

1 **Influence of Synoptic Patterns on Surface Ozone Variability over**
2 **the Eastern United States from 1980 to 2012**

3

4 **L. Shen¹, L. J. Mickley¹ and A. P. K. Tai²**

5 [1] {School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA,
6 USA}

7 [2] {Earth System Science Programme and Graduate Division of Earth and Atmospheric
8 Sciences, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China}

9 Correspondence to: L. Shen (lshen@fas.harvard.edu)

10

11 **Abstract**

12 We investigate the effect of synoptic-scale weather patterns on observed maximum daily
13 8-hour average (MDA8) surface ozone over the eastern United States during 1980-2012
14 in summer (June-August, JJA). Zonally averaged, the relative standard deviation (SD) of
15 daily MDA8 JJA ozone shows a bimodal structure, with peaks at 28°-32°N and 40°-
16 45°N, and we show that those regions are most influenced by the variability in daily
17 weather. We apply Empirical Orthogonal Functions (EOFs) to understand the causes of
18 this structure. *The first three leading EOF patterns explain 53% of the total variance in*
19 *detrended surface ozone, displaying (1) a widespread response of ozone in the eastern*
20 *United States associated with north-south movement of jet wind latitude, (2) a north-*
21 *south pattern linked to the Bermuda High system when its west boundary is located along*
22 *the East coast, and (3) an east-west pattern characteristic of a westward extension of the*
23 *Bermuda High and an enhanced Great Plains low level jet (GPLLJ). The northern peak of*
24 *ozone relative SD can be explained by polar jet activity, while the southern peak appears*
25 *related to variability in the Bermuda High and GPLLJ. We define a new metric, polar jet*
26 *frequency, as the total number of days the jet traverses the Midwest and Northeast each*
27 *summer. In the Midwest and Northeast, we find that the correlation coefficient r between*

1 detrended mean JJA MDA8 ozone and the polar jet frequency ranges between -0.76 and
2 -0.93 over 1980-2012 depending on the time period selected, suggesting that polar jet
3 frequency could provide a simple metric to predict ozone variability in future climate
4 regimes. In the Southeast, the influence of the Bermuda High on mean JJA MDA8 ozone
5 depends on the location of its west edge. For those summers when the average position
6 of the west edge is located west of $\sim 85.4^\circ\text{W}$, a westward shift in the Bermuda High west
7 edge increases ozone in the Southeast by ~ 1 ppbv deg^{-1} in longitude. For all summers, a
8 northward shift in the Bermuda High west edge increases ozone over the entire eastern
9 United States by 1-2 ppbv deg^{-1} in latitude. None of the synoptic patterns identified in
10 this study show a significant trend from 1980 to 2012, confirming that the observed
11 ozone decrease over the eastern United States during this time period is mainly caused by
12 emission controls. Our work underscores the impact of synoptic patterns on ozone
13 variability and suggests that a combination of changing local and synoptic meteorology
14 together with trends in background ozone will determine the influence of climate change
15 on U.S. ozone air quality in future decades. The observed relationships of U.S. surface
16 ozone and synoptic circulations in this study can also be used to validate models of
17 atmospheric chemistry.

18

19 **1 Introduction**

20 Ozone is an important air pollutant with potentially large impacts on public health in
21 industrialized and developing regions around the world (Jacob and Winner, 2009;
22 Berman et al., 2012). Both emissions and weather affect surface ozone air quality. High
23 ozone pollution episodes are correlated with high temperatures, low wind speeds, clear
24 skies, and stagnant weather (Camalier et al., 2007; Jacob and Winner, 2009, and
25 references therein), and synoptic-scale weather patterns, with characteristic lengths of
26 $\sim 1,000$ km, can play a large role in controlling ozone variability (Turner et al., 2013; Zhu
27 and Liang, 2013; Logan, 1989). A key issue is to what extent long-term shifts in weather
28 patterns affect surface ozone and whether such shifts may work against ongoing
29 regulatory efforts to control ozone pollution, as has been suggested (e.g., Leibensperger
30 et al., 2008). Here we diagnose the synoptic-scale meteorological drivers of ozone air
31 pollution in the eastern United States, a region where emissions of ozone precursors have

1 declined dramatically since the 1980s (NEI,
2 <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/trends/index.html#tables>). Several observational studies
3 report significant decreases in surface ozone in recent years (e.g., Leibensperger et al.,
4 2008; Bloomer et al., 2009; Cooper et al., 2012). For example, Cooper et al. (2012)
5 found that afternoon surface ozone decreased by 0.45 ppbv a⁻¹ in the eastern United
6 States from 1990 to 2010. In our study, we test whether there are statistically significant
7 trends in synoptic-scale meteorology in the East over the recent decades, and if so,
8 whether such trends have either offset or enhanced the ozone air quality gains from
9 emission reductions. Our work has relevance for ozone air quality in the coming decades,
10 when a climate penalty could undercut regulatory efforts to control pollution (Wu et al.,
11 2008; Wang et al., 2013; Turner et al., 2013).

12 Previous research linking synoptic-scale circulation to ozone air quality in the
13 northeastern United States has mainly focused on the influence of cyclone frequency
14 (Eder et al., 1993; Vukovich et al., 1995; Hegarty et al., 2007). The cold fronts that
15 accompany cyclones crossing the southern Canada/ Great Lakes regions sweep across the
16 Northeast, pushing polluted air to the Atlantic and poleward (Leibensperger et al., 2008).
17 In their observational study, Leibensperger et al. (2008) reported a significant decrease in
18 summertime cyclone frequency in southern Canada over 1980-2006, which may have
19 worked against efforts to improve ozone air quality in the Northeast. This study appeared
20 to confirm earlier reports of a recent decline in midlatitude cyclone frequency (e.g.,
21 McCabe et al., 2001). Climate models have suggested that cyclone frequency could
22 continue to decrease under a future climate change regime (Mickley et al., 2004; Wu et
23 al., 2008; Turner et al., 2013) though large uncertainties exist in these projections (Lang
24 and Waugh, 2011).

25 More recent work has linked ozone air quality in the eastern United States to the position
26 of the polar jet. Barnes and Fiore (2013) found a strong dependence of surface ozone SD
27 on the mean June-July-August (JJA) latitude of the polar jet over eastern North America.
28 Changes in the latitude of the polar jet correspond to changes in the storm tracks that
29 cyclones follow as they traverse North America (Hudson, 2012; Archer et al., 2008),
30 which can have implications for ventilation of ozone pollution in the eastern United
31 States. Using a model, Barnes and Fiore (2013) determined that the variability of U.S.

1 surface ozone followed the robust poleward shift of the polar jet under future climate
2 change scenarios.

3 Ozone pollution in the eastern United States is also notably influenced by the behavior of
4 the quasi-permanent Bermuda High. The Bermuda High intensifies in summer, and its
5 west boundary can extend deep into the southeast United States (Li et al, 2011). In their
6 observational study, Fiore et al. (2003) found that southeast stagnation and increased
7 ozone pollution are linked to westward extension of the Bermuda High, a result
8 consistent with Eder et al. (1993). In their investigation of the impact of the Bermuda
9 High on U.S. air quality, Zhu and Liang (2013) tracked the difference in sea level
10 pressure (SLP) between the Gulf of Mexico and the southern Great Plains. Using this
11 difference as an index, they found that the strength of the Bermuda High is closely related
12 to that of the Great Plains low level jet (GPLLJ), a fast-moving current of air that brings
13 clean maritime air to the Gulf States but carries ozone pollution northward to the
14 Northeast. The role of Bermuda High in U.S. ozone pollution has been analyzed in many
15 studies (e.g., Eder et al., 1993; Fiore et al., 2003; Hogrefe et al., 2004; Hegarty et al.,
16 2007), but only Zhu and Liang (2013) have performed a quantitative analysis of this role
17 over a timescale longer than a decade. Using NCEP and ERA-40 Reanalysis data, both Li
18 et al. (2011) and L. Li et al. (2012) diagnosed a strengthening of the summertime
19 Bermuda High and a westward shift of its west edge over 1948-2007. Analysis of an
20 ensemble of models from the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP5) reveal
21 that the Bermuda High west edge is expected to shift westward by $\sim 5^\circ$ by 2100 due to the
22 stronger thermal contrast between land and ocean (W. Li et al., 2012; Li et al., 2013). The
23 consequences of such a shift on U.S. ozone air quality have not yet been examined.

24 Few chemistry-climate studies to date have documented either the model capability in
25 capturing the synoptic patterns important to ozone or the sensitivity of modeled ozone to
26 these patterns. For example, using the GFDL-AM3 model, Rasmussen et al. (2012)
27 evaluated only the relationship of ozone with local temperature and not with synoptic
28 patterns. Turner et al. (2013), however, found that this model underestimates the
29 dependence of ozone in the northeast United States on cyclone frequency. To our
30 knowledge, no model study has examined the effect of the westward extent of the
31 Bermuda High on calculated levels of ozone in the southeast United States. As noted by

1 Fiore et al. (2009) and Parrish et al. (2014), chemical transport models (CTMs) and
2 chemistry climate models (CCMs) have difficulty in simulating observed ozone
3 variability on both seasonal and multi-year timescales, and at least part of this difficulty
4 may be due to model deficiencies in the representation of synoptic patterns and their
5 impact on surface ozone.

