



Ozone cross section  
reduces air quality  
compliance

E. D. Sofen et al.

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ACP if available.

# Updated ozone absorption cross section will reduce air quality compliance

E. D. Sofen<sup>1</sup>, M. J. Evans<sup>1,2</sup>, and A. C. Lewis<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Wolfson Atmospheric Chemistry Laboratories, Department of Chemistry, University of York, York, YO10 5DD, UK

<sup>2</sup>National Centre for Atmospheric Science, Department of Chemistry, University of York, York, YO10 5DD, UK

Received: 24 June 2015 – Accepted: 3 July 2015 – Published: 17 July 2015

Correspondence to: E. D. Sofen (esofen@gmail.com)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## Abstract

Photometric ozone measurements rely upon an accurate value of the ozone absorption cross section at 253.65 nm. This has recently been reevaluated by Viallon et al. (2015) as 1.8 % smaller than the accepted value (Hearn, 1961) used for the preceding fifty years. Thus, ozone measurements that applied the older cross section systematically underestimate the amount of ozone in air. We correct the reported historical surface data from North America and Europe and find that this modest change in cross section has a significant impact on the number of locations that are out of compliance with air quality regulations if the air quality standards remain the same. We find 18, 23, and 20 % increases in the number of sites that are out of compliance with current US, Canadian, and European ozone air quality health standards for the year 2012. Should the new cross section value be applied, it would impact attainment of air quality standards and compliance with relevant clean air acts, unless the air quality target values themselves were also changed proportionately. We draw attention to how a small change in gas metrology has a global impact on attainment and compliance with legal air quality standards. We suggest that further laboratory work to evaluate the new cross section is needed and suggest three possible technical and policy responses should the new cross section be adopted.

## 1 Introduction

Surface ozone is a significant global air pollutant that is detrimental to human health, crops, and natural ecosystems through its oxidative damage to respiratory systems and the leaves of plants (National Research Council, 2008; McDonnell et al., 1993; Bell et al., 2004; Bell and Treshow, 2002; Lefohn and Runeckles, 1987). In order to reduce human exposure to ozone pollution, various legislative frameworks have been put in place by environmental agencies around the world. The United States, Canada, and European Union all maintain air quality regulations that determine compliance based

ACPD

15, 19537–19551, 2015

## Ozone cross section reduces air quality compliance

E. D. Sofen et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



on exceedances of a threshold value of maximum daily eight-hour average (MDA8) ozone on an annual basis averaged over three years. The standards and corresponding monitoring networks for the US, Canada, and EU are described in Table 1.

The abundance of ozone near the Earth's surface has been intermittently measured since the late 1800s (Volz and Kley, 1988; Marengo et al., 1994; Pavelin et al., 1999). In the 1970s, North American and European nations began to develop systematic networks for the continuous monitoring of the concentration of surface ozone in a range of environments (roadside, urban, suburban, rural, remote) for the purposes of air quality monitoring and regulation. Concerted regulatory efforts to reduce ozone precursors have resulted in a decline in peak ozone concentrations in both the US and EU over the past decade (Cooper et al., 2014; Rieder et al., 2013).

There are a range of techniques to measure ozone (Parrish and Fehsenfeld, 2000). However the vast majority ozone measurements, especially for regulatory monitoring, are made using dual-cell UV absorption spectrophotometers such as the Thermo Environmental Instruments Inc., Model 49. Fundamentally, this approach relies upon the Beer–Lambert law with the critical parameters being the length of the cell, the absorption cross section of ozone at 253.65 nm, and the ozone concentration. Historically, the ozone cross section used for surface observations and the standard reference photometer has been  $11.476 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ molecule}^{-1}$  based on the work of Hearn (1961). This has allowed for the systematic measurement of the ozone.

Despite the wide use of these absorption techniques for the measurement of ozone, they are only as accurate as the fundamental physical parameters used in the conversion of absorption to concentration. Recent re-measurement of the absorption cross sections by Viallon et al. (2015) find a cross section of  $(11.27 \pm 0.097) \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ molecule}^{-1}$  (mean  $\pm 2\sigma$ ) that is 1.8 % lower than the Hearn evaluation. If the Viallon et al. (2015) absorption cross section is officially adopted, this will imply that the ozone observations in ambient air are systematically 1.8 % higher than previously reported.

