



Supplement of

High-resolution inventory of technologies, activities, and emissions of coal-fired power plants in China from 1990 to 2010

F. Liu et al.

Correspondence to: Q. Zhang (qiangzhang@tsinghua.edu.cn)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the CC-BY 3.0 licence.

Table S1 Probability distributions of the national emission estimation-related parameters of coal-fired power plants in China

Category	Subcategory	Value in 1990	Distribution in 1990	Value in 2010	Distribution in 2010	Rating ^a
Activity	Coal Consumption (Tg)	272	Normal (CV: 10%)	1576	Normal (CV: 5%)	B
Boiler Type	Pulverized Boiler Ratio	79% (84%–74%)	Triangular	82% (79%–84%)	Triangular	C
	Circulating Fluidized Bed Ratio	NIP ^b		NIP ^b		
	Grate Furnace Ratio	8% (3%–13%)	Triangular	4% (2%–7%)	Triangular	C
Coal Type	Bituminous Coal Ratio	98% (88%–100%)	Triangular	98% (93%–100%)	Triangular	C
	Anthracite Coal Ratio	NIP ^b		NIP ^b		
Unabated SO ₂ Emission	Sulfur Content of Coal (%)	1.01	Normal (CV: 20%)	0.95	Normal (CV: 5%)	C
Factor	Sulfur Retention in Ash (%)	15 (12–18)	Beta	same ^c		B
Unabated NO _x Emission Factor (g/kg)	Large Units _{Advanced LNB_Bituminous}	4.06	Logistic (Scale: 0.16)	same ^c		A
	Large Units _{Advanced LNB_Anthracite}	6.50 (4.34–8.23)	Triangular	same ^c		A
	Large Units _{LNB_Bituminous}	5.08	Logistic (Scale: 0.71)	same ^c		A
	Large Units _{LNB_Anthracite}	8.04	Logistic (Scale: 1.29)	same ^c		A
	Medium Units _{LNB_Bituminous}	6.78	Logistic (Scale: 0.32)	same ^c		A
	Medium Units _{LNB_Anthracite}	7.29 (6.58–7.88)	Triangular	same ^c		A
	Medium Units _{Non-LNB_Bituminous}	7.63 (3.59–12.17)	Triangular	same ^c		A
	Medium Units _{Non-LNB_Anthracite}	10.46	Logistic (Scale: 1.31)	same ^c		A
	Small Units _{Non-LNB_Bituminous}	6.66 (6.17–6.93)	Triangular	same ^c		A
Small Units _{Non-LNB_Anthracite}	10.50 (9.36–11.50)	Triangular	same ^c		A	

Unabated PM _{2.5} Emission Factor	Ash Content of Coal (%)	27.7	Normal (CV: 20%)	25.90	Normal (CV: 5%)	C
	$ar_{\text{Pulverized Boilers}}^d$	20% (13%–28%)	Beta	same ^c		B
	$ar_{\text{Circulating fluidized beds}}^d$	44% (40%–52%)	Uniform	same ^c		B
	$ar_{\text{Grate furnaces}}^d$	85%	Logistic (Scale: 0.05)	same ^c		B
	$f_{\text{Pulverized boilers}}^d$	6%	Lognormal (GSD: 1.19%)	same ^c		B
	$f_{\text{Circulating fluidized beds}}^d$	7% (5%–10%)	Uniform	same ^c		B
	$f_{\text{Grate furnaces}}^d$	14% (3%–25%)	Uniform	same ^c		B
Unabated CO ₂ Emission Factor	Carbon Content _{Bituminous} (kg-C/GJ)	25.8	Lognormal (GSD: 1.5%)	same ^c		B
	Carbon Content _{Anthracite} (kg-C/GJ)	26.7	Lognormal (GSD: 1.5%)	same ^c		B
	Oxidization Rate	100%	Lognormal (GSD: 0.2%)	same ^c		B
	Heating Value (kJ/g-coal)	20.1	Normal (CV: 10%)	18.8	Normal (CV: 5%)	C
Control Technology Penetration	FGD	0% (0%–5%)	Triangular	1% (0%–3%)	Triangular	C
	FGD+Wet Scrubbers	0% (0%–5%)	Triangular	86% (83%–88%)	Triangular	C
	Non-LNB _{Large Units}	NIP ^b		–		
	LNB _{Large Units}	100% (90%–100%)	Uniform	40% (30%–50%)	Uniform	C
	Advanced LNB _{Large Units}	–		NIP ^b		
	Non-LNB _{Medium Units}	100% (90%–100%)	Uniform	38% (28%–48%)	Uniform	C
	LNB _{Medium Units}	NIP ^b		NIP ^b		
Non-LNB _{Small Units}	100% (90%–100%)	Uniform	100% (90%–100%)	Uniform	C	