6 In this study, we seek to refine our understanding of the role of synoptic-scale
7 meteorology on the interannual variation of surface ozone pollution in the eastern United
8 States from 1980 to 2012. We will also look for possible trends in the meteorological
9 drivers of ozone and examine the potential implications for future trends in light of
10 ongoing climate change. We will first apply Empirical Orthogonal Functions (EOFs) to
11 decompose the daily variability of surface JJA maximum daily average 8-hour (MDA8)
12 ozone in the eastern United States over 1980-2012. By examining correlations between
13 the EOF spatial patterns and key meteorological variables such as geopotential height or
14 zonal and meridional wind speed, we can interpret the causes of these patterns. As we
15 will see, ozone variability in the East is controlled by different modes of synoptic-scale
16 circulation, including the polar jet, GPLLJ, and Bermuda High. Our study aims to answer
17 the following questions:

- 18 (1) What is the percent contribution of synoptic meteorology (vs. local meteorology) to
19 the observed variability in U.S. surface ozone?
- 20 (2) Have trends in synoptic meteorology either offset or enhanced the ozone air quality
21 gains from emission reductions over the eastern United States in recent decades?
- 22 (3) What are the implications of changing synoptic meteorology for ozone air quality
23 under future climate regimes?

24

25 **2 Ozone and meteorological observations**

26 Hourly surface ozone concentrations from 1980 to 2012 are obtained from the EPA Air
27 Quality System (EPA-AQS, <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/airs/airsaqs/>). We converted the
28 hourly ozone data to daily maximum 8-hour average (MDA8) ozone, and then
29 interpolated onto $2.5^{\circ} \times 2.5^{\circ}$ resolution by averaging all observations within each grid cell.

1 For part of this study, MDA8 ozone from the EPA Clean Air Status and Trends Network
2 (CASTNET, <http://epa.gov/castnet/>) from 1990 to 2012 is also used. CASTNET sites are
3 mainly located in rural regions, where the anthropogenic influence is less (Cooper et al.,
4 2012).

5 The meteorological data used in this study consist of wind speed, geopotential height, and
6 sea level pressure (SLP) from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP)
7 Reanalysis 1 with a $2.5^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$ grid resolution (Kalnay et al., 1996). [To remove the effects
8 of intraseasonal variability in meteorology on daily ozone values, we obtain the
9 detrended daily anomaly in each grid cell by subtracting the 30-day moving average in
10 that cell from the daily means as in Tai et al. \(2010, 2012\).](#) For the seasonal ozone
11 values, the 7-year moving average is subtracted from the seasonal means. The choice of
12 seven years is arbitrary, but we find that it produces good correlations between surface
13 ozone and meteorological patterns. With ozone observations available only since 1980, it
14 is not appropriate to apply more complicated detrending methods. In detrending surface
15 ozone, our intent is to remove the influence of changing anthropogenic emissions of
16 ozone precursors. Emissions of natural ozone precursors, however, are highly dependent
17 on the interannual variability in meteorology, and their influence on ozone is preserved in
18 the detrended time series. [As discussed in Section 8 and Table 2, we find no significant
19 trends in these meteorological patterns.](#) We focus on JJA ozone, as summer is the season
20 of highest ozone concentrations for most of the United States.

21 Throughout this study, we use $p < 0.05$ as the threshold for statistical significance in our
22 calculations. More specifically, unless otherwise specified, all correlations reported here
23 are statistically significant at the 0.95 confidence level.

24

25 **3 Spatial patterns of temporal variability in daily JJA surface ozone in the** 26 **eastern United States**

27 As a first step, we investigate patterns of SD in observed summertime daily surface ozone
28 in the eastern United States in summer. Anthropogenic emissions show much less daily
29 variability than regional meteorology, so the ozone SD helps diagnose those regions
30 where synoptic meteorology plays a large role in controlling ozone levels. Both

1 CASTNET and AQS are used in this evaluation. Sites reporting less than 50% of
2 potential data in any given month are not counted toward our analysis for that summer.
3 We include all sites with at least one summer of observations, resulting in a network of
4 1670 sites for AQS over 1980-2012 and 72 sites for CASTNET over 1990-2012 in the
5 eastern United States.

6 Figure 1 reveals a north-south bimodal structure in the zonally averaged variability of
7 daily surface ozone across the eastern United States for a range of timespans. To
8 calculate ozone variability, we proceed as follows. First, we calculate the ozone SD and
9 relative daily SD for each site in each summer. The relative SD is obtained by dividing
10 the ozone SD by the mean JJA MDA8 ozone for that summer. Second, we average both
11 kinds of ozone SD over each 2° latitude bin across the eastern United States for each time
12 span. [The relative ozone SD can isolate much of the effect of different NO_x emission
13 levels by normalizing with mean JJA ozone.](#)

14 As shown in Fig. 1, both the absolute SD and the relative SD exhibit a bimodal structure
15 over a range of time spans in the AQS and CASTNET datasets. Peaks in absolute SD
16 appear between 29°-35°N and 39°-43°N, while peaks in the relative SD appear between
17 28°-32°N and 40°-45°N. From 1980 to 2012, the magnitudes of both kinds of SD
18 diminish due to reductions in the emissions of ozone precursors. The northern peak of SD
19 decreases more rapidly than the southern one (Fig. 1a and 1c), and this result can be
20 explained by the more dramatic NO_x decreases in the North (Russell et al., 2012). These
21 results are consistent with Bloomer et al. (2009), who found that the ozone-temperature
22 slopes decreased in all ozone percentiles after implementation of the 2002 NO_x controls,
23 and that these decreases were greatest for ozone in the higher percentiles. Our finding
24 that the relative SD declines over time (Fig. 1b and 1d) is also consistent with Bloomer et
25 al. (2012), as high levels of ozone drop more rapidly than does mean ozone. We also
26 examine the sensitivity of the bimodal structure to the AQS site types (rural, suburban
27 and urban) and find that the relative SD shows a clear bimodal structure for all time spans
28 and site types (Fig. S1). [The trend in emissions changes only the magnitude of relative
29 SD, with decreases at all latitudes, but it does not erase the bimodal structure.](#) Our results
30 suggest that the ozone relative SD provides a useful metric to gauge the influence of
31 meteorological variability on ozone even as anthropogenic emissions change over time.

1 The persistence of the bimodal structure in relative SD throughout the time period at all
2 AQS site types and at all CASTNET sites increases our confidence that these peaks
3 signify the influence of meteorology and not that of high emissions of anthropogenic
4 precursors.

5 Because the daily variability in anthropogenic emission is much smaller than that in
6 weather, the bimodal distribution of ozone SD implies that ozone in the northern and
7 southern edges of the eastern United States is especially sensitive to weather variability.

8 The northern peak of relative SD in the CASTNET data has previously been reported by
9 Barnes and Fiore (2013), who suggested that the polar jet wind latitude played a role in
10 formation of this peak. We will revisit the Barnes and Fiore (2013) result in Sect. 5 and
11 propose a mechanism linking the polar jet and surface ozone variability. We will also
12 demonstrate that the southern peak of ozone SD can be explained by the east-west shift of
13 Bermuda High west edge.

14 To facilitate explanation of the spatial patterns of ozone variability, we divide the eastern
15 United States into four regions, comprising the Midwest, Northeast, South Central and
16 Southeast (Fig. 2).

17

18 **4 Use of empirical orthogonal functions to diagnose drivers of daily ozone** 19 **variability**

20 We next examine the spatial patterns of ozone temporal variability in the eastern United
21 States through the use of Empirical Orthogonal Functions (EOFs), which are often
22 applied to analyze the variability of atmospheric variables (Eder et al., 1993; Fiore et al.,
23 2003; Weaver et al., 2008; Li et al., 2013). In our case, we use S ($n \times p$) to represent the
24 detrended daily MDA8 surface ozone concentration in the eastern United States over
25 1980-2012, where S refers to the ozone concentrations over n daily time steps in p grid
26 boxes. The ozone in each gridbox is detrended but not standardized. The temporal
27 covariance between different grid boxes can be written mathematically as $A=S^T S$. The
28 EOF spatial loadings are given by the eigenvectors of A , and the corresponding
29 eigenvalues reflect the portion of total variance explained by each EOF. Here we find that
30 the first three EOF patterns can individually explain 24%, 18%, and 11% of the variance

1 in surface ozone in the eastern United States, for a total of 53%. Because we are
2 interested in the role of synoptic-scale meteorology on surface ozone, we examine the
3 correlations of each EOF spatial pattern with key meteorological variables such as
4 geopotential height and zonal and meridional wind speed. [As we shall see, the use of
5 daily ozone in this EOF analysis provides a clearer picture of the synoptic scale
6 meteorological variables contributing to ozone variability.](#)

