

We would like to thank the referees for the constructive comments to help us to improve the manuscript. Below are our answers to the comments by the referees.

Answers to the referee comments by Anonymous Referee #1 on our manuscript “Variability of air ion concentrations in urban Paris” by V. N. Dos Santos et al.

General comments:

It will be very good if you put graph of $dN/d\log D$ for ion and particle combined hourly for event days (one day only), I wanted to see how the variation looks like. Characterization of ions should be follow on some old paper, in general for all the AIS measurement and see Mirme and Mirme also paper all are followed by Horrak's and Tammet's, even though Helsinki groups they all are following the same, Characterization of ion by Prof. Tammet. It will good if follow the same pattern and will be easy for comparison.

We have plotted $dN/d\log D$ for ions on selected NPF event days in Fig. 6. We don't want to combine ion (measured with AIS) and particle number size distribution (measured with TDMPS) here as ions are only charged fraction of the total aerosol particle. Thus, combining ion and particle plot might be physically misleading and technically challenging as we should use two different color scale in one surface plot. We hope referee understands our criterion.

In this study we will use the following mobility diameter ranges: small or cluster ions ($1.3 - 0.5 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$), intermediate ($0.5 - 0.034 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$) and large ions ($0.034 - 0.0042 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$), which correspond to mobility diameters of 0.8 – 2 nm, 2 – 7 nm and 7 – 20 nm, respectively. These size ranges correspond better our current understanding of cluster and particle formation dynamics, as transformation from cluster activation to new particle formation occurs at 1.5-2 nm range (Kulmala et al., *Science* 2013).

I also find many places cited only the Hirsikko et al., 2011- Review paper, that is fine, but original paper may also be cited.

Yes, modified the text and replaced the Hirsikko et al 2011 review paper citations when not needed. We have now added citations to original work throughout the manuscript. See the replies below for more details.

You have data for longer period- data collected at different seasons-right, how much temperature variations you have been noticed, it may be some time negative value of temperature. We may ware that mobility of ions depends on temperature- please comments.

The median monthly temperature in Paris varies from 20 °C (July) to 5 °C (January). Thus, temperature will go below zero Celsius degree during winter months, as referee pointed out. We have been converting the ion electrical mobilities to Millikan-Stokes diameters using Eq. 1 in Mäkelä et al., 1996 (more details by Kulmala et al. *Nature Protocols* 2012). We have done these conversions in NPT conditions: 20°C (293.15 K) and 1 atm (101.325 kPa). We tested that the effect of the ambient temperature changes to the ion mobilities, and the influence was minor. E.g. an ion with a physical size of 1.0 nm (20°C, 1 atm) would be

detected at ambient temperatures of 20 °C, 0°C and -20 °C, as 1.0000, 1.0010, and 1.013 nm, respectively, when using NTP condition assumption in the mobility to diameter conversion. Thus, we neglected it in further analysis, as the instrument particle sizing accuracy is much less sensitive than the mobility change caused by ambient temperature.

Some recent reference may be cited here e.g. Garcia et al., 2014, ACP; Kecorius, S. et al., 2015. Nocturnal aerosol particle formation in the north China plain. Lithuanian J. Phys. 55: 44-53; Kolarz P, Gaisberger M, Madl P, Hofmann W, Ritter M, Hartl A. 2012. Characterization of ions at Alpine waterfalls. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 12: 3687-3697. doi:10.5194/acp-12-3687-2012.

We added a reference to paper by Kolarz et al. ACP 2012. As it is slightly related to a possible ion source at urban locations. We didn't see relevance to add other citations as they were not related to urban studies and our Introduction has in general more recent and updated citations now added (see replies below, Revised manuscript, Page 3, lines 7-12).

I have one strong feeling that your results should be compare with model work also.

We agree that atmospheric model including all necessary sources and sinks to support the diurnal cycle of ambient ions would be good. Nevertheless, it is out of scope of this study which focuses on reporting our field observations.

It will be very good if the author calculate the formation rate (J5), because we can say something about the formation of particle and compare with other worker's results.

We didn't calculate the ion formation rates as we didn't have all the nucleation parameters needed for the calculations (e.g. number concentration of the neutral aerosol particle to estimates ion losses due to charging of neutral particles). We have the TDMPS measurements from only two month - July 2009 and 15 Jan/15 Feb 2010 - but we only used July 2009 (summer) which was the intensive observation period of the Megapoli campaign.

Appendix E and F is not essential, not getting any significant, author can remove it- if they wish.

We decided to keep the Appendix E and F (Figures A5-6) to show all data points used in this study. Especially to show the variation number concentration.

Minor comments

Page 2, lines 2: Define here the types of ions.....Author defined the air ions lines 7-8, page 2- I think atmospheric ion or only ion you can say...This line may be shifted in line 2 along with mobility ranges (also diameter).

We agree the ions should have been defined earlier in the manuscript. We modified the introduction of the manuscript accordingly.

Revised manuscript, Page 3, Lines 1-3: “The air ions were mobility-classified as small or cluster ions ($1.3 - 0.5 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$), intermediate ($0.5 - 0.034 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$) and large ions ($0.034 - 0.0042 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$), which correspond to mobility diameters of 0.8 – 2 nm, 2 – 7 nm and 7 – 20 nm, respectively.”

In the abstract please mentioned the name of instruments. Also abstract may be shortening, give only whatever essential.

We added the instrument names to the abstract.

Revised manuscript, Abstract, lines 1-3: “We measured air ion number size distributions (0.8–42 nm) with an Air Ion Spectrometer and fine particle number concentrations (> 6 nm) with Twin Differential Mobility Particle Sizer in an urban site of Paris between 26 June 2009 and 4 October 2010.”

Page 3, lines 5-7; With some condition secondary aerosol particle can grow? Or all aerosols can grow upto CCN, I do not think. As our knowledge they can grow up to CCN or even beyond with the suitable condition.

We clarified the text that it is clearer that not all newly formed particle activate as CCN's.

Revised manuscript, Page 2, lines 5-7: “Freshly formed secondary aerosol particles may grow within a day or two up to sizes where they can act as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) and affect the radiation budget of the Earth (Makkonen et al., 2012; Kerminen et al., 2012; Wiedensohler et al., 2009).”

Page 3 lines 26-32; Some original work may be cited here.

We revised manuscript accordingly. We added citations to the text and papers to reference list.

Revised manuscript, Page 3, lines 7-12: “Small ions are always present in the air and are responsible for the atmospheric electrical conductivity (e.g. Harrison and Carslaw, 2003; Hirsikko et al., 2011). They are mainly formed from ionizing radiation of decaying radon, gamma radiation and galactic cosmic radiation. Thunderstorms, water splashing, rain and snow storms also contribute to the formation of air ions in the atmosphere (Virkkula et al., 2007; D'Alessandro, 2009; Tammet et al., 2009; Kolarž et al., 2012). Increased small ion concentrations have been observed in the vicinity of power lines (Jayaratne et al., 2008). Small ion emissions in fuel burning processes in engines or via nucleation from exhaust gas have been studied theoretically and experimentally (e.g. Yu and Turco, 1997; Haverkamp et al., 2004; Gopalakrishnan et al., 2005; Lähde et al., 2009; Jayatane et al., 2010; Ling et al., 2013).”

Page 3, lines 31; Kamsali et al., 2011 delete—this not dealing the ion-ion-recombination- Please see work done by Prof. Hoppel and suitable reference may be cited here.

We revised the manuscript accordingly and deleted Kamsali et al. (2011) citation. We added citations to Hoppel (1985) and Hoppel and Frick (1986).

Revised manuscript, Page 3, lines 12-14: “The most important sinks for ions are ion-ion recombination to form neutral particles, and attachment to pre-existing aerosol particles (Hoppel, 1985; Hoppel and Frick, 1986).”

Page 3, lines 27-30. Sources of ion are mentioned limited. May be add more sources—for example- combustion, raindrop splashing, braking ocean waves, corona discharge and tree branches below the thunderstorm. For the combustion please see Gopalakrishnan et al., 2015 (GRL) or any other, on the waterfall some paper by Helsinki group etc.

This is true. We revised manuscript accordingly. We added citations to the text and papers to reference list. Unfortunately we didn't find a paper corresponding to search “Gopalakrishnan” at *Geophysical Research Letters*. We assume the referee points to this paper: Gopalakrishnan, V and Pawar, SD and Siingh, D and Kamra, AK (2005) Intermediate ion formation in the ship's exhaust. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 32 (11). pp. 1-4.

