Response to comments

***** Specific comments *****

*** Extension to "regions where AOD and WV have opposite seasonal variations" [p1.14, p4.265, p7.369] ***

The authors have now clarified their extrapolation in response to my previous review. A further thing to consider is that over a hypothetical region where AOD and WV have opposite seasonal variations, the seasonal effect may not only
(a) weaken a positive AOD-CC relationship (underestimation of the "AOD impact on CC", as mentioned by the authors),but may actually
(b) lead to a negative AOD-CC relationship (i.e. cause an inverse relationship to be found).
The authors may like to mention this second possibility (b).
Authors response: We would prefer not to mention this second possibility b), as it is already included in a) and we would prefer not to extend the discussion on this without more data analysis for regions where AOD and WV have opposite seasonal variations to clarify the issue.

*** Testing whether "there is no large systematic AOD increase at increased WV" [p4.302, p7.389] ***
Apologies for the typo in my previous review. You interpreted correctly that I intended to say "a more helpful figure would be to plot a line of AOD vs WV for different CC bins".
I have two comments about the current version of the manuscript:

(a) I am still not convinced that "if Fig. 5 does suggest that for small bins of CC, there is no large increase in WV as AOD increases, it does also suggest, viewed from a different perspective, that for small bins of CC, there is no large increase in AOD as WV increases" [authors' response]. It would of course be true to say that "if there is no correlation between WV and AOD there is no correlation between AOD and WV", or "if there is only a weak correlation between WV and AOD there is only a weak correlation between AOD and WV". However, "linear correlation" is not the measure under consideration in either Fig. 5 or the text. For a given CC bin and SLP regime, even if WV only increases by a small percentage as AOD increases, it is still possible that AOD could increase by a large percentage as WV increases. You can test whether or not this is the case by plotting AOD vs WV for different CC bins (or AOD for different WV and CC bins).

(b) Even if you do find that (i) for small bins of CC (and a given SLP regime) there is no large increase in AOD as WV increases it does not follow that (ii) "there is no large systematic AOD increase at increased WV". Statement (i) has the clarification that CC and SLP have been controlled for; statement (ii), a more general statement, does not have this clarification. (Indeed, if CC is not controlled for, Fig. 2b suggests that positive WV-AOD relationships often exist, indicated by the fact that WV=8cm line only starts at AOD=0.7.)
Authors response: We now include a new Fig. (Fig. 6) containing line plots of AOD vs WV for different CC bins for each of the three studied regions for low pressure systems (SLP<1008 hPa), as the referee wants. This figure indeed shows that there is

no LARGE systematic AOD increase at increased WV, as we claimed in the first place.

*** Introduction: semi-direct effect [p1.37] ***
The sentence "Absorption in the atmosphere, by perturbing the vertical temperature structure, may impact also clouds" is potentially misleading, as it could be (mis)read to imply that the absorption occurs via perturbation of the vertical temperature structure (rather than vice versa). It would be clearer to write: "Absorption in the atmosphere may impact also clouds, by perturbing the vertical temperature structure".
Authors response: Sentenced rephrased as suggested.

*** Data and methods: Costantino and Bréon (2013) [p3.122] ***
Please check whether the Costantino and Bréon (ACPD, 2013) reference at p3.122 is accurate.
As far as I can tell, Costantino and Bréon (2013) neither (a) use aerosol index nor (b) investigate aerosol-cloud interactions - they actually focus on the direct radiative effect.
Hence I suggest deleting this reference from the paper.
Authors response: Reference is accurate. However, the referee is correct, and hence we deleted this reference from the paper.

***** Technical corrections *****
- A large part of the sentence starting at p3.138 is repeated almost exactly word-for -word at p3.195. Consider rewriting one of these occurrences.
Authors response: First sentence rewritten to avoid repetition.
- Gryspeerdt et al. (2015) reference: delete "Discuss.", and correct pagination to 7557-7570.
Authors response: Reference corrected (we also corrected an error in the title of this publication).

A study of the impact of synoptic weather conditions and water vapor on aerosol-cloud relationships over major urban clusters of China

K. Kourtidis[1], S. Stathopoulos[1], A. K. Georgoulias[1,2,3], G. Alexandri[4,1] and S. Rapsomanikis[1]

[1] Laboratory of Atmospheric Pollution and Pollution Control Engineering of Atmospheric Pollutants, School of Engineering, Democritus University of Thrace, 67100 Xanthi, Greece.

[2] Department of Meteorology and Climatology, School of Geology, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, 54124, Greece.

[3] Energy, Environment and Water Research Center, The Cyprus Institute, Nicosia, Cyprus.

[4] Laboratory of Atmospheric Physics, Physics Department, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54124, Thessaloniki, Greece.

Correspondence to: K. Kourtidis (kourtidi@env.duth.gr)

Abstract

The relationships between Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD), Cloud Cover (CC), and Cloud Top Pressure (CTP) over three major urban clusters in China are studied under different Sea Level Pressure (SLP) and Water Vapor (WV) regimes using a decade (2003-2013) of MODIS satellite-retrieved data. Over all urban clusters, for all SLP regimes, CC is found to increase with AOD, thus pointing out that the CC dependence on AOD cannot be explained by synoptic co-variability, as approximated by SLP, alone. WV is found to have a stronger impact on CC than AOD. This impact is more pronounced at high aerosol load than at low aerosol load. Hence, studies of AOD-CC relationships based on satellite data, will greatly overestimate the AOD impact on CC in regions where AOD and WV have similar seasonal variations, while they will probably underestimate the AOD impact in regions where AOD and WV have opposite seasonal variations. Further, this impact shows that the hydrological

cycle interferes with the aerosol climatic impact and we need to improve our understanding of this interference. Our results also suggest that studies attributing CTP long-term changes to changes in aerosol load might have a WV bias.

