Replies to the Referee #1 comments/suggestions

The paper is well written and the abstract well summarizes the paper and the title is adequate. The authors describe the impact of tropical cyclone into the tropopause parameters (altitude, temperature and humidity) in the Indian Ocean. They selected 16 tropical cyclones and studied the tropopause variation within 2000 km of radius from the center of the cyclone by using GPS radio occultation profiles.

Reply: First of all we wish to thank the reviewer for going through the manuscript carefully, appreciating actual content of the manuscript and offering potential solutions to improve the manuscript content further. We have revised the manuscript while considering both the reviewers comments/suggestions.

Major comment I have 2 major concerns about the analysis:
1) I am afraid that 2000 km of radius is too large working at tropical latitudes. As known the tropopause has large variation approximately between 30◦ and 40◦ and the variation that the authors attribute to the cyclone could easily due to the latitudinal effect. I strongly suggest reducing the area of interest at no more than 1000 km from the cyclone center.

Reply: We completely agree with the reviewers concern for considering the larger area (2000km) as the latitudinal effect may arise. After considering the reviewers concern we have restricted the discussion to within 1000 km from the cyclone centre.

2) The authors did a cumulative analysis without considering the intensity of the cyclone. According to its intensity, the storm/cyclone can reach different altitudes and can affect the tropopause characteristics in different ways. Doing a cumulative analysis much information is lost so I strongly suggest to separate the study by selecting the storms according to the intensity

Reply: Kindly note that we already mentioned in the manuscript that we did analysis based on cyclone intensity wise. Later we have clubbed the tropopause parameters that do not shown significant variations. Note that in Figure 4 we showed tropopause parameters for CS (combined results of CS and SCS) only. This aspect is clearly mentioned in the revised manuscript.

Comments section by section
Introduction Lines 1-20: I suggest adding some references in the first paragraph. Almost each sentence of this paragraph needs a citation.

Reply: We have added relevant references for the said text as suggested.

Database page 13047 Line 5: the authors should write here, where the data are coming from, I guess they have used the COSMIC Data Analysis and Archive Center (CDAAC) website (http://cosmic-io.cosmic.ucar.edu/cdaac/index.html)

Reply: We added the data source website in the revised manuscript as suggested.

Line 13: the authors should specify here the type of data that have used, atmospheric profiles (atmPrf).

Reply: Mentioned.

Page 13048 Line 6: the authors should explain here how they selected the 16 TCs out of 44. Here they just wrote “. . . based on life time . . .” but we need to arrive at the section Summary and conclusions to know that the selection criterion is that the cyclone lasted at least 4 days.

Reply: Selection procedure adopted for 16 cyclones out of 44 cyclones is mentioned at the desired place in the text as suggested.

Line 2: what is the cyclone intensity number (CI T-number)?

Reply: T-number is related to the Dvorak technique which is widely used system to estimate TC intensity (which includes tropical depression, tropical storm, and hurricane/typhoon/intense tropical cyclone intensities) based solely on visible and infrared satellite images. Cyclone Intensity (CI) number is commonly used for TC intensity for over North Indian Ocean (India Meteorological Department).

Line 11: what is the grade? Line 14: Table 1 is introduced here for the first time. Going to read the table, the reader do not know what is the grade, and what the acronyms mean (i.e. CS, SUCS, VSCS, SCS). The cyclone intensity number is neither described. The authors should add these information into the Table caption and describe the grade, cyclone intensity number and acronyms in this section.

Reply: We have provided details of the acronyms used in the table 1 at section 3.2. In order to avoid repetition, we have not mentioned in the table caption. Details of the grade, cyclone intensity number can be found in IMD website.

Classification of the TCs Page 13049 Lines 17-21: what TC classification is this? Why they did not uses the common classification Saffir-xxxx with the 5 cyclone intensity category?

Reply: This classification is commonly used over North Indian Ocean (IMD) and we have provided the TC information (as mentioned in table 1) based on this classification only. The source for this definition is cited in the revised manuscript.

Tropopause parameters observed during VSCS Nargis Page13051 Line 1-9: it is hard to follow the description without any reference to the Figure. They should report step by step what panel they are referring to.

Reply: Corrected in the revised manuscript as suggested.

Line 8: “. . . can be partly attributed to the latitudinal change itself . . .” this is one of my main concerns about the results. According to Table 1, we are talking about TCs centered at latitudes between 11◦ and 23.5◦ and the analysis is done in a radius of 2000 km from the TC center which approximately means 20◦. The tropopause altitudes between 30◦ and 40◦ has a big variation and the large area considered in this analysis mostly falls in this latitude range. I suggest reducing the area of interest at maximum 1000 km so that the results are not affected by the latitudinal variation.

Reply: As mentioned in reply for the main comment 1, we agree with this aspect and we have discussed in the text related to within 1000 km from the cyclone centre.

Spatial variation of tropopause parameters from the centre of TC Page 13052 Line24- 25: the authors, describing Figure 5, says that they did the analyses irrespective of the TC intensity. In this paper they also refer a few times to Biondi et al., 2015 which shows that the atmospheric thermal structure is strongly related to the intensity of the storm/cyclone. Looking at Biondi et al., 2015 in the Indian Ocean the cloud top altitudes (and related tropopause uplift) could change by 1.5/2 km depending on the storm intensity. This means that analyzing the data irrespective to the intensity could lead to wrong results. I suggest to improve this part and re-do the analyses according to the different intensities.

Reply: We already mentioned in the manuscript that we did analysis based on cyclone intensity wise first and later clubbed if there is no big change between different stages. In Figure 4 we showed tropopause parameters for CS (combined results of CS and SCS). This aspect is clearly mentioned in the revised manuscript.

Spatial variation of water vapor from the centre of TC Page 13054 Lines 1-9: I0m afraid that the humidity in the layer 10-15 km of altitude is mostly coming from the model and not from the RO measurement. The enhancement of water vapor by 30-50 ppmv cannot be visible by the ROs since they are not sensitive to such a small variation.

Reply: Kindly note that we have presented relative humidity (RH) but not the water vapour. 50-60% of RH in the upper troposphere is very high and it is quite expected to pump large humidity to upper troposphere during cyclone system. Further, note that the wetprf are estimated using 1-D variation method by feeding model T as an initial guess. After a few iterations, the estimated RH from RO measurements is independent of initial guess and accurate enough to investigate the same.

Vertical thermal structure of UTLS within 500 km from TC centre Page 13055 Line 27: “. . . Multiple tropopause structures . . .” Double tropopauses were already seen by Corti et al., 2008, Biondi et al., 2011, Davis et al., 2014, I suggest citing them here.

Reply: We added these references as suggested in the revised manuscript.

Are the multiple tropopauses evident just at 1◦ distance from the TC centre or is this visible just in this case due to the small number of averaged profiles, as reported by Biondi et al., 2015?

Reply: This may be due to less number of occultations within 100 km from TC centre. But this multiple tropopause structures are regularly observed only within the 100 km profiles while analysing individual cyclones. This aspect is clearly mentioned in the revised manuscript.

Corti, T., Luo, B. P., deReus, M., Brunner, D., Cairo, F., Mahoney, M. J., Matucci, G., Matthey, R., Mitev, V., dos Santos, F. H., Schiller, C., Shur, G., Sitnikov, N. M., Spelten, N., Vossing, H. J., Borrmann, S., and Peter, T.: Unprecedented evidence for overshooting convection hydrating the tropical stratosphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L10810, doi:10.1029/2008GL033641, 2008.

Biondi, R., Neubert, T., Syndergaard, S., and Nielsen, J. K.: Radio occultation bending angle anomalies during tropical cyclones, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 4, 1053–1060, doi:10.5194/amt-4-1053-2011, 2011.

Davis, C. A., Ahijevych, D. A., Haggerty, J. A., and Mahoney, M. J.: Observations of Temperature in the Upper Troposphere and Lower Stratosphere of Tropical Weather Disturbances, J. Atmos. Sci., 71, 1593–1608, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-13-0278.1, 2014.

Reply: We have included these additional references in the revised manuscript.

