



The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfrauoch

G. Lloyd et al.

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfrauoch

G. Lloyd¹, T. W. Choularton¹, K. N. Bower¹, M. W. Gallagher¹, P. J. Connolly¹, M. Flynn¹, R. Farrington¹, J. Crosier^{1,2}, O. Schlenker^{3,4}, J. Fugal^{3,4}, and J. Henneberger⁵

¹Centre for Atmospheric Science, University of Manchester, UK

²NERC National Centre for Atmospheric Science (NCAS), UK

³Particle Chemistry Department, Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz, Germany

⁴Institute for Atmospheric Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University, Mainz, Germany

⁵ETH, Zurich, Institute for Atmospheric and Climate Science, Universitaetsstrasse 16, 8092, Zurich, Switzerland

Received: 02 June 2015 – Accepted: 15 June 2015 – Published: 07 July 2015

Correspondence to: G. Lloyd (gary.lloyd@manchester.ac.uk)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Abstract

During the winter of 2013 and 2014 measurements of cloud microphysical properties over a five week period at the high Alpine site Jungfraujoch, Switzerland were carried out as part of the Cloud Aerosol Characterisation Experiments (CLACE) and the Ice Nucleation Process Investigation and Quantification project (INUPIAQ) Measurements of aerosol properties at a second, lower site, Schilthorn, Switzerland, were used as input for a primary ice nucleation scheme to predict ice nuclei concentrations at Jungfraujoch Frequent, rapid transitions in the ice and liquid properties of the clouds at Jungfraujoch were identified that led to large fluctuations in ice mass fractions over temporal scales of seconds to hours. During the measurement period we observed high concentrations of ice particles that exceeded 1000 L^{-1} at temperatures around -15°C , verified by multiple instruments These concentrations could not be explained using the usual primary ice nucleation schemes, which predicted ice nucleus concentrations several orders of magnitude smaller than the peak ice crystal number concentrations. Secondary ice production through the Hallet–Mossop process as a possible explanation was ruled out, as the cloud was rarely within the active temperature range for this process It is shown that other mechanisms of secondary ice particle production cannot explain the highest ice particle concentrations. We describe 4 possible mechanisms that could lead to high cloud ice concentrations generated from the snow covered surfaces surrounding the measurement site. Of these we show that hoar frost crystals generated at the cloud enveloped snow surface could be the most important source of cloud ice concentrations Blowing snow was also observed to make significant contributions at higher wind speeds when ice crystal concentrations were $< 100 \text{ L}^{-1}$.

1 Introduction

During January and February 2014 the Ice Nucleation Process Investigation and Quantification (INUPIAQ) project took place at three high-alpine sites in the Swiss Alps:

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



mountain surface but they discounted blown snow as a contributor as the observed ice crystal sizes and shapes were not consistent with this process.

Under conditions where heterogeneous ice nucleation dominates, the concentration and composition of aerosol particles in the atmosphere are likely to significantly influence the number and variability of ice particles. Measurements of cloud particle (liquid droplet and ice crystal) residuals at JFJ have been found to be enriched in chemical species such as mineral dust (Kampphus et al., 2010; Schmidt et al., 2015), including lead with complex internal mixtures of silicates and metal oxides, secondary aerosol and carbonaceous material (Ebert et al., 2011) compared to the cloud interstitial aerosol (un-activated aerosol particles). This previous work suggested that particles from industrial activity containing lead were especially important as Ice Nuclei (IN) along with contributions from dust and organic material. At the time it was suggested that admixtures of these anthropogenic components may have been responsible for the increased IN efficiency and hence observed concentrations within mixed phase clouds. Sampling of aerosol at JFJ and testing for IN active particles to determine an IN concentration has also shown significant seasonal variability linked to changes in aerosol source. Chou et al. (2011) used a portable ice nucleation chamber (PINC) to make the first continuous measurements of IN over a period of days at JFJ. The system was operated at a temperature of -31°C and at relative humidities of 127 % with respect to (wrt) ice and 91 % wrt water. They found a mean IN concentration of 8 L^{-1} during a winter measurement period and 14 L^{-1} during the summer. They also identified a Saharan Dust Event (SDE) where IN concentrations were seen to increase to several hundred per litre. During the SDE they found the IN to be correlated with larger aerosol particles $> 0.5\ \mu\text{m}$ in size.

1.1 INUPIAQ and CLACE experiments

The CLACE series of experiments have been taking place at JFJ during selected summer and winter months since the winter of 2000. The aim of these experiments has been to measure aerosol properties and to investigate the impact these have on clouds

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



measured at the site. The work presented here was carried out as part of CLACE 2013 and 2014.

A key objective of INUPIAQ during 2014, was to confirm the previously observed transitions between ice and liquid clouds using a range of improved ice microphysical instruments and to examine the role of changes in aerosol chemical composition. During this measurement period we utilised measurements from the JFJ and Schilthorn sites, with the aim that the lower Schilthorn site would act as an upwind out of cloud reference site to measure aerosol input properties in order to examine the influence of these on the prevailing downwind cloud microphysics at the summit of JFJ.

2 Measurement sites and instrumentation

An overview of the locations of each site, and a brief description of the instrumentation deployed and used in the analysis presented in this paper at each site is provided in this section. Figure 1 shows the locations of the sites that were used during the campaign.

2.1 Jungfrauoch

Jungfrauoch is situated high in the Swiss Alps with measurements taken at the Sphinx laboratory at 3580 m.a.s.l. (Fig. 1). Baltensperger et al. (1998) reported an annual mean cloud frequency of 37%, at the site making it a suitable location for the study of longterm cloud microphysical properties of supercooled clouds in this region.