## Ozone cross section reduces air quality compliance

E. D. Sofen et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Ozone cross section  
reduces air quality  
compliance**

E. D. Sofen et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



This 1.8% change in the ozone absorption cross section appears to be modest. However, the pass/fail nature of air quality standards and the reality that many sites are just below an air quality standard threshold means that a modest increase in ozone has the potential to place many sites over the limit and force them out of compliance with the appropriate legislation.

In this work, we explore the impact of the new ozone cross section value and the impact of a historical underestimate of ozone on compliance with air quality regulations. We use US, Canadian, and European ozone monitoring data and evaluate the number of sites that are out of compliance with the current cross section. We then repeat the evaluation of the same metrics with the  $(1.8 \pm 0.9)\%$  increase in ozone concentration and evaluate the impact. Finally, we also consider the need to reprocess the historical datasets so that trends can be appropriately calculated.

## 2 Ozone observations

We use the publicly available air quality monitoring datasets from the United States Environmental Protection Agency Air Quality System (EPA AQS), Environment Canada's National Air Pollution Surveillance Program (NAPS), and the European Environment Agency (EEA) AirBase. The EPA AQS represents data collected for the enforcement of the US Clean Air Act and consists of ozone measurements from up to 2326 sites. NAPS is a similar network for Canada that is made up of 369 sites. The EU AirBase is a composite database made up of air quality data contributed by 40 European member states with a total of 3524 sites that measure ozone. The vast majority of observations are made using UV absorption instruments, with a very small subset using other methods such as chemiluminescence. Out of 2326 EPA sites that have reported ozone, only 52 have used chemiluminescence at some point since 1993. None are used in 2012. Eight of the 3524 AirBase sites use chemiluminescence in 2012. For each dataset, we calculate whether or not a site is in compliance with the relevant air quality standard for each year (Table 1). According to the definitions of all three standards, this repre-

**Ozone cross section reduces air quality compliance**

E. D. Sofen et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

sents an average over the three years. That is, the 2012 determination averages over 2010–2012. Our calculations may differ slightly from official governmental air quality exceedance tallies due to differences in quality control and legislative changes in air quality threshold values over time. We adopt a conservative approach of requiring data for all years included in the three-year rolling average, whereas the EU regulations allow for determinations based on a single year of observations.

### 3 Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Air quality violations with the Hearn (1961) cross section

We apply here the US, Canadian and EU ozone air quality exceedance calculations to their respective datasets using the mixing ratios/concentrations as provided (e.g. using the Hearn (1961) cross section). Figure 1 shows the locations of the datasets that fail to comply with these metrics in North America and Europe in pink for 2012. Applicable air quality thresholds are exceeded at 179 EPA AQS sites, 30 Canadian NAPS sites, and 215 EU AirBase sites. Based on visual inspection, the map of US exceedances agrees well with the current EPA non attainment areas (EPA, 2015b, a), although the comparison is complicated by the county-level determinations of non-attainment areas done by the EPA. The European exceedances show near perfect agreement with official European Environment Agency maps (Map 2.3) (EEA, 2013), with slight differences through Spain and the Balkans likely due to either the summertime-focus of the EEA calculation or different data completeness thresholds.

#### 3.2 Air quality violations with Viallon et al. (2015) cross section

We now repeat the previous assessment but increase the ozone concentrations by 1.8% to reflect the absorption new cross sections from Viallon et al. (2015). The red markers in Fig. 1 show the locations of additional sites in 2012 which would violate the air quality standard with the Viallon et al. (2015) cross section that did not violate the

standards under the older Hearn (1961) cross section. There are an additional 33 US EPA sites, 7 Canadian NAPS sites, and 42 EU AirBase sites that exceed prevailing air quality standards solely due to the adjustment in absorption cross section. This corresponds to a fractional increase of 18, 23, and 20% in US, Canadian, and European exceedances, respectively.

The new air quality exceedances tend to be located around the periphery of regions that are already out of compliance with the air quality standards. However, in North America, a number of new air quality exceedances appear in northern New England, Illinois, and South Carolina unconnected to existing regions of exceedance.