---END---

Replies to the Referee #2 comments/suggestions

Comments of the manuscript entitled, 'Effect of tropical cyclones on the tropical tropopause parameters observed using COSMIC GPS RO data' by Babu et al., submitted to plausible publication in ACP. This paper deals with the effects of tropical cyclone on tropopasue characteristics. The authors have presented a detail analysis of the tropopause characteristics using seven years of COSMIC data. The variation of tropopause height and temperature during the passage of the tropical cyclone from the climatological mean is presented in this paper. This study is very important, in principle, since detail knowledge of the tropopause characteristics during the passage of tropical cyclone is very crucial for understanding the water vapour budget of the lower stratosphere, which have significant effects on global warming. The article is well written and contains significant original material. I recommend for publication in ACP with some minor revision

Reply: First of all we wish to thank the reviewer for going through the manuscript carefully, appreciating actual content of the manuscript and offering potential solutions to improve the manuscript content further. We have revised the manuscript while considering both the reviewers comments/suggestions.

General Comments :

(1)The tropopause height/temperature derived during the passage of tropical cyclone is subtracted from the climatological mean tropopause height to show the variability associated with cyclone. How do author account for the day to-day variability of the tropopause? Authors can mention in their manuscript. I also suggest taking the mean tropopasue height for 5-6 days, one week before and after the passage of cyclone and then subtract it from the tropopause height/temperature obtained during cyclone in order to understand the variability.

Reply: We subtracted the tropopause parameters during TC period with the specific monthly mean climatology (calculated using GPS RO data from 2002-2013). There could be day-to-day variability even during cyclone period, however, since the cyclone system is synoptic in nature sustaining for few days, one may not expect large day-to-day variability. Since large data (14 years) has gone through the monthly mean climatology, we assume variability less than solar cycle is nullified, if not removed completely. We also did analysis based on before 5 days and after 5 days method and are attached as supplementary figures.

(2)During tropical cyclone, enormous amount of water vapour is pumped from lower troposphere to the upper troposphere, even up to the lower stratosphere. The temperature derived in COSMIC has assumption of water vapour profile from model. During cyclonic condition, how accurate is the temperature derived in COSMIC data? It can be discussed in the manuscript.

Reply: We completely agree with the reviewers concern in using the RO measured T at tropopause during cyclone activity which is expected to bias T measurements with assumption of dry atmosphere. However, note that we could notice similar change in N which is combination of T and WV. In the simulations reported in Rao et al., TAO, 2009 paper, one can notice that change in the T is not that sensitive when compared to Pressure and Water Vapour. Since, the changes are found to be up to 4-5 K, we expect these are meaningful even after considering expected larger bias during disturbed weather conditions. This aspect is clearly mentioned in the revised manuscript. More details of COSMIC temperature during Cyclone period was given by Biondi et al 2011.

Specific Comments :

Page-2 L-4/8 : ‘In the present study, high verti-. . . ..’ Authors can mention the value of ‘high vertical resolution’ (is it 100 m or 200 m) and accuracy of temperature measurements.

Reply: In the present study we used 200 m vertical resolution atmPrf temperature profiles from COSMIC GPS RO data.

L-12/14 : ‘From all the TCs events, we generate the mean cyclone. . .. .’ Mean tropopause height can be mentioned. How author accounted for the inter-annual variability?

Reply: Corrected. We calculated the monthly mean tropopause parameters from 2002-2013 GPS RO data and we used these monthly mean tropopause parameters for different TCs for subtracting the tropopause parameters during TC period. For example, we used April month mean tropopause parameters for Nargis TC that was occurred in the month of April 2008. Since large data (14 years) has gone through it, we assume variability less than solar cycle is nullified if not removed completely.

L-17/18 : ‘However, as the distance from cyclone eye. . .. .’ Author can mention the distance in km instead of degree (5o) throughout the manuscript.

Reply: Corrected.

L-19 : ‘Lowering of CPH (0.6 km) and LRH (0.4 km) values with coldest CPT and LRT (2–3 K). . .. .’ Since authors mentioned that CPH is lower by 0.6 km and LRH by 0.4 km, it is essential to provide the vertical resolution and accuracy of the COMIC measurement.

Reply: We provided the vertical resolution and accuracies of GPS RO measurements in the revised manuscript as suggested.

L-23/25 : ‘These changes in the tropopause parameters are expected to influence the water. . .. .’ Change in the tropopause characteristics can influence UTLS region much more than mentioned in this abstract. Here a general statement is enough to convey the message (Holten et al., 1995)

Reply: Considered in the revised manuscript as suggested.

Page-3 L-14/15 : ‘This will change the thermal and chemical structure of. . .. .’ This sentence is repeated. Delete this sentence.

Reply: Removed.

L-18 ‘There is a possibility that TCs lift and cool the tropopause more than other meso scale. . .. . .’. I do not agree with this statement. Is there any study reported so far that TC lift and cool the tropopause more than MCS? If so, please provide reference in the manuscript.

Reply: In the paper entitled ‘Overshooting convection in tropical cyclones’ Romps and Kuang (2009) pointed out, that there is the possibility that TCs lift and cool the tropopause more than other mesoscale systems. We added this reference in the revised manuscript as suggested.

L-23/24 : ‘Most of these exchanges take. . .. .’ The sentence is not clearly conveying the meaning.

Reply: We have re-written this statement with better clarity.

Page-4 L-2/5 : ‘However, the availability of Global. . .. .’ There are many studies on tropopause characteristics using COSMIC. Provide few references in the manuscript.

Reply: We added some more references in the revised manuscript as suggested.

Page-5 L-5/10 : ‘COSMIC GPS RO is a constellation of six microsatellites. . .. . ..’ Which set of COSMIC data were downloaded?

Reply: We have provided the source of the COSMIC data in the revised manuscript.

L-18/19 : ‘The vertical resolution. . .. . ..’ I have a doubt on 200 m vertical resolution. Because there are many new algorithms implemented on GPS RO techniques which provide better vertical resolution (e.g. Full spectral inversion, See Kuo et al., 2004).

Reply: There are different vertical resolutions available but for the present study we used 200 m resolution temperature (atmPrf) profiles available at CDAAC website only which is freely available for the public use.

Page-7 L-10/13 : ‘In order to estimate the effect of TCs on the tropopause. . ..’ It will be better to provide the climatological map of tropopause similar to that of Fig.2b.

Reply: We have provided climatological map as Fig.2c as suggested.

L-17 : ‘It is named as low pressure when. . .. .’ Write once the equivalent of knots in m/s.

Reply: Mentioned in kmph.

Page-9 L-2/3 ‘Though it is difficult to draw. . ...’ CPH/LHR is higher/lower relative to what? It should be mentioned.

Reply: Mentioned.

Page-10 L-7/11 :’ These different variations. . .. .’ There may be equal contribution form wind shear associated with tropical cyclone (e.g. Das et al., 2012). How authors accounted the wind shear during the interpretation of results?

Reply: Strong wind shear usually generated during cyclone activity will alter mainly the convection to the south side of the cyclone which is already mentioned as first reason for the observed variability.

Page-11 L-20/22 : ‘Cyclone centered – composite of averaged. . .. . .’ How accurate is the water vapour measurement during cyclonic disturbances when humidity is very high and thermal structure changes significantly? These aspects can be discussed in the manuscript.

Reply: We do not have any information on the accuracies of the GPS RO measurements during disturbed conditions. However, in the simulations reported in Rao et al., (2009), one can notice that change in the T is not that sensitive when compared to pressure and water vapour. Since, the changes are found to be 50-60% in RH in upper troposphere, we expect these are meaningful even after considering expected larger bias during disturbed weather conditions. This aspect is clearly mentioned in the revised manuscript.

References:

Kuo, Y.H., et al., 2004, Inversion and Error Estimation of GPS Radio Occultation Data, J. Meteo. Soc. Japan, 82. 1B, 507-531.

Das, S. S., K. N. Uma, and S. K. Das (2012), MST radar observations of short-period gravity wave during overhead tropical cyclone, Radio Sci., 47, RS2019, doi:10.1029/2011RS004840.

Reply: We have already included Kuo et al., (2004) reference and other reference is out of scope of the present study as it is related to gravity waves.

We once again thank the reviewers for going through the manuscript carefully and offering potential solutions which made us to improve the manuscript content further.

