

[Interactive
Comment](#)

Interactive comment on “The CarboCount CH sites: characterization of a dense greenhouse gas observation network” by B. Oney et al.

B. Oney et al.

brian.oney@empa.ch

Received and published: 11 August 2015

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 28 May 2015

Overview: The paper presents the ability and the limitation to capture the carbon flux on a regional scale by an observation network combined with a particle dispersion model. The four sites of the network were characterized and compared with respect to the local meteorology, the surrounding land use and topography, and the sensitivity to surface fluxes derived from modelling. From the observed similarities and differences at the individual sites the authors identified the occurrence of strong local influences and complex terrain as main challenges to

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

[Discussion Paper](#)



be taken into account for the application of atmospheric transport and terrestrial carbon flux models, as well as for the selection of site location and tower height of the measurement station. The study is well elaborated, and the text clearly structured and written. Besides a few minor revisions which I would like to suggest is the paper highly recommended for publication in ACP.

Within the complete text, in Table 1 and 2, and in the text beneath the figures: ‘Beromünster, Frübüel and Lägern-Hochwacht’ the mutated vowels are displaced to the left

The figures 2, 3, 10, 12 and (in particular) 4 are quite small. If possible please enlarge them.

The authors would like to thank anonymous referee #1 for the comments, and positive review. The mutated umlauts will be fixed, along with the “ff” ligatures. In the final publication, the figures will be checked for legibility, but special attention will be paid to Figures 2, 3, 10, 12, and especially 4. In the following, the reviewers comments will be in bold font, and the responses will be in plain font, with suggested new text being quoted.

Minor comments Page 12919, line 12: ‘... height a.m.g. (“model”) ...’ interspace

Page 12920, line 16-17: ‘... topo – graphy...’ line break

Page 12921, line 4: ‘... needle-leaf forest...’ hyphenated

Page 12928, line 19: ‘... at the mountain top sites likely due to ...’ syntax

Corrected as suggested.

Page 12930, line 18-19: ‘... and December.’ I am surprised because of the month. Are the cattle still grazing there in winter time?

We thank referee 1 for his/her attention. This was indeed a mistake. Bamberger et al. (2015) list the exact dates of grazing during 2013 as 05-02–05-08, 06-06–06-14, 09-23–10-02, and 11-07–11-13. We feel that this information is not urgently required here and intend to remove this sentence. New paragraph: “At Frübüel, local topography is

not conducive to a stable nocturnal surface layer, and therefore the nighttime regional CO₂ signals are likely not as high as would be expected in flat terrain. The summertime peak in nighttime regional CO₂ signals is not as intense as Gimmiz, but shows similar annual variation, pointing towards respiration fluxes. As at Beromünster, relatively low wintertime CO₂ measurements indicate minimal anthropogenic influence. The higher daytime regional CH₄ signals during summertime coincide with the location in an area of high cattle density, also similar to Beromünster.”

Page 12930, line 23: ‘Furthermore, the daytime values ...’ The higher daytime values become not evident in Fig.9

We agree with referee #1 that the higher daytime values are barely evident in Figure 8. Therefore we plan to remove this part in a revised version of the manuscript. New paragraph: “At Lägern-Hochwacht, observed diurnal variation of regional CO₂ signals is small, similar to Beromünster (Fig. 9). During winter, the elevated day- and nighttime regional signals indicate a strong anthropogenic influence, which aligns with the surrounding industrialized area.”

References

Bamberger, I., Oney, B., Brunner, D., Henne, S., Leuenberger, M., Buchmann, N., and Eugster, W.: Observation of atmospheric methane and carbon dioxide mixing ratios: Tall tower or mountain top stations?, submitted to Boundary Layer Meteorology, pp. 1–29, 2015.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 15, 12911, 2015.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



[Interactive
Comment](#)

Interactive comment on “The CarboCount CH sites: characterization of a dense greenhouse gas observation network” by B. Oney et al.

B. Oney et al.

brian.oney@empa.ch

Received and published: 11 August 2015

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 18 June 2015

Review of the manuscript: "The CarboCount CH sites: characterization of a dense greenhouse gas observation network" by B.Oney et al. The paper is describing the new regional network in Swiss Alps of four atmospheric stations for the measurement of CO₂ and CH₄ mole fractions. It is focused mostly on the characterization of the footprint of each site, using local observations of CO₂, CH₄ and meteorological parameters, and a high resolution particle dispersion model. The paper is well written with clear figures, even though there are sev-

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

[Discussion Paper](#)



eral elements repeated throughout when scanning the four stations. The main limitation of the study is the fact that authors develop only the sensitivity to the atmospheric transport, and not to the surface emissions variabilities. This limitation is mentioned once in the paper but I think the authors should remind it, or discuss it, in the presentation of the results. With this clarification and few minor revisions detailed hereafter I do recommend the manuscript for publication in ACP.

The authors would like to thank anonymous referee #2 for the supportive review and the helpful comments. The reviewer is certainly correct that it is important for the reader of this paper to understand that we investigate (generic) surface sensitivities and not concentration sensitivities to a particular surface flux pattern. We thus aimed to clarify this in the text. At the same time, we would like to point out that the study of surface sensitivity has many merits in its own right, so we don't regard our focus so much as a limitation, but rather actually as a strength of this paper. First, the surface sensitivity is a property of the measurement site (determined by atm. transport and mixing) and is thus independent of the tracer that is being investigated. Second, by avoiding the uncertainties associated with any surface flux data product, we focus on the most robust aspect of the site characterization. Third, by discussing primarily the differences in surface sensitivity across the four sites, we are also less affected by potential biases in the transport model, especially those associated with vertical transport out of the surface layer. In the following, the reviewers comments will be in bold font, and the responses will be in plain font, with suggested new text being quoted.

Abstract: I suggest to add the period of the measurements considered for the paper. I found the period only in Figure 4. I may have missed this information earlier.

The period of measurements considered is described on page 12917, line 8, and figures 4, 9, & 10. We will include the period considered in the abstract as suggested:

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



"We describe a new rural network of four densely placed (< 100 km apart), continuous atmospheric carbon (CO_2 , CH_4 , and CO) measurement sites in north-central Switzerland and analyze its suitability for regional-scale (~ 100 to 500 km) carbon flux studies. We characterize each site for the period from March 2013 to February 2014 by analyzing surrounding land cover, observed local meteorology, and sensitivity to surface fluxes, as simulated with the Lagrangian particle dispersion model FLEXPART-COSMO."

Introduction: (p.12914): "It aims to quantify terrestrial carbon fluxes": is the separation of different sources contributions considered in the project ?

The project's goal is to understand terrestrial carbon fluxes; therefore, yes, separation is critical but not the focus of this study. The goal of this study is to consider the trace gas measurements and spatial aspects of surface sensitivity, without relying on the accuracy of surface flux inventories. We will discuss this further on.

(p.12915): "use only afternoon measurements...": this is true for flat terrain, but not for mountain sites for which only nighttime values are generally used (e.g. Schauinsland, Mauna Loa, ...)

Yes, that is true; it will be changed. New paragraph: "Currently, most surface flux estimation studies use only afternoon measurements from sites in flat terrain when the ABL is thickest and well-mixed to reduce the sensitivity to errors in the representation of the ABL by the atmospheric transport models (Gerbig et al., 2009; Pillai et al., 2012; Kretschmer et al., 2014). At mountain sites, conversely, nighttime or early morning measurements above the stable ABL are preferentially used due to the difficulties in correctly representing the daytime convective ABL above steep terrain. In inverse modeling studies using coarse resolution models, measurements from mountain sites are usually discarded all together."

Data methods: (p.12916): Measurement data: please add the date of installation for each station in table 1/ I do not understand why the 'Local site characteristics'

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

[Discussion Paper](#)



(par. 3.1) are not included in this description of the sites and instruments. I suggest moving the whole paragraph here.

Yes, that information is missing, and will be included in Table 1 as suggested. Please consider the message of “Local site characteristics” as a description of potential local (< 1 km) influences on greenhouse gas measurements, meteorology and thereby transport. We understand the concerns of referee #2 but we would like to keep the paragraph at this position because it allows discussion of the first two figures, i.e. the site’s local surroundings and the introduction of the difference between “model” and “true” topography.

(p.12917): "the anthropogenic and biospheric contributions": As the atmospheric measurements of CO₂ and CH₄ mole fractions provide only information on the total fluxes, to specifically address the terrestrial component need extra measurements or hypothesis. The separation of terrestrial versus anthropogenic fluxes is not really discussed in the manuscript. For example CO data are not considered. Could you please elaborate your strategy on this issue ?

CO measurements could indeed provide additional insights into the separation between anthropogenic and biospheric signals. However, CO was not measured at all sites and the main aim of this study was to provide a characterization of the network with respect to local meteorological conditions and regional surface sensitivity without addressing in detail the influence of anthropogenic versus biospheric fluxes. This will be covered by a forthcoming publication which will make specific use of the CO measurements to estimate the anthropogenic contribution to CO₂. We will change the sentence to: “In order to derive regional signals of the trace gas concentrations at each site, a background concentration was estimated and subtracted from the measurements.”

(p.12917): "...an accuracy of ~4 ppbv": is it also true for the HORIBA instrument ? Do you have the same accuracy with HORIBA and PICARRO analyzers ? By

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



the way if the CO data are not discussed in the analysis I suggest to remove this details.

Indeed, the HORIBA measurement device does not measure with such precision or accuracy. Here, is intended to briefly document the new measurement devices at all sites and to mention the availability of these measurements. We concede that there is no need for this information if we do not discuss the CO measurements, and will remove this information as suggested.

(p.12920): Land cover dataset: "...to evaluate the sensitivity of the measurement sites to different land cover types (LCT)". As mentioned in the metrics description the comparison of LCTs is made with the hypothesis of "equal surface flux strengths" (p.12922). However I would appreciate that you make it clearer in the discussions about the sensitivity to the different LCTs and to local emissions. I suggest at least to systematically use the term 'potential' sensitivity since it is of course dependent of the intensity of surface fluxes, which also may vary a lot in space and time (both at seasonal at diurnal scales).

The expected concentration signal is indeed a product of sensitivity times flux, but the sensitivity is independent of the actual flux and is not a "potential" sensitivity. However, we agree that the way the sensitivity C_{LCT} is currently discussed in Section 3.3.2 is prone to misunderstanding. We will change the text so that it is clear that the influence or contribution of a certain land cover type is potential. We will change all instances where the influence or contribution is discussed to "potential influence" or "potential contribution." Planned changes:

(P. 12922) With equal surface flux strengths, each LCT would contribute the respective fraction C_{LCT} of the observed signal.

(P. 12931, starting line 23) "Potential monthly land cover type (LCT) contributions C_{LCT} vary little throughout the year, and on average reflect the typical land cover for Switzer-

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



land and Central Europe (Fig. 10). At all sites, the arriving air parcels spent about $\sim 30\%$ of the time directly above forest LCTs, and spent about $\sim 50\text{--}60\%$ of the time above crop and grassland LCTs combined. For example in Fig. 10A, air parcels, which were observed during the month of March 2013 at Beromünster and were in contact with the surface ($< 100\text{ m a.m.g.}$), spent approximately 20% of the time over the evergreen forest LCT, 10% of the time over the deciduous forest LCT, 20% over the grassland LCT, and 30% over the crop LCT.”

(P. 12933) Beromünster exhibits high sensitivity to grasslands, which, along with being located in an intense dairy farming area (Hiller et al., 2014), would potentially increase influence of agricultural methane emissions.

(P. 12933) Similar to Beromünster, Frübüel exhibits high sensitivity to grasslands (Fig. 10), and therefore the influence of methane emissions may be increased (Hiller et al., 2014).

(p.12926): "...respired ΔCO_2 ": you cannot exclude a contribution from combustion sources. CO variation could be interesting as a tracer of combustion. By the way the CH_4 shows the same signal so it cannot be attributed only to respired CO_2 .