7 The first EOF pattern (EOF1), which explains 24% of the total variance in daily MDA8
8 ozone, displays a broad region of low ozone concentration across the eastern United
9 States, with particularly low values in the upper Midwest and Northeast (Fig. 3a).
10 Consistent with previous studies (Eder et al., 1993; Fiore et al., 2003; Leibensperger et
11 al., 2008), we find that EOF1 is associated with a low pressure system crossing the Great
12 Lakes region and accompanied by a cold front carrying clean air from Canada. Figure 3b
13 shows that the negative correlation of the principal components time series for the first
14 mode (PC1) and daily 500 hPa geopotential height is centered just southwest of the Great
15 Lakes region, indicating that the polar jet in this mode extends further south than its
16 climatological mean position and forms a trough. As a consequence, cold, clean air is
17 transported to the eastern United States in this mode, and polluted air is pushed off the
18 continent. This type of jet activity, closely associated with cold front passage, plays an
19 important role in pollutant ventilation in the Midwest and Northeast (Leibensperger et al.,
20 2008; Jacob and Winner, 2009). The resulting drop in temperature lengthens the lifetime
21 of peroxyacetylnitrate (PAN) and reduces the biogenic emission of isoprene, which
22 together also decrease ozone production. [Figure 3c shows the correlation between PC1
23 and daily mean 500 hPa wind speeds, as well as the composite 500 hPa wind anomaly
24 when EOF1 is expressed most strongly \(i.e., when the PC1 scores are positive and ozone
25 levels are low\).](#) The cyclonic anomalous winds, centered over Lake Michigan, sweep
26 over nearly all the eastern United States. The magnitude of this wind pattern oscillates
27 over time, in synchrony with the development and dissipation of cyclonic activity.

28 The second EOF pattern (EOF2), which explains 18% of the total variance in JJA daily
29 MDA8 ozone, exerts a significant northeast-southwest contrast in the eastern United
30 States. [Figure 3d implies that ozone levels over the Gulf States are anti-correlated with
31 those in the Northeast in this mode, with decreased ozone over the Gulf States](#)

1 accompanied by increased ozone over the Northeast and vice versa. Figure 3e reveals a
2 strong positive correlation of PC2 with the daily meridional wind speed, with the greatest
3 correlation centered in a swath extending northward from the southern Great Plains
4 toward the Great Lakes. Figure 3f shows the correlation of PC2 and 850 hPa geopotential
5 heights. The correlation changes from negative in the Midwest and Texas to positive in
6 the eastern United States, with the change in sign co-located with the strongest
7 correlations of PC2 and meridional transport. The composite wind anomaly associated
8 with the positive PC2 reveals strong onshore winds from the Gulf of Mexico, bringing
9 clean maritime air into the southern Great Plains. As these winds move northeastward,
10 they likely carry aged polluted air from the Midwest to the Northeast. This mechanism,
11 also identified by Fiore et al. (2003), accounts for the northeast-southwest EOF pattern in
12 Fig. 3d. The 850 hPa geopotential height is sometimes used to diagnose the Bermuda
13 High (e.g., Li et al., 2011). The pattern of wind anomalies in Fig. 3f implies that surface
14 ozone in the deep South is lowest when the western boundary lies at $\sim 85^\circ\text{W}$ longitude
15 and that either the westward or eastward shifts in this boundary increase ozone in this
16 region. As we shall see in Sect. 6, EOF2 is associated with westward expansion of the
17 Bermuda High.

18 The third EOF pattern (EOF3) explains 11% of the total variance in JJA daily MDA8
19 ozone and is characterized by increased ozone in the eastern coastal region coupled with
20 decreased ozone in the Great Plains (Fig. 3g). PC3 correlates with the daily 850 hPa wind
21 speeds in a swath extending from the southern Great Plains to the Great Lakes (Fig. 3h).
22 The positive correlation in the South likely represents the influence of GPLLJ, which
23 ventilates Texas and the central United States, replacing polluted air with clean air from
24 the Gulf. Here we define the GPLLJ as the meridional wind speed at 850 hPa averaged
25 over the region represented by the black rectangle of Fig. 3h ($26.25^\circ\text{-}36.25^\circ\text{N}$, 101.25°-
26 96.25°W). The daily correlation of JJA MDA8 ozone and GPLLJ is negative in the
27 southern Great Plains but positive in the Great Lakes region, mid-Atlantic states, and
28 Southeast as shown in Fig. S2, which suggests that the GPLLJ contributes to the observed
29 EOF3 pattern in the south but not in the north. Fig. 3i displays the correlation of the PC3
30 with daily 850 hPa geopotential height, revealing a strong positive relationship in the
31 Gulf region and a negative relationship in the Northeast. Comparison of Fig. 3e and 3f for

1 EOF2 with Fig. 3h and 3i for EOF3 suggests that the Bermuda High has extended further
2 west in the EOF3 case. Figure 3i also gives the composite wind anomaly associated with
3 positive PC3, revealing anti-cyclonic anomalous winds centered over Arkansas,
4 Mississippi and Louisiana. The anomalous winds are consistent with the enhanced
5 GPLLJ windspeeds to the west of the anticyclone (Fig. 3h). This transport pattern brings
6 clean maritime air into Texas and polluted air from the Midwest to the mid-Atlantic
7 states. It also fosters stagnant conditions in Louisiana/ Mississippi, increasing ozone there
8 as well.

9 The negative correlation of PC3 and geopotential height in the Northeast exists not just at
10 850 hPa, but also at 500 hPa (not shown), indicating that the reduced ozone in this region
11 is linked to a stronger polar jet. The EOF3 weather pattern thus connects the GPLLJ in
12 the southern Central Plains with polar jet activity in the Northeast. Our result is consistent
13 with Weaver et al. (2008), who found that the GPLLJ wind speed appears to be
14 influenced by large-scale circulation patterns.

15 As a test of our approach, we repeat the EOF analysis using mean JJA MDA8 ozone
16 fields, instead of daily mean fields. The top three EOF patterns for the 1980-2012 time
17 period using seasonal mean ozone are similar to those we derive using daily mean ozone
18 (Fig. S3). However the link between EOF3 and 850 hPa geopotential height in this
19 analysis is much weaker than what we find using daily ozone data (Fig. S3i vs. Fig. 3f),
20 and thus the analysis fails to identify the influence of the Bermuda High on ozone air
21 quality (Fig. S3). As we discuss in Sect. 6, the failure arises because the influence of the
22 Bermuda High on ozone varies nonlinearly with the location of the Bermuda High west
23 edge, and the monthly mean EOF analysis obscures this nonlinear relationship. However,
24 the daily dataset with its abundance of observations can more easily reveal this fine
25 structure in the EOF analysis.

26 In the following sections, we take advantage of information gleaned from the EOF
27 analysis to develop a set of metrics that quantify the relationships between surface ozone
28 and synoptic patterns. Such relationships can be implemented in a simple model and
29 readily applied to archive meteorological output from climate models. [Although we have
30 so far focused on daily ozone data, we now turn to seasonal mean ozone data. Patterns of](#)

1 many synoptic circulations are noisy on daily timescales, making it challenging to define
2 the metrics needed for our simple model. Such circulations include cyclone frequency
3 (Leipensperger et al., 2008), jet wind latitude (Barnes and Fiore, 2013), and the Bermuda
4 High west edge (Li et al., 2011, 2012). The windspeed of the GPLLJ is easier to
5 characterize on daily timescales, and we discuss the effects of daily GPLLJ windspeeds
6 and surface ozone in the Supplement (Fig. S2).

8 **5 The polar jet as an indicator of seasonal JJA surface ozone concentration in the** 9 **eastern United States**

10 The EOF1 pattern of daily ozone variability reveals the influence of the polar jet on
11 surface ozone variability in the Northeast and Midwest in the United States (Fig. 3a-c).
12 Here we test three polar jet indices to explore their utility in predicting surface ozone.
13 Care must be taken in constructing an index, as precursor emissions have varied greatly
14 over the past three decades. For example, ozone levels have declined in response to the
15 ~40% drop in U.S. power plant NO_x emissions beginning in 2002 (Kim et al., 2006;
16 Bloomer, 2008, 2009). Such rapid changes in emissions make it challenging to remove
17 their effects on ozone concentrations. As a check on our detrending method, we therefore
18 report the correlation of detrended JJA MDA8 ozone concentration and the three indices
19 over three time periods: 1980-2012 (the entire period), 1993-2012 (the last 20 years) and
20 2003-2012 (the last 10 years), all summarized in Table 1.

21 We define the first polar jet index as the mean JJA wind speed at 500 hPa pressure level
22 averaged over the Midwest and Northeast, as defined in Fig. 2. Figure 4 shows the time
23 series of mean JJA MDA8 ozone concentrations spatially averaged over these two
24 regions together with the normalized JJA mean 500 hPa polar jet wind speed, illustrating
25 a significant negative correlation between these two variables. The normalization
26 transforms the data to yield zero mean and unit variance, but preserves potential trends in
27 the windspeeds. The correlation coefficient r using detrended data is -0.70 over 1980-
28 2012, and increases for more recent time periods ($r = -0.79$ for 1993-2012 and $r = -0.82$
29 for 2003-2012). These correlations also increase over time when computed by decade,
30 from 0.7 to 0.9. The increasing correlation r in more recent decades can be partly

1 explained by the greater number of available observations per unit time, which decreases
2 the uncertainty in the calculated relationship between surface ozone and the polar jet
3 indices. In addition, the smaller correlations in the earlier time periods may reflect the
4 challenges in detrending surface ozone, as described above (Kim et al., 2006; Bloomer et
5 al., 2008, 2009). As shown in Fig. 3a-c, greater wind speeds aloft signify a southward
6 shift of the polar jet and faster ventilation, which inhibits ozone accumulation.