---END---

Effect of Tropical Cyclones on the Tropical Tropopause Parameters observed using COSMIC GPS RO data

S. Ravindra Babu[1], M. Venkat Ratnam[2*], Ghouse Basha[3], B.V. Krishnamurthy [4] and B.Venkatewsararao[1]

[1]Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Hyderabad, India.
[2]National Atmospheric Research Laboratory (NARL), Gadanki, India.
[3]Masdar Institute of Science and Technology, Abu Dhabi, UAE.
[4]CEBROSS, Chennai, India.
*vratnam@narl.gov.in , 08585-272123 (phone), 08585-272018 (Fax)

Abstract

Tropical cyclones (TCs) are deep convective synoptic scale systems and play an important role in modifying the thermal structure, tropical tropopause parameters and hence stratosphere-troposphere exchange (STE) processes. In the present study, high vertical resolution and high accuracy measurements from COSMIC Global Positioning System (GPS) Radio Occultation (RO) measurements are used to investigate and quantify the effect of tropical cyclones that occurred over Bay of Bengal and Arabian Sea in last decade on the tropical tropopause parameters. The tropopause parameters include cold point tropopause altitude (CPH) and temperature (CPT), lapse rate tropopause altitude (LRH) and temperature (LRT) and the thickness of the tropical tropopause layer (TTL), that is defined as the layer between convective outflow level (COH) and CPH, obtained from GPS RO data. From all the TCs events, we generate the mean cyclone-centered composite structure for the tropopause parameters and removed from climatological mean obtained from averaging the GPS RO data from 2002-2013. Since the TCs include eye, eye walls and deep convective bands, we obtained the tropopause parameters based on radial distance from cyclone eye. In general, decrease in the CPH in the eye is noticed as expected. However, as the distance from cyclone eye increases by 300 km~~3°~~, 400 km~~4°~~, and 500 km~~5°~~ an enhancement in CPH (CPT), LRH (LRT) are observed. Lowering of CPH (0.6 km) and LRH (0.4 km) values with coldest CPT and LRT (2-3 K) within the 500 km radius from the TC centre is noticed. Higher (2 km) COH leading to the lowering of TTL thickness (2-3 km) is clearly observed. There exists multiple tropopause structures in the profiles of temperature obtained within 100 km~~1°~~ from centre of TC. These changes in the tropopause parameters are expected to influence the water vapour transport from troposphere to lower stratosphere and ozone from lower stratosphere to the upper troposphere and hence STE processes.

Key words: Tropical tropopause, tropical cyclones, COSMIC GPS RO measurements.

1. Introduction

Tropical Cyclones (TCs) are one of the most dangerous natural and deep convective synoptic scale systems that occur throughout the tropical region globally (Emanuel 2005). Every year, they cause considerable loss of life and damage to property. India has a long coastline, which is prone to very severe cyclone formations in the Arabian Sea (AS) and Bay of Bengal (BoB). Over the Indian region, these TCs occur during the pre-monsoon (April-May), early monsoon (June) and post monsoon (September - November) seasons (Pattnaik et al., 2008). They persist for a few days to weeks and have large convective activity around the eye with a horizontal scale of hundreds of kilometres. During the developing stage of TCs, a large drop in its central pressure occurs and the most extreme vertical velocities are usually observed. TCs contain large amounts of water vapour, energy and momentum, and transport water vapour and energy to the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere (UTLS) region. ~~This will change the thermal and chemical structure of UTLS.~~ Hence, TCs play a very important role in affecting the thermal structure and dynamics of UTLS. The concentration of the water vapour transported to the stratosphere is controlled by the cold temperatures present at the tropopause (Fueglistaler et al., 2003). The life time and size of cyclones also might be affecting the tropopause parameters on the regional scales (Cairo et al., 2008). There could be ~~is~~ a possibility that TCs lift and cool the tropopause more than other meso- scale systems (Romps and Kuang, 2009). It is well known that the intensity and frequency of TCs have increased in recent years (Emmanuel, 2005; Webster et al., 2005).

The tropopause, which is the boundary between troposphere and stratosphere, plays a crucial role in the exchange of mass, water vapour and other chemical species between the two atmospheric regions (Holton et al., 1995). Most of these exchanges (water vapour to the lower stratosphere and ozone to the upper troposphere) take place around tropopause only and as such it is very important to study and understand the physical processes occurring around the tropopause region. The tropopause itself varies temporally and as well as spatially. Generally, radiosonde data have been used to study the tropopause parameters and their characteristics (e.g., Randel et al., 2000; Seidel et al., 2001). However radiosonde data is not available over oceans particularly during severe atmospheric conditions like TCs. Thus, obtaining the tropopause characteristics during TCs remained a daunting task. However, the availability of Global Positioning System (GPS) Radio Occultation (RO) measurements with high vertical resolution, high accuracy and all-weather capability made it possible to study the tropopause characteristics over globe including over oceans. Several studies showed that the GPS RO measurements are well suited for studying the severe storms (Pommenreau and Held, 2007; Corti et al., ~~,.~~ 2008; Romps and Kuang, 2009; Biondi et al.,~~,.~~ 2013).

A few studies have been carried out relating the TCs and its link to the UTLS as well as tropopause parameters. Studies include the thermal and dynamical structure of UTLS during TC (Koteswaram, 1967), horizontal and vertical structure of temperature in the cyclone (Waco, 1970), temperature and ozone variations in a hurricane (Penn, 1965), troposphere-stratosphere transport and dehydration in cyclones (Danielsen, 1993), UTLS structure during TCs using AIRS and MLS measurements (Ray and Rosenlof, 2007), RO ~~adio occultation~~ bending angle anomalies during tropical cyclones (Biondi et al., ~~,.~~ 2011), thermal structure of intense convective clouds derived from GPS RO ~~radio occultations~~ (Biondi et al.,~~,.~~ 2012), ~~and~~ estimating the TC cloud top height and vertical temperature structure using GPS RO measurements (Biondi et al., 2013), and o~~O~~bservations of temperature in the UTLS ~~pper Troposphere and Lower Stratosphere~~ of tropical weather disturbances (Davis et al., ~~,.~~ 2014). Note that above list is only indicative but not exhaustive. Recently Emmanuel et al. (2013) showed that the modulations of the cold point temperature influence the maximum potential intensity of tropical cyclones and tropical cyclone activity.

However, the effect of deep convection associated with the TCs on the tropopause parameters is not yet fully understood.

The main objective of the present study is to investigate the spatial variation of tropopause parameters such as cold point tropopause altitude (CPH) / temperature (CPT), lapse rate tropopause altitude (LRH) / temperature (LRT), convective outflow level altitude (COH) and TTL thickness with respect to TC centre during entire TC period. Vertical structure of temperature and tropopause parameters within the 5º radius away from the cyclone centre during TC period is also presented. The water vapour variability in the vicinity of TC is also investigated. The details of the data used for the present study are mentioned in Section 2. Methodology for obtaining tropopause parameters during TC period is mentioned in Section 3. Results and discussion are presented in Section 4. Finally, summary and conclusions drawn from the present study are presented in Section 5.

2. Database

2.1. COSMIC GPS RO data

The temperature profiles obtained from the Constellation Observing System for Meteorology, Ionosphere, and Climate (COSMIC) GPS RO over the BoB during the TC is utilised for the present study. The GPS RO data were downloaded from COSMIC Data Analysis and Archive Centre~~r~~ (CDAAC) website (http://cosmic-io.cosmic.ucar.edu/cdaac/index.html). COSMIC GPS RO is a joint Taiwan – U.S. mission, constellation of six microsatellites equipped with GPS receivers (Anthes et al., 2008). These satellites are launched in early 2006 and started providing data from April 2006. During its initial phase, all the six satellites were not fully configured so as to get uniform distribution of occultations. Thus, data from 2007 to 2013 have been used for the present study. It provides 2000-2500 occultations for a day over entire globe. Details of temperature retrieval from bending angle and refractivity profile obtained from GPS RO sounding are presented elsewhere (Kursinski et al., 1997; Kuo et al., 2004; Anthes et al., 2008; Schreiner et al., 2010). For the present study we use level 2 ~~dry~~ atmPrf temperature profiles ~~(atmPrf)~~ to calculate the tropopause parameters during the TCs. In addition, we also used CHAllenging Minisatellite Payload (CHAMP) GPS RO data that are available between the years 2002 to 2006. This complete data (2002 to 2013) is used to generate the background climatology of tropical tropopause parameters over North Indian Ocean. The vertical resolution of the temperature is 200 m and accuracy is 0.5 K (7-25 km)~~.~~ Note that this data is validated with variety of techniques including GPS radiosonde and found very good match particularly in the UTLS region (Rao et al., 2009).

