



Understanding
atmospheric
peroxyformic acid
chemistry

H. Liang et al.

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ACP if available.

Understanding atmospheric peroxyformic acid chemistry: observation, modeling and implication

H. Liang, Z. M. Chen, D. Huang, Q. Q. Wu, and L. B. Huang

State Key Laboratory of Environmental Simulation and Pollution Control, College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

Received: 1 December 2014 – Accepted: 24 December 2014 – Published: 22 January 2015

Correspondence to: Z. M. Chen (zmchen@pku.edu.cn)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Understanding atmospheric peroxyformic acid chemistry

H. Liang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc


Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



tors of the photochemical smog (Phillips et al., 2013). In addition, some high molecular weight OPAs partition into the particle phase due to their low volatility (Kroll and Seinfeld, 2008). These OPAs can subsequently react with carbonyls in the particle phase to form carboxylic acids or acyl peroxyhemiacetals, which have even lower volatility than OPAs (Ziemann and Atkinson, 2012). These low-volatility compounds can contribute to the components of secondary organic aerosols (SOAs).

Using different measurement techniques, a number of OPAs, such as peroxyacetic acid (PAA), peroxypropionic acid (PPA) and methylacrylic peroxyacid (MPA), were detected in the atmosphere in previous studies (He et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2012; Phillips et al., 2013). However, the peroxyformic acid (PFA, HC(O)OOH), the OPA with the simplest molecular structure, has never been directly detected in the atmosphere to our knowledge. Unfortunately, the difficult generation and easy decomposition of PFA makes it impossible to determine the existence of PFA theoretically. On one hand, PFA has a reactive precursor. The generation of OPAs is known to predominantly result from the reactions between peroxyacyl radicals (RC(O)O₂) and hydroperoxyl radicals (HO₂). The corresponding precursor of PFA in this reaction, i.e., the peroxyformyl radical (HC(O)O₂), was thought either not generated or rapidly decomposed to yield CO and HO₂ (Langford and Moore, 1984), as shown in Reaction (R1). On the other hand, PFA itself can rapidly decompose, in both gas and aqueous phases. Gaseous PFA can spontaneously decompose into formic acid (FA, HC(O)OH) through Reaction (R2), even at low temperature (Maker et al., 1977). When PFA enters the aqueous phase, it can readily isomerize to carbonic acid and decompose into CO₂ and H₂O through Reaction (R3).



As a consequence of the non-detection, difficult generation and rapid decomposition of PFA in the atmosphere, PFA-related chemistry has been ignored by most of

the current chemical mechanisms. However, the conclusion that PFA does not exist in the atmosphere is in fact imprudent. Actually, the ignorance of PFA-related chemistry may bring potentially important impact on the predictions of radicals and other trace gases. Therefore, the purpose of the current paper is to demonstrate the existence of atmospheric PFA on the basis of a number of specific observations, to report the concentrations and variations of atmospheric PFA, to give a preliminary description to its atmospheric budget and behaviors, and to discuss the possible implications of PFA and HC(O)O₂ related chemistry on atmospheric chemistry.

2 Methodology

2.1 Site description

Measurements for atmospheric peroxides were conducted separately at two locations, namely, Peking University (PKU) Site and Gucheng (GC) Site.

The PKU site (39.99° N, 116.30° E) is a typical urban observation site located in the campus of Peking University, northwest downtown of the Beijing city. A series of peroxide measurements have been performed in this site since 2006 (He et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2010, 2012; Liang et al., 2013). The campus of PKU is surrounded by two traffic thoroughfares where vehicles keep flowing continuously. The instrumentations were mounted on the top of a six-story building, with the sampling inlet ~ 26 m above the ground. Three peroxide measurements, namely BJ-2012S (11 August–4 September 2012), BJ-2012F (18–28 September) and BJ-2013 (8–27 June 2013), were carried out in this site.

The GC Site (39.16° N, 115.74° E) is a rural site located in the central meteorological bureau (CMB) farm in the North China Plain, ~ 100 km southwest of the Beijing city. The instrumentations were mounted in a container, which was surrounded by vast wheat fields. The sampling inlet was ~ 5 m above the ground. One peroxide measurement, i.e. GC-2013 (10–27 June 2013), was carried out in this site.

Understanding atmospheric peroxyformic acid chemistry

H. Liang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



2.2 Sampling and analyzing method

The sampler used to collect the peroxide components in the ambient air is a scrubbing coil at $\sim 4^\circ\text{C}$, which is a glass made coil with an effective length of $\sim 100\text{ cm}$ and an inside diameter of 2 mm . The ambient air is pumped into this sampler with a flow rate of 2.7 slm (standard liter per minute), to ensure the air resides no more than 2 s in the sampler. In the sampler, the air is mixed with the eluent, $5 \times 10^{-3}\text{ MH}_3\text{PO}_4$ in water, which is delivered into the coil by a peristaltic pump at 0.2 mL min^{-1} . Then the eluent, mixed with the sampled peroxide component, is delivered into the high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system for detection. The residence time in the coil for the eluent is estimated to be $\sim 1\text{ min}$.

The analyzing method for peroxides is the HPLC post column derivatization method, which means that peroxides are derived into fluorescent matters for detection after separated in the HPLC column. Once collected, samples are injected into the HPLC system, carried by the mobile phase, separated in the column and derived for fluorescence detection. The mobile phase for the HPLC system is $5 \times 10^{-3}\text{ MH}_3\text{PO}_4$ with a flow rate of 0.5 mL min^{-1} . The derivatization reagent is a mixture of Hemin and PHPAA (para-hydroxyphenylacetic acid). PHPAA reacts with peroxides to form the fluorescent matter and Hemin serves as the catalyst for the reaction. The derivatization reaction takes place in the $\sim 3\text{ m}$ Teflon tube at a temperature of $\sim 40^\circ\text{C}$. More details about the sampling and analyzing method for peroxides can be found in Hua et al. (2008).

