- 1 Evaluation of VIIRS, GOCI, and MODIS Collection 6
- 2 AOD retrievals against ground sunphotometer

3 observations over East Asia

- 4
- 5 Q. Xiao¹, H. Zhang², M. Choi³, S. Li^{1, 4}, S. Kondragunta⁵, J. Kim³, B.
- 6 Holben⁶, R. C. Levy⁶, Y. Liu¹
- 7 [1] {Emory University, Rollins School of Public Health, Atlanta, GA, USA}
- 8 [2] {I.M. Systems Group, Inc., College Park, MD, USA}
- 9 [3] {Yonsei University, Seoul, South Korea}
- 10 [4] {State Key Laboratory of Remote Sensing Science, Beijing, China}
- 11 [5] {National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Greenbelt, MD, USA}
- 12 [6] {NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA}
- 13 Correspondence to: Y. Liu (<u>yang.liu@emory.edu</u>)

1 Abstract

2 Persistent high aerosol loadings together with extremely high population densities have 3 raised serious air quality and public health concerns in many urban centers in East Asia. 4 However, ground-based air quality monitoring is relatively limited in this area. Recently, 5 satellite-retrieved Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD) at high resolution has become a 6 powerful tool to characterize aerosol patterns in space and time. Using ground AOD 7 observations from the Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) and the Distributed 8 Regional Aerosol Gridded Observation Networks (DRAGON)-Asia Campaign, as well 9 as from handheld sunphotometers, we evaluated emerging aerosol products from the 10 Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite (VIIRS) aboard the Suomi National Polar-11 orbiting Partnership (S-NPP), the Geostationary Ocean Color Imager (GOCI) aboard 12 the Communication, Ocean, and Meteorology Satellite (COMS), and Terra and Aqua 13 Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) (Collection 6) in East Asia in 2012 and 2013. In the case study in Beijing, when compared with AOD observations 14 15 from handheld sunphotometers, 51% of VIIRS Environmental Data Record (EDR) 16 AOD, 37% of GOCI AOD, 33% of VIIRS Intermediate Product (IP) AOD, 26% of Terra MODIS C6 3 km AOD, and 16% of Aqua MODIS C6 3 km AOD fell within the 17 18 reference expected error (EE) envelop (±0.05±0.15AOD). Comparing against 19 AERONET AOD over the the Japan-South Korea region, 64% of EDR, 37% of IP, 61% 20 of GOCI, 39% of Terra MODIS and 56% of Aqua MODIS C6 3 km AOD fell within 21 the EE. In general, satellite aerosol products performed better in tracking the day-to-22 day variability than tracking the spatial variability at high resolutions. The VIIRS EDR 23 and GOCI products provided the most accurate AOD retrievals, while VIIRS IP and 24 MODIS C6 3 km products had positive biases.

1 **1. Introduction**

Rapid economic growth and increasing fossil fuel usage have led to increasing air 2 3 pollutant emission in East Asia. From 1980-2003, the emissions of black carbon, organic carbon, SO₂, and NOx increased by 28%, 30%, 119%, and 176%, respectively 4 5 (Ohara et al., 2007). The continuous air quality degradation together with high 6 population density have raised serious public health concerns in this region. Among 7 commonly monitored air pollutants, particulate matter (PM), especially fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}, airborne particles with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to 2.5 8 9 µm), is noted for its adverse health impacts, such as increased cardiovascular and respiratory morbidity and mortality (Holben et al., 1998; Li et al., 2005). The severe 10 11 PM pollution in East Asia has attracted worldwide attention and ground PM monitoring 12 networks have been developed in some East Asian countries like China, Japan and 13 South Korea. For instance, in South Korea, PM₁₀ together with other important air 14 pollutants have been measured by a dense ground-based network, called 'Air Korea', 15 by the Ministry of Environment (http://eng.airkorea.or.kr). However, ground-based 16 monitoring networks have two main limitations: uneven distribution and limited 17 coverage. For example, the majority of air quality monitoring stations in China are 18 located in large cities and the monitoring network only covers about 360 out of the 19 approximately 2,860 municipalities. These two limitations of ground PM 20 measurements result in insufficient information to conduct studies about PM sources, 21 distribution, and consequent health impacts in East Asia, which can negatively impact 22 policymaking.

23 The extensive spatial coverage and growing time series of satellite retrievals allow 24 researchers to better characterize aerosol patterns spatially and temporally. The most 25 widely used satellite aerosol sensor, the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS), has 36 spectral bands, acquiring data in wavelength from 26 27 0.41 µm to 15 µm and providing information about atmospheric aerosol properties 28 (Anderson et al., 2003). Two identical MODIS instruments are aboard the National 29 Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Terra and Aqua satellites, which fly

1 over the study area at around 10:30 and 13:30 LT, respectively. Several algorithms have 2 been developed to retrieve aerosol optical depth (AOD) from MODIS data over land, 3 such as the Dark-Target (Levy et al., 2013) algorithm and the Deep-Blue (Hsu et al., 4 2013) algorithm, providing AOD retrievals at 550 nm with global coverage. The widely 5 used 10 km resolution MODIS aerosol products provides valuable information on 6 aerosol distribution in space and time, and has been widely used to characterize aerosol 7 dynamics and distribution, simulate climate change, and assess population PM 8 exposure (Levy et al., 2013; Levy et al., 2010). However, the 10 km product cannot 9 depict small-scale PM2.5 heterogeneity. Though Aa previous study (Anderson et al., 10 2003) indicated that the aerosol loading is homogeneous at horizontal scales within 200 km. However, that study is conducted over the ocean, which provides a homogeneous 11 12 surface, leading to reduced aerosol spatial variability. The variability of aerosol loading 13 at local scales in urban areas with complex land surface and meteorological conditions 14 are expected to be greater (Li et al., 2005). Accurately characterizing local-scale PM2.5 15 heterogeneity is critical for assessing population PM exposure, detecting air pollution 16 sources, and monitoring air quality. To resolve small-scale aerosol features, satellite 17 aerosol products with higher resolutions and acceptable accuracy are urgently needed.

18 In response to the requirement of aerosol retrievals with higher spatial resolution, 19 several emerging satellite aerosol products have become available recently. The Visible 20 Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite (VIIRS), is a multi-disciplinary scanning 21 radiometer with 22 spectral bands covering from 0.412–12.05 µm and is designed as 22 a new generation of operational satellite sensors that are able to provide aerosol 23 products with similar quality to MODIS (Jackson et al., 2013). VIIRS is on board the 24 NASA-NOAA Suomi National Polar-orbiting Partnership (S-NPP) that launched in 25 October 2011, and passes over the study area daily at approximately 13:30 LT. The 26 VIIRS aerosol product reached provisional maturity level in January 2013, which 27 means the "product quality may not be optimal" but it is "ready for operational 28 evaluation" (Liu et al., 2014). The characteristics of the instrument and the aerosol 29 retrieval algorithms are documented in detail elsewhere (Liu et al. (2014)) and briefly

1 described here. VIIRS provides two AOD products: the Intermediate Product (IP) and 2 the Environmental Data Record (EDR). The VIIRS aerosol retrieval is performed at 3 pixel-level (~0.75 km) spatial resolution globally as the IP that employs information 4 from Navy Aerosol Analysis and Prediction System (NAAPS) and Global Aerosol 5 Climatology Project (GACP) to fill in missing observations (Vermote et al., 2014). The 6 IP is then aggregated to 6-km spatial resolution as the EDR, a level 2 aerosol product, 7 through quality checking and excluding information from the NAAPS and GACP 8 models. Both VIIRS IP and EDR are assigned quality flags of "high", "degraded", or 9 "low" and valid AOD values range between 0.0 and 2.0. Detailed description of the 10 quality assurance of VIIRS aerosol products is documented by Liu et al. (2014). 11 Previous global evaluation against AERONET AOD over all land use types indicates 12 that 71% of EDR retrievals fell within the expected error (EE) envelope established by 13 MODIS level 2 aerosol products over land ($\pm 0.05 \pm 0.15$ AOD), with a bias of -0.01 (Liu 14 et al., 2014).