We agree that it is speculative to attribute the strong measured signals to respiration fluxes. Indeed, CH_4 shows the same behavior, and the observed variability in both CH_4 and CO_2 is therefore likely due to ABL dynamics. The suggestion makes the need obvious to combine the following sentence and reads: “The nighttime increase of more than 60 ppmv suggests rapid accumulation of ΔCO_2 in the shallow nocturnal boundary layer, and although nocturnal regional advection of ΔCO_2 may also contribute to the nighttime enrichment (Eugster and Siegrist, 2000), low windspeeds at night suggest that the surface influence is limited to a few tens of kilometers from the site.”

Results: (p.12929): high cattle density: the attribution of the summertime CH_4 high values both at Beromünster and Frübüel, to this specific source appears

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

very speculative.

We agree that it is somewhat (not very) speculative without full simulation of methane concentrations, but it fits nonetheless with the surroundings. Reference is made to Hiller et al. (2014), who state that the majority (> 80 %) of all Swiss methane emissions comes from ruminant agriculture, and the two sites Beromünster and Frübüel are both located in regions of high cattle density (see Figure 2a in Hiller et al. 2014). Therefore, we think that it is reasonable to assume that the observations correspond to the measurement site's location.

(p.12930): Gimmiz: I agree that the high values and variations observed at this station are "difficult to understand". I am also surprised that you do not use the CO observations as a tracer of anthropogenic sources versus biogenic ones.

Because we intend to remove all reference to the CO measurements, we will revise this. Revised text: "The large diurnal variation in both of the observed regional signals at Gimmiz is surprising and suggests strong accumulation at nighttime in a stable nocturnal boundary layer."

Figure 3: I suggest to remove Goggle aerial phot which do not bring any additional information.

The aerial photograph helps to visualize the idea conveyed on page 12925, starting on line 5. We think it is justified to highlight the challenge of modeling fluxes and resulting concentration signals in highly fragmented landscapes. This challenge is best noted with an aerial photograph. Therefore, we would like to keep the aerial plots.

References

Eugster, W. and Siegrist, F.: The influence of nocturnal CO₂ advection on CO₂ flux measurements, Basic and Applied Ecology, 1, 177–188, 2000.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



- Gerbig, C., Dolman, A. J., and Heimann, M.: On observational and modelling strategies targeted at regional carbon exchange over continents, *Biogeosciences*, 6, 1949–1959, 10.5194/bg-6-1949-2009, <http://www.biogeosciences.net/6/1949/2009/>, 2009.
- Hiller, R. V., Bretscher, D., DelSontro, T., Diem, T., Eugster, W., Henneberger, R., Hobi, S., Hodson, E., Imer, D., Kreuzer, M., Künzle, T., Merbold, L., Niklaus, P. A., Rihm, B., Schellenberger, A., Schroth, M. H., Schubert, C. J., Siegrist, H., Stieger, J., Buchmann, N., and Brunner, D.: Anthropogenic and natural methane fluxes in Switzerland synthesized within a spatially explicit inventory, *Biogeosciences*, 11, 1941–1959, 10.5194/bg-11-1941-2014, <http://www.biogeosciences.net/11/1941/2014/>, 2014.
- Kretschmer, R., Gerbig, C., Karstens, U., Biavati, G., Vermeulen, A., Vogel, F., Hammer, S., and Totsche, K. U.: Impact of optimized mixing heights on simulated regional atmospheric transport of CO₂, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 14, 7149–7172, 10.5194/acp-14-7149-2014, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/14/7149/2014/>, 2014.
- Pillai, D., Gerbig, C., Ahmadov, R., Rödenbeck, C., Kretschmer, R., Koch, T., Thompson, R., Neininger, B., and Lavrié, J. V.: High-resolution simulations of atmospheric CO₂ over complex terrain – representing the Ochsenkopf mountain tall tower, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 11, 7445–7464, 10.5194/acp-11-7445-2011, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/11/7445/2011/>, 2011.
- Pillai, D., Gerbig, C., Kretschmer, R., Beck, V., Karstens, U., Neininger, B., and Heimann, M.: Comparing Lagrangian and Eulerian models for CO₂ transport – a step towards Bayesian inverse modeling using WRF/STILT-VPRM, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 12, 8979–8991, 10.5194/acp-12-8979-2012, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/12/8979/2012/>, 2012.
- Vesala, T., Kljun, N., Rannik, U., Rinne, J., Sogachev, A., Markkanen, T., Sabelfeld, K., Foken, T., and Leclerc, M. Y.: Flux and concentration footprint modelling: state of the art., *Environmental pollution* (Barking, Essex : 1987), 152, 653–66, 10.1016/j.envpol.2007.06.070, <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17714842>, 2008.

Interactive comment on *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 15, 12911, 2015.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

The CarboCount CH sites: characterization of a dense greenhouse gas observation network

B. Oney^{1,2}, S. Henne¹, N. Gruber^{2,3}, M. Leuenberger⁴, I. Bamberger^{5,*}, W. Eugster⁵, and D. Brunner^{1,2}

¹Empa, Lab. for Air Pollution/Environmental Technology, Dübendorf, Switzerland

²ETH Zurich, Center for Climate Systems Modeling, Zurich, Switzerland

³ETH Zurich, Inst. of Biogeochemistry und Pollutant Dynamics, Zurich, Switzerland

⁴Univ. of Bern, Physics Inst., Climate and Environmental Division, and Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research, Bern, Switzerland

⁵ETH Zurich, Inst. of Agricultural Sciences, Zurich, Switzerland

* now at: Karlsruhe Institute of Technology KIT Institute of Meteorology and Climate Research Atmospheric Environmental Research (IMK-IFU) Kreuzeckbahnstraße 1982467 Garmisch-Partenkirchen, Germany

Correspondence to: D. Brunner (dominik.brunner@empa.ch)

Abstract

We describe a new rural network of four densely placed (< 100 km apart), continuous atmospheric carbon (CO_2 , CH_4 , and CO) measurement sites in north-central Switzerland and analyze ~~their~~its suitability for regional-scale (~ 100 to 500 km) carbon flux studies. We characterize each site for the period from March 2013 to February 2014 by analyzing surrounding land cover, observed local meteorology, and sensitivity to surface fluxes, as simulated with the Lagrangian particle dispersion model FLEXPART-COSMO.

The Beromünster measurements are made on a tall tower (212 m) located on a gentle hill. At Beromünster, regional CO_2 signals (measurement minus background) vary diurnally from -4 to $+4$ ppmv on average, and are simulated to come from nearly the entire Swiss Plateau, where 50% of surface influence is simulated to be within 130 to 260 km distance. The Frübüel site measurements are made 4 m above ground on the flank of a gently sloping mountain. Nearby (< 50 km) pasture and forest fluxes exert the most simulated surface influence, except during convective summertime days when the site is mainly influenced by the eastern Swiss Plateau, which results in summertime regional CO_2 signals varying diurnally from -5 to $+12$ ppmv and elevated summer daytime CH_4 signals ($+30$ ppbv above other sites). The Gimmiz site measurements are made on a small tower (32 m) in flat terrain. Here, strong summertime regional signals (-5 to $+60$ ppmv CO_2) stem from large, nearby (< 50 km) crop and anthropogenic fluxes of the Seeland region, except during warm or windy days when simulated surface influence is of regional scale (< 250 km). The Lägern-Hochwacht measurements are made on a small tower (32 m) on top of the steep Lägern crest, where simulated surface influence is typically of regional scale (130 to 300 km) causing summertime regional signals to vary from -5 to $+8$ ppmv CO_2 . Here, considerable anthropogenic influence from the nearby industrialized region near Zurich cause the average wintertime regional CO_2 signals to be 5 ppmv above the regional signals simultaneously measured at Frübüel site.

We find that the suitability of the datasets from our current observation network for regional carbon budgeting studies largely depends on the ability of the high-resolution (2 km)

atmospheric transport model to correctly capture the temporal dynamics of the stratification of the lower atmosphere at the different sites. The current version of the atmospheric transport model captures these dynamics well, but it clearly reaches its limits at the sites in steep topography, and at the sites that generally remain in the surface layer. Trace gas transport and inverse modeling studies will be necessary to determine the impact of these limitations on our ability to derive reliable regional-scale carbon flux estimates in the complex Swiss landscape.

1 Introduction

The exchange of carbon dioxide (CO_2) with the terrestrial biosphere dominates the observed year-to-year variability in the global carbon sinks (Battle et al., 2000; Sarmiento et al., 2010; Le Quéré et al., 2010) even though land surfaces cover only 30 % of the Earth's surface area. Yet, our ability to quantify this variability in the net terrestrial carbon flux directly from observations has remained limited (Ciais et al., 2014). As a result, in most attempts to determine the global carbon budget, the net terrestrial carbon flux is estimated as the difference between the observed atmospheric carbon growth rate, and the sum of oceanic and anthropogenic carbon fluxes (Sarmiento et al., 2010; Le Quéré et al., 2013). Additionally, the lack of understanding of the feedbacks between climate change and the terrestrial biosphere translates into one of the greatest uncertainties of future climate change projections (Heimann and Reichstein, 2008). An important step toward the reduction of this uncertainty is a better understanding of how the terrestrial biosphere responds to climatic fluctuations and trends. As the processes governing this response are inherently local to regional, this requires the determination of terrestrial carbon fluxes at high spatial resolution (Gerbig et al., 2009).

The currently employed methods to determine terrestrial carbon fluxes from observations include global networks of background CO_2 measurements (Tans et al., 1996) that permit the determination of fluxes at continental scales (Gerbig et al., 2003a), and eddy covariance-based surface flux measurements that have a small-scale (~ 1 km) foot-

print (Baldocchi et al., 2001). This leaves an obvious gap at intermediate scales (10 to 10 000 km), which clearly needs to be filled in order to reliably determine the terrestrial carbon budget (Ciais et al., 2014). The establishment of the North American (Bakwin et al., 1998) and European (<http://www.chiotto.org/>; Vermeulen et al., 2004) tall tower networks represented a big step in this direction, but the high spatial heterogeneity of land cover and the correspondingly large variations in the CO₂ fluxes require even denser observation networks, especially in order to target regional scale (< 1000 km) carbon fluxes (Dolman et al., 2009; Lauvaux et al., 2012). The spatial density of a measurement network required to resolve the spatial distribution of carbon fluxes is dependent on the region of interest and the corresponding carbon flux signatures, and largely remains an open question (Groenendijk et al., 2011; Lauvaux et al., 2012).

Short-term measurement campaigns, such as the Large-Scale Biosphere-Atmosphere Experiment in Amazonia (Andreae et al., 2002), the CO₂ Budget and Rectification Airborne study (Gerbig et al., 2003b), and the CarboEurope Regional Experiment Strategy study (Dolman et al., 2006), have demonstrated the value of regional scale (< 1000 km) datasets, but lacked the temporal coverage for the calculation of annual carbon budgets and the investigation of seasonal carbon flux variation. The Mid-Continent Intensive (MCI) measurement campaign attempted to oversample the US upper midwest over a longer period of time (2007–2009; Miles et al., 2012), but even with an average distance of approximately 188 km between eight towers, Lauvaux et al. (2012) found that the spatial density of the measurement network was insufficient to consistently retrieve the spatial distribution of carbon fluxes.

The CarboCount CH project (<http://www.carbocount.ch>) was designed to overcome some of these limitations. It aims to quantify terrestrial carbon fluxes at high temporal and spatial resolution for multiple years through a combination of greenhouse gas concentration measurements and high-resolution atmospheric transport modeling. Four greenhouse gas measurement sites have been established in the Swiss Plateau (Fig. 1), which is a densely settled, partly flat and hilly region between the Alps and Jura mountains approximately 50 km by 200 km in size with an average altitude of ~ 450 m a.s.l. The measurement sites

are located in rural areas and the average distance between sites is 85 km. The main goal of the CarboCount CH project is to better understand surface fluxes of carbon, which for carbon dioxide (CO_2) mainly consist of anthropogenic emissions and biospheric activity, and for methane (CH_4) mainly ($\sim 80\%$) consist of agricultural emissions (Hiller et al., 2014).