The peroxide components are separated by an HPLC column, in which the retention time for PFA chromatographic peak is $\sim 8.6\text{ min}$ (Huang et al., 2013), between the peaks of hydroxymethyl hydroperoxide (HMHP, $\sim 7.1\text{ min}$) and Bis-hydroxymethyl peroxide (BHMP, $\sim 8.8\text{ min}$). The relative position of PFA peak coincides with that reported in Kok et al. (1995).

PFA is unlikely to be significantly produced in the analytical system. On one hand, the C1 precursor for PFA, HCHO (formaldehyde), was not added in the eluent. On the

Understanding atmospheric peroxyformic acid chemistry

H. Liang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



other hand, $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{HCHO}$ reaction is not able to significantly produce PFA in solution, which is demonstrated by our condition experiments.

2.3 Measurement method for other trace gases

Besides peroxides, other trace gases including SO_2 , CO , NO , NO_2 and O_3 , were monitored simultaneously during each measurement, using a set of corresponding online analyzers (Thermo 42i, 43i, 48i, and 49i analyzers for $\text{NO-NO}_2\text{-NO}_x$, SO_2 , CO and O_3 , respectively). In addition, mass concentrations of $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ were determined by a TEOM 1400a analyzer. The time resolution for the trace gases and $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ were 1 min. At PKU site, meteorological parameters (i.e., ambient temperature, relative humidity, wind direction and wind speed) were recorded continuously by a weather station (Met One Instruments Inc., USA). At GC site, meteorological parameters were not recorded. Instead, we use the 1 h average data provided by local weather station.

2.4 Modeling method

A modeling approach was performed with a box model based on Version 6 of the Carbon Bond (CB6) Mechanism (Yarwood et al., 2010). The original CB6 Mechanism contains 77 gas phase species and 218 gas phase reactions (including photolysis reactions). In this study, the mechanism is extended by PFA and HC(O)O_2 radical related chemistry (2 new species and 21 reactions). The reaction equations are given in detail in Table S1 in the Supplement. The rates of these reactions were assumed to be the same with the corresponding reactions of PAA and peroxyacetyl radical. The initial concentrations of long lived species were set as follows: 1 ppmv CO , 2 ppmv CH_4 , 30 ppbv O_3 and 5 ppbv HCHO . Then the model received emissions of NO and lumped volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and these emissions were maintained throughout the whole model runs. The emission rates of VOCs were calculated based on the VOC emission ratio vs. CO in Beijing reported by Yuan et al. (2012) and a CO emission rate of 2×10^{13} molecules $\text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. The calculated VOC emission

Understanding atmospheric peroxyformic acid chemistry

H. Liang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



rates are listed in Table S2 in the Supplement. NO emission rate was fixed constant throughout a single run and varied over the range from 6.0×10^9 molecules $\text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ to 1.2×10^{12} molecules $\text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ in different model cases. The photolysis frequencies of trace gases were calculated with a Tropospheric Ultraviolet and Visible (TUV, version 5.0; Madronich, 2002) radiation model. In this study, the box model is applied to examine the influence of PFA and HC(O)O_2 radical chemistry on the production of formic acid and the budget of RO_x radicals. The physical losses of trace gases (i.e., deposition and phase transfer) and the changes of the planet boundary layer height were not included in the model runs, thereby enabling the results directly reflecting the upper limit of the potential impact of the mechanistic changes.

3 Observations

3.1 PFA levels

Gas phase PFA concentration data were collected from four field measurements, i.e., BJ-2012S, BJ-2012F, BJ-2013 and GC-2013, as is shown in Fig. 1. PAA concentration data were also presented in Fig. 1 as reference. The detection limit for PFA and PAA was estimated to be 10 pptv (parts per trillion by volume). It should be noted that data under detection limit (D.L.) were not replaced in Fig. 1 in order to better reveal the variation trend, whereas these data were replaced with $\text{D.L.}/\sqrt{2}$ (i.e., 7.1 pptv) for statistical calculation. The mean (\pm SD) concentrations of PFA were 21 ± 19 pptv for BJ-2012S, 10 ± 7 pptv for BJ-2012F, 31 ± 37 pptv for BJ-2013 and 12 ± 13 pptv for GC-2013. It can be seen that PFA concentration showed an evident seasonal and spatial variation. On one hand, PFA showed much higher level in summer (BJ-2012S) than in fall (BJ-2012F) in the year 2012, indicating that PFA is a photochemical product subject to the solar radiation intensity. On the other hand, PFA observed at PKU site was much higher than that observed at GC site at the same time, suggesting that PFA was more readily formed and stayed in polluted area. The typical concentration of PFA in the at-

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

mosphere, as is seen, was within the range from several pptv to dozens of pptv, which contributed only a minor part to the total detected peroxides. However, its total production can be comparable with other peroxides if the rapid degradation of PFA was taken into consideration. It was also found that PFA and PAA were the most often detected peroxides over PKU and GC sites. They were detected even at the time when H_2O_2 and methyl hydroperoxide (MHP) were not detected. Like other peroxides, PFA had an obvious daily cycle, with a rapid growth commencing from $\sim 10:00$ LT and a peak concentration in the late afternoon. High concentrations sometimes occurred at night, implying gas phase photochemistry was not the exclusive source of PFA.