15 The Geostationary Ocean Color Imager (GOCI) is a geostationary Earth orbit sensor, providing hourly multi-spectral aerosol data eight times per day from 9:00 to 16:00 16 Korean LT. It covers a 2500 \times 2500 km² sampling area, centered at [130E, 36N] in 17 18 East Asia, at 500-m resolution with eight spectral channels at 412, 443, 490, 555, 660, 19 680, 745, and 865 nm, respectively (Park et al., 2014). GOCI is aboard South Korea's 20 Communication, Ocean, and Meteorology Satellite (COMS) that launched in June 2010. 21 The retrieval algorithm of its aerosol product, Yonsei aerosol retrieval algorithm, was 22 originally based on the NASA MODIS algorithm and provides level 2 AOD retrievals 23 at 6-km spatial resolutions (Levy et al., 2007; Levy et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2010). The 24 characteristics of the Yonsei retrieval algorithms and the aerosol product are 25 documented in detail by Choi et al. (2015). The GOCI aerosol product allows AOD 26 values ranging between -0.1 and 5.0. A previous study reported that during a two-month 27 period (1 April to 31 May 2011), the GOCI AOD retrievals agreed well with AERONET AOD ($\mathbf{FR}^2 = 0.84$) over East Asia (Park et al., 2014). A recently published 28 29 evaluation study reported that from March to May 2012, the GOCI AOD had a linear

relationship with AERONET AOD with a slope of 1.09 and an intercept of -0.04 (Choi
 et al., 2015).

3 To meet the need for finer resolution aerosol products, a 3 km aerosol product was introduced as part of the MODIS Collection 6 delivery. The 3 km aerosol product 4 5 includes a quality flag ranging between 0 and 3 to indicate the quality of each retrieval 6 and the valid AOD values range between -0.1 and 5.0. The retrieval algorithm of the 3 7 km product is documented in detail by Remer et al. (2013) and a global evaluation based 8 on six months of Aqua data against ground sunphotometer AOD indicates that 63% of 9 the retrievals fell into the EE with a bias of 0.03 over land (Remer et al., 2013). 10 Munchak et al. (2013) reported that in the Baltimore-Washington, D.C. area, an 11 urban/suburban region, 68% of the 3 km retrievals from June 20, 2011 to July 31, 2011 12 fell into the EE with a bias of 0.013.

13 The release of these fine-resolution satellite aerosol products has raised the question of 14 whether these AOD retrievals can reflect the spatial pattern of aerosol loadings at their 15 assigned resolutions. AERONET, a globally distributed federation of ground-based 16 atmospheric aerosol observations, provides reliable "ground truth" of AOD that are 17 widely used for the characterization of aerosol and validation of satellite retrievals 18 (Morys et al., 2001; Holben et al., 1998). However, previous evaluation studies with 19 AERONET data focused on the temporal accuracy (i.e., examined if the retrieved AOD 20 can track the day-to-day variability of aerosol loadings). Evaluation of satellite aerosol 21 products' abilities to track small-scale aerosol spatial variability is limited due to a lack 22 of intensive ground observations of AOD: the permanent AERONET stations can be 23 tens or even hundreds of kilometers apart, leading to insufficient information on the 24 small-scale horizontal distribution of aerosol loading that is required for a precise 25 evaluation at high resolution. In response to the lack of intensive ground AOD 26 observations, AERONET conducted several campaigns, which deployed additional 27 temporary sunphotometers in selected regions and provided valuable information of 28 small-scale AOD distribution. One of these campaigns, the Distributed Regional 29 Aerosol Gridded Observation Network (DRAGON)-Asia Campaign in Japan and South

1 Korea, lasted from February 15, 2012 to May 31, 2012 and provided a rare opportunity 2 to validate these emerging satellite aerosol products (Seo et al., 2014; Sano et al., 2012). 3 Another issue with previous evaluation studies is that few of them focused specifically 4 on urban areas with higher pollution levels, greater disease burdens, and more complex 5 aerosol patterns. Our work contributes to the validation effort of these emerging satellite 6 products by employing ground AOD observations at finer resolution, extending the 7 study period to one year, and conducting a mobile sampling experiment in the urban 8 core of Beijing.

9 In this work, we quantitatively evaluate whether the latest VIIRS, GOCI and MODIS 10 aerosol products can provide reliable AOD retrievals and accurately characterize the 11 spatial pattern of AOD over the urban areas in East Asia. Ground AOD from 12 AERONET, DRAGON-Asia, and handheld sunphotometers were collected over a 13 period of one and a half years. The rest of the paper is organized such that Section 2 14 describes data sources and evaluation methods used in this study, Section 3 presents the 15 performance of various satellite AOD products in representing intra city as well as regional variability of aerosol loadings. Finally, we summarize our findings and 16 17 described future study directions in section 4.

18

- 19 **2.** Data and Methods
- 20 2.1 Study Area

The extent of the study area is approximately $2500 \times 1100 \text{ km}^2$, centered at [128.5E, 35.5N] in East Asia, covering eastern China, South Korea and Japan (Fig. 1). This domain is within the overlapping region of all satellite datasets and ground observations and covers large urban centers, suburban areas, and rural areas. We also conducted a mobile sampling study in Metro Beijing along three major roads (Fig. 1). The study period is from January 2012 to June 2013.

27 2.2 Remote Sensing Data

28 The satellite aerosol products used in this study were from VIIRS, GOCI, Aqua MODIS

1 and Terra MODIS sensors (Table 1). VIIRS data before May 2012 are not available 2 because the sensor was in an early checkout phase and lacked a validated cloud mask 3 (Liu et al., 2014). Thus, only EDR and IP pixels from May 2012 to June 2013 with high 4 quality (Quality Flag = "high") were processed. Similarly, GOCI aerosol retrievals from 5 January 2012 to June 2013 were filtered by its assigned quality and only high quality 6 (Quality Flag = 3) retrievals were included. The Aqua and Terra MODIS C6 3 km data 7 from January 2012 to June 2013 were obtained from the Goddard Space Flight Center 8 (http://ladsweb.nascom.nasa.gov/data). Only retrievals with high quality (Quality Flag 9 = 3) were included in the analysis. The quality control criteria of these five satellite 10 aerosol products are shown in Table 1.

11

2.3 Ground Observations

12 The characteristics of ground AOD datasets are shown in Table 2. There were 18 13 permanent AERONET stations in the study area during the study period, supplemented 14 by 24 temporary stations during the DRAGON-Asia Campaign. The DRAGON stations 15 were distributed nearly uniformly with approximately 10 km apart from each other in 16 two urban centers: Osaka in Japan (7 stations) and Seoul in South Korea (11 stations). 17 Other DRAGON stations, which can be tens to hundreds of kilometers apart, were located across Japan and South Korea. AERONET stations observe AOD at eight 18 19 spectral bands between 340 nm and 1020 nm. To compare with satellite retrievals, AOD 20 at 550 nm was calculated using a quadratic log-log fit from AERONET AOD at 21 wavelengths 440 nm and 675 nm. Near-real time level 2.0 AERONET/DRAGON data 22 in the Japan-South Korea region and level 1.5 AERONET data in Beijing were 23 downloaded from the Goddard Space Flight Center (http://aeronet.gsfc.nasa.gov/). The 24 Level 2.0 (quality assured) AOD data have both pre- and post-deployment calibration, 25 leading to an uncertainty of about 0.01-0.02 while the Level 1.5 AOD data are cloud-26 screened but not quality-assured (Otter et al., 2002). However, our preliminary results 27 indicate that the level 1.5 daily average AOD values agreed well with the level 2.0 data, 28 with a slope of 1.0 and zero intercept. Thus, we used the level 1.5 data in the case study 29 in Beijing because level 2.0 data are not available for some AERONET stations.