7 The second polar jet index is the polar jet frequency, here defined as the total number of
8 days the jet traverses the Midwest and Northeast each summer. To locate the polar jet
9 position on each day, we first divide the region between 25°N-60°N into 2.5° longitude
10 bands and then identify the grid box within each band with the greatest 500 hPa wind
11 speed. For each summer, we sum up the total number of days the polar jet crosses each
12 grid box. Figure 4 also shows the normalized time series of JJA mean polar jet wind
13 frequency in the Midwest and Northeast. Its correlation r with detrended JJA ozone
14 concentration is -0.76 over 1980-2012, and this anticorrelation strengthens in more recent
15 years, with $r = -0.87$ for 1993-2012 and $r = -0.93$ for 2003-2012. Our result implies that a
16 greater frequency of the polar jet wind traversing the Midwest and Northeast corresponds
17 to a lower JJA ozone concentration there.

18 For the third polar jet index, we track the mean JJA jet latitude over time. Following
19 Barnes and Fiore (2013), we define this index as the latitude of the JJA seasonal mean
20 maximum in zonal wind speed at 500 hPa over the Midwest and Northeast. We find that
21 a poleward shift of the polar jet latitude increases ozone concentrations in these two
22 regions. The correlation r of the detrended polar jet latitudes with detrended ozone
23 concentrations is 0.66 over 1980-2012, and, like the other indices proposed here,
24 strengthens in more recent decades, with $r = 0.74$ for 1993-2012 and 0.85 for 2003-2012.
25 Summertime cyclone frequency has been previously linked to ozone variability in the
26 Northeast (Leibensperger et al., 2008; Turner et al., 2013). We find that the three new
27 polar jet indices perform as well as or even better than cyclone frequency in predicting
28 JJA ozone variability in this region. As a test, we calculate the correlation of detrended
29 JJA MDA8 ozone concentrations averaged over the Midwest-Northeast with the
30 detrended cyclone frequency over the Great Lakes from Turner et al. (2013). The
31 calculation yields correlations of -0.69 for 1980-2010, -0.58 for 1993-2010 and -0.74 for

1 2003-2010, as shown in Table 1. Calculation of cyclone frequency typically requires use
2 of a complex storm tracking algorithm and meteorological fields with high temporal
3 frequency (e.g., 6-hourly). An advantage of our approach using polar jet indices to
4 diagnose ozone air quality is that construction of these indices requires only daily mean
5 winds at 500 hPa. Thus, this approach makes it significantly easier to project the
6 influence of climate change on ozone, using output routinely archived from climate
7 model simulations. In Sect. 8, we discuss potential trends in polar jet activity and the
8 implications for surface ozone.

9 10 **6 Westward extension of the Bermuda High and the impact on seasonal JJA** 11 **surface ozone**

12 The EOF2 and EOF3 patterns of JJA surface ozone suggest that the extent of the
13 Bermuda High influences JJA ozone variability in the eastern United States. The
14 Bermuda High induces a strong transport of clean air from the Gulf, which can
15 redistribute the pollutants inland (e.g., Fig. 3d and 3g); the Bermuda High can also create
16 stagnation in regions under high surface pressure (e.g., Fig. 3g). To quantify the influence
17 of the Bermuda High on ozone variability in the East, we build on the work of Li et al.
18 (2011) and introduce a new definition of the Bermuda High west edge. The west edge,
19 generally defined as a latitude-longitude point, serves as an index of the spatial extent of
20 this quasi-permanent high pressure system, and we will examine the relationships
21 between this index and surface ozone in the East.

22 Li et al. (2011) defined the Bermuda High west edge in summer as the crosspoint of the
23 JJA mean 1560-gpm isoline and the 850 hPa wind ridgeline. The ridgeline refers to the
24 roughly zonal line north of which the easterly trade winds turn westerly, and can be
25 written mathematically as $u = 0$ and $\partial u / \partial y \geq 0$. Use of the seasonal mean geopotential
26 height and ridgeline yields smoother fields and avoids the noisy irregularity inherent in
27 data of finer temporal resolution. Figure S4 shows the interannual variation of JJA 1560-
28 gpm contour lines at 850 hPa and the climatological location of Bermuda High west edge
29 over 1980-2012. Using the west edge as an index of the spatial extent of the Bermuda
30 High, Li et al. (2011) reported a westward shift of Bermuda High west edge from the mid
31 20th century (1948-1977) to the 1978-2007 period. L. Li et al. (2012) subsequently

1 argued that this westward shift could explain the enhanced variability of summer
2 precipitation in the southeast United States observed in the recent decades.

3 Here, however, we show that the spatially uniform trend in sea level pressure over much
4 of this region in recent decades reduce the utility of the Li et al. (2011) index of Bermuda
5 High behavior in explaining synoptic-scale circulation. Figure S5a reveals a uniform
6 decrease of sea level pressure of $\sim 4 \text{ hPa a}^{-1}$ over much of the United States and adjacent
7 waters of the Atlantic Ocean from 1980 to 2012, which in turn led to a uniform decrease
8 of geopotential height (not shown) over this region. The spatial uniformity of these
9 trends implies little change in the horizontal gradients of geopotential height, and thus
10 little change in synoptic-scale circulation. We find that the longitude of Bermuda High
11 west edge (BH-Lon), as defined by Li et al. (2011), shows a strong negative relationship
12 with the JJA mean SLP averaged over the Bermuda High region ($100^{\circ}\text{W}\sim 40^{\circ}\text{W}$,
13 $20^{\circ}\text{N}\sim 40^{\circ}\text{N}$), with r of -0.65 from 1948 to 2012 (Fig. S5b). Our result suggests that
14 $\sim 40\%$ of the variability in BH-Lon is caused by spatially uniform changes in sea level
15 pressure or geopotential height, which would have little or no direct effect on circulation
16 patterns.

17 To better characterize the influence of the Bermuda High west edge on synoptic-scale
18 circulation and thus surface ozone concentration, we proceed as follows. First, we
19 calculate the scaling factors in each year by dividing the 1948-2012 timeseries of mean
20 JJA 850-hPa geopotential heights averaged over the Bermuda High domain ($40^{\circ}\text{-}100^{\circ}\text{W}$,
21 $20^{\circ}\text{-}40^{\circ}\text{N}$) by the climatological mean height at that pressure level for this region (1564
22 gpm). Second, we divide the 850 hPa geopotential height in each gridbox by the scaling
23 factor in that year. As in Li et al. (2011), we then locate the Bermuda High west edge at
24 the cross point of the adjusted 1560-gpm isoline and the 850 hPa wind ridgeline. This
25 strategy is similar to that used by Li et al. (2013), in which the effect of thermal
26 expansion is removed before calculating trends in the Bermuda High west edge under
27 future climate regimes. We claim our approach allows for more skillful interpretation of
28 trends in circulation patterns, because the horizontal gradient in geopotential height
29 determines the circulation field rather than the isolines themselves. Removing the
30 spatially uniform changes in geopotential heights allows the Bermuda High west edge to
31 better reflect variability in the wind field. As evidence of this skill, our definition of BH-

1 Lon shows better capability in interpreting the interannual variability of GPLLJ than the
2 previous definition. Li et al. (2011) calculated a correlation r between BH-Lon and
3 GPLLJ over 1948-2012 of -0.28; our method yields $r = -0.59$. Below we refer to this new
4 definition as the scaled BH-Lon and the definition in Li et al. (2011) as the unscaled BH-
5 Lon. For our analysis of the influence of the Bermuda High on surface ozone, we use the
6 scaled BH-Lon.

7 Using the new definition for BH-Lon, we find that the westward shift in the Bermuda
8 High from the mid-20th century to more recent decades is much diminished compared to
9 that reported by Li et al. (2011). Figure 5 shows the time series of Bermuda High west
10 edges using these two different definitions. From 1948-1977 to 1978-2007, the Bermuda
11 High west edge moved westward by 4.8° according to Li et al. (2011) but only 1.2° in
12 this study. Over the more recent 1980-2012 time period, the BH-Lon trend is $0.35^\circ \text{ a}^{-1}$ (p
13 < 0.001) without scaling, but shows no significant trend using the definition in this study.