The Henry's law constant of PFA has never been determined in previous studies. Due to the instability of PFA, its Henry's law constant was not able to be determined using the previous estimation methods, e.g., HWINb (Meylan and Howard, 2000), SPARC (Hilal et al., 2008) and GROMHE (Raventos-Duran et al., 2010). Here, we provide an estimation of the Henry's law constant of PFA on the basis of the PFA observation data in both gas phase and rainwater. PFA was determined in the rainwater on 22 June 2013 during GC-2013 measurement. On that day, the rain kept falling from the morning to $\sim 15:00$ LT in the afternoon. Air and rain samples were collected and determined alternately. Figure 2 shows the temporal profile of PFA and PAA in the gas phase and rainwater. It can be seen that PFA and PAA had a similar time variation in both gas and aqueous phase, indicating their sources and sinks were similar. OPAs in the rainwater generally come from two sources: mass transfer from the gas phase and aqueous phase production. In our previous study (Liang et al., 2013), we have concluded that the partitioning of PAA deviated apparently from the Henry's law equilibrium due to the aqueous phase reaction. Given the similar temporal profile with PAA in both phases, it can be inferred that the gas-aqueous phase partitioning of PFA also deviates from the Henry's law equilibrium. Considering the similar behaviors of PFA and PAA, here we use an indirect method to estimate the Henry's law constant of PFA, that is, to make a comparison with PAA in both gas and aqueous phase. As is seen in Fig. 2, the average ratio of PAA to PFA concentration in the gas phase is ~ 5 while the value

Understanding atmospheric peroxyformic acid chemistry

H. Liang et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

turns into ~ 20 in rainwater. Therefore, the Henry's law constant of PFA is estimated to be a quarter of the value of PAA, i.e. $\sim 210 \text{ Matm}^{-1}$ at 298 K. Such low Henry's law constant implies that PFA will majorly partition into the gas phase if it is generated in the aqueous phase. Therefore, aqueous production may serve as a potential source for gas phase PFA. The correlations between PFA and PAA in the gas phase will be further discussed in Sect. 3.2.1.

3.2 Correlations with other peroxides

3.2.1 PFA vs. PAA

Phillips et al. (2013) has reported a strong positive correlation between PAA and three higher molecule weight OPAs. Likewise, we present here a strong positive correlation between PFA and PAA, as illustrated in Fig. 3. The strong correlations between different OPAs indicate that they behave similarly in the atmosphere: they are produced through the oxidation of hydrocarbons and removed through OH-initiated reactions, photolysis and dry deposition. PFA and its precursor, the HC(O)O_2 radical, are instable in the gas phase, as mentioned in Sect. 1. Therefore, PFA concentration is typically lower than PAA. In fact, the ratio of PFA to PAA (PFA/PAA) in the gas phase keeps changing, within a range from 0.05 to 1. Several factors can affect the PFA/PAA ratio. The most important factor seems to be the solar radiation. As is shown in Fig. 1, observed PFA/PAA in June (BJ-2012S) was about 0.10 on average whereas the ratio in August and September (BJ-2012F) was about 0.33 on average. This can be either owing to an easier photochemical production of PAA or an easier photochemical removal of PFA. The same conclusion can as well be drawn from the daily cycle of PFA/PAA: peaking at $\sim 07:00$ LT in the early morning and going down continuously in the daytime. The peak value of PFA/PAA at $\sim 07:00$ LT, reaching ~ 0.70 , is significantly higher than the value at other time. This is because both PFA and PAA tend to be under detection limit after strong removal overnight. As PFA and PAA share a same detection limit, their ratio will be unity when they are both under detection limit. Another possible factor affecting

the PFA/PAA is the NO_x concentration. It is well known that when NO_x is high, the peroxyacetyl radical, $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}_2$, will combine with NO_2 to yield peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) and thus suppress the production of PAA. For PFA, the corresponding peroxyacetyl nitrate, i.e. peroxyformyl nitrate has been proved to be very instable and has never been detected in the atmosphere. It is likely that the suppression of NO_2 on PFA does not exist. As a result, a higher PFA/PAA tended to be obtained at high NO_x period.

3.2.2 PFA vs. MHP

In general, the concentration of MHP greatly exceeded that of PFA. The daily cycles of PFA and MHP are usually similar as both of them are photochemical products. The ratio of their concentrations, however, fluctuates greatly from day to day. On some occasions when the solar radiation intensity is relatively stable, PFA tends to be low on the days when MHP is very high, and vice versa. For example, MHP and PFA seemed to be negatively correlated from 30 August to 4 September 2012 during BJ-2012S, as is shown in Fig. 4. This can be owing to the fact that the production of MHP and PFA is in competition with each other. Both MHP and PFA are C1 peroxides and are generated from CH_3O_2 reactions. The combination of CH_3O_2 with HO_2 favors producing MHP (R4) while the combination of CH_3O_2 with NO will yield HCHO (R6 and R7) and the subsequent reactions will result in the production of PFA. However, as is known, reactions between CH_3O_2 and HO_2 will also produce certain amount of HCHO (R5). In addition, the photolysis and OH-initiated decomposition of CH_3OOH will yield HCHO and hence PFA. Therefore, PFA and MHP have a same source and a competing source simultaneously, leading to the fluctuating ratio of PFA to MHP.



Understanding atmospheric peroxyformic acid chemistry

H. Liang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



3.2.3 PFA vs. H₂O₂

The possible conversion from H₂O₂ to PFA in the condensed phase has been discussed in Sect. 3.1. There is barely any direct correlation between PFA and H₂O₂ in the gas phase. Therefore, in many situations, their temporal profiles differ substantially. H₂O₂ is often under detection limit when its heterogeneous removal is important whereas PFA seems to be free from heterogeneous removal and can always be detected even on aerosol polluted episodes.

4 PFA budget

4.1 PFA sources

Table 1 presents a summary of the known formation and removal pathways for PFA, and provides the possible range and a best guess of the formation and removal rates in summer urban Beijing.

4.1.1 HC(O)O₂ + HO₂

The reaction between peroxy radicals and HO₂ is the major known source for various atmospheric peroxides. For PFA, its corresponding precursor, the HC(O)O₂ radical, generated from the HC(O) + O₂ Reaction (R8), was thought to be instable and can rapidly decompose into CO and HO₂ (Reaction R1). For this reason, Reactions (R8) and (R1) have been simplified as Reaction (R9) in most mechanisms in photochemical models. However, PFA cannot be produced unless stabilized HC(O)O₂ radicals are formed. Therefore, the importance of this source depends on whether and how much stabilized HC(O)O₂ radicals can be produced from the HC(O) + O₂ reactions.