		100%)		100%)		
	LNB _{Small Units}	NIP ^b		NIP ^b		
	Cyclones _{Pulverized boilers}	5% (2%–7%)	Uniform	0% (0%–1%)	Uniform	C
	Wet Scrubbers _{Pulverized boilers}	43% (41%–46%)	Uniform	1% (0%–2%)	Uniform	C
	Electrostatic Precipitators _{Pulverized boilers}	NIP ^b		NIP ^b		
	Bag Filters _{Pulverized boilers}	2% (0%–5%)	Uniform	3% (2%–4%)	Uniform	C
	Cyclones _{Circulating fluidized beds}	25% (22%–27%)	Uniform	0% (0%–1%)	Uniform	C
	Wet Scrubbers _{Circulating fluidized beds}	47% (44%–49%)	Uniform	3% (2%–4%)	Uniform	C
	Electrostatic Precipitators _{Circulating fluidized beds}	NIP ^b		NIP ^b		
	Bag Filters _{Circulating fluidized beds}	0% (0%–3%)	Uniform	11% (10%–12%)	Uniform	C
	Cyclones _{Grate furnaces}	8% (6%–11%)	Uniform	5% (4%–6%)	Uniform	C
	Wet Scrubbers _{Grate furnaces}	75% (72%–77%)	Uniform	27% (26%–28%)	Uniform	C
	Electrostatic Precipitators _{Grate furnaces}	NIP ^b		NIP ^b		
	Bag Filters _{Grate furnaces}	0% (0%–3%)	Uniform	3% (2%–4%)	Uniform	C
	Wet Scrubbers _{SO₂}	20%	Normal (CV: 10%)	same ^c		B
	FGD _{SO₂}	78%	Normal (CV: 10%)	78%	Normal (CV: 5%)	C
Removal Efficiency	Cyclones _{PM_{2.5}}	10% (5%–15%)	Triangular	same ^c		B
	Wet Scrubbers _{PM_{2.5}}	50% (38%–72%)	Triangular	same ^c		B
	Electrostatic Precipitators _{PM_{2.5}}	93%	Lognormal (GSD: 1.0%)	same ^c		B
	wet-FGD _{PM_{2.5}}	50%	Normal (CV: 2.5%)	same ^c		B

Bag Filters _{PM2.5}	99% (98.7%– 99.4%)	Triangular	same ^c	B
------------------------------	-----------------------	------------	-------------------	---

^aA: the distribution is obtained via data fitting based on field measurements / CPED; B: the distribution is determined from extant studies; C: the distribution is subjectively provided.

^bNon-independent parameter, calculated as 1 minus the penetrations of other boiler types / coal types / control technologies.

^cThe distribution is the same as that in 1990.

^d*ar*: the mass fraction of retention ash; *f*: the mass fraction of PM_{2.5} to the total particulate matter in fly ash.

Table S2 Probability distributions of emission estimation-related parameters for a large coal-fired generation unit in China^a

Category	Subcategory	Value in 2000	Distribution in 2000 ^b	Value in 2010	Distribution in 2010 ^b
Activity	Coal Consumption Rate (gce/kW·h ⁻¹)	328	Normal (CV: 10%)	328	Normal (CV: 5%)
	Annual Operation Hours (hours)	4118	Normal (CV: 20%)	4699	Normal (CV: 5%)
Coal Quality	Probability of Bituminous Coal	95%	Yes-No	99%	Yes-No
	Probability of Anthracite Coal	NIP ^c		NIP ^c	
	Ash Content of Coal (%)	25.1	Normal (CV: 10%)	25.1	Normal (CV: 5%)
	Heating Value (kJ/g-coal)	21.5	Normal (CV: 10%)	19.3	Normal (CV: 5%)
	Sulfur Content of Coal (%)	1.13	Normal (CV: 10%)	0.98	Normal (CV: 5%)
Control Technology	FGD	50%	Yes-No	99%	Yes-No
	Removal Efficiency of FGD on SO ₂	42% (0%–84%)	Triangular	84% (81%–86%)	Triangular
	LNB	50%	Yes-No	50%	Yes-No

^aThe selected unit is a 600 MW, pulverized boiler, equipped with FGD, LNB, and an electrostatic precipitator. The uncertainties of the unlisted emission-related parameters are the same as those given in Table S1.

^bThe distribution is subjectively provided.

^cNon-independent parameter, calculated as 1 minus the ratio of bituminous coal.