14 The skill of the Bermuda High west edge in explaining the variability of regional ozone
15 concentration is sensitive to the choice of geopotential isoline in the definition of this
16 index. Using the scaled BH-Lon, we first explore the interannual variability of a range
17 of different isolines at 850 hPa, from 1540 to 1575 gpm in 5-gpm increments, eight time
18 series of the Bermuda High west edges in all. We find that the 1560-gpm BH-Lon
19 exhibits the largest variability among all isolines as shown in Fig. S6, with a SD of $\sim 4^\circ$
20 over 1980-2012. As the 1560-gpm BH-Lon migrates west and east, it affects the
21 horizontal gradient of geopotential heights in the lower troposphere, signifying its
22 importance in modulating the regional climate in the Southeast. As we shall see, the sign
23 and magnitude of the influence of the Bermuda High west edge on U.S. surface ozone
24 varies from east to west. The 1980-2012 climatological median of 1560-gpm BH-Lon is
25 85.4°W . For simplicity, we thus define two regimes for the location of the western edge
26 of the Bermuda High: the West Regime to the west of 85.4°W , and the East Regime to
27 the east of this longitude. In the West Regime, the 1560-gpm BH-Lon is associated with
28 enhanced influence of the Bermuda High on the Southeast. In the East Regime, the 1560-
29 gpm BH-Lon is located to the east of 85.4°W , corresponding to a reduced Bermuda High
30 influence on the Southeast.

1 For the 17 summers in the West Regime during the 1980-2012 time period, we again test
2 the utility of using different geopotential isolines at 850 hPa in our index for the Bermuda
3 High, this time to determine which choice best predicts surface ozone variability. We
4 find that using the 1555-gpm isoline yields the best correlation of Bermuda High west
5 edges with mean JJA MDA8 ozone averaged across the Southeast. For the West Regime
6 summers, we therefore define the Bermuda west edge with this isoline of geopotential
7 height. Figures 6a and 6b show the response of mean JJA MDA8 ozone across the eastern
8 United States to westward and northward shifts of the adjusted BH-Lon for the 17 West
9 Regime summers. As the 1555-gpm BH-Lon extends westward, surface ozone increases
10 at a rate of ~ 1 ppbv deg^{-1} across much of the South, with the greatest positive response in
11 the Southeast. The correlations of 1555-gpm BH-Lon and JJA MDA8 ozone is ~ 0.7 in
12 the Southeast (Fig. S7a). A westward shift of the Bermuda High during West Regime
13 summers strengthens the anticyclonic circulation over the Southeast and reduces the
14 moisture flux from the Gulf to the land. These conditions lead, in turn, to more frequent
15 stagnation in the Southeast, with enhanced clear skies and warmer temperatures, thereby
16 increasing ozone production and accumulation. Figure 6b shows that as the latitude of the
17 Bermuda High (BH-Lat) shifts northward during West Regime summers, mean JJA
18 MDA8 ozone concentrations increase at a rate of ~ 2 ppbv deg^{-1} across the Southeast,
19 with a positive correlation between ozone and BH-Lon ranging from 0.6 to 0.7 (Fig.
20 S7b). A northward shift in BH-Lat subjects this region to high surface pressures
21 accompanied by warmer temperatures and increased stagnation, again enhancing surface
22 ozone production and accumulation as in the westward shift of BH-Lon.

23 For the 16 summers in the East Regime, the definition of the Bermuda High west edge
24 using the 1565-gpm isoline shows the best predicative capability, and is used to define
25 the Bermuda west edge. In contrast to the West Regime, Figure 6c indicates that a
26 westward shift of BH-Lon in this regime leads to reduced mean JJA MDA8 ozone at a
27 rate of 1-2 ppbv deg^{-1} across the East. The correlation between 1565-gpm BH-Lon and
28 ozone is about -0.7 in the Southeast (Fig. S7c). In this regime, a westward shift in BH-
29 Lon signifies that the western flank of the Bermuda High extends further inland,
30 enhancing the transport of clean air from the Gulf along the eastern seaboard. Figure 6d
31 displays the response of mean JJA MDA8 ozone to the northward shift of BH-Lat in the

1 East Regime, revealing a strong positive ozone response in the Midwest and Northeast, in
2 sharp contrast to the West Regime. There we find a positive correlation of $r = 0.40$ (p-
3 value < 0.12) between the JJA mean BH-Lat and geopotential height at 500 hPa for these
4 16 summers in the East Regime. This result suggests that a northward shift in BH-Lat in
5 the East Regime is sometimes accompanied by a poleward shift in the polar jet latitude,
6 which further enhances surface ozone in the northeastern United States.

7 **7 Variability in the Great Plains low level jet and implications for seasonal JJA** 10 **surface ozone**

11 Here we examine more closely the role of the GPLLJ on ozone in the West and East
12 Regime summers. We find that the response of surface ozone to variability in the GPLLJ
13 depends in part on the location of the Bermuda High west edge. Figure 7a shows the
14 slopes of the linear relationships of mean JJA MDA8 ozone concentrations to the GPLLJ
15 wind speed for summers in the West Regime over 1980-2012. As before, we define the
16 GPLLJ wind speed as the meridional wind speed at 850 hPa over the southern Great
17 Plains, as indicated in the red rectangle of Fig. 7a. The plot displays significant positive
18 slopes of ~ 4 ppbv $m^{-1}s$ in the Southeast and negative slopes in the southern Great Plains.
19 The enhanced GPLLJ ventilates the southern Great Plains, coincident with the westward
20 shift of the Bermuda High west edge and greater stagnation in the Southeast under higher
21 surface pressures. The correlation coefficient r of GPLLJ and MDA8 ozone is as high as
22 0.7 for some grid boxes in the Southeast, reflecting the good predictive capability of the
23 speed of the GPLLJ for ozone variability in the West Regime. However, in the East
24 Regime, the influence of the Bermuda High on the eastern United States is reduced.
25 Ventilation by the GPLLJ then becomes the dominant influence on surface ozone across
26 much of the East, and we find negative slopes of mean JJA MDA8 ozone concentration
27 and the GPLLJ wind speed over most of the domain (Fig. 7b). [In the shorter timeframe of](#)
28 [1993-2008, Zhu and Liang \(2013\) found that the GPLLJ could bring clean maritime air to](#)
29 [the Gulf States while transporting ozone pollution from Midwest to the Northeast and](#)
30 [promoting greater stagnation in the Southeast.](#) Our study suggests that the influence of
31 the GPLLJ can be decomposed into two parts, according to the location of Bermuda High

1 west edge. In the West Regime, GPLLJ ventilates the South Central states and the
2 westward shift of Bermuda High leads to stagnation in the Southeast, consistent with Zhu
3 and Liang (2013). In the East Regime, the influence of Bermuda High is largely reduced
4 and the ventilation by GPLLJ is dominant over the eastern United States.

6 **8 Percent variability in mean seasonal JJA MDA8 ozone explained by synoptic** 7 **patterns and trend analysis.**

8 In this section, we quantify the percentage of interannual variability in mean JJA MDA8
9 ozone that can be explained by the combination of the synoptic patterns identified in this
10 study. We look in particular to see where surface ozone is best explained by these
11 patterns. This step is essential to demonstrate (1) the importance of synoptic scale
12 meteorology to surface ozone and (2) the need to test the sensitivity of modelled ozone to
13 changing meteorological patterns, especially in chemistry-climate studies. We also
14 examine the observed meteorological data for trends in the key synoptic patterns.
15 Previous studies have reported sometimes contradictory results regarding such trends
16 (e.g., Leibensperger et al., 2008; Turner et al., 2013). Improved knowledge of existing
17 trends in synoptic scale patterns will allow us to assess to what degree the observed
18 decline in U.S. surface ozone can be attributed to cuts in precursor emissions or to
19 meteorology. If trends in synoptic patterns important to ozone levels have indeed
20 occurred and can be traced to ongoing climate change, such information would benefit
21 policymakers as they plan ahead for future air quality. While future cuts in emissions of
22 ozone precursors could greatly improve air quality, it is not known to what extent
23 policymakers should take the “climate penalty” into account.

24 We first construct a multiple linear regression model to correlate mean JJA MDA8 ozone
25 and three indices of synoptic-scale circulation: the JJA polar jet frequency and the mean
26 JJA longitude and latitude of the Bermuda High west edge. The model is of the form

$$27 \quad \begin{aligned} ozone = & Jet-freq + 1555-gpm \text{ BH-Lon} \times I(west) + 1555-gpm \text{ BH-Lat} \times I(west) \\ & + 1565-gpm \text{ BH-Lon} \times I(east) + 1565-gpm \text{ BH-Lat} \times I(east) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

28 where *ozone* refers to mean JJA MDA8 ozone in ppbv for each grid box, *Jet-freq* is the
29 JJA jet frequency in the Midwest and Northeast in count grid⁻¹ summer⁻¹, *I(West)* and
30 *I(East)* are indicators of West Regime and East Regime based on the Bermuda High west

1 edge, 1555-gpm and 1565-gpm are the isolines used to calculate Bermuda High west
2 edge, and *BH-Lon* and *BH-Lat* are the mean JJA longitudes and latitudes of the Bermuda
3 High west edge as determined for the relevant regime, with both longitudes West and
4 latitudes North assigned positive values. We use a stepwise procedure to delete terms in
5 Eqs. (1) based on the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) (Venables and Ripley, 2003).
6 Figure 8a compares the model predictions for mean JJA MDA8 ozone with observations
7 over the 1980-2012 time period across the eastern United States. We find the greatest
8 coefficients of determination (R^2) in the Midwest and parts of the Northeast and
9 Southeast, where the polar jet and the Bermuda High west edge together explain 50-80%
10 of the interannual variability of mean JJA MDA8 ozone. Timeseries of observed and
11 predicted mean JJA MDA8 ozone reveal that these two synoptic patterns explain 71% of
12 the total variance in the Midwest, 59% in the Northeast, 53% in the South Central and
13 59% in the Southeast (Fig. 8b-8d).