Revised manuscript, Page 3, lines 7-12: “Small ions are always present in the air and are responsible for the atmospheric electrical conductivity (e.g. Harrison and Carslaw, 2003; Hirsikko et al., 2011). They are mainly formed from ionizing radiation of decaying radon, gamma radiation and galactic cosmic radiation. Thunderstorms, water splashing, rain and snow storms also contribute to the formation of air ions in the atmosphere (Virkkula et al., 2007; D'Alessandro, 2009; Tammet et al., 2009; Kolarz et al., 2012). Increased small ion concentrations have been observed in the vicinity of power lines (Jayaratne et al., 2008). Small ion emissions in fuel burning processes in engines or via nucleation from exhaust gas have been studied theoretically and experimentally (e.g. Yu and Turco, 1997; Haverkamp et al., 2004; Gopalakrishnan et al., 2005; Lähde et al., 2009; Jayatane et al., 2010; Ling et al., 2013).”

Page 4, line 10: As mentioned in abstract also, study period was 26 June 2009-4 OCT Oct, 2010 (16 months)- how you are going to address climatic related issues with limited period of data. Comment?

The small and intermediate ions will have climatic effect if they manage to grow into CCN sizes. Typically a small fraction will grow into sizes where they can act as cloud condensation seeds and therefore have climatic relevance via cloud formation. Nevertheless, typically a large number of urban studies are based on considerably smaller data sizes. Thus, we are confident on our data set.

Objection of the manuscript is clear to me- Pleases clarify.

We have tried to write the objective of the manuscript clearly. In the original manuscript (Online published ACPD paper: Page 10632, lines: 21-22) is says: “The main aim of this study was to determine the frequency and seasonal variations of NPF events in a megacity based on ion number size distribution measurements.” Later this study and our NPF

frequency can be used to estimate the contribution of anthropogenic and biogenic secondary aerosol formation to clouds and climate.

Section 2.1- Description of the site.

More site description is required around the measurements site- it will be very good if author can put closed view of the site in figure 1 (In the legend author are mentioned "estimated location, what is meaning of estimation?). Inlet photograph of both the instrument is more useful. Some information of met parameter is more useful to understand about the source of the particles- as author mentioned that anthropogenic sources of the particle.

We modified Fig. 1 to describe and visualize the measurement location better. And we revised the figure caption.

Revised manuscript, Page 30, lines 21-22: Figure 1: Location of the LHVP site in Paris (rooftop of Laboratoire d'Hygiène de la Ville de Paris, Paris 13 arrondissement, 11 Rue George Eastman, 75013 Paris).

New Fig. 1 below:



Page 5, lines 24-25. How you convert the mobilities to diameter of ion? Are you consider during conversion single charge or multiple charge ions- Please see the Tammet, 1995 or Horrak et al., 2003. Asmi et al., 2009 is not appropriate here.

Throughout the manuscript we apply the mobility diameter, i.e. Millikan-Stokes diameter, when converting the measured mobility to particle diameter (see Mäkelä et al., 1996).

We added following sentence to manuscript when electrical mobility diameters mentioned for the first time:

Revised manuscript, Page 6, Lines 21-26: “The air ions were mobility-classified as small or cluster ions ($1.3 - 0.5 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$), intermediate ($0.5 - 0.034 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) and large ions ($0.034 - 0.0042 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$), which correspond to mobility diameters of 0.8 – 2 nm, 2 – 7 nm and 7 – 20 nm, respectively. In these size ranges charged particles are assumed to be single charged. In this study, we apply the mobility diameter, i.e. Millikan diameter, when converting the measured mobility to particle diameter (see Mäkelä et al., 1996).”

Section 2.2.1 and 2.2.2

Please shorten this section. Detailed is not required. Put the website or refer.

We shortened the instrument descriptions as requested by the referee. We removed all information which is not needed to evaluate the accuracy of the number concentration measurements of ions and particles. See revised manuscript pages 5-6.

Section 2.3

Page 7, lines 5-8; Please correct the diameter range of respective mobility, Please see the Horrak et al., 2003, also you can find in Siingh et al., 2013. Intermediate ion size range up to 7.4 not 7. Similar mistake in Small and light large also. Similar changed may be made in table 1 also.

As replied in general comments: In this study we will use the following mobility diameter ranges: small or cluster ions ($1.3 - 0.5 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$), intermediate ($0.5 - 0.034 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) and large ions ($0.034 - 0.0042 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$), which correspond to mobility diameters of 0.8 – 2 nm, 2 – 7 nm and 7 – 20 nm, respectively. These size ranges correspond better the current understanding of cluster and particle formation dynamics, as new particle formation occurs at 1.5-2 nm range (Kulmala et al., Science 2013).

Page 8 lines 1-3; Please write name of the Months. Not short.

We modified the manuscript accordingly, and we didn't not use the abbreviation of the months within the manuscript.

Revised manuscript, Page 7, Lines 17-19: “Months were classified into seasons as follows: winter: December, January and February; spring: March, April and May; summer: June, July and August; autumn: September, October and November.”

Section 3; Results and discussion

Page 8, lines 27-28; Why you are getting more negative number concentration, it is because of electrode effect? Or something else.

An electrode effect should lead into observing slightly more positive ions when sampled close to ground level (Hoppel, 1967). In addition, we were sampling at rooftop. Thus, the electrode effect will not explain it. We cannot speculate what causes this small difference in small ion concentrations.

Page 9, line 23-26; I agree but more concentration for large particles not for intermediate ion, generation of intermediate ion are different.

There are several studies which are cited in manuscript. In addition, we added citations to give sources for intermediate ions as requested already earlier by the referee. These citations show that intermediate ions can form via vehicle emissions e.g. Yu and Turco, 1997; Haverkamp et al., 2004; Gopalakrishnan et al., 2005; Lähde et al., 2009; Jayatane et al., 2010; Ling et al., 2013). Therefore, traffic can cause emissions to both intermediate and large ions (10-40 nm), when comparing to Hirsikko et al. (2007b).

Page 10, lines 9-17; What is significant of correlation- please clarify.

We assume the comment refers to Appendix A (and Fig. A1). As was indicated already in the original manuscript (Online published ACPD paper: Page 10640, lines: 10-19), the p-value for the correlation coefficient for large ions and particle numbers was smaller than 0.01, indicating statistically significant correlation.

Page 10, lines 20-21, Morning peak may be some other region- like solar activity? Please investigate the possible sources, If possible- only traffic I am not agrees. Is any change in wind direction? May be one of the factor- if not then leave these comments. Please also explore the nocturnal activities and give some suitable reference.

Very interesting point. All this supplementary analysis would be a topic for a new publication. Meteorological analysis were unfortunately not included into this study. We had issues with a time format in the supplementary meteorological data and the data archive for Megapoli campaign at this LHVP measurement site. Thus, we needed to leave this out of the scope of this study and rely on the published literature.

Page 11, line 14; at your place sunrise time different at different months. Please comments- That why I want more and detailed information about observation site- then we can say some local source or not.

We added more detail description of the measurement site as requested earlier. More detailed analysis of the sunrise time and sunset times connecting the photochemistry and boundary layer development are not needed as we didn't include the meteorological data analysis to this study.

Page 12, line 20; how you can say? Do you have any measurement?

Unfortunately, we can't respond to this comment, as we have difficulties to follow referee's page and line numbers. We cannot locate which statement he/she is commenting.

Page 13, lines 3-4, it is obvious, environmental condition are different at Puy de Dôme mountain and your site.

Yes, we were trying to indicate the altitude difference (different environment) by writing to original manuscript (Online published ACPD paper: Page 10643, lines: 24-25): “Despite the differences in altitude, Rose et al. (2013) also observed the lowest concentrations of small ions in spring in Puy de Dôme, a mountain in central France (1465 m above sea level).” No modifications done.

Page 14, lines 2-17, In India other than Pune and Kanpur e.g. Himalayas region also getting NPF is more in spring/summer.

In the original manuscript, we wanted to cite studies done at urban areas to report NPF event frequency. Thus, we will not add citation to Himalayas region study.

Page 15, lines 12-13, Agree! Horrak et al., 1998 already suggested that during the NPF burst of intermediate ions. This finding is not new.

Very good.

Page 16, lines 23-26, Do you have any support- like air mass back trajectory – please show from air-mass back trajectory analysis.

