Impacts of aviation fuel sulfur content on climate and human health

- 3 Zarashpe Z Kapadia^{1,2}, Dominick V. Spracklen², Steve R. Arnold², Duncan J. Borman³, Graham W. Mann^{2,4}, Kirsty 4 J. Pringle², Sarah A. Monks^{2,*,**}, Carly L. Reddington², François Benduhn⁵, Alexandru Rap², Catherine E. Scott², 5 Edward W. Butt², Masaru Yoshioka²
- 6
- 7 ¹Doctoral Training Centre in Low Carbon Technologies, Energy Research Institute, School of Process
- 8 Environmental and Materials Engineering, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK
- 9 ²Institute for Climate and Atmospheric Science, School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, 10 UK
- 11 ³Centre for Computational Fluid Dynamics, School of Civil Engineering, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK
- ⁴National Centre for Atmospheric Science, School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK 12 13 ⁵Institute for Advanced Sustainability Studies, Potsdam, Germany
- 14 *now at: Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado Boulder,
- 15 Boulder, Colorado, USA
- 16 **now at: Chemical Sciences Division, NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory, Boulder, Colorado, USA
- 17

18 Abstract

19 Aviation emissions impact both air quality and climate. Using a coupled tropospheric chemistry-aerosol 20 microphysics model we investigate the effects of varying aviation fuel sulfur content (FSC) on premature 21 mortality from long-term exposure to aviation-sourced PM_{2.5} (particulate matter with a dry diameter of <2.5 22 µm) and on the global radiation budget due to changes in aerosol and tropospheric ozone. We estimate that 23 present-day non-CO₂ aviation emissions with a typical FSC of 600 ppm result in ~3,600 [95% CI: 1,310–5,890] 24 annual premature mortalities globally due to increases in cases of cardiopulmonary disease and lung cancer, 25 resulting from increased surface PM_{2.5} concentrations. We quantify the global annual mean combined 26 radiative effect (RE_{comb}) of non-CO₂ aviation emissions as -13.3 mW m⁻²; from increases in aerosols (direct 27 radiative effect and cloud albedo effect) and tropospheric ozone.

28

29 Ultra-low sulfur jet fuel (ULSJ; FSC = 15 ppm) has been proposed as an option to reduce the adverse health 30 impacts of aviation-induced PM_{2.5}. We calculate that swapping the global aviation fleet to ULSJ fuel would 31 reduce the global aviation-induced mortality rate by ~620 [95% CI: 230–1020] mortalities a-1 and increase 32 RE_{comb} by +7.0 mW m⁻².

33

34 We explore the impact of varying aviation FSC between 0–6000 ppm. Increasing FSC increases aviation-35 induced mortality, while enhancing climate cooling through increasing the aerosol cloud albedo effect (CAE). We explore the relationship between the injection altitude of aviation emissions and the resulting climate and 36 37 air quality impacts. Compared to the standard aviation emissions distribution, releasing aviation emissions at 38 the ground increases global aviation-induced mortality and produces a net warming effect, primarily through 39 a reduced CAE. Aviation emissions injected at the surface are 5 times less effective at forming cloud 40 condensation nuclei, reducing the aviation-induced CAE by a factor of 10. Applying high FSCs at aviation cruise 41 altitudes combined with ULSJ fuel at lower altitudes results in reduced aviation-induced mortality and 42 increased negative RE compared to the baseline aviation scenario.

- 43 44
- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51 52

53 1 Introduction

59

Aviation is the fastest growing form of transport (Eyring et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2010; Uherek et al., 2010), with a projected growth in passenger air traffic of 5% yr⁻¹ until 2030 (Barrett et al., 2012; ICAO, 2013), and a projected near doubling of emissions by 2025, relative to 2005 (Eyers et al., 2004). These emissions, and changes to them, have both climate and air quality impacts (Lee et al., 2009; Barrett et al., 2010; Woody et al., 2011; Barrett et al., 2012).

60 Aviation emits a range of gas-phase and aerosol pollutants that can influence climate. Emissions of carbon 61 dioxide (CO₂) from aviation warm the climate (Lee et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2010). Emissions of nitrogen oxides 62 (NO_x) warm the climate through tropospheric ozone (O₃) formation, which acts as a greenhouse gas, and cool 63 climate via a decrease in the lifetime of the well-mixed greenhouse gas methane (CH₄) through increases in 64 the OH radical (Holmes et al., 2011; Myhre et al., 2011). Sulfate and nitrate aerosols, formed from aviation 65 sulfur dioxide (SO₂) and NO_x emissions and through altered atmospheric oxidants, lead to a cooling (Unger, 66 2011; Righi et al., 2013; Dessens et al., 2014), and black carbon (BC) emissions result in a warming (Balkanski 67 et al., 2010). Additionally, the formation of persistent linear contrails and contrail-cirrus from aircraft leads to 68 warming (Lee et al., 2010; Rap et al., 2010; Burkhardt and Karcher, 2011). Overall, aviation emissions are 69 thought to have a warming impact on climate, with net radiative forcing (RF) estimated as +55 mW m⁻² 70 (excluding cirrus cloud enhancement) (Lee et al., 2010).

- 71 72 Previous studies have separately assessed the impacts of aviation through different atmospheric species. Short-term O_3 has been estimated to have a radiative effect ranging between 6–36.5 mW m⁻² (Sausen et al., 73 74 2005; Köhler et al., 2008; Hoor et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2009; Holmes et al., 2011; Myhre et al., 2011; Unger, 75 2011; Frömming et al., 2012; Skowron et al., 2013; Unger et al., 2013; Khodayari et al., 2014; Brasseur et al., 76 2015). The aerosol direct effect is highly uncertain [-28 to +20 mW m⁻²] (Righi et al., 2013), with the direct 77 aerosol effects for sulfate ranging between -0.9 to -7 mW m⁻² (Sausen et al., 2005; Fuglestvedt et al., 2008; 78 Lee et al., 2009; Balkanski et al., 2010; Unger, 2011; Gettelman and Chen, 2013; Brasseur et al., 2015), nitrate 79 ranging between -4 to -7 mW m⁻² (Unger et al., 2013; Brasseur et al., 2015), BC ranging between 0.1–0.3 mW 80 m⁻² (Sausen et al., 2005; Fuglestvedt et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2009; Balkanski et al., 2010; Unger, 2011; 81 Gettelman and Chen, 2013; Unger et al., 2013; Brasseur et al., 2015), and for organic carbon (OC) ranging 82 between -0.67 to -0.01 mW m⁻² (Sausen et al., 2005; Fuglestvedt et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2009; Balkanski et al., 83 2010; Unger, 2011; Gettelman and Chen, 2013; Unger et al., 2013). Few studies estimate the aerosol cloud 84 albedo effect (aCAE) from aviation: Righi et al. (2013) assessed the aCAE to be -15.4±10.6 mW m⁻² while 85 Gettelman and Chen (2013) estimate -21±11 mW m⁻².
- 86

87 Aviation emissions can increase atmospheric concentrations of fine particulate matter with a dry diameter of 88 <2.5 µm (PM_{2.5}). Short-term exposure to PM_{2.5} can exacerbate existing respiratory and cardiovascular ailments, 89 while long-term exposure can result in chronic respiratory and cardiovascular diseases, lung cancer, chronic 90 changes in physiological functions and mortality (Pope et al., 2002; World Health Organisation, 2003; Ostro, 91 2004). In the U.S. aviation emissions are estimated to lead to adverse health effects in ~11,000 people (ranging 92 from mortality, respiratory ailments and hospital admissions due to exacerbated respiratory conditions) and 93 ~23,000 work loss days per annum (Ratliff et al., 2009). Landing and take-off aviation emissions increase PM_{2.5} 94 concentrations, particularly around airports (Woody et al., 2011), increasing US mortality rates by ~160 per 95 annum.

96

97 Previous studies have estimated the number of premature mortalities due to exposure to pollution resulting 98 from aviation emissions. Barrett et al. (2012) and Barrett et al. (2010) used the methodology of Ostro (2004) 99 to estimate that aviation emissions are responsible for ~10,000 premature mortalities a⁻¹ due increases in 100 cases of cardiopulmonary disease and lung cancer. Yim et al. (2015) using the same methodology but with the 101 inclusion of the Rapid Dispersion Code (RDC) to simulate the local air quality impacts of aircraft ground level 102 emissions estimated 13,920 (95% CI: 7,220-20,880) mortalities a⁻¹. Morita et al. (2014) using the integrated 103 exposure-response (IER) model from Burnett et al. (2014) to derive relative risk (RR) estimate that aviation 104 results in 405 (95% CI: 182–648) mortalities a⁻¹ due to increases in cases of lung cancer, stroke, ischemic heart 105 disease, trachea, bronchus, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Jacobson et al. (2013) estimate 310 106 (95% CI: -400 to 4,300) mortalities a⁻¹ from aviation emissions due to cardiovascular effects. Taking these 107 studies in account, the different methodologies applied and modes of mortality investigated aviation is 108 estimated to be responsible for between 310–13,920 mortalities a⁻¹.

109

110 The introduction of cleaner fuels and pollution control technologies can improve ambient air quality and 111 reduce adverse health effects of fossil fuel combustion (World Health Organisation, 2005). One proposed 112 solution to reduce the adverse health effects of aviation-induced PM_{2.5} is the use of ultra-low sulfur jet fuel (ULSJ), reducing the formation of sulfate aerosol (Barrett et al., 2012; Barrett et al., 2010; Ratliff et al., 2009; 113 114 Hileman and Stratton, 2014). ULSJ fuels typically have a fuel sulfur content (FSC) of 15 ppm, compared with an FSC of between 550–750 ppm in standard aviation fuels (Barrett et al., 2012). The current global regulatory 115 116 standard for aviation fuel is a maximum FSC of 3000 ppm (Ministry of Defence, 2011; ASTM International, 117 2012).

118

Despite the potential for decreased emission of SO₂, application of ULSJ fuel will not completely remove the impacts of aviation on PM_{2.5}. It is estimated that over a half of aviation-attributable surface-level sulfate is associated with oxidation of non-aviation SO₂ by OH produced from aviation NO_x emissions, and not directly produced from aviation-emitted SO₂ (Barrett et al., 2010). Therefore, even a completely desulfurised global aviation fleet would likely contribute a net source of sulfate PM_{2.5}. Nevertheless, previous work has shown that the use of ULSJ fuel reduces global aviation-induced PM_{2.5} by ~23%, annually avoiding ~2300 (95% CI: 890–4200) mortalities (Barrett et al., 2012).

126

Altering the sulfur content of aviation fuel also modifies the net climate impact of aviation emissions. A reduction in fuel sulfur content reduces the formation of cooling sulfate aerosols (Unger, 2011; Barrett et al., 2012), increasing the net warming effect of aviation emissions. The roles of sulfate both in climate cooling and in increasing surface PM_{2.5} concentrations mean that policy makers must consider both health and climate when considering effects from potential reductions in sulfur emissions from a given emissions sector (Fiore et al., 2012).

134 In this study, we investigate the impacts of changes in the sulfur content of aviation fuel on climate and human 135 health. A coupled tropospheric chemistry-aerosol microphysics model is used to quantify global atmospheric 136 responses in aerosol and O₃ to varying FSC scenarios. Radiative effects due to changes in tropospheric O₃ and 137 aerosols are calculated using a radiative transfer model the impacts of changes in surface PM_{2.5} on human 138 health are estimated using concentration response functions. Using a coupled tropospheric chemistry-aerosol 139 microphysics model that includes nitrate aerosol allows us to assess the impacts of nitrate and aerosol indirect 140 effects in addition to the ozone and aerosol direct effects that have been more routinely calculated.

141

142 **2 Methods**

143

144 **2.1** Coupled chemistry-aerosol microphysics model

145 **2.1.1 Model description**

We use GLOMAP-mode (Mann et al., 2010), embedded within the 3-D off-line Eulerian chemical transport
model TOMCAT (Arnold et al., 2005; Chipperfield, 2006). Meteorology (wind, temperature and humidity) and
large scale transport is specified from interpolation of 6-hourly European Centre for Medium Range Weather
Forecasts (ECMWF) reanalysis (ERA-40) fields (Chipperfield, 2006; Mann et al., 2010). Cloud fraction and cloud
top pressure fields are taken from the International Satellite Cloud Climatology Project (ISCCP-D2) archive for
the year 2000 (Rossow and Schiffer, 1999).

152

GLOMAP-mode is a two-moment aerosol microphysics scheme representing particles as an external mixture of 7 size modes (4 soluble and 3 insoluble) (Mann et al., 2010). We use the nitrate-extended version of GLOMAP-mode (Benduhn et al., 2016) which, as well as tracking size-resolved sulfate, BC, OC, sea-salt and dust components, also includes a dissolution solver to accurately characterise the size-resolved partitioning of

- 157 ammonia and nitric acid into ammonium and nitrate components in each soluble mode. Aerosol components
- 158 are assumed to be internally mixed within each mode. GLOMAP-mode includes representations of nucleation,
- particle growth via coagulation, condensation and cloud processing, wet and dry deposition, and in- andbelow-cloud scavenging (Mann et al., 2010).
- 160 161
- 162 TOMCAT includes a tropospheric gas-phase chemistry scheme (inclusive of $O_X-NO_Y-HO_X$), treating the 163 degradation of C_1-C_3 non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHCs) and isoprene, together with a sulfur chemistry 164 scheme (Spracklen et al., 2005; Breider et al., 2010; Mann et al., 2010). The tropospheric chemistry is coupled 165 to aerosol as described in Breider et al. (2010).
- 166

167 The nitrate-extended version of the TOMCAT-GLOMAP-mode coupled model used in this investigation 168 employs a hybrid solver to simulate the dissolution of semi-volatile inorganic gases (such as H₂O, HNO₃, HCl 169 and NH₃) into the aerosol-liquid-phase.