1 Introduction

Aerosols are known to impact the formation, optical properties, and life cycle of clouds (e.g. Ramanathan et al., 2001; Lohmann and Feichter, 2005; Tao et al., 2012; Boucher et al., 2013), either by increasing the cloud droplet number concentration and simultaneously decreasing the droplet size with a fixed water content, known as the first indirect effect (Twomey, 1974), or by suppressing precipitation formation, enhancing at the same time the cloud cover and cloud lifetime, known as the second indirect effect (Albrect, 1989). In addition, by scattering or absorbing solar and terrestrial short-wave radiation (direct effect), aerosols affect temperature on the Earth's surface also perturbing the vertical temperature structure (Haywood and Boucher, 2000; Menon et al., 2002). Absorption in the atmosphere~~, by perturbing the vertical temperature structure,~~ may impact also clouds by perturbing the vertical temperature structure (semi-direct effect, Ackerman et al., 2000). So, it is important to understand and quantify the microphysical impact of both natural and anthropogenic aerosols on clouds, in order to understand and predict climate change (Anderson et al., 2003; Forest et al., 2002; Knutti et al., 2002).

Urban clusters constitute a major political and economic issue in China. Increased numbers of cities of different sizes and intensive urbanization are prominent features in these regions, which extend over hundreds of kilometers. These city clusters are among the most dynamic and rapidly growing regions of China. Several such clusters have emerged in the past two decades and are still evolving. The city clusters studied here, namely the ones in the Yangtze River Delta (YRD), the Pearl River Delta (PRD), and the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei area (BTH), are the among the most rapidly growing, characterized by a spectacular population growth over the last 20 years (Fig. 1). These regions, with aerosol loads some times higher than the global average (Fig. 1), constitute extensive spatial sources of large quantities of aerosols as a result of human activities (industry, construction, traffic, etc.) and biomass burning, while occasionally transport of mineral dust from China’s deserts adds to the aerosol burden of these regions (Zhao and Li, 2007; Jin and Shepherd, 2008). Moreover, the three regions exhibit significant climatic differences, driven also by the Asian monsoon, and hence they are suitable for the investigation of aerosol-cloud relations under different meteorological conditions.

The aim of this study is to study the influence of synoptic weather conditions and atmospheric water vapour amounts on AOD-CC relationships, while at the same time obtain some insight on possible impacts on local climate that might result over the extended urbanization clusters of China due to aerosols. Towards this aim, we use 10 consecutive years (2003-2013) of AOD, CC, clear sky water vapor (WV), and Cloud Top Pressure (CTP) satellite data from MODIS TERRA and AQUA in conjunction with sea level pressure (SLP) from NCEP/NCAR reanalysis data.

2 Data and Methods

The 3 major urban clusters of China have been selected so as to be representative of 3 different climatic regions. China can be divided into five climate regions (Song et al., 2011), namely the temperate monsoon, the subtropical monsoon, the tropical monsoon, the temperate continental and the plateau/mountain climate region. These are mainly influenced by the Asian monsoon systems and the Tibetan Plateau (Domrös and Peng, 1988; Ye and Gao, 1979; Ding and Murakami, 1994). In particular, the Asian monsoon system has a major effect on the rainy seasons across the country. It starts with the pre-monsoonal rain period over South China in early April and lasts from May until August. The summer monsoon rain belt propagates northward to the Yangtze river basin in June and finally to northern China in July. In August, when the monsoon period ends, the rain belt moves back to southern China. Due to the migration of the monsoon across China, the length of the rain season differs between southern and northern China (Song et al., 2011). In particular, the BTH urban cluster is a temperate monsoon climate region, while, the YRD urban cluster is a subtropical monsoon climate region, and the PRD urban cluster is a tropical monsoon climate region (Fig. 1). The BTH domain [35.5^o-40.5^{o}N, 113.5^o-120.5^{o}E] is an area with rapid industrial and economic development, reflected also at the high AOD levels (more than 4 times the global average) over the region (Fig. 1). The YRD domain [28.5^o-33.5^{o}N, 117.5^o-123.5^{o}E], is an area with significant black carbon (Streets et al., 2001; Bond et al., 2004) and sulfate (Lu et al., 2010) emissions. Finally, the PRD domain [21.5^o-24.5^{o}N, 111.5^o-115.5^{o}E] is an area within the Inter-Tropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) migration belt, with high anthropogenic aerosol emissions (Streets et al., 2003; Streets et al., 2008; Lei et al., 2011). Over the 3 regions of interest and within the study period, only weak overall upward trends have been reported (Guo et al., 2011).

Aerosol and cloud parameters from the MODIS instrument aboard the TERRA and AQUA satellites (collection 5.1, level-3, 1^ox1^o daily data) for the period 2003-2013 are used in this study. In particular, to investigate aerosol-cloud interactions, we use aerosol optical depth at 550 nm (AOD_{550} or just AOD), CC, WV for clear conditions (Remer et al., 2005, Remer et al., 2008, King et al., 2003) and CTP from both satellites. Aerosol index (AI), defined as the product of the AOD and Angstrom exponent, is a good proxy to quantify cloud condensation nuclei and has been applied in many previous ACI studies (e.g., Costantino and Breon, 2010 [off-coast Namibia and Angola]~~, 2013 [over the SE Atlantic Ocean]~~). However, in the present study the use of AI would not be appropriate, because our study is conducted over land areas. This has to do with the use of the Angstrom exponent in the derivation of AI. Namely, the Angstrom exponent is not reliable over land areas. We quote a personal communication with L. Remer, NASA GSFC: “Angstrom over land is not reliable and we recommend strongly not to use it”. Hence, AOD is used in our study. Additionally, to examine the aerosol-cloud interactions under different meteorological conditions, such as low and high pressure systems, we used daily Sea Level Pressure (SLP) data from the NCEP/NCAR Reanalysis for the same period. The original 2.5^o x 2.5^o NCEP/NCAR SLP data were regridded using bi-linear interpolation in order to match the MODIS $1^o \times 1^o$ level-3 dataset.