14 We find no significant trend of the identified synoptic patterns over the eastern United
15 States for the 1980-2012 period (Table 2). Our results thus support the conclusion of
16 Cooper et al. (2012) that the observed decrease in afternoon surface ozone from 1990 to
17 2010 was likely caused by tightening emission controls and not by trends in meteorology.
18 Our work is consistent with Bloomer et al. (2010), which found that surface ozone at five
19 CASTNET sites in the eastern United States declined from 1989 to 2007, despite a
20 warming trend ($\sim 0.5 \text{ }^\circ\text{C decade}^{-1}$) in daytime temperatures. Consistent with the
21 Leibensperger et al. (2008) trend analysis of JJA cyclones crossing Canada, we find a
22 significant decrease of the polar jet frequency ($-0.099 \text{ count a}^{-1}$, $p < 0.1$) and polar jet
23 wind speed ($-0.067 \text{ m s}^{-1} \text{ a}^{-1}$, $p < 0.05$) over the 1980-2006 time period. However, we find
24 no significant trend in these variables over the extended time period of 1980-2012.
25 Turner et al. (2013) reported more frequent midlatitude cyclones crossing the eastern
26 United States since 2006; consistent with that result, we find increased polar jet
27 frequency since then. [Reasons for these short-term variations in polar jet indices are](#)
28 [unknown; the variations may be simply caused by natural variability.](#)

29

1 **9 Discussion and conclusions**

2 We investigate the effect of synoptic meteorology on the daily and interannual variability
3 of JJA surface ozone in the United States by using observations from EPA AQS and the
4 NCEP/NCAR Reanalysis. We identify a bimodal structure in the zonally averaged SD of
5 daily JJA MDA8 ozone over the East, with peaks occurring in the 30°-35°N and 39°-
6 43°N latitude bands, roughly corresponding to the Northeast/Midwest and the deep
7 South/Gulf Coast regions. This pattern of variability identifies those regions where
8 surface ozone is the most affected by daily meteorological variability. The pattern is also
9 consistent with the day-to-day variability of detrended daily JJA MDA8 ozone in the
10 eastern United States diagnosed with Empirical Orthogonal Functions (EOF). The first
11 three leading EOF patterns consist of (1) a widespread response of ozone in the eastern
12 United States associated with north-south movement of jet wind latitude, (2) a north-
13 south pattern associated with the Bermuda High system with its west boundary being
14 located in the coastal regions, (3) an east-west pattern linked to the westward extension of
15 the Bermuda High and enhanced low level jet transport. EOF3 is also associated with a
16 trough in the polar jet wind over the Northeast. Our results reveal that the northern peak
17 of ozone variability in the eastern United States can be explained by the polar jet wind
18 activity and associated cold fronts, while the southern peak can be explained by east-west
19 shifts in the Bermuda High west edge and associated activity of the Great Plains Low
20 Level Jet (GPLLJ). None of the three identified synoptic circulations show significant
21 trends in the 1980-2012 timeframe. Our result supports the conclusion in Cooper et al.
22 (2012) that the observed decreasing ozone trend is mainly caused by emission control.

23 We find that a higher frequency of the polar jet wind traversing the Midwest and
24 Northeast corresponds to lower surface ozone concentrations. The correlation coefficient
25 r of detrended mean JJA MDA8 ozone and polar jet frequency is -0.76 over 1980-2012, -
26 0.87 over 1993-2012 and -0.93 over 1993-2012. The strong interannual correlation of
27 surface MDA8 ozone with polar jet frequency recommends its use as a relatively simple
28 metric to diagnose the effect of climate change on ozone. Previously, the relationship
29 between surface ozone and cyclone frequency has been used to predict ozone variability
30 (Leibensperger et al., 2008; Turner et al., 2012), but calculation of this relationship
31 requires finely time-resolved (≤ 6 hourly) fields of sea level pressure and other variables.

1 In contrast, only the daily mean wind field at 500 hPa is needed to calculate the polar jet
2 frequency. Climate models routinely archive daily mean meteorological fields, but not
3 fields of higher temporal resolution. For this reason, the polar jet frequency could prove
4 to be a useful metric to predict future ozone air quality in multi-model climate projections
5 such as the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP).

6 We also demonstrate that the influence of the Bermuda High on surface ozone depends
7 on the location of its west edge. In East Regime summers, when the mean longitude of
8 the Bermuda High west edge is east of 85.4°W, westward movement of the Bermuda
9 High decreases mean JJA MDA8 ozone in the eastern United States by 1-2 ppbv deg⁻¹ in
10 longitude. This influence is due to enhanced flux of clean maritime air onto land as the
11 western flank of the Bermuda High approaches the continent. In West Regime summers,
12 when the mean longitude of the Bermuda High west edge is west of 85.4°W, westward
13 movement of the Bermuda High west edge increases ozone by ~2 ppbv deg⁻¹ in longitude
14 in the Southeast. In this regime, the Bermuda High extends far inland, strengthening the
15 anticyclonic circulation over the Southeast and reducing the flux of clean maritime air.
16 As a consequence, the Southeast experiences greater air mass stagnation, clear skies, and
17 higher temperatures, all of which favor ozone production and accumulation. Our work
18 goes beyond Zhu and Liang (2013) by showing that the response of surface ozone to
19 variability in the GPLLJ depends on the location of Bermuda High west edge. As with
20 polar jet frequency, the influence of the Bermuda High on U.S. surface ozone in a future
21 atmosphere could be easily diagnosed from climate model projections.

22 [Afternoon surface ozone decreased at a rate of 0.45 ppbv a⁻¹ over the eastern United](#)
23 [States during the 1990-2010 period \(Cooper et al., 2012\).](#) [Previously, the trends in](#)
24 [surface temperature had been explored as a possible driver of this trend \(Cooper et al.,](#)
25 [2012\).](#) We extend this work by searching for trends in the synoptic-scale meteorological
26 patterns identified here as key influences on U.S. surface ozone. We do not find
27 statistically significant trends for either the polar jet frequency or the extent of the
28 Bermuda High over 1980-2012, confirming the hypothesis of Cooper et al. (2012) that
29 the observed decrease in afternoon surface ozone across the East is mainly due to stricter
30 emission controls. Future climate change, however, may bring large changes in the

1 synoptic patterns described here. For example, in their model study, Barnes and Fiore
2 (2013) detected a $\sim 2^\circ$ poleward shift of the JJA polar jet wind in the northeastern United
3 States in the Representative Concentration Pathway 4.5 (RCP4.5) over the 21st century.
4 For a similar timeframe in RCP4.5, Li et al (2013) calculated a $\sim 5^\circ$ westward shift of the
5 Bermuda High west edge due to stronger thermal contrast between land and ocean, a
6 consequence of climate change previously suggested by W. Li et al. (2012). Our results
7 suggest that such trends, if realized in the future atmosphere, could seriously degrade
8 ozone air quality over the eastern United States.

9 Our work identifies the synoptic patterns that strongly influence the variability of U.S.
10 surface ozone, and it provides a set of metrics that may be used to evaluate the skill of
11 CTMs and CCMs in capturing this influence. By testing the sensitivity of modeled ozone
12 to the synoptic patterns we identify here, a clearer picture of the causes of model
13 discrepancies should emerge.

14 This work quantifies the sensitivity of ozone air quality in the eastern United States to the
15 major patterns in synoptic-scale circulation. However, local meteorological conditions
16 (e.g., Bloomer et al., 2007) and background ozone levels (e.g., Fiore et al., 2002; Wu et
17 al., 2008; Wang et al., 2009) also influence ozone variability in the East, and future
18 climate change could alter these other factors as well. A complete picture of ozone air
19 quality in the coming decades in this region thus requires consideration of all three
20 factors and quantification of their percent contributions to potential change. Our work
21 confirms that the influence of regional meteorology on surface ozone is strong, and that
22 future climate change could offset the air quality gains made by planned reductions of
23 ozone precursor emissions (e.g., NRC, 1991; Wu et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2013).

24

25 **Acknowledgments**

26 This work was supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA
27 Air Quality Applied Sciences Team and NASA-MAP grant NNX13AO08G) and by the
28 National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIH grant R21ES022585).

29

30 **References**

1 Archer, C. L., and Caldeira, K.: Historical trends in the jet streams, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*,
2 35, L08803, doi:10.1029/2008GL033614, 2008.

3 Barnes, E. A. and Fiore, A. M.: Surface ozone variability and the jet position:
4 Implications for projecting future air quality, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 40,
5 doi:10.1002/grl.50411, 2013.

6 Berman, J. D., Fann, N., Hollingsworth, J. W., Pinkerton, K. E., Rom, W. N., Szema, A.
7 M., Breyse, P. N., White, R. H. and Curriero, F. C.: Health benefits from large-scale
8 ozone reduction in the United States, *Environ. Health Perspect.*, 120(10), 1404-1410,
9 2012.