We do not have air mass trajectory analysis included in this study. Pikridas et al. (ACP 2015) studied in more detail the connection between NPF and air mass origin in Paris during Megapoli campaign. Their results are summarized in the manuscript.

Page 18, lines 3-4, What is Kelvin effect and Nano-Köhler effect? Please define in short, general reader may not be knowing.

We assume that expert readers who will be interested in this detail will know or find this information from the citations provided. No modification, to not to lose the focus of the paper.

Summary and conclusion: Heading should be “conclusion” Conclusion should be focused based on the study only and compact. Please rewrite the main conclusion only.

We changed the heading into “Conclusions” as suggested by the referee. And we modified the text accordingly to shorten the conclusions. We removed the repetition from the Conclusions to shorten it and highlight the main results.

References

D’Alessandro, F.: Experimental study of the effect of wind on positive and negative corona from a sharp point in a thunderstorm, J. Electrostatics, 67, 482-487, 2009.

Gopalakrishnan, V., Pawar, S.D., Singh, D., and Kamra, A.K.: Intermediate ion formation in the ship's exhaust. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 32 (11), 1-4, 2005.

Haverkamp, H., Wilhelm, S., Sorokin, A., and Arnold, F.: Positive and negative ion measurements in jet aircraft engine exhaust: concentrations, sizes and implications for aerosol formation, *Atmos. Environ.*, 38, 2879-2884, 2004.

Hoppel, W. A., Theory of the electrode effect, *J. Atmos. Terr. Phys.*, 29, 709, 1967.

Hoppel, W.A.: Ion-Aerosol Attachment Coefficients, Ion Depletion, and the Charge Distribution on Aerosols, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 90, 5917-5923, 1985.

Hoppel, W.A., and Frick, G.M.: Ion-attachment coefficients and the steady-state charge distribution on aerosols in a bipolar ion environment, *Aerosol Sci. Tech.*, 5, 1-21, 1986.

Jayarathne, E.R., J-Fatokun F.O., and Morawska, L.: Air ion concentrations under overhead high-voltage transmission lines, *Atmos. Environ.*, 42, 1846-1856, 2008.

Jayarathne, E.R., Ling, X., and Moraska, L.: Ions in motor vehicle exhaust and their dispersion near busy roads, *Atmos. Environ.*, 44, 3644-3650, 2010.

Kolarž, P., Gaisberger, M., Madl, P., Hofmann, W., Ritter, M., and Hartl, A.: Characterization of ions at Alpine waterfalls, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 3687-3697, doi:10.5194/acp-12-3687-2012, 2012.

Kulmala, M., Petäjä, T., Nieminen, T., Sipilä, M., Manninen, H.E., Lehtipalo, K., DalMaso, M., Aalto, P.P., Junninen, H., Paasonen, P., Riipinen, I., Lehtinen, K.E.J., Laaksonen, A. and Kulmala, M.: Measurement of the nucleation of atmospheric aerosol particles, *Nature Protocols* 7, 1651-1667, doi:10.1038/nprot.2012.091, 2012.

Kulmala, M., Kontkanen, J., Junninen, H., Lehtipalo, K., Manninen, H. E., Nieminen, T., Petäjä, T., Sipilä, M., Schobesberger, S., Rantala, P., Franchin, A., Jokinen, T., Jarvinen, E., Aijala, M., Kangasluoma, J., Hakala, J., Aalto, P. P., Paasonen, P., Mikkilä, J., Vanhanen, J., Aalto, J., Hakola, H., Makkonen, U., Ruuskanen, T., Mauldin, R. L., Duplissy, J., Vehkamäki, H., Bäck, J., Kortelainen, A., Riipinen, I., Kurten, T., Johnston, M. V., Smith, J. N., Ehn, M., Mentel, T. F., Lehtinen, K. E. J., Laaksonen, A., Kerminen, V. M., and Worsnop, D. R.: Direct Observations of Atmospheric Aerosol Nucleation, *Science*, 339, 943-946, DOI 10.1126/science.1227385, 2013.

Lähde, T., Rönkkö, T., Virtanen, A., Schuck, T.J., Pirjola, L., Hämeri, K., Kulmala, M., Arnold, F., Rothe, D., and Keskinen, J.: Heavy Duty Diesel Engine Exhaust Aerosol Particle and Ion Measurements, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 43, 163-168, 2009.

Mäkelä, J. M., Riihelä, M., Ukkonen, A., Jokinen, V., and Keskinen, J.: Comparison of mobility equivalent diameter with Kelvin-Thomson diameter using ion mobility data, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 105, 1562–1571, 1996.

Pikridas, M., Sciare, J., Freutel, F., Crumeyrolle, S., von der Weiden-Reinmüller, S.-L., Borbon, A., Schwarzenboeck, A., Merkel, M., Crippa, M., Kostenidou, E., Psichoudaki, M., Hildebrandt, L., Engelhart, G. J., Petäjä, T., Prévôt, A. S. H., Drewnick, F., Baltensperger, U.,

Wiedensohler, A., Kulmala, M., Beekmann, M., and Pandis, S. N.: In situ formation and spatial variability of particle number concentration in a European megacity, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 10219-10237, doi:10.5194/acp-15-10219-2015, 2015.

Virkkula, A., Hirsikko, A., Vana, M., Aalto, P. P., Hillamo, R. and Kulmala, M.: Charged particle size distributions and analysis of particle formation events at the Finnish Antarctic research station Aboa. *Boreal Env. Res.* 12: 397–408, 2007.

Yu, F., and Turco, R.P.: The role of ions in the formation and evolution of particles in aircraft plumes, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 24(15), 1927-1930, 1997.

We would like to thank the referees for the constructive comments to help us to improve the manuscript. Below are our answers to the comments by the referee.

Answers to the referee comments by Anonymous Referee #2 on our manuscript “Variability of air ion concentrations in urban Paris” by V. N. Dos Santos et al.

General comments by Anonymous Referee #2:

It would be helpful if the authors discuss more whether actually ions contribute to NPF or not. It is not clear to me what is exact relationship between ions and NPF in this case at this location? This discussion was made in some parts of the manuscript. Authors are encouraged to provide a clearer statement on this.

As we write in the abstract of the manuscript: “Because the median concentrations of intermediate ions were considerably higher on NPF event days in comparison to NPF non-event days, the results indicate that intermediate ion (2-7 nm) concentrations could be used as an indication for NPF in Paris.” Due to earlier studies in urban environment, we assume that in Paris the observed ions are only the naturally charged fraction of the total aerosol number concentration. Thus, the intermediate ions can be used an indicator whether or not NPF occur. We had no other sub-3 nm aerosol instrumentation available during Megapoli project at Paris. The original hypothesis was whether there could be some other source for intermediate ions in addition to natural secondary aerosol formation (NPF events).

As we didn't have supporting instrumentation to measure the total particle concentration in 2-3 nm range, e.g. Particle Size Magnifier (PSM), Differential Mobility Particle Sizer or Neutral Cluster and Air Ion Spectrometer (NAIS), we cannot calculate the fraction of ion-induced or ion-mediated nucleation following procedures by Kulmala et al. 2012 *Nature Protocols*. We added following sentence to the Introduction section to clarify the issue:

Revised manuscript, Page 2, Lines 31-33: “Based on earlier urban studies by Gagné et al. (2012), Iida et al. (2006) and Herrmann et al. (2014), we assume that ions and charged particles detected in Paris are the naturally charged fraction of total aerosol particles.”

There are always sub-2 nm ions measured by AIS. Why? Is this because these ions are actually present all the time at this size range or because AIS always detects these sub-2 nm ions wherever and whenever? Do you know any examples that an AIS instrument did not detect any sub-2 nm particle for a substantial period in the atmosphere, at any other locations? If so, please mention this. I am raising this, because when we measured sub-2 nm particles with PSM (particle sizing magnifier) at several sites in US, we found that sub-2 nm particles are present only when sulfuric acid is sufficient and definitely not during the nighttime (e.g., [Huan Yu et al., 2013; Huan Yu et al., 2014]), in contrast to what shown in your present manuscript and many other publications of AIS measurements. For example, in an Alabama forest, when PSM did not show sub-2 nm particles, a co-located AIS actually showed this constant band of small ions day and night all the time during SOAS 2013 campaign at the ground site (I am mentioning this solely based on my initial observations at the site without comprehensive data analysis since then).