Considering that meteorological conditions may have an impact on satellite derived aerosol-cloud relationships, and to investigate the influence of synoptic meteorological conditions on the AOD-CC relationship~~ (Fig. S3 in the supplementary material), and to exclude, at least partially, artifacts on the AOD-CC relationship resulting from synoptically induced co-variance (Mauger and Norris, 2007; Loeb and Schuster, 2008; Quaas et al., 2010; Gryspeerdt et al., 2014a)~~, the AOD, CC, WV and CTP MODIS data were classified into 3 SLP classes (less than 1008 hPa for low pressure systems, between 1008 and 1017 hPa, and finally greater than 1017 hPa for high pressure systems) using NCAR/NCEP SLP data, and also according to atmospheric WV quantities. This was done as follows, for each of the three urban clusters studied: Concurrent MODIS AOD, WV, CC and CTP values were assigned to one of the three SLP classes according to the concurrent NCAR/NCEP Sea Level Pressure. Then, within each of the three SLP subsets, containing each timeseries of concurrent AOD, WV, CC and CTP values, the data were binned in equally sized bins (thus not equal sample size bins, as this would make comparison between the three clusters difficult) according to AOD and WV. This resulted in 100 bins (10 AOD bins for AOD between 0 and 1, bin step 0.1 X 10 WV bins for WV between 0 and 10 cm, bin step 1). The mean of the CC and CTP values corresponding to AOD and WV within each bin was then calculated (in case there were more than six values of the respective variable within the studied bin). The same was repeated once more using AOD and CC equally sized bins. This resulted in 100 bins (10 AOD bins for AOD between 0 and 1, bin step 0.1 X 10 CC bins for CC between 0 and 1, bin step 0.1). The mean of the WV values corresponding to AOD and CC within each bin was then calculated (in case there were more than six values of WV within the studied bin).

3 Results and discussion

To gain an insight into the levels, trends, interannual variability and seasonal variation of AOD and CC over the study regions, we first examined the timeseries of AOD, CC, CTP and WV from MODIS TERRA and AQUA satellites over 5 grid points where cities of the 3 major urban clusters under study are located, for the period 2003-2013 (Figs. S1 and S2 in the Supplement). The results from both satellites are similar, with the highest values of AOD, CC and WV occurring during the summer months, while CTP is higher during winter and lower during summer over all 5 cities (i.e. Cloud Top Height hereafter denoted as CTH also peaks in summer). The majority of the AOD values over the BTH urban cluster are between 0.3-1.4, while over YRD they are between 0.5-1.3 and between 0.5-1 over the PRD urban cluster. BTH, with an average AOD550 of 0.654±0.15 during the study period (2003-2013) experiences somewhat heavier aerosol loading than the other 2 regions with average AODs of 0.646±0.18 (YRD) and 0.590±0.16 (PRD). Further, as we move from north to south, CC and WV increases while CTP variability also increases. Additionally, in the variables we will use in this study, no large trends are apparent during the study period (Figs. S1 and S2 in the supplementary material).

To investigate the influence of synoptic meteorological conditions on the AOD-CC relationship (Fig. S3 in the Supplement), and to exclude, at least partially, artifacts on the AOD-CC relationship resulting from synoptically induced co-variance (Mauger and Norris, 2007; Loeb and Schuster, 2008; Quaas et al., 2010; Gryspeerdt et al., 2014a), the MODIS data were classified into 3 SLP classes (from NCAR/NCEP data, see above) and examined the AOD-CC-WV relationship at the low and high SLP classes.

Figs. 2-4 show the AOD-CC-WV relationship over the 3 urban clusters studied for two of the three SLP classes, namely low SLP (SLP<1008 hPa, Figs. 2-4 a,b) and high SLP (SLP>1017 hPa, Figs. 2-4 e,f), as the meteorological conditions for these two classes are more clearly defined. The SLP<1008 hPa class is representative of the core of low pressure systems and hence of atmospheric circulation typical of these systems (e.g. ascending motions of air). The SLP>1017 hPa class is representative of the core of high pressure systems and hence of atmospheric circulation typical of these systems (e.g. descending motions of air). Furthermore, the low and high SLP systems are completely different in terms of horizontal transport patterns. The 1008 hPa<SLP<1017 hPa class is less clearly defined in terms of atmospheric conditions, since it might contain meteorological conditions typical of the periphery of low pressure systems or typical of the periphery of high pressure systems (e.g. troughs, ridges etc.), and hence it is omitted from the discussion (fig. for 1008<SLP<1017 also available, but not shown here). Figures on the left present results in bins while figures on the right present results as line graphs. Water vapor is in 1cm bins and AOD is in 0.1 bins. The same analysis was also performed with MODIS TERRA data (Figs. S4 to S6 in the Supplement), and the results are qualitatively and to a large part also quantitatively in accord with the MODIS AQUA ones. With increasing SLP the amount of WV in the atmosphere decreases (Figs. 2a,b,e,f, 3a,b,e,f and 4a,b,e,f). This is due to the fact that the majority of available AOD-CC retrieval pairs for the low SLP class occurs during summer, when also the majority of available AOD-CC retrieval pairs for WV>3cm occurs (Figs. S7 and S8 in the Supplement). Wang et al. (2015) also noted the different humidity levels during summer and winter over East China. Additionally, as low SLP synoptic systems are associated with updrafts, the occurrence of these systems in summer, when land and sea temperatures and hence also evaporation are higher, more WV can be transported up in the atmosphere. Other authors have also noted the correlation of AOD with WV. For example, Alam et al. (2010), report positive AOD-WV correlation over Pakistan due to their common seasonal patterns. On the other hand, Balakrishnaiah et al. (2012), report positive AOD-WV correlation over India but negative over some Indian Ocean regions. It is evident that WV has a strong impact on CC, perhaps even stronger than the AOD impact on CC (Figs. 2-4 a,b,e,f). In fact, over PRD the impact of AOD on CC for constant WV seems negligible (Fig. 4a,b,e,f). In the other two regions, BTH and YRD, CC might increase by up to 0.1 at most as AOD increases from 0.2 to 1 under constant WV, while CC might increase by up to 0.4 for WV increases from 1 to 8 cm under constant AOD. For detailed statistics of the AOD-CC and AOD-CTP relationships please refer to Tables S1 to S5 of the Supplement. Also, for the response of CC to AOD in terms of seasonality, given the strong seasonal variability in aerosol and cloud shown in Figs. S1 and S2, please refer to Tables S1 and S2 and Fig. S3 of the supplementary material.