10 Bloomer, B. J., Stehr, J. W., Piety, C. A., Salawitch, R. J., and Dickerson, R.
11 R.: Observed relationships of ozone air pollution with temperature and emissions,
12 *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 36, L09803, doi:10.1029/2009GL037308, 2009.

13 Bloomer, B. J., Vinnikov, K. Y., and Dickerson, R. R.: Changes in seasonal and diurnal
14 cycles of ozone and temperature in the eastern us, *Atmos. Environ.*, 44, 2543-2551,
15 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.04.031, 2010.

16 Camalier, L., Cox, W., and Dolwick, P.: The effects of meteorology on ozone in urban
17 areas and their use in assessing ozone trends, *Atmos. Environ.*, 41, 7127-7137,
18 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.04.061, 2007.

19 Cooper, O. R., Gao, R. S., Tarasick, D., Leblanc, T., and Sweeney, C.: Long-term ozone
20 trends at rural ozone monitoring sites across the United States, 1990–2010, *J. Geophys.*
21 *Res.*, 117, D22307, doi:10.1029/2012JD018261, 2012.

22 Eder, B. K., Davis, J. M., and Bloomfield, P.: A characterization of the spatiotemporal
23 variability of non-urban ozone concentrations over the eastern United States, *Atmos.*
24 *Environ.*, 27(16), 2645-2668, 1993.

25 Fiore, A. M., Jacob, D., Bey, I., Yantosca, R., Field, B., Fusco, A., and Wilkinson, J.:
26 Background ozone over the United States in summer: origin, trend, and contribution to
27 pollution episodes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, 4275, doi:10.1029/2001JD000982, 2002.

1 Fiore, A. M., Jacob, D. J., Mathur, R., and Martin, R. V.: Application of empirical
2 orthogonal functions to evaluate ozone simulations with regional and global models, *J.*
3 *Geophys. Res.*, 108(D14), 4431, doi:10.1029/2002JD003151, 2003.

4 Fiore, A. M., Dentener, F. J., Wild, O., Cuvelier, C., Schultz, M. G., Hess, P., Textor, C.,
5 Schulz, M., Doherty, R. M., Horowitz, L. W., MacKenzie, I. A., Sanderson, M. G.,
6 Shindell, D. T., Stevenson, D. S., Szopa, S., Van Dingenen, R., Zeng, G., Atherton, C.,
7 Bergmann, D., Bey, I., Carmichael, G., Collins, W. J., Duncan, B. N., Faluvegi, G.,
8 Folberth, G., Gauss, M., Gong, S., Hauglustaine, D., Holloway, T., Isaksen, I. S. A.,
9 Jacob, D. J., Jonson, J. E., Kaminski, J. W., Keating, T. J., Lupu, A., Marmer, E.,
10 Montanaro, V., Park, R. J., Pitari, G., Pringle, K. J., Pyle, J. A., Schroeder, S., Vivanco,
11 M. G., Wind, P., Wojcik, G., Wu, S., and Zuber, A.: Multimodel estimates of
12 intercontinental source-receptor relationships for ozone pollution, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114,
13 D04301, doi:10.1029/2008JD010816, 2009.

14 Hegarty, J., Mao, H., and Talbot, R.: Synoptic controls on summertime surface ozone in
15 the northeastern United States, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D14306,
16 doi:10.1029/2006JD008170, 2007.

17 Hogrefe, C., Biswas, J., Lynn, B., Civerolo, K., Ku, J. Y., Rosenthal, J., Rosenzweig, C.,
18 Goldberg, R. and Kinney, P. L.: Simulating regional-scale ozone climatology over the
19 eastern United States: Model evaluation results, *Atmos. Environ.*, 38, 2627 – 2638, 2004.

20 Hudson, R. D.: Measurements of the movement of the jet streams at midlatitudes, in the
21 Northern and Southern Hemispheres, 1979 to 2010, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 7797-7808,
22 doi:10.5194/acp-12-7797-2012, 2012.

23 Jacob, D. J., and Winner, D. A.: Effect of climate change on air quality, *Atmos.*
24 *Environ.*, 43(1), 51-63, 2009.

25 Kalnay, E., et al.: The NMC/NCAR CDAS/Reanalysis Project, *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*,
26 77, 437–471, 1996.

27 Kim, S. W., Heckel, A., McKeen, S. A., Frost, G. J., Hsie, E. Y., Trainer, M. K., Richter,
28 A., Burrows, J. P., Peckham, S. E., and Grell, G. A.: Satellite-observed US power plant

1 NO_x emission reductions and their impact on air quality, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33,
2 L22812, doi:10.1029/2006gl027749, 2006.

3 Lang, C. and Waugh, D. W.: Impact of climate change on the frequency of Northern
4 Hemisphere summer cyclones, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 116, D04103,
5 doi:10.1029/2010JD014300, 2011.

6 Leibensperger, E. M., Mickley, L. J., and Jacob, D. J.: Sensitivity of US air quality to
7 midlatitude cyclone frequency and implications of 1980–2006 climate change, *Atmos.*
8 *Chem. Phys.*, 8, 7075–7086, doi:10.5194/acp-8-7075-2008, 2008.

9 Li, L., Li, W., and Kushnir, Y.: Variation of North Atlantic Subtropical High western
10 ridge and its implication to the Southeastern US summer precipitation, *Clim. Dyn.*, 39,
11 1401–1412, 2012.

12 Li, L., Li, W., and Deng, Y., Summer rainfall variability over the Southeastern United
13 States and its intensification in the 21st century as assessed by CMIP5 models, *J.*
14 *Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 118, 340–354, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50136, 2013.

15 Li, W., Li, L., Fu, R., Deng, Y., and Wang, H.: Changes to the North Atlan- tic
16 subtropical high and its role in the intensification of summer rainfall variability in the
17 Southeastern United States, *J. Climate* 24, 1499–1506, 2011.

18 Li, W., Li, L., Ting, M., and Liu, Y.: Intensification of Northern Hemisphere subtropical
19 highs in a warming climate, *Nat. Geosci.* 5, 830–834, 2012.

20 Logan, J. A. : Ozone in rural areas of the United States, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 94(D6), 8511-
21 8532, 1989.

22 McCabe, G. J., Clark, M. P., and Serreze, M. C.: Trends in Northern Hemisphere surface
23 cyclone frequency and intensity, *J. Clim.*, 14, 2763–2768, 2001.

24 Mickley, L. J., Jacob, D. J., Field, B. D., and Rind, D.: Effects of future climate change
25 on regional air pollution episodes in the United States, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 31, L24103,
26 doi:10.1029/2004GL021216, 2004.

1 National Research Council: *Rethinking the Ozone Problem in Urban and Regional Air*
2 *Pollution*, Committee on Tropospheric Ozone Formation and Measurement, Natl. Acad.
3 Press, Washington, D. C., 1991.

4 Parrish, D. D., Lamarque, J.-F., Naik, V., Horowitz, L., Shindell, D. T., Staehelin, J.,
5 Derwent, R., Cooper, O. R., Tanimoto, H., Volz-Thomas, A., Gilge, S., Scheel, H.-E.,
6 Steinbacher, M., and Fröhlich, M.: Long-term changes in lower tropospheric baseline
7 ozone concentrations: Comparing chemistry-climate models and observations at northern
8 midlatitudes, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 119, 5719–5736, doi:10.1002/2013JD021435,
9 2014.

10 Rasmussen, D. J., Fiore, A. M., Naik, V., Horowitz, L. W., McGinnis, S. J., and Schultz,
11 M. G.: Surface ozone-temperature relationships in the eastern us: A monthly climatology
12 for evaluating chemistry-climate models, *Atmos. Environ.*, 47, 142–153,
13 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.11.021, 2012.

14 Russell, A. R., Valin, L. C., and Cohen, R. C.: Trends in OMI NO₂ observations over the
15 United States: effects of emission control technology and the economic recession, *Atmos.*
16 *Chem. Phys.*, 12, 12197-12209, doi:10.5194/acp-12-12197-2012, 2012.

17 Tai, A. P. K., Mickley, L. J., and Jacob, D. J.: Correlations between fine particulate
18 matter (PM_{2.5}) and meteorological variables in the United States: Implications for the
19 sensitivity of PM_{2.5} to climate change, *Atmos. Environ.*, 44, 3976–3984, 2010.

20 Tai, A. P. K., Mickley, L. J., Jacob, D. J., Leibensperger, E. M., Zhang, L., Fisher, J. A.,
21 and Pye, H. O. T.: Meteorological modes of variability for fine particulate matter
22 (PM_{2.5}) air quality in the United States: implications for PM_{2.5} sensitivity to climate
23 change, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 3131–3145, doi:10.5194/acp- 12-3131-2012, 2012.

24 Turner, A. J., Fiore, A. M., Horowitz, L. W., and Bauer, M.: Summertime cyclones over
25 the Great Lakes Storm Track from 1860–2100: variability, trends, and association with
26 ozone pollution, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13(2), 565-578, 2013.