Yes, we have detected the cluster ions (so-called small ions) in all environmental conditions we have measured with the ion spectrometer varying from extremely polluted areas (e.g. Backman et al., 2012; Herrmann et al., 2014) to extremely clean environments (Virkkula et al., 2007) as well as from lower troposphere to free troposphere (e.g. Mirme et al., 2010; Manninen et al., 2010). An ion review by Hirsikko et al. (ACP 2011) confirms this with inquiry of ca. 260 publications, 93 of which included data on the temporal and spatial variation of the concentration of small ions. The only exception where no cluster ion is observed is inside a cloud, as cloud droplets work as a strong sink for cluster ions (Lihavainen et al., 2007).

It is very important note that small ions are not formed via NPF, whereas activation of these small ions may lead to NPF (Online published ACPD paper: Page 10631, lines: 21-24). In sub-2 nm small ions are formed via natural terrestrial radioactivity and cosmic ray ionization like listed in the Introduction (Published ACPD paper: Page 10632, lines: 6-8). To clarify more, we revised following sentence in the Introduction:

Revised manuscript, Page 3, Lines 3-5: "Small ions are always present in the air and are responsible for the atmospheric electrical conductivity (e.g. Harrison and Carslaw, 2003; Hirsikko et al. 2011). They are mainly formed from ionizing radiation of decaying radon, gamma radiation and galactic cosmic radiation."

What is your rough estimation of fractions of positive and negative ions in total particles (those including neutral particles together)?

Unfortunately, based on our ion measurements at sub-3 nm alone which is only the charged fraction of total aerosol particles, we cannot calculate the charged fraction as requested above.

The overall impression is that positive and negative ions show similar concentrations (with positive ions slightly higher than negative ions) as well as similar time variations. Laboratory studies by [K Froyd, D. and Lovejoy, 2004; K D Froyd and Lovejoy, 2003] show that negative ions are more important for IIN, so how do you explain this discrepancy? And what is the implication of this difference on the role of ions in NPF? It is an important point that CERN CLOUD chamber studies often assumed, and lately showed, that ion clusters (showed negative

mostly in papers) and neutral clusters have similar chemical compositions and from these assumption they proposed different nucleation mechanisms for neutral nucleation. So differences or similarities of positive and negative ions, and differences of ions and neutral particles, would be an interesting point for understanding the role of ions in NPF at the ground level.

The number concentration of positive and negative ions should show similar concentrations as the atmospheric ions are in a charge equilibrium as expected in most environments. Estimating the importance of IIN nucleation is out of scope of this study. We assume that neutral nucleation pathways dominate in polluted environments like Paris. This is in agreement with a manuscript very recently submitted to ACP by Kontkanen et al. which is unfortunately not yet published in ACPD. Kontkanen et al. study shows results from measurements with a PSM and a NAIS that in polluted environment at Po Valley, Italy, where the neutral pathways dominate. This is in agreement with field observations by Lin Wang's group in Fudan University.

Minor comments:

The authors stress that NPF produces intermediate ions in Paris, but rather I believe because of the presence of substantial intermediate ions, NPF takes place. This is a minor point though, but different wording would be more appropriate and consistent with the description in the field.

We disagree. According to the current knowledge, within the atmospheric new particle formation (NPF) aerosol particles nucleate and growth. The particle goes through a phase transition from gas phase to liquid or solid phase, i.e. the nucleation of stable liquid or solid phase clusters from gas phase pre-cursors. Atmospheric nucleation can happen via molecular clustering, and it is followed by cluster activation for enhanced growth (Kulmala et al., 2013). Thus, intermediate ions are formed due to NPF, not vice versa.

Page 10631 Line 24 to Page 10632 Line 5: These statements are incorrect. The chamber studies actually are mostly consistent with field observations and IIN modeling studies. For example, Kirkby 2011 [Kirkby et al., 2011] and subsequent CERN CLOUD chamber studies showed the temperature dependence of IIN, and they reproduced the conclusion of [Lee et al., 2003] and [Lovejoy et al., 2004] studies. What is really controversial is that different models show different predictions, mostly between IMN vs. IIN. As mentioned above, this is also due to different usages of terminology and depends on whether ion-ion recombination is considered in neutral cluster processes or solely in IMN.

To clarify, we modified the text by adding following sentence:

Revised manuscript, Page 2, Lines 22-27: "On the other hand, some models and chamber studies suggest that ion-mediated nucleation (which considers ion-ion recombination) may be a significant path for NPF (Yu and Turco, 2011; Yu, 2010; Svensmark et al., 2007; Nagato and Nakauchi, 2014). Chamber studies in the CLOUD project have shown that in low temperatures and at low precursor species concentrations ion-induced nucleation can have a significant contribution to the total nucleation rates (Kirkby et al., 2011; Riccobono et al., 2014)."

Page 10369 last paragraph and similar statements in other places: As the authors stress in Conclusion, comparison of ions and particles in different sites require careful consideration of seasons and ion polarities.

We agree and have followed this principle throughout the manuscript whenever possible (by mentioning size range and season when ions were measured in the reference study).

Page 10645 last paragraph: Recent studies by Lin Wang's group in Fudan University show much high frequencies of NPF in Shanghai, similar to those reported from Beijing. This makes sense, because the Eastern China regions are heavily influenced by high SO₂ concentrations (ppb level constantly).

We added citations to the new studies by Wang et al. Most of these studies are from shorter campaigns (few months maximum). Thus, we need to be careful when doing conclusions about an annual NPF event frequency. We added also two year NPF study by Wu et al. (2008) in Beijing, China.

We modified the text accordingly.

Revised manuscript, Page 14, Lines 3-10: “In cities such as Nanjing (China), São Paulo (Brazil), Helsinki (Finland), Shanghai (China), Pune and Kanpur (India), Birmingham (UK) and Budapest (Hungary) the frequency of NPF events was between 5 – 27% (Herrmann et al., 2014; Backman et al., 2012; Hussein et al., 2008; Du et al., 2012; Leng et al., 2014; Xiao et al., 2015; Kanawade et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2004; Salma et al., 2011) which is within range of the observations in Paris (13%). However, NPF frequencies as high as 40 – 55% were observed in Beijing (China), Pittsburgh (USA), and Brisbane (Australia), and Nanjing (Wu et al., 2007, 2008; Stanier et al., 2004; Crilley et al., 2014; Yu et al., 2015), although not all the studies comprised an entire year of measurements.”

These new citations added to Reference list in revised manuscript:

Wu, Z. J., Hu, M., Lin, P., Liu, S., Wehner, B., and Wiedensohler, A.: Particle number size distribution in the urban atmosphere of Beijing, China. *Atmos. Environ.*, 42: 7967–7980, 2008.

Xiao, S., Wang, M. Y., Yao, L., Kulmala, M., Zhou, B., Yang, X., Chen, J. M., Wang, D. F., Fu, Q. Y., Worsnop, D. R., and Wang, L.: Strong atmospheric new particle formation in winter in urban Shanghai, China, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 1769-1781, doi:10.5194/acp-15-1769-2015, 2015.

Leng, C., Zhang, Q., Tao, J., Zhang, H., Zhang, D., Xu, C., Li, X., Kong, L., Cheng, T., Zhang, R., Yang, X., Chen, J., Qiao, L., Lou, S., Wang, H., and Chen, C.: Impacts of new particle formation on aerosol cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) activity in Shanghai: case study, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 11353-11365, doi:10.5194/acp-14-11353-2014, 2014.

Yu, H., Zhou, L. Y., Dai, L., Shen, W. C., Zheng, J., Ma, Y., and Chen, M. D.: Nucleation and growth of sub-3 nm particles in the polluted urban atmosphere of a megacity in China, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 15, 18653-18690, doi:10.5194/acpd-15-18653-2015, 2015.

Page 10647 2nd paragraph on the role of air mixing. Since the current study does not have measurements of air mixing, this discussion does not add to the quality of science of the paper. I suggest remove this.

We wish to keep the text as it is. We have cited other studies published within the Megapoli project (Cimini et al. 2013 and Pikridas et al. 2015; Published ACPD paper: Page 10641, lines 1-5) to estimate the atmospheric vertical mixing in Paris. It is clear that strong vertical mixing is connected to the onset of NPF. Thus, this is not a speculation.

Page 10648 1st paragraph on regional NPF. Do you have any indication that the NPF events occur at the regional scale? Otherwise, I would remove this discussion or reword appropriately.