5 The CarboCount CH measurement network includes measurement sites in complex terrain, which warrants the use of high resolution transport models (Pillai et al., 2011). A measurement site's local environment, especially the topography and land cover within < 3 km distance, exerts influence on the local meteorology and thereby largely determines the local surface influence on observed trace gas concentrations (Vesala et al., 2008). Currently, 10 most surface flux estimation studies ~~usually~~ use only afternoon measurements from sites in flat terrain when the ABL is thickest and well-mixed to reduce the sensitivity to errors in the representation of the ABL by the ~~underlying~~ atmospheric transport models (Gerbig et al., 2009; Pillai et al., 2012; Kretschmer et al., 2014). ~~Owing to the requirement of high resolution transport models to represent the winds in complex terrain, many~~ At mountain sites, conversely, nighttime or early morning measurements above the stable ABL are preferentially used due to the difficulties in correctly representing the daytime convective ABL above steep terrain. In inverse modeling studies ~~do not use trace gas concentration using coarse resolution models,~~ measurements from mountain sites are usually discarded all together.

20 The purpose of this study is to characterize the CarboCount CH observation network. We describe the local environment of each of the four measurement sites and investigate how much the local environment influences meteorology and greenhouse gas measurements. Furthermore, we assess the sensitivity of each measurement site to regional surface fluxes with the Lagrangian particle dispersion model FLEXPART-COSMO. Due to the dependence 25 on the employed atmospheric transport model to represent local and regional surface influence, we evaluate simulated meteorology in order to uncover possible transport simulation problems. Thus, we assess the information content of each site's measurements and establish potential guidelines for future transport and inverse modeling studies.

2 Data and methods

2.1 Measurement data

The four measurement sites of the CarboCount CH network (Fig. 1 and Table 1) were equipped with PICARRO (Santa Clara, California, USA) cavity ring-down spectrometers for greenhouse gases measurements (Crosson, 2008; Rella et al., 2013). Additionally, meteorological variables including horizontal wind, humidity, dewpoint, and temperature were measured at all four sites. At Beromünster (BEO), air was sampled from five different heights (212, 132, 72, 45, and 12 m a.g.l.), and carbon dioxide (CO_2), methane (CH_4), water (H_2O) and carbon monoxide (CO) were measured with a PICARRO G2401 analyzer. Meteorology was measured at all heights with Gill MetPak II Remote weather stations (Gill Instruments Ltd., Hampshire, UK). Data used in this study were taken from the highest height. At Gimmiz (GIM), CO_2 , CH_4 , and H_2O concentrations were measured with a PICARRO G2301, and CO with a Horiba APMA360, which were taken at 32 m a.g.l. The same meteorology instrumentation at Beromünster was employed here. At Frübüel (FRU), which is also a Swiss Fluxnet site (Zeeman et al., 2010), CO_2 , CH_4 , and H_2O concentrations were measured with a PICARRO G2301 at 4 m a.g.l. and meteorology variables were measured at a height of 2 m a.g.l. (Bamberger et al., 2015). On the 32 m tower at Lägern-Hochwacht (LAE), CO_2 , CH_4 , CO , and H_2O concentrations were measured with a PICARRO G2401. Here, MeteoSwiss measured the following meteorological variables: wind (Vaisala WA25 Wind Set, Vaisala Inc., Helsinki, Finland), temperature and humidity (Vaisala HMP155), pressure (Vaisala PTB-220).

CO_2 and CH_4 measurements were calibrated against the corresponding international reference scales: WMO X2007 for CO_2 (Zhao and Tans, 2006), and WMO X2004 for CH_4 (Dlugokencky et al., 2005). The calibration of target gas measurements – not used for the determination of calibration coefficients – suggests an accuracy of the CO_2 and CH_4 measurements of ~ 0.07 ppmv and ~ 0.5 ppbv, respectively, computed as the 10 day averaging window RMSE of individual target measurements during the study period. ~~Except for Frübüel, CO was also continuously measured, and was determined to have an accuracy~~

of ~ 4 ppbv, computed as the 10-day averaging window target RMSE. Measurements were summarized to hourly averages for the one-year period from 1 March 2013 to 28 February 2014 considered in this study.

In order to derive regional signals —the anthropogenic and biospheric contributions within the simulation domain— of the trace gas concentrations at each site, a background concentration was estimated and subtracted from the measurements. The background concentration was estimated using the “robust extraction of baseline signal” method (Ruckstuhl et al., 2012) from measurements at the high Alpine research station Jungfraujoch, which mainly samples free tropospheric air (Zellweger et al., 2003; Henne et al., 2010). Measurements at Jungfraujoch were made with a PICARRO G2401 analyzer and referenced to the same calibration scales (Schibig et al., 2015). We investigated the validity of the assumption that this background concentration estimate corresponds to background concentrations by comparing with the NOAA’s Marine Boundary Layer reference (MBL¹) at the corresponding latitude. We found them to be very similar (not shown), but the annual peak-to-peak amplitude of the Jungfraujoch background concentration estimate (~ 8 ppmv) is less than that of the MBL estimate (~ 14 ppmv). However, the qualitative purpose of considering regional signals in this study remains unaffected by these differences.

For Switzerland, agricultural CH₄ emissions constitute more than 80 % of the total emissions and show high spatial variation, but their temporal variations are small and predominantly seasonal (Hiller et al., 2014), due to seasonal relocation of cattle. Therefore, within a season, variations of methane concentrations serve as an atmospheric tracer and specifically as a proxy for the effect of the diurnally varying ABL.

2.2 COSMO meteorology and FLEXPART-COSMO simulations

The sensitivity of the four measurement sites to upwind surface fluxes was assessed using a Lagrangian particle dispersion model (LPDM) driven by meteorological fields from a high resolution numerical weather prediction (NWP) model. As input for the transport

¹<http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/mb/mb.html>.

simulations we used hourly analysis fields from the operational weather forecast system of the Swiss national weather service MeteoSwiss, which is based on the NWP model COSMO. COSMO is a state-of-the-art non-hydrostatic, limited-area NWP model (Baldauf et al., 2011) developed by the Consortium for Small-Scale Modeling under the guidance of the German weather service DWD (Offenbach, Germany). It is the operational weather forecast model of the national weather services in Germany, Greece, Italy, Poland, Romania, and Russia. In the version COSMO-CLM (Climate Limited area Modeling), optimized for climate simulations, it is used by a wide community of regional climate modelers (<http://www.clm-community.eu>).

The operational setup for COSMO simulations of MeteoSwiss includes two nested domains. The outer domain covers large parts of Europe at about $7\text{ km} \times 7\text{ km}$ resolution (COSMO-7). The inner domain covers the Alpine region including Switzerland, Austria and parts of Germany, France and Italy at about $2\text{ km} \times 2\text{ km}$ resolution (COSMO-2). COSMO-7 is driven by European Center for Medium Range Weather Forecast (ECMWF) analysis fields of ECMWF's global IFS model and provides the boundary conditions for the COSMO-2 simulation. Hourly analysis fields are produced for both model domains applying the observational nudging technique (Schraff, 1997) to surface observations of pressure, relative humidity and wind. Similarly, measurements of the same variables plus ambient temperature as taken with sondes and profilers are also assimilated. In addition, a latent heat nudging scheme (Stephan et al., 2008) is used for the COSMO-2 domain to incorporate radar derived rain rates².

COSMO-2 provides the high resolution necessary to represent the complex topography in the alpine area and the topography-induced mesoscale weather patterns. In order to evaluate the ability of COSMO-2 to represent the local meteorology at the four measurement sites, we interpolated COSMO-2 analysis fields horizontally to each site and vertically to 18 altitude levels between 10 and 3240 m above model ground. This allows us to compare COSMO-2 with meteorological measurements at different heights above ground. Furthermore, it allows assessing the effect of a mismatch between the true altitude of a site

²For more details see: <http://cosmo-model.org/content/tasks/operational/default.htm>.

and its representation in the model where the topography is smoothed due to the limited model resolution. Although the gentle hill at Beromünster and the flat area around Gimmiz are well represented, the model's elevation at the mountain sites Frübüel and Lägern-Hochwacht are 169 and 274 m, respectively lower than the true elevation. Therefore, we compared COSMO-2 output at two different levels, i.e., at the altitude of the measurement a.s.l. ("true"), and at the measurement height a.m.g. ("model"). The true altitudes and the corresponding model altitudes are summarized in Table 2.

Hourly COSMO analysis fields were used to drive offline atmospheric transport simulations with a modified version of the LPDM FLEXPART (Stohl et al., 2005). FLEXPART simulates the transport and dispersion of infinitesimally small air parcels (referred to as particles) by advective as well as turbulent and convective transport. It can be run either in forward mode (~~source-oriented~~source-oriented, i.e. released from sources) or backward mode (~~receptor-oriented~~receptor-oriented, i.e. released backward from receptors). The advective component is calculated from the 3-D wind fields provided by COSMO, and the turbulent transport is based on the scheme of Hanna (1982), which diagnoses ABL and turbulence profiles for stable, neutral and unstable boundary layers based on Monin–Obukhov similarity theory. FLEXPART was modified to run directly on the native grid of COSMO, which is a rotated longitude-latitude grid on a hybrid geometric (i.e. fixed in space) vertical coordinate system. The fact that the original FLEXPART model already operates on a fixed-in-space co-ordinate system greatly facilitated the adaptation to COSMO. In order to be as compatible as possible with the driving NWP model, the same version of the Tiedtke sub-grid convection scheme (Tiedtke, 1989) as used in COSMO-7 was implemented in FLEXPART-COSMO replacing the standard Emanuel convection scheme (Forster et al., 2007). Convection is treated as a grid-scale process in COSMO-2 and, hence, no sub-grid convection parameterization is run in either COSMO or FLEXPART-COSMO for the $2\text{ km} \times 2\text{ km}$ domain. From all four sites, backward transport simulations with FLEXPART-COSMO were started every 3 h to trace the origin of the observed air parcels. In each simulation, 50 000 particles were released from the site's position at site-dependent heights above ground and traced backward in time over 4 days or until they left the simulation domain. The simulations were

performed in a nested configuration with COSMO-2 providing the meteorological inputs for the inner domain and COSMO-7 for the outer domain once the particles left the COSMO-2 region. Simulated residence times τ ($\text{s m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$; described in Sect. 2.4) were generated for two separate output domains: a high-resolution grid over Switzerland at $0.02^\circ \times 0.015^\circ$ horizontal resolution extending from 4.97 to 11.05° E and 45.4875 to 48.5475° N, and a coarser European grid at $0.16^\circ \times 0.12^\circ$ resolution extending from -11.92 to 21.04° E and 36.06 to 57.42° N. Due to the relatively well represented topography at Beromünster and Gimmiz, we chose to release particles at the measurement height above model ground level. The relatively poor representation of topography around Frübüel and Lägern-Hochwacht, however, led us to release particles from a layer between “true” and “model” height rather than from a single height. The particle release heights were chosen based on meteorological evaluation of COSMO presented below and are listed in Table 2.