27 Venables, W.N. and Ripley, B.D.: *Modern Applied Statistics with S*. Springer, New
28 York, NY, USA, 2003.

- 1 Vukovich, F. M.: Regional-scale boundary layer ozone variations in the eastern United
2 States and their association with meteorological variations, *Atmos., Environ.*, 29(17),
3 2259-2273, 1995.
- 4 Wang, Y., Shen, L., Wu, S., Mickley, L., He, J. and Hao, J.: Sensitivity of China's ozone
5 air quality to 2000-2050 global changes of climate and emissions, *Atmos. Env.*, 75, 374-
6 382, 2013.
- 7 Wang, H., Jacob, D. J., Le Sager, P., Streets, D. G., Park, R. J., Gilliland, A. B., and van
8 Donkelaar, A.: Surface ozone background in the United States: Canadian and Mexican
9 pollution influences, *Atmos. Environ.*, 43, 1310–1319, 2009.
- 10 Weaver, S. J., and Nigam, S.: Variability of the Great Plains low-level jet: Large-scale
11 circulation context and hydroclimate impacts, *J. Climate*, 21, 1532–1551, 2008.
- 12 Wu, S., Mickley, L. J., Leibensperger, E. M., Jacob, D. J., Rind, D., and Streets, D.
13 G.: Effects of 2000–2050 global change on ozone air quality in the United States, *J.*
14 *Geophys. Res.*, 113, D06302, doi:10.1029/2007JD008917, 2008.
- 15 Zhu, J., and Liang, X. Z.: Impacts of the Bermuda High on regional climate and ozone
16 over the United States, *J. Climate*, 26(3), 1018-1032, 2013.

1 **Figures and Tables**

2

3 Table 1. The correlation coefficients r of regionally detrended¹ mean JJA MDA8 ozone
4 concentration and different meteorological factors in the Midwest and Northeast over
5 different time periods. See text for definitions of these factors. All correlations are
6 statistically significant at the 0.05 level.

Meteorological factors	Timeframe		
	1980-2012	1993-2012	2003-2012
Jet Frequency	-0.76	-0.87	-0.93
500 hPa wind speed	-0.70	-0.79	-0.82
Jet latitude	0.66	0.74	0.85
	1980-2010	1993-2010	2003-2010
Cyclone Frequency ²	-0.69	-0.58	-0.74

7 ¹ Data are detrended by subtracting the 7-year moving average from the original data.

8 ² The 1980-2010 timeseries of JJA cyclone frequencies is from Turner et al. [2013],
9 calculated over the Great Lakes (70°W-90°W, 40°N-50°N) using NCEP Reanalysis 1
10 from the MAP Climatology of Mid-latitude Storminess and a cyclone tracking algorithm.
11 Cyclone frequencies for 2011 and 2012 are not available.

1 Table 2. Linear trends of the synoptic patterns examined in this study over the eastern
 2 United States for different time periods.

	Behavior of the polar jet in the midwest-northeast United States			Bermuda High west edge ²		Wind speed of the Great Plains low level jet ⁴
	Jet frequency (count a ⁻¹)	Jet wind speed (m s ⁻¹ a ⁻¹)	Jet latitude ¹ (deg a ⁻¹)	BH-Lon ³ (deg a ⁻¹)	BH-Lat ⁴ (deg a ⁻¹)	(m s ⁻¹ a ⁻¹)
1948-2012	-0.011	-0.0023	-0.023	-8.2×10 ⁻³	-0.015	3.2×10 ⁻³
1980-2012	-0.020	-0.027	0.040	0.085	-0.016	-7.3×10 ⁻⁵
1980-2006	-0.099*	-0.067**	0.083	0.21	-0.032	-0.026

3 ¹ Positive values denote northward shift of polar jet.

4 ² The Bermuda High west edge is defined as the cross point of the 1560-gpm isoline and
 5 the 850 hPa wind ridge line.


6 ³ Longitude of Bermuda High west edge.

7 ⁴ Latitude of Bermuda High west edge.

8 ⁵ Wind speed is averaged over the region denoted by the red rectangle in Fig. 7.

9 ** An asterisk indicates the trend is significant (p < 0.05).

10 * An asterisk indicates the trend is significant (p < 0.10).




1

2 **Figure 1.** Latitudinal variation of zonal mean ozone standard deviation (a, c) and relative
 3 standard deviation (b, d) from CASTNET (a, b) and AQS (c, d), averaged between
 4 100°W and 65°W longitude and binned to 2° intervals in latitude. All values are for JJA
 5 mean MDA8 ozone. The different colors denote different 5-year time intervals, except for
 6 the most recent interval, which is 8 years in length.

7

1




2


3

Figure 1. U.S. regions used to study the variability of JJA surface ozone over 1980-2012.


4




1
 2 Figure 3. EOF loadings of daily JJA MDA8 ozone from 1980 to 2012 and their
 3 correlations with selected meteorological variables. The top panels show (a) the spatial
 4 loadings of the first EOF pattern (EOF1) and the correlations r between the principal
 5 components time series for the first mode (PC1) and (b) daily mean 500 hPa geopotential
 6 heights and (c) daily mean 500 hPa wind speeds. Composite 500 hPa wind anomalies
 7 associated with positive PC1 are shown as black arrows in Panel (c). Panel (d) is same as
 8 (a), but for the second EOF pattern (EOF2). Also shown are the correlations between PC2
 9 and (e) daily mean 850 hPa meridional wind speed and (f) daily mean 850 hPa
 10 geopotential height. The composite 850 hPa wind anomalies with positive PC2 are shown
 11 as black arrows in Panel (f). Panel (g) is same as (a), but for the third EOF pattern
 12 (EOF3). Correlations are shown between the PC3 and (h) 850 hPa wind speeds and (i)
 13 850 hPa geopotential heights. Panel (i) also shows the composite 850 hPa wind anomalies
 14 associated with positive PC3 (black arrows). White areas indicate either missing data or
 15 grid boxes where the correlation is not significant at the 0.05 level.




1
 2 Figure 4. Time series of mean JJA MDA8 surface ozone (ppbv), normalized 500 hPa
 3 wind speed, and polar jet frequency, averaged over the combined Midwest and Northeast
 4 regions (Fig. 2). See text for further details on diagnosis of polar jet. The normalization of
 5 wind speed and jet frequency transforms the data to yield zero mean and unit variance.
 6 The black solid line denotes the linear trend of ozone over 1980-2012. The correlations
 7 of these jet metrics and MDA8 ozone when these data are detrended are inset.




1
 2 Figure 5. Time series of the longitude of the west edge of the Bermuda High (BH-Lon) in
 3 JJA from 1948 to 2012. The black curve represents the unscaled BH-Lon, as defined by
 4 the crosspoint of the 1560-gpm isoline and the 850 hPa wind ridge line [Li et al., 2011].
 5 The red curve represents the scaled BH-Lon, in which the geopotential height field for
 6 each summer is scaled by the 1948-2012 average height over the Bermuda High region.
 7 The dashed lines show the linear trends of BH-Lon from 1980 to 2012 for the scaled and
 8 unscaled cases. See text for further details.



1
 2 Figure 6. Relationship between the mean JJA MDA8 ozone in the eastern United States
 3 and the location of the Bermuda High west edge over the 1980-2012 time period. The
 4 plots show the slopes of anomalous ozone vs. longitude (BH-Lon) or latitude (BH-Lat) of
 5 the west edge, with the ozone anomalies calculated as the residuals of a seven-year
 6 moving average in the AQS data for each gridbox. Positive values indicate increasing
 7 ozone with westward or northward shift of the longitude of the Bermuda High west edge.
 8 The top panels (a and b) show results for those summers when the west edge was located
 9 in the West Regime, with the 1560-gpm isoline crossing the 850 hPa wind ridge line west
 10 of 85.4°W. The bottom panels (c and d) show results for the East Regime, when the
 11 1560-gpm isoline crossed the 850 hPa wind ridge line east of 85.4°W. The location of
 12 85.4°W is denoted by the blue arrow in Panel a. Red and black dots indicate those
 13 gridboxes where the slope is significant at the 0.10 level. For more details on the
 14 definition of the Bermuda High west edge for each regime, see text.



1
 2 Figure 7. Slopes of anomalous JJA MDA8 ozone vs. GPLLJ in the summers when the
 3 Bermuda High is characterized by the (a) West Regime and (b) East Regime during
 4 1980-2012. Ozone concentrations have been detrended as described in text. The West
 5 Regime refers to the summers when the west edge of the Bermuda High is located to the
 6 west 85.4°W, while the East Regime is when the west edge is located east of this
 7 longitude. See text for further details. Dots indicate gridboxes where the slope is
 8 significant at the 0.10 level.



1
 2 Figure 8. (a) Coefficients of determination (R^2) for the linear regression of mean JJA
 3 MDA8 ozone concentration on the meteorological variables describing the polar jet
 4 frequency and the Bermuda High west edge from 1980 to 2012. Ozone concentrations
 5 have been detrended as described in text. Righthand panels show the timeseries of
 6 observed (black) and regressed (blue) mean JJA MDA8 ozone concentrations averaged
 7 over the Midwest, Northeast, South Central region, and Southeast. Ozone values have
 8 again been detrended in these panels. The correlation coefficient r between the observed
 9 ozone and regressed meteorology is shown inset for each region.