The NPF events presented in our 4 case studies are regional as we are able to follow the homogeneous growth until the late afternoon (this requires uniform air masses to last for at least few hours) (see Published ACPD paper: Page 10670, Fig. 6a-d). The simple fact that we observe the so-called “banana” shape in number size distributions is an indication that the NPF is regional scale. In local scale events, we would not observe homogeneous growth.

References

- Backman, J., Rizzo, L. V., Hakala, J., Nieminen, T., Manninen, H. E., Morais, F., Aalto, P. P., Siivola, E., Carbone, S., Hillamo, R., Artaxo, P., Virkkula, A., Petäjä, T., and Kulmala, M.: On the diurnal cycle of urban aerosols, black carbon and the occurrence of new particle formation events in springtime São Paulo, Brazil, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 11733–11751, doi:10.5194/acp-12-11733-2012, 2012.
- Harrison, R.G. and Carslaw, K.S.: Ion-aerosol-cloud processes in the lower atmosphere, *Reviews of Geophysics*, 41:3, doi:10.1029/2002RG000114, 2003.
- Herrmann, E., Ding, A. J., Kerminen, V.-M., Petäjä, T., Yang, X. Q., Sun, J. N., Qi, X. M., Manninen, H., Hakala, J., Nieminen, T., Aalto, P. P., Kulmala, M., and Fu, C. B.: Aerosols and nucleation in eastern China: first insights from the new SORPES-NJU station, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 2169–2183, doi:10.5194/acp-14-2169-2014, 2014.
- Lihavainen, H., Komppula, M., Kerminen, V.-M., Järvinen, H., Viisanen, Y., Lehtinen, K., Vana, M. and Kulmala, M.: Size distributions of atmospheric ions inside clouds and in cloud-free air at a remote continental site. *Boreal Env. Res.* 12: 337–344, 2007.
- Kulmala, M., Petäjä, T., Nieminen, T., Sipilä, M., Manninen, H.E., Lehtipalo, K., DalMaso, M., Aalto, P.P., Junninen, H., Paasonen, P., Riipinen, I., Lehtinen, K.E.J., Laaksonen, A. and Kulmala, M.: Measurement of the nucleation of atmospheric aerosol particles, *Nature Protocols* 7, 1651-1667, doi:10.1038/nprot.2012.091, 2012.

Kulmala, M., Kontkanen, J., Junninen, H., Lehtipalo, K., Manninen, H. E., Nieminen, T., Petäjä, T., Sipilä, M., Schobesberger, S., Rantala, P., Franchin, A., Jokinen, T., Järvinen, E., Äijälä, M., Kangasluoma, J., Hakala, J., Aalto, P. P., Paasonen, P., Mikkilä, J., Vanhanen, J., Aalto, J., Hakola, H., Makkonen, U., Ruuskanen, T., Mauldin III, R. L., Duplissy, J., Vehkamäki, H., Bäck, J., Kortelainen, A., Riipinen, I., Kurtén, T., Johnston, M. V., Smith, J. N., Ehn, M., Mentel, T. F., Lehtinen, K. E. J., Laaksonen, A., Kerminen, V.-M., and Worsnop, D. R.: Direct observations of atmospheric aerosol nucleation, *Science*, 339, 943–946, 2013.

Manninen, H. E., Nieminen, T., Asmi, E., Gagné, S., Häkkinen, S., Lehtipalo, K., Aalto, P., Vana, M., Mirme, A., Mirme, S., Hörrak, U., Plass-Dülmer, C., Stange, G., Kiss, G., Hoffer, A., Törö, N., Moerman, M., Henzing, B., de Leeuw, G., Brinkenberg, M., Kouvarakis, G. N., Bougiatioti, A., Mihalopoulos, N., O'Dowd, C., Ceburnis, D., Arneth, A., Svenningsson, B., Swietlicki, E., Tarozzi, L., Decesari, S., Facchini, M. C., Birmili, W., Sonntag, A., Wiedensohler, A., Boulon, J., Sellegri, K., Laj, P., Gysel, M., Bukowiecki, N., Weingartner, E., Wehrle, G., Laaksonen, A., Hamed, A., Joutsensaari, J., Petäjä, T., Kerminen, V.-M., and Kulmala, M.: EUCAARI ion spectrometer measurements at 12 European sites – analysis of new particle formation events, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 7907–7927, doi:10.5194/acp-10-7907-2010, 2010.

Mirme, S., Mirme, A., Minikin, A., Petzold, A., Hörrak, U., Kerminen, V. -M., and Kulmala, M.: Atmospheric sub-3 nm particles at high altitudes, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 437–451, doi:10.5194/acp-10-437-2010, 2010.

Pikridas, M., Sciare, J., Freutel, F., Crumeyrolle, S., von der Weiden-Reinmüller, S.-L., Borbon, A., Schwarzenboeck, A., Merkel, M., Crippa, M., Kostenidou, E., Psichoudaki, M., Hildebrandt, L., Engelhart, G. J., Petäjä, T., Prévôt, A. S. H., Drewnick, F., Baltensperger, U., Wiedensohler, A., Kulmala, M., Beekmann, M., and Pandis, S. N.: In situ formation and spatial variability of particle number concentration in a European megacity, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 10219–10237, doi:10.5194/acp-15-10219-2015, 2015.

Virkkula, A., Hirsikko, A., Vana, M., Aalto, P. P., Hillamo, R. and Kulmala, M.: Charged particle size distributions and analysis of particle formation events at the Finnish Antarctic research station Aboa. *Boreal Env. Res.* 12: 397–408, 2007.

1 **Variability of air ion concentrations in urban Paris**

2

3 **V. N. Dos Santos¹, E. Herrmann^{1,2}, H. E. Manninen¹, T. Hussein¹, J. Hakala¹, T.**
4 **Nieminen^{1,4}, P. P. Aalto¹, M. Merkel³, A. Wiedensohler³, M. Kulmala¹, T. Petäjä¹ and K.**
5 **Hämeri¹**

6 [1]{University of Helsinki, Department of Physics, P.O. Box 48, FI 00560 Helsinki, Finland}

7 [2]{Laboratory of Atmospheric Chemistry, Paul Scherrer Institute, Villigen, Switzerland}

8 [3]{Leibniz Institute for Tropospheric Research, Permoserstraße 15 04318 Leipzig, Germany}

9 [4]{Helsinki Institute of Physics, P.O. Box 64, FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland}

10 Correspondences to: V. N. dos Santos (vanessa.dossantos-juusela@helsinki.fi)

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

1 Introduction

In the last decade, with the threat of climate change, a growing number of researchers have focused on understanding the association between aerosol particles and the climate. Aerosol particles are either directly emitted into the atmosphere (primary particles) or formed in the atmosphere (secondary particles). Freshly formed secondary aerosol particles ~~mayean~~ grow within a day or two up to sizes where they can act as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) ~~sizes~~ and affect the radiation budget of the Earth (Makkonen et al., 2012; Kerminen et al., 2012; Wiedensohler et al., 2009). Merikanto et al. (2009) estimated that 45% of the global tropospheric CCN at 0.2% super saturation are originated from secondary particle formation. In addition to the climatic effects, the formation and growth of secondary aerosol particles contributes to the deterioration of the air quality as aerosol particles are associated to adverse health effects (Oberdörster et al., 2005). Despite its importance the mechanisms underlying secondary new particle formation are not yet fully understood (see Kulmala et al., 2014).

In the atmosphere, new particle formation (NPF) occurs in different steps including formation of low volatile vapours, clustering of vapour molecules and subsequent growth (see Kulmala et al., 2014).-The presence of air ions can facilitates the formation and growth of new particles by aiding the stabilization of the molecular clusters during the initial stages of nucleation (so called ion-induced nucleation) (e.g. Yu and Turco, 2000). The magnitude of the contribution of ions to ~~new particle formation (NPF)~~atmospheric NPF however is still under investigation. On one hand, several studies reported a rather low contribution of ion-induced nucleation to the total NPF events, 10 – 30% (Hirsikko et al., 2011 and references therein), with even lower values observed in urban areas, 0.2 – 1.3% (Gagné et al., 2012; Iida et al., 2006; Herrmann et al., 2014). On the other hand, some models and chamber studies suggest that ion-mediated nucleation (which considers ion-ion recombination) may be a significant path for NPF (Yu and Turco, 2011; Yu, 2010; Svensmark et al., 2007; Nagato and Nakauchi, 2014). Chamber studies in the CLOUD project have shown that in low temperatures and at low precursor species concentrations ion-induced nucleation can have a significant contribution to the total nucleation rates (Kirkby et al., 2011; Riccobono et al., 2014).~~On the other hand, some models and chamber studies suggest that ion-mediated nucleation (which considers ion-ion recombination) may be a significant path for NPF (Yu and Turco, 2011; Yu, 2010; Svensmark et al., 2007; Nagato and Nakauchi, 2014; Kirkby et al., 2011; Riccobono et al., 2014).~~ Based on earlier urban studies by Gagné et al. (2012), Iida et al. (2006) and Herrmann et al. (2014), we assume that ions and charged particles detected in Paris are the naturally charged fraction of total aerosol particles.