The JFJ measurement site was used primarily to measure cloud microphysical and residual cloud particle properties. An overview of relevant instrumentation at this site can be found in Table 1 and some of these instruments (that were mounted on a pan & tilt rotator wing) are labelled in Fig. 2 the rotator allowed us to automatically adjust the position of the instruments based on information about the wind direction and vertical wind angle from a sonic anemometer. Measurements of cloud liquid water content (LWC) and droplet size distributions were provided by; a Particulate Volume Monitor

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfrauoch

G. Lloyd et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

(PVM, Gerber Scientific, Inc), which measured bulk LWC only (Gerber, 1991) Cloud Droplet Probe (CDP-100, Droplet Measurement Technologies, DMT) (Lance et al., 2010), Cloud Aerosol Spectrometer (CAS, DMT) (Baumgardner et al., 2001) and a Forward Scattering Spectrometer Probe (FSSP-100, DMT) (e.g. Dye and Baumgardner, 1984). Images of cloud hydrometeors for use in ice/liquid phase and habit discrimination were provided by the 3 View-Cloud Particle Imager (3V-CPI, Stratton Park Engineering Company (SPEC) Inc, Boulder, Colorado). This instrument is comprised of a Two-Dimensional Stereoscopic (2D-S) (Lawson et al., 2006) shadow imaging probe and a high frame rate (~ 350 fps) CCD Cloud Particle Imager (CPI Model 2, SPEC Inc.) probe. A holographic cloud probe GipfelHolo from IPA/MPIC Mainz was also used to measure cloud particles. It is operated with a pulsed 355 nm UV laser, collimation optics and a 29 megapixel CCD detector with 5.5 μm pixel width. In contrast to other instruments used during CLACE2013, this instrument was operated in an open path configuration as the sample volume is independent from the ambient wind speed. If an incident wave hits particles in the 3.6 cm \times 2.4 cm \times 35 cm sample volume, the incident wave is partially diffracted and creates an interference pattern on the detector which is captured. The interference pattern (by definition a hologram) is then reconstructed to obtain the in-focus particle position, size and shape of every particle (Fugal et al., 2009). The 3-D position of particles in the sample volume can be used to detect particle shattering which appears as a cluster of small particles close to the instrument windows. Under optimum conditions, the smallest particles to be resolved in the whole sample volume must be at least 15 μm in size. During CLACE2013, the background noise level was considerably high and we need to assume a large number of miss detections for particles with a maximum dimension of less than 50 μm . No shattering event was detected in the case study presented in this paper. A digital holographic imager HOLIMO II (Henneberger et. al, 2013) was also used to make in-situ image ensembles of cloud particles within a well-defined sample volume that yields single particle size and shadowgraph information for particles between 6 and 250 μm . Advancements in

data processing software now offer phase-resolved size distributions, concentrations, and water contents for cloud particles larger than 20 μm .

Wind velocity measurements were provided by several sonic anemometers (Metek) and temperature and humidity was provided by Vaisala and Rotronics sensors. Meteorological measurements were also available from the JFJ World Meteorological Organisation (Federal Office of Meteorology and Climatology Meteo Swiss) weather station at the summit site.

2.2 Schilthorn

Schilthorn lies 2970 m a.s.l. at the summit of the Bernese Alps. The site was selected to act as the upwind measurement location to examine aerosol properties before they arrived at JFJ. Core instrumentation included an Optical Particle Counter (GRIMM Technologies Inc., Model 1.109) for measuring aerosol size distributions over the size range $0.25 < D_p < 32 \mu\text{m}$.

3 Data analysis

The 2D-S component of the 3V-CPI instrument, was used to analyse particle imagery to produce information on the concentration, size and phase of cloud particles. The 2D-S is an optical array probe (OAP) that has two identical orthogonal channels consisting of two laser beams that illuminate horizontal and vertical 128 photodiode arrays with an effective resolution of 10 μm . Particles passing through either laser shadow the arrays, and images of these particles are built up over sequential time slices (determined by the probes time resolution and the velocity of air sampled through the instrument inlet) over the size range $10 < D_p < 1280 \mu\text{m}$. The probe was fitted with an anti-shatter knife edge inlet for airborne sampling (Korolev et al., 2011) which helps to minimise any cloud particle shattering, however analysis of inter-arrival time (IAT) histograms together with probe imagery (Crosier et al., 2011) revealed no characteristic shattered particles and

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



this is likely to be due to the relatively low velocity at which this probe was aspirated throughout the campaign at $\sim 15 \text{ ms}^{-1}$.

Discrimination of ice and liquid particles was based on an image circularity criterion (Crosier et al., 2011), and Ice Water Contents (IWCs) were determined with the use of a mass dimensional relationship (Brown and Francis, 1995). Data from the 2D-S and other imaging probes were analysed using the Optical Array Shadow Imaging Software (OASIS) developed by NCAS in collaboration with Droplet Measurement Technology (www.dropletmeasurement.com). Cloud droplet size distribution measurements were made by several probes in 2013 and 2014 (see Sect. 2). In this paper we primarily report data from the CDP, which detects light scattered by droplets and determines a particle's optical equivalent diameter over the range $2 < D_p < 50 \mu\text{m}$. Datasets from this probe were provided at 1 Hz for analysis and the data were further averaged over 10 s. Reported concentrations refer to the 10 s averaged dataset, with peak values reported for the shorter 1 s integration periods. Calculation of the ice mass fraction (IMF), critical to identifying transitions between glaciated and liquid cloud, was obtained using information about ice and liquid mass (Eq. 1)

$$\text{Ice Mass Fraction} = \frac{M_{\text{ice}}}{M_{\text{drop}} + M_{\text{ice}}} \quad (1)$$

Where M_{ice} is the ice mass derived from the 2D-S probe data and M_{drop} is the liquid water content derived from the CDP. With this information we go further and define glaciated, liquid and mixed phase cloud periods using threshold values of the IMF. Cloud periods with values > 0.9 and < 0.1 were classified as glaciated and liquid cloud respectively, with anything between these values judged to be mixed phase in nature. The IMF threshold values applied in this work are described in Korolev et al. (2003).

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



4 Campaign overview

The campaign period during 2013 was often unsettled with a persistent trough across Western Europe that led to northerly wind periods at JFJ dominating for most of the project. The exception to this were two settled periods, one at the end of January when the Azores high extended its influence across southern Europe and the second at the end of the campaign when a Scandinavian high pressure system developed, blocking the influence of Atlantic cyclones on western Europe. January and February 2014 were exceptionally cyclonic and depressions persistently impacted the Atlantic coastal regions of Western Europe. Generally higher pressure over Eastern Europe led to these systems stalling and frequently drawing up winds from a southerly direction across central Europe. Figure 3 shows the mean sea level pressure fields for Europe during January and February 2013 and 2014.