Understanding atmospheric peroxyformic acid chemistry

H. Liang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion




To resolve this issue, efforts were made by a number of previous studies. Yang et al. (2006) proposed that the radical product from the $\text{HC(O)} + \text{O}_2$ reaction could have a stable HC(O)O_2 structure based on the ab initio approach. Villano et al. (2010) observed the isolated HC(O)O_2 radical in a laboratory study using negative ion photoelectron spectroscopy. The corresponding HC(O)O_2 radical in their study, however, was produced by electron photodetachment from the peroxyformyl anion rather than directly from the $\text{HC(O)} + \text{O}_2$ reaction. Therefore, there is no conclusive evidence whether stabilized HC(O)O_2 radical can be produced through the $\text{HC(O)} + \text{O}_2$ reaction. An only existing recommendation for the yield of the stabilized HC(O)O_2 radical from the $\text{HC(O)} + \text{O}_2$ reaction can be found in Demerjian et al. (1974), in which a yield of $\sim 30\%$ was suggested to best fit the experimental data.

To calculate the rate of the $\text{HC(O)O}_2 + \text{HO}_2$ reaction, we assumed that the concentration of HC(O)O_2 radical was 1/100 to 1/10 of the HO_2 concentration. The average concentration of HO_2 radical in summer Beijing, was typically $(1-8) \times 10^8$ molecules cm^{-3} in sunny days, as reported by Liu et al. (2012). Thus we estimated the concentration of HC(O)O_2 radical as $(1-80) \times 10^6$ molecules cm^{-3} . The rate constant of the $\text{HC(O)O}_2 + \text{HO}_2$ reaction was assumed to be the same with the $\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)O}_2 + \text{HO}_2$ reaction. The estimated rate range for the $\text{HC(O)O}_2 + \text{HO}_2$ reaction in summer Beijing was listed in Table 1. To verify the exact contribution of this source, the exact concentration of the HC(O)O_2 radical and the relevant kinetic parameters are required.

4.1.2 $\text{HC(O)O}_2 + \text{HCHO}$

This reaction was proposed in early studies of HCHO photoreactions (e.g., Sodeau and Lee, 1981) and the oxidation of formyl radicals (Osif and Heicklen, 1976). Like the $\text{HC(O)O}_2 + \text{HO}_2$ reaction, the importance of $\text{HC(O)O}_2 + \text{HCHO}$ is also dependent on the yield of stabilized HC(O)O_2 produced from the $\text{HC(O)} + \text{O}_2$ reaction.



The rate constant for this reaction has not been reported yet and was assumed the same with the HO₂ + HCHO reaction.

4.1.3 Chloroethylenes ozonolysis

Another known source for PFA is the ozonolysis of chloroethylenes, which was confirmed in laboratory studies (Niki et al., 1982). This ozonolysis reaction was suggested to produce the H(Cl)COO radical as a Criegee intermediate, which could form PFA via the subsequent reactions. Due to the low concentrations of chloroethylenes in the real atmosphere, the contribution of this reaction to PFA source is limited.

4.1.4 Aqueous photolysis of methylglyoxal

The aqueous phase photolysis was speculated to be a potentially important source for PFA by analogy with the yield of PAA from biacetyl photolysis (Faust et al., 1997). Because methylglyoxal (MG) has a high solubility (with a Henry's law constant of $\sim 3 \times 10^4 \text{ Matm}^{-1}$, Zhou and Mopper, 1990) and is abundant in the gas phase, the typical concentration of MG in the aqueous phase is about 100–300 μM . The listed range of rate in Table 1 is the result of a clear day case. In a foggy day, the production rate of PFA in this pathway could be 2 or 3 orders of magnitude higher than the clear day value, owing to the greatly enhanced liquid water content compared to clear days. An uncertainty for this source is that MG is readily to form hydrate, which may greatly suppress the photolysis (Faust et al., 1997).

4.1.5 HOCH₂OOH + OH

In addition to the above sources, Francisco and Eisfeld (2009) proposed that the OH-initiated reaction of hydroxymethyl hydroperoxide (HMHP) could lead to the production of PFA with a small yield. Because HMHP was usually not detected or at a very low level in summer Beijing under most conditions, we presumed this reaction was of little importance compared to other sources.

According to the range of rates in Table 1, gas phase reactions, in particular $\text{HC(O)O}_2 + \text{HO}_2$ and $\text{HC(O)O}_2 + \text{HCHO}$ reactions are likely to be the major sources for PFA in urban Beijing.

4.2 PFA sinks

As reported in the literatures (e.g., Lee et al., 2000; Reeves and Penkett, 2003), photolysis, OH-reactions and depositions are three major removal pathways for peroxides. Therefore, we first give an estimation of the rates of these pathways.

4.2.1 Photolysis

The rate constants for the photolysis of PFA are estimated by analogy with the corresponding reactions of PAA.

4.2.2 OH-reaction

The rate constants for the OH-reaction of PFA are estimated by analogy with the corresponding reactions of PAA.

4.2.3 Deposition

The deposition rate is the value measured for organic peroxides, which is smaller than or equals to the measured value for H_2O_2 .

4.2.4 Decomposition into formic acid

Besides the above removal pathways, the spontaneous decomposition into formic acid is a significant loss for PFA, even at a low temperature (Giguère and Olmos, 1952). The rate constant for this decomposition, however, has never been investigated to our knowledge. The best guess of the rate in Table 1 is based on the assumption that

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



PFA has a lifetime of 45 min, according to the fact that PFA was not detected but FA was detected after a 45 min reaction of HC(O) oxidation in a laboratory study (Osif and Heicklen, 1976).