1 In this study, the air ions were mobility-classified as small or cluster ions ($3.2 - 0.5 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$),
2 intermediate ($0.5 - 0.034 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$) and large ions ($0.034 - 0.0042 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$), which correspond
3 to mobility diameters of $0.8 - 2 \text{ nm}$, $2 - 7 \text{ nm}$ and $7 - 20 \text{ nm}$, respectively. Small ions are always
4 present in the air and are responsible for the atmospheric electrical conductivity (e.g. Harrison and
5 Carslaw, 2003; Hirsikko et al., 2011)~~e.g. Hirsikko et al., 2011~~. They are mainly formed from
6 ionizing radiation of decaying radon, gamma radiation and galactic cosmic radiation ~~(e.g. Hirsikko~~
7 ~~et al., 2011)~~. Thunderstorms, ~~and~~ water splashing, ~~and rain and snow storms~~ also contribute to the
8 formation of air ions in the atmosphere (Hirsikko et al., 2011; Virkkula et al., 2007; D'Alessandro,
9 2009; Tammet et al., 2009; Kolarž et al., 2012). Increased small ion concentrations have been
10 observed in the vicinity of power lines (Jayaratne et al., 2008). Small ion emissions in fuel burning
11 processes in engines or via nucleation from exhaust gas have been studied theoretically and
12 experimentally (e.g. Yu and Turco, 1997; Haverkamp et al., 2004; Gopalakrishnan et al., 2005;
13 Lähde et al., 2009; Jayatane et al., 2010; Ling et al., 2013). The most important sinks for ions are
14 ion-ion recombination to form neutral particles, and attachment to pre-existing aerosol particles
15 (Hoppel, 1985; Hoppel and Frick, 1986). ~~Kamsali et al., 2011; Hirsikko et al., 2011~~).

16 Urban areas are important sources for global aerosol and CCN load because they emit both primary
17 particles and precursors for secondary particle formation. Nevertheless, the number of studies
18 focusing on the behaviour of air ions and particularly its association to NPF in urban areas around
19 the world is still somewhat limited (e.g. Tiitta et al., 2007; Hirsikko et al., 2007b; Retalis et al.,
20 2009; Tammet et al., 2014; Gagné et al., 2012; Herrmann et al., 2014; Backman et al., 2012; Crilley
21 et al., 2014; Jayaratne et al., 2010, 2014; Ling et al., 2013; Ling et al., 2010; Singh et al., 2013; Lee
22 et al., 2012; Iida et al., 2006; Iida et al., 2008; Pikridas et al., 2015), and actually only some of them
23 measured ion size distributions. The main aim of this study was to determine the frequency and
24 seasonal variations of NPF events in a megacity based on ion number size distribution
25 measurements. Our research was developed within the framework of the project: Megacities:
26 Emissions, urban, regional and Global Atmospheric Pollution and climate effects, and Integrated
27 tools for assessment and mitigation (MEGAPOLI), which aimed to improve the understanding of
28 the impacts of megacities on the climate. In this context, Paris, one of the largest cities in Europe,
29 was chosen as case study. Although some publications on aerosol particles in Paris already exist
30 (e.g. Crippa et al., 2013; Freutel et al., 2013; Freney et al., 2013; Sciare et al., 2010 and Pikridas et
31 al., 2015), only Pikridas et al. (2015) considered air ion number size distributions ($> 0.8 \text{ nm}$), which
32 allows the evaluation of ion number concentrations in early stages of NPF. Pikridas et al. (2015)
33 provided valuable information on the spatial variation of NPF events and particle number

1 concentrations as well as on factors affecting NPF in Paris and surrounding areas,
2 ~~however~~ However, their study was based on rather short campaigns (about two months of data) and
3 air ion number size distributions were used only to classify NPF events and to determine their
4 duration and frequency~~for NPF event classification, duration and frequency purposes~~. Our study
5 complements Pikridas et al. (2015) by providing detailed information on the behaviour of air ion
6 concentrations of both polarities in three different size ranges, and particle number concentrations in
7 Paris for over one year.

8 **2 Materials and Methods**

9 We measured air ion size distributions (0.8 – 42 nm) and aerosol particle number (6 – 740 nm) at an
10 urban background site in Paris from 26 June 2009 to 04 October 2010, using an Air Ion
11 Spectrometer (AIS), and a combination of a Twin Differential Mobility Particle Sizer (TDMPS) and
12 condensation particle counter (CPC). In addition to seasonal variations and frequency of NPF
13 events, we also analysed seasonal variations and diurnal cycles of air ions and aerosol particles on
14 workdays and weekends, and on NPF event and NPF non-event days. Furthermore, we estimated
15 the average condensation sinks, and the growth rates of ions on workdays and weekends, and
16 provided a statistical summary of air ions and aerosol particle number concentrations in Paris.

17 **2.1 Description of the site**

18 Paris is a megacity with 12.2 million inhabitants in its urban area (2.2 million in the centre
19 alone)(INSEE, 2010). Our measurements of air ion size distributions and particle total number
20 concentrations were located at the Laboratoire d' Hygiène de la Ville de Paris building (LHVP) on
21 13th Arrondissement (latitude 48.83°; longitude 2.36°) in Paris (Fig.1), from July 2009 to October
22 2010. Particle number size distributions were measured from a container on the ground of the
23 LHVP building whereas air ion size distributions were measured on top of the building (about 15 m
24 high). LHVP is located about 400 m away from busy intersections and is considered an urban
25 background site (Sciare et al., 2010; Favez et al., 2007). The site was surrounded by a small street, a
26 park and restaurants (Freutel et al., 2013). According to Crippa et al. (2013), important
27 anthropogenic sources of particles in the site are traffic, cooking (from restaurants around noon and
28 evenings), and biomass burning in general, whereas an important natural source is secondary
29 particle formation.

30 *Fig.1 here*

1 2.2 Description of the instruments

2 2.2.1 Air ion number size distributions

3 We used an Air Ion Spectrometer (AIS, Airel Ltd.) (Mirme et al., 2007) to measure the size
4 distributions of naturally charged particles and ions of both polarities simultaneously during 26 June
5 2009 – 04 October 2010 in Paris, France. The AIS comprises of two identical Differential Mobility
6 Analysers (DMA), one for each polarity. ~~The inner cylinder of the DMA is divided into four~~
7 ~~sections to which high voltages of varying intensities are applied. The outer cylinder of the DMA~~
8 ~~contains 21 electrically isolated electrodes, each connected to an individual electrometer collection~~
9 ~~ring. If a positive or negative voltage is applied to the inner cylinder, charged particles of the same~~
10 ~~polarity are repelled towards the electrometer rings of the outer cylinder, and the electrical currents~~
11 ~~generated are recorded. Thus, particle-Particle~~ size is estimated-determined based on the electrical
12 mobility of the particle in the electric field, ~~whereas-and~~ particle number concentration is calculated
13 based on the intensity of the currents measured by the electrometers at an outer cylinder of the
14 DMA. The AIS measures electrical mobilities varying from 3.2 to 0.0013 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹, which is
15 equivalent to mobility diameters of 0.8 – 42 nm (Mäkelä et al., 2006Asmi et al., 2009).