Figure 4 shows measured wind direction and velocity at JFJ for both years. The synoptic conditions during 2013 led to prolonged periods of northerly winds, with a low frequency of southerly winds. Conditions during 2014 at the site led to significant periods of strong southerly winds as depressions stalled across Western Europe. Wind data from the site showed the direction of these to be almost exclusively from either a northerly (315–45°) or south easterly (115–165°) sector.

5 Results

During 2013 and 2014 several hundred cloud events were identified and analysed. The measurement site was determined to be in cloud when 5 min average LWC measured by the CDP was $> 0.01 \text{ gm}^{-3}$. The findings from this analysis are described in the following sections.

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



5.1 Cloud phase

Overall the IMF values showed liquid cloud ($IMF < 0.1$) was present about 10% of the time in 2013 cases compared to 18% during 2014. Glaciated cloud ($IMF > 0.9$) was observed approximately 34 and 29% of the time for 2013 and 2014 respectively.

5 Outside of these periods cloud was determined to be mixed phase (IMF between 0.1 and 0.9). Figure 5e and f show the frequency of ice mass ratios between 0 and 1 and the cumulative probability of these ratios, respectively, for both 2013 and 2014.

Concentrations of ice particles measured by the 2D-S covered a wide range of values during each campaign, with high resolution 1 Hz data varying from just a few per litre to peak values $> 1000 L^{-1}$ at times. Percentile plots of ice crystal concentrations during 2014 (Fig. 5b) revealed a relationship with the measured temperature. The highest concentrations of ice were present when temperatures were $\sim -15^\circ C$. During 2013 (Fig. 5a) this pattern was not repeated, and there was no clear association with the ambient temperature. The highest median ice concentrations in both years were around $100 L^{-1}$ but in 2014 the concentrations of ice associated with temperatures around $-15^\circ C$ was greater, with the 75th and 90th percentiles reaching ~ 250 and $450 L^{-1}$ respectively, which is about a factor of 2 higher than in 2013. Median ice mass values (Fig. 5c and d) peaked around $0.3 g m^{-3}$ in both campaigns. In 2014 this maximum was associated with the peak in concentrations around $-15^\circ C$, while in 2013 the highest value was found to be at a higher temperature around $-8^\circ C$.

During 2013 droplet number concentrations (Fig. 6a) were generally between 50 – $150 cm^{-3}$ when the temperature was $> -20^\circ C$, while below this temperature a strong trend to increasing droplet numbers was observed with a peak median value of $350 cm^{-3}$ around $-25^\circ C$. This trend was unrelated to changes in the liquid water content (Fig. 6c), suggesting aerosol properties may have been the controlling factor. The highest median liquid water contents were found around $-5^\circ C$ ($\sim 0.4 g m^{-3}$) but were generally around $0.2 g m^{-3}$. In 2014 median LWCs (Fig. 6d) were $\sim 0.2 g m^{-3}$, about a factor of 2 less than those measured in 2013. Generally higher droplet number con-

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

centrations (Fig. 6c) were again observed at lower temperatures (peak median value $\sim 150 \text{ cm}^{-3}$).

5.2 Cloud microphysics and ice mass fraction transitions

Frequent transitions in the IMF fraction were observed during cloud events. These transitions were observed over different temporal scales varying from hours to just seconds. Figure 7 shows a cloud event that changed over several hours to be predominantly liquid after having been mixed with high IMF values. Ice and liquid particle size distributions (PSDs) for high and low IMF periods (marked A and B in Fig. 7) can be found in Fig. 8. Generally a dominant peak in the ice PSDs was centred around 100 μm , with a second mode sometimes evident around 200 μm . Comparison of 2D-S size distributions with those measured by the Gipfelholo (Fig. 9) over a ~ 90 min period also reveals the presence of small ice crystals PSDs from the CDP (Fig. 8) showed that low IMF values were associated with increases in the number of droplets $< \sim 20 \mu\text{m}$.

Figure 10 shows rapid fluctuations sometimes seen at this site where variation in the IMF between glaciated and liquid conditions takes place over short time scales of ~ 1 s. Images from the 2D-S and CPI (Fig. 11) show small pockets of ice crystals absent of liquid droplets. IMFs > 0.9 and often $= 1$ were observed during these periods. In other cloud events IMFs would often cycle between higher and lower values several times over several hours. One such period was observed during the 2013 campaign (Fig. 12).

5.3 Ice nuclei concentration predictions

At the Schilthorn site during the 2014 campaign we used measurements of aerosol size distributions from the GRIMM instrument as input to the primary ice nucleation parameterization developed by DeMott et al. (2010) (hereafter referred to as D10). This scheme was based on data collected from 9 airborne measurement campaigns of IN using a continuous flow diffusion chamber, from different locations around the world over the temperature range -9 to -36°C and where saturation with respect

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



to water was $> 100\%$. An additional constraint was to use data only where aerosol size distribution measurements were also available. They provided a parameterization based on both the number of aerosol particles $> 0.5\ \mu\text{m}$ diameter and temperature. They showed that 62 % of the observed IN concentrations could be predicted to within a factor of 2 with this approach, although they highlighted the need for further geospatial data sets to test and improve the parameterization.

Aerosol data for use with D10 were selected only during periods at Schilthorn where the measured relative humidity (RH) was $< 80\%$. This was to reduce artefacts in the aerosol data caused by sampling during possible in-cloud periods. The maximum particle diameter measured and used in the D10 datasets was $1.6\ \mu\text{m}$ and for this reason the same upper size limit was applied to the Schilthorn sampled particle concentrations, and so the same size range i.e. $0.5 < D < 1.6\ \mu\text{m}$ was used for input to test the scheme. The parameterization (Eq. 2) was used to predict IN concentrations at JFJ based on aerosol properties at Schilthorn. The parameterization is represented as:

$$n_{\text{IN},T_k} = a(273.16 - T_k)^b (n_{\text{aer},0.5})^{(c(273.16 - T_k) + d)} \quad (2)$$

Where $a = 0.0000594$, $b = 3.33$, $c = 0.0264$, $d = 0.0033$, T_k is the cloud temperature in Kelvin, $n_{\text{aer},0.5}$ is the number concentration of aerosol particles $> 0.5\ \mu\text{m}$ and n_{IN,T_k} is the ice nuclei number concentration (L^{-1}) at T_k .