2.2. TCs best tracks

We have taken the TC track information (TCs best tracks) data from the India Meteorological Department (IMD) for the period 2007 to 2013. Though GPS RO data is available between 2002 and 2006 from CHAMP GPS RO, we have not utilised it for estimating the tropopause parameters during TC as the number of occultations from this single satellite are too sparse (maximum 250-300 occultations over entire globe). TCs track information includes TC name, dates, centre latitude and longitude, cyclone intensity (CI) ~~(T-number)~~ and MSL pressure of the TC at every 3 h intervals during the formation of the TC. During this period (2007 to 2013), around 44 TCs have formed over North Indian Ocean. For the present study, we consider only the TCs which are having life time of minimum 4 days and more. ~~while considering the intensity of the TCs.~~ From these 44 TCs we selected 16 TCs based on life time of TCs to investigate the effect of TCs on the tropical tropopause parameters. The tracks of all the TCs used for the present study are shown in Figure 1 and different colours indicate TCs occurred in different years. Note that only 2 TCs have formed over AS and rest all formed over BoB. Only one TC that formed over BoB have crossed the Indian land mass and have strengthen again when it reached AS. The details of TC such as name, grade, CI (Cyclone Intensity) number ~~(T) number~~ as designated by IMD, life time, central latitude and longitude (position) of the cyclone where lowest pressure and highest wind speed are observed with estimated pressure drop are shown in Table 1. Details of the acronyms used in this table are provided in sub-section 3.2.

3. Analyses procedure

3.1. Estimation of different tropopause parameters

The tropopause is defined in different ways (Highwood and Hoskins, 1998) and the most commonly used one in the tropics is the cold point tropopause. The CPH is defined as the altitude of the temperature minimum that exists between the troposphere and stratosphere. Another one is LRH defined by World Meteorological Organization (WMO) (1957) as, 'the lowest level at which the lapse rate decreases to 2 K/km or less provided that the average lapse rate between this level and all higher levels within 2 km does not exceed 2 K/km.'

In recent years, the study of the tropopause over tropics has led to the concept of a Tropical Tropopause Layer (TTL) (Highwood and Hoskins, 1998; Gettlemen and Birner, 2007; Fueglistaler et al., 2009), which is the transition region between the convective-radiative equilibrium of the troposphere and the stratosphere. In this transition region both stratospheric and tropospheric processes interact. The top of the TTL is marked by the CPH and the base by the level of the top of all the major convective outflows, named as convective tropopause altitude (COH) and the altitude difference between CPH and COH is the TTL thickness. A minimum in potential temperature gradients is identified as COH following Gettleman and Foster (2002). Note that it closely matches with the divergence profile obtained from Mesosphere Stratosphere Troposphere (MST) radar observations (Mehta et al., 2008). All these parameters (CPH, CPT, LRH, LRT, COH and TTL thickness) are estimated for each profile of GPS RO during the entire TC life time. In order to estimate the effect of TCs on the tropopause parameters, the background of all the tropopause parameters is obtained by averaging the data from 2002 to 2013 (climatology) with exclusion of the days of the TCs. These climatological values are grouped at 2.5^{o} x 2.5^{o} grids. There could be day-to-day to the inter-annual variability in the observed climatological tropopause parameters. Since large data (14 years) has gone through it, we assume variability less than solar cycle is nullified if not removed completely.

3.2. Classification of the TCs

Effect of the TCs on the tropopause parameters mainly depends on the intensity of the cyclone. ~~Based on the intensity (also called as T-number) of cyclone, IMD has defined various stages of the TC.~~ Tropical cyclone intensity is defined by the maximum mean wind speed over open flat land or water, sometimes referred to as the maximum sustained wind that ~~and~~ will be experienced around the eye-wall of the cyclone. The low pressure system over Indian region is classified based on the maximum sustained winds speed associated with the system and the pressure deficit/ number of closed isobars associated with the system. The pressure criteria is used~~,~~ when the system is over land and wind criteria is used~~,~~ when the system is over the sea (IMD). Based on 10 minutes maximum sustained wind speed, IMD has defined various stages of the TC. It is named as low pressure when the maximum sustained wind speed at the sea surface is <17 knots/32 kmph, Depression (D) (17–27 knots/32-50 kmph), Deep Depression (DD) (28–33 knots/51-59 kmph), Cyclonic Storm (CS) (34-47 knots/60-90 kmph), Severe Cyclonic Storm (SCS) (48-63 knots/90-110 kmph), Very Severe Cyclonic Storm (VSCS) ~~-~~(64–119 knots/119-220 kmph) and Super Cyclonic Storm (SuCS) (>119 knots/220 kmph). As an example, the TC Nargis is chosen to show the different stages of TC and also its pressure and wind speed. The TC ‘Nargis’ originated as a depression formed over southeast BoB at 0300 UTC on 27 April 2008. From Table 1 it is clear that this cyclone comes under the category VSCS which has CI of 5. The observed IMD

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt track of the VSCS Nargis is shown in Fig. 1 (green line). This system slightly moved north eastwards and intensified into a cyclone at 00 UTC of 28 April. It remained stationary for some time and further intensified into a SCS at 0900 UTC of 28 April and into a VSCS grade, as classified by the IMD at 0300 UTC of 29 April (Pattnaik and Rama Rao, 2008). Further it moved eastward and crossed the coast of Myanmar on 2 May at 0600 UTC.

The IMD reported maximum wind speed and minimum SLP of the TC Nargis are shown in Fig. 2(a). Note that highest wind speed (~90 knots) and lowest pressure (~960 hPa) are noticed on 02 May. The interpolated outgoing long-wave radiation (OLR), which is considered as proxy for tropical deep convection, obtained from NOAA satellite on 28 April 2008 is shown in Fig. 2(b) along with the track of the cyclone provided by IMD. Black circles are drawn to show the 500 km, 1000 km, 1500 km and 2000 km radius from the TC center. Note that this cyclone was stationary on 28 April and the minimum OLR (maximum convection) which was as low as 90 W/m^2 , lay over the region within 500 km and extended to south east and west side of cyclone track within 1000 km. The monthly mean of CPH for the month of April is shown in Fig. 2(c) and small black circle show the TC Nargis centre observed on ~~for~~ 28 April 2008. An interesting feature to be noticed is enhancement of CPH around 25^{o}N over Indian region than equatorial latitudes which is well reported earlier (Venkat Ratnam et al., 2005). This feature is commonly observed over Indian region. Note that latitudinal variation of 500 m can be observed if we consider 2000 km from the centre of cyclone. In order to avoid this latitudinal variation, we restrict our discussion within 1000 km from the cyclone centre hereafter.

Formatted: Superscript

The COSMIC RO data obtained for each day during the cyclone period are separated based on IMD cyclone best track data and calculated the tropopause parameters for each individual temperature profile. Since IMD based TC track data is available at 3 h intervals, we considered the middle of the coordinates (latitude and longitude) of particular day of TC as the centre of TC for that day. Based on these centres we calculated the distance from the TC centre for each individual RO available on particular TC day at intervals of ~~500~~ 250 km up to ~~2000~~ 1000 km.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Tropopause parameters observed during VSCS Nargis

The locations of all the COSMIC GPS RO observations on 28 April 2008 are shown (white circles) in Fig. 2(b). There were about ~~40~~ 13 occultations that occurred within ~~2000~~ 1000 km from the centre of the cyclone. All the tropopause parameters mentioned in section 3.1 are estimated for each of these profiles and climatological values were substracted for estimating the effect of the TCs on the tropopause parameters. Typical example of cyclone-centred tropopause parameters obtained from the COSMIC GPS RO profiles during TC Nargis on 28 April 2008 is shown in Figure 3 (a) CPH, (b) LRH, (c) CPT, (d) LRT, (e) COH and (f) TTL thickness, respectively and Black circles are drawn to shown the 250 km, 500 km, 750 km and 1000 km away from TC centers. Though it is difficult to draw any conclusion from this figure as occultations are sparse, it is clear that CPH and LRH are slightly lower (~ 17.25 km) within 500 km and higher (>17.5 km) away when compared to the CPH and LRH around 1000 km. There is no substantial difference in the CPT and LRT within 500 km of TC in this example. However, COH is a little higher (~ 14 km) within 500 km and slightly lower away from it and TTL thickness is small (< 4 km) within 500 km from the centre of TC Nargis. This suggests that the TC affects the tropopause parameters. Note that the variations observed away from 500 km, mainly over land, can be partly attributed to the latitudinal change itself which will be discussed further in the next sections.