170

171 Emissions of DMS are calculated using monthly mean sea-water concentrations of DMS from (Kettle and 172 Andreae, 2000), driven by ECMWF winds and sea-air exchange parameterisations from Nightingale et al. (2000). Emissions of SO₂ are included from both continuous (Andres and Kasgnoc, 1998) and explosive 173 174 volcanoes (Halmer et al., 2002), and wildfires for year 2000 (Van Der Werf et al., 2003; Dentener et al., 2006). 175 Anthropogenic SO₂ emissions (including industrial, power-plant, road-transport, off-road-transport and 176 shipping sectors) are representative of the year 2000 (Cofala et al., 2005). Emissions of monoterpenes and 177 isoprene are from Guenther et al. (1995). NH₃ emissions are from the EDGAR inventory (Bouwman et al., 1997). 178 NO_x emissions are considered from anthropogenic (Lamarque et al., 2010), natural (Lamarque et al., 2005) 179 and biomass burning (van der Werf et al., 2010) sources.

- Annual mean emissions of BC and OC aerosol from fossil fuel and biofuel combustion are from Bond et al. (2004). Monthly wildfire emissions are taken from the GFED v1 (Global Fire Emissions Database) for the year 2000 (Van Der Werf et al., 2003). For primary aerosol emissions we use geometric mean diameters (D_g) with standard deviations as described by Mann et al. (2010).
- Here, we ran simulations at a horizontal resolution of 2.8° x 2.8° with 31 hybrid σ-p levels extending from the
 surface to 10 hPa. All simulations were conducted for 16 months from September 1999 to December 2000
 inclusive, with the first four months discarded as spin-up time.
- 189

185

180

190 2.1.2 Model evaluation

191 GLOMAP has been extensively evaluated against observations including comparisons of speciated aerosol 192 mass (Mann et al., 2010; Spracklen et al., 2011b), aerosol number (Mann et al., 2010; Spracklen et al., 2010) 193 and cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) concentrations (Spracklen et al., 2011a). TOMCAT simulated fields have 194 been evaluated against observations, with CO and O₃ evaluated against aircraft observations (Arnold et al., 195 2005), Mediterranean summertime ozone against satellite observations (Richards et al., 2013), along with O_3 196 evaluated against satellite observations (Chipperfield et al., 2015). Benduhn et al. (2016) shows that simulated 197 surface concentrations of NO₃ and NH₄ are in reasonable agreement with observations in Europe, the U.S. and 198 East Asia. Here we focus our evaluation on the aerosol vertical profile and as well as nitrate aerosol which has 199 not been evaluated previously.

200

Fig. 1 presents simulated sulfate, nitrate, ammonium and organic aerosol mass concentrations in comparison to airborne observations compiled by Heald et al. (2011). The supplementary information presents the flight paths of each of the aircraft field campaigns used in the study compiled by Heald et al. (2011) (Figure S1), and details of each of the aircraft field campaigns used (Table S1). Observations were predominantly made using an Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (AMS). Simulated profiles are for year 2000, while observational aerosol profiles are from field campaigns conducted between 2001 and 2008.

- 207
- 208
- 209

210 Fig. 1: Comparison of observed (Obs) and simulated (Mod) (a) sulfate; (b) nitrate; (c) ammonium, and; (d)

211 organic aerosol mass concentrations. Observations are from airborne field campaigns compiled by Heald et

212 al. (2011). Mean values are represented by black dots, median values as shown by horizontal lines, while

boxes denote the 25th and 75th percentiles, and whiskers denote the 5th and 95th percentile values.



Overall we find the model overestimates sulfates [NMB = +16.9%], while underestimating nitrates [NMB = -60.7%], ammonium [NMB = -47.1%] and organic aerosols (OA) [NMB = -56.2%]. Model skill varies dependant on the conditions affecting each field campaign. To explore this, we use the broad stratification of the field campaigns into anthropogenic pollution, biomass burning and remote conditions as used by Heald et al. (2011)

- and shown in Fig. 1. The model underestimates aerosol concentrations in biomass burning regions [sulfate NMB = -14.9%; nitrate NMB = -79.4%; ammonium NMB = -68.7%, and; OA NMB = -74.5%]. The model performs better in polluted [sulfate NMB = +31.6%; nitrate NMB = -56.2%; ammonium NMB = -28.6%, and; OA NMB = -40.9%], and remote regions [sulfate NMB = +25.4%; nitrate NMB = -6.4%; ammonium NMB = -22.2%, and; OA NMB = -41.5%].
- The overestimation of sulfate aerosol is likely due to the decline in anthropogenic SO_2 emissions in Europe and the US between 2000–2008 (Vestreng et al., 2007; Hand et al., 2012). An underestimation of OA has been reported previously (Heald et al., 2011; Spracklen et al., 2011b) and is likely due to an underestimate in SOA formation in the model. Whitburn et al. (2015) found biomass burning emissions of NH₃ may be underestimated which would affect a number of our comparisons.
- 230 The model underestimation of organic and inorganic aerosol components in biomass burning influenced 231 regions could partly be due to very concentrated plumes in these regions affecting campaign mean 232 concentrations. There is a large uncertainty in biomass burning emissions and some evidence that they may 233 be underestimated (Kaiser et al., 2012), which may contribute to the model bias. Biomass burning emissions 234 also have large interannual variability (van der Werf et al., 2010; Wiedinmyer et al., 2011), meaning that using 235 year specific emissions might improve comparison against observations in these regions. Underestimation in 236 Arctic inorganic aerosol, which will affect the ARCTAS comparisons, is a well-known problem in models, 237 likely related to problems with model wet deposition and emissions (Shindell et al., 2008; Eckhardt et al., 238 2015). The model underestimate over West Africa (AMMA, DADEX and DODO campaigns) is likely due to 239 a combination of errors in biomass burning emissions and poorly constrained emission sources from 240 anthropogenic activity (Knippertz et al., 2015).
- Fig. 2 presents simulated ozone concentration profiles in comparison to ozonesonde observations compiled by Tilmes et al. (2012). Observations were compiled from three networks, comprising of 41 stations with continuous sampling from 1995 to 2011: (i) The World Ozone and Ultraviolet Data Center (WOUDC) (<u>http://www.woudc.org/</u>); (ii) the Global Monitoring Division (GMD, ftp:// <u>ftp.cmdl.noaa.gov/ozwv/ozone/</u>), and (iii) The Southern Hemisphere ADditional OZonesondes (SHADOZ) (Tilmes et al., 2012).
- Regional model-observation comparison profiles presented in Fig. 2 demonstrate good agreement between the model and ozonesonde profiles, while demonstrating regional variations driven by variations in tropopause height, showing no evidence of systematic model bias in the upper troposphere. Notable differences are seen between simulated and observed ozone profiles over the Praha launch site in Western Europe, with the model greatly overestimating observed ozone.
- Evaluation of ozone model bias is conducted for the troposphere, using a chemical tropopause definition of 150 ppbv ozone, as previously used by Stevenson et al. (2013), Young et al. (2013) and Rap et al. (2015). We find the model overestimates global ozone concentrations [NMB = +7.0%] with overestimates in Western Europe [+18.9%] and the Northern Hemisphere Polar West [NMB = +14.4%] regions and underestimates over the Atlantic/Africa [NMB = -11.0%] and Southern Hemisphere Polar [NMB = -4.6%] regions.
- 259

229

241

247

253

- 260 Differences between model and observational profiles can in part be explained by the differences in years of 261 simulation and observation, a poor representation of deep convection resulting in model underestimations in 262 the tropics and overestimations downwind (Thompson et al., 1997), in tandem with reductions in 263 anthropogenic NO_x emissions over this time period (Konovalov et al., 2008).
- 264
- 265
- 266 267
- 268
- 269

270 Fig. 2: Comparison of observed (solid lines) and simulated (dashed lines) ozone profiles. Observations are

taken from ozonesonde observations, and arranged by launch location regions according to Tilmes et al.
 (2012).



283 2.2 Aviation emissions

Aircraft emit NO_x, carbon monoxide (CO), SO₂, BC, OC and hydrocarbons (HCs). The historical emissions dataset for the CMIP5 (5th Coupled Model Intercomparison Project) model simulations used by the IPCC 5th Assessment Report only included NO_x and BC aviation emissions (Lamarque et al., 2009). Recently there have been efforts to add HCs, CO and SO₂ emissions to aviation emission inventories (Eyers et al., 2004; Quantify Integrated Project, 2005-2012; Wilkerson et al., 2010).

Here we develop a new 3-D civil aviation emissions dataset for the year 2000, based on CMIP5 historical aviation emissions (Lamarque et al., 2009). The new dataset includes emissions of NO_X, CO, SO₂, BC, OC, and HCs. In contrast to existing datasets which provide a general emissions index for HCs (Eyers et al., 2004) we speciate HCs as formaldehyde (HCHO), ethane (C_2H_6), propane (C_3H_8), methanol (CH₃OH), acetaldehyde (CH₃CHO), and acetone ((CH₃)₂CO).

295

289

296 Table 1 describes our new emissions dataset. NO_x and BC emissions are taken directly from Lamarque et al. 297 (2009). We calculate fuelburn from BC emissions data and the BC emissions index (Eyers et al., 2004) as used 298 by Lamarque et al. (2009). Following DuBois and Paynter (2006), we assume that BC emissions scale linearly 299 with fuel consumption. We estimate emissions for other species using our calculated aviation fuelburn in 300 combination with published species-specific emissions indices (EI reported in g kg⁻¹ of fuel). Emission indices 301 for CO and SO₂ are from the FAA's aviation environmental design tool (AEDT) (Wilkerson et al., 2010). OC 302 emissions are calculated using a BC:OC ratio of 4 (Hopke, 1985; Bond et al., 2004); resulting in an EI within the 303 range determined by Wayson et al. (2009). Speciated hydrocarbon emissions are calculated from experimental 304 data following the methodology of Wilkerson et al. (2010) using experimental data from Knighton et al. (2007) 305 and Anderson et al. (2006), in conjunction with operating parameters suggested by the Airbus Flight Crew 306 Training manual (Airbus, 2008).

307 308

309

Table 1: Aviation emissions indices and total annual emissions for year 2000

Species	Emissions index	Global emissions for year 2000	Range of annual global emissions
	(g kg⁻¹ of fuel)	(Tg of species)	from previous studies
			(Tg of species)
NOx	13.89ª	2.786	1.98–3.286 ^{a,b,j,h,i,k,l}
CO	3.61 ^b	0.724	0.507–0.679 ^{b,h,i,j}
НСНО	1.24 ^{c,d}	0.249	0.01205 ^b
C_2H_6	0.0394 ^e	0.007899	0.00051 ^b
C_3H_8	0.03 ^e	0.006014	0.00444 ^b
CH₃OH	0.22 ^d	0.044	0.00177 ^b
CH₃CHO	0.33 ^d	0.066	0.00418 ^b
(CH₃)₂CO	0.18 ^d	0.036	0.00036 ^b
SO ₂	1.1760 ^b	0.236	0.182–0.221 ^{a,b,h,i,j}
BC	0.0250ª	0.005012	0.0039–0.0068 ^{a,b,h,i,j,k}
OC	0.00625 ^{f,g}	0.001253	0.003 ^{b,i}

^a(Eyers et al., 2004), ^b(Wilkerson et al., 2010), ^c(Spicer et al., 1994), ^d(Knighton et al., 2007), ^e(Anderson et al., 2006), ^f(Bond et al., 2004), ^g(Hopke, 1985), ^b(Olsen et al., 2013), ⁱ(Unger, 2011), ^j(Lee et al., 2010), ^k(Lamarque et al., 2010), ^l(Quantify Integrated Project, 2005-2012)

Our global aviation emissions typically lie within the range of previous studies (Table 1). Our SO₂ emissions are greater than those used by Wilkerson et al. (2010) for 2006, despite the use of the same EI. This is due to the

greater global fuelburn considered by the base inventory used to develop our emissions inventory (Eyers et

al., 2004; Lamarque et al., 2010). Our estimated OC emissions are lower than the emissions estimated in the

AEDT 2006 inventory, due to the lower EI applied here. The lower Eloc applied here (in comparison to

316 Wilkerson et al. (2010)) is a due to the phase of flight considered when deriving the AEDT emissions inventory;

317 where they derive El_{oc} focusing on airport operations at ground level condition acknowledging the risk of

³¹⁰

- 318 overestimating aviation OC emissions, while in comparison we consider aircraft operations after ground idle 319 conditions which risks underestimating aviation OC emissions.
- 320

We calculate the geometric mean diameter (D_g) for internally mixed BC/OC particles as 50.5 nm from the mean particle mass derived using the particle number emissions index (Eyers et al., 2004) and a constant standard deviation set to $\sigma = 1.59$ nm.