4.3 Heterogeneous reactions

The heterogeneous reaction is of potential importance to the budget of PFA at regions with high aerosol loading, such as urban areas. The kinetics and mechanisms for the heterogeneous production or removal of PFA, however, have not been investigated. The observations have provided some evidence for the importance of the heterogeneous reactions. First, high concentrations of PFA occasionally occurred at night, indicating there is some nighttime PFA source. The known gas phase sources, however, are highly subject to the solar radiation and are not able to contribute to a nighttime production. Therefore, nighttime PFA is likely to originate from heterogeneous reactions. Second, in our previous studies, we have proposed that H_2O_2 could convert to PAA rapidly in the aqueous phase under certain conditions (Liang et al., 2013). As PFA and PAA have a similar variation trend in the rainwater, the conversion from H_2O_2 to PFA may also exist. H_2O_2 is known to have abundant sources in the condensed phase, such as the decomposition of organic complexes, the hydrolysis of hydroxyl hydroperoxides and the transition metal ion catalyzed $\text{HO}_2 + \text{O}_2^-$ reactions (Arellanes et al., 2006). Some of these sources are independent of solar radiation, providing favorable conditions for the conversion from H_2O_2 to PFA at both daytime and nighttime. Considering its low solubility and high volatility, PFA could be quickly released into the gas phase once produced in the condensed phase.

5 Atmospheric implications of HC(O)O₂ and PFA chemistry

In this section, we will employ the box model to examine the impact of PFA and HC(O)O₂ radical related chemistry on oxidant budget and formic acid production. PFA

Understanding atmospheric peroxyformic acid chemistry

H. Liang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Understanding atmospheric peroxyformic acid chemistry

H. Liang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



and HC(O)O_2 related reactions listed in Table S1 are included in the box model. As is discussed in Sect. 4.1, the HC(O)O_2 radical reactions are likely to be the major source for PFA. In addition to the PFA producing reactions, the HC(O)O_2 radical can proceed other reaction pathways. Peroxy radicals can react with NO , NO_2 , NO_3 , other organic peroxy radicals and O_3 , as is reviewed by Orlando and Tyndall (2012) recently. Therefore, we assume that HC(O)O_2 radical could proceed the same reaction pathways and that the rate constants are the same with the corresponding reactions of $\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)O}_2$ radical. Aqueous phase or heterogeneous reactions are not included in the current studies, due to the lack of sufficient information. Because the HC(O)O_2 radical production yield from $\text{HC(O)} + \text{O}_2$ reaction is not sure, we use a series of yield values from 10 to 80 % in different model cases. The model results are shown in Figs. 5 and 6.

According to the current knowledge, FA is formed photochemically from the oxidation of biogenic VOCs (majorly isoprene) and their products (Paulot et al., 2011). In the present study, we take into account two new production pathways for FA, i.e., the reaction of the HC(O)O_2 radical with HO_2 or organic peroxy radicals and the degradation of PFA. Figure 5 compares the FA production from HC(O)O_2 chemistry (hereafter HC(O)O_2 pathway) and PFA chemistry (hereafter PFA pathway) and the photo-oxidation of isoprene and its products (hereafter ISO pathway). It is apparent that FA produced from both the HC(O)O_2 and PFA pathways increase linearly with the HC(O)O_2 yield increase, whereas FA produced from the ISO pathway is nearly unaffected by the yield change. It can be seen that the NO_x emission dependences of different pathways are different. Under the high NO emission rate condition ($\geq 6.0 \times 10^{11} \text{ molecules cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$), HC(O)O_2 and PFA pathways generally make minor contribution to the FA production, compared to the ISO pathways. Under this condition, PFA pathway can be comparable with ISO pathway only when the HC(O)O_2 yield reaches 80 %. When the NO emission rate is low ($\leq 1.2 \times 10^{11} \text{ molecules cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$), however, the HC(O)O_2 and PFA pathways will dominate over the ISO pathways, even if the HC(O)O_2 yield is only 10 %. This result indicates that the HC(O)O_2 and PFA pathways are the major FA sources under low NO_x conditions. In our modeling cases, the maxi-

Understanding atmospheric peroxyformic acid chemistry

H. Liang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



5 mum FA production rates from HC(O)O_2 and PFA pathways are calculated to be from 0.004 to 0.03 ppbv h^{-1} at a HC(O)O_2 yield of from 10 to 80 %. Due to the chemical inertness of FA, this production rate will result in the accumulation of FA in the atmosphere. In addition to the gas phase reactions, PFA can also react with aldehydes in the aqueous or aerosol phase to form FA. This part of FA production was not included in the present study, as mentioned above. Because methane and formaldehyde, the precursors of HC(O)O_2 and PFA, are existing ubiquitously in the global atmosphere, the FA formation from HC(O)O_2 and PFA pathways is of importance in the understanding of missing secondary sources for FA (Paulot et al., 2011).

10 Figure 6 shows the percentage change of OH, HO_2 and O_3 concentrations as well as HO_2 production rate after the inclusion of HC(O)O_2 and PFA chemistry in the box model. Interestingly, we found that the HC(O)O_2 and PFA chemistry leads to a decrease of OH and HO_2 under low NO emission conditions ($\leq 1.2 \times 10^{11} \text{ molecules cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) but an increase of OH and HO_2 under high NO emission conditions ($\geq 6.0 \times 10^{11} \text{ molecules cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$). Because the HC(O) + O_2 Reaction (R9) is one of the major primary sources of HO_2 , the addition of the HC(O)O_2 radical production pathway (R8) in the model would suppress the HO_2 production. However, the formation of the HC(O)O_2 radical will not lead to the loss of total RO_x (OH, HO_2 and RO_2) radicals, as HC(O)O_2 radical can recycle back to HO_2 and subsequently OH via its reaction with NO. The loss of RO_x radical occurs until the HC(O)O_2 radical combines with HO_2 to form PFA and PFA is removed through dry deposition or degradation into FA. Under low NO emission conditions, the HC(O)O_2 radical favors combining with HO_2 radical to form PFA, thus resulting in the net loss of RO_x radicals. Under high NO emission conditions, however, the HC(O)O_2 radical favors reacting with NO to yield HO_2 , thus leading to no net loss of RO_x radicals but the weakened suppression of NO on HO_x . Overall, the impact of mechanistic change on OH and HO_2 is not significant when the HC(O)O_2 yield is less than 20 %. If the HC(O)O_2 yield is 50 % or higher, however, the HC(O)O_2 and PFA chemistry has to be considered to perform a better simulation for both the concentrations and budgets of OH and HO_2 . In addition, the mechanistic change will

not result in a great change of ozone concentration even if the HC(O)O₂ yield is up to 80 %.