The Schilthorn and JFJ sites are approximately 11 km apart at altitudes of 2970 m and 3580 m a.s.l. respectively. Changes in wind speed at each site showed a generally good correlation and based on this good connectivity with both sites influenced by the same air mass has been assumed. When ice crystal concentrations, N_{ice} observed at JFJ (Fig. 13) are compared with the D10 prediction observed values are seen to be under-predicted by as much as 2 to 3 orders of magnitude.

6 Discussion

6.1 Cloud microphysics

Measurements of cloud microphysical structure at JFJ during 2013 and 2014 periods revealed frequent variation in the liquid and ice phases of the cloud as evident in the calculated IMFs Fig. 5e shows the frequency of calculated IMF values at JFJ, indicating that mixed phase clouds dominated the microphysics at JFJ, with significant contributions from liquid (10 and 18 % for 2013 and 2014 respectively) and fully glaciated clouds (29 and 34 % for 2013 and 2014 respectively). Korolev et al. (2003) investigated the characteristics of mixed phase clouds associated with frontal systems from aircraft data collected during several projects that took place in Canada, finding a similar frequency of liquid and glaciated cloud periods over a similar temperature range.

Changes in IMF values were found to occur over different temporal scales (Figs. 7, 10 and 12) and generally in the absence of changes in ambient conditions such as wind speed, direction and temperature. During both campaigns a number of changes in the mass of ice and liquid phases led to changes in the IMF. For example increasing IMF values were observed under the following conditions:

1. constant LWC and increasing IWC
2. decreasing LWC and increasing IWC
3. decreasing LWC and constant IWC
4. where the increases in IWC were greater than the increases in LWC

and periods of decreasing IMFs were associated with:

1. constant IWC and increasing LWC
2. increasing LWC and decreasing IWC
3. increasing LWC and constant IWC

18193

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

4. periods where the increases in LWC were greater than increases in IWC.

It is important to recognise the range of conditions that led to changes in the ice mass fraction and how these relate to the processes driving each IMF transition.

When ice was present, peak concentrations reached values $> 1000 \text{ L}^{-1}$ at times.

When using D10 predicted IN values were found to be significantly fewer (sometimes by 3 orders of magnitude) than the concentrations of ice measured at JFJ Measurements made in previous campaigns of IN activity at this site were also unable to explain the high concentrations of ice (Chou et al., 2011).

A powerful secondary ice production mechanism, the Hallett–Mossop (H–M) process (Hallett and Mossop, 1974) is known to operate over the temperature range $-3 < T < -8^\circ\text{C}$, the so-called HM-zone. This can lead to significant enhancements in the number of ice particles above what would be expected through heterogeneous ice formation alone. However, temperatures at JFJ were generally colder than in the H–M zone, and ice concentrations as a function of temperature (Fig. 5a and b) did not show any significant increase at higher temperatures.

During 2014 a maximum in ice concentrations was observed at a temperature of $\sim -15^\circ\text{C}$. Secondary ice production through the breakup of fragile airborne ice crystals that sometimes form at this temperature has been observed in the laboratory (Vardimen, 1978; Griggs and Choularton, 1986) and others have suggested ice-ice collisions as a source of secondary ice in natural clouds (Rangno and Hobbs, 2012). Recent airborne studies in natural clouds have found little evidence for this process eg. Lloyd et al. (2014, 2015) and Crosier et al. (2011) in layer clouds and Crawford et al. (2012) in convective clouds. However, while Yano and Phillips (2011) concluded that H–M is the dominant secondary ice production process in clouds that span the required temperature range, in colder clouds mechanical breakup dominates the overall number concentrations, with a peak rate of production around -15°C . Despite the maximum observed in ice concentrations around this same temperature in 2014, we generally did not observe the fragile dendritic ice typically associated with this process. Imagery from the CPI showed sectored plates and irregular shapes to be the dominant habit.

Figure 14 shows some of the typical crystal habits that were observed when temperatures were $\sim -15^{\circ}\text{C}$. Whilst this process cannot be ruled out and further investigation is required there is currently no evidence in the literature that this mechanism would be capable of producing the ice crystals concentrations observed.

Rangno (2008) highlighted an alternative secondary ice process, finding that fragmentation of freezing drops could contribute up to 5% to the total ice concentration in shallow stratiform clouds. There was no evidence of this taking place at JFJ and the process is not thought to be powerful enough to explain the very high number of ice particles observed at JFJ.

6.2 Blowing snow

At JFJ there is the potential for clouds affecting the site to be in contact with large areas of snow covered mountain surfaces. Rogers and Vali (1987) made measurements at the Elk Mountain Observatory, Wyoming (3400 m a.s.l.), finding the cloud close to the ground to be influenced by high concentrations (up to $\sim 1000 \text{ L}$) of small ice crystals that appeared to be generated at the surface. Aircraft measurements around 1 km above Elk mountain found that ice concentrations were around 2 order of magnitude lower than those close to the surface. When investigating the link between ice concentrations and wind speed they found no strong indication of a relationship suggestive of snow resuspension Vali et al. (2012) used the Wyoming Cloud Radar (WCR) on the Wyoming King Air aircraft to analyse Ground Layer Snow Clouds (GSCs) over the Medicine Bow Mountains of southeast Wyoming and over the Sierra Nevada. They found plumes of ice crystals originating from the surface, with strong radar reflectivity in a layer up to around 200 m above the ground that gradually decreased with height. On occasion these GSCs were seen to extend up to 1 km in altitude. Lachlan-Cope (2001) also found that cloud layers in contact with the ground over the Avery Plateau on the Antarctic Peninsula contained higher concentrations (up to $\sim 250 \text{ L}^{-1}$) of ice than would be expected to form through primary heterogeneous ice nucleation. They concluded that very small ($< 5 \mu\text{m}$) blown snow particles could have seeded these clouds.

