552 period, which can serve as the upper limit of extra-regional dust impacts on the surface PM  
553 concentrations. During our identified dust events, PM10 concentrations were much higher than  
554 this magnitude and therefore they were mainly due to the impact from local dust emissions.

555

556 The identified high dust periods were validated using the hourly AQS trace gas observations.

557 Figure S5 includes the scatterplots of AQS CO and NO<sub>x</sub> over the PM10 measurements at the  
558 Phoenix JLG AQS site. Two distinct slopes are shown in both scatterplots, representing the times  
559 mainly affected by anthropogenic/biomass burning sources and dust. PM10 values during most  
560 of the identified dust events fall into the flat legs in these scatterplots. Using PM2.5/PM10 as an  
561 additional constraint (as suggested in Tong et al., 2012 and Lei and Wang, 2014) in the second  
562 step of selection excluded some less strong events interfered by anthropogenic/biomass burning  
563 emission sources, but possibly also some real dust events. After the second step of selection,  
564 higher-than-median CO or NO<sub>x</sub> values were observed at only  $\sim 10\%$  of the identified dust times.  
565 In addition, AQS qualifier codes provide useful information for interpreting the event types: e.g.,

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: S4

567 the “IJ” and “RJ” flags (<https://aqs.epa.gov/aqsweb/codes/data/QualifierCodes.html>) inform that  
568 July 19-20 was a high wind event.

569 |  
570 Independent IMPROVE and satellite observations can also assist validating these identified dust  
571 events. IMPROVE observations were only available on ~29% of these identified dusty days (Dec  
572 7, 10; Apr 12, 18; Aug 13, 19, 25; Sep 15), and they were more likely to be able to indicate  
573 exceptionally strong and long-lasting events due to the 24 hour sampling duration. Tong et al.  
574 (2012) reported two strong dust storm events at the PHOE1 IMPROVE site (~Apr 12; ~Jul 20)  
575 using total PM concentrations and its speciation, both of which were also captured by our  
576 method. In addition, ~48% of the days impacted by strong blowing dust were possibly captured  
577 by MODIS (i.e., dust events occurred during 9-15 local times: Dec 10; Mar 27; Apr 11, 12, 16,  
578 18, 20; Jul 19, 30; Sep 7, 15; Nov 15). To further demonstrate the advantages of using frequently  
579 sampled observations for capturing dust events, we plotted the time of occurrence of these  
580 | AQS/AZMET-based dust periods in Phoenix for this year (Figure 5a). Dust events occurred  
581 more frequently during Aqua overpassing times than during the Terra overpasses, consistent with  
582 the findings from Figure 2. Most of these dusty events occurred at 15-21 local times, when winds  
583 were stronger (also in Figure 4a) and the soil was drier (by looking at NAM soil moisture at the  
584 top soil layer in recent years, not shown), rather than at MODIS overpassing times from late  
585 morning to early afternoon times. Similar long-term diurnal variability of the dust event  
586 occurrence has been found in Utah based on analyzing weather code (Hahnenberger and Nicoll,  
587 2012). Therefore, current polar orbiting satellites are unable to observe all dust events, and the  
588 hourly sampling frequency of the future geostationary satellites can help better capture dust

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: 4a

590 events together with the surface monitoring network. Such conclusions were also drawn by  
591 Schepanski et al. (2012) for the African dust source regions.

592

593 We classified PM mass by wind direction observed at Phoenix AZMET site, which indicates the  
594 dominant westerly/southwesterly winds at the Phoenix high dust times. Further, based on the  
595 NARR meteorology, HYSPLIT airmass trajectories were originated from 500 m above the  
596 ground level (a.g.l.) of Phoenix at the identified dusty periods to locate the origins of Phoenix  
597 dust episodes and indicate the regional transport patterns. The endpoints of these HYSPLIT back  
598 trajectories are overlaid on the MODIS land classification map (Figure 5b), showing that most of  
599 the transported dust particles were at the shrublands or deserts (primarily Sonoran, also  
600 Chihuahuan) 0-12 h before arriving in urban Phoenix areas at below ~900 hPa. This is consistent  
601 with the finding from Figures 3 and S1 that barren and sparsely vegetated open shrubland are the  
602 major contributors to the dust productive areas in 2007.

603

#### 604 3.4. Case study of a recent strong dust event accompanied by stratospheric ozone intrusion

605 Multiple satellites identified a recent dust event (May 10-11, 2014) in the western US. As  
606 described by NOAA's HMS text product  
607 (<http://www.ssd.noaa.gov/PS/FIRE/DATA/SMOKE/2014/2014E111659.html>;  
608 <http://www.ssd.noaa.gov/PS/FIRE/DATA/SMOKE/2014/2014E120143.html>), dust was  
609 originated in the southern California. Its swept across northern Baja California and Arizona, and  
610 then entered New Mexico after cold-frontal boundary and impacted Texas, Oklahoma and  
611 Kansas. We evaluated the current NAQFC PM2.5 (a standard NAQFC air quality modeling  
612 product) forecasting skill during this event and assessed the impact of dust emission on the

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: 4b

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: The NDVI values in many of these airmass source regions are below 0.2 (Figure 1a), similar to the definition of the "bare ground" by Kim, D. et al. (2013).

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: Modeling analysis

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: Current NAQFC dust forecasting skill was evaluated during

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: strong

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: on

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: , using surface PM measurements.

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: describes this event visible by multiple satellites:

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: ): Dust

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: from

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: on the previous day, sweeping

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: entering

630 regional air quality based on model sensitivity analysis. The NAQFC 12 km CMAQ base  
631 simulation produced 24 h mean PM2.5 over 50 µg/m<sup>3</sup> in western Arizona and >15 µg/m<sup>3</sup> in  
632 southwestern Arizona on May 11, 2014 (Figure 6a). Sensitivity analysis using the base and no-  
633 dust simulations indicates that over 50 µg/m<sup>3</sup> of hourly PM2.5 during this event were contributed  
634 from dust emissions in populated urban regions in Arizona (such as Phoenix in the Maricopa  
635 county and Tucson in the Pima county), and in average, dust contributed to >70% of the total  
636 PM2.5 in most Arizona grid cells (Figure 6b).

637

638 The modeled PM2.5 was evaluated mainly for the Maricopa and Pima counties in Arizona where  
639 both IMPROVE and AirNow observations were available during this event. Time series of  
640 observed and modeled PM2.5 are shown in Figures 6c-d. AirNow observations indicate daily  
641 maxima to be over 100 µg/m<sup>3</sup> in Maricopa (at ~8 am) and over 50 µg/m<sup>3</sup> in Pima (at ~2 pm),  
642 with PM2.5/PM10 ratios at the dusty hours below 0.2 (not shown). Both the model and  
643 observations show significant temporal variability (standard deviations), indicating the  
644 advantages of the AirNow data for capturing the extremely high PM concentrations during the

645 dust events. The model was fairly well correlated with the observations (with median/high  
646 correlation coefficients of 0.7-0.9, Table 2). CMAQ underpredicted the daily maxima in Maricopa  
647 by a factor of ~2 with a 2-hour lag, while slightly overpredicted them in Pima with the right  
648 timing. PM was measured at more AirNow sites than at the IMPROVE sites in both counties on  
649 this day. The observed 24 h mean concentration at the AirNow sites was lower than at the  
650 IMPROVE sites in Maricopa, but those in Pima were close. This can be mainly due to the  
651 different sampling areas that AirNow and IMPROVE networks cover. The model underpredicted

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: the following day.

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Formatted: Font color: Auto

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: simulations

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Formatted: Font color: Auto

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: this day

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: 5a

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: 5b

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: evaluation of

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: (a standard NAQFC air quality product)

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: focused on two Arizona counties

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: 5e-f

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: .

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: In general, CMAQ

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: captured the timing of the daily maxima at the AirNow sites,

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: with the observations (

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: |

667 the 24 h mean values in both counties, with more significant negative biases in Maricopa than in  
668 Pima.