1 To analyze the intra-city aerosol variability, we conducted ground measurements of 2 AOD by a handheld sunphotometer (model 540 Microtops II, Solar Light Company, 3 Inc.) at the Metro Beijing area in 2012 and 2013. Microtops II provide accurate AOD 4 retrievals and is widely used for ground AOD observations (Morys et al., 2001; Tiwari 5 and Singh, 2013; Otter et al., 2002). Previous calibration reported that the root-mean square differences in AOD from Microtops and corresponding AERONET stations 6 7 were about ± 0.02 at 340 nm (Ichoku et al., 2002). In this study, ground observations 8 were conducted on every cloud-free day at preselected sites that were roughly 6 km apart from each other along the 3rd and the 5th Ring Roads and the Chang'an Avenue 9 10 of Beijing. This sampling took place between 9:30 and 14:00 LT, and 5–10 repeated 11 measurements were made at each site. To control the quality of the ground data, we 12 used the median value of the repeated observations as ground truth to eliminate the 13 impact of extreme values and only included AOD with the ratio of standard deviation 14 over median AOD less than 2.0. Our comparison of Microtops AOD retrievals with 15 nearby AERONET data yielded a slope of ~0.95 and a correlation coefficient of ~0.8 16 (Supplemental Material, Text S1).

17

2.4 Data Integration and Analytical Methods

18 All the data were <u>converted</u> to the JGD_2000_UTM_Zone_52N coordination system. 19 For matchup process, a 6-km grid and a 3 km grid covering the whole study domain 20 were constructed, corresponding to the spatial resolution of each satellite product. 21 Satellite aerosol data from different sensors were mapped and spatially joined to this 6-22 km grid (for VIIRS EDR and GOCI products) or 3 km grid (for VIIRS IP and MODIS 23 C6 3 km products) to construct coincident satellite-ground AOD pairs.

24 To assess the intra-city spatial variations of aerosol loadings, we analyzed ground AOD 25 observations over Beijing, Osaka, and Seoul from handheld sunphotometer and 26 DRAGON-Asia stations in 2012. First, the great circle distance between each of two 27 ground observation sites which are less than 20 km apart were calculated. Then we 28 stratified the site-to-site distances by increments of 750 m, the resolution of VIIRS IP 29 aerosol product, and calculated the station-to-station correlation coefficients of daily average AOD within each distance stratum. The observations from DRAGON sites in
 Osaka and Seoul and from handheld sunphotometers in Beijing were processed
 separately due to differences in instrumentation. Only handheld sunphotometer AOD
 observations in Beijing from February 15, 2012 to May 31, 2012 were included to
 ensure that the study period at these three locations is the same.

6 To validate the performance of high-resolution satellite aerosol products, two types of 7 comparisons were conducted: the temporal comparison, which compared satellite AOD 8 retrievals within 3×3 grid cells sampling buffers against ground AOD from 9 AERONET stations during one year from July 2012 to June 2013; and the spatial 10 comparison, which compared satellite AOD retrievals within single grid cell sampling 11 buffers against intensive ground AOD from DRAGON stations or the handheld 12 sunphotometer. Temporal comparisons and spatial comparisons differ in study periods 13 (Table 2): the temporal comparison period was the longest overlap period covered by 14 all five satellite products and the spatial comparison periods in Beijing and the Japan-15 South Korea region are different in order to include the maximum number of ground 16 observations. The coefficients of variation (CV), which is standard deviation divided 17 by mean of AOD retrievals, from various sensors in temporal-comparison sampling 18 buffers were calculated and reported below to assess the homogeneity of aerosol 19 loading within buffers. The mean CV from various aerosol products ranged between 20 0.18 and 0.35, indicating that, as expected, certain heterogeneity in aerosol loading 21 existed within the temporal-comparison buffer. This relatively small heterogeneity 22 should not be a detriment to the temporal comparison, however; some extremely large 23 CV values that were probably due to very small mean AOD values were observed. In order to avoid potentially large variations in aerosol loading within buffers, we removed 24 25 satellite pixels with CVs outside the range of \pm 1.0 (Liu et al., 2007) in temporal 26 comparisons. Moreover, the existing heterogeneity of AOD loading encouraged us to 27 conduct spatial comparisons implementing smaller sampling buffers.

For the temporal comparison of VIIRS EDR data, we averaged valid AOD retrievals in each 3×3 grid cells sampling buffer (18×18 km²) centered at each ground AERONET

1 station. The mean and median CV were 0.25 and 0.21, respectively. The average AOD 2 values were then compared with the mean AERONET AOD within a 1-h time window 3 (±_30 min around the satellite overpass time). We employed this smaller spatial 4 averaging window than the widely used 27.5 km-radius-circle buffer suggested by the 5 Multi-sensor Aerosol Products Sampling System (MAPSS) (Seo et al., 2014) in order to examine the performance of these finer resolution products at the scale of their 6 7 expected application conditions. We used the typical 1-h time window because a 8 previous analysis indicated that changing the time window matters little to validation 9 results (Remer et al., 2013) and the 1-h time window yields a larger database for the 10 validation. For the spatial comparison of VIIRS EDR data, we used single 6-km pixels 11 covering each ground observation location, i.e. DRAGON station or handheld 12 sunphotometer measurement location, and compared the AOD retrieval values with the 13 mean AOD from the corresponding DRAGON station within the 1-h time window or 14 the median AOD from the handheld sunphotometer at the corresponding location. The 15 temporal and spatial comparisons of GOCI data followed the same protocol as 16 described above. Although GOCI provides eight hourly AOD retrievals per day, we 17 only used retrievals at 1:00 pm LT in the comparison in order to make the validation 18 results comparable among these satellite products. The mean and median CV of GOCI 19 retrievals within the 3×3 grid cells sampling buffer were 0.35 and 0.15, respectively. 20 For the comparisons of VIIRS IP data, we used the 3 km grid because we did not have 21 enough ground sampling data to create a 750-m grid. For the temporal comparison, we 22 averaged valid **IP** AOD retrievals falling in the 3 km grid cell centered at each ground 23 AERONET station and the mean and median CV were 0.33 and 0.25, respectively, 24 within the 3 km grid cell buffer. This sampling buffer roughly covered a 4×4 pixel 25 group. The average AOD values were compared against average AOD from the 26 corresponding AERONET station within the 1-h time window. In the spatial 27 comparison of VIIRS IP, we also used the 3 km sampling buffer due to a lack of more 28 intensive ground AOD observations. Thus, the VIIRS IP data is oversampled in the 29 spatial comparison. For the temporal comparison of Aqua and Terra MODIS C6 3 km 1 data, we employed the 3 km grid and averaged valid AOD retrievals in each 3×3 grid 2 cells centered at each ground AERONET station to compare with the mean AOD within 3 the 1-h time window. The mean CV of Aqua and Terra MODIS within the 3×3 grid 4 cells sampling buffer were 0.18 and 0.13, respectively. For the spatial comparison of 5 MODIS C6 3 km data, we used the individual 3 km pixel AOD value falling on each 6 ground observation location to compare with average AOD from the corresponding 7 DRAGON station within the 1-h time window or the median AOD from the handheld 8 sunphotometer at the corresponding location.

9 In summary, coincident satellite-ground AOD pairs were defined as average satellite 10 AOD retrievals within the specific sampling buffer matched with average ground AOD 11 observations of the corresponding site within 1-h time windows with respect to satellite 12 pass over time. for VIIRS EDR and GOCI products, the temporal and spatial comparison buffer was 18×18 km² and 6×6 km², respectively. For the VIIRS IP 13 product, the temporal and spatial comparison employed the same $3 \times 3 \text{ km}^2$ buffer. For 14 MODIS C6 3 km product, the temporal and spatial comparison buffer was $9 \times 9 \text{ km}^2$ 15 and 3×3 km², respectively. The examples of buffers used in the temporal and spatial 16 17 comparisons for each satellite product are shown in Supplemental Material (Fig. S1). It 18 is notable that both MODIS and VIIRS pixels were stretched toward the edge of the 19 scan. For example, the $3 \times 3 \text{ km}^2$ MODIS pixels become approximately $6 \times 12 \text{ km}^2$ 20 toward the edge. Thus, the spatial joining and our construction of coincident satellite-21 ground AOD pairs mayslightly decrease the coverage for MODIS and VIIRS products 22 and may potentially affect the spatial comparison results.

