

Authors' Response to Anonymous Referee #1

This manuscript provides case study on the characteristics and formation mechanism the extreme haze events in the North China Plain. The recent heavy haze pollution in China has drawn much attention, and the authors of this paper analyzed formation process of four haze events based on chemical measurements in one site of Beijing in October of 2014. Considering there have been many similar studies, it is necessary for the authors to clarify their novelty. Also, parts of the conclusions seem lack corresponding support. At least a major revision is needed before the consideration of publication. Some specific comments are as follows:

Overall Response:

We thank the reviewer for the careful reading and the valuable comments that helped improving our paper. The novelty in this research is clarified in specific in the third ***Response***. According to the reviewer's suggestions, we made revisions in our manuscript.

In revised article, we made further analysis between PM_{2.5} and SOR, NOR, try our best to explain the relationships between them in section 3.2.1.

Nevertheless, we recognized that even the biomass burning accelerate the haze formation, its influence was at the beginning of the haze. Once the haze is formed, deterioration of haze will be dominated by the formation of new SO₄²⁻, NO₃⁻ and We clarify this points in section 3.2.2. More innovations was added in conclusion part In order to make it clear for reading, we attached a copy of the manuscript with all changes to this "Authors' Response to Comments". In the revised manuscript, words with purple (red) font are the deleted or added parts.

At last, we would like to answer the comments and suggestions one by one as following.

1. The title: how did the author define "extreme haze" ? Compared with haze events in other seasons or the same periods in other years? Previous studies show that heavy pollution is common in October in northern China.

Response:

We accept the suggestion. We recognize that the word "extreme" may not be the most appropriate, we delete it in the revised manuscript. Meanwhile, we would like to

explain that the haze we concerned about was heavier than that happened in previous autumn.

Firstly, higher concentration of $PM_{2.5}$ in autumn. The concentration of $PM_{2.5}$ in this study is much higher than those happened in other autumns. Concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$ didn't exceeded $250 \mu g \cdot m^{-3}$ in October 2009 (Ji et al., 2012), $300 \mu g \cdot m^{-3}$ in September, 2011 (Liu et al. 2014) and $380 \mu g \cdot m^{-3}$ in October, 2013 (Feng et al. 2014). In this study, the peak value of $PM_{2.5}$ was $469 \mu g \cdot m^{-3}$, which was higher than before.

Secondly, in this study, the higher RH promotes the hygroscopic growth of aerosols, which will leads to lower visibility. We reanalyze the RH in October 2014 (calculate the daily average value) to compare it with RH in October 2013 (Feng et al. 2014). It is clearly found that RH increased much during the haze episodes in October 2014 and RH in 2014 is obvious higher than that in October 2013. Even with same level of $PM_{2.5}$ concentration, higher RH can leads to quite different level of haze episode, let alone the $PM_{2.5}$ concentration in 2014 is higher.




Fig.1 Comparisons of RH in a) October, 2013 (Feng et al. 2014) and b) October, 2014

Reference:

Feng, X., Li, Q., Zhu, Y.J., Wang, J.J., Liang, H.M., Xu, R.F., 2014. Formation and dominant factors of haze pollution over Beijing and its peripheral areas in winter. *Atmos. Pollut. Res.*, 5, 528-538.

Ji, D.S., Wang, Y.S., Wang, L.L., Chen, L.F., Hu, B., Tang, G.Q., Xin, J.Y., Song, T., Wen, T.X., Sun, Y., Pan, Y.P., Liu, Z.R., 2012. Analysis of heavy pollution episodes in selected cities of northern China. *Atmos. Environ.*, 50, 338-348.

Liu, X.G., Li, J., Qu, Y., Han, T.T., Hou, L., Gu, J., Chen, C., Yang, Y.R., Liu, X., Yang, T., Zhang, Y.H., Tian, H.Z., Hu, M., 2013. Formation and evolution mechanism of regional haze: a case study in the megacity Beijing, China. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 4501-4514.

2. The content: the authors tried to explain the haze events with several key factors such as low PBL and high RH, which are common in previous studies such as Liu et al., 2013. What's new in this study? What's the predominant factor, and how about their respective quantitative contributions? It can be confusing if the authors listed various factors without their clear contributions, especially in the section 4.

Response:

It is true that Liu et al. (2013) inspired us a lot, but we still try our best to be innovative. Firstly, we raised up the concept of increase rate of PM_{2.5}, SOR and NOR. This change in analysis was little, but people seldom do this and it was more suitable to explain the formation process of hazes, since it make the deterioration of hazes more straightforward. We can easily find how fast a haze is formed and compared it with each other. Secondly, different from Liu et al. (2013), we introduced the biomass burning into the analysis. It induce haze event, aggravate the stable synoptic environment and at last accelerate the haze formation. Thirdly, we explained how large scale topography influence the movement of wind. At last, the research on RH is much more complete since we conclude how RH influence the haze formation in three major ways: accelerating the chemical transformation of secondary pollutants, hygroscopic growth of aerosols, altering the thermal balance of the atmosphere. But Liu et al., 2013 only focus on hygroscopic growth for aerosols scattering ability.

Formation mechanism of haze is very complex. We clarify with might and main how important factors as topography, meteorology, pollutants emission, regional transport and chemical transformation results in sever hazes. These factors interactively influence haze formation. For example, the decline of the height of PBL may contribute in stationary synoptic condition while unstable synoptic condition will

leads to lift the PBL. The interactive influence makes respective quantitative contributions of each factor difficult to decide.

3. Conclusions in several parts lack sufficient data support: 1) The authors said that biomass burning played an important contribution, but there was a decrease in the fraction of BC in haze events; and the biomass burning was usually concentrated in the first half of October.

Response:

As we showed in Fig 6, the BC fraction of BC in haze events is lower than that in non-haze period as a whole. It was caused by the times of increase of SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^- and NH_4^+ in the later periods of haze event. However, a sudden increase in the concentration before each haze period was found for the organic matter, Cl⁻ and BC. This indicated spatial transport of pollutants from straw burning. Once the haze event formed, the deterioration of haze will be dominated by the formation of new SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^- and NH_4^+ . However, in the beginning of haze event, unusual increase of BC, Cl⁻ and organic matter can be found. We will add more explanation of difference of beginning part and later part of haze in section 3.2.2 in the revised manuscript. It will make the research more complete. There are 659 fire points found between 17 to 20 October and 106 fire points found in 23 October. Shanxi, Henan and Hebei province was concerned and influenced the Beijing city.

In conclusion, we still hold the view that influence of BC is important during formation of hazes in October 2014.

4. If secondary transformation was considered important, the authors should put Figure 7 and Figure 2 together, and present their correlation.

Response:

We found the suggestion inspiring. Even though we chose not put Figure 7 and Figure 2 together, since they are in different parts, we did draw another picture to show the correlation between $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ and SOR, NOR. As we found in the figure, $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ is well fitted with SOR and NOR. The correlation coefficient was 0.62 between $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ and SOR and 0.79 between $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ and NOR which means with SOR and NOR can be higher with higher concentration of $\text{PM}_{2.5}$.




Fig.2 Relationship between PM_{2.5} and SOR, NOR

5. In Figure 16, if radiation absorption was considered the direct reason of higher temperature, please give the data support. I think higher temperature was more relevant with the regional circulation.

Response:

Water vapor is well known as greenhouse gas. It is indicated that for the cloudy sky case the contribution due to water vapor to the total longwave and shortwave radiative forcing were $75 \text{ W}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ and $38 \text{ W}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$, respectively (Kiehl and Trenberth, 1997). In Dai et al. (1999)'s study, it is pointed out that atmospheric water vapor can increase both max and minimum temperature in a day. We believe that regional circulation has direct influence in instantaneous temperature variation, but the influence of high humidity can't be neglected.

Reference:

Kiehl, J.T., Trenberth, K.E., 1997. Earth's annual global mean energy budget. *B Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 78(2), 197-208.