324

325 2.3 Fuel sulfur content simulations

326 To explore the impact of aviation FSC on climate and air quality we performed a series of 11 global model 327 experiments (Table 2). In 7 of these model experiments FSC values were varied globally between zero and 328 6000 ppm. Three further simulations varied the vertical distribution of aviation emissions. The first simulation 329 collapses all aviation emissions to ground level (GROUND), in order to compare an equivalent ground emission 330 source and its effects. Two simulations (SWITCH1 and SWITCH2), use a low FSC (15 ppm) applied below the 331 cruise phase of flight (<8.54 km altitude) (Lee et al., 2009; Köhler et al., 2013) combined with a high FSC at 332 altitudes above. The SWITCH1 scenario increases FSC in line with our HIGH scenario above 8.54 km, while in 333 the SWITCH2 scenario, emissions are scaled such that total global sulfur emissions are the same as the 334 standard simulation (NORM), resulting in a FSC of 1420 ppm above 8.54 km. Results from all simulations are 335 compared against a simulation with aviation emissions excluded (NOAVI).

336

337 Table 2: FSC and global SO_2 emissions applied in each model experiment.

Scenario name	Description	FSC (ppm)	Total SO ₂ emitted (Tg)
NOAVI	No aviation emissions	n/a	0.0
NORM	Standard aviation emissions scenario	600	0.236
DESUL	Desulfurised case	0	0.0
ULSJ	Ultra low sulfur jet fuel	15	0.006
HALF	Half FSC of normal case	300	0.118
TWICE	Twice FSC of normal case	1200	0.472
HIGH	FSC at international specification limit	3000	1.179
OVER	Twice FSC specification limit	6000	2.358
GROUND	All emissions emitted at surface level (FSC as NORM)	600	0.236
SWITCH1	ULSJ FSC to 8.54 km, HIGH FSC content above	15/3000	0.491
SWITCH2	ULSJ FSC to 8.54 km, FSC = 1420 ppm above	15/1420	0.236

338

339 **2.4 Radiative impacts**

340 We calculate the aerosol direct radiative effect (aDRE), aerosol cloud albedo effect (aCAE) and tropospheric 341 O_3 direct radiative effect (O3DRE) using the offline Edwards and Slingo (1996) radiative transfer model. The 342 radiative transfer model considers 6 bands in the shortwave (SW) and 9 bands in the longwave (LW), adopting 343 a delta-Eddington 2 stream scattering solver at all wavelengths. The top-of-the-atmosphere (TOA) aerosol 344 aDRE and aCAE are calculated using the methodology described in Rap et al. (2013) and Spracklen et al. 345 (2011a), with the method for O3DRE as in Richards et al. (2013). To determine the aCAE we calculated cloud 346 droplet number concentrations (CDNCs) using the monthly mean aerosol size distribution simulated by 347 GLOMAP combined with parameterisations from Nenes and Seinfeld (2003), updated by Fountoukis and Nenes (2005) and Barahona et al. (2010). CDNC were calculated with a prescribed updraft velocity of 0.15 m 348 s⁻¹ over ocean and 0.3 m s⁻¹ over land. Changes to CDNC were then used to perturb the effective radii of cloud 349 350 droplets in low- and mid-level clouds (up to 600 hPa). The aDRE, aCAE and O3DREs for each aviation emissions 351 scenario are calculated as the difference in TOA net (SW + LW) radiative flux compared to the NOAVI 352 simulation.

354 2.5 Health effects

We calculate excess premature mortality from cardiopulmonary diseases and increases in cases of lung cancer due to long-term exposure to aviation-induced PM_{2.5} (Ostro, 2004). Using this function allows us to compare directly with previous studies (Barrett et al., 2012; Yim et al., 2015); in future work estimates are required with updated methodologies (Burnett et al., 2014). PM_{2.5} is used as a measure of likely health impacts because chronic exposure is associated with adverse human health impacts including morbidity and mortality (Dockery et al., 1993; Pope and Dockery, 2006).

We relate annual excess mortality to annual mean surface $PM_{2.5}$ via a concentration response-function (CRF) (Ostro, 2004). This response-function considers concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$ for a perturbed case (X) (defined by aviation emissions scenarios from Table 2) in relation to a baseline case with no aviation emissions (X₀) (NOAVI). To calculate excess mortality, the relative risk (RR) for both cardiopulmonary disease and lung cancer are calculated according to Ostro (2004) using a function of baseline (X₀) and perturbed (X) $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations, and the disease specific cause-specific coefficient (β):

368
370
$$RR = \left[\frac{(X+1)}{(X_0+1)}\right]^{\beta}$$

369 (1)

369 371

376

383

361

372β coefficients for cardiopulmonary disease mortality of 0.15515 [95% CI = 0.05624–0.2541] and lung cancer of3730.232 [95% CI = 0.086–0.379] are used (Pope et al., 2002; Ostro, 2004). The 95% confidence interval (CI) in β374allow low-, mid- and high-range mortality values to be calculated. The attribution factor (AF) from the375exposure to air pollution is calculated using equation (2):

378 (2)
 379 Excess mortality (E) for both cardiopulmonary disease and lung cancer are calculated using baseline mortality
 380 rates (B), the fraction of the population over 30 years old (P₃₀), along with the AF:

381
382 E = AF × B × P₃₀

Global population data is taken from the Gridded World Population (GWP; version3) project (Center for International Earth Science Information Network, 2012) with country specific data on the fraction of the population under 30.

(3)

388 3 Results

389

387

390 3.1 Surface PM_{2.5}

Fig. 3 shows the simulated impact of aviation emissions with standard FSC (FSC = 600 ppm; NORM) on surface PM_{2.5} concentrations. Aviation increases annual mean PM_{2.5} concentrations by up to ~80 ng m⁻³ (relative to the NOAVI simulation) over Central Europe and Eastern China (Fig. 3(a)). Aviation emissions result in largest fractional changes in annual mean PM_{2.5} concentrations (up to 0.8%) over North America and Europe (Fig. 3(b)).

- 396 397
- 398
- 399
- 400
- 401
- 402

Fig. 3: Impact of aviation emissions (FSC = 600 ppm) on surface annual mean PM_{2.5} concentrations. (a) absolute (NORM–NOAVI) and (b) percentage changes. Boxes show the European (20°–40°E, 35°N–66°N) and North American (146°W–56°W, 29°N–72°N) regions.



Fig. 4 shows the impact of aviation emissions on global and regional mean $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations, as a function of FSC. With standard FSC (FSC = 600 ppm), aviation increases global mean surface $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations by 3.9 ng m⁻³; with increases in $PM_{2.5}$ dominated by sulfates [56.2%], nitrates [26.0%] and ammonium [16.0%]. Aviation emissions increase European annual mean $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations by 20.3 ng m⁻³ (Fig. 4(b)), substantially more than over North America (Fig. 4(c)) where an annual mean increase of 6.3 ng m⁻³ is simulated. Increased $PM_{2.5}$ is dominated by nitrates, both over Europe [55.5%] and over North America [44.4%]. Sulfates contribute up to 44.6% of increases in $PM_{2.5}$ over North America, and 30.0% over Europe.

The use of ULSJ fuel (FSC = 15 ppm) reduces global annual mean surface aviation-induced PM_{2.5} concentrations (in relation to the NORM case) by 35.7% [1.4 ng m⁻³] (Fig. 4); predominantly due to changes in sulfate [-1.4 ng m⁻³; -62.1%] and ammonium [-0.2 ng m⁻³; -37.9%], which are marginally offset by very small increases in nitrates [+3.2x10⁻³ ng m⁻³; +0.3%]. Aviation emissions also leads to small changes to other aerosol components of +0.2 ng; which includes natural aerosols such as dust [+0.3 ng m⁻³; +61.8%], sodium [-19.5%] and chloride from sea-salt [-19.5%] with the changes due to changes in aerosol lifetimes, along with changes in BC [-7.9%] and OC [-19.3%].

421

422 In comparison to the global mean, switching to the use of ULSJ fuel in aviation larger absolute reductions in $PM_{2.5}$ of -4.2 ng m⁻³ are simulated over Europe [Δ sulfate = -3.4 ng m⁻³; Δ nitrate = +0.1 ng m⁻³; Δ ammonium = 423 -0.8 ng m⁻³; and Δ others = -0.1 ng m⁻³] and of -3.4 ng m⁻³ over North America [Δ sulfate = -2.9 ng m⁻³; Δ nitrate 424 425 = +0.02 ng m⁻³; Δ ammonium = -0.5 ng m⁻³; and Δ others = -0.01 ng m⁻³] (Fig. 4 (b,c)). Over North America, 426 swapping to ULSJ fuel reduces aviation-induced PM_{2.5} by 53.4%, while a smaller reduction of 20.5% is simulated 427 over Europe. The smaller fractional change in PM_{2.5} over Europe is caused by smaller reductions in aviation-428 induced sulfate [-55.9%] and ammonium [-18.4%] compared to over North America, which sees a reduction 429 in ammonium of 41.6% and a reduction in sulfates of 103% indicating that over the US the ULSJ fuel scenario 430 sees a reduction in sulfates in relation to a NOAVI scenario.

431

Complete desulfurisation of jet fuel (FSC = 0 ppm; DESUL) reduces global mean aviation-induced surface PM_{2.5}
concentrations by 36.5% [-1.43 ng m⁻³], with changes in sulfates [-1.40 ng m⁻³; -63.5%] and ammonium [-0.24
ng m⁻³; -38.8%] dominating. Under this scenario the reductions in surface sulfate PM_{2.5} from aviation are 57.3%
over Europe and 105% over North America. ULSJ fuel therefore gives similar results to complete
desulfurisation, due to the very small sulfur emission from ULSJ fuel (Table 2).

In summary, increases in FSC result in increased surface PM_{2.5}, due to increased sulfate outweighing the small
 reductions in nitrate. Simulated changes in sulfate, nitrate, ammonium and total PM_{2.5} are linear (R² > 0.99, *p* value < 0.001 globally and for all individual regions) with respect to FSC (Fig. 4). Larger emission perturbations
 would likely lead to a non-linear response in atmospheric aerosol. The impact of variations in FSC on PM_{2.5} are

regionally variable; over Europe changes in PM_{2.5} concentrations are observed to be more sensitive to changes
in FSC than over North America, and the global domain.

444

Fig. 4: Impact of aviation FSC on (a) global, (b) European (20° - 40° E, 35° N- 66° N), (c) North American (146° W-56°W, 29°N-72°N) surface annual mean PM_{2.5} mass concentrations: FSC variations (×), GROUND (\diamond), SWITCH1 (-), and SWITCH2 (+) simulations. Solid lines demonstrate the linear relationship between FSC and PM_{2.5}.



Fig. 5: Simulated differences in zonal annual mean sulfate (a) and nitrate (b) concentrations from the use of ULSJ fuel relative to standard fuel (ULSJ–NORM).



452

449

453 Fig. 5 shows the impact of changing to ULSJ fuel on zonal mean sulfate and nitrate concentrations relative to 454 standard fuel (NORM). Table 3 reports the global aerosol burden from aviation under different emission 455 scenarios. With standard FSC (FSC = 600 ppm), the global aviation-induced aerosol burden is 16.9 Gg, 456 dominated by sulfates (76.3%) and nitrates (33.4%). The use of ULSJ (FSC = 15 ppm) reduces the global aerosol 457 burden from aviation by 26.8%. Complete desulfurisation of aviation fuel reduces the global aerosol burden 458 from aviation by 28.4%, with the global sulfate burden from aviation reduced by 71.6% (Table 3). When 459 aviation emissions contain no sulfur, aviation-induced sulfate is formed through aviation NOx-induced 460 increases in OH concentrations, resulting in the oxidation of SO₂ from non-aviation sources (Unger et al., 2006; 461 Barrett et al., 2010).

462

463Table 3: Global aviation-induced aerosol mass burdens for different emission scenarios. Values in464parentheses show percentage change relative to NORM case.

Scenario	All components	Sulfates	Nitrates
	(Gg)	(Gg)	(Gg)
NORM	16.9	12.9	5.7
ULSJ	12.4 (–26.8%)	4.0 (-69.1%)	5.9 (+4.5%)
DESUL	12.1 (-28.4%)	3.7 (-71.6%)	6.0 (+5.1%)
No NO_X and $SO2$	2.0 (-88.3%)	0.3 (–97.5%)	0.1 (–97.9%)

465

466 In line with previous work, we find a substantial fraction of aviation sulfate can be attributed to aviation NO_x 467 emissions and not directly to aviation SO₂ emissions. We estimate that 36% aviation-attributable sulfates formed at the surface are associated with aviation NO_x emissions, compared to ~63% estimated by Barrett et 468 469 al. (2010) using the GEOS-Chem model (both estimates for FSC = 600 ppm). Differences between model 470 estimates can be attributed to differences in model chemistry and microphysics, and different aviation NO_x 471 emissions. We find desulfurisation increases the aviation nitrate burden by 5.1% (Table 3); although much of 472 this increase occurs at altitudes well above the surface (Fig. 5) and so is not reflected in surface PM_{2.5} 473 concentrations.