2.3 Land cover dataset

In order to evaluate the sensitivity of the measurement sites to different land cover types (LCT), a dataset of fractional land cover was produced for the FLEXPART-COSMO output domains. The land cover data set consists of LCTs classified according to the land-unit/plant functional type approach used in the land surface model CLM4 (Bonan et al., 2002; Lawrence et al., 2011). Two main sources of land cover data sets were used: the CORINE2006 European land cover data with a resolution of $100 \text{ m} \times 100 \text{ m}$ (EEA, 2007) and the MODIS MCD12Q1 IGBP land cover categorization (Friedl et al., 2010) for the reference year 2011 with a resolution of $500 \text{ m} \times 500 \text{ m}$, at locations where CORINE2006 was unavailable. For simplification, we summed plant functional type fractions according to primary plant functional type e.g. temperate evergreen needleleaf forest plus boreal evergreen needleleaf forest or temperate deciduous broadleaf shrub plus boreal deciduous broadleaf shrub. As expected, the temperate and boreal climate zones comprise all of the simulation domain. Further simplifications of plant functional types were according to leaf phenology of forests; e.g. we summed deciduous broad-leaf and deciduous needle-leaf forest land cover

fractions. Furthermore, lakes and wetlands were summed to “Freshwater areas”, and the plant cover type “Bare” and glacier coverage were also summed to “Bare areas”.

2.4 Regional surface influence metrics

We define surface sensitivity τ_{100} ($\text{s m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$) as the residence time of the particles in a 100 m thick layer above model ground divided by the density of dry air in that layer. A layer thickness of 100 m was selected as a compromise between the requirement of selecting a height low enough to be always located in the well-mixed part of the ABL and high enough to allow for a statistically sufficient number of particles in the layer. The results were largely insensitive to this choice as confirmed by comparing with results for τ_{50} (50 m) and τ_{200} (200 m). Maps of the total residence time summed over the (four-day) simulation period are commonly referred to as footprints and describe the sensitivity of a measurement site to upwind surface fluxes (Seibert and Frank, 2004). For this study only monthly or seasonally averaged residence times were used to characterize the surface influence of the four sites, and are hereafter referred to as mean surface sensitivities, $\bar{\tau}$. Further temporally averaged quantities also have an overline.

The spatial sum of monthly mean surface sensitivities, the total surface sensitivity $\bar{T}_t$, gives a direct approximation of how much the air parcels arriving at a site have been in contact with the simulation domain’s surface during the simulation period, and is defined as

$$\bar{T}_t = \sum_{i,j} \bar{\tau}_{i,j}, \quad (1)$$

where i and j are spatial indices.

Short-term variations in observed trace gas concentrations are mainly determined by upwind surface fluxes, which vary with the associated land cover type. In order to investigate influence of different LCTs on each measurement site, monthly LCT contributions C_{LCT} were calculated as the weighted mean of LCT fractions $f_{\text{LCT},i,j}$ over the FLEXPART output grid, using monthly mean surface sensitivities $\bar{\tau}_{i,j}$ as weights (Eq. 2). With equal surface flux strengths, each LCT would ~~constitute~~ contribute the respective fraction C_{LCT} of the

observed signal.

$$C_{\text{LCT}} = \frac{1}{\bar{T}_t} \sum_{i,j} \bar{\tau}_{i,j} \cdot f_{\text{LCT},i,j} \quad (2)$$

In order to better gauge the decrease of surface sensitivity with increasing distance, we define the radial surface sensitivity $\bar{T}_k$ for a site as

$$\bar{T}_k = \frac{1}{\Delta d} \sum_{i,j} \bar{\tau}_{i,j} \quad \forall i,j : \quad d_k < d_{i,j} < d_k + \Delta d, \quad (3)$$

where $d_{i,j}$ is the great-circle distance of the grid cell with indices i and j from the measurement's site position, and the index k defining a discrete distance bin of width Δd .

In order to compare the area of surface influence of each site, we investigated cumulative surface sensitivities defined as

$$s(\tau) = \sum_{i,j} \bar{\tau}_{i,j} \quad \forall i,j : \quad \bar{\tau}_{i,j} > \tau. \quad (4)$$

The area of surface influence is then defined as the region surrounding the site bounded by the isoline τ_{s50} , at which the cumulated surface sensitivity includes 50 % of the total surface sensitivity:

$$\tau_{\text{s50}} : s(\tau_{\text{s50}}) = 0.5 \bar{T}_t. \quad (5)$$

Similar metrics were computed by Gloor et al. (2001) using trajectory simulations. They derived a concentration footprint from the decay of the correlation between population density, integrated along the trajectories, and C_2Cl_4 measurements with increasing distance from the measurement site. Although they showed the robustness of their methods, we argue that the independence of $\bar{T}_k$ and τ_{s50} from trace gas measurements and associated surface fluxes constitutes an improvement of the definition of the concentration footprint. Furthermore, the application of an LPDM model that better describes atmospheric transport and dispersion constitutes a clear improvement over their approach but comes at much higher computational cost.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Local site characteristics

The Beromünster site is a 212 m tall, decommissioned radio tower located on a gentle hill in an agricultural area in central Switzerland with an elevation of 797 m a.s.l. at the base (Fig. 2). Several small farms are located in the vicinity of the tower, and the town of Beromünster (< 7000 inhabitants) is approximately 2 km to the north. The adjacent valley bottoms are at an elevation of approximately 500 and 650 m a.s.l. Beromünster's surroundings consist of a mosaic of agricultural uses: crops, managed grasslands, and a forested area towards the south.

The 32 m tall water tower near Gimmiz is the westernmost site of the network and is located in the flat Seeland region, a former wetland area which was converted to agricultural land in the nineteenth century (Fig. 2). The town of Aarberg (< 5000 inhabitants) is at a distance of approximately 2 km to the southwest, and a farm is situated 200 m to the northeast. The flat area around Gimmiz mainly consists of agricultural plots of seasonal crops, known as the “vegetable garden” of Switzerland. Furthermore, the area directly surrounding Gimmiz is under groundwater protection and further surroundings are under water protection, which means that cattle grazing and use of fertilizer is tightly regulated or forbidden.

About 30 km to the southwest-southeast of Beromünster, Frübüel, a Swiss Fluxnet site (Zeeman et al., 2010), is located at an altitude of 987 m a.s.l. on the flank of the gently sloping pre-Alpine Zugerberg, some 500 m above the valley floor (Fig. 3). The region consists of glacial lakes, managed forests and seasonal pastures. The city of Zug 10 km to the north and the small town of Walchwil 2 km to the southwest are the major nearby anthropogenic sources. A small farm is located approximately 300 m to the south. Seasonal pasture surrounds the site, and approximately 50 m to the west there is a small patch of forest, the canopy of which is higher than the measurement inlet at 4 m a.g.l.

The site Lägern-Hochwacht, a 32 m tall tower, is located on the east-west oriented mountain ridge Lägern at an altitude of 840 m a.s.l., and is north of the city of Zurich in the most industrialized and densely settled area of Switzerland (Fig. 3). The terrain falls off steeply to

the north and south from the site, and the Lägern crest extends about 10 km westwards at similar altitude. Lägern-Hochwacht is surrounded by deciduous and coniferous forest with a maximal canopy height of 20 m.

Model topography and land cover

Although the main topographic features of Switzerland are represented, the spatial resolution of 2 km creates large differences between true and model topography at the two mountain sites Frübüel and Lägern-Hochwacht (see Table 1 and Fig. 3, second column). In the model topography, the general shape of the Zugerberg remains, but the site's altitude is much lower (169 m). The steep Lägern crest is not identifiable in the model topography, and therefore the site's model altitude is much lower (274 m). On the other hand, Beromünster's altitude is slightly lower in the model topography (74 m), but the local topographical features remain identifiable. Furthermore, the plain topography surrounding Gimmiz compares well with the model topography, and the site's model altitude is slightly higher (9 m).

The land cover in Switzerland is highly fragmented at scales smaller than 2 km (Figs. 2 and 3, third column). The actual variety of land cover, specifically plant functional types, is usually highly simplified in land surface models. Groenendijk et al. (2011) concluded that this simplification may have significant consequences for regional carbon flux modeling, especially in highly heterogeneous landscapes, such as Switzerland. Therefore, the influence of local fluxes on measured concentrations will still likely be difficult to simulate even at this relatively high resolution.

3.2 Local meteorology and diurnal cycle

The measured wind roses indicate frequent air flow channeling between the Jura mountain range and the Alps, resulting in either southwesterly or northeasterly wind directions (Fig. 4). Frübüel is an exception, where the local environment likely redirects prevailing winds. Due to the highest measurement altitude, Beromünster observed the highest wind-speeds. At Gimmiz, lower wind speeds due to lower measurement altitude and high fre-

quency of northeasterly winds, colloquially known as “Bise”, are measured. Frübüel occasionally observes strong winds from southeasterly directions during Foehn events (Bamberger et al., 2015). Similar to Beromünster, Lägern-Hochwacht observed high windspeeds, which were highly channeled from either northeast or southwest.

At Beromünster, the diurnal cycles of measured windspeed show higher values during nighttime than daytime indicating presence in the mixed layer during daytime and transition to the nocturnal residual layer during nighttime (Figs. 5 and 6). In summer, specific humidity exhibits an increase between 07:00 and 10:00 UTC (08:00–11:00 LT) and a simultaneous decrease in windspeed, which likely occurs as the expanding mixed layer gradually ascends past the tower top. The peak of specific humidity corresponds temporally to that of summertime regional methane signals (ΔCH_4), further indicating mixed layer influence. Summertime regional CO_2 signals (ΔCO_2) show only a small diurnal (± 4 ppmv) variability, which corresponds to the expectation of a weak signal from diurnal surface flux variations at the top of a tall tower (Andrews et al., 2014). Wintertime diurnal variability is hardly discernible in both CO_2 and CH_4 concentrations, indicating a weak influence of ABL dynamics.

At Gimmiz, the increase in windspeed during the day and decrease during the night indicates constant presence of the measurement inlet in the surface layer, contrary to Beromünster (Figs. 5 and 6). In summer and winter, CO_2 and CH_4 are negatively correlated with windspeed, further emphasizing the influence of diurnal ABL dynamics. The nighttime increase of more than 60 ppmv suggests rapid accumulation of ~~respired~~ ΔCO_2 in the shallow nocturnal boundary layer. ~~Nocturnal~~, and although nocturnal regional advection of ΔCO_2 may also contribute to the nighttime enrichment (Eugster and Siegrist, 2000), ~~although~~ low windspeeds at night suggest that the surface influence is limited to a few tens of kilometers from the site. Both the high wintertime CO_2 concentrations (30 ppmv above background), and the high correlation between wintertime ΔCH_4 and ΔCO_2 indicate that wintertime diurnal ABL dynamics are responsible for observed diurnal variability. Please note that the winter was atypically mild (2.3°C above the norm from 1961–1990; MeteoSwiss, 2014) for regions north of the Alpine divide, which would have caused increased wintertime respiration, and contributed to the high observed CO_2 concentrations.

At Frübüel, the large magnitude in the temperature daily cycle, the low measured wind-speeds, and the high humidity indicate strong surface influence (Figs. 7 and 8), and is consistent with the near-surface measurement height. During summer, CO₂ concentrations decreased in the morning along with an increase in temperature and humidity, both before windspeed increased. This typifies influence of photosynthetic activity, and further indicates strong local surface influence.

The second mountaintop site Lägern-Hochwacht shows a similar behavior to Beromünster with a delayed increase in daytime temperatures and higher windspeeds at night than during the day (Figs. 7 and 8). Especially during summer, the diurnal cycles of measured windspeed show higher values during nighttime than daytime, which indicates a shift from the mixed layer during daytime to the residual layer during nighttime, and an increased influence of nocturnal jets. Specific humidity exhibits an increase between 06:00 and 09:00 UTC (07:00–10:00 LT) and a simultaneous decrease in windspeed, further indicating a shift to the mixed layer. The delay in the decrease of ΔCO_2 and peak of ΔCH_4 at 09:00 UTC indicate upward mixing of air containing nocturnally accumulated CO₂ and CH₄, which we also observe at Beromünster. On average, the mixed layer begins to influence Lägern-Hochwacht measurements an hour earlier than at Beromünster. During winter, the additional 5 ppmv offset compared to Frübüel in the flat diurnal cycle of ΔCO_2 and ΔCH_4 concentrations indicates nearby anthropogenic sources, and weak influence from ABL dynamics, respectively.