Note that the GPS RO estimated temperature near the tropopause during cyclone activity is expected to biased with the assumption of dry atmosphere as sometimes water vapour is being pumped up to the tropical tropopause. However, note that we could notice similar change in bending angle and hence refractivity which is combination of temperature and water vapour. In the simulations reported in Rao et al. (2009), one can notice that change in the temperature is not that sensitive when compared to the pressure and water vapour. Since, the changes are found to be up to 4-5 K, we expect these are meaningful even after considering expected larger bias during disturbed weather conditions. More details of COSMIC temperature during Cyclone period can be found in Biondi et al., (2011).

4.2. Spatial variations of tropopause parameters from the centre of TC

In this sub-section, the spatial variations of tropopause parameters from the cyclone centre for different intensities of TC are presented. From the example of Nargis it is clear that we have less number of occultations for a single TC day and hence it is difficult to describe the tropopause characteristics away from the TC centre. For getting more data points for statistically significant results, we have separated COSMIC RO data based on TC intensity from all the 16 TCs. When we separated these based on TC intensity wise with respect to their distance from the TC centre there are 381, 727, 1124, 481 and 865 occultations for D, DD, CS, SCS and VSCS, respectively. From these profiles, we made a 250 km x 250 km grid of tropopause parameters based on TC centre for all TC intensities. After going through the detailed analysis, no significant difference in the tropopause parameters between D and DD, CS and SCS was noticed. So, we have combined the observations obtained during those periods, respectively. Since there is no significant difference in the tropopause parameters during D and DD we have not shown these here.

Figure 4 shows cyclone-centered composite of (a) CPH, (b) LRH, (c) CPT, (d) LRT, (e) COH and (f) TTL thickness~~CPH, LRH, CPT, LRT, COH and TTL thickness~~ for the cases of CS and SCS. From the figure it is clear that the south west side of the area up to ~~2000~~ 1000 km radius from the TC centre the CPH (Fig 4a) and LRH (Fig 4b) is lower than the north side of the TC centre. However, colder tropopause temperatures are clearly observed within 1000 km in case of CPT (Fig 4c) and ~~throughout 2000 km in the eastern side in case of~~ LRT (Fig 4d). A 10 K difference in the CPT and LRT can be noticed from the TC centre to the north side. Very interestingly COH is much higher over 1000 km and also towards south side from the TC centre with maximum altitude of around 15 km (Fig 4e) leading to a smaller TTL thickness (Fig. 4f). Note that TTL thickness is less than 3 km within 500 km from the centre of TC and up to ~~1500~~ 1000 km in the southern side. These different variations in the tropopause parameters might be due to two reasons. One may be due to distribution of convection during developing stages of TCs such as depression and deep depression on the south side of the TC centre which moved north-west side. Another reason, at least in part, can be attributed to the latitudinal variation. However, we found very low values of CPH within the 500 km radius from the TC centre. Similar variations are observed when we separated the TC based on different intensities (figures not shown). Thus, in general, we observed lowering of CPH and LRH values with coldest CPT and LRT within the 500 km radius from the TC centre. Higher COH leading to lowering of TTL thickness is clearly observed. Higher COH within the 500 km from the TC centre suggests that maximum convective outflow reached higher altitude. At the same time lowering the CPH, leading to the small TTL thickness, within the TC centre is observed probably due to the subsidence. In order to quantify the effect of TCs on the tropopause parameters more clearly we have obtained anomalies by subtracting the tropopause parameters observed during TC from the background climatological tropopause parameters.

Figure 5 shows mean difference of cyclone-centered tropopause parameters from the background climatology observed in CPH, LRH, CPT, LRT, COH and TTL thickness. Note that this figure is the composite of the all the tropopause parameters irrespective of the TC intensity. Thus, some differences between Figure 4 and Figure 5 can be expected. In general, the CPH (LRH) is lowered by 0.6 km (0.4 km) in most of the areas within the 1000 km radius from the TC centre and CPT (and LRT) is colder by 3-4 K. Note that this decrease in the CPH is not uniform over ~~2000~~ 1000 km radius from the centre. Throughout the area ~~2000~~ 1000 km from centre the temperature is more or less colder or equal to the climatological value in both CPT/LRT. COH has increased up to 2 km within 500 km from the TCs and at some areas up to 1000 km. TTL thickness is reduced by 2 km within 500 km from the TC centre and over some areas up to ~~1500~~ 1000 km. Note that this decrease in TTL thickness is not only because of pushing up of the COH but also decrease of CPH. It is worth quoting the recent findings of Biondi et al. (2015) where they reported a decrease in the temperatures of 3-4 K and reduction in the TTL thickness to 2-3 km over north Indian basin. Our findings exactly match with their reports for Indian region.

4.3. Spatial variations of water vapor from the centre of TC

Deep convection is expected to reach up to the tropopause altitude and sometimes above during the TCs leading to the penetration of water vapor to the lower stratosphere. At the same time chances of pushing down the ozone from the lower stratosphere leading to lower CPH (subsidence) is also expected leading to the STE processes. Though not completely relevant to the present study, it is worth to recall recent results by Škerlak et al. (2014), where it was shown quantitatively that maxima of STE are located over the storm (cyclone) track regions in the North Atlantic and North Pacific during all seasons (except summer) with an averaged mass flux of approximately 500 kgkm^{-2} s^{-1} from the stratosphere to the troposphere and approximately 300 kgkm^{-2} s^{-1} in the opposite direction. It will be interesting to investigate how these numbers compare for TC over Indian region. Since GPS RO also provides information on water vapor (Kishore et al., 2011), we have investigated further the effect of TCs on the vertical distribution of water vapor. Cyclone centered – composite of averaged RH observed during all the TCs irrespective of TC intensity in the layer 0-5 km, 5-10 km and 10-15 km using COSMIC GPS RO wet-profiles is shown in

Figure 6(a)-(c), respectively. Note that above these altitudes, water vapour is not sensitive in the GPS RO measurements. In general, larger RH values are noticed in the south-eastern side of the TCs in the lower layer (0-5 km) and throughout south side of the TC in the layer 5-10 km. Higher RH is noticed within 500 km from the TC centre. Interestingly, high RH values of 70% or more are noticed on the eastern side of TC in the layer 10-15 km. Thus, it is clear that deep convection prevailing during the TC within 500 km from the centre of TC can penetrate to the lower stratosphere through the tropopause. The higher RH values observed in the layer 10-15 km may be due to the upper level anti-cyclonic circulation over the cyclones. Ray and Rosenlof (2007) reported higher water vapour mixing ratios to the east of the cyclone centers for TCs over Atlantic and Pacific Oceans and found averaged water vapour is enhanced by 30-50 ppmv or more within 500 km of the eye compared to the surrounding average water vapour mixing ratios. Our results match well with these. Note that Biondi et al. (2015) reported 30-50% of the time overshooting of the convection during TCs strongly supporting our findings. At the same time, Midya et al., (2012) reported that over BoB and AS the total column ozone (TOC) decreases steadily before and during the formation of a TC, followed by a more or less increasing trend after dissipation of the cyclone. A very recent case study by Das et al., (2015, submitted manuscript) also confirms the intrusion of stratospheric air into the upper and middle troposphere during the passage of tropical cyclone Nilam. It will be interesting to see the variability of ozone during the same time for all the cyclones presented here to investigate the STE processes away from the TC center.

From the above, in general, it can be concluded that tropical tropopause is significantly affected by the TCs. The effect is more pronounced within 500 km from the centre of TC. Note that TCs have eye, eye wall, rain bands, convective cloud tops, strong updrafts and cirrus deck, all occurring in the range of 500 km to 1000 km from the TC centre. From the above results, we expect a significant effect of the TCs on the tropopause parameters could be felt up to 500 km from the TC centre. We have further investigated the effect of TCs on the thermal structure of UTLS region and the results are presented in the next section.