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

During both JFJ campaigns the association of ice particle concentrations and wind velocity was investigated for each cloud event. In around 27 % of 2013 and 13 % of 2014 cases the relationship between the ice number concentration and wind velocity was found to be significant at the 99 % confidence level. Despite this finding many of these events displayed low correlation coefficients, although in a few cases, there was a much stronger relationship. Figure 15a–d shows scatter plots of 10 s mean ice number concentration vs. wind velocity, where it was identified that blowing snow was contributing to the ice number concentrations at JFJ. Cloud event 110 (Fig. 15c) was the most significant blowing snow event identified during both campaigns, with a r^2 of 0.45. This event was detected shortly after a significant snowfall event, with accumulations of 29 cm measured at a site in the JFJ region, Männlichen. Figure 15c and d shows that during cloud events with a more evident association with wind speed, ice number concentrations are generally only a few tens per litre. Other cases (Fig. 15a and b) with lower r^2 values represent events where blowing snow is making some contribution, but other ice formation processes appear to be dominant, leading to much higher concentrations of ice. In the majority of cloud events however no significant contribution from blowing snow could be identified, examples of which can be found in Fig. 16. Measurements of blowing snow have found that for resuspension of snow particles from the surface into the atmosphere, the wind speed must be above a threshold value of $\sim 5 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ (Mahesh et al., 2003; Gordon and Taylor, 2008). During the strongest blowing snow events observed at JFJ (Fig. 15c and d) this threshold value is evident, with typically low ice number concentrations at low wind speeds quickly increasing as the wind velocity rises above $\sim 5 \text{ ms}^{-1}$.

Ice particle size distributions (PSDs) (Figs. 15e–h and 16e–h) exhibited a significant peak in size around $80 \mu\text{m}$ (confirmed by multiple instruments including holographic imagery, Schlenczek et al., 2015) regardless of whether blowing snow was contributing to the cloud or not. Rogers and Vali (1987) also presented PSDs that are consistent with the findings here, namely a peak in concentrations at sizes $< 100 \mu\text{m}$ that appear to occur independently of wind speed. Good agreement was found between the 2D-S

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



and a separate instrument, the HOLIMO II (Fig. 17), which also showed concentrations of ice that were unrelated to the wind velocity. The lack of a relationship between the number of ice particles measured at JFJ and the wind speed suggests an alternative source for the anomalously high concentrations of ice observed at this site. The discussion below focuses on some of the various possible mechanisms which may explain this. In particular we examine possible local surface sources and the different mechanisms that may lead to the observed ice concentration enhancements.

6.3 Pre-activated aerosol

A possible source of enhanced ice concentrations from the surface could be due to the availability of “preactivated” aerosol particles. These are particles that have already acted as an IN, or have been scavenged by growing ice crystals or were simply exposed to a temperature lower than ~ 235 K. Wagner et al. (2014) investigated aerosol particles before and after preactivation in the AIDA cloud chamber and showed that the IN efficiency of these particles increased as a result. Ice on the surface of the surrounding mountains and glaciers at JFJ will sublime in conditions when saturation wrt ice is < 1 . This may lead to the presence of preactivated particles on the surface and it is possible that these can then be resuspended by wind into the cloud in contact with the surface, leading to an increased flux of IN and leading to an enhancement in the number of ice particles observed. No measurements have been made of aerosol fluxes from such a cloud enveloped snow surface, however, the flux of aerosols associated with this process may not be as sensitive to wind speed as the flux due to blowing snow. Therefore this mechanism could be consistent with the data presented and could operate alongside the emission of ice crystals from hoar frost deposits discussed below.

6.4 Riming on mountain surfaces

Rogers and Vali (1987) could not rule out riming being responsible for the ice concentrations they observed due to the volume of riming events that take place on mountain

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

then detached from the surface due to mechanical fracture they are likely to contribute substantially to the ice crystal population within the cloud. If surface production rates of ice crystals are similar to those reported by Xu et al. (2003) for aerosol particles then we postulate that these may be capable of explaining the high concentrations of ice crystals observed near the surface at the summit of JFJ. Due to the fragility of the “frost” crystals, often composed of needle habits or interlocking needles, relatively small variations in turbulence at the surface could cause their fragmentation and removal.

Overall the current evidence points to a surface based secondary ice particle production process which is most effective near the summit (producing large numbers of small ice particles observed). The numbers of ice crystals far exceed the number of ice nuclei predicted e.g. by D10, often by many orders of magnitude and are similarly greater than ice nuclei concentrations measured previously under any conditions. The most likely source would seem to be splinters produced by the break-up of frail frost ice crystals on the surface. There is also a contribution from blowing snow in strong winds, although the process does not appear to be powerful enough to produce the very high concentrations of ice observed at JFJ and also can not explain the presence of these high concentrations that are measured below the wind speed threshold for blowing snow.

7 Conclusions

Observations of cloud microphysical structures and their association with aerosol properties have been presented from a measurement campaign that took place at Jungfraujoch and Schilthorn, Switzerland.

- The fraction of liquid cloud during 2013 and 2014 was found to be 10 and 18 % respectively. During 2013 totally glaciated cloud was observed 30 % of the time, with a slight increase in incidence to 34 % in 2014. These findings suggest mixed phase clouds dominate the cloud microphysics at Jungfraujoch.

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

- Changes in the LWC and IWC lead to significant changes in ice mass fraction values occurring over temporal scales of seconds to hours during both 2013 and 2014.
- Calculated Cloud Ice Mass fraction values at Jungfraujoch are influenced by a number of often competing changes in cloud microphysical properties involving the ice and liquid phases, where changes in the different phase loadings were often independent of one another.
- Several hundred cloud events were observed during the campaigns, with ice number concentrations varying from zero during liquid dominated cloud periods to over 1000 L^{-1} during mixed phase and glaciated cloud periods.
- Secondary ice production through the H–M process, mechanical breakup of fragile ice through in cloud collisions and the fragmentation of freezing drops does not appear to be capable of explaining the very high concentrations of ice crystals observed at JFJ.
- Using aerosol measurements from the Schilthorn site as input the DeMott et al. (2010) primary ice nucleation scheme could not account for the anomalously high concentrations of ice particles observed at Jungfraujoch. This is consistent with previous IN measurements at JFJ (Chou et al., 2011).
- Blowing snow was found to make a small contribution to about 27 and 13% of cloud events in 2013 and 2014 respectively. The events with the strongest correlation coefficients between wind speed and ice crystal concentrations produced concentrations of ice that were generally $< \sim 100 \text{ L}^{-1}$.
- Ice crystals were found to be generally small ($< 300 \mu\text{m}$), with bi-modality sometimes evident in the size distributions, with modes centred around $80 \mu\text{m}$ and another at $200 \mu\text{m}$, confirmed by multiple cloud instruments.