Hence, studies of AOD-CC relationships based on satellite data that do not take into account WV, will greatly overestimate the AOD impact on CC in regions where AOD and WV have similar seasonal variations. Keeping in mind the reasons for the observed overestimations in the three regions studied here, it is logical to infer that, in regions where AOD and WV have opposite seasonal variations the AOD impact on CC may most likely be underestimated if WV is not taken into account. This result is in agreement with recent results from other authors that noted the large possible impact of different meteorological variables on the AOD-CC relationships (e.g. Mauger and Norris, 2007; Quaas et al., 2010; Koren et al., 2010; Engstrom and Ekman, 2010; Chand et al., 2012; Grandey et al., 2013). Most importantly, it is in agreement with recent reports that gave qualitative indications that water vapor (Ten Hoeve et al., 2011) or relative humidity (Loeb and Schuster, 2008; Koren et al., 2010; Grandey et al., 2013) might have a strong influence on AOD-CC relationships. We also note, that despite the remarks made above, even after accounting for WV and synoptic variability as manifested by SLP, weakened positive relationships between AOD and CC often remain (Figs. 2-4 a,b,e,f), although this impact in our study regions is much smaller than the one that would have been estimated ignoring synoptic and WV variability. In fact, in the three areas of study, where AOD and WV have similar seasonal variations, if the water vapor effect is taken into account the slopes of the CC/AOD relationship for AOD>0.2 might be reduced up to 90%. We suggest that these results should be taken into consideration in future studies trying to explain the weekly cycles of cloud cover and other meteorological parameters (e.g. temperature, solar radiation, precipitation, etc.) observed in some regions of the planet through the human working cycle and the indirect effects of aerosols (e.g. Georgoulias et al., 2015). Also, the results suggest a profound interference of the hydrological cycle with the aerosol climatic impact, that needs further investigation. Recent studies also point out to different aspects of the aforementioned interference (Grandey et al., 2014; Rosenfeld et al., 2014; Gryspeerdt et al., 2015).

In all SLP and urban cluster cases, there is no apparent systematic increase of AOD with WV, and it does not appear that increased WV is systematically associated with large increases in AOD (Figs. 5 and 6). This indicates that there is no large systematic AOD increase at increased WV. Further, it is apparent that the largest part of the differences in the AOD-CC slope between low and high SLP synoptic conditions is due to the differences in WV between these conditions (see Fig. 5, Figs. S7 and S8 of the Supplement, and also compare parts b and f of Figs. 2-4).

CTP is a cloud parameter that can be used as a proxy for cloud vertical development. Hence a number of recent studies investigated its role in AOD-CC interactions over the region of Eastern Asia (e.g. Kumar, 2013; Alam et al., 2014; Tang et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014) and globally (e.g. Gryspeerdt et al., 2014a). Recently, Gryspeerdt et al. (2014b), using satellite data, reported that apart from AOD, CTP is also strongly correlated to CTP and argue that influences such as aerosol humidification and meteorology play an important role and should be considered in studies of aerosol-cloud interactions. CTP variations over the study areas were not dominantly driven by AOD, irrespective of pressure system and WV bins (Figs. 2-4 c,d,e,h). However, at low SLP regimes, CTP decreased with AOD over PRD, much less so over YRD and was not impacted by AOD over BTH (Figs. 2-4 c,d), while at high SLP it was not impacted by AOD in all three urban clusters (Figs. 2-4 e,h). Finally, CTP was found to increase considerably with WV content only at low SLP over BTH and YRD. Hence, studies attributing CTP, CTH or Cloud Top Temperature (CTT) long-term changes to changes in aerosol load without accounting for this WV effect (e.g. Devasthale et al., 2005) might lead to wrong quantifications. Although differences between MODIS AQUA and TERRA (Meskhidze et al., 2009) are outside the scope of this study, we mention briefly that CTP from AQUA is lower than TERRA over all regions and under all pressure systems (compare Figs. 2 to 4 with Supplement Figs. S4 to S6), which is possibly due to the fact that clouds are more well-developed in the afternoon (AQUA overpass) than in the morning (TERRA overpass).

4 Conclusions

In this work, we used a decade (2003-2013) of aerosol and cloud parameters from the MODIS instrument, to investigate the aerosol-cloud interactions over 3 major urban clusters of China, representative of 3 different climatic regions. We investigated the AOD-CC relationship under different synoptic conditions using SLP data, and under different clear sky WV contents. Over all urban clusters, and for all SLP regimes, CC is found to increase with AOD, thus pointing out that the CC dependence on AOD cannot be explained by synoptic co-variability, as approximated by SLP, alone. It is found that at AOD>0.2 the AOD impact on

CC at low SLP conditions is about two times higher than its impact at high SLP conditions. Further, at its largest part this difference is due to WV differences between low and high SLP conditions rather than arising from differences in horizontal transport patterns. Hereupon, we stratified the data into 3 SLP bins to examine AOD-CC-WV relationships under different pressure systems. In most cases, WV is found to be constant with increasing AOD loading, while there is a positive relationship between cloud cover and water vapor for fixed AOD. Moreover, the AOD-CC relationship is positive under all pressure conditions.