In epidemiological studies, in order to improve the coverage of satellite aerosol data to provide exposure assessment, spatial aggregation is widely used. In our analysis, we constructed quality flags for each satellite–ground AOD collection to obtain better coverage without losing accuracy. For the temporal validation, <u>coincident satellite–</u> <u>ground AOD pairs</u> with at least 20% coverage of both satellite data and ground data (Levy et al., 2013) (e.g., having two or more satellite pixels within the sampling buffer and at least two AERONET/DRAGON AOD within the 1-h time window) were marked

1 as "High Quality"; coincident satellite-ground AOD pairs with less than 20% satellite 2 pixels falling in the sampling buffer but one or more pixels located within the grid cell 3 centered on the ground stations were marked as "Medium Quality"; allother coincident 4 satellite-ground AOD pairs were marked as "Low Quality". Since we did not create a 5 750-m grid for the VIIRS IP product, VIIRS IP-ground AOD pairs were assigned either "High Quality" or "Low Quality". In the spatial validation, because the best scenario 6 7 satellite-ground AOD collection is to have one or more satellite pixels within the one-8 grid cell sampling buffer and two or more AERONET/DRAGON AOD during the one 9 hour time window, we only assigned two quality levels: "High Quality" for coincident 10 satellite-ground AOD pairs in the best scenario, and "Low Quality" for all others. Only 11 coincident satellite-ground AOD pairs with high and medium quality were included in 12 our validations. We also conducted a comparison, shown as Table S2, including all the 13 satellite-ground AOD pairs-regardless of their quality-to examine the influence of 14 sampling bias. In addition, we conducted sensitivity analyses on VIIRS IP AOD 15 retrievals including both high- and degraded-quality retrievals (Supplemental Material, 16 Table S1) and for the GOCI product at hourly scale (Supplemental Material, Table S4) 17 with respect to its eight hourly observations per day. In the hourly comparison, we 18 constructed hourly average AERONET AOD as the ground true value and employed 19 the same 3×3 grid cells temporal comparison sampling buffer.

20

2.5 Evaluation Metrics

21 Several statistical metrics were used to describe the performance of satellite aerosol 22 products in this study: coverage (%) describes the availability of site-day (or site-hour 23 for GOCI data) satellite retrievals when the ground AERONET AOD were available in 24 the temporal comparison. We include all available matched satellite retrievals when 25 calculating the coverage regardless of the quality flag of the coincident satellite-ground 26 AOD pairs; Pearson correlation coefficient describes the correlation between satellite 27 retrievals and ground AOD; bias describes the average difference between satellite 28 retrievals and ground AOD; slope is the slope of the linear regression with satellite 29 retrievals as the dependent variable and ground AOD as the independent variable; and

we calculated the percent of retrievals falling within the expected error (EE) range. For
the consistency of th<u>e lastis</u> metric among different aerosol products, we employed the
same EE, ±(0.05+0.15AOD), that is established by MODIS C5 aerosol products over
land in this study.

5

6 **3 Results and Discussion**

7 3.1 Spatial Variations of Aerosol Loadings

8

9 Figure 2 (a) shows the correlation coefficient of daily AOD by binned distance and Fig. 10 2 (b) shows the site-specific average AOD with the regional average AOD subtracted 11 in these three cities. Figure 2 (a) indicates that the DRAGON AOD were highly 12 correlated within a 20-km spatial range with a correlation coefficient larger than 0.9. 13 However, results from handheld sunphotometer observations in Beijing suggest that the 14 spatial correlation coefficients declined slowly as the distance between two 15 measurement locations increased up to 12 km. The correlation coefficient increased 16 slightly when the distance among two measurement locations are beyond 12 km. This 17 can be explained by the clustered distribution of ground measurement locations in 18 Beijing: these long location-to-location distances only occur when the two locations are 19 located along the Chang'an Avenue and, since vehicle exhaust is one of the major 20 sources of aerosol in Beijing, these AOD are highly correlated. The different aerosol 21 spatial variability trends in Beijing and in the DRAGON domain can be attributed to 22 the following reason: first, the DRAGON-Asia campaign provides real-time 23 observation but our ground AOD observations in Beijing provide one observation at 24 each site per day, so that the average daily AOD from DRAGON stations may have 25 smoothed away some of the spatial heterogeneity. Second, the handheld sunphotometer 26 may introduce larger measurement errors than DRAGON stations, due to both 27 instrument quality and operation errors. Previous evaluation indicates that handheld 28 stability and inaccurate pointing to the Sun significantly affects the accuracy of

<u>measurements by Mocrotops II (Ichoku et al., 2002; Morys et al., 2001). Our</u>
 <u>comparison of Microtops II AOD with nearby AERONET data yielded a slope of ~0.95,</u>
 <u>a correlation coefficient of ~0.8, and an intercept of 0.16 (Supplemental Material, Text</u>
 S1), indicating that the handheld sunphotometer AOD are usable.

5 Even though the aerosol loadings are highly related spatially, the AOD value may differ 6 among nearby stations (Fig. 2 (b)). In Beijing, the difference in average AOD between two neighboring sites that are ~6 km apart can be as high as 0.4, about 49% of the 7 8 regional mean AOD value. The observations from DRAGON stations show smaller 9 differences in average AOD relative to those in Beijing, but the difference between two 10 neighboring sites can still be greater than 0.1 in Seoul—23% of the regional mean AOD 11 value. These results indicate that spatial contrast in aerosol loading exists at local scale 12 and finer resolution satellite aerosol products are needed to better characterize 13 individual and population exposure of particulate pollution.

14 **3.2The Beijing Sampling Experiment**

15 The GOCI aerosol product provided the highest coverage in the temporal comparison 16 over Beijing with 73% available retrievals relative to AERONET AOD within the 1-h 17 time window (\pm 30 min around the satellite overpass time), followed by the VIIRS IP 18 (42%), VIIRS EDR (41%), MODIS Terra C6 3 km product (40%), and MODIS Aqua 19 C6 3 km product (38%) (Supplemental Material, Table S1). Table 3 shows the statistical 20 metrics from the temporal and spatial comparisons over Beijing. In the temporal 21 comparison, the GOCI product provided the most accurate AOD retrievals, which 22 slightly overestimated AOD by 0.02 on average. Other aerosol products significantly 23 overestimated AOD with theaverage bias in the temporal comparison for VIIRS EDR, 24 VIIRS IP, Aqua and Terra MODIS C6 3 km products equal to 0.11, 0.25, 0.21, and 0.29, 25 respectively. Though GOCI AOD retrievals agreed well with ground AOD in the 26 temporal comparison, with 55% of GOCI AOD retrievals at 13:00 falling within the 27 EE, only 37% of GOCI AOD retrievals fell within the EE in the spatial comparison. 28 The comparison including all eight hourly GOCI observations represented reduced coverage (59%), a smaller average bias (-0.006), and a larger proportion of retrievals 29

1 fell within EE (59%). Thus, the GOCI product resolved the temporal and spatial 2 variability of aerosol loadings at its designed temporal and spatial resolutions, but it 3 tracked the small-scale spatial variability less well than the temporal variability in 4 Beijing.