Dai, A., Trenberth, K.E., Karl, T.R., 1999. Effects of clouds, soil moisture, precipitation, and water vapor on diurnal temperature range. *J. Climate*, 12(8), 2451-2473.

6. This study was mainly based on ground measurements in one site of Beijing, can it explain the widespread haze pollution in northern China, where emission sources and chemical characteristics can be very different.

Response:

We believed that Beijing was a good representative of North China Plain (NCP). Cities in NCP were under frequent pollutants transfer where even emission sources can be different in each city, the chemical component of atmosphere will become similar after the regional transfer. In previous studies, Beijing is always influenced by surrounded cities. Thus, the chemical characteristics can reflect what happened in NCP. Furthermore, when the haze attacked NCP, the whole region was normally under the same synoptic system and influenced by the same atmospheric circulation. The analysis in Beijing can highly represented the meteorological conditions in NCP. Of course, we recognized that analysis of Beijing won't cover total formation mechanism in NCP, but the hazes happened in October 2014 are regional and Beijing is good research location with the completed database.

Authors' Response to Anonymous Referee #2

Accept it

Response:

Thank you very much for the recognition of our research work.

Authors' Response to Dr. Sandip Pal

I just saw that two important recent references on the boundary layer dilution on tracer concentrations are missing; Yang et al. discussed partly the role of near-surface wind speed and relatively stagnant weather condition as well as the impact of boundary layer dynamics on aerosol characteristics. I suggest citing the following representative papers on the subject and discussing: 1. Wind speed and wind reversal: Pal et al., 2014. Impact of atmospheric boundary layer depth variability and wind reversal on the diurnal variability of aerosol concentration at a valley site. *Science of the Total Environment*, 496, 424–434, doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.07.067 2. Boundary layer dynamics: Pal et al., 2015. Investigation of the atmospheric boundary layer height variability and its impact on the ²²²Rn concentration over a rural background site in France, *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*. doi: 10.1002/2014JD022322.

Response:

We thank Dr. Pal's recommendation of these two references. We read two articles carefully and believed it necessary to cite these in the revised manuscript.

References:

Pal, S., Lee, T.R., Phelps, S., De Wekker, S.F.J., 2014. Impact of atmospheric boundary layer depth variability and wind reversal on the diurnal variability of aerosol concentration at a valley site. *Sci. Total. Environ.*, 496, 424-434.

Pal, S., Lopez, M., Schmidt, M., Ramonet, M., Gibert, F., Xueref - Remy, I., Ciais, P. 2015. Investigation of the atmospheric boundary layer depth variability and its impact on the ²²²Rn concentration at a rural site in France. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, 120, 623-643.

Lastly, we would express our appreciation to anonymous reviewers and editor for their warm-hearted help and useful suggestions. Thank you very much!!!!

Characteristics and Formation Mechanism of Continuous ~~Extreme~~-Hazes in China: A Case Study in Autumn of 2014 in the North China Plain

YiRu Yang¹, Xingang Liu^{1*}, Yu Qu², Junling An², Rong Jiang³, Yuanhang Zhang^{4*}, Yele Sun², Zhijun Wu⁴,
Fang Zhang⁵, Weiqi Xu², Qingxin Ma⁶

¹State Key Laboratory of Water Environment Simulation, School of Environment, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

²State Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Boundary Layer Physics and Atmospheric Chemistry, Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100029, China

³Shanghai Key Laboratory for Urban Ecological Processes and Eco-Restoration, School of Ecological and Environmental Sciences, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200241, China

⁴State Key Joint Laboratory of Environment Simulation and Pollution Control, College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

⁵College of Global Change and Earth System Science, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

⁶Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100085, China

Correspondence to: X.G. Liu (liuxingang@bnu.edu.cn) or Y.H. Zhang (yhzhang@pku.edu.cn)

Abstract: Four extreme haze episodes occurred in October 2014 in the North China Plain (NCP). To clarify the formation mechanism of hazes in the autumn, strengthened observations were conducted in Beijing from 5 October to 2 November. The meteorological parameters, satellite data, chemical compositions and optical properties of aerosols were obtained. The hazes originated from NCP, developing in the the southwest and northeast directions, with the highest concentration of PM_{2.5} of 469 μg m⁻³ in Beijing. NCP was dominated by a weak high pressure system during the haze episode, which resulted in low surface wind speed and relatively stagnant weather. Moreover, the wind slowed down around Beijing city. The secondary aerosols NO₃⁻ was always higher than that of SO₄²⁻, which indicated the motor vehicles played a more important part in the hazes in October 2014, even though the oxidation rate from SO₂ to SO₄²⁻ was faster than that of NO_x to NO₃⁻. Sudden

increases of the concentrations of organic matter, Cl^- and BC (Black carbon) before each haze episode implied that regional transport of pollutants by biomass burning was important for haze formation during the autumn. A satellite map of fire points and the backward trajectories of the air masses also indicated this pollution source. The distinct decrease in the PBL (Planetary boundary layer) height during four haze episodes restrained the vertical dispersion of the air pollutants. Water vapor also played a vital role in the formation of hazes by accelerating the chemical transformation of secondary pollutants, leading to hygroscopic growth of aerosols and altering the thermal balance of the atmosphere.

Keywords: Haze episodes, Chemical characteristics, Formation mechanism, Autumn, Beijing

1 Introduction

Haze is an atmospheric phenomenon composed of smog, dust, and vapor suspended in air, with a horizontal visibility lower than 10 km and an RH lower than 90% (Watson, 2002; Wu et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2013a). Haze events have attracted increased attention because of their close relationship with human diseases (Miller et al., 2007; Araujo et al., 2008) and the alteration of the radiation budget in the atmosphere, leading to climate changes on Earth (Cahill, 1996; Jacobson, 2001).

In recent years, hazes have occurred frequently in China, especially in the North China Plain (NCP), which is one of the most populated and economically developed regions in China. Particulate matter is the primary pollutant during haze episodes; it

occupies 85~90% of the primary pollutants in most Chinese cities throughout the year (Wang et al., 2014). Three widespread and persistent haze episodes were recorded in China in January 2013 (Yang et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2014), October 2013 and February 2014. Two of these episodes seriously influenced the NCP (one in January 2013, and the other in February 2014), which were characterized by long durations, large regions of influence and high concentrations of PM_{2.5} (particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter equal or less than 2.5 μm) (Yang et al., 2015). The rapid increase in PM_{2.5}, which was seldom reported before, confused many researchers. Most studies explained that this phenomenon was due to the intense secondary formation (e.g., heterogeneous transform of SO₂ and NO_x to SO₄²⁻ and NO₃⁻) (Liu et al., 2013b; Ji et al., 2014) and the huge regional transport of pollutants (Wang et al., 2014). In addition, stationary meteorological conditions and large local emission (e.g., coal combustion in winter) were also considered to be major factors leading to such severe hazes (Liu et al., 2013b; Sun et al., 2014).

Due to the heating supply in northern China during the winter (Ma et al., 2011) and the violent photoreaction during the summer (Liao et al., 2014), most studies concerning haze events in the NCP were performed in the winter and summer. Comparatively, haze formation in autumn has been investigated less often. Liu et al. (2013b) reported a case study on haze in September 2011 in Beijing and clarified that the key factors resulting in the formation and evolution of haze episodes were stable anti-cyclone synoptic conditions at the surface, the decreasing height of the PBL (Planetary boundary layer), heavy pollution emissions from urban areas, the number

and size evolution of aerosols, and hygroscopic growth for aerosol scattering. In Beijing city, the RH in autumn is high (Zhao et al., 2011), and biomass burning in the vicinity is prevalent due to autumn harvest (Wang et al., 2014). These special conditions may result in different formation mechanisms of haze in the autumn.