In general, WV has a strong impact on CC and thus, studies of aerosol-cloud interactions based on satellite data that do not account for this parameter, may result in erroneous quantitative and qualitative results. Namely, studies of AOD-CC relationships based on satellite data, will greatly overestimate the AOD impact on CC in regions where AOD and WV have similar seasonal variations, while they may probably greatly underestimate the AOD impact on CC in regions where AOD and WV have opposite seasonal variations. In the three areas of study, where AOD and WV have similar seasonal variations, if the water vapor effect is taken into account the slopes of the CC/AOD relationship for AOD>0.2 might be reduced up to 90%. Further, this WV impact on AOD-CC relationships shows that the hydrological cycle interferes with the aerosol climatic impact and we need to improve our understanding of this interference.

In addition, Cloud Top Pressure (CTP) at low SLP regimes is found to decrease more with AOD over the PRD and much less so over the YRD urban cluster, while there is no significant impact by AOD over BTH. On the other hand, at high SLP regimes, AOD doesn't seem to impact significantly CTP. Finally, over the BTH and YRD urban clusters, CTP is found to increase considerably with increasing WV only at low SLP synoptic regimes. Similar to the case of AOD-CC relations, these results suggest that studies trying to relate CTP, CTH and CTT changes with changes in aerosol load, should account for this WV effect.

It is also found that there is no large systematic AOD increase at high WV.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank NASA Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC) Level 1 and Atmosphere Archive and Distribution System (LAADS) (http://ladsweb.nascom.nasa.gov) for providing MODIS TERRA and AQUA Collection 5.1 Level 3 daily aerosol, cloud and water vapor data and NOAA/OAR/ESRL PSD, Boulder, Colorado, USA for providing the

NCEP/NCAR reanalysis sea level pressure data (http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd). This research has been financed partly by EPAN II and PEP under the national action “Bilateral, multilateral and regional RandT cooperations” (AEROVIS Sino-Greek project) and partly under the FP7 Programme MarcoPolo (Grand Number 606953, Theme SPA.2013.3.2-01). A.K. Georgoulias also received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union’s Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013)/ERC grant agreement no. 226144 (C8 project).

References

Ackerman, A. S., Toon, O. B., Stevens, D. E., Heymsfield, A. J., Ramanathan, V., and Welton, E. J.: Reduction of Tropical Cloudiness by Soot, Science, 288, 1042-1047, DOI: 10.1126/science.288.5468.1042, 2000.

Alam, K., Iqbal, M. J., Blaschke, T., Qureshi, S., and Khan, G.: Monitoring spatio-temporal variations in aerosols and aerosol-cloud interactions over Pakistan using MODIS data, Adv. in Space Res., 46, 1162-1176, 2010.

Alam, K., Khan, R., Blaschke, T., and Mukhtiar, A.: Variability of aerosol optical depth and their impact on cloud properties in Pakistan, J. of Atmos. and Solar-Terrestrial Phys., 107, 104-112, doi:10.1016/j.jastp.2013.11.012, 2014.

Albrecht, B. A: Aerosols, cloud microphysics, and fractional cloudiness, Science, 245(4923), 1227-1230, doi:10.1126/science.245.4923.1227, 1989.

Anderson, T. L., Charlson, R. J., Schwartz, S. E., Knutti, R., Boucher, O., Rodhe, H., and Heintzenberg, J.: Climate forcing by aerosols-a hazy picture, Science, 300(5622), 1103, doi:10.1126/science.1084777, 2003.

Balakrishnaiah, G., Raghavendra kumar, K., Suresh Kumar Reddy, B., Rama Gopal, K., Reddy, R. R., Reddy, L. S. S, Swamulu, C., Nazeer Ahammed, Y., Narasimhulu, K., KrishnaMoorthy, K., and Suresh Babu, S.: Spatio-temporal variations in aerosol optical and cloud parameters over Southern India retrieved from MODIS satellite data, Atmos. Environ., 47, 435-445, 2012.

Bond, T. C., Streets, D. G., Yarber, K. F., Nelson, S. M., Woo, J. H., and Klimont Z.: A technology-based global inventory of black and organic carbon emissions from combustion, J. Geophys. Res., 109(D14), doi:10.1029/2003JD003697, 2004.

Boucher, O., et al.: Clouds and aerosols, in: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Stocker et al., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, New York, NY, USA, 573-632, 2013.

Chand, D., Wood, R., Ghan, S. J., Wang, M., Ovchinnikov, M., Rasch, P. J., Miller, S., Schichtel, B., and Moore, T.: Aerosol optical depth increase in partly cloudy conditions, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D17207, doi:10.1029/2012JD017894, 2012.

CIESIN/CIAT/SEDAC: Gridded Population of the World Version 3 (GPWv3): Population Grids, Palisades, Socioeconomic Data and Applications Center (SEDAC), Columbia University, NY, available at http://sedac.ciesin.columbia.edu/gpw, 2005.

Costantino, L., and Bréon, F.-M.: Analysis of aerosol-cloud interaction from multi-sensor satellite observations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, L11801, doi:10.1029/2009GL041828, 2010.

~~Costantino, L., and Bréon, F.-M.: Satellite-based estimate of aerosol direct radiative effect over the South-East Atlantic, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 13, 23295-23324, 2013.~~

Devasthale, A., Krüger, O., and Graßl, H.: Change in cloud top temperatures over Europe, IEEE Geosci. Remote Sens. Lett., 2(3), 333-336, 2005.

Ding, Y. H. and Murakami M.: The Asian Monsoon, China Meteorological Press, Beijing, China, 1994.

Domros, M. and Peng, G.: The Climate of China, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1988.

Engström, A. and Ekman, A. M.: Impact of meteorological factors on the correlation between aerosol optical depth and cloud fraction, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37(18), doi:10.1029/2010GL044361, 2010.