5 VIIRS EDR product performed well in Beijing in both the temporal and spatial 6 comparisons, with 52% and 51% of retrievals falling within the EE in the temporal and 7 spatial comparison, respectively. Although VIIRS IP had a relatively large positive bias 8 (0.25) in the temporal comparison, it provided acceptable coverage with 33% retrievals 9 falling within the EE in the spatial comparison, resolving valuable information of small-10 scale aerosol variability in urban areas. The MODIS C6 3 km product had the largest 11 high bias and lowest %EE in this spatial comparison, with 16% and 26% of retrievals 12 falling within the EE for Aqua and Terra MODIS, respectively. A previous validation 13 study of the 3 km MODIS AOD data also reported similar retrieval errors in urban areas (Remer et al., 2013). It is notable that the $\underline{\mathbf{r}}\underline{\mathbf{R}}^2$ values of the MODIS C6 3 km products 14 15 is the highest in the spatial comparisons (0.68 for Aqua and 0.85 for Terra) and the 16 linear regression statistics indicates that the low percent of retrievals falling within EE 17 is mainly due to a relatively constant positive offset: the intercepts for Aqua and Terra 18 are 0.22 and 0.30, respectively. One possible explanation of the positive bias of MODIS 19 and VIIRS products is that our study domain is highly urbanized with bright surfaces, 20 therefore is challenging for the Dark Target algorithm.

3.3 The Temporal Evaluation of AOD over the Japan-South Korea region

22 We first looked at the AOD retrievals distribution on one clear day, 7 May 2012, during 23 the DRAGON period (Fig. 3). Figure 3 indicates that the sampling strategies and cloud 24 masks differ in these five satellite aerosol products, resulting in different patterns of 25 missing data. GOCI provided the best coverage with almost no missing data over this 26 region. VIIRS products and MODIS products showed similar missing data in the center 27 of the map but were less consistent at its edges; while VIIRS products showed more 28 missing data in the lower right corner, MODIS products showed more missing in the 29 upper right corner. VIIRS and MODIS pixels are stretched toward the edge of the scan.

VIIRS and MODIS products tended to overestimate AOD values in the urban area (Seoul), but GOCI provided accurate AOD estimates in this region. Though these 3 km products showed similar spatial distribution patterns to the 6-km products, the 3 km products demonstrated greater heterogeneity, which is valuable to analyze local aerosol sources and estimate personal air pollution exposure.

6 Similar to the comparisons in Beijing, the GOCI aerosol products provided the highest 7 coverage in the temporal comparison over the Japan–South Korea region, with 74% 8 retrievals relative to AERONET observations within the 1-h time window (±30 min 9 around the satellite overpass time), followed by VIIRS EDR (63%), VIIRS IP (50%), 10 Terra MODIS C6 3 km (26%), and Aqua MODIS C6 3 km (24%) (Supplemental 11 Material, Table S1). It is notable that the seasonal missing pattern due to cloud cover 12 and weather conditions may vary across these satellite aerosol products. However, since 13 we did not have enough coincident satellite-ground AOD pairs to conduct seasonal 14 evaluation, the seasonal missing patterns and seasonal performance of these satellite 15 aerosol products were not analyzed in this study. The distributions of the coincident 16 satellite-AERONET AOD pairs with high or medium quality are shown in Fig. 4. The 17 distribution of the Terra MODIS C6 product is not shown here because it passes the 18 study region in the morning, leading to potential differences in AOD distribution 19 relatives to other sensors that pass the study region in the afternoon. This histogram is 20 plotted with frequency of AOD retrievals from each sensor relative to the total number 21 of matched AOD retrievals from the corresponding sensor rather than the count of AOD 22 retrievals because these aerosol products differ in sampling strategies, leading to 23 different total number of coincident satellite-ground AOD pairs. VIIRS EDR, VIIRS IP, 24 and GOCI products showed a similar mode of distribution to AERONET AOD, with 25 the peak probability around 0.2. The distribution of Aqua MODIS C6 3 km AOD had 26 the peak around 0.3, indicating that the Aqua MODIS C6 3 km product tended to 27 overestimate AOD in general. A previous study also reported that the MODIS C6 3 km 28 product had a decreased proportion of low AOD values and an increased proportion of 29 high AOD values (Remer et al., 2013) relative to the 10 km product over land, leading

1 to a higher global average AOD. The VIIRS IP product also tended to overestimate 2 AOD, with higher percentage of retrievals occurring at high AOD values. The distribution of GOCI data provided the best fit with AERONET data, with a correlation 3 coefficient of 0.95, followed by VIIRS EDR ($\mathbf{rR}^2 = 0.93$), VIIRS IP ($\mathbf{rR}^2 = 0.77$), and 4 MODIS Aqua C6 3 km product ($rR^2 = 0.76$). The difference in the distributions of these 5 satellite aerosol products can be partly explained by different retrieval assumptions 6 7 including aerosol models, different surface reflectance and different global sampling 8 strategies. Moreover, these satellite aerosol products differ in the valid AOD retrieval 9 ranges, leading to differences in the distribution of extremely high and low AOD values.

10 The temporal comparisons over the Japan–South Korea region showed more retrievals 11 falling within the EE and smaller biases relative to comparisons in Beijing. Figure 5 12 shows the frequency scatter plots showing the results of temporal comparisons over the 13 Japan-South Korea region and the corresponding box plots showing the difference 14 between satellite AOD retrievals and ground observations. GOCI retrievals at 13:00 LT were highly correlated with the ground AOD with an R_{f}^{2} of 0.80. The linear regression 15 of GOCI retrievals and ground AOD fell close to the 1:1 line with a small offset (0.04), 16 17 and 61% of GOCI retrievals at 13:00 LT fell in the EE. Comparison including eight 18 GOCI hourly retrievals showed a higher $\frac{1}{R^2}$ of 0.82 with a smaller average bias (0.02), 19 with 66% of retrievals falling within the EE (Table 4, GOCI all obs.). The box plot 20 indicates that GOCI retrievals overestimated AOD at high AOD values (AOD > 0.6) 21 (Fig. 5). Thus, the GOCI product tracked the daily variability of aerosol loadings well 22 and it provided additional information to study short-term aerosol trends. Similarly, 64% 23 of VIIRS EDR retrievals fell into the EE with a slightly higher bias (0.05) and a slightly lower \mathbf{FR}^2 of 0.73 (Table 4). This positive bias is consistent with a previous global 24 validation study, which reports a 0.01 bias of VIIRS EDR in East Asia (Liu et al., 2014). 25 26 Though the VIIRS EDR product tended to overestimate AOD at low (AOD < 0.3) and 27 high AOD values (AOD > 1.0), it agreed well with the AERONET observations when 28 AOD ranged between 0.3 and 1.0 (Fig. 6).

29 The VIIRS IP had a linear regression slope close to 1 (1.03) against AERONET

1 observations, but it had a consistent positive bias of 0.15 on average. Only 37% of 2 VIIRS IP retrievals fell within the EE. The scatter plot indicates that the IP retrievals 3 varied substantially, especially when the AOD values were low. MODIS C6 3 km 4 products had a high positive bias of 0.08 for Aqua and 0.16 for Terra. Consistent with 5 what was reported by a previous global evaluation study, we observed that the MODIS C63 km products tended to overestimate AOD and the bias increased with AOD values 6 7 (Remer et al., 2013). 56% of the Aqua MODIS C6 3 km retrievals and 39% of the Terra 8 MODIS C6 3 km retrievals fell within the EE. In general, these finer resolution aerosol 9 products included larger bias relative to lower resolution products and researchers must 10 be cautious when applying them by, for example, calibrating these high resolution 11 satellite aerosol products in specified study regions and implementing appropriate data 12 filtering strategies.

13 Since the GOCI product provides eight hourly observations per day, to examine the 14 temporal variability in the accuracy of GOCI aerosol retrievals, we compared the GOCI 15 AOD retrievals with AERONET AOD stratified by hour (Supplemental Material, Table 16 S4). In general, the GOCI product provided high quality retrievals consistently 17 throughout the day except that it tended to slightly overestimate AOD in the morning 18 and underestimate AOD in the afternoon. Such temporal variability in accuracy was 19 also reported by a previous evaluation study of the Geostationary Operational 20 Environmental Satellite (GOES) aerosol product (Morys et al., 2001). The daily 21 variability in the quality of GOCI retrievals may be due to changes in scattering angle, 22 clouds and the associated Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Function (BRDF) 23 effects.