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

- Despite high concentrations of small ice particles and bi-modal inter-arrival-time (IAT) histograms, breakup on probe inlets is not thought to be the source of these high concentrations of ice; there is no evidence of crystal shattering in the probe imagery.
- 5 – Evidence presented supports the hypothesis that the snow covered surfaces around JFJ area a major a source of cloud ice particles. The contributions of wind blown snow, pre-activated aerosol, surface based rime-splintering and breakup of vapour grown ice including frost on the surface have all been considered as potential sources of cloud ice at the site.
- 10 – Blowing snow has been shown to contribute to the ice number concentration at times when this concentration is low ($< \sim 100 \text{ L}^{-1}$), but it is unable to explain the number of ice particles that are observed in the high to very high concentration events $> 100 \text{ L}^{-1}$ (and sometimes $> 1000 \text{ L}^{-1}$). It is possible that surface frost crystals are a substantial source of secondary ice particles, with the potential to enhance ice concentrations by several orders of magnitude above that which might be expected based on the observed or predicted numbers of ice nuclei in the cloud.

References

- 20 Ansmann, A., Mattis, I., Müller, D., Wandinger, U., Radlach, M., and Althausen, D.: Ice formation in Saharan dust over central Europe observed with temperature/humidity/aerosol Raman lidar, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, 1–12, doi:10.1029/2004JD005000, 2005.
- 25 Baltensperger, U., Schwikowski, M., Jost, D. T., Nyeki, S., Gäggeler, H. W., and Poulida, O.: Scavenging of atmospheric constituents in mixed phase clouds at the high-alpine site Jungfraujoch part I: basic concept and aerosol scavenging by clouds, *Atmos. Environ.*, 32, 3975–3983, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(98)00051-X, 1998.

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

- Baumgardner, D., Jonsson, H., Dawson, W., O'Connor, D., and Newton, R.: The cloud, aerosol and precipitation spectrometer: a new instrument for cloud investigations, *Atmos. Res.*, 59–60, 251–264, doi:10.1016/S0169-8095(01)00119-3, 2001.
- 5 Bower, K. N., Moss, S., Johnson, D., Choulaton, T., Latham, J., Brown, P., Blyth, A., and Cardwell, J.: A parametrization of the ice water content observed in frontal and convective clouds, *Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, 122, 1815–1844, doi:10.1256/smsqj.53604, 1996.
- Chou, C., Stetzer, O., Weingartner, E., Jurányi, Z., Kanji, Z. A., and Lohmann, U.: Ice nuclei properties within a Saharan dust event at the Jungfraujoch in the Swiss Alps, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 4725–4738, doi:10.5194/acp-11-4725-2011, 2011.
- 10 Choulaton, T. W., Bower, K. N., Weingartner, E., Crawford, I., Coe, H., Gallagher, M. W., Flynn, M., Crosier, J., Connolly, P., Targino, A., Alfarra, M. R., Baltensperger, U., Sjogren, S., Verheggen, B., Cozic, J., and Gysel, M.: The influence of small aerosol particles on the properties of water and ice clouds, *Faraday Discuss.*, 137, 205–222, doi:10.1039/b702722m, 2008.
- 15 Crawford, I., Bower, K. N., Choulaton, T. W., Dearden, C., Crosier, J., Westbrook, C., Capes, G., Coe, H., Connolly, P. J., Dorsey, J. R., Gallagher, M. W., Williams, P., Trembath, J., Cui, Z., and Blyth, A.: Ice formation and development in aged, wintertime cumulus over the UK: observations and modelling, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 4963–4985, doi:10.5194/acp-12-4963-2012, 2012.
- 20 Creamean, J. M., Suski, K. J., Rosenfeld, D., Cazorla, A., DeMott, P. J., Sullivan, R. C., White, A. B., Ralph, F. M., Minnis, P., Comstock, J. M., Tomlinson, J. M., and Prather, K. A.: Dust and biological aerosols from the Sahara and Asia influence precipitation in the western US, *Science*, 339, 1572–8, doi:10.1126/science.1227279, 2013.
- Crosier, J., Bower, K. N., Choulaton, T. W., Westbrook, C. D., Connolly, P. J., Cui, Z. Q., 25 Crawford, I. P., Capes, G. L., Coe, H., Dorsey, J. R., Williams, P. I., Illingworth, A. J., Gallagher, M. W., and Blyth, A. M.: Observations of ice multiplication in a weakly convective cell embedded in supercooled mid-level stratus, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 257–273, doi:10.5194/acp-11-257-2011, 2011.
- DeMott, P. J., Prenni, A. J., Liu, X., Kreidenweis, S. M., Petters, M. D., Twohy, C. H., Richardson, M. S., Eidhammer, T., and Rogers, D. C.: Predicting global atmospheric ice nuclei distributions and their impacts on climate, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 107, 11217–22, doi:10.1073/pnas.0910818107, 2010.