In October 2014, four serious haze events occurred, which were some of the severest hazes in NCP in the autumn period. The haze mainly influenced the NCP and Northeast China Region, covering an area of 560,000 km². The largest concentrations of PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} were 741 and 508 µg·m⁻³, which were recorded in Shijiazhuang, the capital of Hebei province. Until now, few studies have reported on this haze episode and its distinctive formation mechanism. In this study, comprehensive measurements were conducted from 5 October to 2 November in Beijing to investigate the characteristics and specific mechanism of continuous extreme hazes in the autumn.

2 Experiment

2.1 Experiment site

Beijing is the capital of the People's Republic of China and is the national center for politics, economics and culture. The GDP (gross domestic product) of Beijing in 2013 was 3.15 trillion dollars with a growth rate of 7.7%. The population of Beijing was 21.15 million with a population density of 1289 people per km² at the end of 2013 (<http://www.bjstats.gov.cn/nj/main/2014-tjnj/CH/index.htm>). There are 5.4 million cars, with a growth of 0.237 million cars in Beijing as of 2013

(<http://zhengwu.beijing.gov.cn/tjxx/tjgb/t1340447.htm>). High population and economic levels have led to heavy emissions of air pollutants in Beijing.

Field measurements from 5 October to 2 January 2014 were performed at the urban atmospheric environment monitoring super-station (39.96 °N, 116.36 °E) on the campus of Beijing Normal University (BNU). This site is located at the northern part of Beijing. The third ring road, one of the main traffic lines of Beijing, is approximately 300 m to the north of the measurement site. The observation site was on the roof of a six-story building (approximately 20 m above ground level); all of the instruments except the visibility sensor were installed in an air-conditioned room. Mass concentration of gases was measured by National Environmental Bureau on the Olympic Sports Center site (39.98 °N, 116.39 °E).

2.2 Measurement and method

The mass concentration of PM_{2.5} was measured by TEOM (tapered element oscillating microbalance, RP1405F) at the BNU site, whereas the mass concentration of gases (SO₂, NO₂, CO and O₃) was monitored by the National Environmental Bureau, and the data were gathered from the Internet (<http://www.pm25.in/>). We choose the data from Olympic Sports Center site, since it was nearest to BNU site. Atmospheric visibility with range from 10 m to 80 km was measured by the visibility sensor (Belfort 6000) at the wavelength of 880 nm (Liu et al., 2013b), which consisted of a transmitter, a receiver, and a controller. The atmospheric extinction coefficient b_{ext} (RH) at 550 nm in units of inverse megameter (Mm⁻¹) is calculated by Eq. (1) from the visibility data (Koschmieder, 1924):

$$b_{ext}(RH) = \frac{3.912 \times 10^3}{Vis} \times \left(\frac{880}{550}\right) \quad (1)$$

The BC (black carbon) in PM_{2.5} was measured using an Aethalometer (Model AE33, Magee Scientific Corporation) with seven wavelengths from 370 nm (UV) to 950 nm (IR) and a time resolution of 5 min. The aerosol absorption coefficient b_{ap} was calculated using Eq. (2):

$$b_{ap} = [BC] \times 6.6 \times \left(\frac{\lambda}{550}\right) \quad (2)$$

The aerosol scattering coefficient at dry condition, $b_{sp}(\text{dry})$, was measured by integrating a nephelometer (Model Aurora 3000, Ecotech, Australia) with a desiccant before the inlet.

Thus, the aerosol scattering coefficient under ambient condition $b_{sp}(RH)$ was calculated using Eq.(3):

$$b_{sp}(RH) = b_{ext}(RH) - b_{ap} - b_{ag} - b_{sg} \quad (3)$$

Where b_{ag} is calculated using the experiential equation $b_{ag} = [NO_2] \times 0.33$ (Hodkinson, 1966) with the unit of NO₂ being ppbv, and the scattering coefficient by gas, b_{sg} , is assumed to be constant at a value of 10 Mm⁻¹ (Liu et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2013a).

The hygroscopic growth of aerosol scattering $f(RH)$, is widely used as the ratio of the aerosol scattering coefficient under wet conditions to that under dry conditions. It is calculated using Eq. (4) (Liu et al., 2008):

$$f(RH) = \frac{b_{sp}(RH)}{b_{sp}(dry)} = \frac{b_{ext}(RH) - b_{ap} - b_{ag} - b_{sg}}{b_{sp}(dry)} \quad (4)$$

The single scattering albedo (SSA) is the ratio of the aerosol scattering coefficient over the aerosol extinction coefficient at a given wavelength. In this study, SSA is calculated with $b_{sp}(RH)$ and b_{ap} via Eq. (5):

$$SSA = \frac{b_{sp}(RH)}{b_{sp}(RH) + b_{ap}} \quad (5)$$

The meteorological station (Vaisala, Finland) monitored solar radiation, wind direction, wind speed and relative humidity. The height of the PBL, the wind field graph and atmospheric back trajectories were obtained from the ARL (Air Resources Laboratory) at NOAA website (<http://www.arl.noaa.gov/index.php>). Meteorological data from the GDAS (Global Data Assimilation System) was used for the model calculation.

The NR-PM₁ (non-refractory submicron aerosol) species, including organics, SO₄²⁻, NO₃⁻, NH₄⁺ and Cl⁻, were measured in situ using an ACSM (aerosol chemical speciation monitor) with an air flow of 0.1 L/min and a time resolution of 15 min. More detailed operations and calibrations of the ACSM can be found in the work of Sun et al. (2013). The instruments involved in this study are listed in Table 1.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Characteristics of the haze episodes

3.1.1 Overall description

As illustrated in Fig. 2, there were four haze episodes during the field measurement in Beijing, which were October 7 to 11, October 17 to 20, October 22 to 26, and October 29 to November 1.

The highest concentration of PM_{2.5} occurred at 18:00 on 25 October (the third episode), with a value of 469 µg m⁻³. Although the haze was severe, the peak value was much lower than that (900 µg m⁻³) during the haze in January 2013 (Zhang et al., 2014). Before the peak, increasing PM_{2.5} concentrations were observed. The four episodes had similar accumulation and dispersion patterns, in which the increasing slopes for the PM_{2.5} values in four episodes resembled each other and the pollutants were dispersed in a few hours. The rates of increase of PM_{2.5}, which were represented by the slope of PM_{2.5} concentrations, were calculated. To reduce the influence of the diurnal variation of PM_{2.5}, the first and last peak values in each haze episode were chosen in the calculation. Thus, the slope *r* is:

$$r(\text{PM}_{2.5}, \mu\text{g} \cdot \text{m}^{-3} \cdot \text{hour}^{-1}) = \frac{[\text{PM}_{2.5}]_{\text{max}} - [\text{PM}_{2.5}]_{\text{min}}}{T(\text{hour})} \quad (6)$$

The results are shown in Table 2.

In the four haze episodes, the rates of increase of the concentration of PM_{2.5} were 4.03, 4.44, 4.16 and 2.00 µg m⁻³ hour⁻¹. The first three hazes had similar rates of increase, and in the last haze episode, the concentration of PM_{2.5} increased at half the rate of the other three hazes. Gaseous pollutants (SO₂, NO₂, CO, and O₃) also showed obvious increases during haze episodes, except for O₃ in the fourth episode (Fig. 3). Because a heat supply is unavailable in October in Beijing, the emission of SO₂, which is mainly from coal combustion, was much less than the emission of NO₂, which is mainly from vehicle exhaust. The average mass concentration of NO₂ was 73.1 µg m⁻³, which is much higher than that of SO₂ (9.8 µg m⁻³). O₃ was a product of the photochemical reaction between nitrogen oxides (NO_x) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs).