669

670 ~~This dust event was accompanied by stratospheric~~ ozone intrusion, as shown from a RAQMS  
671 model simulation that assimilated ozone columns from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument and  
672 ozone profiles from the Microwave Limb Sounder, ~~as well as the AIRS satellite products~~  
673 ~~(Figures 7 and S6)~~. Descending dry air containing rich ozone enhanced ~~the~~ surface ozone  
674 concentrations in ~~the~~ eastern Arizona and New Mexico at late morning ~~and early afternoon~~ times,  
675 when dust was strongly impacting the similar locations. Observed surface ozone at Petrified  
676 Forest National Park in eastern Arizona (AQS/AirNow site # 040170119) at this time exceeded  
677 65 ppbv. However, the current NAQFC CMAQ modeling system is unable to capture the  
678 ~~exceptionally~~ high ozone during stratospheric intrusion episodes, as the CMAQ lateral boundary  
679 conditions were downscaled from monthly-mean GEOS-Chem simulation in 2006, and no upper  
680 boundary conditions were used.

681

#### 682 4. Conclusions and suggestions

683 We developed dust records in Arizona in 2005-2013 using multiple observation datasets,  
684 including ~~the~~ MODIS level 2 deep blue aerosol product and in-situ measurements at the surface  
685 AQS and IMPROVE sites in Phoenix. Both satellite and surface aerosol observations were anti-  
686 correlated with three drought indicators (i.e., NDVI, soil moisture, and PDSI). Dust events were  
687 stronger and more frequent in the afternoon times than in the morning due to ~~stronger~~ winds and  
688 drier soil, and Sonoran and ~~Chihuahuan~~ deserts are important dust source regions during  
689 identified dust events in Phoenix. These findings suggest a potential for use of satellite soil

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** Stratospheric

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** occurred during this event

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** (Figure 5d).

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** (Figure 5c).

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** It's known that stratospheric ozone intrusion can be accompanied by high winds and trigger wind-blown dust in the western US (e.g., [http://acmg.seas.harvard.edu/aqast/meetings/2012\\_jun/AM\\_20120614/1000\\_AQAST%20June%202012%20Reddy.pdf](http://acmg.seas.harvard.edu/aqast/meetings/2012_jun/AM_20120614/1000_AQAST%20June%202012%20Reddy.pdf)). Therefore, it is important to accurately simulate both ozone and PM concentrations under such conditions, and assess their combined public health and environmental impacts.

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** exceptional

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Formatted:** Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers, Tabs:Not at 16.35 cm

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** faster

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** Chihuahua

707 moisture and Jand products to interpret and predict dust activity. We also emphasized the  
708 importance of using hourly observations for the better representation of the dust events, and  
709 expect the hourly geostationary satellite observations in the future to complement the current  
710 surface PM and meteorological observations considering their broader spatial coverage.  
711 Continued development of products from the polar-orbiting satellites is also important, in that  
712 they can provide higher spatial resolution observations for each swath due to their lower orbit  
713 level. Future efforts should also be devoted to better characterizing and attributing the observed  
714 dust, by integrating additional satellite measurements (such as ammonia as shown in Ginoux et  
715 al., 2012b) and in-situ measurements of trace gases and aerosol compositions.

717 In a case study, we evaluated the capability of current NAQFC CMAQ modeling system to  
718 capture the magnitude of aerosol concentrations and its temporal variability during a recent dust  
719 event. Sensitivity simulations from this modeling system assessed the impact of this dust event  
720 on western US air quality, and showed that dust contributed to >70% of the total PM2.5 in  
721 Arizona on average. Satellite weather and Jand products are currently being integrated into dust  
722 emission modeling for future improvement in NAQFC's PM forecasting skill. Finally, we  
723 showed that this recent dust event was accompanied by stratospheric ozone intrusion, and we  
724 emphasized the importance of representing both PM and ozone well under such conditions.

## 726 Acknowledgements

727 This study was mostly supported by a NASA ROSES grant (NNX13AO45G). We thank Janae  
728 Csavina and William Sprigg for their constructive comments on an earlier version of the  
729 manuscript. We thank the useful information from NASA Air Quality Applied Science Teams,

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Deleted:** vegetation index

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Deleted:** capturing

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Deleted:** well

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Formatted:** Font:Times, Font color: Auto, Pattern: Clear

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Deleted:** We also

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Deleted:** performance

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Deleted:** the NAQFC 12 km CMAQ model simulation during a recent strong dust event in the western US accompanied by stratospheric ozone intrusion. The

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Deleted:** well captured the temporal variability and

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Formatted:** Font color: Auto, Pattern: Clear

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Deleted:** during

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Formatted:** Font color: Black, Pattern: Clear (White)

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Formatted:** Font color: Black, Pattern: Clear (White)

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Deleted:** .

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Formatted:** Font color: Black, Pattern: Clear (White)

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Deleted:** vegetation observations

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Deleted:** the

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Deleted:** It's important but still challenging... [3]

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Formatted:** ... [4]

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Formatted:** ... [5]

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Formatted:** Font:Not Bold, Not Highlight

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Formatted:** Level 1

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Formatted:** Justified

748 SMAP early adaptor working teams, NAQFC and HYSPLIT groups at NOAA ARL. We also  
749 acknowledge the open access to the used surface and satellite observations (the sources of data  
750 were included in the main text). The views, opinions, and findings contained in this paper are  
751 those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official National Oceanic and  
752 Atmospheric Administration or U.S. Government position, policy, or decision.

753

## 754 **References**

755 Alley, W.M.: The Palmer drought severity index: Limitation and Assumptions, *J. Climate Appl.*  
756 *Meteor.*, 23,1100-1109, 1984.

757

758 Appel, K. W., Pouliot, G. A., Simon, H., Sarwar, G., Pye, H. O. T., Napelenok, S. L., Akhtar, F.,  
759 and Roselle, S. J.: Evaluation of dust and trace metal estimates from the Community Multiscale  
760 Air Quality (CMAQ) model version 5.0, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 6, 883-899, doi:10.5194/gmd-6-  
761 883-2013, 2013.

762

763 Baddock, M. C., Bullard, J. E., and Bryant, R. G.: Dust source identification using MODIS: a  
764 comparison of techniques applied to the Lake Eyre Basin, Australia, *Remote Sens. Environ.*,  
765 113, 1511–1528, 2009.

766

767 [Barrett, S. R. H., Yim, S. H. L., Gilmore, C. K., Murray, L. T., Kuhn, S. R., Tai, A. P. K.,](#)  
768 [Yantosca, R. M., Byun, D. W., Ngan, F., Li, X., Levy, J., Ashok, A., Koo, J., Wong, H. M.,](#)  
769 [Dessens, O., Balasubramanian, S., Fleming, G. G., Wollersheim, C., Malina, R., Pearlson, M. N.,](#)  
770 [Arunachalam, S., Binkowski, F. S., Leibensperger, E. M., Jacob, D. J., Hileman, J. I., and Waitz,](#)

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Formatted: Level 1

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Moved (insertion) [1]

771 [I., A.: Public health, climate and economic impacts of desulfurizing jet fuel, Environ. Sci.](#)  
772 [Technol., 46 \(8\), 4275-4282, doi: 10.1021/es203325a, 2012.](#)  
773  
774 [Bian, J., A. Gettelman, H. Chen, and L. L. Pan: Validation of satellite ozone profile retrievals](#)  
775 [using Beijing ozonesonde data, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D06305, doi:10.1029/2006JD007502,](#)  
776 [2007.](#)  
777  
778 Brahney, J., A.P. Ballantyne, C. Sievers, and J.C. Neff: Increasing Ca<sup>2+</sup> deposition in the western  
779 US: the role of mineral aerosols, *Aeol Res*, 10, 77–87, 2013.  
780  
781 Brown, M.E., Pinzon, J.E., Didan, K., Morisette, J.T. and Tucker, C.J.: Evaluation of the  
782 consistency of long-term NDVI time series derived from AVHRR, SPOT-Vegetation, SeaWiFS,  
783 MODIS and Landsat ETM+, *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 44, 1787–  
784 1793, 2006.  
785  
786 Byun, D. and K. L. Schere: Review of the Governing Equations, Computational Algorithms, and  
787 Other Components of the Models-3 Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) Modeling  
788 System, *Appl. Mech. Rev.*, 59 (2), 51-77, 2006.  
789  
790 Carslaw, K. S., Boucher, O., Spracklen, D. V., Mann, G. W., Rae, J. G. L., Woodward, S., and  
791 Kulmala, M.: A review of natural aerosol interactions and feedbacks within the Earth system,  
792 *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 1701-1737, doi:10.5194/acp-10-1701-2010, 2010.  
793

794 Chai, T., Kim, H.-C., Lee, P., Tong, D., Pan, L., Tang, Y., Huang, J., McQueen, J., Tsidulko, M.,  
795 and Stajner, I.: Evaluation of the United States National Air Quality Forecast Capability  
796 experimental real-time predictions in 2010 using Air Quality System ozone and NO<sub>2</sub>  
797 measurements, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 6, 1831-1850, doi:10.5194/gmd-6-1831-2013, 2013.