As shown in Fig. 2, depending on the year, there are up to an additional 25% of sites that exceed their air quality standard simply due to the adjusted concentrations using the new Viallon et al. (2015) cross section. We calculate the uncertainty in the fractional increase based on the propagation of the  $2\sigma$  uncertainty in the Viallon et al. (2015) absorption cross section. A greater fraction of additional sites fall out of compliance under the Viallon et al. (2015) cross section in recent years (e.g. 2008–2012) than in earlier years (e.g. 1990–2000) because there are a greater number of sites in more recent years that have become compliant with the current regulatory standards under the Hearn (1961) cross section but that are still very near the threshold. This is in part due to the tightening of ozone regulatory standards over this time period, meaning that in the earlier time period, more sites were out of compliance with current regulatory standards, whereas in recent years they sit just below the regulatory threshold, making them susceptible to exceedance with the 1.8% increase in ozone. Though the regulatory standards in the EU and North America are calculated differently, the changes in both regions are very similar.

While the re-evaluation of the absorption cross section of ozone by Viallon et al. (2015) does mean that ozone concentrations were higher than previously thought, we note that ozone exposure and human health impact studies also relied on measurements made using the Hearn (1961) cross section. Therefore, there is no inherent inconsistency between the regulatory standards set for health purposes, or ecosystem

## Ozone cross section reduces air quality compliance

E. D. Sofen et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



metrics such as AOT40 (accumulated amount of ozone over 40 ppb during daytime during the growing season), and the concentration/mixing ratio values from ozone monitoring using the Hearn (1961) cross section. However, given the tendency of legislative and regulatory bodies to adjust standards in roughly 5 ppbv/10  $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$  increments, it is worth noting that much smaller changes in the reported concentration of ozone can have significant implications for air quality regulation.

The  $2\sigma$  uncertainty in absorption cross section of  $\pm 0.9\%$  contributes to an uncertainty of approximately  $\pm 15\%$  in the increase in sites in noncompliance due to the change in the absorption cross section, as shown in Fig. 2. Further metrological work to reduce the uncertainty in, and indeed to confirm, the Viallon et al. (2015) absorption cross section may be needed. If the fractional uncertainty in the absorption cross section is reduced to  $\pm 0.1\%$ , this reduces the uncertainty in compliance to less than  $\pm 3\%$ . Reducing the uncertainty in the absorption cross section will represent a major analytical challenge, with the largest sources of uncertainty coming from the absorption cell path length, ozone mole fraction uncertainties, and pressure measurements (Viallon et al., 2015). Equivalently, there would be at most 4 AirBase sites whose compliance status would be uncertain if the fractional uncertainty on the absorption cross section were reduced to  $\pm 0.1\%$ . There are numerous other sources of uncertainty in ozone measurements (Klausen et al., 2003; Wilson and Birks, 2006) but they generally represent random errors, whereas updates to the absorption cross section represent correction of a systematic bias.

The widespread use of ozone photometric measurements in atmospheric chemistry is likely to require also some adjustments to laboratory kinetic data for ozone reactions. Observations of species such as hydroxyl radicals, that rely on known ozone amounts for calibration, will also require adjustment. In many cases the adjustment is however likely to be within existing measurement uncertainties.

## Ozone cross section reduces air quality compliance

E. D. Sofen et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



### 3.3 Future adoption of the Viallon et al. (2015) cross section

Given a potential change in the accepted cross section (something that would ultimately require a recommendation from the International Union of Physical and Applied Chemistry IUPAC), regulatory agencies, global monitoring entities, and instrument manufacturers will need to make decisions about how future observations are made and how historic data is reprocessed. In practical terms, formally updating the absorption cross section in existing instruments is not straightforward, typically requiring an update to firmware within the instrument. However, a potential workaround for users would be a one-off modification of the calibration slope “span” parameters to reflect a 1.8 % change through the instrument calibration software interface. The inclusion of updated parameters would also occur when new instruments are installed (assuming manufacturers opt to use the Viallon et al., 2015, cross section). A typical lifespan for an ozone instrument in use for operational air quality monitoring is around 10 years, which would result in slow increment in updated values entering the global dataset. In both cases it would be essential that such changes, whether to existing instruments or to new ones, are robustly recorded within metadata submissions that accompany observational data. In both cases it would be difficult to detect the change independently using step-change statistical methods, but it would lead to a small spurious positive trend.