High concentrations of NO_2 resulted in a high concentration of O_3 . However, O_3 remained at a low level during the fourth episode, especially on 30 and 31 October, whereas $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ and other gaseous pollutants reached their peaks at this time, which indicated that the photochemical reaction during the fourth episode was not strong and that heterogeneous reactions play a major role in the haze formation in the fourth episode. All of the gaseous pollutants showed daily variation and reached a maximum on 18 October. These were also related to the variance in the height of the PBL, which will be discussed in section 3.2.4.

Like the other severe hazes (Tao et al., 2014a, 2014b) in last two years, the haze in October 2014 also influenced China to a large extent (Fig. 4). According to the $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ spatial distribution over China, the haze originated from the Northern China Plain (NCP) and developed to the southwest and northeast directions. A similar situation occurred in September 2011, when the haze originated from Beijing and developed in the same directions (Liu et al., 2013b). Moreover, the haze influenced a large area of Middle China and Northeast China; for example, the concentration of $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ in Harbin, which is a large city in Northeast China, peaked at $664 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ during the third haze episode.

3.1.2 Optical properties

The temporal variation of the aerosol scattering at ambient environment coefficient $b_{\text{sp}}(\text{RH})$, the absorption by NO_2 coefficient b_{ag} , the aerosol absorption coefficient b_{ap} , the atmospheric extinction at ambient environment coefficient $b_{\text{ext}}(\text{RH})$ and the SSA are shown in Fig. 5. Data for $b_{\text{ext}}(\text{RH})$ were complete for the whole observation period,

whereas the other three parameters were not available until 15 October. With clear diurnal variation, b_{sp} , b_{ap} and b_{ext} increased day by day during the haze episodes and decreased sharply at the end of these haze episodes. Because $b_{sp}(RH)$ was calculated using b_{ap} and b_{ext} and because b_{ap} was relatively small compared to b_{ext} , the $b_{sp}(RH)$ had nearly the same temporal variation as b_{ext} . The maximum b_{ext} was 5611 Mm^{-1} at 22:00 on 25 October, and the average b_{ext} reached 1069 Mm^{-1} . Both data were much higher than those of other studies (Garland et al., 2008; Jung et al., 2009). The SSA in Fig. 5 was calculated using the aerosol extinction at ambient environment coefficient, $b_{sp}(RH)$. Aerosol representing fresh emission always has a low SSA, whereas aged aerosol has a higher SSA (Garland et al., 2008). As a result, the SSA showed an increasing trend during the haze episodes. Four sudden decreases in the SSA were observed during the dawn of 16, 22, and 26 October and 1 November. The first two decreases occurred at the beginning of haze episodes, which represented large quantities of freshly emitted aerosol. Because local emissions in Beijing showed a steadier pattern, the sudden change in SSA indicated pollutant transport from the vicinity of Beijing (discussed in section 3.2.2). The other two decreases occurred at the end of haze episodes when strong winds blew over Beijing and the pollutants were largely removed (discussed in section 3.2.3). Aged aerosol was cleared and new aerosols increased in a short time (Guo et al., 2014). Thus, SSA decreased sharply.

3.2 Formation mechanism of haze episodes

3.2.1 Secondary transformation of aerosols

Water-soluble ions are a primary component of aerosols and play a major role in the hygroscopic growth of aerosols. The temporal variation of major components (organic matter, SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^- , NH_4^+ , Cl^- and black carbon (BC)) in PM_{10} is shown in Fig. 6. Organic matter contributed most to the PM_{10} , followed by NO_3^- , SO_4^{2-} , NH_4^+ , BC and Cl^- . The mass concentration of SO_4^{2-} was always higher than that of NO_3^- , especially in the winter time, when the heat supply is prevalent in North China (Zhao et al., 2013). Although Beijing is replacing coal with natural gas for a heat supply, the influence from the vicinity is still enormous. However, in this study, the mass concentration of NO_3^- was always higher than that of SO_4^{2-} . The concentration of NO_3^- , which was primarily transferred from NO_x , represented the contribution of motor vehicle sources. The heat supply is not available during October in NCP, and the motor vehicles remained at a similar level throughout the year, which implied that motor vehicles played a more important part in the hazes in the autumn. During the haze periods, the percentage of NO_3^- and NH_4^+ increased, whereas the percentage of organic matter continued to decrease. When strong wind blew pollutants away, the percentage of organic matter increased sharply. On the contrary, the percentage of NO_3^- decreased in a short time and did not return for a certain time. SO_4^{2-} varied similarly to NO_3^- but in a much milder pattern. After the strong wind, even the concentration of SO_4^{2-} decreased, and the return-back time was much shorter than that of NO_3^- . This indicates that even though the concentration of SO_4^{2-} was lower than

that of NO_3^- , the oxidation rate from SO_2 to SO_4^{2-} was faster. It has been reported that the existence of high levels of NO_x may accelerate the reaction from SO_2 to SO_4^{2-} (He et al., 2014).

Even though all of the components in PM_{10} increased during the haze events, the accumulation pattern might be different for each component. Comparatively, the increasing pattern of SNA (SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^- and NH_4^+) was likely from local emissions. Diurnal variation of the concentration of SNA also existed, but it was not as significant as that of organic matter, Cl^- and BC. There is also no sudden increase in the concentrations of SNA. They were more likely to accumulate stably with high RH and a stagnant atmosphere. When the RH was high and the atmosphere was stable, gases such as SO_2 and NO_x transform to SNA at a fast rate. A clear increase in the SNA percentage can be seen in the pie charts in Fig. 6. The values for SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^- and NH_4^+ in PM_{10} increased from 8.48%, 20.55% and 9.46% in the non-haze period to 12.7%, 27.5% and 12.14% in the haze episode, respectively. Continuous increasing of SNA indicated that the formation of new SNA during the haze episodes contributes most of the formation of the haze. During the hazes in January 2013, high conversion from the gas phase of SO_2 and NO_x to the particle phase of SO_4^{2-} and NO_3^- was found, and heterogeneous formation of SO_4^{2-} and NO_3^- was considered to be important, especially during low visibility episodes (Quan et al., 2014).

SOR and NOR were important factors, showing that gaseous species would be oxidized to secondary aerosols in the atmosphere (Sun et al., 2006). They are widely used in the analysis of the secondary transformation of aerosols. [PM_{2.5} and SOR](#),

带格式的：下标

NOR are highly related. As we found in the Fig. S1, PM_{2.5} is well fitted with SOR and NOR. The correlation coefficient was 0.62 between PM_{2.5} and SOR and 0.79 between PM_{2.5} and NOR. It means with SOR and NOR can be higher with higher concentration of PM_{2.5}.The temporal variations of SOR and NOR are shown in Fig. 7.

SOR was mostly higher than 0.2, and NOR was mostly higher than 0.1, indicating intense secondary formation of SO₄²⁻ and NO₃⁻ (Fu et al., 2008). SOR and NOR increased during the haze episodes with accumulated pollutants. Furthermore, SOR increased more quickly than NOR. To compare the rate of increase of SOR and NOR, the slope of the SOR and NOR in the observed haze are calculated. To reduce the influence of the diurnal variation of SOR and NOR, the first and last peak values in the figure are chosen in the calculation. Thus, the slope r is:

$$r(\text{SOR}, \text{hour}^{-1}) = \frac{\text{SOR}_{\max} - \text{SOR}_{\min}}{T(\text{hour})} \quad (7)$$

$$r(\text{NOR}, \text{hour}^{-1}) = \frac{\text{NOR}_{\max} - \text{NOR}_{\min}}{T(\text{hour})} \quad (8)$$

The results are shown in Table 3.

In the three observed haze episodes, $r(\text{SOR})$ is 3.4, 1.6, and 4.2 times $r(\text{NOR})$, which indicated faster production of SO₄²⁻, even though the concentration of SO₄²⁻ was lower than that of NO₃⁻. Meanwhile, after the strong wind, which decreased SOR and NOR sharply, low SOR still existed, whereas NOR was nearly 0. These findings explained the shorter return time of SO₄²⁻ after the haze episodes.