6 Summary and conclusions

For the first time, the observation data of peroxyformic acid (PFA) obtained from four field measurements, namely, BJ-2012S, BJ-2012F, BJ-2013 and GC-2013, were presented in the current study. These measurements have made clear that PFA can stay in the atmosphere in a certain amount, typically dozens of pptv. Like other peroxides, PFA was photochemically produced, reaching a peak concentration in the late afternoon. Rainwater measurements demonstrated that PFA can also stay in the atmospheric aqueous phase. The Henry's law constant for PFA, however, is very low, reaching only a quarter of that for PAA. This value suggested that PFA would rapidly release into the gas phase once formed in the aqueous phase. On the basis of an analysis of the correlations between PFA and other peroxides, we found that PFA had a strong positive correlation with PAA, which may be due to their similar sources and sinks. In contrast, PFA was sometimes inversely correlated with MHP, due to their competitive production.

Based on the comparison of possible reaction rates of each source or sink, we proposed that the peroxyformyl radical (HC(O)O₂) reactions are the major sources and the degradation into formic acid is the major sink for PFA. Box model calculations based on Carbon Bond 6 (CB6) mechanism were performed to evaluate the impact of HC(O)O₂ and PFA chemistry on FA production and radical budget. The results showed that HC(O)O₂ and PFA reactions are significant sources for FA under low NO emission conditions, with a maximum production rate of from 0.004 to 0.030 ppbv h⁻¹, depending on the yield of HC(O)O₂ radical from HC(O) + O₂ reaction. The neglect of HC(O)O₂ and PFA chemistry will cause a model bias of less than 10 % for OH and HO₂ concentrations, provided the HC(O)O₂ radical yield from HC(O) + O₂ reaction is below 20 %. However, if this yield reaches 50 % or higher, HC(O)O₂ and PFA chemistry should be considered for radical prediction.

Understanding atmospheric peroxyformic acid chemistry

H. Liang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



The Supplement related to this article is available online at
doi:10.5194/acpd-15-2055-2015-supplement.

Acknowledgements. This work was financed by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grants 41275125, 21190053, 21477002). The authors acknowledge the Gucheng observation team for supporting the field peroxide measurement. The authors wish to thank Y. S. Wu and M. Hu (Peking University) for supporting the data of the meteorological factors and common gases used in this publication.

References

- Arellanes, C., Paulson, S. E., Fine, P. M., and Sioutas, C.: Exceeding of Henry's law by hydrogen peroxide associated with urban aerosols, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 40, 4859–4866, doi:10.1021/es0513786, 2006.
- Demerjian, K. L., Kerr, J. A., and Calvert, J. G.: *Advances in Environmental Science and Technology*, Wiley, New York, 189 pp., 1974.
- Faust, B. C., Powell, K., Rao, C. J., and Anastasio, C.: Aqueous-phase photolysis of biacetyl (an α -dicarbonyl compound): a sink for biacetyl, and a source of acetic acid, peroxyacetic acid, hydrogen peroxide, and the highly oxidizing acetylperoxyl radical in aqueous aerosols, fogs, and clouds, *Atmos. Environ.*, 31, 497–510, doi:10.1016/s1352-2310(96)00171-9, 1997.
- Francisco, J. S. and Eisfeld, W.: Atmospheric oxidation mechanism of hydroxymethyl hydroperoxide, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 113, 7593–7600, doi:10.1021/jp901735z, 2009.
- Giguère, P. A. and Olmos, A. W.: A spectroscopic study of hydrogen bonding in performic and peracetic acids, *Can. J. Chem.*, 30, 821–830, doi:10.1139/v52-099, 1952.
- Hall, B. D. and Claiborn, C. S.: Measurements of the dry deposition of peroxides to a Canadian boreal forest, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 29343–29353, doi:10.1029/97JD01113, 1997.
- He, S. Z., Chen, Z. M., Zhang, X., Zhao, Y., Huang, D. M., Zhao, J. N., Zhu, T., Hu, M., and Zeng, L. M.: Measurement of atmospheric hydrogen peroxide and organic peroxides in Beijing before and during the 2008 Olympic Games: chemical and physical factors influencing their concentrations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 115, D17307, doi:10.1029/2009jd013544, 2010.