474

We explored the impacts of NO_x emission reductions in combination with fuel desulfurisation. A scenario with
desulfurised fuel and zero NO_x emissions reduces the global aviation-induced aerosol burden by 88.3% (Table
3), in comparison to a desulfurised only case (DESUL), where the aviation-induced aerosol burden in reduced
by 28.4%. Removal of aviation NO_x and SO₂ emissions results in a 95.0% reduction in aviation-induced global
mean surface level aviation-induced PM_{2.5}. These results imply that only limited sulfate reductions can be

480 achieved through reducing FSC alone, with further reductions in aviation-induced $PM_{2.5}$ sulfates requiring 481 additional controls on aviation NO_x emissions.

483 3.2 Premature mortality

Fig. 6 shows estimated annual premature mortalities (from cardiopulmonary disease and lung cancer) due to aviation-induced changes in PM_{2.5} as a function of FSC. We estimate that aviation emissions with standard FSC (FSC = 600 ppm) cause 3,600 [95% CI: 1,310–5,890] premature mortalities each year, with 3210 [95% CI: 1160– 5250] mortalities a⁻¹ due to increases in cases of cardiopulmonary disease and 390 [95% CI: 150-640] mortalities a⁻¹ due to increases in cases of lung cancer. Low-, mid- and high-range cause-specific coefficients (β) are used to account for uncertainty in the health impacts caused by exposure to PM_{2.5} (Section 2.5) (Ostro, 2004). Our estimated global mortality due to aviation emissions is greatest in the Northern Hemisphere, which accounts for 98.7% of global mortalities. Europe and North America account for 42.3% and 8.4% of mortality due to aviation emissions respectively.

Our estimate of the premature mortality due to aviation lies within the range of previous estimates (310-13,920 mortalities a⁻¹) (Barrett et al., 2010; Barrett et al., 2012; Jacobson et al., 2013; Morita et al., 2014; Yim et al., 2004). Barrett et al. (2012) estimated ~10,000 mortalities a-1 due to aviation, almost a factor 3 higher than our central estimate. The greater aviation-induced mortality simulated by Barrett et al. (2012), can be attributed to greater aviation-induced surface PM_{2.5} concentrations simulated in their study, particulary over highly populated areas. Their study simulated maxmium aviation-induced PM_{2.5} concentrations over Europe, eastern China and eastern North America greater than those in our simulations by factors of 5 for Europe and eastern China and 2.5 over eastern North America. Our aviation-induced sulfate concentrations compare well with Barrett et al. (2012), indicating that the resulting differences in aviation-induced surface PM_{2.5} concentrations are a result of other aerosol components. Additionally, differences in mortality arise due to the use of different cause-specific coefficients (β) within the same CRF, as well as different population datasets. Morita et al. (2014) estimate that aviation is responsible for 405 [95% CI: 182–648] mortalities a⁻¹. This lower estimate is primarily due to the mortality functions used, with Morita et al. (2014) using the integrated exposure response (IER) function as described by Burnett et al. (2014). The IER function considers a PM_{2.5} concentration below which there is no perceived risk, reducing estimated impacts of aviation in regions of low PM_{2.5} concentrations.

Fig. 6: Estimated global aviation-induced mortality as a function of FSC, and changes in vertical aviation-533 534 emissions distributions for year 2000 (Shaded region denotes the 95% confidence through application of 535



low- and high-range cause-specific coefficients).



536 We estimate that aviation emissions with ULSJ fuel result in 2,970 [95% CI: 1,080–4,870] premature mortalities 537 globally per annum. Therefore, changing from standard FSC to ULSJ would result in 620 [95% CI: 230–1,020] 538 fewer premature mortalities globally per annum; a reduction in aviation-induced mortalities of 17.4%. 539 Regionally we find the implementation of an ULSJ fuel reduces annual mortality by 180 over Europe and by 540 110 over North America.

541

542 Barrett et al. (2012) estimated that swapping to ULSJ fuel could result in ~2,300 [95% CI: 890-4,200] fewer 543 premature mortalities globally per annum; a reduction of 23%. In their work (using GEOS-Chem), the use of ULSJ reduces global mean PM_{2.5} concentrations (sulfates, nitrates and ammonium) by 0.89 ng m⁻³, less than 544 545 the 1.61 ng m⁻³ reduction in PM_{2.5} simulated here). Despite the greater reductions in global mean surface layer 546 PM_{2.5} concentrations simulated here, Barrett et al. (2012) simulate greater reductions in PM_{2.5} over populated 547 regions, resulting in greater reductions of aviation-induced mortality under the ULSJ scenario. Additionally, 548 the GRUMPv1 population dataset that Barrett et al. (2012) use resolves population data on a finer scale 549 compared to the resolution of GPWv3 population dataset used here (Center for International Earth Science 550 Information Network, 2012); differences which could contribute to differences in estimates of mortality.

551

552 We also estimate how aviation-induced mortality would change if FSC was increased. We find that increasing 553 FSC to 3000 ppm (HIGH) would increase annual aviation-induced mortalities to 6,030, an increase of 67.8% in 554 relation to standard aviation (NORM; FSC = 600 ppm). 555

556 Sensitivity of cloud condensation nuclei to aviation FSC 3.3

Aviation emissions with standard FSC (NORM; FSC = 600 ppm) increase global annual mean cloud condensation 557 558 nuclei (CCN), here taken as the number of soluble particles with a dry diameter greater than 50 nm, at low-

- 559 cloud level (879hPa; 0.96km) by 0.9% (2.3 cm⁻³) (Fig. 7(a)). Increases in CCN concentrations are greater in the 560 Northern Hemisphere [+3.9 cm⁻³; +1.4%] compared to the Southern Hemisphere [+0.7 cm⁻³; +0.5%]. Maximum increases in low-level CCN are simulated over the Pacific, central Atlantic and Arctic Oceans. 561
- 562

563 Fig. 7: Impact of aviation emissions on low-cloud level (879 hPa) CCN (Dp>50 nm) concentrations: (a) 564 standard FSC (NORM–NOAVI) and (b) FSC = 15 ppm (ULSJ–NOAVI). Blue boxes define North American and 565 European regions, and black boxes define Atlantic (60°W–14°W, 1.4°S–60°N) and Pacific regions (135°E– 566 121°W, 15°S–60°N) referred to in the text.



The use of ULSJ (FSC = 15 ppm) reduces global mean low-level CCN concentrations by 0.4 cm⁻³, [-18.2%] 567 relative to the NORM case (Fig. 7). Northern Hemisphere CCN concentrations are reduced by 0.8 cm⁻³ [-19.4%], 568 while Southern Hemisphere concentrations are reduced by 0.1 cm^{-3} [-11.5%] (Fig. 7). 569

570

Fig. 8: Global and regional variations in low-cloud level (879 hPa) CCN (Dp>50 nm): (a) changes in mean 571 572 concentrations and (b) percentage changes. See Fig. 5 for definitions of regions.



- 573 Fig. 8 shows the sensitivity of low level CCN concentrations to FSC. As with PM_{2.5}, we find simulated changes 574
 - 575

in CCN are near linear with respect to FSC ($R^2 > 0.99$ and p-value < 0.001 globally and for all individual regions). ULSJ fuel reduces global mean CCN by -0.42 cm⁻³ with largest reductions over the Atlantic Ocean [-0.81 cm⁻³],

576 577 North America [-0.55 cm⁻³], and the Pacific Ocean [-0.51 cm⁻³], i.e. in relation to standard aviation (ULSJ-NORM). The complete desulfurisation of aviation fuel results in reductions in CCN in relation to standard 578 579 aviation (DESUL–NORM), which follow the same regional trends (Fig. 8(a)).

581 **3.4** Sensitivity of aerosol and ozone radiative effect to FSC

582

587

593

601

Fig. 9 shows the calculated global mean net RE due to non-CO₂ aviation emissions. For standard FSC (FSC = 600 ppm) emissions the global mean combined RE is -13.3 mW m^{-2} . This combined radiative effect (RE_{comb}) results from a balance between a positive aDRE of +1.4 mW m⁻² and O3DRE +8.9 mW m⁻², and a negative aCAE of - 23.6 mW m⁻² (Fig. 9).

588 Our estimated aviation aerosol DRE [+1.4 mW m⁻²] lies in the middle of the range given by previous work. The 589 aviation aerosol DRE has been previously assessed as highly uncertain, ranging between -28 to +20 mW m⁻² 590 (Righi et al., 2013). Our estimated aviation-induced aCAE [-23.6 mW m⁻²] lies within the range of uncertainty 591 from previous literature: Righi et al. (2013) estimated -15.4 ± 10.6 mW m⁻² and Gettelman and Chen (2013) 592 estimated -21 ± 11 mW m⁻².

594 Our O3DRE estimate (+8.9 mW m⁻²), normalised by global aviation NO_X emission to +10.5 mW m⁻² Tg(N)⁻¹, is at 595 the lower end of current estimates [7.4–37.0 mW m⁻² Tg(N)⁻¹] (Sausen et al., 2005; Köhler et al., 2008; Hoor et 596 al., 2009; Lee et al., 2009; Holmes et al., 2011; Myhre et al., 2011; Unger, 2011; Frömming et al., 2012; Skowron 597 et al., 2013; Unger et al., 2013; Khodayari et al., 2014). This can be attributed to the lower net O₃ chemical 598 production efficiency (OPE) within our model (1.33). Unger (2011) estimated an O3DRE of 7.4 mW m⁻² Tg(N)⁻¹ 599 with a model OPE of ~1, while the ensemble of models considered by Myhre et al. (2011) have an OPE range 600 of 1.5–2.4, resulting in an O3DRE range of 16.2–25.4 mW m⁻² Tg(N)⁻¹.

We calculate that an aviation fleet utilising ULSJ fuel would result in a in a global annual mean RE_{comb} of -6.3 mW m⁻² [aDRE = +1.8 mW m⁻²; aCAE = -16.8 mW m⁻²; and O3DRE = +8.7 mW m⁻²]. Thus, swapping from standard aviation fuel to ULSJ fuel reduces the net cooling effect from aviation-induced aerosol and O₃ by 7.0 mW m⁻², in comparison to the reduction of 3.3 mW m⁻² estimated by Barrett et al. (2012). In our model, this change is primarily due a reduction in cooling from the aCAE of +6.7 mW m⁻² combined with smaller contributions from an increased aDRE of +0.4 mW m⁻², and reduction in warming from the O3DRE of -0.12 mW m⁻² (Fig. 9).

610 When we assume fully desulfurised aviation jet fuel (DESUL; FSC = 0 ppm), the RE_{comb} induced by aviation-611 induced aerosol and O₃ is very similar to that for ULSJ fuel and is estimated as -6.1 mW m^{-2} [aDRE = +1.8 mW 612 m⁻²; aCAE = -16.6 mW m^{-2} ; and O3DRE = +8.7 mW m⁻²].

17

613 614

- Fig. 9: Aviation-induced radiative effects due to variations in fuel sulfur content (FSC), the ground release of 633
- 634
 - 635

aviation emissions (GROUND), and variations in the vertical distribution of aviation SO₂ emissions (SWITCH1 and SWITCH2 simulations).



636 Increases in FSC result in reductions in the aerosol DRE (aDRE), changing from a positive aerosol DRE for low FSC scenarios, to a negative aerosol DRE for high FSC (FSC > 1200 ppm). As FSC is increased, we find the aCAE 637 638 exhibits a larger cooling effect, i.e. becoming more negative with increases in FSC, increasing by a factor ~5 as FSC is increased from 0 to 6000 ppm. The RE_{comb} is dominated by these changes to the aCAE. As a result 639 640 increases in FSC from 0–6000 ppm, result in a greater negative (cooling) aviation-induced RE_{comb}; increasing in magnitude by a factor of ~5 (-16.6 mW m⁻² for FSC = 0 ppm to -82.1 mW m⁻² for FSC = 6000 ppm) (Fig. 9). 641 642 Therefore, we find that increases in FSC provide a cooling effect due to the dominating effect from aviation-643 induced aCAE.

644

645 3.5 Relationship between aviation-induced radiative effects and mortality due to aviation non-CO₂ emissions 646

647 Fig. 10 shows the net RE and premature mortality for different aviation emission scenarios. Increases in FSC 648 lead to approximately linear increases in both estimated mortality and the negative net RE. We quantify the impact of FSC on mortality and REs in terms of d(mortalities)/d(FSC) [mortalities ppm⁻¹] and d(RE)/d(FSC) [mW 649 m^{-2} ppm⁻¹]. We calculate the sensitivity of global premature mortality to be 1.0 mortalities ppm⁻¹ [95% CI = 0.4 650 651 to 1.6 mortalities ppm⁻¹, where the range is due to uncertainty in β]. The global mean RE_{comb} has a sensitivity of -1.2×10^{-2} mW m⁻² ppm⁻¹, dominated by large changes to the aCAE [-1.1×10^{-2} mW m⁻² ppm⁻¹], and much 652 653 smaller changes in the aDRE $[-6.9 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mW m}^{-2} \text{ ppm}^{-1}]$ and O₃ RE $[+4.4 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mW m}^{-2} \text{ ppm}^{-1}]$.