3.2.2 Combustion of biomass and regional transport

Biomass burning became prevalent in NCP during the autumn harvest. The combustion was primarily conducted in the open field. Pollutants, such as BC and CO, were emitted on a large scale and influenced the air quality not only in the emission region but also in the downstream city. Hence, biomass burning in the surrounding provinces was an important cause of the hazes in the autumn in Beijing. Fire points in China, based on data from MODIS Terra and Aqua satellites, on 6 October are shown in Fig. 8a. On 6 October, 267 fire points were found in China, among which 29, 163 and 30 fire points were found in Hebei, Henan and Shandong provinces, respectively. In total, 1957 fire points, which were caused by biomass burning in the whole of October, were found in China, among which 54, 26 and 57 fire points were found in Hebei, Henan and Shandong provinces, respectively. Although the fire points were more strictly controlled in 2014 compared to 2013, the influence of biomass burning still could not be neglected.

The backward trajectories of Beijing during the first haze episode reflect how the biomass burning influenced Beijing (Fig. 8b). A total of 9 backward trajectories of 48 hours were drawn with the HYSPLIT model online version. The backward trajectories started from 17:00 (LST) on 11 October and restarted a new trajectory every 12 hours. The air mass during the first haze episode mainly came from the south and southeast, originating from Hebei, Henan, Shandong and even Anhui Province. The pollutants from biomass burning in these provinces were transported to Beijing. Once the meteorological conditions were stagnant, haze formed and was aggravated in this

region. A similar situation occurred in the haze in 2007 (Li et al., 2010). Therefore, biomass burning is a tough challenge for air pollution control in the autumn.

Based on the temporal variation of each component in Fig. 6, the organic matter, Cl^- and BC had similar variation patterns. In addition to clear diurnal variations, which were caused by the diurnal development of PBL, a sudden increase in the concentration before each haze period was found for the organic matter, Cl^- and BC. On 18 October, the height of the PBL (468.7 m) was 21.1% higher than that on 17 October (386.9 m), but the concentrations of organic matter, Cl^- and BC were 6, 6 and 4 times the values of the day before. Organic matter, Cl^- and BC were emitted from biomass burning; abnormal high values and a sudden increase in organic matter, Cl^- and BC indicated spatial transport of pollutants from straw burning. Consequently, regional pollutant transport was important for haze formation in October 2014, and straw burning was a significant pollution source. However, the concentrations of organic matter, BC and Cl^- in haze period were found lower than those in nonhaze period. It is because the increase of organic matter, BC and Cl^- was obvious in the beginning part of haze, after which the increase of new SNA were predominant.

带格式的：上标

3.2.3 Stationary synoptic condition

Pressure systems can influence the wind and precipitation of a region. Surface weather maps of East Asia at 2:00 (LST) on 7~10 October during the first haze episode are shown in Fig. 9. The NCP was dominated by a weak high-pressure system on 7 October, which lasted for the next two days. The weak high-pressure system resulted in low surface wind and relatively stagnant weather, which was unfavorable

for the dispersion of air pollutants. The high-pressure system slowly moved towards the northeast. Meanwhile, the Mongolia anticyclone (a low-pressure system) moved towards and encountered the high-pressure system on 9 October, which brought wind and caused a small decrease in $PM_{2.5}$ on the dawn of 9 October. However, the weak high-pressure system dominated the NCP on 10 October, and the weather became stagnant again until 11 October, when another strong Mongolia anticyclone moved to the NCP, and the first haze episode ended.

The wind fields at 2:00 on 10, 20, 24, and 31 October in the NCP region are shown in Fig. 10, representing typical days in the four haze episodes. Generally, the wind speeds are slow during the haze episodes. In addition, the wind slowed sharply around Beijing city in all four figures. For example, on 31 October, the wind over the NCP came east from the Bohai Sea. The wind separated into two directions when it encountered Beijing, one blowing to the north and the other blowing to the south. The wind over Beijing maintained a low speed. A similar phenomenon was observed in January 2013 when a severe haze occurred in Beijing (Tao et al., 2014b). Moreover, the wind on 10 October was smooth, blowing from the southeast of Beijing and then turning to the northeast. The wind around Beijing was clearly slowed and became strong after blowing over Beijing. The city acted as a large obstacle for the wind, slowing the wind speed, disturbing the wind direction and affecting other properties of the wind (Miao et al., 2009). The wind on 20 October was more complex. Winds from the southwest and northwest blew toward and converged at Beijing. The winds from the two directions weakened each other, creating stagnant conditions in Beijing.

The pollutants in the vicinity were brought to Beijing, accumulating and reacting further (Zhao et al., 2013). On 24 October, the wind was similar to that on 10 October, with the exception of the disordered directions around Beijing city.

[Changes in wind pattern influenced the near-surface aerosol concentration \(Pal et al., 2014\).](#) The wind rose diagram overlaid with the PM_{2.5} concentrations from 5 October to 2 November are shown in Fig. 11. The winds blew mainly from three directions: northwest, northeast, and southwest. In each direction, the higher the wind speeds were, the lower the PM_{2.5} concentrations were. The average wind speed was 1.1 m s⁻¹, which was slightly higher than that (0.9 m s⁻¹) in September 2011, when another haze episode occurred (Liu et al., 2013b). Furthermore, the PM_{2.5} concentrations were relatively high within the wind speed limit: 1 m/s for wind from the northwest, 1.5 m/s for wind from the northeast and 3 m s⁻¹ for wind from the southwest. For example, when the wind was from the northeast and the wind speed was lower than 1.5 m s⁻¹, nearly all of the PM_{2.5} concentrations exceeded 75 µg m⁻³ (the national secondary standard of PM_{2.5}) and vice versa. Nevertheless, when the wind blew northwest, with wind directions concentrating between 270 ° to 315 °; the wind speed limit decreased to 1 m s⁻¹. Thus, with similar wind speeds, the concentration of PM_{2.5} was lower. Zhang et al. (2015) made a research on relationships of evolution of PM_{2.5} concentrations and meteorological conditions. In Beijing city, winds from northeast and southwest will result in higher concentration of PM_{2.5} and winds from northeast will lead to lowest concentration of PM_{2.5} in autumn and winter. It could also be clearly found in the haze during January 2013 in Beijing (Yang et al., 2015). However, winds in

January 2013 distributed more equally in every direction while winds in October 2014 concentrated in specific direction. Differences between every wind direction were less in January 2013. High concentration (over $75 \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$) of $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ can also be found at wind speeds over 2 m s^{-1} when blowing northwest.

3.2.4 Variance of the PBL height

The development of the PBL, which is mainly influenced by air temperature and dynamics, affects the vertical dispersion of pollutants ([Pal et al., 2015](#)). When the PBL height is low, the pollutants stay at the surface layer and maintain a higher level. This can easily lead to haze formation on the ground. _

As depicted in Fig. 12, the heights of the PBL between the two haze episodes were relatively high, and a distinct descent of the PBL height was found during the four haze episodes. The lowest PBL at noon was 384 m on 17 October. It was only 14% of the PBL height before the haze (2718 m on 15 October). Because it is difficult to break through the PBL, the local pollutants were compressed and accumulated near the surface, leading to a high concentration of $\text{PM}_{2.5}$. This is not similar to cases reported in other haze episodes (Yang et al., 2015). The temperature during each haze episode in October 2014 maintained a constant level or even increased (Fig. 2). Normally, a higher temperature, which will enhance the PBL development, is conducive to higher PBL. However, the formation of hazes in October 2014 was influenced by many factors. The dynamics of the atmosphere are another important factor from the local perspective. The deficiency of horizontal movement of the atmosphere affects the vertical development of the PBL. The highest PBL occurred on

16 October, when a cold and fast wind blew over Beijing. The cold and fast air mass from Mongolia is an effective removal mechanism of the haze not only in the horizontal direction but also in the vertical direction. Once the cold air from the northwest blows over the NCP, it not only promotes the horizontal dispersion of pollutants but also accelerates the vertical diffusion of pollutants because the height of the PBL is rapidly increased.