Understanding atmospheric peroxyformic acid chemistry

H. Liang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Understanding
atmospheric
peroxyformic acid
chemistry**

H. Liang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Hilal, S. H., Ayyampalayam, S. N., and Carreira, L. A.: Air-liquid partition coefficient for a diverse set of organic compounds: Henry's law constant in water and hexadecane, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 42, 9231–9236, 2008.
- Hua, W., Chen, Z. M., Jie, C. Y., Kondo, Y., Hofzumahaus, A., Takegawa, N., Chang, C. C.,
5 Lu, K. D., Miyazaki, Y., Kita, K., Wang, H. L., Zhang, Y. H., and Hu, M.: Atmospheric hydrogen peroxide and organic hydroperoxides during PRIDE-PRD'06, China: their concentration, formation mechanism and contribution to secondary aerosols, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 8, 6755–6773, doi:10.5194/acp-8-6755-2008, 2008.
- Huang, D., Chen, Z. M., Zhao, Y., and Liang, H.: Newly observed peroxides and the water effect on the formation and removal of hydroxyalkyl hydroperoxides in the ozonolysis of isoprene,
10 *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 5671–5683, doi:10.5194/acp-13-5671-2013, 2013.
- Kok, G. L., McLaren, S. E., and Staffbach, T. A.: HPLC determination of atmospheric organic hydroperoxides, *J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech.*, 12, 282–289, doi:10.1175/1520-0426(1995)012<0282:HDOAOH>2.0.CO;2, 1995.
- 15 Kroll, J. H. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Chemistry of secondary organic aerosol: formation and evolution of low-volatility organics in the atmosphere, *Atmos. Environ.*, 42, 3593–3624, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.01.003, 2008.
- Langford, A. O. and Moore, C. B.: Reaction and relaxation of vibrationally excited formyl radicals, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 80, 4204–4210, doi:10.1063/1.447251, 1984.
- 20 Lee, M., Heikes, B. G., and O'Sullivan, D. W.: Hydrogen peroxide and organic hydroperoxide in the troposphere: a review, *Atmos. Environ.*, 34, 3475–3494, doi:10.1016/s1352-2310(99)00432-x, 2000.
- Liang, H., Chen, Z. M., Huang, D., Zhao, Y., and Li, Z. Y.: Impacts of aerosols on the chemistry of atmospheric trace gases: a case study of peroxides and HO₂ radicals, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 11259–11276, doi:10.5194/acp-13-11259-2013, 2013.
- 25 Liu, Z., Wang, Y., Gu, D., Zhao, C., Huey, L. G., Stickel, R., Liao, J., Shao, M., Zhu, T., Zeng, L., Amoroso, A., Costabile, F., Chang, C.-C., and Liu, S.-C.: Summertime photochemistry during CAREBeijing-2007: RO_x budgets and O₃ formation, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 7737–7752, doi:10.5194/acp-12-7737-2012, 2012.
- 30 Madronich, S.: The Tropospheric Visible Ultra-violet (TUV) model web page, available at: <http://www.acd.ucar.edu/TUV/> (last access: 21 October 2013), 2002.
- Madronich, S. and Calvert, J. G.: Permutation reactions of organic peroxy-radicals in the troposphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 95, 5697–5715, 1990.

**Understanding
atmospheric
peroxyformic acid
chemistry**

H. Liang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Maker, P. D., Niki, H., Savage, C. M., and Breitenbach, L. P.: Fourier transform infrared spectrometric determination of gaseous performic acid, *Anal. Chem.*, 49, 1346–1347, doi:10.1021/ac50017a016, 1977.

Meylan, W. M. and Howard, P. H.: Src's epi suite, v3.20, Syracuse Research Corporation, Syracuse, NY, 2000.

Niki, H., Maker, P. D., Savage, C. M., Breitenbach, L. P., Martinez, R. I., and Heron, J. T.: A Fourier transform infrared study of the gas-phase reactions of ozone with chloroethylenes. Detection of peroxyformic acid, *J. Phys. Chem.-US*, 86, 1858–1861, doi:10.1021/j100207a024, 1982.

Niki, H., Maker, P. D., Savage, C. M., and Breitenbach, L. P.: FTIR study of the kinetics and mechanism for Cl-atom-initiated reactions of acetaldehyde, *J. Phys. Chem.-US*, 89, 588–591, doi:10.1021/j100250a008, 1985.

Orlando, J. J. and Tyndall, G. S.: Laboratory studies of organic peroxy radical chemistry: an overview with emphasis on recent issues of atmospheric significance, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 41, 6294–6317, doi:10.1039/C2cs35166h, 2012.

Osif, T. L. and Heicklen, J.: Oxidation of formyl radicals, *J. Phys. Chem.-US*, 80, 1526–1531, doi:10.1021/j100555a002, 1976.

Paulot, F., Wunch, D., Crounse, J. D., Toon, G. C., Millet, D. B., DeCarlo, P. F., Vigouroux, C., Deutscher, N. M., González Abad, G., Notholt, J., Warneke, T., Hannigan, J. W., Warneke, C., de Gouw, J. A., Dunlea, E. J., De Mazière, M., Griffith, D. W. T., Bernath, P., Jimenez, J. L., and Wennberg, P. O.: Importance of secondary sources in the atmospheric budgets of formic and acetic acids, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 1989–2013, doi:10.5194/acp-11-1989-2011, 2011.

Phillips, G. J., Pouvesle, N., Thieser, J., Schuster, G., Axinte, R., Fischer, H., Williams, J., Lelieveld, J., and Crowley, J. N.: Peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) and peroxyacetic acid (PAA) measurements by iodide chemical ionisation mass spectrometry: first analysis of results in the boreal forest and implications for the measurement of PAN fluxes, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 1129–1139, doi:10.5194/acp-13-1129-2013, 2013.

Raventos-Duran, T., Camredon, M., Valorso, R., Mouchel-Vallon, C., and Aumont, B.: Structure-activity relationships to estimate the effective Henry's law constants of organics of atmospheric interest, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 7643–7654, doi:10.5194/acp-10-7643-2010, 2010.