Ten-fold cross validation was conducted for the comparison of VIIRS and GOCI products to detect overfitting. The linear regression statistics of cross validation did not change significantly relative to the statistics of comparisons. The cross validation R² values of VIIRS EDR, VIIRS IP, GOCI at 13:00, and GOCI 8 observations data were 0.73, 0.51, 0.78, and 0.82, respectively. In addition, to detect the spatial variability of the satellite retrieval performance, we applied the regionally developed linear regression parameters of GOCI 8 observations data to individual AERONET station in the Japan–South Korea region. The linear regressions with the satellite AOD as the dependent variable and the fitted AOD from a regional model as the independent variable yielded R2 larger than 0.75 at all sites except the AERONET sites 'Nara' and 'Osaka', two stations located in Osaka. This result indicated that parameters from the regional dataset were valid locally. Limited by sample size, we did not apply this method to other aerosol products.

8 **3.4 The Spatial Evaluation of AOD over the Japan-South Korea region**

9 The mean daily AOD from different sensors and AERONET stations during the one-10 year period from July 2012 to June 2013 are shown in Fig. 6. These five aerosol 11 products provided similar distributions of average AOD during the one-year period, 12 with the highest values occurring in northeastern China and the Yangtze River delta, 13 and the lowest values occurring in southern China and Japan. Several high-AOD-value 14 spots appeared along the west coast of South Korea and surrounded the Seto Inland Sea, 15 likely due to emissions from urban centers in these regions. These five maps differ in 16 missing patterns due to their different masking approaches. The VIIRS algorithms did 17 not retrieve AOD over inland lakes (e.g. the Taihu Lake); the GOCI product retrieved 18 AOD over inland water; while MODIS products provided some AOD retrievals over 19 inland lakes, with some missing data. The GOCI product did not provide high-quality 20 retrievals at some locations in central Japan due to snow coverage in this mountain 21 region. To maintain a consistent valuative data filtering strategy, the inland water AOD 22 retrievals and ground observations were removed from the validation. The VIIRS EDR 23 product showed lower AOD values in northeastern China and South Korea relative to 24 AOD retrievals from other sensors. The VIIRS IP product also showed lower AOD values in northeastern China, but provided higher AOD retrievals in northern Japan. 25 26 This can be explained by the system bias reported in a previous study that VIIRS 27 retrievals tend to underestimate AOD when NDVI value is low and overestimate AOD 28 over vegetated surfaces (Liu et al., 2014). The VIIRS IP product had higher AOD values 29 relative to the EDR product, especially over the Korean Peninsula and northern Japan.

1 This may be due to IP's ability to track small-scale variability which were smoothed in 2 the EDR retrievals, or may result from the positive bias of IP observed in the temporal 3 comparison. Because VIIRS aerosol products restrict valid AOD values to between 0.0 4 and 2.0, they may underestimate AOD values when the aerosol loadings are extremely 5 high, like in northeastern China, though we lacked ground AOD data in this region to test this hypothesis. Aqua and Terra MODIS C6 3 km aerosol products showed similar 6 7 spatial distribution in AOD retrievals, with higher AOD values in urban areas (e.g., 8 over the Yangtze River Delta and North China Plain in China). GOCI presented some 9 high AOD values in local regions such as western South Korea, around the Seto Inland 10 Sea, and over northeastern China. However, it showed lower AOD values over the 11 Yangtze River Delta in China. This result is consistent with the temporal comparison 12 results shown in Fig. 5 that the GOCI product slightly overestimated AOD at high AOD 13 values (AOD>0.6). Compared with ground AOD, all these five aerosol products 14 overestimated AOD in Japan, where the average AOD values were relatively low. 15 VIIRS EDR tended to slightly underestimate AOD over the Seoul region. The lack of 16 ground AOD, especially in northeast China, makes it impossible to quantitively 17 evaluate the spatial distribution of these aerosol products in China.

18 Results of the spatial comparison over DRAGON-Asia region are shown in Table 4. 19 Satellite aerosol products performed better in tracking the day-to-day variability 20 relative to tracking their spatial patterns. In the spatial comparison, all the satellite aerosol products showed lower \mathbf{rR}^2 and larger offset with less retrievals falling into the 21 22 EE. GOCI product provided the highest accuracy, with a small positive bias of 0.03 and 23 48% of retrievals falling in the EE, followed by VIIRS EDR, with a positive offset of 24 0.16 and 41% of retrievals falling in the EE. In contrast, VIIRS IP and MODIS C6 3 25 km had large positive biases, and less than 30% of retrievals fell within the EE due to 26 larger noise (related to the finer resolutions). There is evidence that this positive bias 27 includes systematic errors due to improper characterization of surface reflectance, 28 uncertainties in the assumed aerosol model, and cloud masking. The 3 km MODIS 29 products sample fewer reflectance pixels to retrieve aerosol pixels relative to the 10 km

1 products, introducing sporadic unrealistic high AOD retrievals that are avoided more 2 successfully by the 10 km products (Munchak et al., 2013). Previous studies also 3 reported that improper characterization of bright urban surfaces, a known difficult 4 situation for the Dark Target algorithm, led to positive bias in urban/suburban regions 5 (Munchak et al., 2013; Remer et al., 2013). The VIIRS IP product is retrieved at the reflectance pixel level without aggregation, thus it is expected to include more noise. 6 7 Though these finer resolution aerosol products did not fully track the spatial trends of 8 aerosol loading at their designed resolution, they provide additional information about 9 aerosol spatial distribution and will benefit exposure assessments at local scales.

10 To examine possible sampling bias due to our data inclusion criteria, we performed 11 temporal and spatial comparisons including all the coincident satellite-ground AOD 12 pairs over the Japan–South Korea region (Supplemental Material, Table S2). There is 13 no significant change in the evaluation metrics after including pairs with low quality. 14 Thus, the validation results are robust and there is no evidence for sampling bias. We 15 validated the VIIRS IP AOD retrievals with degraded quality over the Japan-South 16 Korea region and observed lower correlation coefficients, higher biases, and less 17 retrievals falling within the EE in both the temporal and spatial comparisons 18 (Supplemental Material, Table S3). This result suggests to use only high-quality VIIRS 19 IP retrievals. We also validated the GOCI AOD retrievals with different quality over 20 the Japan–South Korea region. Including medium- and low-quality GOCI retrievals 21 decreased the accuracy, but significantly increased the coverage (Supplemental 22 Material, Table S5). By including the retrievals having quality flags equal to both 3 and 23 2, the coverage increased from 27% to 38% in the temporal comparison over the Japan-24 South Korea region, while the average bias increased by 0.01 and the percentage of 25 retrievals falling within the EE decreased by 7%. Thus, including retrievals with 26 medium quality might be acceptable, depending on study objectives. Due to the 27 relatively small number of matched observations, analysis of the correlation between 28 quality of satellite aerosol retrievals and satellite viewing angles were beyond the scope 29 of this analysis. However, previous studies reported that towards the edge of the scan,

1

VIIRS EDR tends to underestimate AOD over land (Liu et al., 2014).