4.4. Vertical thermal structure of UTLS within 500 km from TC centre

We considered the GPS RO with respect to the IMD best track data and took ±1h time window of co-located RO profiles with respect to IMD best track time for every 3h. Based on this we calculated the distance from the TC centre. We classified them with respect to distance from the centre as 100 km~~1º~~, 200 km~~2º~~, 300 km ~~3º~~,400 km ~~4º~~, and 500 km~~5º~~ respectively. There were 90 GPS RO occurring within 500 km~~5º~~ and when we separated them at 100 km~~1º~~ steps there were 7, 11, 20, 20 and 32 profiles, respectively. Figure 7 shows mean vertical structure of temperature with respect to distance with in 500 km~~5º~~ at steps of ~~one degree radius~~100 km from TC centre along with standard error. Enlarged portion in the Figure 7 shows vertical structure of the temperature within the UTLS region from 16 -18 km. Here we considered ± 1 h time window of co-located RO profiles with respect to IMD cyclone best track data for getting thermal structure over TC period. Note that this is a better time window resolution than the earlier reported 3h time window by Biondi et al., (2013) for describing the thermal structure during cyclone period. In general, no significant difference in the temperature structure within 500 km~~5º~~ from TC centre below 14 km is noticed. This is mainly due to the synoptic nature of convection within the 500 km~~5º~~ radius from the TC centre. Generally, in the troposphere below approximately 14 km the radiative cooling balances the latent heat release by convection. However, large variation in the mean temperature structure can be noticed above 14 km. This is mainly due to balancing between the radiative heating and the stratosphere-driven upwelling above 16 km. Strong updrafts around the eye wall and down drafts, subsidence near the eye, and formation of the cirrus clouds might change the temperature structure in the UTLS region strongly. It is interesting to notice lowering of tropopause altitudes with colder temperatures in the profiles obtained within 100 km~~1°~~, followed at 300 km~~3°~~, 200 km~~2°~~ and 400 km~~4°~~. It indicates that rain bands are of the size of roughly 100 km~~°~~ ~~(110 km)~~. There exists a temperature difference of 5K in the UTLS region in the profiles that occurred within 100 km~~1°~~ from the profiles that occurred away of 400 km~~4°~~. These are statistically significant differences as the error bars do not mix with each other for the profile that occurred within 100 km~~1°~~ to rest of the profiles. Warmer temperatures are also visible in the lower stratosphere in the profiles that are obtained within 100 km~~1°~~ when compared to those occurred away. Multiple tropopause structures are clearly visible in the profiles that occurred within 100 km~~1°~~ from the TC though number of profiles available are small. These multiple tropopauses are similar to that are double tropopauses observed by Corti et al.~~,~~ (2008), Biondi et al.~~,~~ (2011) and Davis et al.~~,~~ (201~~0~~4). The cause for these multiple tropopauses might be either due to clouds (Biondi et al., 2013) or wave activity or cirrus or ozone (Mehta et al., 2011) which demands separate investigation.

We also calculated the tropopause parameters with respect to 100 ~~1º~~, 200~~2º~~, 300~~3º~~, 400~~4º~~, and 500 km~~5º~~ away from the TC centre respectively. Figure 8 shows the mean tropical tropopause parameters of CPH, CPT, LRH, LRT, COH and TTL thickness observed from the profiles that are available within the 500 km~~5º~~ radius from the TC centre. In general, CPH (CPT) increases (decreases) as we move away from the TC centre within 500 km~~5°~~ (except at 200 km~~2°~~ in case of CPH) (Fig. 8a). There exists a difference of 0.4 km (3 K) in the CPH (CPT) within 500 km~~5°~~ from centre of TC. Similar variability in the LRT is observed but not in LRH (Fig. 8b). An inverse relation between LRH and LRT is noticed but not in CPH and CPT. A nearly 2 km decrease in COH is clearly noticed (Fig.8c) when we move away from the TC centre leading to the increase in the TTL thickness of 3 km (Fig. 8d). Note that lowering of CPH (may be due to the presence of subsidence and strong downdrafts) in the eye region and higher COH leading to lowering of TTL thickness within 100 km~~1°~~ from the

TC centre is again noticed. Most of the overshooting convection may occur within the 200 km~~2º~~ and top of the convection may be lifting the tropopause higher. An additional 1 km of lowering of the TTL thickness within 100 km~~1º~~ when compared to 500 km~~5º~~ away from TC centre is mainly coming from lowering of CPH. Thus, decrease in TTL thickness is the combination of pushing up of COH and lower of CPH.

5. Summary and conclusions

In the present communication, we investigated and quantify the effects of tropical cyclones that occurred between 2007 and 2013 on the tropical tropopause parameters obtained from simultaneous high vertical resolution and high accuracy COSMIC GPS RO measurements. TCs are categorized based on their intensity as their effect on the thermal structure and thus tropopause parameters will be different for different intensities. Out of 44 cyclones that originated over BoB and AS, investigation is carried out on 16 cyclones which ~~lasted~~ are having life time of 4 days or more.~~for more than 4 days.~~ The TC centre is fixed based on the best tracks data available from IMD at 3 h intervals. GPS RO overpasses that occurred within the radius of ~~2000~~ 1000 km from the centre of TC are separated. Tropical tropopause parameters are estimated for each individual profiles that occurred at various distances within ~~2000~~ 1000 km and are grouped for every ~~500~~ 250 km radius from the centre of TC. They are further separated based on the intensity of the TC. In order to make quantitative estimates of the effect of TCs on the tropopause parameters, individual tropopause parameters obtained during TC are removed from the climatological mean tropopause parameters that are obtained by averaging the GPS RO measurements available from 2002 and 2013 (CHAMP+COSMIC). The effect of TCs on the vertical distribution of water vapor obtained from COSMIC GPS RO is also investigated. Again GPS RO overpasses that occurred within the radius of 500 km from the TC center within the ±1 h for every 3h are separated for every 100~~1º~~, 200~~2º~~, 300~~3º~~, 400~~4º~~, and 500 km~~5º~~ from the center of the TC.

Finally, detailed investigations are made to see the effect of TCs on the tropopause parameters within 500 km~~5°~~ from the centre of TC. The main findings of the present study are summarized in the following:

1. In general, the CPH (LRH) is lowered by 0.6 km (0.4 km) in most of the areas within the 1000 km radius from the TC centre and CPT (and LRT) is colder by 3-4 K. COH has increased up to 2 km and TTL thickness reduced by 2 km within 500 km from the TCs and at some areas up to 1000 km.
2. CPH (CPT) increases (decreases) as we move away from the TC centre within 500 km~~5°~~. There exists a difference of 0.4 km (3 K) in the CPH (CPT) within 500 km~~5°~~ from centre of TC. Similar variability in the LRT is observed but not in LRH. An inverse relation between LRH and LRT is noticed but not in CPH and CPT. Nearly 2 km decrease in COH is clearly noticed when we move away from the TC centre leading to the total increase in the TTL thickness of 3 km within 500 km~~5°~~.
3. The decrease in TTL thickness within 500 km from TC centre is not only because of pushing up of the COH but also decreasing of CPH.
4. Higher RH is noticed within 500 km from the TC centre reaching as high as 15km. Thus, it is clear that deep convection prevailing within 500 km from the centre of TC can penetrate to the lower stratosphere through the tropopause.
5. In general, no significant difference in the temperature structure within 500 km~~5°~~ from TC centre below 14 km is noticed. However, large variation in the mean temperature structure is noticed above 14 km. There exists a temperature difference of 5K in the UTLS region in the profiles that occur within 100 km~~1°~~ from the profiles that occurred away of 400 km~~4°~~.
6. Multiple tropopause structures are also visible in the profiles that occurred within 100 km~~1°~~ from the TC.

7. The colder tropopause temperatures are clearly observed within 1000 km in case of CPT and throughout ~~2000~~ 1000 km in the eastern side in case of LRT. In general, larger RH values are noticed in the south-eastern side of the TCs in the lower layer (0-5 km) but throughout south side of the TC in the layer 5-10 km. Higher RH values of 70% or more are noticed on the eastern side of TC in the layer 10-15 km. Interestingly COH is much higher over 1000 km and also towards south side from the TC centre with maximum altitude of around 15 km leading to the lesser TTL thickness. TTL thickness is less than 3 km within 500 km from the centre of TC and up to ~~1500~~ 1000 km in the southern side.