Forest, C. E., Stone, P. H., Sokolov, A. P., Allen, M. R., and Webster, M. D.: Quantifying uncertainties in climate system properties with the use of recent climate observations, Science, 295(5552), 113-117, doi:10.1126/science.1064419, 2002.

Georgoulias, A. K., Kourtidis, K. A., Alexandri, G., Rapsomanikis, S., and Sanchez-Lorenzo, A.: Common summertime total cloud cover and aerosol optical depth weekly variabilities over Europe: sign of the aerosol indirect effects?, Atmos. Res., 153, 59-73, dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2014.07.031, 2015.

Grandey, B. S., Stier, P., and Wagner, P.: Investigating relationships between aerosol optical depth and cloud fraction using satellite, aerosol reanalysis and general circulation model data, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 3177-3184, doi:10.5194/acp-13-3177-2013, 2013.

Grandey, B. S., Gururaj, A., Stier, P., and Wagner, T. M., Rainfall-aerosol relationships explained by wet scavenging and humidity, Geophys. Res. Lett., 41, 5678-5684, doi:10.1002/2014GL060958, 2014.

Gryspeerdt, E., Stier, P., and Partridge, D. G.: Satellite observations of cloud regime development: the role of aerosol processes, Atmos. Chem. and Phys., 14(3), 1141-1158, doi:10.5194/acpd-13-22931-2013, 2014a.

Gryspeerdt, E., Stier, P., and Grandey, B. S.: Cloud fraction mediates the aerosol optical depth-cloud top height relationship, Geophys. Res. Lett., 41, 3622-3627, doi:10.1002/2014GL059524, 2014b.

Gryspeerdt, E., Stier, P., White, B. A., and Kipling, Z.: Wet scavenging limits the detection of aerosol effects on precipitation~~-cloud-precipitation interactions~~, Atmos. Chem. Phys. ~~Discuss.~~, 15, ~~6851-6886~~7557-7570, doi: 10.5194/acp-15-7557-2015, 2015.

Guo, J. P., Zhang, X. Y., Wu, Y. R., Zhaxi, Y., Che, H. Z., La, B., Wang, W., and Li, X. W.: Spatio-temporal variation trends of satellite-based aerosol optical depth in China during 1980-2008, Atmos. Environ., 45(37), 6802-6811, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.03.068, 2011.

Haywood, J. M. and Boucher, O.: Estimates of the direct and indirect radiative forcing due to tropospheric aerosols: A review, Rev. Geophys., 38, 513-543, doi:10.1029/1999RG000078, 2000.

Jin, M. and Shepherd, J. M.: Aerosol relationships to warm season clouds and rainfall at monthly scales over east China: Urban land versus ocean, J. Geophys. Res., 113(D24), doi:10.1029/2008JD010276, 2008.

King, M. D, Menzel, W. P., Kaufman, Y. J., Tanré, D., Gao, B.-C., Platnick, S., Ackerman, S. A., Remer, L. A., Pincus, R., and Hubanks, P. A.: Cloud and aerosol properties, precipitable water, and profiles of temperature and water vapor from MODIS, Geosci. and Remote Sens., IEEE Transactions, 41(2), 442-458, doi:10.1109/TGRS.2002.808226, 2003.

Knutti, R., Stocker, T. F., Joos, F., and Plattner, G. K.: Constraints on radiative forcing and future climate change from observations and climate model ensembles, Nature, 416(6882), 719-723, doi:10.1038/416719a, 2002.

Koren, I., Feingold, G., and Remer, L. A.: The invigoration of deep convective clouds over the Atlantic: aerosol effect, meteorology or retrieval artifact?, Atmos. Chem. and Phys., 10(18), 8855-8872, doi:10.5194/acp-10-8855-2010, 2010.

Kumar, A.: Variability of aerosol optical depth and cloud parameters over North Eastern regions of India retrieved from MODIS satellite data, J. of Atmos. and Solar-Terrestrial Phys., 100, 34-49, doi:10.1016/j.jastp.2013.03.025, 2013.

Lei, Y., Zhang, Q., He, K. B., and Streets, D. G.: Primary anthropogenic aerosol emission trends for China, 1990-2005, Atmos. Chem. and Phys., 11(3), 931-954, doi:10.5194/acp-11-931-2011, 2011.

Loeb, N. G. and Schuster, G. L.: An observational study of the relationship between cloud, aerosol and meteorology in broken low-level cloud conditions, J. Geophys. Res., 113(D14), doi:10.1029/2007JD009763, 2008.

Lohmann, U. and Feichter, J.: Global indirect aerosol effects: a review, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 715-737, doi:10.5194/acp-5-715-2005, 2005.

Lu, Z., Streets, D. G., Zhang, Q., Wang, S., Carmichael, G. R., Cheng, Y. F., Wei, C., Chin, M., Diehl, T., and Tan, Q.: Sulfur dioxide emissions in China and sulfur trends in East Asia since 2000, Atmos. Chem. and Phys., 10(13), 6311-6331, doi:10.5194/acp-10-6311-2010, 2010.

Mauger, G. S. and Norris, J. R.: Meteorological bias in satellite estimates of aerosol ‐ cloud relationships, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34(16), doi:10.1029/2007GL029952, 2007.

Menon, S., Hansen, J., Nazarenko, L., and Luo, Y.: Climate effects of black carbon aerosols in China and India, Science, 297(5590), 2250-2253, doi:10.1126/science.1075159, 2002.

Meskhidze, N., Remer, L. A., Platnick, S., Negron Juarez, R., Lichtenberger, A. M., and Aiyyer, A. R.: Exploring the differences in cloud properties observed by the Terra and Aqua MODIS Sensors, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 3461-3475, doi:10.5194/acp-9-3461-2009, 2009.

Quaas, J., Stevens, B., Stier, P., and Lohmann, U.: Interpreting the cloud cover-aerosol optical depth relationship found in satellite data using a general circulation model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 6129-6135, doi:10.5194/acp-10-6129-2010, 2010.