16 The main sampling line of the AIS was 0.6 m long (inner diameter: 35 mm) with a total inlet flow
17 rate of 60 l min⁻¹ which was equally divided between both DMAs. A metallic grid was used in front
18 of the inlet to prevent for instance large dust particles to enter the system. The sheath air flow of the
19 DMAs was cleaned using corona chargers and electrical filters, and reused in a closed loop at 60 l
20 min⁻¹ (Gagné et al., 2011). ~~Before each particle number size distribution was measured, the system~~
21 ~~was verified for natural background currents (due to instrumental noise) and these currents were~~
22 ~~subtracted from every measurement (Mirme et al., 2007).~~ The instrumental setup and calibration are
23 described in more details by Mirme et al. (2007) and Asmi et al. (2009), respectively. The accuracy
24 of the particle number concentration of the AIS was estimated to be 10%, which was mainly due to
25 flow rate uncertainties (Mirme et al., 2007). During the campaign the accumulating air pollution
26 inside the instrument causes decreasing flow rates between the maintenance periods. This may
27 further increase the uncertainty of measured particle size and number especially at the larger end of
28 the measured spectra.

29 2.2.2 Number size distributions and total concentrations of fine aerosol particles

30 We used a Twin Differential Mobility Particle Sizer (TDMPS) to measure the particle number size
31 distribution (diameter 3 – 740 nm) during July 2009. The instrument comprised of a neutralizer, two
32 Hauke DMAs (lengths: 110 mm and 280 mm; both with inner and outer diameters of 50 mm and 67

1 mm, respectively) and two condensation particle counters (CPC), models TSI 3025A (d_{50} : 3 nm, accuracy $\pm 10\%$ at 10^5 cm^{-3}) and TSI 3010 (d_{50} : 10 nm, accuracy $\pm 10\%$ at 10^4 cm^{-3}). ~~In the TDMPS, the aerosol particles were charged by the neutralizer, size-classified by the DMA, and optically counted by the CPC.~~ The first DMA classified particles from 3 – 72 nm, while the second DMA classified particles from 25 – 740 nm. The sampling and sheath flow rates were 2 l min^{-1} and 20 l min^{-1} respectively for the first DMA, and 0.5 l min^{-1} and 5 l min^{-1} for the second DMA. ~~The calibration of the TDMPS was done using polystyrene latex spheres (PSL) of diameters varying from 200 nm to 500 nm.~~ The sampled air was dried using an automated diffusion dryer (Tuch et al., 2009). According to Wiedensohler et al. (2012) the drier is estimated to cause about 28% and 8% of losses for particles of 3 and 10 nm, respectively, for the given flow rate through the drier. The TDMPS data was averaged per hour.

12 We also measured the total number concentration of fine aerosol particles by using a condensation particle counter (CPC, TSI 3772, dp_{50} : 6 nm, accuracy $\pm 10\%$ at 10^4 cm^{-3} and $\pm 20\%$ at $5 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$) during 11 August 2009 – 4 October 2010. In order to reduce the cut-off diameter from typical 10 nm to 6 nm, the condenser of the CPC was operated at $10 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ instead of the common operational temperature of $22 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. The sampled air was dried using a Nafion dryer.

17 2.3 Data treatment and definitions

18 Air ion data containing negative concentrations (positive ions: 0.64% of all data; negative ions: 1.18%), concentrations measured during unstable flow rates (optimum range: $1000 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \pm 8\%$) and very noisy data were considered invalid. A three-point median filter was applied to the ion concentrations to reduce noise as suggested by Kulmala et al. (2012). The air ions were mobility-classified as small or cluster ions (~~3.24-3~~ – $0.5 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$), intermediate ($0.5 – 0.034 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) and large ions ($0.034 – 0.0042 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$), which correspond to mobility diameters of 0.8 – 2 nm, 2 – 7 nm and 7 – 20 nm, respectively (~~Mäkelä et al., 1996~~). In these size ranges charged particles are assumed to be single charged. In this study, we apply the mobility diameter, i.e. Millikan diameter, when converting the measured mobility to particle diameter (see Mäkelä et al., 1996).

27 The particle total number concentrations for the entire campaign were obtained by combining the total concentrations measured by the TDMPS (calculated from 6 nm to 740 nm, 1h means, period: 01 – 31 July 2009) with the concentrations measured by the CPC ($> 6 \text{ nm}$, 1h means, period: 11 August 2009 – 04 October 2010). Total concentrations below 100 cm^{-3} were considered invalid as these values are unrealistic for urban areas.

1 To analyse the behaviour of the ion population during NPF we plotted air ion size distributions as a
2 function of time, from 27 June 2009 to 03 October 2010. Based on the plots we classified the days
3 into NPF events, NPF non-events or undefined days according to the procedure described by
4 Hirsikko et al. (2007a). NPF event days referred to days where new particle formation and growth
5 was clearly observed for several hours; NPF non-event days comprised days of no particle
6 formation, and undefined days referred to days in which the occurrence of NPF was unclear.

7 The growth rates (GR) of ions were calculated based on the maximum-concentration method
8 described in Kulmala et al. (2012): (1) we manually selected the time of peak concentrations during
9 NPF for each particle size range, (2) applied a Gaussian fit to the manually selected peak to
10 determine the time of maximum concentration of that particle size range, and (3) calculated the GR
11 by linear regression (least-squares fit) to the data points of particle size versus time of maximum
12 concentration ~~time data points~~.

13 Condensation sink (CS) was calculated based on the equations described by Dal Maso et al. (2005)
14 using dry particle number size distributions. The approach estimates the loss rate of the condensable
15 vapours during the change from the gas-to-particle phase (Kulmala et al., 2001). A high CS
16 indicates the presence of large number of aerosol particles acting as both condensing ~~nucleus-nuclei~~
17 for vapours and coagulation surfaces for particles.

18 Months were classified into seasons as follows: winter: ~~Dee~~December, January and February;
19 spring: March, April and May; summer: June, July and August; autumn: September, October and
20 November. The air ion data was originally averaged every 3-min, however, as the particle number
21 data from the TDMPS was provided as hourly means, to facilitate comparison the air ion data and
22 the particle number concentration data from the CPC were also presented as hourly means. The only
23 exceptions were Figure 6 (a, b, c, d) and Appendix B, where the air ion data was shown in the
24 original format (3-min means). Moreover, all the data in this study was presented as UTC (Paris
25 local time: UTC+1h in the winter, and UTC+2h in the summer), and when calculating
26 concentrations on workdays and weekends, national holidays were classified as weekends.

27 **3 Results and discussion**

28 **3.1 Concentrations of ions and particles at the LHVP site**

29 The median of the daily means, and the median of the hourly means of particle number
30 concentration in the LHVP were $12\,900\text{ cm}^{-3}$ (data not shown) and $12\,500\text{ cm}^{-3}$ (Table 1),
31 respectively. Aalto et al. (2005) and Puustinen et al. (2007) observed daily medians of particle
32 number concentrations ranging from $9\,000 - 38\,500\text{ cm}^{-3}$ (both studies combined) in urban

1 background sites of European cities, including Augsburg, Stockholm, Helsinki, Amsterdam,
2 Birmingham, Athens, Barcelona and Rome. The mean particle number concentrations in urban and
3 suburban areas of São Paulo, Nanjing and Beijing were $23\,500\text{ cm}^{-3}$, $23\,000\text{ cm}^{-3}$ and $23\,900 - 32$
4 800 cm^{-3} (combined studies), respectively (Backman et al., 2012; Herrmann et al., 2014; Wu et al.,
5 2008; Wang et al., 2013). Thus, particle number concentrations in Paris (LHVP) were in range with
6 the daily medians of other European cities and were lower roughly by a factor of two (mean: $13\,700$
7 cm^{-3}) compared to busy cities of other continents. Pikridas et al. (2015) evaluated mean particle
8 number concentrations during the summer and winter in the LHVP site and reported similar
9 concentration for particles of $10 - 500\text{ nm}$ (mean of both seasons: $13\,500\text{ cm}^{-3}$). In general, particle
10 number concentrations tend to vary considerably among cities due to differences in meteorology,
11 spatial and temporal distribution of local sources, emission-cleaning technologies and air quality
12 regulations.

13 *Table 1 here*

14 The mean number concentrations of small ions at the LHVP site were 330 cm^{-3} and 390 cm^{-3} for
15 positive and negative polarities, and are close to the lower range reported in the review by Hirsikko
16 et al. (2011) for sites around the world, $200 - 2500\text{ cm}^{-3}$. Shortly after their formation the small ions
17 are removed from the air by ion-recombination and by coagulation with larger particles, ~~thus~~ Thus
18 in polluted environments, where the load of aerosol particles is high (leading to high condensation
19 sink), the concentrations of small ions are often lower than in cleaner environments (Hirsikko et al.,
20 2011 and references therein; Tiitta et al., 2007; Hirsikko et al., 2007b).