COSMO-2 meteorology evaluation

Beromünster's simulated wind roses compare well with the observed wind roses, but high windspeeds are simulated too frequently (Fig. 4). Simulated and measured diurnal cycles agree well in all seasons, and simulations at the measurement height above model ground level at 212 m agree slightly better (Figs. 5 and 6). Small differences from the measurements include an overestimation of windspeeds in the afternoon in summer, and a delayed and too small increase in temperature during winter.

At Frübüel, neither the dominating wind directions nor the wind speeds are well reproduced by the COSMO-2 model suggesting strong localized influences on wind patterns. The lowest model output level (10 m a.g.l.) compares best with the near-surface meteorological characteristics of Frübüel. Because the model is evaluated at the center of the lowest model layer at about 10 m a.g.l. and the meteorological measurements are closer to the surface at 2 m a.g.l., a general overestimation of windspeeds is expected. The timing of simulated and measured diurnal variations of humidity and windspeed correspond, but humidity is biased low and windspeed is biased high throughout the day. Furthermore, the amplitude of the daily temperature cycle is underestimated, most notably in winter. On the other hand, simulated wintertime temperatures show a warm bias even at the true station height, well above the surface.

At Gimmiz, the simulated wind roses compare well, but a small bias in the northeastern wind direction exists. The diurnal cycle simulations agree well with the measurements in summer and winter. However, simulated nighttime temperature and windspeed are overestimated suggesting a too well mixed nighttime ABL, which in an inverse modeling framework would likely lead to an overestimation of nighttime respiration, due to an overly diluted trace gas signal.

In the highly smoothed model topography, Lägern-Hochwacht is more similar to Beromünster (compare cyan lines in Figs. 2b-B and 3b-B). Therefore, the rotation to a more north-southerly axis of observed winds is most likely a local topographic effect exerted by the east-west oriented ridge on the prevailing southwesterly and northeasterly winds. The wintertime simulated temperature is too high on average at all heights shown, similar to Frübüel. The measured meteorology is usually bracketed by the simulations evaluated at 32 m a.m.g. and at the true height (306 m a.m.g.), indicating that the site would be represented best by an intermediate simulation height.

Where the “model” and “true” topography are similar, simulated and measured meteorology show good agreement for Beromünster and Gimmiz. Contrastingly, local meteorology is not reproduced accurately at the mountaintop sites due likely to the smoothed model topography. The relatively poor meteorology simulations could cause problems with simu-

lating trace gas observations if either the influence of local sources and sinks near the site were important and not well represented, or the local topography or meteorology induces vertical transport that the transport model misrepresents.

At Beromünster and Gimmiz, where “true” and “model” topography differs little, measurement and simulations agree best at the measurement height above model ground level. For Frübüel and Lägern-Hochwacht, the optimal simulation height above model ground, according to the meteorology evaluation, appears to be between the “model” measurement height and the “true” measurement height.

3.3 Regional surface influence

3.3.1 Measured regional signals

Over the Swiss Plateau, daytime monthly averaged regional CO_2 signals (ΔCO_2) vary from -5 ppmv during warm summer days to $+15$ ppmv during cold winter days (Fig. 9a and bA and B). During the warmer months at daytime, intense vertical mixing caused regional CO_2 signals to be similar across sites. During the months of May and November, stormy weather reduced diurnal variation and the differences in regional signals between sites.

With a similar temporal pattern to CO_2 regional signals, daytime monthly averaged regional CH_4 signals (ΔCH_4) vary from $+0.05$ ppmv ($+50$ ppbv) during warm summer days to $+0.1$ ppmv ($+100$ ppbv) during cold winter days (Fig. 9c and dC and D). Due to the same meteorological conditions conducive to vertical mixing during summer days and the months of May and November, regional CH_4 signals are similar across the measurement network.

On the other hand, the atmospheric stratification that accompanies reduced solar heating caused regional signals to differ more between measurement sites during nighttime and winter. For example, the cold and fair weather during December and associated high atmospheric stratification reduced diurnal variation and increased the differences between sites. Furthermore, due to a combination of site characteristics and atmospheric stratification, regional signals differed most between Beromünster and Gimmiz.

The small diurnal variation of observed regional CO₂ and CH₄ signals at Beromünster is expected, being vertically distant enough from the surrounding land surface to rarely observe nocturnal respiration fluxes. This damped signal contrasts that of the other sites and is often similar to the daytime measurement values of other sites. Interestingly, summer nighttime measurements are similar to the background estimate. Also, the higher daytime regional CH₄ signals during summertime coincide with the location in an area of very high cattle density (Hiller et al., 2014). During winter, relatively low CO₂ measurements indicate minimal anthropogenic influence.

The large diurnal variation in both of the observed regional signals at Gimmiz is difficult to understand. The strong CO₂ signals are likely related to the combination of fluxes from nearby settlements and crops, and a stable nocturnal boundary layer. The summertime peak in nighttime regional signals points toward a biogenic cause. Both the high water table and the practice of till farming may also contribute to the biogenic CO₂ fluxes, and the high water table would aid understanding of the strong CH₄ signals. Again, the strong nighttime regional signals may also be due to nocturnal regional advection of CO₂ (Eugster and Siegrist, 2000), although low windspeeds do not support this hypothesis.

At Frübüel, local topography is not conducive to a stable nocturnal surface layer, and therefore the nighttime regional CO₂ signals are likely not as high as would be expected in flat terrain. The summertime peak in nighttime regional CO₂ signals is not as intense as Gimmiz, but shows similar annual variation, pointing towards respiration fluxes. As at Beromünster, relatively low wintertime CO₂ measurements indicate minimal anthropogenic influence. The higher daytime regional CH₄ signals during summertime coincide with the location in an area of high cattle density, also similar to Beromünster. ~~Interestingly, regional signals do not seem influenced by the cattle grazing on the pastures directly surrounding Frübüel during the months of June and December (Bamberger et al., 2015).~~

At Lägern-Hochwacht, observed diurnal variation of regional CO₂ signals is small, similar to Beromünster (Fig. 9). During winter, the elevated day- and nighttime regional signals indicate a strong anthropogenic influence, which aligns with the surrounding industrialized area. ~~Furthermore, the daytime values of both Δ and Δ are higher than at nighttime,~~

~~which indicates either similar sources or, more likely, a polluted temperature inversion layer thermally expanding during the day and contracting during the night.~~

3.3.2 Simulated surface influence

The monthly total surface sensitivities ($\bar{T}_t$) differ most between sites during periods of higher atmospheric stratification (Fig. 9 ~~e and f~~ E and F), which is mainly due to the difference between particle release altitude at the site and average altitude of the surrounding (< 500 km) land surfaces. Therefore, the difference between Beromünster and Gimmiz is greatest, and results from being located on a tall tower on top of a hill or on a flat plain on a small tower with associated particle release altitudes at 1014 and 485 m a.s.l., respectively. In short, air parcels arriving at Gimmiz had the most contact with the land surface, whereas air parcels arriving at Beromünster had the least contact with the land surface.

The annual variation of the total surface sensitivities is very similar to the observed regional greenhouse gas signals, which indicates qualitative success in simulating surface sensitivity (Fig. 9). For example, during the warmer months at daytime, increased vertical mixing causes total surface sensitivities to be similar across sites. During the months of May and November, stormy weather also reduced differences between sites. Total surface sensitivity differences between measurement sites increased during nighttime and winter, similar to the regional signals. Again, the cold and clear weather during December and associated high air mass stratification reduced diurnal variation and caused increased the differences between sites. That is, for the same reasons we qualitatively understand annual and diurnal variation in regional signals, we can understand variation in total surface sensitivity.

Monthly Potential monthly land cover type (LCT) contributions C_{LCT} vary little throughout the year, and on average reflect the typical land cover for Switzerland and Central Europe (Fig. 10). At all sites, ~~forest similarly influences~~ the arriving air parcels by spent about $\sim 30\%$ ~~; and a variable combination of crops and grasslands constitutes most of the surface influence (combined directly above forest LCTs, and spent about $\sim 50\text{--}60\%$) of the time above crop and grassland LCTs combined~~. For example in Fig. 10aA, air parcels, which were observed during the month of March 2013 at Beromünster and were in contact

with the surface ($< 100 \text{ m a.m.g.}$), spent approximately 20 % of the time over the evergreen forest LCT, 10 % of the time over the deciduous forest LCT, 20 % over the grassland LCT, and 30 % over the crop LCT.

Given the contrasting meteorological conditions of night and day, and winter and summer, mean surface sensitivity generally decreased with increasing distance from the sites (Fig. 11), as expected. The average distance at which 50 % of the total sensitivity had accumulated is between 50 km (summer nighttime and winter) and 300 km (summer daytime) and is larger for Beromünster and Lägern-Hochwacht than for Frübüel and Gimmiz (Fig. 11, vertical dotted lines).

The areas of surface influence exhibit much geographic overlap during periods of increased vertical mixing, during summer days, and are smaller and overlap less during periods of decreased vertical mixing, at night and during winter (Fig. 12). In the summer afternoon, all sites exhibit a similar area of surface influence due to the rapid vertical mixing in the mixed layer. The northeast-southwest orientation of the areas of surface influence is consistent with observed air flow channeling between the Jura mountain range and the Alps (Fig. 4). Abnormally frequent southerly winds during January and February 2014 caused areas of surface influence to be pronounced towards the south during the winter.

When considering the area of surface influence or the distance-dependent decay of surface sensitivity during periods of higher atmospheric stratification, Gimmiz and Frübüel are similar and Beromünster and Lägern-Hochwacht are also similar. This shows the effect of presence within the surface layer on surface sensitivity. That is, presence within the surface layer usually results in a sharp decrease of surface sensitivity with distance, and a correspondingly small area of surface influence as seen at Gimmiz and Frübüel. Contrastingly, the location above the surface layer during periods of higher atmospheric stratification results in an initial increase of surface sensitivity with distance before decreasing, and results in a relatively large area of surface influence as seen at Beromünster and Lägern-Hochwacht at nighttime and during winter.

Beromünster exhibits the lowest total surface sensitivity of the sites (Fig. 9), and surface sensitivity initially increases before decreasing (except summer afternoon) as distance

from the measurement site position increases (Fig. 11). Here, we find the conceptual understanding of the exponential decay of surface sensitivity with increasing distance from a tall tower site, as presented by Gloor et al. (2001), to be valid only during well mixed conditions (Fig. 11ec). The area of surface influence is the largest of all sites on average (Fig. 12), as expected. Beromünster exhibits high sensitivity to grasslands, which, along with being located in an intense dairy farming area (Hiller et al., 2014), would ~~likely increase sensitivity to~~ potentially increase influence of agricultural methane emissions. The LCTs observed at Beromünster represent typical land cover for Switzerland.

Gimmiz exhibits a high total surface influence (Fig. 9) that decreases sharply with increasing distance from the site (Fig. 11). The area of surface influence for Gimmiz covers the Seeland on average (Fig. 12). Opposite to Beromünster, the cold and clear weather during December caused increased coupling to the nearby surface (< 50 km, Fig. 11) and higher total surface sensitivity (Fig. 9), which corresponds to the small wintertime area of surface influence (Fig. 12). Gimmiz exhibits a high sensitivity to crop LCTs (Fig. 10), which is due to pronounced near-field surface sensitivity (especially in December) and the intense agricultural activity typical of the Seeland region. Qualitative understanding of the observed higher wintertime CO_2 at Gimmiz (Fig. 9) is aided by the higher surface sensitivity to urban areas (Fig. 10).