3.2.5 Impact of the relative humidity on haze

Four haze episodes in October 2014 were characterized by higher RH. The RH was over 90% during the severest haze period, and it sometimes reached 100% in October 2014. The high RH aggravated the haze.

The relationships between RH and SOR and between RH and NOR are illustrated in Fig. 13. SOR and NOR were highly correlated with RH. The correlation coefficients of SOR and NOR with RH are 0.79 and 0.55, respectively, which are much higher than those in a previous study (Han et al., 2014), indicating the particularly high importance of RH during the haze episodes in this study. SOR reached a minimum when RH was approximately 40%. When RH was >40%, SOR increased with increasing RH, whereas SOR decreased with increasing RH when RH was < 40%. The conversion from SO_2 to SO_4^{2-} requires water vapor as a reactant. Hence, when RH was >40%, the high RH became a major factor accelerating the production of SO_4^{2-} . The conversion is an endothermic reaction, which indicates that high temperature can promote the conversion. RH and temperature have an inverse correlation. When the RH was lower than 40%, water was not adequate to accelerate

the conversion. As a result, the temperature became the most important factor in the reaction. Therefore, when the RH was lower than 40%, SOR decreased with decreasing temperature but not with increasing RH. The formation of NO_3^- is more complex than that of SO_4^{2-} , so the correlation of NOR and RH was much weaker than that of SOR and RH. However, a clear positive correlation was still observed. No minimum value was found for NOR, and high RH can promote NOR.

The measured $f(RH)$ values at ambient RH during the observed period are depicted in Fig. 14. The curve is similar to those of other studies, indicating an increasing tendency of $f(RH)$ with increasing RH. Usually, the exponential relationship between $f(RH)$ and RH can be fitted by an empirical function: $f(RH)=1+a(RH/100)^b$. In this study, the curve fitting parameters a and b were 3.79 and 6.10, respectively. $f(RH)$ values at an RH of 80%, which had an average value of 1.97 in this study, are extracted for comparison with other studies. When the RH was 80%, the aerosol particulate scattering coefficient was nearly 2 times that in dry conditions, which is relatively large compared with other studies (Liu et al., 2013a). Consequently, the RH contributed much more to aerosol extinctions in October 2014, leading to more severe hazes.

Visibility dependences on the mass concentration of $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ at different RH intervals are shown in Fig. 15 Overall, the visibility decreased rapidly with increasing $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ concentrations. In addition, with increasing RH, the visibility decreased faster. For example, when the RH was less than 30% and the mass concentration of $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ was lower than $85 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$, all of the visibilities were over 10 km. However, when the RH

was greater than 90%, no visibility was more than 10 km in this observation. To quantify the relationship between visibility, the PM_{2.5} concentration and RH, Eq. (9) is used:

$$b_{ext}(RH) = b_{sp}(RH) + b_{ap} + b_{sg} + b_{ag} = Q_{SP} \times PM_{2.5} \times (1 + a \times (\frac{RH}{100})^b) + Q_{ap} \times PM_{2.5} + 34 \quad (9)$$

Where Q_{sp} and Q_{ap} are the mass scattering and absorbing efficiency, which are the ratios of b_{sp} and b_{ap} to PM_{2.5}, respectively. The average values of Q_{sp} and Q_{ap} in this study are $3.10 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ and $0.42 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$. Curves of the dependence of visibility on the PM_{2.5} concentration at different RH intervals are shown in Fig. 15. The calculated curves showed the same trend as the dotted figure: the higher the RH was, the faster the visibility decreased as the concentration of PM_{2.5} increased. When the concentration of PM_{2.5} reached $75 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ (the national secondary standard of PM_{2.5}), the visibility surpassed 10 km only when the RH was lower than 60%. To control haze, keeping PM_{2.5} under the national second standard alone is sufficient.

In previous studies, a temperature decrease was often reported (Liu et al., 2013b). It not only deprived the dynamics of the PBL development but also resulted in less heat turbulence, which is unfavorable for pollutant dispersion. Moreover, negative aerosol radiation forcing (ARF) was always recorded, indicating the feedback mechanism between radiation and aerosol loading (Quan et al., 2014). However, in this study, even though the solar radiation was reduced during the haze episodes, the temperature was steady or even increasing over a longer temporal range (several days). Water vapor, a greenhouse gas, had a vital effect on the atmospheric thermal balance. The

short-wave radiation from the sun is not absorbed by water vapor, but the long-wave radiation from the earth can be largely absorbed by it. As a result, even less solar radiation reached the earth's surface, and the radiation from the earth supplied increasing heat to the atmosphere with increasing RH. Increasing temperature accelerated the chemical reaction rate of aerosols and aggravated the haze. This situation could also be found in the haze in January 2013, but little research has focused on the temperature variation. Fig. 16 depicts how RH influenced the haze formation. Water vapor in the atmosphere played a vital role in the formation of haze, which can not only accelerate the chemical transformation of secondary pollutants but also lead to hygroscopic growth of aerosols. Furthermore, as an important greenhouse gas, it absorbed surface radiation, altering the thermal balance of the atmosphere, which finally affected haze formation. RH in autumn is much higher than winter (Dong et al., 2013). It highly increases the rate of secondary reaction and hygroscopic growth. Thus, when only considering RH, with the same level of emission haze in autumn will be severer. However, in reality larger quantity of emission and increasing RH in last decade in winter (Cheng et al., 2015) resulted in heavier haze in winter season.

4 Conclusions

Comprehensive measurements were conducted during the haze episode from October 5 to November 2, 2014. To clarify the formation mechanism of haze in Beijing, the physical and chemical characteristics of aerosol and the relevant meteorology parameters were analyzed. The major conclusions are as follows:

- (1) Four distinct haze episodes occurred in October 2014 in Beijing, China. The increasing rate of the concentration of PM_{2.5} was used to analyze the formation progress of hazes. The highest concentration of PM_{2.5} was 469 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ in Beijing, and the highest increasing rate of the concentration of PM_{2.5} was 4.44 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{ hour}^{-1}$. The haze originated from the Northern China Plain (NCP), developing to the southwest and northeast directions.
- (2) The concentration of SO₄²⁻ was lower than that of NO₃⁻. However, The increasing rate of the SOR and NOR showed the oxidation rate of SO₂ to SO₄²⁻ was faster than that of NO₂ to NO₃⁻. Sharp increases in the SNA fraction in PM₁ in the haze episode indicated that new formation of SNA contributed most to the formation of haze.
- (3) In total, 54, 26 and 57 fire points were found in Hebei, Henan and Shandong provinces, respectively. The air mass during the first haze episodes mainly came from the south and southeast, originating from these provinces. The sudden increase in the concentration of organic matter, Cl⁻ and BC before each haze period indicated the importance of biomass burning and transport in the beginning of haze formation in October 2014, after which the increase of new SNA were predominant in haze formation.
- (4) NCP was dominated by a weak high-pressure system during haze episodes. Beijing city slowed the wind speed and disturbed the wind direction. Overall, the winds blew from the northwest, northeast, and southwest. The PM_{2.5} concentrations were relatively high within the wind speed boundary: 1 m s⁻¹ for

wind from the northwest, 1.5 m s^{-1} for wind from the northeast and 3 m s^{-1} for wind from the southwest.

(5) A distinct decrease in the PBL height was observed during the four haze periods, compressing the local pollutants closer to the surface.

(6) The four haze episodes in October 2014 were characterized by higher RH. High RH influenced the haze formation in three ways: accelerating the chemical transformation of secondary pollutants, leading to hygroscopic growth of aerosols and altering the thermal balance of the atmosphere.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 41175018 and No.41475113) and by special fund of State Key Joint Laboratory of Environment Simulation and Pollution Control (No.14L02ESPC).