Ramanathan, V., Crutzen, P. J., Kiehl, J. T., and Rosenfeld, D.: Aerosols, climate, and the hydrological cycle, Science, 294(5549), 2119-2124, doi:10.1126/science.1064034, 2001.

Remer, L. A., Kaufman, Y. J., Tanre´, D., Mattoo, S., Chu, D. A., Martins, J. V., Li, R.-R., Ichoku, C., Levy, R. C., Kleidman, R. G., Eck, T. F., Vermote, E., and Holben, B. N..: The MODIS algorithm, products, and validation, J. Atmos. Sci., 62, 947-973, doi:10.1175/JAS3385.1, 2005.

Remer, L. A., Kleidman, R. G., Levy, R. C., Kaufman, Y. J., Tanre´, D., Mattoo, S., Martins, J. V., Ichoku, C., Koren, I., Yu, H., and Holben, B. N.: Global aerosol climatology from the MODIS satellite sensors, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D14S07, doi:10.1029/2007JD009661, 2008.

Rosenfeld, D., Andreae, M. O., Asmi, A., Chin, M., de Leeuw, G., Donovan, D. P., Kahn, R., Kinne, S., Kivekäs, N., Kulmala, M., Lau, W., Schmidt, K. S., Suni, T., Wagner, T., Wild, M., and Quaas, J.: Global observations of aerosol-cloud-precipitation-climate interactions, Rev. Geophys., 52, 750–808, doi:10.1002/2013RG000441, 2014.

Song, Y., Achberger, C., and Linderholm, H. W.: Rain-season trends in precipitation and their effect in different climate regions of China during 1961-2008, Environ. Res. Lett., 6(3), 034025, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/6/3/034025, 2011.

Streets, D. G., Gupta, S., Waldhoff, S. T., Wang, M. Q., Bond, T. C., and Yiyun, B.: Black carbon emissions in China, Atmos. Environ., 35(25), 4281-4296, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(01)00179-0, 2001.

Streets, D. G., Bond, T. C., Carmichael, G. R., Fernandes, S. D., Fu, Q., He, D., Klimont, Z., Nelson, S. M., Tsai, N. Y., Wang, M. Q., Woo, J. H., and Yarber, K. F.: An inventory of gaseous and primary aerosol emissions in Asia in the year 2000, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D21), doi:10.1029/2002JD003093, 2003.

Streets, D. G., Yu, C., Wu, Y., Chin, M., Zhao, Z., Hayasaka, T., and Shi, G., Aerosol trends over China, 1980-2000, Atmos. Res., 88(2), 174-182, doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2007.10.016, 2008.

Tao, W.-K., Chen, J.-P., Li, Z., Wang, C., and Zhang, C.: Impact of aerosols on convective clouds and precipitation, Rev. Geophys., 50, RG2001/2012, paper No. 2011RG000369, 2012.

Tang, J., Wang, P., Mickley, L. J., Xia, X., Liao, H., Yue, X., Sun, L., and Xia, J.: Positive relationship between liquid cloud droplet effective radius and aerosol optical depth over Eastern China from satellite data, Atmos. Environ., 84, 244-253, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.08.024, 2014.

Ten Hoeve, J. E., Remer, L. A., and Jacobson, M. Z., Microphysical and radiative effects of aerosols on warm clouds during the Amazon biomass burning season as observed by MODIS: Impacts of water vapor and land cover, Atmos. Chem. and Phys., 11(7), 3021-3036, doi:10.5194/acp-11-3021-2011, 2011.

Twomey, S.: Pollution and the planetary albedo, Atmos. Environ., 8(12), 1251-1256, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.10.062, 1974.

Ye, D. Z. and Gao, Y. X.: The Meteorology of the Qinghai-Xizang Plateau, Chinese Science Press, Beijing, China, 1979.

Wang, F., Guo, J., Wu, Y., Zhang, X., Deng, M., Li, X., Zhang, J., and Zhao, J.: Satellite observed aerosol-induced variability in warm cloud properties under different meteorological conditions over eastern China, Atmos. Environ., 84, 122-132, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.11.018, 2014.

Wang, F., Guo, J., Zhang, J., Huang, J., Min, M., Chen, T., Liu, H., Deng, M., and Li, X.: Multi-sensor quantification of aerosol-induced variability in warm clouds over eastern China, Atmos. Environ., 113, 1-9, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.04.063, 2015.

Zhao, F. and Li, Z.: Estimation of aerosol single scattering albedo from solar direct spectral radiance and total broadband irradiances measured in China, J. Geophys. Res., 112(D22), doi: 10.1029/2006JD007384, 2007.

Figure 1. Map of China with the ratio of local AODs to the global mean AOD (~0.1523) for the period 2003-2013. The position of the 3 urban clusters studied here (white squares, Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei: BTH, Yangtze River Delta: YRD, and Pearl River Delta: PRD) and their 5 major cities (white crosses) is marked. Estimates of the population (in millions, M) for the period 1990-2015 for the 3 urban clusters [CIESIN/CIAT, 2005] are also embedded in the map.

Figure 2. MODIS AQUA, Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei (BTH) urban cluster, 2003-2013, AOD-WV-CC (a-b), AOD-WV-CTP (c-d) for SLP <1008 hPa, and AOD-WV-CC (e-f), AOD-WV-CTP (g-h) for SLP>1017 hPa. NaN at the cloud data color bar denote no values or less than 6 values in this bin. Figures on the left present average CC and CTP values in 1cm WV and 0.1 AOD bins while figures on the right present results as line graphs. The line graph CC-AOD and CTP-AOD relations were calculated by averaging CC and CTP within 0.1 AOD bins for several 1cm WV classes.

Figure 3. As in Figure 2, but for the Yangtze River Delta (YRD) urban cluster.

Figure 4. As in Figure 2, but for the Pearl River Delta (PRD) urban cluster.