## 4 Conclusions: policy options

If proven correct, the application of an updated value for the ozone cross section at 253.65 nm leads to a significant (10–25 %) increase in the number of sites in North America and Europe that become noncompliant with local air quality regulations. Such an increase is very significant in the context of pollution control and the legal attainment of national air quality targets, and it is highly likely that substantial policy and technical

## Ozone cross section reduces air quality compliance

E. D. Sofen et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion





responses will be required globally. We consider that there are three broad possible scenarios that may be adopted to reflect an updated ozone cross section value.

- Continue to use the Hearn (1961) value for surface ozone monitoring and air quality limit values. Only adopt the Viallon et al. (2015) value when the reporting of the absolute amount of ozone is essential, such as when ozone is included in radiative forcing calculations. This would move the observation of surface ozone away from traceability to SI amount of substance to a measurement scale.
- Adopt the updated cross section values within national and global ozone measurement networks, while maintaining current air quality standards. Such a policy would effectively amount to a 1.8% tightening in national air quality standards and an increase in regulatory noncompliance. There are potentially costly legal ramifications for regulatory agencies when additional sites are pushed into non-compliance because of this “moving of the goalposts.”
- Adopt the updated cross section values within measurement networks and change the air quality standards by the same proportion. This will maintain the same level of air quality attainment. Such an approach would almost certainly require legislative changes in many countries, something that might potentially be subsumed within a larger limit value change, e.g. at the 5 ppbv or  $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$  unit increment.

A concerted effort to re-measure the cross section and provide confirming evidence is needed. However, if the new Viallon et al. (2015) absorption cross section is ultimately adopted for new instruments, there would be a significant issue for air quality compliance. A decision on the best path forward for the sake of air quality and human health, ozone research, and policy must be addressed by a combination of air quality scientists, data managers, and policy-makers.

We also identify the importance of adopting a globally consistent approach in the analysis of trends in surface ozone. Unless a common re-evaluation is made of the

## Ozone cross section reduces air quality compliance

E. D. Sofen et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion





Tech. Rep. 3/2013, European Environment Agency, Copenhagen, doi:10.2800/70933, 2013. 19541

EPA (Environmental Protection Agency): National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Ozone; Final Rule, in: Federal Register, Washington, D.C., Vol. 73, p. 16436, 2008. 19549

EPA (Environmental Protection Agency): Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Maryland; Determination of Attainment of the 2008 8-Hour Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard for the Baltimore, Maryland Moderate Nonattainment Area, in: Federal Register, Washington, D.C., Vol. 80, 14041–14044, 2015a. 19541

EPA (Environmental Protection Agency): 8-Hour Ozone Nonattainment Areas (2008 Standard) in EPA Green Book, available at: [http://www.epa.gov/airquality/greenbook/map8hr\\_2008.html](http://www.epa.gov/airquality/greenbook/map8hr_2008.html) (last access: 23 March 2015), 2015b. 19541

Hearn, A. G.: The absorption of ozone in the ultra-violet and visible regions of the spectrum, P. Phys. Soc., 78, 932, 1961. 19538, 19539, 19541, 19542, 19543, 19545, 19550

Klausen, J., Zellweger, C., Buchmann, B., and Hofer, P.: Uncertainty and bias of surface ozone measurements at selected Global Atmosphere Watch sites, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4622, doi:10.1029/2003JD003710, 2003. 19543

Lefohn, A. S. and Runeckles, V. C.: Establishing standards to protect vegetation – ozone exposure/dose considerations, Atmos. Environ., 21, 561–568, doi:10.1016/0004-6981(87)90038-2, 1987. 19538

Marengo, A., Goutget, H., Nédélec, P., and Pagés, J.-P.: Evidence of a long-term increase in tropospheric ozone from Pic du Midi data series: consequences: positive radiative forcing, J. Geophys. Res., 99, 16617–16632, doi:10.1029/94JD00021, 1994. 19539

McDonnell, W. F., Muller, K. E., Bromberg, P. A., and Shy, C. M.: Predictors of individual differences in acute response to ozone exposure, Am. Rev. Respir. Dis., 147, 818–825, doi:10.1164/ajrccm/147.4.818, 1993. 19538