798

799 Chen, H. and V. H. Grassian: Iron Dissolution of Dust Source Materials during Simulated Acidic  
800 Processing: The Effect of Sulfuric, Acetic, and Oxalic Acids, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 47, 10312-  
801 10321, doi: 10.1021/es401285s, 2013.

802

803 Chin, M., T. Diehl, P. Ginoux, and W. Malm: Intercontinental transport of pollution and dust  
804 aerosols: implications for regional air quality, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 5501-5517, 2007.

805

806 Creamean, J. M., Suski, K. J., Rosenfeld, D., Cazorla, A., DeMott, P. J., Sullivan, R. C., White,  
807 A. B., Ralph, F. M., Minnis, P., Comstock, J. M., Tomlinson, J. M., and Prather, K. A.: Dust and  
808 biological aerosols from the Sahara and Asia influence precipitation in the western US, *Science*,  
809 339, 1572-1578, 2013.

810

811 Creamean, J. M., Ault, A. P., White, A. B., Neiman, P. J., Ralph, F. M., Minnis, P., and  
812 Prather, K. A.: Impact of interannual variations in sources of insoluble aerosol species on  
813 orographic precipitation over California's central Sierra Nevada, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 6535-  
814 6548, doi:10.5194/acp-15-6535-2015, 2015.

815

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: Environmental Science & Technology,

817 [Csavina, J., Field, J., Félix, O., Corral-Avitia, A.Y., Sáez, A. E., and Betterton, E. A.: Effect of](#)  
818 [wind speed and relative humidity on atmospheric dust concentrations in semi-arid climates,](#)  
819 [Science of The Total Environment, 487, 82-90, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.03.138, 2014.](#)

820

821 Dai, A., K. E. Trenberth, and T. Qian: A global data set of Palmer Drought Severity Index for  
822 1870-2002: Relationship with soil moisture and effects of surface warming, J.  
823 Hydrometeorology, 5, 1117-1130, 2004.

824

825 [Dentener, F. J., G. R. Carmichael, Y. Zhang, J. Lelieveld, and P. J. Crutzen: Role of mineral](#)  
826 [aerosol as a reactive surface in the global troposphere, J. Geophys. Res., 101\(D17\), 22869–](#)  
827 [22889, doi:10.1029/96JD01818, 1996.](#)

828

829 Draxler R.R., and Hess G.D.: An overview of the HYSPLIT\_4 modeling system for trajectories,  
830 dispersion, and deposition, Australian Meteorological Magazine, 47, 295-308, 1998.

831

832 Draxler, R. R., P. Ginoux, and A. F. Stein: An empirically derived emission algorithm for wind-  
833 blown dust, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D16212, doi:10.1029/2009JD013167, 2010.

834

835 Draxler, R.R. and Rolph, G.D.: HYSPLIT (HYbrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated  
836 Trajectory) Model access via NOAA ARL READY Website  
837 (<http://ready.arl.noaa.gov/HYSPLIT.php>), NOAA Air Resources Laboratory, Silver Spring, MD,  
838 [last access: June 2015.](#)

839

840 Dunlea, E. J., DeCarlo, P. F., Aiken, A. C., Kimmel, J. R., Peltier, R. E., Weber, R. J.,

841 Tomlinson, J., Collins, D. R., Shinozuka, Y., McNaughton, C. S., Howell, S. G., Clarke, A. D.,  
842 Emmons, L. K., Apel, E. C., Pfister, G. G., van Donkelaar, A., Martin, R. V., Millet, D. B.,  
843 Heald, C. L., and Jimenez, J. L.: Evolution of Asian aerosols during transpacific transport in  
844 INTEX-B, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 7257-7287, doi:10.5194/acp-9-7257-2009, 2009.

845

846 Eguchi, K., Uno, I., Yumimoto, K., Takemura, T., Shimizu, A., Sugimoto, N., and Liu, Z.:  
847 Trans-pacific dust transport: integrated analysis of NASA/CALIPSO and a global aerosol  
848 transport model, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 3137-3145, doi:10.5194/acp-9-3137-2009, 2009.

849

850 Fairlie, T. D., D. J. Jacob, and R. J. Park: The impact of transpacific transport of mineral dust in  
851 the United States, *Atmos. Environ.*, 41, 1251–1266, 2007.

852

853 Fairlie, T. D., Jacob, D. J., Dibb, J. E., Alexander, B., Avery, M. A., van Donkelaar, A., and  
854 Zhang, L.: Impact of mineral dust on nitrate, sulfate, and ozone in transpacific Asian pollution  
855 plumes, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 3999-4012, doi:10.5194/acp-10-3999-2010, 2010.

856

857 Fischer, E.V., Hsu, N.C., Jaffe, D.A., Jeong, M.-J., and Gong, J.C.: A decade of dust: Asian dust  
858 and springtime aerosol load in the U.S. Pacific Northwest, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 36, L03821, doi:  
859 | 10.1029/[2008GL03647](https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL03647), 2009.

860

861 Friedl, M. A., Sulla-Menashe, D., Tan, B., Schneider, A., Ramankutty, N., Sibley, A., and Huang,  
862 X.: MODIS Collection 5 global land cover: Algorithm refinements and characterization of new  
863 datasets, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 114, 168-182, 2010.

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: 2008GL0364

865 | [Gassó, S., V.H. Grassian, and R.L. Miller: Interactions between Mineral Dust, Climate and](#)  
866 | [Ocean Ecosystems, Elements, 6, 247-253, doi: 10.2113/gselements.6.4.247, 2010.](#)

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: -

867 |  
868 | [Ginoux, P., M. Chin, I. Tegen, J. M. Prospero, B. Holben, O. Dubovik, and S.-J. Lin: Sources](#)  
869 | [and distributions of dust aerosols simulated with the GOCART model, J. Geophys. Res., 106,](#)  
870 | [20,255–20,274, 2001.](#)

871 |  
872 | [Ginoux, P., and O. Torres: Empirical TOMS index for dust aerosol: Applications to model](#)  
873 | [validation and source characterization, J. Geophys. Res., 108 \(D17\), 4534, doi:10.1029/](#)  
874 | [2003JD003470, 2003.](#)

875 |  
876 | [Ginoux, P., J. M. Prospero, O. Torres, and M. Chin: Long-term simulation of global dust](#)  
877 | [distribution with the GOCART model: Correlation with North Atlantic Oscillation,](#)  
878 | [Environmental Modelling and Software, 19\(2\), doi:10.1016/S1364-8152\(03\)00114-2, 2004.](#)

879 |  
880 | [Ginoux, P., D. Garbuzov, and H. C. Hsu: Identification of anthropogenic and natural dust](#)  
881 | [sources using Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer \(MODIS\) Deep Blue level 2](#)  
882 | [data, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D05204, doi:10.1029/2009JD012398, 2010.](#)

883 |  
884 | [Ginoux, P., Prospero, J. M., Gill, T. E., Hsu, N. C., and Zhao, M.: Global-scale attribution of](#)  
885 | [anthropogenic and natural dust sources and their emission rates based on MODIS deep blue](#)  
886 | [aerosol products, Rev. Geophys., 50, RG3005, doi:10.1029/2012RG000388, \[2012a\]\(#\).](#)

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: 2012

887 |

890 [Ginoux, P., Prospero, J. M., Gill, T. E., Hsu, N. C., and Zhao, M.: Global-scale attribution of](#)  
891 [anthropogenic and natural dust sources and their emission rates based on MODIS deep blue](#)  
892 [aerosol products, Rev. Geophys., 50, RG3005, doi:10.1029/2012RG000388, 2012b.](#)

893

894 Goudie, A. S.: Desert dust and human health disorders, Environment International, 63, 101-113,  
895 doi:10.1016/j.envint.2013.10.011, 2013.