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

- Hoose, C. and Möhler, O.: Heterogeneous ice nucleation on atmospheric aerosols: a review of results from laboratory experiments, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 9817–9854, doi:10.5194/acp-12-9817-2012, 2012.
- Kamphus, M., Ettner-Mahl, M., Klimach, T., Drewnick, F., Keller, L., Cziczko, D. J., Mertes, S., Borrmann, S., and Curtius, J.: Chemical composition of ambient aerosol, ice residues and cloud droplet residues in mixed-phase clouds: single particle analysis during the Cloud and Aerosol Characterization Experiment (CLACE 6), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 8077–8095, doi:10.5194/acp-10-8077-2010, 2010.
- Korolev, A. V., Isaac, G. A., Cober, S. G., Strapp, J. W., and Hallett, J.: Microphysical characterization of mixed-phase clouds, *Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, 129, 39–65, doi:10.1256/qj.01.204, 2003.
- Korolev, A. V., Emery, E. F., Strapp, J. W., Cober, S. G., Isaac, G. A., Wasey, M., and Marcotte, D.: Small ice particles in tropospheric clouds: fact or artifact?, *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 92, 967–973, doi:10.1175/2010BAMS3141.1, 2011.
- Lachlan-Cope, T., Ladkin, R., Turner, J., and Davison, P.: Observations of cloud and precipitation particles on the Avery Plateau, Antarctic Peninsula, *Antarct. Sci.*, 13, 339–348, doi:10.1017/S0954102001000475, 2001.
- Lance, S., Brock, C. A., Rogers, D., and Gordon, J. A.: Water droplet calibration of the Cloud Droplet Probe (CDP) and in-flight performance in liquid, ice and mixed-phase clouds during ARCPAC, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 3, 1683–1706, doi:10.5194/amt-3-1683-2010, 2010.
- Lawson, P. R., O'Connor, D., Zmarzly, P., Weaver, K., Baker, B., and Mo, Q.: The 2D-S (stereo) probe: design and preliminary tests of a new airborne high-speed, high resolution particle imaging probe, *J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech.*, 23, 1462–1477, doi:10.1175/JTECH1927.1, 2006.
- Lindow, S. E.: The role of bacterial ICE nucleation in frost injury to plants, *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.*, 21, 363–384, doi:10.1146/annurev.py.21.090183.002051, 1983.
- Lloyd, G., Dearden, C., Choularton, T. W., Crosier, J., and Bower, K. N.: Observations of the origin and distribution of ice in cold, warm, and occluded frontal systems during the DIAMET Campaign, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 142, 4230–4255, doi:10.1175/MWR-D-13-00396.1, 2014.
- Lloyd, G., Choularton, T. W., Bower, K. N., Crosier, J., Jones, H., Dorsey, J. R., Gallagher, M. W., Connolly, P., Kirchgassner, A. C. R., and Lachlan-Cope, T.: Observations and comparisons of cloud microphysical properties in spring and summertime Arctic stratocumulus clouds during the ACCACIA campaign, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 3719–3737, doi:10.5194/acp-15-3719-2015, 2015.

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Mahesh, A., Eager, R., Campbell, J. R., and Spinhirne, J. D.: Observations of blowing snow at the South Pole, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 4707, doi:10.1029/2002JD003327, 2003.
- Perovich, D. K. and Richter-Menge, J. A.: Surface characteristics of lead ice, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99, 16341–16350, doi:10.1029/94JC01194, 1994.
- 5 Rangno, A. L.: Fragmentation of freezing drops in shallow maritime frontal clouds, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 65, 1455–1466, doi:10.1175/2007JAS2295.1, 2008.
- Rangno, A. L. and Hobbs, P. V.: Ice particles in stratiform clouds in the Arctic and possible mechanisms for the production of high ice concentrations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 15065, doi:10.1029/2000JD900286, 2001.
- 10 Rankin, A. M., Auld, V., and Wolff, E. W.: Frost flowers as a source of fractionated sea salt aerosol in the polar regions, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 27(21), 3469–3472, doi:10.1029/2000GL011771, 2000.
- Rogers, D. C. and Vali, G.: Ice crystal production by mountain surfaces, *J. Clim. Appl. Meteorol.*, 26, 1152–1168, doi:10.1175/1520-0450(1987)026<1152:ICPBMS>2.0.CO;2, 1987.
- 15 Schlenczek, O., Fugal, J., Bower, K. N., Crosier, J., Flynn, M. J., Henneberger, J., Lloyd, G., Krieger, U. K., and Borrmann, S.: Atmospheric Ice Crystals Over Complex Terrain: Pure Ice Cloud Conditions Observed in CLACE2013 at Jungfraujoch, Switzerland, European Geosciences Union Conference, Vienna, Austria, 12–17 April 2015.
- Schmidt, S., Schneider, J., Klimach, T., Mertes, S., Schenk, L. P., Curtius, J., Kupiszewski, P., Hammer, E., Vochezer, P., Lloyd, G., Ebert, M., Kandler, K., Weinbruch, S., and Borrmann, S.: In-situ single submicron particle composition analysis of ice residuals from mountain-top mixed-phase clouds in Central Europe, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 15, 4677–4724, doi:10.5194/acpd-15-4677-2015, 2015.
- 20 Targino, A. C., Coe, H., Cozic, J., Crosier, J., Crawford, I., Bower, K., Flynn, M., Gallagher, M., Allan, J., Verheggen, B., Weingartner, E., Baltensperger, U., and Choulaton, T.: Influence of particle chemical composition on the phase of cold clouds at a high-alpine site in Switzerland, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114, 1–20, doi:10.1029/2008JD011365, 2009.
- 25 Vali, G., Leon, D., and Snider, J. R.: Ground-layer snow clouds, *Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, 138, 1507–1525, doi:10.1002/qj.1882, 2012.
- 30 Vardiman, L.: The generation of secondary ice particles in clouds by crystal-crystal collision, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 35, 2162–2180, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1978)035<2168:TGOSIP>2.0.CO;2, 1978.

Wagner, R. and Möhler, O.: Enhanced high-temperature ice nucleation ability of crystallized aerosol particles after preactivation at low temperature, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 119, 1–19, doi:10.1002/2014JD021741, 2014.

5 Xu, L., Russell, L. M., Somerville, R. C. J., and Quinn, P. K.: Frost flower aerosol effects on Arctic wintertime longwave cloud radiative forcing, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 118, 13282–13291, doi:10.1002/2013JD020554, 2013.

Yano, J. I. and Phillips, V. T. J.: Ice–ice collisions: an ice multiplication process in atmospheric clouds, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 68, 322–333, doi:10.1175/2010JAS3607.1, 2011.