Reference

- Araujo, J.A., Barajas, B., Kleinman, M., Wang, X., Bennett, B.J., Gong, K.W., Navab, M., Harkema, J., Sioutas, C., Lusk, A.J., Nel, A.E., 2008. Ambient particulate pollutants in the ultrafine range promote early atherosclerosis and systemic oxidative stress. *Circ Res* 102, 589-596.
- Cahill, T.A., 1996. Climate forcing by anthropogenic aerosols: the role for PIXE. *Nucl Instrum Methods Phys Res Sect B: Beam Interact Mater*, B 109, 402-406.
- Cheng, Y., He, K.B., Du, Z.Y., Zheng, M., Duan, F.K., Ma, Y.L., 2015. Humidity plays an important role in the PM_{2.5} pollution in Beijing. *Environ Pollut* 197, 68-95.
- Dong, X.L., Liu, D.M., Gao, S.P., 2013. Seasonal variations of atmospheric heterocyclic aromatic amines in Beijing, China. *Atmos Res* 120-121, 287-297.
- Fu, Q., Zhuang, G., Wang, J., Xu, C., Huang, K., Li, J., Hou, B., Lu, T., Streets, D.G., 2008. Mechanism of formation of the heaviest pollution episode ever recorded in the Yangtze River Delta, China. *Atmos Environ* 42, 2023-2036.
- Garland, R.M., Miyazaki, Y., Kondo, Y., Hu, M., Shao, M., Zeng, L.M., Zhang, Y.H., Andreae, M.O., Pöschl, U., Yang, H., Schmid, O., Rose, D., Nowak, A., Achtert, P., Wiedensohler,

- A., Takegawa, N., Kita, K., 2008. Aerosol optical properties in a rural environment near the mega-city Guangzhou, China: implications for regional air pollution, radiative forcing and remote sensing. *Atmos Chem Phys* 8, 5161-5186.
- Guo, S., Hu, M., Zamora, M.L., Peng, J., Shang, D., Zheng, J., Du Z, Wu, Z., Shao, M., Zeng, L., Molina, M.J., Zhang, R., 2014. Elucidating severe urban haze formation in China. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 111, 17373-17378.
- Han, T., Liu, X., Zhang, Y., Qu, Y., Gu, J., Ma, Q., Lu, K., Tian, H., Chen, J., Zeng, L., Hu, M., Zhu, T., 2014. Characteristics of Aerosol Optical Properties and Their Chemical Apportionments during CAREBeijing 2006. *Aerosol Air Qual Res* 14, 1431-1442.
- He, H., Wang, Y., Ma, Q., Ma, J., Chu, B., Ji, D., Tang, G., Liu, C., Zhang, H., Hao, J., 2014. Mineral dust and NO_x promote the conversion of SO₂ to sulfate in heavy pollution days. *Sci Rep* 4, 4172.
- Hodkinson, J.R., 1966. Calculation of colour and visibility in urban atmospheres polluted by gaseous NO₂. *Air and water pollution* 10, 137-144.
- Huang, K., Zhuang, G., Wang, Q., Fu, J.S., Lin, Y., Liu, T., Han, L., Deng, C., 2014. Extreme haze pollution in Beijing during January 2013: chemical characteristics, formation mechanism and role of fog processing. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions* 14, 7517-7556.
- Jacobson, M.Z., 2001. Strong radiative heating due to the mixing state of black carbon in atmospheric aerosols. *Nature* 409, 695-697.
- Ji, D., Li, L., Wang, Y., Zhang, J., Cheng, M., Sun, Y., Liu, Z., Wang, L., Tang, G., Hu, B., Chao, N., Wen, T., Miao, H., 2014. The heaviest particulate air-pollution episodes occurred in northern China in January, 2013: Insights gained from observation. *Atmos Environ* 92, 546-556.
- Jung, J., Lee, H., Kim, Y.J., Liu, X., Zhang, Y., Gu, J., Fan, S., 2009. Aerosol chemistry and the effect of aerosol water content on visibility impairment and radiative forcing in Guangzhou during the 2006 Pearl River Delta campaign. *J Environ Manage* 90, 3231-3244.
- Koschmieder, H., 1924. Theorie der horizontalen Sichtweite. *Beiträge zur Physik der freien Atmosphäre*, 33-53.
- Li, W.J., Shao, L.Y., Buseck, P.R., 2010. Haze types in Beijing and the influence of agricultural biomass burning. *Atmos Chem Phys* 10, 8119-8130.
- Liao, X.N., Zhang, X.L., Wang, Y.C., Liu, W.D., Du J, Zhao, L.H., 2014. Comparative analysis on meteorological condition for persistent haze cases in summer and winter in Beijing (With abstract in English). *Environ Sci* 35, 2031-2044.
- Liu, X.G., Cheng, Y.F., Zhang, Y.H., Jung, J.S., Sugimoto, N., Chang, S.Y., Kim, Y.J., Fan, S.J., Zeng, L.M., 2008. Influences of relative humidity and particle chemical composition on

- aerosol scattering properties during the 2006 PRD campaign. *Atmos Environ* 42, 1525-1536.
- Liu, X.G., Zhang, Y.H., Gu, J.W., Li, Y.P., Cheng, Y.F., Qu, Y., Han, T.T., Wang, J.L., Tian, H.Z., Chen, J., Zhang, Y.H., 2013a. Increase of aerosol scattering by hygroscopic growth: Observation, modeling, and implications on visibility. *Atmos Res* 132-133, 91-101.
- Liu, X.G., Li, J., Qu, Y., Han, T.T., Hou, L., Gu, J., Chen, C., Yang, Y.R., Liu, X., Yang, T., Zhang, Y.H., Tian, H.Z., Hu, M., 2013b. Formation and evolution mechanism of regional haze: a case study in the megacity Beijing, China. *Atmos Chem Phys* 13, 4501-4514.
- Ma, N., Zhao, C.S., Nowak, A., Mueller, T., Pfeifer, S., Cheng, Y.F., Deng, Z.Z., Liu, P.F., Xu, W.Y., Ran, L., Yan, P., Goebel, T., Hallbauer, E., Mildenerger, K., Henning, S., Yu, J., Chen, L.L., Zhou, X.J., Stratmann, F., Wiedensohler, A., 2011. Aerosol optical properties in the North China Plain during HaChi campaign: an in-situ optical closure study. *Atmos Chem Phys* 11, 5959-5973.
- Miao, S., Chen, F., LeMone, M.A., Tewari, M., Li, Q., Wang, Y., 2009. An Observational and Modeling Study of Characteristics of Urban Heat Island and Boundary Layer Structures in Beijing. *J Appl Meteorol Clim* 48, 484-501.
- Miller, K.A., Siscovick, D.S., Sheppard, L., Shepherd, K., Sullivan, J.H., Anderson, G.L., Kaufman, J.D., 2007. Long-term exposure to air pollution and incidence of cardiovascular events in women. *N Engl J Med* 356, 447-458.
- Quan, J., Tie, X., Zhang, Q., Liu, Q., Li, X., Gao, Y., Zhao, D., 2014. Characteristics of heavy aerosol pollution during the 2012–2013 winter in Beijing, China. *Atmos Environ* 88, 83-89.
- [Pal, S., Lee, T.R., Phelps, S., De Wekker, S.F.J., 2014. Impact of atmospheric boundary layer depth variability and wind reversal on the diurnal variability of aerosol concentration at a valley site. *Sci Total Environ* 496, 424-434.](#)
- [Pal, S., Lopez, M., Schmidt, M., Ramonet, M., Gibert, F., Xueref - Remy, I., Ciais, P. 2015. Investigation of the atmospheric boundary layer depth variability and its impact on the 222Rn concentration at a rural site in France. *J Geophys Res* 120, 623-643.](#)
- Sun, Y., Jiang, Q., Wang, Z., Fu, P., Li, J., Yang, T., Yin, Y., 2014. Investigation of the sources and evolution processes of severe haze pollution in Beijing in January 2013. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 119, 4380-4398.
- Sun, Y., Zhuang, G., Tang, A.A., Wang, Y., An, Z., 2006. Chemical characteristics of PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ in haze-fog episodes in Beijing. *Environ Sci Technol* 40, 3148-3155.
- Sun, Y.L., Wang, Z.F., Fu, P.Q., Yang, T., Jiang, Q., Dong, H.B., Li, J., Jia, J., 2013. Aerosol composition, sources and processes during wintertime in Beijing, China. *Atmos Chem Phys* 13, 4577-4592.