**Understanding
atmospheric
peroxyformic acid
chemistry**

H. Liang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Reeves, C. E. and Penkett, S. A.: Measurements of peroxides and what they tell us, *Chem. Rev.*, 103, 5199–5218, doi:10.1021/cr0205053, 2003.
- Sander, S. P., Abbatt, J., Barker, J. R., Burkholder, J. B., Friedl, R. R., Golden, D. M., Huie, R. E., Kolb, C. E., Kurylo, M. J., Moortgat, G. K., Orkin, V. L., and Wine, P. H.: Chemical Kinetics and Photochemical Data for Use in Atmospheric Studies, Evaluation No. 17, JPL Publication 10-6, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, CA, USA, available at: <http://jpldataeval.jpl.nasa.gov> (last access: June 2012), 2011.
- Sodeau, J. S. and Lee, E. K.: Photoreactions of formaldehyde, *Rev. Chem. Intermed.*, 4, 259–296, doi:10.1007/BF03052417, 1981.
- Stein, A. F. and Saylor, R. D.: Sensitivities of sulfate aerosol formation and oxidation pathways on the chemical mechanism employed in simulations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 8567–8574, doi:10.5194/acp-12-8567-2012, 2012.
- Villano, S. M., Eyet, N., Wren, S. W., Ellison, G. B., Bierbaum, V. M., and Lineberger, W. C.: Photoelectron spectroscopy and thermochemistry of the peroxyformate anion, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 114, 191–200, doi:10.1021/jp907569w, 2010.
- Yang, H. C., Chen, H. L., and Ho, J. J.: Ab initio study of intramolecular hydrogen transfer in formylperoxy radical, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 774, 35–41, doi:10.1016/j.theochem.2006.07.003, 2006.
- Yarwood, G., Jung, J., Whitten, G. Z., Heo, G., Mellberg, J., and Estes, M.: Updates to the Carbon Bond mechanism for version 6 (CB6), in: 2010 CMAS Conference, Chapel Hill, NC, 11–13 October, available at: http://www.cmascenter.org/conference/2010/abstracts/emery_updates_carbon_2010.pdf (last access: 22 October 2013), 2010.
- Yuan, B., Shao, M., de Gouw, J., Parrish, D. D., Lu, S., Wang, M., Zeng, L., Zhang, Q., Song, Y., Zhang, J., and Hu, M.: Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in urban air: how chemistry affects the interpretation of positive matrix factorization (PMF) analysis, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 117, D24302, doi:10.1029/2012jd018236, 2012.
- Zhang, J., Hatakeyama, S., and Akimoto, H.: Rate constants of the reaction of ozone with trans-1,2-dichloroethene and vinyl-chloride in air, *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.*, 15, 655–668, doi:10.1002/kin.550150707, 1983.
- Zhang, X., Chen, Z. M., He, S. Z., Hua, W., Zhao, Y., and Li, J. L.: Peroxyacetic acid in urban and rural atmosphere: concentration, feedback on PAN-NO_x cycle and implication on radical chemistry, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 737–748, doi:10.5194/acp-10-737-2010, 2010.

**Understanding
atmospheric
peroxyformic acid
chemistry**

H. Liang et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

- Zhang, X., He, S. Z., Chen, Z. M., Zhao, Y., and Hua, W.: Methyl hydroperoxide (CH_3OOH) in urban, suburban and rural atmosphere: ambient concentration, budget, and contribution to the atmospheric oxidizing capacity, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 8951–8962, doi:10.5194/acp-12-8951-2012, 2012.
- 5 Zhou, X. and Mopper, K.: Apparent partition coefficients of 15 carbonyl compounds between air and seawater and between air and freshwater; implications for air–sea exchange, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 24, 1864–1869, 1990.
- Ziemann, P. J. and Atkinson, R.: Kinetics, products, and mechanisms of secondary organic aerosol formation, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 41, 6582–6605, doi:10.1039/C2CS35122F, 2012.

Understanding atmospheric peroxyformic acid chemistry

H. Liang et al.

Table 1. An estimation of the rates of atmospheric PFA sources and sinks in Beijing.

| Source or sink | No. | Reactions | Reference | Range of rates (ppbv h ⁻¹) | Best guess of rates (ppbv h ⁻¹) |
|----------------|-----|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| source | P1 | HC(O)OO + HO ₂ → 0.4HC(O)OOH | Sander et al. (2011) | 8.2 × 10 ⁻⁵ –5.2 × 10 ⁻¹ | 6.6 × 10 ⁻³ |
| source | P2 | HC(O)OO + HCHO → HC(O)OOH | Osif and Heicklen (1976) | 1.8 × 10 ⁻⁵ –1.4 | 1.8 × 10 ⁻² |
| source | P3 | chloroethylenes ozonolysis | Zhang et al. (1983) | 1.8 × 10 ⁻⁵ –3.5 × 10 ⁻³ | 2.2 × 10 ⁻⁴ |
| source | P4 | aqueous methyl glyoxal photolysis | Faust et al. (1997) | 4.9 × 10 ⁻⁷ –2.4 × 10 ⁻⁴ | 7.6 × 10 ⁻⁶ |
| source | P5 | HOCH ₂ OOH + OH → HC(O)OOH | Francisco and Eisfeld (2009) | – | – |
| sink | L1 | HC(O)OOH + hν → products | Sander et al. (2011) | 3.6 × 10 ⁻⁶ –3.6 × 10 ⁻³ | 7.2 × 10 ⁻⁵ |
| sink | L2 | HC(O)OOH + OH → products | NCAR MM (Madronich and Calvert, 1990) | 3.6 × 10 ⁻⁴ –3.2 × 10 ⁻² | 2.9 × 10 ⁻³ |
| sink | L3 | dry deposition | Hall and Claiborn (1997) | 9.0 × 10 ⁻⁵ –2.9 × 10 ⁻² | 7.2 × 10 ⁻⁴ |
| sink | L4 | 2HC(O)OOH → 2HC(O)OH + O ₂ | – | – | 2.7 × 10 ⁻² |
| unknown | U1 | heterogeneous reactions | – | – | – |

P represents the production pathways; *L* represents the loss pathways; *U* represents that the pathway is uncertain to be a source or sink.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion






Figure 1. Temporal profiles of PFA and PAA during four field measurements.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Understanding atmospheric peroxyformic acid chemistry

H. Liang et al.




Figure 2. PFA and PAA in the rainwater (a) and gas phase (b) on 22 June 2013, GC-2013.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Understanding
atmospheric
peroxyformic acid
chemistry

H. Liang et al.




Figure 3. Relationship between PFA and PAA and the hourly average ratio of PFA to PAA for all data during BJ-2012S.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Understanding
atmospheric
peroxyformic acid
chemistry

H. Liang et al.




Figure 4. Daily average MHP and PFA from 30 August to 4 September 2012 during BJ-2012S.




Figure 5. The production rates of formic acid (FA) from different pathways at different NO emission rate. Black line: FA production rates from HC(O)O₂ reaction; red line: FA production rates from PFA decomposition; blue line: FA production rates from other pathways; green line: the sum of the above three pathways. *Y*: the yield of HC(O)O₂ from HC(O) + O₂ reactions.




Figure 6. The percentage change of OH, HO₂ concentrations and HO₂ production rate due to the mechanistic change at different NO emission rates and different yield of HC(O)O₂ from HC(O) + O₂ reactions. Y: the yield of HC(O)O₂ from HC(O) + O₂ reactions.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)