Frübüel exhibits an area of surface influence pronounced to the south, covering the immediate prealpine area well (Fig. 12). Due to the frequent presence in the surface layer, the surface sensitivity decreases quickly with increasing distance (Fig. 11). Total surface sensitivity at Frübüel during wintertime is relatively small (Fig. 9). This is likely due to the higher particle release altitude (853–913 m a.s.l.) and corresponding vertical distance from the average altitude of the Swiss Plateau (~ 450 m a.s.l.). Similar to Beromünster, Frübüel exhibits high sensitivity to grasslands (Fig. 10), and ~~thereby increased sensitivity to methane emissions~~ therefore the influence of methane emissions may be increased (Hiller et al., 2014). Frübüel shows the highest sensitivity to “Bare”, alpine areas and “Freshwater areas”, due to the proximity to the Alps, and the many nearby prealpine lakes.

The surface sensitivity as a function of distance (Fig. 11) and area of surface influence (Fig. 12) of Lägern-Hochwacht show similarity to those of Beromünster. Total surface sensitivities are greater than those of Beromünster, but less than those of the other sites (Fig. 9), which the relatively large areas of surface influence also indicate. The comparably high sensitivity to distant surfaces is due to the elevated release height and small vertical distance from surrounding land surfaces. The particle release altitudes are lower (666–766 m a.s.l.) than Beromünster or Frübüel and thereby vertically closer to the average Swiss Plateau altitude (~ 450 m a.s.l.). This results in relatively higher total surface sensitivity during periods of increased atmospheric stratification (Fig. 9), which, with the increased sensitivity to urban areas (Fig. 10), help to qualitatively explain comparably high observed wintertime CO_2 concentrations.

4 Conclusions

The four measurement sites of the CarboCount CH network provide complementary data sets to constrain emissions from the Swiss Plateau, but would not be useful for constraining emissions south of the Alpine divide, for example. At Gimmiz and Frübüel, the local environment exerts much influence, causing strong local signals to dominate the time-series. Therefore, a measurement data filter to remove the strong local signal will be necessary for the Frübüel measurements and likely for the Gimmiz measurements. On the other hand, the local environment (< 10 km) exerts little influence on the measurements made at Beromünster and Lägern-Hochwacht, where mainly regional-scale signals are observed.

Measurement sites in complex terrain still present formidable challenges for numerical weather prediction and thereby atmospheric transport modeling. The differences we found between simulated and measured local meteorology are likely due to differences between true and model topography. The ability to simulate local meteorology likely translates into the ability to accurately simulate local surface influence, which is an important aspect to simulate due to the potentially large contribution of local surface fluxes to observed greenhouse gas concentration variation, such as at the sites Frübüel and Gimmiz. Furthermore,

the requirements for the spatial density and infrastructure of the measurement network are driven by periods of high atmospheric stratification and by local wind patterns. For example, due to the likely constant presence in the surface layer and resulting highly variable area of surface influence, the time-series from Gimmiz is mainly useful for constraining Swiss Plateau emissions during warm days, although the tower is the same height as the tower at Lägern-Hochwacht. We recommend similar meteorological model evaluation and regional influence studies when making preliminary considerations about measurement network design and deployment.

Land cover and vegetation types influencing arriving air parcel concentrations vary little throughout the year and differences between sites are due to proximal (< 50 km) land cover. Nonetheless, the observed greenhouse gas concentrations differ substantially between sites. Thus, the collected information-rich datasets present a formidable challenge for terrestrial carbon flux modelers.

Acknowledgements. This study was funded by the Swiss National Funds (SNF) as part of the “CarboCount CH” Sinergia Project (Grant Number: CRSII2_136273). We acknowledge the use of the Jungfraujoch trace gas measurements carried out by Martin Steinbacher, Empa, in the framework of the Integrated Carbon Observation System in Switzerland (ICOS-CH), SNF Grant 20FI21_1489921. We also acknowledge MeteoSwiss for the provision of their operational COSMO analysis products and of the meteorological measurements at Lägern-Hochwacht. Swiss Fluxnet is acknowledged for the meteorological measurements at Frübüel. We thank Tesfaye Berhanu and Lukas Emmenegger for reading draft versions and giving helpful comments.

References

- Andreae, M. O., Artaxo, P., Brandão, C., Carswell, F. E., Ciccioli, P., da Costa, A. L., Culf, A. D., Esteves, J. L., Gash, J. H. C., Grace, J., Kabat, P., Lelieveld, J., Malhi, Y., Manzi, A. O., Meixner, F. X., Nobre, A. D., Nobre, C., Ruivo, M. d. L. P., Silva-Dias, M. A., Stefani, P., Valentini, R., von Jouanne, J., and Waterloo, M. J.: Biogeochemical cycling of carbon, water, energy, trace gases, and aerosols in Amazonia: the LBA-EUSTACH experiments, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, 1–25, doi:10.1029/2001JD000524, 2002.
- Andrews, A. E., Kofler, J. D., Trudeau, M. E., Williams, J. C., Neff, D. H., Masarie, K. A., Chao, D. Y., Kitzis, D. R., Novelli, P. C., Zhao, C. L., Dlugokencky, E. J., Lang, P. M., Crotwell, M. J., Fischer, M. L., Parker, M. J., Lee, J. T., Baumann, D. D., Desai, A. R., Stanier, C. O., De Wekker, S. F. J., Wolfe, D. E., Munger, J. W., and Tans, P. P.: CO₂, CO, and CH₄ measurements from tall towers in the NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory's Global Greenhouse Gas Reference Network: instrumentation, uncertainty analysis, and recommendations for future high-accuracy greenhouse gas monitoring efforts, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 7, 647–687, doi:10.5194/amt-7-647-2014, 2014.
- Bakwin, P., Tans, P., Hurst, D., and Zhao, C.: Measurements of carbon dioxide on very tall towers: results of the NOAA/CMDL program, *Tellus B*, 50, 401–415, doi:10.1034/j.1600-0889.1998.t01-4-00001.x, 1998.
- Baldauf, M., Seifert, A., Förstner, J., Majewski, D., Raschendorfer, M., and Reinhardt, T.: Operational convective-scale numerical weather prediction with the COSMO model: description and sensitivities, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 139, 3887–3905, doi:10.1175/MWR-D-10-05013.1, 2011.
- Baldocchi, D., Falge, E., Gu, L., Olson, R., Hollinger, D., Running, S., Anthoni, P., Bernhofer, C., Davis, K., Evans, R., Fuentes, J., Goldstein, A., Katul, G., Law, B., Lee, X., Malhi, Y., Meyers, T., Munger, W., Oechel, W., Paw U, K. T., Pilegaard, K., Schmid, H. P., Valentini, R., Verma, S., Vesala, T., Wilson, K., and Wofsy, S.: FLUXNET: a new tool to study the temporal and spatial variability of ecosystem-scale carbon dioxide, water vapor, and energy flux densities, *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 82, 2415–2434, doi:10.1175/1520-0477(2001)082<2415:FANTTS>2.3.CO;2, 2001.

- Bamberger, I., Oney, B., Brunner, D., Henne, S., Leuenberger, M., Buchmann, N., and Eugster, W.: Observation of atmospheric methane and carbon dioxide mixing ratios: Tall tower or mountain top stations?, [in-preparation submitted to Boundary Layer Meteorology](#), 2015.
- Battle, M., Bender, M., Tans, P. P., White, J., Ellis, J., Conway, T., and Francey, R.: Global carbon sinks and their variability inferred from atmospheric O_2 and $\delta^{13}C$, *Science*, 287, 2467–2470, 2000.
- Bonan, G. B., Levis, S., Kergoat, L., and Oleson, K. W.: Landscapes as patches of plant functional types: an integrating concept for climate and ecosystem models, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 16, 1–23, doi:10.1029/2000GB001360, 2002.
- Ciais, P., Dolman, A. J., Bombelli, A., Duren, R., Peregon, A., Rayner, P. J., Miller, C., Gobron, N., Kinderman, G., Marland, G., Gruber, N., Chevallier, F., Andres, R. J., Balsamo, G., Bopp, L., Bréon, F.-M., Broquet, G., Dargaville, R., Battin, T. J., Borges, A., Bovensmann, H., Buchwitz, M., Butler, J., Canadell, J. G., Cook, R. B., DeFries, R., Engelen, R., Gurney, K. R., Heinze, C., Heimann, M., Held, A., Henry, M., Law, B., Luyssaert, S., Miller, J., Moriyama, T., Moulin, C., Myneni, R. B., Nussli, C., Obersteiner, M., Ojima, D., Pan, Y., Paris, J.-D., Piao, S. L., Poulter, B., Plummer, S., Quegan, S., Raymond, P., Reichstein, M., Rivier, L., Sabine, C., Schimel, D., Tarasova, O., Valentini, R., Wang, R., van der Werf, G., Wickland, D., Williams, M., and Zehner, C.: Current systematic carbon-cycle observations and the need for implementing a policy-relevant carbon observing system, *Biogeosciences*, 11, 3547–3602, doi:10.5194/bg-11-3547-2014, 2014.
- Crosson, E. R.: A cavity ring-down analyzer for measuring atmospheric levels of methane, carbon dioxide, and water vapor, *Appl. Phys. B*, 92, 403–408, doi:10.1007/s00340-008-3135-y, 2008.
- Dlugokencky, E. J., Myers, R. C., Lang, P. M., Masarie, K. A., Crotwell, A. M., Thoning, K. W., Hall, B. D., Elkins, J. W., and Steele, L. P.: Conversion of NOAA atmospheric dry air CH_4 mole fractions to a gravimetrically prepared standard scale, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, D18306, doi:10.1029/2005JD006035, 2005.
- Dolman, A. J., Tolck, L., Ronda, R., Noilhan, J., Sarrat, C., Brut, A., Piguët, B., Durand, P., Butet, A., Jarosz, N., Brunet, Y., Loustau, D., Lamaud, E., Miglietta, F., Gioli, B., Magliulo, V., Esposito, M., Gerbig, C., Körner, S., Glademard, P., Ramonet, M., Ciais, P., Neininger, B., Hutjes, R. W. A., Elbers, J. A., Macatangay, R., Schrems, O., Pérez-Landa, G., Sanz, M. J., Scholz, Y., Facon, G., Ceschia, E., and Beziat, P.: The CarboEurope Regional Experiment Strategy, *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 87, 1367–1379, doi:10.1175/BAMS-87-10-1367, 2006.
- Dolman, A. J., Gerbig, C., Noilhan, J., Sarrat, C., and Miglietta, F.: Detecting regional variability in sources and sinks of carbon dioxide: a synthesis, *Biogeosciences*, 6, 1015–1026, doi:10.5194/bg-6-1015-2009, 2009.