ACPD

15, 18181–18224, 2015

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Table 1. A summary of the instrumentation deployed at the Jungfraujoch site including information about measurement type, method, size ranges and time resolution of the data provided.

Instrument	Measurement	Method	Size range [μm]	Time Resolution
CAS	Aerosol and Droplet Size Distributions	Optical diameter of particles determined through scattered light	0.51–50	1 Hz
CIP-15	Particle Size Distributions and Particle Imagery	Optical Array Probe (64 element array at 15 μm resolution)	15–960	1 Hz
FSSP	Droplet Size Distributions	Optical diameter of particles determined through scattered light	0.51–50	1 Hz
CDP-100	Droplet Size Distributions	Optical Diameter of particles determined through scattered light	2–50	1 Hz
PVM	Liquid Water Content	Infrared Extinction?	3–50	1 Hz
3V-CPI	2DS: Particle size distributions and shadow imagery	2DS: Optical Array Probe (128 element array at 10 μm effective resolution)	2DS: 10–1280	1 Hz
Combining 2D-S and CPI Instruments	CPI: Particle size distributions and particle photographs	CPI: Use of a CCD Camera to photograph particles	CPI: 2.8–2300	
Vaisala Metek	Temperature and Humidity Wind Velocity and Direction	Ultrasonic sound wave measurement		1 Hz 1 Hz

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.




Figure 1. Satellite imagery showing the locations (red boxes) of Jungfraujoch (A), Shilthorn (B) and Kleine Scheidegg (C).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.




Figure 2. Photograph of the instruments mounted on a rotator and wing on the terrasse rooftop outside the Sphinx Laboratory. INUPIAQ instruments include a sonic anemometer for measuring wind speed, 3V-CPI for cloud particle imagery, CDP for droplet measurements, CIP-15 for cloud particle imagery, CAS for droplet and aerosol measurements and an FSSP for droplet measurements.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.




Figure 3. Mean sea level surface pressure charts for Europe during January and February 2013 and 2014. Jungfraujoch, Switzerland labelled (red marker).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.




Figure 4. Wind rose showing wind direction frequency (%) and wind velocity (ms^{-1}) for Jungfraujoch during 2013 **(a)** and 2014 **(b)**.

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.




Figure 5. Box and whisker plots with median, 25th and 75th percentiles and whiskers to 90% as a function of temperature for ice concentrations (a–b), ice water content (c–d) and ice mass fraction frequency and cumulative probability for 2013 (green trace) and 2014 (purple trace) (e–f) respectively.

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.




Figure 6. Box and whisker plots with median, 25th and 75th percentiles and whiskers to 90 % as a function of temperature for droplet concentrations (**a–b**) and liquid water content (**c–d**).

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.




Figure 7. Time series showing an ice mass fraction transition on 11 February 2014. Concentrations from the 2D-S (L^{-1}) (red trace), liquid droplet concentration from the CDP (cm^{-3}) (blue trace), liquid water content (LWC) from the CDP (gm^{-3}) (green trace) and ice water content (IWC) from the 2D-S (gm^{-3}) (purple trace), temperature ($^{\circ}C$) (magenta trace), wind speed (ms^{-1}) (brown trace) and ice fraction (black trace). Labels A and B mark 5 min 2D-S and CDP size distributions from 00:55 UTC and 05:00 UTC 11 February 2014.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.




Figure 8. Size distributions during an ice fraction transition period on 11 (Fig. 7) and 12 (Not Shown) February for ice particles measured by the 2D-S (a) and liquid droplets measured by the CDP (b).

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.




Figure 9. Ice particle size distributions from the GipfelHolo (grey trace) and 2D-S (black trace).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.




Figure 10. Time series from a period of swift ice fraction transitions on 08 February 2014 for ice number concentration from the 2D-S (L^{-1}) (red trace), liquid droplet concentration from the CDP (cm^{-3}) (blue trace), liquid water content (LWC) from the CDP ($g m^{-3}$) (green trace) and ice water content (IWC) from the 2D-S ($g m^{-3}$) (purple trace), temperature ($^{\circ}C$) (magenta trace), wind speed ($m s^{-1}$) (brown trace), wind angle ($^{\circ}$) (grey trace) and ice fraction (black trace).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion




Figure 11. Examples of 2D-S Imagery showing swift transitions (left) between liquid, mixed phase and glaciated cloud and CPI photographs of ice crystals from around the same time on 08 February 2014.

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.




Figure 12. Time series showing changes in ice mass fractions on on 30 January 2013 for ice number concentration from the 2D-S (L^{-1}) (red trace), liquid droplet concentration from the CDP (cm^{-3}) (blue trace), liquid water content (LWC) from the CDP (gm^{-3}) (green trace) and ice water content (IWC) from the 2D-S (gm^{-3}) (purple trace), temperature ($^{\circ}C$) (magenta trace), wind speed ($m s^{-1}$) (brown trace), wind angle ($^{\circ}$) (grey trace) and ice fraction (black trace).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion




Figure 13. Comparison between the measured number of ice particles by the 2D-S and the predicted ice nuclei concentration using the DeMott et al. (2010) parameterisation. Solid black line represents a 1 : 1 fit and dashed grey lines \pm a factor of 2 from this line.

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.

Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
◀	▶
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	






Figure 14. Examples of typical ice particles photographed by the CPI instrument at temperatures around -15°C .

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion




Figure 15. Scatter plots for ice concentration vs. wind speed, coloured as a function of ice water content (a–d). Droplet number concentrations vs. ice concentration, coloured as a function of liquid water content (i–l) and ice particle size distributions for each blowing snow event (black trace) with cumulative frequency (grey trace and shaded area) (e–h).

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.




Figure 16. Scatter plots for ice concentration vs. wind speed, coloured as a function of ice water content (**a–d**) and ice particle size distributions for each non-blowing snow event (black trace) with cumulative frequency (grey trace and shaded area) (**e–h**).




Figure 17. Scatter plot of ice concentration from the HOLIMO II vs. wind velocity.

The origins of ice crystals measured in mixed phase clouds at High-Alpine site Jungfraujoch

G. Lloyd et al.

Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
◀	▶
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	