- 654
- 655
- 656
- 657
- 658 659
- 660

661 Fig. 10: Relationship between net radiative effect [sum of ozone direct (O3DRE), aerosol direct radiative

662 (aDRE) and

663



The different slopes in the relationship between estimated RE and mortality (Fig. 10) are driven by the range of coefficients used in the CRF. This highlights the considerable uncertainty in the health impacts caused by exposure to PM_{2.5}. We note that uncertainty in the RE due to aerosol and ozone exists, but is not included in Fig. 9.

Low-range estimates

668

To assess how the vertical distributions of aviation SO₂ emissions influence human health and climate effects,
 we performed three additional simulations where we altered the vertical distribution of aviation SO₂ emissions
 (GROUND, SWITCH1 and SWITCH2 simulations). In these simulations the relationships between mortality and
 net RE deviate from the linear relationship seen when varying FSC between 0–6000 ppm (Fig. 10).

673

In relation to the standard aviation emissions simulation (FSC = 600 ppm; NORM), when we release all aviation emissions at the surface (GROUND; FSC = 600 ppm) aviation-induced surface $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations increase by +13.5 ng m⁻³ [+65.7%] over Europe and by +1.7 ng m⁻³ [+27.1%] over North America, but decrease by -1.4 ng m⁻³ [-36.7%] globally (Fig. 4). Greater surface layer $PM_{2.5}$ perturbations (GROUND–NORM) over populated regions increase aviation-induced annual mortality by +22.9% [+830 mortalities a⁻¹] (Fig. 6).

680 Releasing aviation emissions at the surface (GROUND case) increases global mean cloud level CCN by only 0.4 681 cm⁻³relative to NOAVI; providing a reduction in CCN of 82.1% [-1.89 cm⁻³] relative to the NORM case (i.e. 682 GROUND–NORM). That is, injecting aviation emissions into the free troposphere in the standard scenario is 683 over 5 times more efficient at increasing CCN concentrations compared to when the same emissions are released at the surface [GROUND CCN = 0.4 cm⁻³; NORM CCN = 2.3 cm⁻³]; both in relation to the NOAVI 684 scenario. Similar behaviour has been demonstrated previously for volcanic SO₂ emissions by Schmidt et al. 685 686 (2012), where volcanic SO₂ emissions injected into the free troposphere (FT) were more than twice as effective 687 at producing new CCN compared to boundary layer emissions of DMS. Injection of aviation SO₂ emissions at 688 the surface will increase both deposition rates and aqueous phase oxidation of SO₂; the latter resulting in the 689 growth of existing CCN, but not the formation of new CCN. In contrast, when SO₂ is emitted into the FT the 690 dominant oxidation mechanism is to H₂SO₄, leading to the formation of new CCN through particle formation

691 and the condensational growth of particles to larger sizes. Subsequent entrainment of these new particles into 692 the lower atmosphere results in enhanced CCN concentrations in low level clouds. Reduced CCN formation 693 when aviation emissions are injected at the surface has implications for the aCAE. When aviation emissions 694 are released at the surface we calculate an aCAE of -2.3 mW m⁻²; a factor of 10 smaller than the standard 695 aviation scenario. This demonstrates that low-level CCN concentrations and the aCAE are particularly sensitive 696 to aviation emissions, because of the efficient formation of CCN when SO₂ emissions are injected into the FT. 697 Injecting aviation emissions at the surface also results in an increase in the aDRE of +5.9 mW m⁻², resulting in 698 a RE_{comb} of +5.0 mW m⁻² (Fig. 9).

699

700 Surface O₃ concentrations are also less sensitive to aviation when emissions are located at the surface. Global 701 mean aviation-induced surface O₃ concentrations are reduced from 0.15 ppbv (NORM) to 0.03 ppbv when all 702 emissions are in the surface layer. Releasing aviation emissions at the surface also reduces the global O₃ 703 burden by 3.1 Tg. These perturbations in O₃ concentrations result in a reduction in the O₃ radiative effect from 704 +8.9 mW m⁻² (NORM; FSC = 600 ppm) to +1.5 mW m⁻² (GROUND; FSC = 600 ppm) (Fig. 9). This is a reflection of increases in the OPE of NO_x with increases in altitude due to lower background NO_x and NMHC (non-705 706 methane hydrocarbon) concentrations (Köhler et al., 2008; Stevenson and Derwent, 2009; Snijders and 707 Melkers, 2011; Skowron et al., 2013).

708

709 We investigated altering FSC between the take-off / landing and the cruise phases of flight using two scenarios 710 (SWITCH1 and SWITCH2) (Table 2). Our SWITCH1 scenario increases global mean aviation-induced surface 711 layer PM_{2.5} concentrations by +2.1 ng m⁻³ [52.2%], European mean concentrations by +0.9 ng m⁻³ [+4.5%], and North American concentrations by +2.7 ng m⁻³ [+42.2%] relative to NORM (Fig. 4). These changes increase 712 713 aviation-induced mortality by +17.4% [+630 mortalities a⁻¹] (Fig. 6). This scenario results in greater global mean 714 increases in CCN (relative to NORM) of +1.2 cm⁻³ [+51.2%], a larger cooling aCAE [-42.4 mW m⁻²], larger 715 warming aDRE [2.07 mW m⁻²], resulting in additional –18.1 mW m⁻² [136%] of aviation-induced cooling 716 [SWITCH1 RE_{comb} of -31.4 mW m⁻²].

The SWITCH2 scenario was designed to have the same global total sulfur emission as the normal aviation simulation. SWITCH2 increased global mean surface aviation-induced PM_{2.5} concentrations by +0.3 ng m⁻³ [+6.6%], but reduces mean surface PM_{2.5} concentrations over Europe [-1.8 ng m⁻³; -8.7%] and North America [-0.8 ng m⁻³; -12.8%] compared to NORM. Under this scenario global aviation-induced mortality is decreased by 2.4% [-90 mortalities a⁻¹] compared to the standard aviation simulation (Fig. 6). The SWITCH2 scenario results in a RE_{comb} of -18.2 mW m⁻², providing an additional -4.9 mW m⁻² [36.6%] cooling in relation to standard aviation emissions (NORM; FSC = 600 ppm).

725

726 4 Discussion and Conclusions

We have used a coupled chemistry-aerosol microphysics model to estimate the impact of aviation emissions
 on aerosol and O₃ concentrations, premature mortality and radiative effect on climate.

We calculated the top-of-atmosphere (TOA) tropospheric O₃ radiative effect (O3DRE), aerosol direct RE (aDRE)
and aerosol cloud albedo effect (aCAE). We find that these non-CO₂ REs result in a net cooling effect on climate
as has been found previously (Sausen et al., 2005; Lee et al., 2009; Gettelman and Chen, 2013; Righi et al.,
2013; Unger et al., 2013). For year 2000 aviation emissions with a standard fuel sulfur content (FSC = 600 ppm),
we calculate a global annual mean net TOA RE of -13.3 mW m⁻², due to a combination of O3DRE [+8.9 mW m⁻²], aDRE [+1.4 mW m⁻²] and aCAE [-23.6 mW m⁻²].

736

Our O3DRE [+8.9 mW m⁻²] when normalised to represent the impact of the emissions of 1Tg(N) [+10.45 mW m⁻² Tg(N)⁻¹] is at the lower end of range provided by previous studies [7.39–36.95 mW m⁻² Tg(N)⁻¹] (Sausen et al., 2005; Hoor et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2009; Holmes et al., 2011; Myhre et al., 2011; Unger, 2011; Frömming et al., 2012; Unger et al., 2013; Khodayari et al., 2014). This can be attributed to our model's lower OPE of 1.33, in comparison to the range of 1–2.4 from other models (Myhre et al., 2011; Unger, 2011).

- Our estimate of aviation-induced aCAE [-23.6 mW m⁻²] lies just outside the range provided by Gettelman and
 Chen (2013) and Righi et al. (2013) [-15.4 to -21 mW m⁻²]. Our estimated aDRE [+1.4 mW m⁻²] lies within the
 middle of the range given by previous work (Sausen et al., 2005; Fuglestvedt et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2009;
 Balkanski et al., 2010; Unger, 2011; Gettelman and Chen, 2013; Righi et al., 2013; Unger et al., 2013).
- 748

We estimate that standard aviation (NORM; FSC = 600 ppm) is responsible for approximately 3,600 premature mortalities annually due to increased surface layer PM_{2.5}, in line with previous work (Barrett et al., 2012). We find that aviation-induced mortalities are highest over Europe, eastern North America and eastern China; reflecting larger regional perturbations in surface layer PM_{2.5} concentrations. Comparing these estimates with total global premature mortalities from ambient air pollution from all anthropogenic sources (Lim et al., 2012), aviation is responsible for 0.1% [0.04–0.18%] of annual premature mortalities.

We investigated the impact of varying aviation FSC over the range 0–6000 ppm. Increases in FSC lead to increases in surface $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations and subsequent increases in aviation-induced mortality. Increases in FSC also lead to a more negative RE_{comb} due to an enhanced aCAEs. We estimate that the use of ultra-low sulfur jet (ULSJ) fuel, with a FSC of 15 ppm, could prevent 620 [230–1,020] mortalities annually compared to standard aviation emissions. Swapping to ULSJ fuel increases the global mean net RE by +7.0 mW m⁻² compared to standard aviation emissions, largely due to a reduced aCAE. We calculate a larger warming effect from switching to ULSJ fuel than that assessed by Barrett et al. (2012), who did not evaluate changes in aCAE.

Absolute reductions in FSC result in limited reductions in aviation-induced surface layer PM_{2.5}. We estimate that aviation-NO_x emissions are responsible for 36.2% of aviation-induced sulfate perturbations. Thus further reductions in aviation-induced PM_{2.5} can potentially be achieved if NO_x emission reductions are implemented in tandem with reductions to fuel sulfur content.

768

763

769 In line with previous work (Köhler et al., 2008; Stevenson and Derwent, 2009; Snijders and Melkers, 2011; 770 Frömming et al., 2012; Skowron et al., 2013), decreasing the altitude at which O₃ forming species are emitted 771 results in a reduction in aviation-induced O₃, and resulting O3DRE. This is due to the relationship between 772 altitude and OPE, and the inverse relationship between altitude and background pollutant concentrations. We 773 also explored the sensitivity of emission injection altitude on aerosol, mortality and aerosol RE. Injecting 774 aviation emissions at the surface results in a reduction in global mean concentrations of PM_{2.5} (relative to 775 NORM), but with higher regional concentrations over central Europe and eastern America; resulting in higher 776 annual mortalities due to aviation. We find that aviation emissions are a factor of 5 less efficient at creating 777 CCN when released at the surface, resulting in an aCAE of -2.3 mW m⁻², a reduction of 90.1% in relation to the 778 standard aviation scenario. When aviation SO₂ emissions are injected into the free-troposphere, the dominant 779 oxidation pathway is to H₂SO₄ followed by particle formation and condensational growth of new particles to 780 larger sizes. Subsequent entrainment of these new particles into the lower atmosphere leads to increased CCN 781 concentrations and impacts on cloud albedo. Aviation SO₂ emissions are therefore particularly efficient at 782 forming CCN with resulting impacts on cloud albedo.

783

789

We explored the impact of applying altitude dependent variations in aviation FSC. We tested a scenario with high FSC in the free troposphere and low FSC near the surface, resulting in the same global aviation sulfur emission as the standard aviation scenario. In this scenario, aviation-induced premature mortalities were reduced by 2.4% [–90 mortalities a⁻¹] and the magnitude of the negative RE_{comb} was increased by 36.6%, providing an additional cooling impact of climate of –4.88mW m⁻².

790 Our simulations suggest that the climate and air quality impacts of aviation are sensitive to FSC and the altitude 791 of emissions. We explored a range of scenarios to maximise climate cooling and reduce air quality impacts. 792 Use of ULSJ fuel (FSC = 15 ppm) at low altitude combined with high FSC in the free troposphere results in 793 increased climate cooling whilst reducing aviation mortality. More complicated emission patterns, for 794 example, use of high FSC only whilst over oceans might further enhance this effect. However, we note that 795 the greatest reduction in aviation-induced mortality is simulated for complete desulfurisation of aviation fuel. 796 Given the uncertainty in both the climate and air quality impacts of aerosol and ozone, additional simulations 797 from a range of atmospheric models are required to explore the robustness of our calculations. Finally, we

note that our calculations are limited to calculation of aviation-induced RE. Future work needs to assess the
 complex climate impacts of altering aviation FSC. Future work needs to estimate the health impacts of aviation
 using newly available concentration response functions (Burnett et al., 2014).

801

- 802 Author information
- 803 *Phone: 0113 343 8167. E-mail: pm08zzk@leeds.ac.uk
- 804
- 805 Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the Engineering & Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) for funding of Z Z Kapadia through the EPSRC Doctoral Training Centre in Low Carbon Technologies (EPSRC Grant EP/G036608/1).

809

810 The authors are also grateful to Jean-Francois Lamarque and the 'Historical emissions group' and IIASA for

811 their publically available recommended historical CMIP5 aviation emissions data.

812

813 References

Airbus: A318/A319/A320/A321 FCTM (Flight Crew Training Manual), FCA A318/A319/A320/A321 FLEET,
2008.

- 816 Anderson, B. E., Chen, G., and Blake, D. R.: Hydrocarbon emissions from a modern commercial airliner,
- 817 Atmospheric Environment, 40, 3601-3612, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.09.072</u>, 2006.