- EEA: CLC 2006 Technical Guidelines, European Environment Agency, Technical Report No. 17/2007, 1–70, Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Copenhagen, Denmark, available at: http://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/technical_report_2007_17 (last access: 6 June 2014), 2007.
- 5 Eugster, W. and Siegrist, F.: The influence of nocturnal CO₂ advection on CO₂ flux measurements, *Basic Appl. Ecol.*, 1, 177–188, 2000.
- Forster, C., Stohl, A., and Seibert, P.: Parameterization of convective transport in a Lagrangian particle dispersion model and its evaluation, *J. Appl. Meteorol. Clim.*, 46, 403–422, doi:10.1175/JAM2470.1, 2007.
- 10 Friedl, M. A., Sulla-Menashe, D., Tan, B., Schneider, A., Ramankutty, N., Sibley, A., and Huang, X.: MODIS Collection 5 global land cover: algorithm refinements and characterization of new datasets, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 114, 168–182, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2009.08.016, 2010.
- Gerbig, C., Lin, J. C., Wofsy, S. C., Daube, B. C., Andrews, A. E., Stephens, B. B., Bakwin, P. S., and Grainger, C. A.: Toward constraining regional-scale fluxes of CO₂ with atmospheric observations
- 15 over a continent: 2. Analysis of COBRA data using a receptor-oriented framework, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 108, 1–14, 2003a.
- Gerbig, C., Lin, J. C., Wofsy, S. C., Daube, B. C., Andrews, A. E., Stephens, B. B., Bakwin, P. S., and Grainger, C. A.: Toward constraining regional-scale fluxes of CO₂ with atmospheric observations over a continent: 1. Observed spatial variability from airborne platforms, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*,
- 20 108, 1–27, 2003b.
- Gerbig, C., Dolman, A. J., and Heimann, M.: On observational and modelling strategies targeted at regional carbon exchange over continents, *Biogeosciences*, 6, 1949–1959, doi:10.5194/bg-6-1949-2009, 2009.
- Gloor, M., Bakwin, P., Hurst, D., Lock, L., Draxler, R., and Tans, P.: What is the concentration footprint of a tall tower?, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 17831–17840, doi:10.1029/2001JD900021, 2001.
- 25 Groenendijk, M., Dolman, A., Van Der Molen, M., Leuning, R., Arneth, A., Delpierre, N., Gash, J., Lindroth, A., Richardson, A., Verbeeck, H., and Wohlfahrt, G.: Assessing parameter variability in a photosynthesis model within and between plant functional types using global Fluxnet eddy covariance data, *Agr. Forest Meteorol.*, 151, 22–38, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2010.08.013, 2011.
- 30 Hanna, S. R.: *Applications in Air Pollution Modeling*, 1st edn., Springer, The Hague, the Netherlands, 275–310, doi:10.1007/978-94-010-9112-1_7, 1982.
- Heimann, M. and Reichstein, M.: Terrestrial ecosystem carbon dynamics and climate feedbacks, *Nature*, 451, 289–292, doi:10.1038/nature06591, 2008.

- Henne, S., Brunner, D., Folini, D., Solberg, S., Klausen, J., and Buchmann, B.: Assessment of parameters describing representativeness of air quality in-situ measurement sites, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 3561–3581, doi:10.5194/acp-10-3561-2010, 2010.
- Hiller, R. V., Bretscher, D., DelSontro, T., Diem, T., Eugster, W., Henneberger, R., Hobi, S., Hodson, E., Imer, D., Kreuzer, M., Künzle, T., Merbold, L., Niklaus, P. A., Rihm, B., Schellenberger, A., Schroth, M. H., Schubert, C. J., Siegrist, H., Stieger, J., Buchmann, N., and Brunner, D.: Anthropogenic and natural methane fluxes in Switzerland synthesized within a spatially explicit inventory, *Biogeosciences*, 11, 1941–1959, doi:10.5194/bg-11-1941-2014, 2014.
- Kretschmer, R., Gerbig, C., Karstens, U., Biavati, G., Vermeulen, A., Vogel, F., Hammer, S., and Totsche, K. U.: Impact of optimized mixing heights on simulated regional atmospheric transport of CO₂, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 7149–7172, doi:10.5194/acp-14-7149-2014, 2014.
- Lauvaux, T., Schuh, A., Bocquet, M., and Wu, L.: Network design for mesoscale inversions of CO₂ sources and sinks, *Tellus B*, 1, 1–12, 2012.
- Lawrence, D. M., Oleson, K. W., Flanner, M. G., Thornton, P. E., Sean, C., Lawrence, P. J., Zeng, X., Yang, Z.-L., Levis, S., Bonan, G. B., and Slater, A. G.: Parameterization improvements and functional and structural advances in version 4 of the Community Land Model, *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 3, 1–29, doi:10.1029/2011MS00045, 2011.
- Le Quéré, C., Takahashi, T., Buitenhuis, E. T., Rödenbeck, C., and Sutherland, S. C.: Impact of climate change and variability on the global oceanic sink of CO₂, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 24, GB4007, doi:10.1029/2009GB003599, 2010.
- Le Quéré, C., Andres, R. J., Boden, T., Conway, T., Houghton, R. A., House, J. I., Marland, G., Peters, G. P., Van Der Werf, G. R., Ahlström, A., Andrew, R. M., Bopp, L., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Doney, S. C., Enright, C., Friedlingstein, P., Huntingford, C., Jain, A. K., Jourdain, C., Kato, E., Keeling, R. F., Klein Goldewijk, K., Levis, S., Levy, P., Lomas, M., Poulter, B., Raupach, M. R., Schwinger, J., Sitch, S., Stocker, B. D., Viovy, N., Zaehle, S., and Zeng, N.: The global carbon budget 1959–2011, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 5, 165–185, doi:10.5194/essd-5-165-2013, 2013.
- MeteoSwiss: Klimabulletin Winter 2013/14, 1–6, MeteoSchweiz, Zuerich, available at: <http://www.meteoschweiz.admin.ch/content/dam/meteoswiss/de/Ungebundene-Seiten/Publikationen/Klimabulletin/doc/publi-klimabulletin-winter-20132014.pdf> (last access: 24 April 2015), 2014.
- Miles, N. L., Richardson, S. J., Davis, K. J., Lauvaux, T., Andrews, A. E., West, T. O., Bandaru, V., and Crosson, E. R.: Large amplitude spatial and temporal gradients in atmospheric boundary layer CO₂ mole fractions detected with a tower-based network in the US upper Midwest, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 117, 1–13, doi:10.1029/2011JG001781, 2012.

- Pillai, D., Gerbig, C., Ahmadov, R., Rödenbeck, C., Kretschmer, R., Koch, T., Thompson, R., Neininger, B., and Lavrié, J. V.: High-resolution simulations of atmospheric CO₂ over complex terrain – representing the Ochsenkopf mountain tall tower, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 7445–7464, doi:10.5194/acp-11-7445-2011, 2011.
- 5 Pillai, D., Gerbig, C., Kretschmer, R., Beck, V., Karstens, U., Neininger, B., and Heimann, M.: Comparing Lagrangian and Eulerian models for CO₂ transport – a step towards Bayesian inverse modeling using WRF/STILT-VPRM, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 8979–8991, doi:10.5194/acp-12-8979-2012, 2012.
- Rella, C. W., Chen, H., Andrews, A. E., Filges, A., Gerbig, C., Hatakka, J., Karion, A., Miles, N. L.,
10 Richardson, S. J., Steinbacher, M., Sweeney, C., Wastine, B., and Zellweger, C.: High accuracy measurements of dry mole fractions of carbon dioxide and methane in humid air, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 6, 837–860, doi:10.5194/amt-6-837-2013, 2013.
- Ruckstuhl, A. F., Henne, S., Reimann, S., Steinbacher, M., Vollmer, M. K., O'Doherty, S., Buchmann, B., and Hueglin, C.: Robust extraction of baseline signal of atmospheric trace species
15 using local regression, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 5, 2613–2624, doi:10.5194/amt-5-2613-2012, 2012.
- Sarmiento, J. L., Gloor, M., Gruber, N., Beaulieu, C., Jacobson, A. R., Mikaloff Fletcher, S. E., Pacala, S., and Rodgers, K.: Trends and regional distributions of land and ocean carbon sinks, *Biogeosciences*, 7, 2351–2367, doi:10.5194/bg-7-2351-2010, 2010.
- Schibig, M. F., Steinbacher, M., Buchmann, B., van der Laan-Luijkx, I. T., van der Laan, S.,
20 Ranjan, S., and Leuenberger, M. C.: Comparison of continuous in situ CO₂ observations at Jungfraujoch using two different measurement techniques, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 8, 57–68, doi:10.5194/amt-8-57-2015, 2015.
- Schraff, C. H.: Mesoscale data assimilation and prediction of low stratus in the Alpine region, *Meteorol. Atmos. Phys.*, 64, 21–50, doi:10.1007/BF01044128, 1997.
- 25 Seibert, P. and Frank, A.: Source-receptor matrix calculation with a Lagrangian particle dispersion model in backward mode, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 4, 51–63, doi:10.5194/acp-4-51-2004, 2004.
- Stephan, K., Klink, S., and Schraff, C.: Assimilation of radar-derived rain rates into the convective-scale model COSMO-DE at DWD, *Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, 134, 1315–1326, doi:10.1002/qj.269, 2008.
- 30 Stohl, A., Forster, C., Frank, A., Seibert, P., and Wotawa, G.: Technical note: The Lagrangian particle dispersion model FLEXPART version 6.2, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 5, 2461–2474, doi:10.5194/acp-5-2461-2005, 2005.

Tans, P. P., Bakwin, P. S., and Guenther, D. W.: A feasible Global Carbon Cycle Observing System: a plan to decipher today's carbon cycle based on observations, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 2, 309–318, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.1996.tb00082.x, 1996.

Tiedtke, M.: A comprehensive mass flux scheme for cumulus parameterization in large-scale models, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 117, 1779–1800, 1989.

Vermeulen, A., Pieterse, G., Hensen, A., Schmidt, M., Ramonet, M., Messenger, C., Jourdain, L., Manning, A., Gloor, M., Jordan, A., Popa, E., Thompson, R., Kozlova, E., Moors, E., Elbers, J., Jans, W., Ter Maat, H., Moncrieff, J., Conen, F., Haszpra, L., Barca, Z., Szilagyi, I., Stefani, P., Miglietta, F., and Lindroth, A.: CHIOTTO – Continuous High-Precision Tall Tower Observations of Greenhouse Gases, 1–118, available at: <http://www.ecn.nl/docs/library/report/2007/e07052.pdf> (last access: 24 April 2015), 2004.

Vesala, T., Kljun, N., Rannik, U., Rinne, J., Sogachev, A., Markkanen, T., Sabelfeld, K., Foken, T., and Leclerc, M. Y.: Flux and concentration footprint modelling: state of the art., *Environ. Pollut.*, 152, 653–66, doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2007.06.070, 2008.

Zeeman, M. J., Hiller, R., Gilgen, A. K., Michna, P., Plüss, P., Buchmann, N., and Eugster, W.: Management and climate impacts on net CO₂ fluxes and carbon budgets of three grasslands along an elevational gradient in Switzerland, *Agr. Forest Meteorol.*, 150, 519–530, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2010.01.011, 2010.

Zellweger, C., Forrer, J., Hofer, P., Nyeki, S., Schwarzenbach, B., Weingartner, E., Ammann, M., and Baltensperger, U.: Partitioning of reactive nitrogen (NO_y) and dependence on meteorological conditions in the lower free troposphere, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 3, 779–796, doi:10.5194/acp-3-779-2003, 2003.

Zhao, C. L. and Tans, P. P.: Estimating uncertainty of the WMO mole fraction scale for carbon dioxide in air, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, 1–10, doi:10.1029/2005JD006003, 2006.

Table 1. Details of the four measurement sites of the CarboCount CH network. From left to right, the site name, the site code, [measurement commencement date](#), the measurement height(s), measured trace gases, the site altitudes (m a.s.l.), and the geographic site locations are listed.

Site Lat., Lon.	Code	Date	Gases	Device	Height(s)	Alt.
Beromünster 47.1896, 8.1755	BEO	2012-11-03	CO ₂ , CH ₄ , CO, H ₂ O	PICARRO G2401	212, 132, 72, 45, 12	797
Früebüel 47.1158, 8.5378	FRU	2012-11-21	CO ₂ , CH ₄ , H ₂ O CO ₂ , CH ₄ , H ₂ O	PICARRO G2311-f PICARRO G2301	4	982
Gimmiz 47.0536, 7.2480	GIM	2013-02-22	CO ₂ , CH ₄ , H ₂ O	PICARRO G2301	32	443
Lägern-Hochwacht 47.4822, 8.3973	LAE	2012-08-23	CO CO ₂ , CH ₄ , CO, H ₂ O	HORIBA APMA 360 PICARRO G2401	32	840

Table 2. Simulation characteristics for the four measurement sites of the CarboCount CH network. Listed from left to right are FLEXPART-COSMO particle release heights (above model ground level), the “true” site altitudes (m a.s.l.), smoothed COSMO numerical weather prediction model’s ($\sim 4 \text{ km}^2$) site altitude, and the geographic site locations.