Figure 5. MODIS AQUA mean WV amounts for 0.1 AOD and 0.1 CC bins over the (BTH) (top), YRD (middle) and PRD (bottom) urban clusters for 2003-2013, for SLP<1008 hPa (left) and SLP>1017 hPa (right). NaN at the cloud data color bar denote no values or less than 6 values in this bin.

Figure 6. MODIS AQUA, AOD-WV-CC for SLP<1008 hPa over a) Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei (BTH) urban cluster, b) Yangtze River Delta urban cluster (YRD), and c) Pearl River Delta (PRD), 2003-2013. The line graphs AOD-WV were calculated by averaging AOD and WV within 1cm WV bins for several 0.2 CC classes.


2003–2013
BTH
YRD
PRD
Beijing
Tianjin
Shijiazhuang
Shanghai
Guangzhou
95
100
105
110
115
120
125
40
35
30
25
20
Pearl River Delta (PRD)
100.0M
80.0M
60.0M
40.0M
20.0M
Yangtze River Delta (YRD)
100.0M
95.0M
90.0M
85.0M
Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei (BTH)
140M
130M
120M
110M
100M
1990
2000
2010
2020
Year
Population (Millions)
(Ratio of local AOD to global mean AOD)
0.0
2.0
4.0
6.0
8.0

Figure 1.



a)
Water Vapor (cm)
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1
Aerosol Optical Depth at 550 nm
Cloud Cover
1 0.9 0.8 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 NaN
b)
Cloud Cover
0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1
0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1
Aerosol Optical Depth at 550 nm
1 cm
2 cm
3 cm
4 cm
5 cm
6 cm
7 cm
8 cm
Water Vapor (cm)
c)
Water Vapor (cm)
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1
Aerosol Optical Depth at 550 nm
Cloud Top Pressure (hPa)
1000 900 800 700 600 500 400 300 200 100 NaN
d)
Cloud Top Pressure (hPa)
0 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 1000
0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1
Aerosol Optical Depth at 550 nm
1 cm
2 cm
3 cm
4 cm
5 cm
6 cm
7 cm
8 cm
Water Vapor (cm)
e)
Water Vapor (cm)
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1
Aerosol Optical Depth at 550 nm
Cloud Cover
1 0.9 0.8 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 NaN
f)
Cloud Cover
0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1
0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1
Aerosol Optical Depth at 550 nm
1 cm
2 cm
3 cm
4 cm
Water Vapor (cm)
g)
Water Vapor (cm)
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1
Aerosol Optical Depth at 550 nm
Cloud Top Pressure (hPa)
1000 900 800 700 600 500 400 300 200 100 NaN
h)
Cloud Top Pressure (hPa)
0 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 1000
0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1
Aerosol Optical Depth at 550 nm
1 cm
2 cm
3 cm
4 cm
Water Vapor (cm)

Figure 2.


a)
Water Vapor (cm)
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1
Aerosol Optical Depth at 550 nm
Cloud Cover
NaN 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1
b)
Cloud Cover
0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1
2 cm
3 cm
4 cm
5 cm
6 cm
7 cm
8 cm
Water Vapor (cm)
0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1
Aerosol Optical Depth at 550 nm
c)
Water Vapor (cm)
Cloud Top Pressure (hPa)
NaN 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 1000
Aerosol Optical Depth at 550 nm
d)
Cloud Top Pressure (hPa)
0 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 1000
2 cm
3 cm
4 cm
5 cm
6 cm
7 cm
8 cm
Water Vapor (cm)
Aerosol Optical Depth at 550 nm
e)
Water Vapor (cm)
Cloud Cover
Aerosol Optical Depth at 550 nm
f)
Cloud Cover
1 cm
2 cm
3 cm
4 cm
5 cm
6 cm
Water Vapor (cm)
Aerosol Optical Depth at 550 nm
g)
Water Vapor (cm)
Cloud Top Pressure (hPa)
Aerosol Optical Depth at 550 nm
h)
Cloud Top Pressure (hPa)
1 cm
2 cm
3 cm
4 cm
5 cm
Water Vapor (cm)
Aerosol Optical Depth at 550 nm

Figure 3.


a)
Water Vapor (cm)
Aerosol Optical Depth at 550 nm
Cloud Cover
NaN
b)
Cloud Cover
Aerosol Optical Depth at 550 nm
4 cm
5 cm
6 cm
7 cm
Water Vapor (cm)
c)
Water Vapor (cm)
Aerosol Optical Depth at 550 nm
Cloud Top Pressure (hPa)
NaN
d)
Cloud Top Pressure (hPa)
Aerosol Optical Depth at 550 nm
4 cm
5 cm
6 cm
7 cm
Water Vapor (cm)
e)
Water Vapor (cm)
Aerosol Optical Depth at 550 nm
Cloud Cover
NaN
f)
Cloud Cover
Aerosol Optical Depth at 550 nm
1 cm
2 cm
3 cm
4 cm
5 cm
Water Vapor (cm)
g)
Water Vapor (cm)
Aerosol Optical Depth at 550 nm
Cloud Top Pressure (hPa)
NaN
h)
Cloud Top Pressure (hPa)
Aerosol Optical Depth at 550 nm
1 cm
2 cm
3 cm
4 cm
5 cm
Water Vapor (cm)

Figure 4.


a)
b)
c)
d)
e)
f)
Cloud Cover
0.1
0.2
0.3
0.4
0.5
0.6
0.7
0.8
0.9
1
Aerosol Optical Depth at 550 nm
Water Vapor (cm)
NaN
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10

Figure 5.


a)
BTH
b)
YRD
c)
PRD
AOD at 550 nm
WV (cm)
0
0.1
0.2
0.3
0.4
0.5
0.6
0.7
0.8
0.9
1
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
Cloud Cover
0.0-0.2
0.2-0.4
0.4-0.6
0.6-0.8
0.8-1.0

Figure 6.