896

897 Grassian, V. H., Heterogeneous Uptake and Reaction of Nitrogen Oxides and Volatile Organic  
898 Compounds on the Surface of Atmospheric Particles Including Oxide, Carbonate, Soot and  
899 Mineral Dust: Implications for the Chemical Balance of the Troposphere, International Reviews  
900 of Physical Chemistry, 20, 467-548, doi: 10.1080/01442350110051968, 2001.

901

902 Hahnenberger, M. and Nicoll, K.: Meteorological characteristics of dust storm events in the  
903 eastern Great Basin of Utah, USA, Atmos. Environ., 60, 601-612,  
904 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.06.029, 2012.

905

906 Hedin, L.O., and G.E. Likens: Atmospheric Dust And Acid Rain. Scientific American, 275, 88-  
907 92, 1996.

908

909 Hsu, N. C., [M.-J. Jeong, C. Bettenhausen, A. M. Sayer, R. Hansell, C. S. Seftor, J. Huang, and](#)  
910 [S.-C. Tsay: Enhanced Deep Blue aerosol retrieval algorithm: The second generation, J. Geophys.](#)  
911 [Res. Atmos., 118, 9296-9315, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50712, 2013.](#)

912

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** S.-C. Tsay, M. King, and J

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Moved up [1]:** R.

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** Herman: Aerosol properties over bright-reflecting source regions, IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 42, 557-569, 2004

918 | [Janjic, Z. I.: A nonhydrostatic model based on a new approach, Meteorol. Atmos. Phys., 82,](#)  
919 | [271–285, doi:10.1007/s00703-001- 0587-6, 2003.](#)

920

921 | Janjic, Z., T. Black, M. Pyle, H. Chuang, E. Rogers and G. DiMego: An Evolutionary Approach  
922 | to Nonhydrostatic Modeling, Symposium on the 50th Anniversary of Operational Numerical  
923 | Weather Prediction, College Park, MD, Amer. Meteor. Soc, 2004.

924

925 | Ji, L. and A. J. Peters: Assessing vegetation response to drought in the northern Great Plains  
926 | using vegetation and drought indices, Remote Sens. Environ., 87 (1), 85-98, 2003.

927

928 | Karl, T. R. and Koss, W. J.: Regional and National Monthly, Seasonal, and Annual  
929 | Temperature Weighted by Area, 1895-1983, Historical Climatology Series 4-3, National  
930 | Climatic Data Center, Asheville, NC, 38, 1984.

931

932 | Karnieli, A., N. Agam, R.T. Pinker, M. Anderson, M.L. Imhoff, and G.G. Gutman: Use of NDVI  
933 | and land surface temperature for drought assessment: Merits and limitations, Journal of Climate,  
934 | 23, 618–633, [doi:10.1175/2009JCLI2900.1](#), 2010.

935

936 | [Kavouras, I. G., V. Etyemezian, J. Xu, D. W. DuBois, M. Green, and M. Pitchford: Assessment](#)  
937 | [of the local windblown component of dust in the western United States, J. Geophys. Res., 112,](#)  
938 | [D08211, doi:10.1029/2006JD007832, 2007.](#)

939

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Formatted: Pattern: Clear (White)

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Formatted: Right: 0.42 cm

940 Kim, D., Chin, M., Bian, H., Tan, Q., Brown, M. E., Zheng, T., You, R., Diehl, T., Ginoux, P.,  
941 and Kucsera, T.: The effect of the dynamic surface bareness to dust source function, emission,  
942 and distribution, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 118, 1–16, doi:10.1029/2012JD017907, 2013.

943

944 Kim, H., and M. Choi: Impact of soil moisture on dust outbreaks in East Asia: Using satellite and  
945 assimilation data, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 42, 2789–2796. doi: 10.1002/2015GL063325, 2015.

946

947 Kim, Y., Ou, M.-L., Ryoo, S.-B., Chun, Y., Lee, E.-H., and Hong, S.: Soil moisture retrieved  
948 from microwave satellite data and its relationship with the Asian dust (Hwangsa) frequency in  
949 East Asia during the period from 2003 to 2010, *Asia-Pacific Journal of Atmospheric Sciences*,  
950 49 (4), 527-534, 2013.

951

952 [Langford, A.O., C.J. Senff, R.J. Alvarez II, J. Brioude, O.R. Cooper, J.S. Holloway, M.Y. Lin,](#)  
953 [R.D. Marchbanks, R.B. Pierce, S.P. Sandberg, A.M. Weickmann , E.J. Williams. An overview of](#)  
954 [the 2013 Las Vegas Ozone Study \(LVOS\): Impact of stratospheric intrusions and long-range](#)  
955 [transport on surface air quality, \*Atmos. Environ\*, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.08.040, 2014.](#)

956

957 [Lee, J. A., and Gill, T. E.: Multiple causes of wind erosion in the dust bowl, \*Aeolian Research\*,](#)  
958 [19, 15-36, doi:10.1016/j.aeolia.2015.09.002, 2015.](#)

959

960 Lei, H. and Wang, J. X. L.: Observed characteristics of dust storm events over the western  
961 United States using meteorological, satellite, and air quality measurements, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*,  
962 14, 7847-7857, doi:10.5194/acp-14-7847-2014, 2014.

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Formatted: Font color: Gray-85%

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Formatted: No widow/orphan control

963 Li, X. L. and H. S. Zhang: Soil moisture effects on sand saltation and dust emission observed  
964 over Horqin Sandy Land area in China, *J. Meteorol. Res.*, 28 (3), 445–452, 2014.

965

966 [Lin, M., A. M. Fiore, O. R. Cooper, L. W. Horowitz, A. O. Langford, H. Levy II, B. J. Johnson,](#)  
967 [V. Naik, S. J. Oltmans, and C. J. Senff \(2012\), Springtime high surface ozone events over the](#)  
968 [western United States: Quantifying the role of stratospheric intrusions, \*J. Geophys. Res.\*, 117,](#)  
969 [D00V22, doi:10.1029/2012JD018151.](#)

970

971 Liu, J., D. L. Mauzerall, and L. W. Horowitz: Evaluating inter-continental transport of fine  
972 aerosols: (2) Global health impact, *Atmos. Environ.*, 43, 4339–4347, 2009a.

973

974 Liu, J., D. L. Mauzerall, L.W. Horowitz, P. Ginoux, and A. M. Fiore: Evaluating Inter-  
975 continental transport of fine aerosols: (1) Methodology, global aerosol distribution and optical  
976 depth, *Atmos. Environ.*, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.03.054, 2009b.

977

978 Liu, X., Z.-Y. Yin, X. Zhang, and X. Yang: Analyses of the spring dust storm frequency of  
979 northern China in relation to antecedent and concurrent wind, precipitation, vegetation, and soil  
980 moisture conditions, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D16210, doi:10.1029/2004JD004615, 2004.