Site	Meas. Height	Rel. Heights	Alt.	Alt. COSMO	Lat., Lon.
Beromünster	212	212	797	723	47.1896, 8.1755
Früebüel	5	50–100	982	813	47.1158, 8.5378
Gimmiz	32	32	443	452	47.0536, 7.2480
Lägern-Hochwacht	32	100–200	840	566	47.4822, 8.3973




Figure 1. An overview of CarboCount CH measurement site locations within Switzerland: Beromünster (BEO), Fruebüel (FRU), Gimmiz (GIM), and Lägern-Hochwacht (LAE). The sites are concentrated along the Swiss Plateau. The measurement heights and locations are listed in Table 1. Also shown are other sites with greenhouse gas concentration or flux measurements that complement the network. These include the sites of the Swiss Fluxnet, the high alpine research station Jungfrauoch, and sites of the Swiss air pollution monitoring network NABEL.




Figure 2. Site surroundings including land-use (first column), topography (second column), and Google aerial photo (third column), with Beromünster (**a–c**) in the first row, and Gimmiz (**d–f**) in the second row. The land-use (**a** and **d**) is based on CORINE 2006 land cover classes color-coded as follows – urban: red; food croplands: beige; evergreen forest: green; deciduous forest: light green; pasture: light brown; agro-forestry areas: tan. Cyan contour lines in the topography panels (**b** and **e**) denote the COSMO-2 model topography.




Figure 3. Similar to Fig. 2 with Frübüel (a–c) in the first row, and Lägern-Hochwacht (d–f) in the second row.




Figure 4. Wind roses at the four measurement sites during the study period (1 March 2013 to 28 February 2014) for observed (first column) and COSMO-2 simulated horizontal wind at two different model heights, at the height of the measurement a.m.g. (**Model**, second column), and at the true height of the measurement a.s.l. (**True**, third column). The wind roses display the wind-speed probability distribution split into incident 30° wind direction bins. Wind from north is upward and circular lines demarcate graduating 5th percentiles. Labels above each panel show the height above ground for the measurements (first column), and height above COSMO-2 model ground for the simulated data (second and third columns).




Figure 5. Mean summer (June–August 2013) diurnal cycle of measured and COSMO-2 simulated local meteorology at the sites Beromünster and Gimmiz: **(a and b)** temperature, **(c and d)** specific humidity (Sp. Hum.), and **(e and f)** windspeed (W. S.). Also shown are mean diurnal cycles of measured **(g and h)** regional CO_2 signals (ΔCO_2), and **(i and j)** regional CH_4 signals (ΔCH_4). The measurement and simulation heights above ground are listed in the figure legends. Simulated meteorology is shown for two different heights, the height of the measurement a.m.g. (**Model**, dark blue), and the simulation height a.m.g., which corresponds to the “true” measurement altitude a.s.l. (**True**, light blue). The time zone in Switzerland is Central European Time (CET or UTC+1).




Figure 6. Similar to Fig. 5, the mean diurnal cycles during winter (December 2013–February 2014) at the sites Beromünster and Gimmiz.




Figure 7. Similar to Fig. 5, the mean diurnal cycles during summer (June–August 2013) at the sites Frübüel and Lägern-Hochwacht. The Frübüel meteorological measurements were made at 2 m a.g.l.




Figure 8. Similar to Fig. 5, mean diurnal cycles during winter (December 2013–February 2014) at the sites Frübüel and Lägern-Hochwacht. The Frübüel meteorological measurements were made at 2 m a.g.l.




Figure 9. Monthly (YYYYMM) mean nighttime (00:00–03:00 UTC; **a**, **c**, and **e**) and daytime (12:00–15:00 UTC; **b**, **d**, and **f**) measured regional carbon dioxide signals, ΔCO_2 , measured regional methane signals, ΔCH_4 , and simulated monthly total surface sensitivities, $\bar{T}_t$ (Eq. 1), during the study's time period (1 March 2013 to 28 February 2014). Regional signals are computed as the measured concentration minus a background concentration estimate from Jungfraujoch, and total surface sensitivities provide a linear estimate of how much arriving air parcels at a measurement site have been in contact with the model domain's surface.




Figure 10. Monthly (YYYYMM) land cover type (LCT) contributions C_{LCT} (Eq. 2) for sites Beromünster (a), Frübüel (b), Gimmiz (c), and Lägern-Hochwacht (d), calculated as the pixel-wise multiplication of the monthly mean surface sensitivities with the respective LCT fraction and divided by the monthly averaged total surface sensitivities.




Figure 11. Surface sensitivities as a function of distance from the measurement site position $\bar{T}_k$ (Eq. 3). Lines are color-coded according to measurement site (Beromünster: dark blue, Frübüel: orange, Gimmiz: light blue, Lägern-Hochwacht: red). The vertical dotted line is the radius at which the cumulative surface sensitivity starting from the measurement site's position reaches 50% of the simulation domain total, τ_{50} . **(a)** Summer (June–August 2013), **(b)** winter (December 2013–February 2014), and **(c)** and **(d)** summer afternoon (15:00 UTC) and at nighttime (03:00 UTC), respectively. Please note the logarithmic y axis, and that during winter for Beromünster and Lägern-Hochwacht the 50 % vertical dotted lines overlap. The site-specific particle release heights are listed in Table 2. Local time is Central European Time (CET or UTC+1).




Figure 12. Area of surface influence (Eq. 5) defined as the isoline at the site-dependent value τ_{s50} encompassing 50 % percent of the total mean surface sensitivities. Lines are color-coded according to measurement site—Beromünster: dark blue, Früebüel: orange, Gimmiz: light blue, Lägern-Hochwacht: red. **(a)** Summer (June–August, 2013), **(b)** winter (December 2013–February 2014), and **(c)** and **(d)** summer (June–August, 2013) in the afternoon (15:00 UTC) and at nighttime (03:00 UTC), respectively. Local time is Central European Time (CET or UTC+1).

1 Changes by Authors

P. 12924, Line 8: About 30 km southeast
Page 12930, line 3, added comma after “crops”.
Page 12931, line 19, deleted “caused”.

5 2 Text changes in response to anonymous referees #1 & #2

P. 12915, revised sentences of second paragraph: “Currently, most surface flux estimation studies usually use only afternoon measurements when the ABL is thickest and well-mixed to reduce the sensitivity to errors in the representation of the ABL by the underlying atmospheric transport models (Gerbig et al., 2009; Pillai et al., 2012; Kretschmer et al., 2014).
10 Owing to the requirement of high resolution transport models to represent the winds in complex terrain, many inverse modeling studies do not use trace gas concentration measurements from mountain sites.”

P. 12917, line 5, removed: Except for Frübüel, CO was also continuously measured, and was determined to have an accuracy of ~ 4 ppbv, computed as the 10 day averaging
15 window target RMSE.

P. 12917 removed: “– the anthropogenic and biospheric contributions within the simulation domain –”

Page 12919, line 12: fixed spacing. Page 12919, line 16-17: added hyphens.

12920, line 16-17: discretionary hyphen

20 Page 12921, line 4: inserted hyphen.

P. 12922, line 9: changed “constitute” to “contribute”.

P. 12930, revised text: “The large diurnal variation in both of the observed regional signals at Gimmiz is suprising and suggests strong accumulation at nighttime in a stable nocturnal boundary layer.”

Page 12930, line 18-19, removed sentence: Interestingly, CH₄ signals do not seem influenced by the cattle grazing on the pastures directly surrounding Frübüel during the months of June and December (Bamberger et al., 2015).

Page 12930, line 23, removed sentence: Furthermore, the daytime values of both ΔCO_2 and ΔCH_4 are higher than at nighttime, which indicates either similar sources or, more likely, a polluted temperature inversion layer thermally expanding during the day and contracting during the night.

(P. 12931, paragraph starting line 23) changed to: “Potential monthly land cover type (LCT) contributions C_{LCT} vary little throughout the year, and on average reflect the typical land cover for Switzerland and Central Europe (Fig. 10). At all sites, the arriving air parcels spent about $\sim 30\%$ of the time directly above forest LCTs, and spent about $\sim 50\text{--}60\%$ of the time above crop and grassland LCTs combined. For example in Fig. 10A, air parcels, which were observed during the month of March 2013 at Beromünster and were in contact with the surface ($< 100\text{ m a.m.g.}$), spent approximately 20% of the time over the evergreen forest LCT, 10% of the time over the deciduous forest LCT, 20% over the grassland LCT, and 30% over the crop LCT.”

(P. 12933) sentence changed to: “Beromünster exhibits high sensitivity to grasslands, which, along with being located in an intense dairy farming area (Hiller et al., 2014), would potentially increase influence of agricultural methane emissions.”

(P. 12933) sentence changed to: “Similar to Beromünster, Frübüel exhibits high sensitivity to grasslands (Fig. 10), and therefore the influence of methane emissions may be increased (Hiller et al., 2014).”

P. 12943, added measurement commencement dates to table.

3 Minor change to bibliography

Bamberger 2015: changed status to “submitted to Boundary Layer Meteorology”

References

- Eugster, W. and Siegrist, F.: The influence of nocturnal CO₂ advection on CO₂ flux measurements, *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 1, 177–188, 2000.
- 5 Gerbig, C., Dolman, A. J., and Heimann, M.: On observational and modelling strategies targeted at regional carbon exchange over continents, *Biogeosciences*, 6, 1949–1959, doi:10.5194/bg-6-1949-2009, <http://www.biogeosciences.net/6/1949/2009/>, 2009.
- Hiller, R. V., Bretscher, D., DelSontro, T., Diem, T., Eugster, W., Henneberger, R., Hobi, S., Hodson, E., Imer, D., Kreuzer, M., Künzle, T., Merbold, L., Niklaus, P. A., Rihm, B., Schellenberger, A., Schroth, M. H., Schubert, C. J., Siegrist, H., Stieger, J., Buchmann, N., and Brunner, D.: Anthro-
10 pogenic and natural methane fluxes in Switzerland synthesized within a spatially explicit inventory, *Biogeosciences*, 11, 1941–1959, doi:10.5194/bg-11-1941-2014, <http://www.biogeosciences.net/11/1941/2014/>, 2014.
- Kretschmer, R., Gerbig, C., Karstens, U., Biavati, G., Vermeulen, A., Vogel, F., Hammer, S., and Totsche, K. U.: Impact of optimized mixing heights on simulated regional atmospheric transport
15 of CO₂, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 14, 7149–7172, doi:10.5194/acp-14-7149-2014, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/14/7149/2014/>, 2014.
- Pillai, D., Gerbig, C., Ahmadov, R., Rödenbeck, C., Kretschmer, R., Koch, T., Thompson, R., Neininger, B., and Lavrié, J. V.: High-resolution simulations of atmospheric CO₂ over complex terrain – representing the Ochsenkopf mountain tall tower, *Atmospheric Chemistry and
20 Physics*, 11, 7445–7464, doi:10.5194/acp-11-7445-2011, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/11/7445/2011/>, 2011.
- Pillai, D., Gerbig, C., Kretschmer, R., Beck, V., Karstens, U., Neininger, B., and Heimann, M.: Comparing Lagrangian and Eulerian models for CO₂ transport – a step towards Bayesian inverse modeling using WRF/STILT-VPRM, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 12, 8979–8991,
25 doi:10.5194/acp-12-8979-2012, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/12/8979/2012/>, 2012.
- Vesala, T., Kljun, N., Rannik, U., Rinne, J., Sogachev, A., Markkanen, T., Sabelfeld, K., Foken, T., and Leclerc, M. Y.: Flux and concentration footprint modelling: state of the art., *Environmental pollution* (Barking, Essex : 1987), 152, 653–66, doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2007.06.070, <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17714842>, 2008.