Andres, R. J., and Kasgnoc, A. D.: A time-averaged inventory of subaerial volcanic sulfur emissions, Journal of
Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 103, 25251-25261, 10.1029/98JD02091, 1998.

820 Arnold, S. R., Chipperfield, M. P., and Blitz, M. A.: A three-dimensional model study of the effect of new

temperature-dependent quantum yields for acetone photolysis, Journal of Geophysical Research:

822 Atmospheres, 110, D22305, 10.1029/2005JD005998, 2005.

ASTM International: D1655-11b: Standard Specification for Aviation Turbine Fuels, ASTM International, West
 Conshohocken, PA, United States, 2012.

- 825 Balkanski, Y., Myhre, G., Gauss, M., Rädel, G., Highwood, E. J., and Shine, K. P.: Direct radiative effect of
- aerosols emitted by transport: from road, shipping and aviation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 4477-4489,
 10.5194/acp-10-4477-2010, 2010.
- 828 Barahona, D., West, R. E. L., Stier, P., Romakkaniemi, S., Kokkola, H., and Nenes, A.: Comprehensively
- accounting for the effect of giant CCN in cloud activation parameterizations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 24672473, 10.5194/acp-10-2467-2010, 2010.
- 831 Barrett, S. R. H., Britter, R. E., and Waitz, I. A.: Global Mortality Attributable to Aircraft Cruise Emissions,
- 832 Environmental Science & Technology, 44, 7736-7742, 10.1021/es101325r, 2010.
- Barrett, S. R. H., Yim, S. H. L., Gilmore, C. K., Murray, L. T., Kuhn, S. R., Tai, A. P. K., Yantosca, R. M., Byun, D.
- 834 W., Ngan, F., Li, X., Levy, J. I., Ashok, A., Koo, J., Wong, H. M., Dessens, O., Balasubramanian, S., Fleming, G.
- G., Pearlson, M. N., Wollersheim, C., Malina, R., Arunachalam, S., Binkowski, F. S., Leibensperger, E. M.,
- Jacob, D. J., Hileman, J. I., and Waitz, I. A.: Public Health, Climate, and Economic Impacts of Desulfurizing Jet
 Fuel, Environmental Science & Technology, 46, 4275-4282, 10.1021/es203325a, 2012.
- 838 Benduhn, F., Mann, G. W., Pringle, K. J., Topping, D. O., McFiggans, G., and Carslaw, K. S.: Size-resolved
- simulations of the aerosol inorganic composition with the new hybrid dissolution solver HyDiS-1.0 –
- 840 Description, evaluation and first global modelling results, Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 2016, 1-54, 10 5104/gmd 2015 264 2016
- 841 10.5194/gmd-2015-264, 2016.
- 842 Bond, T. C., Streets, D. G., Yarber, K. F., Nelson, S. M., Woo, J.-H., and Klimont, Z.: A technology-based global
- 843 inventory of black and organic carbon emissions from combustion, Journal of Geophysical Research:
- 844 Atmospheres, 109, D14203, 10.1029/2003JD003697, 2004.
- Bouwman, A. F., Lee, D. S., Asman, W. A. H., Dentener, F. J., Van Der Hoek, K. W., and Olivier, J. G. J.: A global
- high-resolution emission inventory for ammonia, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 11, 561-587,
- 847 10.1029/97GB02266, 1997.

- 848 Brasseur, G. P., Gupta, M., Anderson, B. E., Balasubramanian, S., Barrett, S., Duda, D., Fleming, G., Forster, P.
- M., Fuglestvedt, J., Gettelman, A., Halthore, R. N., Jacob, S. D., Jacobson, M. Z., Khodayari, A., Liou, K.-N.,
- Lund, M. T., Miake-Lye, R. C., Minnis, P., Olsen, S., Penner, J. E., Prinn, R., Schumann, U., Selkirk, H. B.,
- Sokolov, A., Unger, N., Wolfe, P., Wong, H.-W., Wuebbles, D. W., Yi, B., Yang, P., and Zhou, C.: Impact of
- Aviation on Climate: FAA's Aviation Climate Change Research Initiative (ACCRI) Phase II, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 10.1175/BAMS-D-13-00089.1, 2015.
- 854 Breider, T. J., Chipperfield, M. P., Richards, N. A. D., Carslaw, K. S., Mann, G. W., and Spracklen, D. V.: Impact
- of BrO on dimethylsulfide in the remote marine boundary layer, Geophysical Research Letters, 37, L02807, 10.1029/2009GL040868, 2010.
- 857 Burkhardt, U., and Karcher, B.: Global radiative forcing from contrail cirrus, Nature Clim. Change, 1, 54-58, 858 2011.
- 859 Burnett, R. T., Pope, C. A., Ezzati, M., Olives, C., Lim, S. S., Mehta, S., Shin, H. H., Singh, G., Hubbell, B., and
- Brauer, M.: An integrated risk function for estimating the global burden of disease attributable to ambient
 fine particulate matter exposure, 2014.
- 862 Chipperfield, M. P.: New version of the TOMCAT/SLIMCAT off-line chemical transport model:
- 863 Intercomparison of stratospheric tracer experiments, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society,
- 864 132, 1179-1203, 10.1256/qj.05.51, 2006.
- Chipperfield, M. P., Dhomse, S. S., Feng, W., McKenzie, R. L., Velders, G. J. M., and Pyle, J. A.: Quantifying the
- ozone and ultraviolet benefits already achieved by the Montreal Protocol, Nat Commun, 6,
- 867 10.1038/ncomms8233, 2015.
- Cofala, J., Amann, M., Klimont, Z., and Schopp, W.: Scenarios of World Anthropogenic Emissions of SO2, NOx
 and CO up to 2030, International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, Laxenburg, Austria, 2005.
- 870 Dentener, F., Kinne, S., Bond, T., Boucher, O., Cofala, J., Generoso, S., Ginoux, P., Gong, S., Hoelzemann, J. J.,
- 871 Ito, A., Marelli, L., Penner, J. E., Putaud, J. P., Textor, C., Schulz, M., van der Werf, G. R., and Wilson, J.:
- 872 Emissions of primary aerosol and precursor gases in the years 2000 and 1750 prescribed data-sets for
- 873 AeroCom, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 4321-4344, 10.5194/acp-6-4321-2006, 2006.
- Dessens, O., Köhler, M. O., Rogers, H. L., Jones, R. L., and Pyle, J. A.: Aviation and climate change, Transport
 Policy, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2014.02.014</u>, 2014.
- 876 Dockery, D. W., Pope, C. A., Xu, X., Spengler, J. D., Ware, J. H., Fay, M. E., Ferris, B. G., and Speizer, F. E.: An
- Association between Air Pollution and Mortality in Six U.S. Cities, New England Journal of Medicine, 329,
 1753-1759, doi:10.1056/NEJM199312093292401, 1993.
- BuBois, D., and Paynter, G. C.: "Fuel Flow Method2" for Estimating Aircraft Emissions, SAE Technical Paper
 Series, 01, 2006.
- 881 Eckhardt, S., Quennehen, B., Olivié, D. J. L., Berntsen, T. K., Cherian, R., Christensen, J. H., Collins, W.,
- 882 Crepinsek, S., Daskalakis, N., Flanner, M., Herber, A., Heyes, C., Hodnebrog, Ø., Huang, L., Kanakidou, M.,
- 883 Klimont, Z., Langner, J., Law, K. S., Lund, M. T., Mahmood, R., Massling, A., Myriokefalitakis, S., Nielsen, I. E.,
- Nøjgaard, J. K., Quaas, J., Quinn, P. K., Raut, J. C., Rumbold, S. T., Schulz, M., Sharma, S., Skeie, R. B., Skov, H.,
- Uttal, T., von Salzen, K., and Stohl, A.: Current model capabilities for simulating black carbon and sulfate
- concentrations in the Arctic atmosphere: a multi-model evaluation using a comprehensive measurement
 data set, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 9413-9433, 10.5194/acp-15-9413-2015, 2015.
- 888 Edwards, J. M., and Slingo, A.: Studies with a flexible new radiation code. I: Choosing a configuration for a
- 889 large-scale model, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 122, 689-719,
- 890 10.1002/qj.49712253107, 1996.
- 891 Eyers, C. J., Addleton, D., Atkinson, K., Broomhead, M. J., Christou, R., Elliff, T., Falk, R., Gee, I., Lee, D. S.,
- Marizy, C., S Michot, Middel, J., Newton, P., Norman, P., Plohr, M., Raper, D., and Stanciou, N.: AERO2K
 Global Aviation Emissions Inventories for 2002 and 2025, QINETIQ/04/01113, 2004.
- 894 Eyring, V., Isaksen, I. S. A., Berntsen, T., Collins, W. J., Corbett, J. J., Endresen, O., Grainger, R. G., Moldanova,
- J., Schlager, H., and Stevenson, D. S.: Transport impacts on atmosphere and climate: Shipping, Atmospheric
- 896 Environment, 44, 4735-4771, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.04.059, 2010.
- 897 Fiore, A. M., Naik, V., Spracklen, D. V., Steiner, A., Unger, N., Prather, M., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P.
- J., Cionni, I., Collins, W. J., Dalsoren, S., Eyring, V., Folberth, G. A., Ginoux, P., Horowitz, L. W., Josse, B.,
- Lamarque, J.-F., MacKenzie, I. A., Nagashima, T., O'Connor, F. M., Righi, M., Rumbold, S. T., Shindell, D. T.,
- Skeie, R. B., Sudo, K., Szopa, S., Takemura, T., and Zeng, G.: Global air quality and climate, Chemical Society
 Reviews, 41, 6663-6683, 2012.

- 902 Fountoukis, C., and Nenes, A.: Continued development of a cloud droplet formation parameterization for
- 903 global climate models, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 110, D11212,
- 904 10.1029/2004JD005591, 2005.
- 905 Frömming, C., Ponater, M., Dahlmann, K., Grewe, V., Lee, D. S., and Sausen, R.: Aviation-induced radiative
- forcing and surface temperature change in dependency of the emission altitude, J. Geophys. Res., 117,
 D19104, 10.1029/2012JD018204, 2012.
- 908 Fuglestvedt, J., Berntsen, T., Myhre, G., Rypdal, K., and Skeie, R. B.: Climate forcing from the transport
- sectors, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 105, 454-458, 10.1073/pnas.0702958104, 2008.
- 910 Gettelman, A., and Chen, C.: The climate impact of aviation aerosols, Geophysical Research Letters, 40, 2785-
- 911 2789, 10.1002/grl.50520, 2013.
- 912 Guenther, A., Hewitt, C. N., Erickson, D., Fall, R., Geron, C., Graedel, T., Harley, P., Klinger, L., Lerdau, M.,
- 913 McKay, W. A., Pierce, T., Scholes, B., Steinbrecher, R., Tallamraju, R., Taylor, J., and Zimmerman, P.: A global
- model of natural volatile organic compound emissions, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 100,
 8873-8892, 10.1029/94JD02950, 1995.
- Halmer, M. M., Schmincke, H. U., and Graf, H. F.: The annual volcanic gas input into the atmosphere, in
 particular into the stratosphere: a global data set for the past 100 years, Journal of Volcanology and
- 918 Geothermal Research, 115, 511-528, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0273(01)00318-3</u>, 2002.
- Hand, J. L., Schichtel, B. A., Malm, W. C., and Pitchford, M. L.: Particulate sulfate ion concentration and SO2
- emission trends in the United States from the early 1990s through 2010, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 1035310365, 10.5194/acp-12-10353-2012, 2012.
- Heald, C. L., Coe, H., Jimenez, J. L., Weber, R. J., Bahreini, R., Middlebrook, A. M., Russell, L. M., Jolleys, M.,
- 923 Fu, T. M., Allan, J. D., Bower, K. N., Capes, G., Crosier, J., Morgan, W. T., Robinson, N. H., Williams, P. I.,
- 924 Cubison, M. J., DeCarlo, P. F., and Dunlea, E. J.: Exploring the vertical profile of atmospheric organic aerosol:
- 925 comparing 17 aircraft field campaigns with a global model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 12673-12696,
 926 10.5194/acp-11-12673-2011, 2011.
- 927 Hileman, J. I., and Stratton, R. W.: Alternative jet fuel feasibility, Transport Policy,
- 928 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2014.02.018</u>, 2014.
- Holmes, C. D., Tang, Q., and Prather, M. J.: Uncertainties in climate assessment for the case of aviation NO,
- 930 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 108, 10997-11002, 10.1073/pnas.1101458108, 2011.
- Hoor, P., Borken-Kleefeld, J., Caro, D., Dessens, O., Endresen, O., Gauss, M., Grewe, V., Hauglustaine, D.,
- 932 Isaksen, I. S. A., Jöckel, P., Lelieveld, J., Myhre, G., Meijer, E., Olivie, D., Prather, M., Schnadt Poberaj, C.,
- Shine, K. P., Staehelin, J., Tang, Q., van Aardenne, J., van Velthoven, P., and Sausen, R.: The impact of traffic
 emissions on atmospheric ozone and OH: results from QUANTIFY, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 3113-3136,
- 935 10.5194/acp-9-3113-2009, 2009.
- Hopke, P. K.: Receptor modeling in environmental chemistry, v. 76, Wiley, 1985.
- 937 ICAO: Annual Report of the Council 2012, International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO), 2013.
- Jacobson, M. Z., Wilkerson, J. T., Naiman, A. D., and Lele, S. K.: The effects of aircraft on climate and
- pollution. Part II: 20-year impacts of exhaust from all commercial aircraft worldwide treated individually at
 the subgrid scale, Faraday Discussions, 165, 369-382, 10.1039/C3FD00034F, 2013.
- 941 Kaiser, J. W., Heil, A., Andreae, M. O., Benedetti, A., Chubarova, N., Jones, L., Morcrette, J. J., Razinger, M.,
- Schultz, M. G., Suttie, M., and van der Werf, G. R.: Biomass burning emissions estimated with a global fire
 assimilation system based on observed fire radiative power, Biogeosciences, 9, 527-554, 10.5194/bg-9-527-
- assimilation system based on observed fire radiative power, Biogeosciences, 9, 527-554, 10.5194/bg-9-5272012, 2012.
- Kettle, A. J., and Andreae, M. O.: Flux of dimethylsulfide from the oceans: A comparison of updated data sets
 and flux models, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 105, 26793-26808, 10.1029/2000JD900252,
 2000.
- 948 Khodayari, A., Olsen, S. C., and Wuebbles, D. J.: Evaluation of aviation NOx-induced radiative forcings for
- 949 2005 and 2050, Atmospheric Environment, 91, 95-103, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.03.044</u>, 950 2014.
- 951 Knighton, W. B., Rogers, T. M., Anderson, B. E., Herndon, S. C., Yelvington, P. E., and Miake-Lye, R. C.:
- Quantification of Aircraft Engine Hydrocarbon Emissions Using Proton Transfer Reaction Mass Spectrometry,
 Journal of Propulsion and Power, 23, 949-958, 2007.
- 954 Knippertz, P., Evans, M. J., Field, P. R., Fink, A. H., Liousse, C., and Marsham, J. H.: The possible role of local
- air pollution in climate change in West Africa, Nature Clim. Change, 5, 815-822, 10.1038/nclimate2727, 2015.