981

982 [Mahler, A-B., K. Thome, D. Yin, W. A. Sprigg: Dust transport model validation using satellite](#)  
983 [and ground-based methods in the southwestern United States, \*Proc. SPIE 6299, Remote Sensing\*](#)  
984 [of Aerosol and Chemical Gases, Model Simulation/Assimilation, and Applications to Air](#)  
985 [Quality, 62990L, doi:10.1117/12.679868, 2006](#)

986 Mahowald, N. M., Ballantine, J. A., Feddema, J., and Ramankutty, N.: Global trends in  
987 visibility: implications for dust sources, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 3309-3339, doi:10.5194/acp-7-  
988 3309-2007, 2007.

989

990 [Malm, W. C., B. A. Schichtel, M. L. Pitchford, L. L. Ashbaugh, and R. A. Eldred: Spatial and](#)  
991 [monthly trends in speciated fine particle concentration in the United States, \*J. Geophys. Res.\*,](#)  
992 [109, D03306, doi:10.1029/2003JD003739, 2004.](#)

993

994 Mesingera, F., DiMego, G., Kalnay, E., Mitchell, K., Shafran, P. C., Ebisuzaki, W., Jovic, D.,  
995 Woollen, J., Rogers, E., Berbery, E. H., Ek, M. B., Fan, Y., Grumbine, R., Higgins, W., Li, H.,  
996 Lin, Y., Manikin, G., Parrish, D., and Shi, W.: North American Regional Reanalysis, *B. Am.*  
997 *Meteor. Soc.*, 87(3), 343–360, doi:10.1175/BAMS-87-3-343, 2006.

998

999 [McQueen, J., Huang, J., Shafran, P., Rogers, E., Pondeva, M., DiMego, G., and I. Stajner,](#)  
1000 [Evaluation of NCEP Atmospheric Models for Driving Air Quality Prediction, 27<sup>th</sup> Conference on](#)  
1001 [Weather Analysis and Forecasting, Chicago, IL, available at:](#)  
1002 <https://ams.confex.com/ams/27WAF23NWP/webprogram/Paper273598.html>, 2015.

1003

1004 [McQueen, J., Lee, P., Huang, J., Huang, H.-C., Shafran, P., Rogers, E., Pondeva, M., DiMego,](#)  
1005 [G., and I. Stajner, NWS NWP models and their Potential Impact for Air Quality Prediction, 7<sup>th</sup>](#)  
1006 [International workshop on air quality research, College Park, MD, available at:](#)  
1007 [http://www.arl.noaa.gov/documents/IWAQFR/Presentations2015/S4\\_McQueen\\_IWAQFR\\_2015](http://www.arl.noaa.gov/documents/IWAQFR/Presentations2015/S4_McQueen_IWAQFR_2015)  
1008 [.pdf](#), 2015.

1009 Miller, R.L., J.P. Perlwitz, and I. Tegen: Feedback upon dust emission by dust radiative forcing  
1010 through the planetary boundary layer, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D24209,  
1011 doi:10.1029/2004JD004912, 2004a.

1012

1013 Miller, R.L., I. Tegen, and J.P. Perlwitz: Surface radiative forcing by soil dust aerosols and the  
1014 hydrologic cycle. J. Geophys. Res., 109, D04203, doi:10.1029/2003JD004085, 2004b.

1015

1016 Morain, S. A., Budge, A. M., and Sprigg, W. A.: Modeling atmospheric dust for respiratory  
1017 health alerts, Atlanta, GA, available at:  
1018 [https://ams.confex.com/ams/90annual/techprogram/paper\\_165772.htm](https://ams.confex.com/ams/90annual/techprogram/paper_165772.htm), 2010.

1019

1020 Nordstrom, K. F. and Hotta, S.: Wind erosion from cropland in the USA:A review of problems,  
1021 solutions and prospects, Geoderma, 12(3-4), 157-167, doi:  
1022 [doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2003.11.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2003.11.012), 2004.

1023

1024 Painter, T. H., A. P. Barrett, C. C. Landry, J. C. Neff, M. P. Cassidy, C. R. Lawrence, K. E.  
1025 McBride, and G. L. Farmer: Impact of disturbed desert soils on duration of mountain snow  
1026 cover, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L12502, doi:10.1029/2007GL030284, 2007.

1027

1028 Palmer, W. C: Meteorological Drought. Res. Paper No. 45, 58pp., Dept. of Commerce,  
1029 Washington, D.C., 1965.

1030

1031 Pan, L and Randel, B.: Dynamical Variability of Ozone near the Tropopause from AIRS Data,

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Formatted: Font color: Black, Pattern: Clear (White)

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Formatted: Font Alignment: Auto, Pattern: Clear

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 cm, First line: 0 cm, Right: -0.01 cm, Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.63 cm + Indent at: 1.27 cm, No widow/orphan control, Font Alignment: Auto, Pattern: Clear, Tabs: 0 cm, Left + 0.39 cm, Left

1032 [AIRS science team meeting, Pasadena, CA, available at:](#)  
1033 [http://airs.jpl.nasa.gov/documents/science\\_team\\_meeting\\_archive/2006\\_09/slides/Pan\\_AIRS06F](http://airs.jpl.nasa.gov/documents/science_team_meeting_archive/2006_09/slides/Pan_AIRS06F)  
1034 [allf.pdf, 2006.](#)

1035  
1036 [Pan, L. L., K. P. Bowman, M. Shapiro, W. J. Randel, R. S. Gao, T. Campos, C. Davis, S.](#)  
1037 [Schauffler, B. A. Ridley, J. C. Wei, and C. Barnet: Chemical behavior of the tropopause](#)  
1038 [observed during the Stratosphere-Troposphere Analyses of Regional Transport experiment, J.](#)  
1039 [Geophys. Res., 112, D18110, doi:10.1029/2007JD008645, 2007.](#)

1040  
1041 Pan, L., D.Q. Tong, P. Lee, H. Kim, and T. Chai: Assessment of NO<sub>x</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> forecasting  
1042 performances in the U.S. National Air Quality Forecasting Capability before and after the 2012  
1043 major emissions updates, Atmos. Environ., doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.06.020, 2014.

1044  
1045 Panikkath, R., Jumper, C.A., and Mulkey, Z.: Multilobar lung infiltrates after exposure to dust  
1046 storm: the Haboob Lung Syndrome, Am J. Med., 126 (2), 5–7, 2013.

1047  
1048 Pierce, R. B., [Schaack, T., Al-Saadi, J. A., Fairlie, T. D., Kittaka, C., Lingenfelter, G., Natarajan,](#)  
1049 [M., Olson, J., Soja, A., Zapotocny, T., Lenzen, A., Stobie, J., Johnson, D., Avery, M. A., Sachse,](#)  
1050 [G. W., Thompson, A., Cohen, R., Dibb, J. E., Crawford, J., Rault, D., Martin, R., Szykman, J.,](#)  
1051 [and Fishman, J.:](#) Chemical data assimilation estimates of continental US ozone and nitrogen  
1052 budgets during the Intercontinental Chemical Transport Experiment–North America, J. Geophys.  
1053 Res., 112, D12S21, doi:10.1029/2006JD007722, 2007.

1054

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Formatted:** No widow/orphan control  
Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Deleted:** et al

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
**Deleted:** U.S.

1057 Prospero, J. M., P. Ginoux, O. Torres, S. E. Nicholson, and T. E. Gill: Environmental  
1058 characterization of global sources of atmospheric soil dust identified with the Nimbus 7 Total  
1059 Ozone Mapping Spectrometer (TOMS) absorbing aerosol product, *Rev. Geophys.*, 40(1), 1002,  
1060 doi:10.1029/2000RG000095, 2002.

1061

1062 [Raman, A., and Arellano Jr., F. A.: Modeling and Data Analysis of 2011 Phoenix Dust Storm,](#)  
1063 [93rd AMS annual meeting, Austin, TX, available at:](#)  
1064 <https://ams.confex.com/ams/93Annual/webprogram/Paper214743.html>, 2013.