Thus, this study clearly demonstrated that the TCs can significantly affect the tropical tropopause and the effects are more pronounced within 500 km from the centre of TC. It will be interesting to see the ozone variability in the upper troposphere and water vapor in the lower stratosphere using satellite observations at the same time and hence STE processes during the TC which will be our future work. Further, in the present study we are unable to make quantitative estimates of the tropopause parameters variability during different stages (time series) of the cyclone due to sparse data of existing GPS RO observations. Once the data is available from the other similar payload (ROSA onboard Megha Tropiques) launched in 2011 in low inclination and forthcoming COSMIC-2, which will have six low earth orbit GPS receivers to be launched in low inclination in the first half of 2016, we can able to quantity the effects more effectively.

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank TAAC for providing GPS RO data used in the present study through their ftp site. The tropical cyclone best track data used in the present study provided by IMD through their website is highly acknowledged. This work is done as a part of CAWSES India Phase- II Theme 3 fully supported by Indian Space research organization.

References:

Anthes, R. A., Bernhardt, P. A., Chen, Y., Cucurull, L., Dymond, K. F., Ector, D., Healy, S. B., Ho, S.-H., Hunt, D. C., Kuo, Y.-H., Liu, H., Manning, K., McCormick, C., Meehan, T. K., Randel, W. J., Rocken, C., Schreiner, W. S., Sokolovskiy, S. V., Syndergaard, S., Thompson, D. C., Trenberth, K. E., Wee, T.-K., Yen, N. L., and Zeng, Z.: The COSMIC/Formosat/3 mission: Early results, B. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 89, 313–333, 2008.

Biondi, R., Neubert, T., Syndergaard, S., and Nielsen, J. K.: Radio occultation bending angle anomalies during tropical cyclones, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 4, 1053–1060, doi:10.5194/amt-4-1053-2011, 2011.

Biondi, R., Ho, S. P., Randel, W., Syndergaard, S., and Neubert, T.: Tropical cyclone cloud-top height and vertical temperature structure detection using GPS radio occultation measurements, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118, 5247–5259, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50448, 2013.

Biondi, R., Steiner, A. K., Kirchengast, G., and Rieckh, T.: A characterization of thermal structure and conditions for overshooting of tropical and extra tropical cyclones with GPS radio occultation, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, 29395-29428, doi:10.5194/acpd-14-29395-2014, 2014.

Cairo, F., Buontempo, C., MacKenzie, A. R., Schiller, C., Volk, C. M., Adriani, A., Mitev, V., Matthey, R., Di Donfrancesco, G., Oulanovsky, A., Ravegnani, F., Yushkov, V., Snels, M., Cagnazzo, C., and Stefanutti, L.: Morphology of the tropopause layer and lower stratosphere above a tropical cyclone: a case study on cyclone Davina (1999), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 3411– 3426, doi:10.5194/acp-8-3411-2008, 2008.

Corti, T., Luo, B. P., deReus, M., Brunner, D., Cairo, F., Mahoney, M. J., Matucci, G., Matthey, R., Mitev, V., dos Santos, F. H., Schiller, C., Shur, G., Sitnikov, N. M., Spelten, N., Vossing, H. J., Borrmann, S., and Peter, T.: Unprecedented evidence for overshooting convection hydrating the tropical stratosphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L10810, doi:10.1029/2008GL033641, 2008.

Formatted: Space After: 10 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines, Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers

Danielsen, E. F.: In situ evidence of rapid, vertical, irreversible transport of lower tropospheric air into the lower tropical stratosphere by convective cloud turrets and by larger-scale upwelling in tropical cyclones, J. Geophys. Res., 98, 8665–8681, doi:10.1029/92JD02954,1993.

Das, S.S., Venkat Ratnam. M., Uma, K.N., Patra. A.K., Subrahmanyam.K.V., Girach, I.A., Aneesh.S., Sijikumar. S., Kumar.K.K., Suneeth. K. V., Ramkumar, G.: Stratosphere-troposphere exchange during the tropical cyclone Nilam. Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., ~~Quaterly Journal of Royal Meteorological Society,~~ 2015 (Submitted)

Davis, C. A., Ahijevych, D. A., Haggerty, J. A., and Mahoney, M. J.: Observations of Temperature in the Upper Troposphere and Lower Stratosphere of Tropical Weather Disturbances, J. Atmos. Sci., 71, 1593–1608, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-13-0278.1, 2014.

Emanuel, K. A.: Increasing destructiveness of tropical cyclones over the past 30 years, Nature, 436, 686–688, doi:10.1038/nature03906, 2005.

Emanuel, K. A.: Downscaling CMIP5 climate models shows increased tropical cyclone activity over 21st century, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 110, 12219–12224, 2013.

Fueglistaler, S., Dessler, A.E., Dunkerton, T.J., Fu, I., Folkins, Q., Mote, P.W.: Tropical tropopause layer. Review of Geophysics 47, RG1004, doi:10.1029/2008RG000267, 2009.

Gettelman, A., Forster, P. M. F.: A climatology of the tropical tropopause layer. Journal of Meteorological Society of Japan, 80, 911–924, doi:10.2151/jmsj. 80.911, 2002.

Gettelman, A., Birner, T.: Insights into tropical tropopause layer processes using global models. Journal of Geophysical Research 112, D23104, doi:10.1029/2007JD008945, 2007.

Highwood, E. J., Hoskins, B. J.: The tropical tropopause. Quarterly Journal of Royal Meteorological Society 124, 1579–1604, doi:10.1002/qj.49712454911, 1998.

Formatted: Space After: 10 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines, Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers

Formatted: English (India)

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.13"

Holton, J. R., Haynes, P.H., McIntyre, M.E., Douglass, A. R., Rood, R.B., Pfister, L.: Stratosphere Troposphere exchange. Reviews of Geophysics 33, 403–439, doi:10.1029/95RG02097, 1995.

Kishore, P., Venkat Ratnam, M., Namboothiri, S.P., Isabella Velicogna, Ghouse Basha, Jiang, J. H., Igarashi, K., Rao, S.V. B., Sivakumar, V.: Global (50^{o}S-50^{o}N) distribution of water vapor observed by COSMIC GPS RO: Comparison with GPS radiosonde, NCEP, ERA-Interim and JRA-25 reanalysis data sets. Journal of Atmospheric and Solar Terrestrial Physics, 73, 1849–1860, doi:10.1016/j.jastp.2011.04.017, 2011.

Koteswaram, P.: On the structure of hurricanes in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere, Mon. Weather Rev., 95, 541–564, 1967.

Krishnamurthy, B. V., Parameswaran, K., Rose, K.O.: Temporal variations of the tropical tropopause. Journal of Atmospheric Science 43, 914–922, 1986.

Kuo, Y.-H.,Wee, T.-K., Sokolovskiy, S., Rocken,W., Schreiner,W., Hunt, H., and Anthes, R.A.: Inversion and Error Estimation of GPS Radio Occultation Data, J. Meteorol. Soc. Jpn., 82, 507–531, 2004.

Kursinski, E. R., Hajj, G. A., Schofield, J. T., Linfield, R. P., and Hardy, K. R.: Observing Earth’s atmosphere with radio occultation measurements using the Global Positioning System, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 23429–23465, 1997.

Mehta, S.K., Krishna Murthy, B.V., Rao, D.N., Ratnam, M.V., Parameswaran, K., Rajeev, K., Raju, C.S., Rao, K.G.: Identification of tropical convective tropopause and its association with cold point tropopause. Journal Geophysical Research 113, D00B04, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2007JD009625, 2008.

Mehta, S. K., Venkat Ratnam, M., Krishna Murthy, B. V.: Multiple tropopauses in the tropics: A cold point approach. Journal Geophysical Research, 116, D20105, doi:10.1029/2011JD016637, 2011.

Midya, S. K., Dey, S. S., & Chakraborty, B.: Variation of the total ozone column during tropical cyclones over the Bay of Bengal and the Arabian Sea. Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics, 117(1-2), 63-71, 2012.

Pattnaik, D. R., and Rama Rao, Y. V.: Track Prediction of very sever cyclone 'Nargis' using high resolution weather research forecasting (WRF) model, Journal of earth system science, 118 (4), 309-329, 2008.