- 956 Köhler, M. O., Rädel, G., Dessens, O., Shine, K. P., Rogers, H. L., Wild, O., and Pyle, J. A.: Impact of
- 957 perturbations to nitrogen oxide emissions from global aviation, Journal of Geophysical Research:
- 958 Atmospheres, 113, D11305, 10.1029/2007JD009140, 2008.
- 859 Köhler, M. O., Rädel, G., Shine, K. P., Rogers, H. L., and Pyle, J. A.: Latitudinal variation of the effect of
- aviation NOx emissions on atmospheric ozone and methane and related climate metrics, Atmospheric
 Environment, 64, 1-9, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.09.013, 2013.
- 962 Konovalov, I. B., Beekmann, M., Burrows, J. P., and Richter, A.: Satellite measurement based estimates of
- decadal changes in European nitrogen oxides emissions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 2623-2641, 10.5194/acp-82623-2008, 2008.
- Lamarque, J. F., Hess, P., Emmons, L., Buja, L., Washington, W., and Granier, C.: Tropospheric ozone
 evolution between 1890 and 1990, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 110, n/a-n/a,
 10.1029/2004JD005537, 2005.
- 268 Lamarque, J. F., Bond, T. C., Eyring, V., Granier, C., Heil, A., Klimont, Z., Lee, D., Liousse, C., Mieville, A., Owen,
- 969 B., Schultz, M. G., Shindell, D., Smith, S. J., Stehfest, E., Van Aardenne, J., Cooper, O. R., Kainuma, M.,
- 970 Mahowald, N., McConnell, J. R., Naik, V., Riahi, K., and van Vuuren, D. P.: Historical (1850–2000) gridded
- anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions of reactive gases and aerosols: methodology and application,
 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 7017-7039, 10.5194/acp-10-7017-2010, 2010.
- 973 Lee, D. S., Fahey, D. W., Forster, P. M., Newton, P. J., Wit, R. C. N., Lim, L. L., Owen, B., and Sausen, R.:
- Aviation and global climate change in the 21st century, Atmospheric Environment, 43, 3520-3537,
 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.04.024, 2009.
- 976 Lee, D. S., Pitari, G., Grewe, V., Gierens, K., Penner, J. E., Petzold, A., Prather, M. J., Schumann, U., Bais, A.,
- Berntsen, T., Iachetti, D., Lim, L. L., and Sausen, R.: Transport impacts on atmosphere and climate: Aviation,
 Atmospheric Environment, 44, 4678-4734, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.06.005, 2010.
- 279 Lim, S. S., Vos, T., Flaxman, A. D., Danaei, G., Shibuya, K., Adair-Rohani, H., AlMazroa, M. A., Amann, M.,
- 980 Anderson, H. R., Andrews, K. G., Aryee, M., Atkinson, C., Bacchus, L. J., Bahalim, A. N., Balakrishnan, K.,
- Balmes, J., Barker-Collo, S., Baxter, A., Bell, M. L., Blore, J. D., Blyth, F., Bonner, C., Borges, G., Bourne, R.,
- Boussinesq, M., Brauer, M., Brooks, P., Bruce, N. G., Brunekreef, B., Bryan-Hancock, C., Bucello, C.,
- 983 Buchbinder, R., Bull, F., Burnett, R. T., Byers, T. E., Calabria, B., Carapetis, J., Carnahan, E., Chafe, Z., Charlson,
- F., Chen, H., Chen, J. S., Cheng, A. T.-A., Child, J. C., Cohen, A., Colson, K. E., Cowie, B. C., Darby, S., Darling, S.,
 Davis, A., Degenhardt, L., Dentener, F., Des Jarlais, D. C., Devries, K., Dherani, M., Ding, E. L., Dorsey, E. R.,
- 986 Driscoll, T., Edmond, K., Ali, S. E., Engell, R. E., Erwin, P. J., Fahimi, S., Falder, G., Farzadfar, F., Ferrari, A.,
- 987 Finucane, M. M., Flaxman, S., Fowkes, F. G. R., Freedman, G., Freeman, M. K., Gakidou, E., Ghosh, S.,
- 988 Giovannucci, E., Gmel, G., Graham, K., Grainger, R., Grant, B., Gunnell, D., Gutierrez, H. R., Hall, W., Hoek, H.
- 989 W., Hogan, A., Hosgood Iii, H. D., Hoy, D., Hu, H., Hubbell, B. J., Hutchings, S. J., Ibeanusi, S. E., Jacklyn, G. L.,
- Jasrasaria, R., Jonas, J. B., Kan, H., Kanis, J. A., Kassebaum, N., Kawakami, N., Khang, Y.-H., Khatibzadeh, S.,
 Khoo, J.-P., Kok, C., Laden, F., Lalloo, R., Lan, Q., Lathlean, T., Leasher, J. L., Leigh, J., Li, Y., Lin, J. K., Lipshultz,
- 992 S. E., London, S., Lozano, R., Lu, Y., Mak, J., Malekzadeh, R., Mallinger, L., Marcenes, W., March, L., Marks, R.,
- 993 Martin, R., McGale, P., McGrath, J., Mehta, S., Memish, Z. A., Mensah, G. A., Merriman, T. R., Micha, R.,
- Michaud, C., Mishra, V., Hanafiah, K. M., Mokdad, A. A., Morawska, L., Mozaffarian, D., Murphy, T., Naghavi,
 M., Neal, B., Nelson, P. K., Nolla, J. M., Norman, R., Olives, C., Omer, S. B., Orchard, J., Osborne, R., Ostro, B.,
- M., Neal, B., Nelson, P. K., Nolla, J. M., Norman, R., Olives, C., Omer, S. B., Orchard, J., Osborne, R., Ostro, B.,
 Page, A., Pandey, K. D., Parry, C. D. H., Passmore, E., Patra, J., Pearce, N., Pelizzari, P. M., Petzold, M., Phillips,
- 997 M. R., Pope, D., Pope III, C. A., Powles, J., Rao, M., Razavi, H., Rehfuess, E. A., Rehm, J. T., Ritz, B., Rivara, F. P.,
- Roberts, T., Robinson, C., Rodriguez-Portales, J. A., Romieu, I., Room, R., Rosenfeld, L. C., Roy, A., Rushton, L.,
 Salomon, J. A., Sampson, U., Sanchez-Riera, L., Sanman, E., Sapkota, A., Seedat, S., Shi, P., Shield, K.,
- Shivakoti, R., Singh, G. M., Sleet, D. A., Smith, E., Smith, K. R., Stapelberg, N. J. C., Steenland, K., Stöckl, H.,
 Stovner, L. J., Straif, K., Straney, L., Thurston, G. D., Tran, J. H., Van Dingenen, R., van Donkelaar, A., Veerman,
- 1002 J. L., Vijayakumar, L., Weintraub, R., Weissman, M. M., White, R. A., Whiteford, H., Wiersma, S. T., Wilkinson,
- J. D., Williams, H. C., Williams, W., Wilson, N., Woolf, A. D., Yip, P., Zielinski, J. M., Lopez, A. D., Murray, C. J.
- 1004 L., and Ezzati, M.: A comparative risk assessment of burden of disease and injury attributable to 67 risk
- 1005 factors and risk factor clusters in 21 regions, 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of
- 1006 Disease Study 2010, The Lancet, 380, 2224-2260, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61766-8</u>, 2012.
- 1007 Mann, G. W., Carslaw, K. S., Spracklen, D. V., Ridley, D. A., Manktelow, P. T., Chipperfield, M. P., Pickering, S.
- 1008 J., and Johnson, C. E.: Description and evaluation of GLOMAP-mode: a modal global aerosol microphysics

- model for the UKCA composition-climate model, Geosci. Model Dev., 3, 519-551, 10.5194/gmd-3-519-2010,
 2010.
- 1011 Ministry of Defence: Defence Standard 91-91. Turbine Fuel, Kerosine Type, Jet A-1. NATO Code: F-35. Joint 1012 Service Designation: AVTUR, Glasgow, United Kingdon, 2011.
- 1013 Morita, H., Yang, S., Unger, N., and Kinney, P. L.: Global Health Impacts of Future Aviation Emissions Under
- 1014 Alternative Control Scenarios, Environmental Science & Technology, 48, 14659-14667, 10.1021/es5055379, 1015 2014.
- 1016 Myhre, G., Shine, K. P., Rädel, G., Gauss, M., Isaksen, I. S. A., Tang, Q., Prather, M. J., Williams, J. E., van
- 1017 Velthoven, P., Dessens, O., Koffi, B., Szopa, S., Hoor, P., Grewe, V., Borken-Kleefeld, J., Berntsen, T. K., and
- 1018 Fuglestvedt, J. S.: Radiative forcing due to changes in ozone and methane caused by the transport sector,
- 1019 Atmospheric Environment, 45, 387-394, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.10.001, 2011.
- Nenes, A., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Parameterization of cloud droplet formation in global climate models, Journal
 of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 108, 4415, 10.1029/2002JD002911, 2003.
- 1022 Nightingale, P. D., Malin, G., Law, C. S., Watson, A. J., Liss, P. S., Liddicoat, M. I., Boutin, J., and Upstill-
- 1023 Goddard, R. C.: In situ evaluation of air-sea gas exchange parameterizations using novel conservative and 1024 volatile tracers, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 14, 373-387, 10.1029/1999GB900091, 2000.
- 1025 Olsen, S. C., Wuebbles, D. J., and Owen, B.: Comparison of global 3-D aviation emissions datasets, Atmos. 1026 Chem. Phys., 13, 429-441, 10.5194/acp-13-429-2013, 2013.
- 1027 Ostro, B. D.: Outdoor air pollution: Assessing the environmental burden of disease at national and local 1028 levels, 2004.
- 1029 Pope, C. A., Burnett, R. T., Thun, M. J., Calle, E. E., Krewski, D., Ito, K., and Thurston, G. D.: Lung Cancer,
- 1030 Cardiopulmonary Mortality, and Long-term Exposure to Fine Particulate Air Pollution, JAMA, 287, 1132-1141,
 1031 10.1001/jama.287.9.1132, 2002.
- Pope, C. A., and Dockery, D. W.: Health effects of fine particulate air pollution: lines that connect, Journal of
 the Air & Waste Management Association, 56, 709-742, 2006.
- 1034 EU FP6 Integrated Project QUANTIFY. Quantifying the Climate Impact of Global and European Transport
- Systems: QUANTIFY emission inventories and scenarios: <u>http://www.pa.op.dlr.de/quantify/</u>, access:
 15/07/2011, 2005-2012.
- 1037 Rap, A., Forster, P. M., Jones, A., Boucher, O., Haywood, J. M., Bellouin, N., and De Leon, R. R.:
- 1038 Parameterization of contrails in the UK Met Office Climate Model, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D10205, 1039 10.1029/2009jd012443, 2010.
- 1040 Rap, A., Scott, C. E., Spracklen, D. V., Bellouin, N., Forster, P. M., Carslaw, K. S., Schmidt, A., and Mann, G.:
- 1041 Natural aerosol direct and indirect radiative effects, Geophysical Research Letters, 40, 3297-3301,
- 1042 10.1002/grl.50441, 2013.
- Rap, A., Richards, N. A. D., Forster, P. M., Monks, S. A., Arnold, S. R., and Chipperfield, M. P.: Satellite
 constraint on the tropospheric ozone radiative effect, Geophysical Research Letters, 42, 2015GL064037,
 10.1002/2015GL064037, 2015.
- 1046 Ratliff, G., Sequeira, C., Waitz, I., Ohsfeldt, M., Thrasher, T., Graham, M., and Thompson, T.: Aircraft Impacts
- 1047 on Local and Regional Air Quality in the United States, Massachusetts Institute of TechnologyPARTNER
 1048 report (Report No. PARTNER-COE-2009-002), 2009.
- 1049 Richards, N. A. D., Arnold, S. R., Chipperfield, M. P., Miles, G., Rap, A., Siddans, R., Monks, S. A., and
- Hollaway, M. J.: The Mediterranean summertime ozone maximum: global emission sensitivities and radiative
 impacts, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 2331-2345, 10.5194/acp-13-2331-2013, 2013.
- 1052 Righi, M., Hendricks, J., and Sausen, R.: The global impact of the transport sectors on atmospheric aerosol:
- 1053 simulations for year 2000 emissions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 9939-9970, 10.5194/acp-13-9939-2013, 2013.
- 1054 Rossow, W. B., and Schiffer, R. A.: Advances in Understanding Clouds from ISCCP, Bulletin of the American
- 1055 Meteorological Society, 80, 2261-2287, 10.1175/1520-0477(1999)080<2261:AIUCFI>2.0.CO;2, 1999.
- 1056 Sausen, R., Isaksen, I., Grewe, V., Hauglustaine, D., Lee, D. S., Myhre, G., Köhler, M. O., Pitari, G., Schumann,
- 1057 U., Stordal, F., and Zerefos, C.: Aviation radiative forcing in 2000: An update on IPCC (1999), Meteorologische
 1058 Zeitschrift, 14, 555-561, 2005.
- 1059 Schmidt, A., Carslaw, K. S., Mann, G. W., Rap, A., Pringle, K. J., Spracklen, D. V., Wilson, M., and Forster, P.
- 1060 M.: Importance of tropospheric volcanic aerosol for indirect radiative forcing of climate, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
- 1061 12, 7321-7339, 10.5194/acp-12-7321-2012, 2012.