1065

1066 [Ravi, S., D'Odorico, P., Breshears, D. D., Field, J. P., Goudie, A. S., Huxman, T. E., Li, J., Okin,](#)  
1067 [G. S., Swap, R. J., Thomas, A. D., Van Pelt, S., Whicker, J. J., and Zobeck, T. M.: Aeolian](#)  
1068 [processes and the biosphere, \*Rev. Geophys.\*, 49, RG3001, doi:10.1029/2010RG000328, 2011.](#)

1069

1070 [Reddy, P. and Pierce, B: Current State of Practice in Identifying and Forecasting Stratospheric](#)  
1071 [Intrusion Events, Air Quality Applied Sciences Team 3rd Meeting, Madison, WI, available at:](#)  
1072 [http://acmg.seas.harvard.edu/aqast/meetings/2012\\_jun/AM\\_20120614/1000\\_AQAST%20June%](http://acmg.seas.harvard.edu/aqast/meetings/2012_jun/AM_20120614/1000_AQAST%20June%202012%20Reddy.pdf)  
1073 [202012%20Reddy.pdf](#), 2012.

1074

1075 [Reynolds, R., Belnap, J., Reheis, M., Lamothe, P., and Luiszer, F.: Aeolian dust in Colorado](#)  
1076 [Plateau soils: Nutrient inputs and recent change in source, \*PNAS\*, 98\(13\), 7123-7127,](#)  
1077 [doi:10.1073/pnas.121094298, 2001.](#)

1078

1079 [Reynolds, R., Neff, J., Reheis, M. and Lamothe, P.: Atmospheric dust in modern soil on aeolian](#)  
1080 [sandstone, Colorado Plateau \(USA\): Variation with landscape position and contribution to](#)  
1081 [potential plant nutrients, Geoderma, 130, 108-123, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2005.01.012, 2006.](#)  
1082  
1083 [Sayer, A. M., N. C. Hsu, C. Bettenhausen, and M.-J. Jeong: Validation and uncertainty estimates](#)  
1084 [for MODIS Collection 6 “Deep Blue” aerosol data, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118, 7864–7872,](#)  
1085 [doi:10.1002/jgrd.50600, 2013.](#)  
1086  
1087 Schepanski, K., I. Tegen, and A. Macke: Comparison of satellite based observations of Saharan  
1088 dust source areas, Remote Sens. Environ., 123, 90–97, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2012.03.019, 2012.  
1089  
1090 Shao, Y., M. Klose, and K.-H. Wyrwoll: Recent global dust trend and connections to climate  
1091 forcing, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118, 11,107–11,118, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50836, 2013.  
1092  
1093 Shi, Y., Zhang, J., Reid, J. S., Hyer, E. J., and Hsu, N. C.: Critical evaluation of the MODIS  
1094 Deep Blue aerosol optical depth product for data assimilation over North Africa, Atmos. Meas.  
1095 Tech., 6, 949-969, doi:10.5194/amt-6-949-2013, 2013.  
1096  
1097 [Sprigg, W. A., Nickovic, S., Galgiani, J. N., Pejanovic, G., Petkovic, S., Vujadinovic, M.,](#)  
1098 [Vukovic, A., Dacic, M., DiBiase, S., Prasad, A., El-Askary, H.: Regional dust storm modeling](#)  
1099 [for health services: The case of valley fever, Aeolian Research, 14, 53-73,](#)  
1100 [doi:10.1016/j.aeolia.2014.03.001, 2014.](#)  
1101

1102 Stein, A., R. Draxler, G. Rolph, B. Stunder, M. Cohen, and F. Ngan: NOAA's HYSPLIT  
1103 atmospheric transport and dispersion modeling system, Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.,  
1104 doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00110.1, in press, 2015.

1105

1106 Stith, J. L., [Ramanathan, V., Cooper, W. A., Roberts, G. C., DeMott, P. J., Carmichael, G.,](#)  
1107 [Hatch, C. D., Adhikary, B., Twohy, C. H., Rogers, D. C., Baumgardner, D., Prenni, A. J.,](#)  
1108 [Campos, T., Gao, R., Anderson, J., and Feng, Y.](#): An overview of aircraft observations from the  
1109 Pacific Dust Experiment campaign, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D05207, doi:10.1029/2008JD010924,  
1110 2009.

1111

1112 Tanaka, T.Y. and M. Chiba: A numerical study of the contributions of dust source regions to the  
1113 global dust budget, Global Planet Change, 52, 88–104, 2006.

1114

1115 Tong, D. Q., Dan, M., Wang, T., and Lee, P.: Long-term dust climatology in the western United  
1116 States reconstructed from routine aerosol ground monitoring, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 5189–  
1117 5205, doi:10.5194/acp-12-5189-2012, 2012.

1118

1119 Tong, [D. Q., Bowker, G. E., He, S., Byun, D. W., Mathur, R., and Gillette, D. A.](#): Development  
1120 of a windblown dust emission model FENGSHA: [description](#) and initial application in the  
1121 United States, in [review](#), 2015.

1122

1123 Tucker, C.J., and B.J. Choudhury: Satellite remote sensing of drought conditions, Remote Sens.  
1124 Environ., 23243-251, 1987.

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** et al

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** et al

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** Description

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** revision

1129 Underwood, G. M., C. H. Song, M. Phadnis, G. R. Carmichael, and V. H. Grassian:  
1130 Heterogeneous reactions of NO<sub>2</sub> and HNO<sub>3</sub> on oxides and mineral dust: A combined laboratory  
1131 and modeling study, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106(D16), 18055–18066, doi:10.1029/2000JD900552,  
1132 2001.

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: -

1134 Uno, I., [Eguchi, K., Yumimoto, K., Takemura, T., Shimizu, A., Uematsu, M., Liu, Z., Wang, Z.,](#)  
1135 [Hara, Y., and Sugimoto, N.](#): Asian dust transported one full circuit around the globe, *Nat.*  
1136 *Geosci.*, 2, 557–560, doi:10.1038/ngeo583, 2009.

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Formatted: Widow/Orphan control

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: et al

1137  
1138 Van Curen, R. A., and T. A. Cahill: Asian aerosols in North America: Frequency and  
1139 concentration of fine dust, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, 4804 (D24), doi:10.1029/2002JD002204,  
1140 2002.

1142 [Vukovic, A., Vujadinovic, M., Pejanovic, G., Andric, J., Kumjian, M. R., Djurdjevic, V., Dacic,](#)  
1143 [M., Prasad, A. K., El-Askary, H. M., Paris, B. C., Petkovic, S., Nickovic, S., and Sprigg, W. A.:](#)  
1144 [Numerical simulation of "an American haboob", \*Atmos. Chem. Phys.\*, 14, 3211-3230,](#)  
1145 [doi:10.5194/acp-14-3211-2014, 2014.](#)

1147 [Wan, Z., P. Wang, X. Li:](#) Using MODIS land surface temperature and normalized difference  
1148 vegetation index products for monitoring drought in the Southern Great Plains, USA,  
1149 *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 25 (1), 61–72, 2004.

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Moved down [2]: Yu, H., M. Chin, T. Yuan, H. Bian, L. A. Remer, J. M. Prospero, A. Omar, D. Winker, Y. Yang, Y. Zhang, Z. Zhang, and C.

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: Zhao,

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Moved down [3]: The fertilizing role of African dust in the Amazon rainforest: A first multiyear assessment based on data from Cloud-Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observations, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 42, doi:10.1002/2015GL063040, 2015. .

1151 Wang, D., Morton, D., Masek, J., Wu, A., Nagol, J., Xiong, X., Levy, R., Vermote, E., and

1165 Wolfe, R.: Impact of sensor degradation on the MODIS NDVI time series, Remote Sens.  
1166 Environ., 119, 55–61, 2012.