National Research Council: Estimating Mortality Risk Reduction and Economic Benefits from Controlling Ozone Air Pollution, The National Academies Press, Washington, DC, 2008. 19538

Parrish, D. D. and Fehsenfeld, F. C.: Methods for gas-phase measurements of ozone, ozone precursors and aerosol precursors, Atmos. Environ., 34, 1921–1957, doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310\(99\)00454-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00454-9), 2000. 19539

## Ozone cross section reduces air quality compliance

E. D. Sofen et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Pavelin, E. G., Johnson, C. E., Rughooputh, S., and Toumi, R.: Evaluation of pre-industrial surface ozone measurements made using Schönbein's method, *Atmos. Environ.*, 33, 919–929, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(98)00257-X, 1999. 19539
- Rieder, H. E., Fiore, A. M., Polvani, L. M., Lamarque, J.-F., and Fang, Y.: Changes in the frequency and return level of high ozone pollution events over the eastern United States following emission controls, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 8, 014012, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/8/1/014012, 2013. 19539
- Viallon, J., Lee, S., Moussay, P., Tworek, K., Petersen, M., and Wielgosz, R. I.: Accurate measurements of ozone absorption cross-sections in the Hartley band, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 8, 1245–1257, doi:10.5194/amt-8-1245-2015, 2015. 19538, 19539, 19541, 19542, 19543, 19544, 19545, 19550, 19551
- Volz, A. and Kley, D.: Evaluation of the Montsouris series of ozone measurements made in the nineteenth century, *Nature*, 332, 240–242, doi:10.1038/332240a0, 1988. 19539
- Wilson, K. L. and Birks, J. W.: Mechanism and elimination of a water vapor interference in the measurement of ozone by UV absorbance, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 40, 6361–6367, doi:10.1021/es052590c, 2006. 19543

---

**Ozone cross section  
reduces air quality  
compliance**E. D. Sofen et al.

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

## Ozone cross section reduces air quality compliance

E. D. Sofen et al.

Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
◀	▶
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	




**Table 1.** Ozone air quality standards and monitoring networks.

Entity	Air quality standard	Monitoring network and data source
European Union	Nonattainment if there are more than 25 days year <sup>-1</sup> in which the maximum daily 8 h average (MDA8) ozone concentration exceeds 120 µg m <sup>-3</sup> , averaged over 3 years (EEA, 2002).	European Environment Agency AirBase <a href="http://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/data/airbase-the-european-air-quality-database-8">http://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/data/airbase-the-european-air-quality-database-8</a>
United States	Nonattainment if the annual fourth-highest ozone MDA8 mixing ratio averaged over three years is above 75 ppbv (EPA, 2008).	Environmental Protection Agency Air Quality System (EPA AQS) <a href="http://www.epa.gov/airquality/airdata/ad_data.html">http://www.epa.gov/airquality/airdata/ad_data.html</a>
Canada	Nonattainment if the annual fourth-highest MDA8 ozone mixing ratio averaged over three years is above 63 ppbv (CAN, 2012).	Environment Canada National Air Pollution Surveillance Program (NAPS) <a href="http://maps-cartes.ec.gc.ca/rnsps-naps/data.aspx">http://maps-cartes.ec.gc.ca/rnsps-naps/data.aspx</a>

## Ozone cross section reduces air quality compliance

E. D. Sofen et al.



**Figure 1.** Ozone monitoring sites that are out of compliance with US, Canadian, or EU air quality standards. Pink markers indicate sites that are out of compliance using the current Hearn (1961) absorption cross section. These sites are also out of compliance when the data are increased by 1.8% to account for the new Viallon et al. (2015) cross section. Red markers indicate the additional sites that become out of compliance if the Viallon et al. cross section is applied. Black points indicate sites that are in compliance or are missing data so that compliance cannot be calculated.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## Ozone cross section reduces air quality compliance

E. D. Sofen et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures




Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Figure 2.** The percent increase in the number of sites that are out of compliance with air quality regulations due to the adjusted ozone abundances suggested by the new Viallon et al. (2015) cross section for the EU, US, and Canada between 1990 and 2012. Shaded regions indicate the uncertainty in the number of noncompliant sites associated with the 2 standard deviation uncertainty in the Viallon et al. cross section.