- 1062 Shindell, D. T., Chin, M., Dentener, F., Doherty, R. M., Faluvegi, G., Fiore, A. M., Hess, P., Koch, D. M.,
- 1063 MacKenzie, I. A., Sanderson, M. G., Schultz, M. G., Schulz, M., Stevenson, D. S., Teich, H., Textor, C., Wild, O.,
- Bergmann, D. J., Bey, I., Bian, H., Cuvelier, C., Duncan, B. N., Folberth, G., Horowitz, L. W., Jonson, J.,
- 1065 Kaminski, J. W., Marmer, E., Park, R., Pringle, K. J., Schroeder, S., Szopa, S., Takemura, T., Zeng, G., Keating, T.
- J., and Zuber, A.: A multi-model assessment of pollution transport to the Arctic, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 5353 5372, 10.5194/acp-8-5353-2008, 2008.
- 1068 Skowron, A., Lee, D. S., and De León, R. R.: The assessment of the impact of aviation NOx on ozone and other
- radiative forcing responses The importance of representing cruise altitudes accurately, Atmospheric
 Environment, 74, 159-168, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.03.034</u>, 2013.
- 1071 Spicer, C. W., Holdren, M. W., Riggin, R. M., and Lyon, T. F.: Chemical composition and photochemical
- 1072 reactivity of exhaust from aircraft turbine engines, Ann. Geophys., 12, 944-955, 10.1007/s00585-994-0944-0,
 1073 1994.
- Spracklen, D. V., Pringle, K. J., Carslaw, K. S., Chipperfield, M. P., and Mann, G. W.: A global off-line model of
 size-resolved aerosol microphysics: I. Model development and prediction of aerosol properties, Atmospheric
- 1076 Chemistry and Physics, 5, 2227-2252, 2005.
- 1077 Spracklen, D. V., Carslaw, K. S., Merikanto, J., Mann, G. W., Reddington, C. L., Pickering, S., Ogren, J. A.,
- 1078 Andrews, E., Baltensperger, U., Weingartner, E., Boy, M., Kulmala, M., Laakso, L., Lihavainen, H., Kivekäs, N.,
- 1079 Komppula, M., Mihalopoulos, N., Kouvarakis, G., Jennings, S. G., O'Dowd, C., Birmili, W., Wiedensohler, A.,
- 1080 Weller, R., Gras, J., Laj, P., Sellegri, K., Bonn, B., Krejci, R., Laaksonen, A., Hamed, A., Minikin, A., Harrison, R.
- 1081 M., Talbot, R., and Sun, J.: Explaining global surface aerosol number concentrations in terms of primary
- 1082 emissions and particle formation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 4775-4793, 10.5194/acp-10-4775-2010, 2010.
- Spracklen, D. V., Carslaw, K. S., Pöschl, U., Rap, A., and Forster, P. M.: Global cloud condensation nuclei
 influenced by carbonaceous combustion aerosol, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 9067-9087, 10.5194/acp-11-90672011, 2011a.
- 1086 Spracklen, D. V., Jimenez, J. L., Carslaw, K. S., Worsnop, D. R., Evans, M. J., Mann, G. W., Zhang, Q.,
- 1087 Canagaratna, M. R., Allan, J., Coe, H., McFiggans, G., Rap, A., and Forster, P.: Aerosol mass spectrometer
- 1088 constraint on the global secondary organic aerosol budget, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 12109-12136,
- 1089 10.5194/acp-11-12109-2011, 2011b.
- 1090 Stevenson, D. S., and Derwent, R. G.: Does the location of aircraft nitrogen oxide emissions affect their 1091 climate impact?, Geophysical Research Letters, 36, L17810, 10.1029/2009GL039422, 2009.
- 1092 Stevenson, D. S., Young, P. J., Naik, V., Lamarque, J. F., Shindell, D. T., Voulgarakis, A., Skeie, R. B., Dalsoren,
- 1093 S. B., Myhre, G., Berntsen, T. K., Folberth, G. A., Rumbold, S. T., Collins, W. J., MacKenzie, I. A., Doherty, R.
- 1094 M., Zeng, G., van Noije, T. P. C., Strunk, A., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P., Plummer, D. A., Strode, S. A.,
- 1095 Horowitz, L., Lee, Y. H., Szopa, S., Sudo, K., Nagashima, T., Josse, B., Cionni, I., Righi, M., Eyring, V., Conley, A.,
- 1096 Bowman, K. W., Wild, O., and Archibald, A.: Tropospheric ozone changes, radiative forcing and attribution to 1097 emissions in the Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP), Atmos.
- 1098 Chem. Phys., 13, 3063-3085, 10.5194/acp-13-3063-2013, 2013.
- 1099 Thompson, A. M., Tao, W.-K., Pickering, K. E., Scala, J. R., and Simpson, J.: Tropical Deep Convection and
- 1100 Ozone Formation, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 78, 1043-1054, 10.1175/1520-
- 1101 0477(1997)078<1043:TDCAOF>2.0.CO;2, 1997.
- 1102 Tilmes, S., Lamarque, J. F., Emmons, L. K., Conley, A., Schultz, M. G., Saunois, M., Thouret, V., Thompson, A.
- 1103 M., Oltmans, S. J., Johnson, B., and Tarasick, D.: Technical Note: Ozonesonde climatology between 1995 and
- 2011: description, evaluation and applications, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 7475-7497, 10.5194/acp-12-74752012, 2012.
- 1106 Uherek, E., Halenka, T., Borken-Kleefeld, J., Balkanski, Y., Berntsen, T., Borrego, C., Gauss, M., Hoor, P., Juda-
- Rezler, K., Lelieveld, J., Melas, D., Rypdal, K., and Schmid, S.: Transport impacts on atmosphere and climate:
 Land transport, Atmospheric Environment, 44, 4772-4816,
- 1109 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.01.002, 2010.
- 1110 Unger, N., Shindell, D. T., Koch, D. M., and Streets, D. G.: Cross influences of ozone and sulfate precursor
- emissions changes on air quality and climate, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United
 States of America, 103, 4377-4380, 10.1073/pnas.0508769103, 2006.
- 1113 Unger, N.: Global climate impact of civil aviation for standard and desulfurized jet fuel, Geophys. Res. Lett.,
- 1114 38, L20803, 10.1029/2011gl049289, 2011.

- 1115 Unger, N., Zhao, Y., and Dang, H.: Mid-21st century chemical forcing of climate by the civil aviation sector,
- 1116 Geophysical Research Letters, 40, 641-645, 10.1002/grl.50161, 2013.
- 1117 Van Der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Collatz, G. J., and Giglio, L.: Carbon emissions from fires in tropical and
- 1118 subtropical ecosystems, Global Change Biology, 9, 547-562, 10.1046/j.1365-2486.2003.00604.x, 2003.
- 1119 van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Giglio, L., Collatz, G. J., Mu, M., Kasibhatla, P. S., Morton, D. C., DeFries,
- 1120 R. S., Jin, Y., and van Leeuwen, T. T.: Global fire emissions and the contribution of deforestation, savanna,
- 1121 forest, agricultural, and peat fires (1997–2009), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 11707-11735, 10.5194/acp-10-
- 1122 11707-2010, 2010.
- 1123 Vestreng, V., Myhre, G., Fagerli, H., Reis, S., and Tarrasón, L.: Twenty-five years of continuous sulphur dioxide 1124 emission reduction in Europe, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 3663-3681, 10.5194/acp-7-3663-2007, 2007.
- 1124 Wayson, R. L., Fleming, G. G., and Iovinelli, R.: Methodology to Estimate Particulate Matter Emissions from
- 1126 Certified Commercial Aircraft Engines, Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association, 59, 91-100,
- 1127 10.3155/1047-3289.59.1.91, 2009.
- 1128 Whitburn, S., Van Damme, M., Kaiser, J. W., van der Werf, G. R., Turquety, S., Hurtmans, D., Clarisse, L.,
- Clerbaux, C., and Coheur, P. F.: Ammonia emissions in tropical biomass burning regions: Comparison
 between satellite-derived emissions and bottom-up fire inventories, Atmospheric Environment,
- 1131 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.03.015, 2015.
- 1132 Wiedinmyer, C., Akagi, S. K., Yokelson, R. J., Emmons, L. K., Al-Saadi, J. A., Orlando, J. J., and Soja, A. J.: The
- 1133Fire INventory from NCAR (FINN): a high resolution global model to estimate the emissions from open1134burning, Geosci. Model Dev., 4, 625-641, 10.5194/gmd-4-625-2011, 2011.
- 1135 Wilkerson, J. T., Jacobson, M. Z., Malwitz, A., Balasubramanian, S., Wayson, R., Fleming, G., Naiman, A. D.,
- and Lele, S. K.: Analysis of emission data from global commercial aviation: 2004 and 2006, Atmos. Chem.
 Phys., 10, 6391-6408, 10.5194/acp-10-6391-2010, 2010.
- 1138 Woody, M., Haeng Baek, B., Adelman, Z., Omary, M., Fat Lam, Y., Jason West, J., and Arunachalam, S.: An 1139 assessment of Aviation's contribution to current and future fine particulate matter in the United States,
- 1140 Atmospheric Environment, 45, 3424-3433, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.03.041, 2011.
- 1141 World Health Organisation: Health Aspects of Air Pollution with Particulate Matter, Ozone and Nitrogen 1142 Dioxide, Bonn, Germany, 2003.
- World Health Organisation: Air Quality Guidelines a Global Update 2005: Particulate matter, ozone, nitrogen
 dioxide and sulphur dioxide, Germany, 2005.
- 1145 Yim, N.-H., Kim, S.-H., Kim, H.-W., and Kwahk, K.-Y.: Knowledge based decision making on higher level
- strategic concerns: system dynamics approach, Expert Systems with Applications, 27, 143-158, DOI:
 10.1016/j.eswa.2003.12.019, 2004.
- 1148 Yim, S. H. L., Lee, G. L., Lee, I. H., Allroggen, F., Ashok, A., Caiazzo, F., Eastham, S. D., Malina, R., and Barrett,
- S. R. H.: Global, regional and local health impacts of civil aviation emissions, Environmental Research Letters,
 10, 034001, 2015.
- 1151 Young, P. J., Archibald, A. T., Bowman, K. W., Lamarque, J. F., Naik, V., Stevenson, D. S., Tilmes, S.,
- 1152 Voulgarakis, A., Wild, O., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P., Cionni, I., Collins, W. J., Dalsøren, S. B., Doherty,
- 1153 R. M., Eyring, V., Faluvegi, G., Horowitz, L. W., Josse, B., Lee, Y. H., MacKenzie, I. A., Nagashima, T., Plummer,
- 1154 D. A., Righi, M., Rumbold, S. T., Skeie, R. B., Shindell, D. T., Strode, S. A., Sudo, K., Szopa, S., and Zeng, G.: Pre-
- industrial to end 21st century projections of tropospheric ozone from the Atmospheric Chemistry and
- 1156 Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 2063-2090, 10.5194/acp-132063-2013, 2013.
- 1158
- 1159
- 1160
- 1161 1162
- 1163
- 1164
- 1165
- 1166
- 1167
- 1168