1167

1168 [Warner, J. X., M. McCourt Comer, C. D. Barnet, W. W. McMillan, W. Wolf, E. Maddy, and G.](#)  
1169 [Sachse: A comparison of satellite tropospheric carbon monoxide measurements from AIRS and](#)  
1170 [MOPITT during INTEX-A, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D12S17, doi:10.1029/2006JD007925, 2007.](#)

1171

1172 [Yasunari, T. J., T. Shiraiwa, S. Kanamori, Y. Fujii, M. Igarashi, K. Yamazaki, C. S. Benson, and](#)  
1173 [T. Hondoh: Intraannual variations in atmospheric dust and tritium in the North Pacific region](#)  
1174 [detected from an ice core from Mount Wrangell, Alaska, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D10208,](#)  
1175 [doi:10.1029/2006JD008121, 2007.](#)

1176

1177 [Yasunari, T. J. and Yamazaki, K.: Impacts of Asian dust storm associated with the stratosphere-](#)  
1178 [to-troposphere transport in the spring of 2001 and 2002 on dust and tritium variations in Mount](#)  
1179 [Wrangell ice core, Alaska, Atmospheric Environment, 43, 2582-2590, doi:](#)  
1180 [10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.02.025, 2009.](#)

1181

1182 [Yin, D., Nickovic, S., and Sprigg, W. A.: The impact of using different land cover data on wind-](#)  
1183 [blown desert dust modeling results in the southwestern United States, Atmospheric Environment,](#)  
1184 [41, 2214-2224, doi: doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.10.061, 2007.](#)

1185

1186 [Yu, H., M. Chin, T. Yuan, H. Bian, L. A. Remer, J. M. Prospero, A. Omar, D. Winker, Y. Yang,](#)  
1187 [Y. Zhang, Z. Zhang, and C. Zhao: The fertilizing role of African dust in the Amazon rainforest:](#)

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Moved (insertion) [2]

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Moved (insertion) [3]

1188 | [A first multiyear assessment based on data from Cloud-Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder](#)  
1189 | [Satellite Observations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, doi:10.1002/2015GL063040, 2015.](#)  
1190 |  
1191 | Zender, C. S., D. Newman, and O. Torres: Spatial heterogeneity in aeolian erodibility: Uniform,  
1192 | topographic, geomorphic, and hydrologic hypotheses, J. Geophys. Res., 108 (D17), 4543,  
1193 | doi:10.1029/2002JD003039, 2003.  
1194 |  
1195 | Zender, C. S., R. L. L. Miller, and I. Tegen: Quantifying mineral dust mass budgets:  
1196 | Terminology, constraints, and current estimates, Eos Trans. AGU, 85(48), 509–512,  
1197 | doi:10.1029/2004EO480002, 2004.  
1198 |  
1199 | Zhao, C., Liu, X., and Leung, L. R.: Impact of the desert dust on the summer monsoon system  
1200 | over southwestern North America, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 3717–3731, doi:10.5194/acp-12-  
1201 | 3717-2012, 2012.  
1202 |  
1203 | Zhu, C., B. Wang, and W. Qian: Why do dust storms decrease in northern China concurrently  
1204 | with the recent global warming?, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L18702, doi:10.1029/2008GL034886,  
1205 | 2008.  
1206 | ▾

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Deleted: -

1207 Tables

1208 **Table 1. Data used in this study<sup>a</sup>**

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
 Formatted: Level 1, Line spacing: double  
 Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
 Deleted: .

<u>Data type</u>	<u>Sensor or Network</u>	<u>Variable</u>	<u>Temporal resolution</u>	<u>Location this study focuses</u>	<u>Data source and reference</u>
<u>Surface conditions/drought indicators (Section 2.1-2.2)</u>	<u>Aqua MODIS</u>	<u>satellite NDVI</u>	<u>monthly</u>	<u>AZ</u>	<u><a href="https://lpdaac.usgs.gov/dataset_discovery/modis/modis_products_table/myd13a3">https://lpdaac.usgs.gov/dataset_discovery/modis/modis_products_table/myd13a3</a></u>
	<u>ESA/CCI</u>	<u>satellite soil moisture</u>	<u>daily</u>	<u>AZ</u>	<u><a href="http://www.esa-soilmoisture-cci.org/">http://www.esa-soilmoisture-cci.org/</a></u>
	<u>PDSI</u>	<u>drought index</u>	<u>monthly</u>	<u>Southwestern AZ</u>	<u><a href="http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/temp-and-precip/drought/historical-palmers.php">http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/temp-and-precip/drought/historical-palmers.php</a></u>
	<u>Terra &amp; Aqua MODIS</u>	<u>satellite land cover type</u>	<u>yearly</u>	<u>Western US</u>	<u><a href="https://lpdaac.usgs.gov/dataset_discovery/modis/modis_products_table/mcd12q1">https://lpdaac.usgs.gov/dataset_discovery/modis/modis_products_table/mcd12q1</a></u>
<u>Aerosol observations (Section 2.3)</u>	<u>Terra &amp; Aqua MODIS</u>	<u>satellite AOD (deep blue algorithm)</u>	<u>by swath, ~twice/day in the late morning and early afternoon</u>	<u>AZ</u>	<u><a href="http://ladsweb.nascom.nasa.gov/data/">http://ladsweb.nascom.nasa.gov/data/</a></u>
	<u>IMPROVE</u>	<u>in-situ PM</u>	<u>24h average, every three days</u>	<u>Phoenix, AZ</u>	<u><a href="http://views.cira.colostate.edu/fed/DataWizard/Default.aspx">http://views.cira.colostate.edu/fed/DataWizard/Default.aspx</a></u>
	<u>AQS &amp; AirNow</u>	<u>in-situ PM</u>	<u>hourly</u>	<u>Phoenix, AZ</u>	<u><a href="http://www.epa.gov/ttn/airs/airsaqs/detaildata/downloadaqsdta.htm">http://www.epa.gov/ttn/airs/airsaqs/detaildata/downloadaqsdta.htm</a>; <a href="http://www.epa.gov/airnow/2013">www.epa.gov/airnow/2013</a></u>
	<u>NOAA HMS</u>	<u>satellite dust and smoke detection</u>	<u>several times/day</u>	<u>Western US</u>	<u><a href="http://www.ssd.noaa.gov/PS/FIRE/smoke.html">http://www.ssd.noaa.gov/PS/FIRE/smoke.html</a></u>
<u>Meteorological observations (Section 2.4)</u>	<u>Aqua AIRS</u>	<u>satellite daytime dust score</u>	<u>daily</u>	<u>Western US</u>	<u><a href="https://earthdata.nasa.gov/labs/worldview/">https://earthdata.nasa.gov/labs/worldview/</a></u>
	<u>AZMET</u>	<u>in-situ wind</u>	<u>hourly</u>	<u>Phoenix, AZ</u>	<u><a href="http://ag.arizona.edu/azmet/index.html">http://ag.arizona.edu/azmet/index.html</a></u>

<u>Models</u> (Section 2.5-2.6)	<u>HYSPLIT w/ NARR meteorology</u>	<u>trajectory endpoints</u>	<u>hourly</u>	<u>Western US</u>	<u><a href="http://ready.arl.noaa.gov/HYSPLIT.php">http://ready.arl.noaa.gov/HYSPLIT.php</a></u>
	<u>NAM (12 km)</u>	<u>meteorology</u>	<u>hourly (for NAQFC)</u>	<u>Western US</u>	<u><a href="http://www.emc.ncep.noaa.gov/mmb/mmbpll/opsnam/">http://www.emc.ncep.noaa.gov/mmb/mmbpll/opsnam/</a></u>
	<u>FENGSHA</u>	<u>dust emissions</u>	<u>hourly</u>	<u>Western US</u>	<u>Tong et al., 2015</u>
	<u>GEOS-Chem (4°×5°)</u>	<u>various species</u>	<u>monthly (2006)</u>	<u>Global</u>	<u><a href="http://www.geos-chem.org/">http://www.geos-chem.org/</a>; <a href="http://acmg.seas.harvard.edu/geos/geos_chem_narrative.html">http://acmg.seas.harvard.edu/geos/geos_chem_narrative.html</a>; Barrett et al., 2012</u>
	<u>NAQFC CMAQ (12 km)</u>	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>hourly</u>	<u>Western US</u>	<u>Chai et al., 2013; Pan et al., 2014</u>
	<u>RAQMS (1°)</u>	<u>daytime ozone, relative humidity</u>	<u>6 hourly</u>	<u>Western US</u>	<u><a href="http://raqms-ops.ssec.wisc.edu/">http://raqms-ops.ssec.wisc.edu/</a></u>
<u>Trace gas observations (Section 2.3, 2.7)</u>	<u>AQS</u>	<u>in-situ NO<sub>x</sub> and CO</u>	<u>hourly</u>	<u>Phoenix, AZ</u>	<u><a href="http://www.epa.gov/ttn/airs/airsaqs/detaildata/downloadaqsdata.htm">http://www.epa.gov/ttn/airs/airsaqs/detaildata/downloadaqsdata.htm</a></u>
	<u>Aqua AIRS</u>	<u>daytime ozone and CO profiles</u>	<u>daily</u>	<u>Western US</u>	<u><a href="http://disc.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/">http://disc.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/</a></u>