- Tao, M., Chen, L., Wang, Z., Ma, P., Tao, J., Jia, S., 2014a. A study of urban pollution and haze clouds over northern China during the dusty season based on satellite and surface observations. *Atmos Environ* 82, 183-192.
- Tao, M., Chen, L., Xiong, X., Zhang, M., Ma, P., Tao, J., Wang, Z., 2014b. Formation process of the widespread extreme haze pollution over northern China in January 2013: Implications for regional air quality and climate. *Atmos Environ* 98, 417-425.
- Wang, H., Xu, J., Zhang, M., Yang, Y., Shen, X., Wang, Y., Chen, D., Guo, J., 2014. A study of the meteorological causes of a prolonged and severe haze episode in January 2013 over central-eastern China. *Atmos Environ* 98, 146-157.
- Wang, W., Maenhaut, W., Yang, W., Liu, X., Bai, Z., Zhang, T., Claeys, M., Cachier, H., Dong, S., Wang, Y., 2014. One-year aerosol characterization study for PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ in Beijing. *Atmos Pollut Res* 5, 554.
- Watson, J.G., 2002. Visibility: Science and regulation. *J Air Waste Manage* 52, 628-713.
- Wu, D., Bi, X., Deng, X., Li, F., Tan, H., Liao, G., Huang, J., 2007. Effect of Atmospheric Haze on the Deterioration of Visibility over the Pearl River Delta. *Acta Meteorol Sin* 21, 215.
- Xue, J., Griffith, S.M., Yu, X., Lau, A.K.H., Yu, J.Z., 2014. Effect of nitrate and sulfate relative abundance in PM_{2.5} on liquid water content explored through half-hourly observations of inorganic soluble aerosols at a polluted receptor site. *Atmos Environ* 99, 24-31.
- Yang, Y., Liu, X., Qu, Y., Wang, J., An, J., Zhang, Y., Zhang, F., 2015. Formation mechanism of continuous extreme haze episodes in the megacity Beijing, China, in January 2013. *Atmos Res* 155, 192-203.
- Zhang, J.K., Sun, Y., Liu, Z.R., Ji, D.S., Hu, B., Liu, Q., Wang, Y.S., 2014. Characterization of submicron aerosols during a month of serious pollution in Beijing, 2013. *Atmos Chem Phys* 14, 2887-2903.
- Zhang, Z.Y., Zhang, X.L., Gong, D.Y., Quan, W.J., Zhao, X.J., Ma, Z.Q., Kim, S.J., 2015. Evolution of surface O₃ and PM_{2.5} concentrations and their relationships with meteorological conditions over the last decade in Beijing. *Atmos Environ* 108, 67-75.
- Zhao, P., Zhao, X., Zhang, X., Xu, X., 2011. Long-term visibility trends and characteristics in the region of Beijing, Tianjin, and Hebei, China. *Atmos Res* 101, 711-718.
- Zhao, X.J., Zhao, P.S., Xu, J., Meng, W., Pu, W.W., Dong, F., He, D., Shi, Q.F., 2013. Analysis of a winter regional haze event and its formation mechanism in the North China Plain. *Atmos Chem Phys* 13, 5685-5696.

Table 1. Overview of the instruments involved in this study.

Instrument	Parameter	Manufacturer Model
TEOM	PM _{2.5}	Thermo. Electron., RP1405F
Visibility meter	Visibility	Belfort 6000
Aethalometer	BC	AE33
Integrating nephelometer	Aerosol scattering coefficient	Ecotech, Aurora 3000
Wind speed/ Temperature/RH sensor	Wind speed, Temperature, RH	Vaisala GMT220 HMP45
ACSM	The NR-PM ₁ species	/

Table 2 The slopes of PM_{2.5} concentrations during the four haze episodes ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{ hour}^{-1}$)

	Episode 1 ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{ hour}^{-1}$)	Episode 2 ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{ hour}^{-1}$)	Episode 3 ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{ hour}^{-1}$)	Episode 4 ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{ hour}^{-1}$)
r(PM _{2.5})	4.03	4.44	4.16	2.00

Table 3 The slopes of SOR and NOR during three haze episodes

	Episode 2 (hour ⁻¹)	Episode 3 (hour ⁻¹)	Episode 4 (hour ⁻¹)
r(SOR)	5.63×10^{-3}	7.05×10^{-3}	6.22×10^{-3}
r(NOR)	1.64×10^{-3}	4.5×10^{-3}	1.49×10^{-3}




Fig. 1 Observation sites in Beijing. All the data was obtained at BNU site except for gaseous pollutants, which were measured at Olympic Sports Center by National Environmental Bureau.




Fig. 2 Time series of observed PM_{2.5}, RH, temperature and solar radiation in Beijing from 5 October to 2 November 2014.




Fig. 3 Time series of observed gaseous pollutants (SO₂, NO₂, CO, O₃) in Beijing from 5 October to 2 November 2014.




Fig. 4 Spatial distribution of the PM_{2.5} concentration over China from 5:00 A.M. 9 October to 5:00 A.M. 10 October (from China Meteorological Administration, <http://www.nmc.gov.cn/publish/observations/environmental.htm>).




Fig. 5 Time series of the atmospheric extinction coefficient b_{ext} , absorption by NO_2 coefficient b_{ag} , aerosol absorption coefficient b_{ap} , ambient aerosol scattering coefficient $b_{\text{sp}}(\text{RH})$, and single scattering albedo (SSA) in Beijing from 5 October to 2 November 2014.




Fig. 6 a) temporal variation of the mass concentration of each aerosol species in PM_{10} , b) temporal variation of the mass fraction of each aerosol species in PM_{10} , c) mass fraction of each aerosol species in PM_{10} during non-haze and haze episodes from 15 October to 2 November 2014.




Fig. 7 Time series of SOR (sulfate oxidation rate) and NOR (nitrate oxidation rate) from 15 October to 2 November 2014.




Fig. 8 a) Fire points of straw burning over China on 6 October 2014, b) Backward trajectories from Beijing for Episode 1.




Fig. 9 Surface pressure on the surface at (a) 02:00 LST 7 October, (b) 02:00 LST 8 October, (c) 02:00 LST 9 October, and (d) 02:00 LST 10 October.

Wind Vectors(KNTS) at Height: 10m AGL




Fig. 10 Wind field graphs of NCP at (a) 02:00 LST 10 October, (b) 02:00 LST 20 October, (c) 02:00 LST 24 October, and (d) 02:00 LST 31 October; Black star denotes Beijing city, the color bar represents wind vectors.




Fig. 11 Wind rose diagrams of PM_{2.5} in Beijing in October 2014.




Fig. 12 Time series of the PBL (Planetary boundary layer) from 5 October to 2 November 2014.




Fig. 13 Relationship between RH (%) and SOR and between RH (%) and NOR during the haze episodes in October 2014.




Fig. 14 Hygroscopic growth for aerosol scattering $f(RH)$ as a function of RH with curve fitting. Scattered dots are the measured $f(RH)$ values, and the line is the empirical fitting curve.




Fig. 15 Variation of visibility at different RH values and different concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$, observed (dots) and simulated (lines).




Fig. 16. The mechanism of how RH influenced haze formation.