2

3 4 Conclusion

4 In this work, the intra-city variability of aerosol loadings were examined with ground 5 AOD from the DRAGON-Asia campaign and our mobile sampling campaign in Beijing. Five emerging high-resolution satellite aerosol products are evaluated by comparing 6 7 them with ground AOD from AERONET, DRAGON, and handheld sunphotometers 8 over East Asia in 2012 and 2013. We observed variability in both correlation 9 coefficients and average AOD values among ground AOD observation sites in three 10 urban centers in Asia. Evaluation results indicated a) that the 6-km resolution 11 products-VIIRS EDR and GOCI-provided more accurate retrievals with higher 12 coverage relative to the higher resolution products-VIIRS IP, Terra and Aqua MODIS 13 C6 3 km products—in both temporal comparisons and spatial comparisons; however, 14 VIIRS IP and MODIS C6 3 km products provide additional information about fine-15 resolution aerosol spatial distribution and will benefit exposure assessments at local 16 scales; b) satellite aerosol products resolved the day-to-day aerosol loading variability 17 better than the spatial aerosol loading variability; and c) satellite products performed 18 less well in Beijing relative to the Japan-South Korea region, indicating that retrieval 19 in urban areas is challenging. These satellite aerosol products have their own 20 advantages and disadvantages. For example, the GOCI aerosol product provides high 21 accuracy AOD retrievals eight times per day, but it only covers East Asia; the VIIRS EDR product provides high accuracy AOD retrievals and global coverage once per day, 22 23 but its 6 km resolution is relatively low; the MODIS C6 3 km products provide high 24 resolution AOD retrievals with global coverage, but have positive bias in urban regions. 25 Researchers need to apply these aerosol products according to specified research 26 objectives and study design. The performance of these aerosol products over Beijing 27 and the Japan-South Korea region demonstrates that satellite aerosol products can track the small-scale variability of aerosol loadings. High-resolution satellite aerosol 28 29 products provide valuable information for the spatial and temporal characterization of

1 PM_{2.5} at local scales. Future studies with additional ground AOD observations at fine 2 spatial and temporal scale will help us analyze air pollution patterns and further validate 3 satellite products.

4

5 Acknowledgment

6 The work of Liu and Xiao was partially supported by the NASA Applied Sciences 7 Program (grants NNX11AI53G and NNX14AG01G, PI: Liu). We would like to 8 acknowledge the AERONET team, Prof. I. Sano and the DRAGON-Japan team, the 9 Yonsi team and their collaborators in S. Korea, and CARSNET and CAS teams in and 10 around Beijing for providing data support in this study. The AERONET project is 11 supported NASA EOS project office, and by Hal B. Maring, Radiation Sciences 12 Program, NASA Headquarters.

13

14 References

- 15 Anderson, T. L., Charlson, R. J., Winker, D. M., Ogren, J. A., and Holmén, K.: Mesoscale Variations of 16 Tropospheric Aerosols*, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 60, 119-136, 2003.
- 17 Choi, M., Kim, J., Lee, J., Kim, M., Je Park, Y., Jeong, U., Kim, W., Holben, B., Eck, T. F., Lim, J. H., and Song,
- 18 C. K.: GOCI Yonsei Aerosol Retrieval (YAER) algorithm and validation during DRAGON-NE Asia 2012
- 19 campaign, Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 8, 9565-9609, 10.5194/amtd-8-9565-2015, 2015.
- 20 Holben, B., Eck, T., Slutsker, I., Tanre, D., Buis, J., Setzer, A., Vermote, E., Reagan, J., Kaufman, Y., and
- 21 Nakajima, T.: AERONET—A federated instrument network and data archive for aerosol characterization,
- 22 Remote sensing of environment, 66, 1-16, 1998.
- 23 Hsu, N. C., Jeong, M. J., Bettenhausen, C., Sayer, A. M., Hansell, R., Seftor, C. S., Huang, J., and Tsay, S. C.:
- 24 Enhanced Deep Blue aerosol retrieval algorithm: The second generation, Journal of Geophysical 25 Research: Atmospheres, 118, 9296-9315, 10.1002/jgrd.50712, 2013.
- 26 Ichoku, C., Levy, R., Kaufman, Y. J., Remer, L. A., Li, R. R., Martins, V. J., Holben, B. N., Abuhassan, N.,
- 27 Slutsker, I., and Eck, T. F.: Analysis of the performance characteristics of the five - channel Microtops II
- 28 Sun photometer for measuring aerosol optical thickness and precipitable water vapor, Journal of
- 29 Geophysical Research: Atmospheres (1984–2012), 107, AAC 5-1-AAC 5-17, 2002.
- 30 Jackson, J. M., Liu, H., Laszlo, I., Kondragunta, S., Remer, L. A., Huang, J., and Huang, H. C.: Suomi - NPP
- 31 VIIRS aerosol algorithms and data products, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118, 32
- 12,673-612,689, 2013.
- 33 Lee, J., Kim, J., Song, C. H., Ryu, J.-H., Ahn, Y.-H., and Song, C.: Algorithm for retrieval of aerosol optical
- 34 properties over the ocean from the Geostationary Ocean Color Imager, Remote sensing of environment,
- 35 114, 1077-1088, 2010.
- 36 Levy, R., Mattoo, S., Munchak, L., Remer, L., Sayer, A., Patadia, F., and Hsu, N.: The Collection 6 MODIS

- 1 aerosol products over land and ocean, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 6, 2989-3034, 2013.
- 2 Levy, R. C., Remer, L. A., and Dubovik, O.: Global aerosol optical properties and application to Moderate
- 3 Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer aerosol retrieval over land, Journal of Geophysical Research:
- 4 Atmospheres (1984–2012), 112, 2007.
- 5 Levy, R. C., Remer, L. A., Kleidman, R. G., Mattoo, S., Ichoku, C., Kahn, R., and Eck, T.: Global evaluation
- 6 of the Collection 5 MODIS dark-target aerosol products over land, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics,
- 7 10, 10399-10420, 2010.
- 8 Li, C., Lau, A.-H., Mao, J., and Chu, D. A.: Retrieval, validation, and application of the 1-km aerosol optical
- 9 depth from MODIS measurements over Hong Kong, Geoscience and Remote Sensing, IEEE Transactions10 on, 43, 2650-2658, 2005.
- 11 Liu, H., Remer, L. A., Huang, J., Huang, H. C., Kondragunta, S., Laszlo, I., Oo, M., and Jackson, J. M.:
- Preliminary evaluation of S NPP VIIRS aerosol optical thickness, Journal of Geophysical Research:
 Atmospheres, 119, 3942-3962, 2014.
- 14 Liu, Y., Franklin, M., Kahn, R., and Koutrakis, P.: Using aerosol optical thickness to predict ground-level
- 15 PM 2.5 concentrations in the St. Louis area: a comparison between MISR and MODIS, Remote sensing
- 16 of environment, 107, 33-44, 2007.
- 17 Morys, M., Mims, F. M., Hagerup, S., Anderson, S. E., Baker, A., Kia, J., and Walkup, T.: Design, calibration,
- and performance of MICROTOPS II handheld ozone monitor and Sun photometer, Journal of
 Geophysical Research: Atmospheres (1984–2012), 106, 14573-14582, 2001.
- 20 Munchak, L., Levy, R., Mattoo, S., Remer, L., Holben, B., Schafer, J., Hostetler, C., and Ferrare, R.: MODIS
- 3 km aerosol product: applications over land in an urban/suburban region, Atmospheric Measurement
 Techniques Discussions, 6, 1683-1716, 2013.
- 23 Ohara, T., Akimoto, H., Kurokawa, J.-i., Horii, N., Yamaji, K., Yan, X., and Hayasaka, T.: An Asian emission
- 24 inventory of anthropogenic emission sources for the period 1980–2020, Atmospheric Chemistry and
- 25 Physics, 7, 4419-4444, 2007.
- 26 Otter, L., Scholes, R., Dowty, P., Privette, J., Caylor, K., Ringrose, S., Mukelabai, M., Frost, P., Hanan, N.,
- and Totolo, O.: The Southern African regional science initiative (SAFARI 2000): wet season campaigns,
 South African Journal of Science, 98, p. 131-137, 2002.
- 29 Park, M., Song, C., Park, R., Lee, J., Kim, J., Lee, S., Woo, J.-H., Carmichael, G., Eck, T. F., and Holben, B.
- N.: New approach to monitor transboundary particulate pollution over Northeast Asia, Atmospheric
 Chemistry and Physics, 14, 659-674, 2014.
- Remer, L., Mattoo, S., Levy, R., and Munchak, L.: MODIS 3 km aerosol product: algorithm and global
 perspective, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques Discussions, 6, 69-112, 2013.
- 34 Sano, I., Mukai, S., Holben, B., Nakata, M., Yonemitsu, M., Sugimoto, N., Fujito, T., Hiraki, T., Iguchi, N.,
- and Kozai, K.: DRAGON-West Japan campaign in 2012: regional aerosol measurements over Osaka, SPIE
 Asia-Pacific Remote Sensing, 2012, 85231M-852316.
- 37 Seo, S., Kim, J., Lee, H., Jeong, U., Kim, W., Holben, B., Kim, S., Song, C., and Lim, J.: Spatio-temporal
- 38 variations in PM 10 concentrations over Seoul estimated using multiple empirical models together with
- 39 AERONET and MODIS data collected during the DRAGON-Asia campaign, Atmospheric Chemistry and
- 40 Physics Discussions, 14, 21709-21748, 2014.
- 41 Tiwari, S., and Singh, A.: Variability of aerosol parameters derived from ground and satellite 42 measurements over Varanasi located in the Indo-Gangetic Basin, Aerosol Air Qual Res, 13, 627-638,
- 43 2013.
- 44 Vermote, E., Justice, C., and Csiszar, I.: Early evaluation of the VIIRS calibration, cloud mask and surface