1210

<sup>a</sup>Acronyms in alphabetical order:AIRS: Atmospheric Infrared SounderAOD: Aerosol Optical DepthAQS: Air Quality SystemAZ: ArizonaAZMET: Arizona Meteorological NetworkCMAQ: Community Multi-scale Air QualityCO: carbon monoxideESA/CCI: European Satellite Agency/Climate Change InitiativeHMS: Hazard Mapping System

HYSPLIT: Hybrid Single Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory  
IMPROVE: Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments  
MODIS: Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer  
NAM: North American Mesoscale Forecast System  
NARR: North America Regional Reanalysis  
NAQFC: National Air Quality Forecasting Capability  
NDVI: Normalized Difference Vegetation Index  
NOAA: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration  
NO<sub>x</sub>: oxides of nitrogen  
PDSI: Palmer Drought Severity Index  
PM: Particulate matter  
RAQMS: Realtime Air Quality Modeling System

950 | **Table 2. Evaluation of NAQFC CMAQ PM2.5 prediction during a recent dust storm**  
951 **event on May 11, 2014**

County in Arizona	Site Type	# of sites	Observed PM2.5 <sup>a</sup>	Modeled PM2.5 <sup>a</sup>	Correlation coefficient (observed vs. modeled)
Maricopa	AirNow	8	23.7±37.6	9.6±16.2	0.7
	IMPROVE	2	33.7	9.5	/
Pima	AirNow	5	16.7±12.6	10.9±15.8	0.9
	IMPROVE	2	16.3	13.8	/

952 <sup>a</sup>unit in  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ; mean  $\pm$  standard deviation during this 24 h period shown for the AirNow results

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

Formatted: Line spacing: double

953 **List of Figure Captions**

954 **Figure 1.** Inter-annual variability of drought indicators in dust seasons: (a) MODIS NDVI on  
955  $0.1^\circ \times 0.1^\circ$  horizontal resolution and (b) ESA multi-sensor soil moisture (SM) product on  
956  $0.25^\circ \times 0.25^\circ$  resolution are shown on ~~selected moderate-to-severe~~ dry and wet years. ~~The text in~~  
957 ~~the upper left corner of each panel indicates the year of data.~~ (c) Time series of PDSI and the  
958 anomalies (i.e., the annual mean value over the multi-year mean value) of satellite SM, NDVI  
959 and Aqua MODIS DOD. The anomalies of satellite data were calculated using data within the  
960 box defined in (a). The inner panel in (c) shows the NOAA climate divisions, and PDSI values in  
961 the South West (region 5) and South Central (region 6) regions were used in the time series plot.

962  
963 **Figure 2a.** DOD maps (in  $0.1^\circ \times 0.1^\circ$  horizontal resolution) in dust seasons from Terra MODIS  
964 during 2005-2013. ~~Data are plotted only for the grids that DOD data are~~ available ~~on 5% of the~~  
965 ~~total number of days in each year (defined as “areas of dust impact”).~~ The purple star in the  
966 upper left panel ~~of (a)~~ indicates the location of Phoenix.

967  
968 **Figure 2b.** Same as Figure 2a, but for Aqua MODIS.

969  
970 **Figure 3.** MODIS-derived dust sources over the western US (from the MODIS tile grid  
971 horizontal 8/vertical 5, defined in Figure S1) and in the southwestern US (lower, defined as the  
972 region within the box in Figure 1a), during dust seasons in 2005-2013. The absolute source areas  
973 for three types of land cover are shown in the left column and the contributions (%) from  
974 individual land cover types to the total source areas are shown in the right column.

975

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Formatted: Level 1, Line spacing: double

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: -

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Formatted: Line spacing: double

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Formatted: Font color: Text 1

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Formatted: Font color: Text 1

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted:

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted:

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Formatted: Font color: Text 1

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Formatted: Font color: Text 1

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Formatted: Font color: Text 1

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Formatted: Font color: Text 1

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: 2. MODIS

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: The deep blue aerosol product is

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: during 2005-2007 for Terra MODIS, and during 2005-2013 for Aqua MODIS.

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: Figure 3.

984 **Figure 4.** Time series of surface PM data at AQS and IMPROVE sites in Phoenix. These  
985 observations are shown in their original temporal resolution in (a), and their anomalies in each  
986 year's dust season are shown in (b), along with the Aqua MODIS DOD anomalies, (i.e., the  
987 annual mean value over the multi-year mean value).

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Formatted: Line spacing: double

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted:

988  
989 **Figure 5.** (a) Frequency of identified dust storms in Phoenix in 2007 as a function of the time of  
990 occurrence. Hourly mean wind speed (~half of the hourly maximum, with correlation coefficient  
991 of ~0.93) during these dust storms is shown in red dot, and the inner panel shows the frequencies  
992 of PM10 within various concentration intervals by wind direction during these dust storms. (b)  
993 Hourly HYSPLIT endpoints colored by four time intervals, overlaid on a 500 m MODIS land  
994 cover type image. The MODIS land cover types mentioned in the text and their corresponding  
995 numbers are: Barren or sparsely vegetated: 16; Urban and built-up: 13; open shrublands: 7;  
996 Cropland: 12; Cropland/native vegetation: 14  
997 (Source: [https://lpdaac.usgs.gov/dataset\\_discovery/modis/modis\\_products\\_table/mcd12q1](https://lpdaac.usgs.gov/dataset_discovery/modis/modis_products_table/mcd12q1)).

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: 4

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted:

998  
999 **Figure 6.** (a) NAQFC 12 km CMAQ modeled 24 h mean surface PM2.5 on May 11, 2014, with  
1000 the AirNow (circles) and IMPROVE (triangles) observations overlaid. (b) CMAQ modeled dust  
1001 contributions (%) to the total PM2.5 on this day. Locations of AirNow (circles) and IMPROVE  
1002 (triangles) are shown. Observed (black) and modeled (red) surface PM2.5 in (c) Maricopa and (d)  
1003 Pima counties on this day, at AQS (solid lines) and IMPROVE (dash lines) sites.

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: 5

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: 24h

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: (c)

1004  
1005 **Figure 7.** (a) CMAQ modeled dust contributions to PM2.5 and (b) RAQMS modeled surface  
1006 ozone at 11 Mountain Standard Time on May 11, 2014. The purple contour lines in (b) indicate

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: d

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM  
Deleted: d

1015 | RAQMS relative humidity (%) at the upper troposphere (~300 hPa). The AIRS (c) dust score and  
1016 | (d) daytime (early afternoon overpassing time) ozone concentrations at 300 hPa. Following the  
1017 | criteria at: <http://disc.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/nrt/data-holdings/airs-nrt-products>, the dust score values  
1018 | below 360 were rejected.

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** Observed (black)

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** modeled (red) surface PM2.5 in (e) Maricopa and (f) Pima counties on this day,

Min Huang 10/28/15 5:48 PM

**Deleted:** AQS (solid lines) and IMPROVE (dash lines) sites. -