Penn, S.: Ozone and temperature structure in a Hurricane, J. Appl. Meteorol. 4, 212–216, 1965.

Pommereau, J.-P. and Held, G.: Is there a stratospheric fountain?, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 7, 8933–8950, doi:10.5194/acpd- 7-8933-2007, 2007.

Randel, W. J., Wu, F., Gaffen, D.: Interannual variability of the tropical tropopause derived from radiosonde data and NCEP reanalysis. Journal Geophysical Research 105, 15,509–15,524, 2000.

Rao, D. N., M. V. Ratnam, Sanjay Mehta, Debashis Nath, S. Ghouse Basha, V. V. M. Jagannadha Rao, B. V. Krishna Murthy, T. Tsuda, and Kenji Nakamura.: Validation of the COSMIC radio occultation data over Gadanki (13.48 N, 79.2 E): A tropical region, Terr. Atmos. Oceanic Sci., 20, 59–70, doi: 10.3319/TAO.2008.01.23.01(F3C), 2009.

Ray, E. A. and Rosenlof, K. H.: Hydration of the upper tropopsphere by tropical cyclones, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D12311, doi:10.1029/2006JD008009, 2007.

Reid, G. C. Gage, K.S.: Inter-annual variations in the height of tropical tropopause. Journal of Geophysical Research 90, 5629–5635, doi:10.1029/ JD090iD03p05629, 1985.

Romps, D. M., and Z. M. Kuang, 2009: Overshooting convection in tropical cyclones. Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L09804, doi:10.1029/ 2009GL037396

Santer et al.: Contributions of anthropogenic and natural forcing to recent tropopause height changes. Science 301, 5632, 479-483, DOI: 10.1126/science.1084123, 2003.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.1"

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.1", Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.1"

Schreiner, W., C. Rocken, S. Sokolovskiy, S. Syndergaard, and D. Hunt.: Estimates of the precision of GPS radio occultations from the COSMIC/FORMOSAT-3 mission, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L04808, doi:10.1029/2006GL027557, 2007.

Seidel, D. J., Ross, R.J., Angell, J.K., Reid, G.C.: Climatological characteristics of the tropical tropopause as revealed by radiosondes. Journal of Geophysical Research., 106, 7857–7878, 2001.

Shimizu, A., Tsuda, T.: Variations in tropical tropopause observed with radiosondes in Indonesia. Geophysical Research Letters 27, 2541–2544, 2000.

Škerlak, B., Sprenger, M., and Wernli, H.: A global climatology of stratosphere–troposphere exchange using the ERA-Interim data set from 1979 to 2011, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 913–937, doi:10.5194/acp-14-913-2014, 2014.

Venkat Ratnam, M., Tsuda, T., Shiotoani, M., and Fujiwara, M.:, New Characteristics of the Tropical Tropopause Revealed by CHAMP/GPS Measurements, Scientific Online Letters of Atmosphere, 1, 185-188, doi: 10.2151/sola, 2005.

Waco, D. E.: Temperatures and turbolence at tropopause levels over hurricane Beula, Mon. Weather Rev., 98, 749–755, 1970.

Webster, P. J., G. J. Holland, J. A. Curry, and H.-R.Chang.: Changes in Tropical Cyclone Number, Duration, and Intensity in a Warming Environment, Science, 309, 1844–1846, 2005.

World Meteorological Organization (WMO).: Meteorology-A three dimensional science. WMO Bull., 6, 134–138, 1957.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Figure captions:

Figure 1. TC tracks with minimum TC life time 4 days and above used for the present study during 2007 - 2013 over North Indian Ocean. Different colors indicate TCs that occurred in different years.

Figure 2. (a) IMD observed minimum sea level pressure (MSLP; red line) and maximum wind speed (black line) during TC Nargis. (b) TC centered – composite of NOAA OLR observed on 28 April 2008 along with IMD observed Nargis track (red colour line). White arrows show the wind vectors obtained from ERA-Interim on the same day. White circles show the COSMIC RO observed on the same day. Black circles are drawn to shown the 500 km, 1000 km, 1500 km and 2000 km away from TC centers. **(c)** ~~climatology~~Climatology of CPH for the month of April obtained while averaging from 2002-2013 and small black circle show the Nargis TC centre observed on 28 April 2008.

Figure 3. Spatial variation of (a) CPH, (b) LRH, (c) CPT, (d) LRT, (e) COH and (f) TTL thickness with respect to cyclone center Nargis observed on 28 April 2008 for the RO shown in Figure 3b. Black circles are drawn to shown the 250 km, 500 km, 750 km and 1000 km away from TC centers. ~~Black circles are drawn to shown the 500 km, 1000 km, 1500 km and 2000 km away from TC centers.~~

Figure 4. Cyclone centered – composite of (a) CPH, (b) LRH, (c) CPT, (d) LRT, (e) COH and (f) TTL thickness observed during the CS and SCS.

Figure 5. Same as Figure 4 but for the mean difference in the tropopause parameters between climatological mean and individual tropopause parameters observed during TCs (irrespective of cyclone intensity).

Figure 6. Cyclone centered – composite of averaged RH observed during TCs (irrespective of TC intensity) in the layer (a) 0-5 km, (b) 5-10 km and (c) 10-15 km using COSMIC GPS RO wet-profiles.

Figure 7. Mean temperature structure observed using GPS RO profiles that occurred within 100~~1°~~, 200~~2°~~, 300~~3°~~, 400~~4°~~, and 500 km~~5°~~ from the TC centre. Horizontal bars show the standard error. For clarity, the temperature structure observed between 16 km and 18 km is shown in the box.

Figure 8. Variability in the tropopause parameters of (a) CPH and CPT, (b) LRH and LRT, (c) COH and (d) TTL thickness that observed within 500 km~~5°~~ from the centre of TC. Vertical bars show the standard error.

Table caption:

Table 1: Cyclone name, grade, cyclone intensity number, period, centre latitude, centre longitude, estimated central pressure, estimated maximum sustained surface wind, estimated pressure drop at the centre of all the cyclones used in the present study provided by IMD.

Table:

Cyclone Name	Grade	CI.No	Period	Centre latitude	Centre longitude	Estimated Central Pressure (hPa)	Estimated Maximum Sustained Surface Wind (kt)	Estimated Pressure drop at the Centre (hPa)
03B	CS	2.5	21Jun-26Jun 2007	23.5	66	986 (25Jun)	35	6
Gonu	~~SUCS~~ SuCS	6.5	02Jun-07Jun 2007	20	64	920 (04Jun)	127	80
SIDR	VSCS	6	11Nov-16Nov 2007	19.5	89	944 (15Nov)	115	66
Nargis	VSCS	5	27Apr-03May 2008	16	94	962 (02May)	90	40
Aila	SCS	-	23May-26May 2009	22	88	968 (25May)	60	20
Jal	SCS	3.5	04Nov-07Nov 2010	11	84	988 (06Nov)	60	18
Giri	VSCS	5.5	20Oct-23Oct 2010	19.8	93.5	950 (22Oct)	105	52
PHET	VSCS	4.5	31May-06Jun 2010	18.5	60	964 (02Jun)	85	36
Laila	SCS	3.5	17May-21May 2010	14.5	81	986 (19May)	55	15
Thane	VSCS	4.5	25Dec-30Dec 2011	12	81	970 (29Dec)	75	30
Nilam	CS	3	28Oct-01Nov 2012	11.5	81	990 (31Oct)	45	10
Phailin	VSCS	6	08Oct-14Oct 2013	17.1	86.8	940 (11Oct)	115	66
Madi	VSCS	4	06Dec-12Dec 2013	15.4	85.3	988 (10Dec)	65	16
Helen	SCS	3.5	19Nov-22Nov 2013	16.1	82.7	990 (21Nov)	55	17
Mahasen	CS	3	10May-16May 2013	18.5	88.5	990 (15May)	45	10
Leher	VSCS	4	23Nov-28Nov 2013	13.2	87.5	980 (26Nov)	75	26

Table 1: Cyclone name, grade, cyclone intensity number, period, centre latitude, centre longitude, estimated central pressure, estimated maximum sustained surface wind, estimated pressure drop at the centre of all the cyclones used in the present study provided by IMD.