- 1 reflectance Earth data records, Remote sensing of environment, 148, 134-145, 2014.

Dataset	Including Criteria	Resolution	Coverage
VIIRS EDR	Quality Flag=High	6 km, daily	Global
VIIRS IP	Quality Flag=High	0.75 km, daily	Global
GOCI	Quality Flag=3	6 km, 8 hourly	East Asia
		obs. per day	
Aqua MODIS C6 3 km	Quality Flag=3	3 km, daily	Global
Terra MODIS C6 3 km	Quality Flag=3	3 km, daily	Global

1 Table 1. Characteristics and quality control criteria of satellite aerosol products.

		Temporal Comparison	Spatial Comparison
Beijing	Data Set	AERONET	Microtops II
	Including Criteria	Level 1.5	Median/Std. Dev. <2
	Study Period	Jul. 2012 – Jun. 2013	Jan. 2012 – Jun. 2013
East Asia	Data Set	AERONET	DRAGON
	Including Criteria	Level 2.0	Level 2.0
	Study Period	Jul. 2012 – Jun. 2013	Feb. 15 – May 31,
			2012

1 Table 2. Characteristics of ground AOD measurement datasets.

1 Table 3. Statistics of the temporal and spatial comparisons between satellite retrievals

	N	R^{2}	Slope	Intercept	Bias	%EE
Temporal Comparison						
VIIRS EDR	90	0.70	0.96 <u>**</u>	0.12 <u>**</u>	0.11	52
VIIRS IP	133	0.63	1.00 <u>**</u>	0.25 <u>**</u>	0.25	32
GOCI	142	0.88	0.95 <u>**</u>	0.05	0.02	55
GOCI all obs.	957	0.88	0.98 <u>**</u>	0.008	-0.006	59
Aqua MODIS C6 3 km	119	0.81	1.05 <u>**</u>	0.19 <u>**</u>	0.21	44
Terra MODIS C6 3 km	133	0.80	0.99 <u>**</u>	0.30 <u>**</u>	0.29	25
Spatial Comparison						
VIIRS EDR	108	0.14	0.25 <u>**</u>	0.34 <u>**</u>	0.04	51
VIIRS IP	150	0.16	0.34 <u>**</u>	0.45 <u>**</u>	0.18	33
GOCI	<u>2081</u>	0.44	<u>0.750.</u>	<u>0.070.23</u>	-	<u>3137</u>
	<u>24</u>	<u>0.51</u>	74**	**	0.11<u>0.</u>	
					<u>00</u>	
Aqua MODIS C6 3 km	77	0.68	1.19 <u>**</u>	0.22 <u>**</u>	0.31	16
Terra MODIS C6 3 km	73	0.85	1.00 <u>**</u>	0.30 <u>**</u>	0.30	26
p-value < 0.01						

2 and ground AOD measurements at 550 nm in Beijing.

1 Table 4. Statistics of the temporal and spatial comparisons between satellite retrievals

2 and ground AOD measurements at 550 nm over Japan-South Korea region.

3

	Ν	R^{2}	Slope	Intercept	Bias	%EE
Temporal Comparison	Temporal Comparison					
VIIRS EDR	601<u>6</u> 00	0.74 0.73	0.96 <u>**</u>	0.06 <u>**</u>	0.05	64
VIIRS IP	4 <u>374</u> <u>24</u>	0.55	1.03 <u>**</u>	0.14 <u>**</u>	0.15	37
GOCI	343<u>3</u> <u>17</u>	0.80	<u>1.041.0</u> <u>2**</u>	0.02<u>0.04</u> **	<u>0.040</u> . <u>05</u>	62<u>61</u>
GOCI all obs.	2774 <u>2547</u>	0.82	<u>1.031.0</u> <u>2**</u>	0.00 <u>0.01</u> *_	<u>0.010</u> <u>.02</u>	66
Aqua MODIS C6 3 km	<u>1801</u> <u>79</u>	0.71	1.00 <u>**</u>	0.08 <u>**</u>	0.08	56
Terra MODIS C6 3 km	197	0.70	1.06 <u>**</u>	0.14 <u>**</u>	0.16	39
Spatial Comparison						
VIIRS EDR	144	0.53	0.96 <u>**</u>	0.18 <u>**</u>	0.16	41
VIIRS IP	229	0.60	1.11 <u>**</u>	0.21 <u>**</u>	0.26	26
GOCI	196	0.79	1.19 <u>**</u>	-0.09 <u>**</u>	0.03	48
Aqua MODIS C6 3 km	108	0.81	1.26- <u>**</u>	0.07 <u>*</u>	0.19	28
Terra MODIS C6 3 km	132	0.73	1.00 <u>**</u>	0.23 <u>**</u>	0.23	27

 $4 \quad \underline{* p-value < 0.05}$

5 <u>** p-value < 0.01</u>



3 Figure 1. Study area showing all the ground AOD measurement sites.



3 Figure 2. (a) The station to station correlation coefficients of daily mean AOD

4 stratified by distance over (left) DRAGON-Asia region (right) Beijing region. The

5 line is the Loess curvy. (b) The spatial distribution of average AOD in these three

- 6 cities. <u>The background color shows the elevation with the same color scale as in</u>
- 7 Figure 1.



Figure 3. The AOD retrievals at 550 nm from different satellite aerosol products at their
designed resolution on 7 May 2012. Coincident Satellite-DRAGON AOD pairs are
shown in double circles: the inner circle is the average DRAGON observation within
±30 min of satellite overpass and the outer circle is the satellite retrieval that the
DRAGON stations falls in.





1

2 Figure 5. Upper - frequency scatter plots of satellite AOD retrievals against 3 AERONET AOD measurements at 550 nm over the Japan-South Korea region. The 4 linear regression is shown as solid blue line, the boundary lines of the expected error 5 are shown in the dash lines, and the one-one line is shown as solid black lines for 6 reference. Lower - box plots of AOD errors (satellite - AERONET) versus 7 AERONET AOD over the Japan-South Korea region. The one-one line (zero error) is 8 shown as a dash line and the boundary lines of the expected error are shown as gray 9 solid lines. For each box-whisker, its properties and representing statistics include: 10 width is σ of the satellite AOD; height is the interquartile range of AOD error; 11 whisker is the 2 σ of the AOD error; middle line is the median of the AOD error; and

1 red dot is the mean of the AOD error.



3 Figure 6. The distributions of the twelve months average AOD values from July 2012



⁵ km, and GOCI datasets.

1