21 In Nanjing, China, the total concentration of small ions, aerosol particles and CS were 840 cm^{-3}
22 (sum of polarities), $23\,000\text{ cm}^{-3}$ and $5.4 \times 10^{-2}\text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively (Herrmann et al., 2014). Considering
23 only the period of July 2009 and 15 Jan/15 Feb 2010, when CS calculations were possible, the mean
24 small ion concentrations, particle number and CS in LHVP were 800 cm^{-3} , $14\,460\text{ cm}^{-3}$ and
25 $1.43 \times 10^{-2}\text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively. The small ion concentrations in Nanjing were similar to that of the
26 LHVP despite the considerably higher particle total number and CS in Nanjing. The large particle
27 surface area acting as coagulation and condensation sinks in Nanjing should result in lower
28 concentrations of small ions in comparison to LHVP. Since this was not observed, the results
29 suggest that Nanjing may have a higher production rate of small ions than Paris. Other studies
30 around the world reported mean and median concentrations of small ions to be in the same range
31 with those observed in LHVP, varying from $183 - 860\text{ cm}^{-3}$ for positive and $151 - 720\text{ cm}^{-3}$ for
32 negative ions near traffic and in urban background of cities such as Athens (Greece), Kuopio

1 (Finland), Helsinki (Finland) and Brisbane (Australia) (Retalis et al., 2009; Tiitta et al., 2007;
2 Hirsikko et al., 2007b; Ling et al., 2013).

3 The concentrations of intermediate ions were in general very low. ~~and~~ Intermediate ions were
4 mostly present on NPF event days in comparison to NPF non-event days (section 3.5). The mean
5 concentrations of intermediate ions during the whole campaign were 20 – 30 cm⁻³ per polarity, and
6 were similar to the annual mean observed by Tammet et al. (2014) in the city of Tartu, Estonia, 35 –
7 40 cm⁻³ (per polarity), but roughly half of ~~thate~~ observed by Tiitta et al. (2007) (40 – 70 cm⁻³ per
8 polarity) near a road in Kuopio, Finland. One explanation for the higher concentrations in Kuopio
9 could be the proximity of the road, as some studies (Jayaratne et al., 2010; Ling et al., 2013; Ling et
10 al., 2010; Lee et al., 2012) reported ion concentrations near traffic to be higher than in sites away
11 from traffic.

12 The median concentrations of positive and negative large ions were 410 cm³ and 270 cm³,
13 respectively. In Helsinki, Hirsikko et al. (2007b) reported weekday median concentrations of large
14 ions (10 – 40 nm) of 510 and 540 cm⁻³ for positive and negative polarities, respectively. When the
15 hourly data was segregated into workdays and weekends the weekday concentrations of large ions
16 (10 – 40 nm) were 1 220 cm⁻³ and 850 cm⁻³, for positive and negative polarity, thus considerably
17 higher than the observations in Helsinki. As the concentrations of large ions are influenced by
18 traffic related aerosols (Hirsikko et al., 2007b; Tiitta et al., 2007), the difference in large ion
19 concentrations could be due to a larger number of sources of aerosol particles, i.e. vehicle
20 emissions, in LHVP surroundings in comparison to Helsinki.

21 In July 2009, 41% of the total particles in the size range of 3 – 23 nm comprised of naturally
22 charged particles (sum of positive and negative polarities). The month-to-month median
23 concentrations of ions from 0.8 to 42 nm varied between 1000 cm⁻³ – 2000 cm⁻³ per polarity (data
24 not shown).

25

26 Appendix A shows correlations between particle number and ions. Particle number correlated the
27 highest with large ions of both polarities ($r = 0.58 - 0.61$, $p < 0.01$) as expected, since it is very
28 likely that these aerosol particles were in charge balance most of the time (aerosol particles quickly
29 attach to ions forming large ions). Weak or no correlation was found between particle number and
30 small or intermediate ions ($r < |0.18|$, $p < 0.01$). Accumulation mode aerosol particles that have
31 large surface area (thus creating high condensation sinks) and small ions are often negatively
32 associated as the first act as sink for the latter. Aerosol particles in urban areas are mainly originated

1 from human activities such as traffic, while small ions are originated from natural ionizing
2 radiation, hence the weak correlation.

3 **3.2 Diurnal cycles and number size distributions of ions**

4 Figure 2 shows the diurnal variations of ions and particle number concentrations. On workdays,
5 particle number concentrations peaked in the morning (07:00 – 08:00) and in the evening (19:00 –
6 20:00) (Fig 2g) reflecting traffic rush hours. This pattern was consistent with the findings of
7 Pikridas et al. (2015) in Paris during summer and winter. The evening peak was fairly constant
8 regardless of the day, whereas the morning peak on workdays was about 50 – 60% higher than on
9 the weekends, when traffic intensity is generally lower. The constant presence of an evening peak
10 suggests constant nocturnal activities in the area, e.g. traffic and/or cooking emissions from
11 restaurants as suggested by Freutel et al. (2013). A decrease in boundary layer mixing height also
12 plays a role in accumulating air pollutants in the evening due to poor dilution, as suggested by
13 Pikridas et al. (2015). Cimini et al. (2013) shows that the mixing height of the boundary layer in 15
14 August 2011 in SIRTa, a site 20 km away from LHVP, increased at 08:00 and decreased at 18:00
15 (UTC), roughly the time when the evening peak begins.

16 Large ions had maximum median concentrations of 400 – 600 cm⁻³ per polarity and a diurnal cycle
17 very similar to that of particle number (Fig 2 e – f), undergoing an abrupt increase from the night to
18 the morning rush hours and from weekends to workdays. As traffic produces aerosol particles, the
19 concentrations of large ions are likely resulting from the coagulation between neutral aerosol
20 particles and small or intermediate ions. Because busy intersections were located about 400 m
21 away, it is possible that particulate traffic emissions from the intersections enhanced concentrations
22 of large ions in LHVP. Note that as the instruments measuring particle number and ions overlap
23 from 6 – 20 nm, some of the intermediate and all of the large ions were also detected by the CPC. If
24 we compare the diurnal cycle of particle number concentrations to that of large ions (sum of
25 polarities), the latter comprised about 5.5% of the total particle number concentrations (in 6- 20 nm
26 size range) in the morning of workdays.

27 Small ion number concentrations of both polarities peaked early in the morning (Fig. 2 a – b) and
28 decreased during the day in agreement with some studies reviewed by Hirsikko et al. (2011). The
29 higher concentrations on early mornings may be attributed to both the accumulation of ionizing
30 radiation from radon decay, as the boundary layer mixing height is usually lower before sunrise
31 (Hirsikko et al., 2011), and the lower ~~number of~~ condensation sinks early in the mornings (appendix
32 C), which decrease the removal rate of small ions.

1 *Fig. 2 here*

2 On workdays, the peak median number concentrations of small ion were between 380 cm^{-3} – 430
3 cm^{-3} per polarity. On weekends, the number concentrations of small ions were slightly higher (400
4 cm^{-3}) and the elevated concentrations of positive small ions lasted a few hours longer than on
5 workdays, indicating that the production rate of small ions (i.e. from radon radiation) was similar
6 throughout the week but the removal rates were lower on weekends (lower level of coagulation
7 sink). The simultaneous decrease in small ion concentrations and increase in large ion
8 concentrations and particle number (Fig. 2 e – g) suggests that part of the small ion population was
9 lost by attachment to aerosol particles as observed in previous studies (Hirsikko et al., 2007b;
10 Jayaratne et al., 2014). The concentrations of positive small ions in the mornings of workdays
11 (07:00) were about 26% lower in comparison to the mornings of weekends (07:00) indicating that
12 this fraction may have been lost by coagulation to pre-existing aerosol particles.

13 The median number concentrations of intermediate ions (Fig. 2 c – d) were ~~once again~~ low and
14 were considerably different from the mean indicating a large variability. On workdays, the median
15 concentrations of positive intermediate ions showed two peaks (04:00 – 05:00 and 12:00 – 13:00),
16 while in the weekends only one shallow peak was observed. The decrease in concentrations of
17 intermediate ions in the mornings of workdays between 06:00 and 08:00 coincided with the peak in
18 particle number and CS (Fig. 2 and appendix C), indicating that coagulation sinks from traffic
19 emissions scavenged the intermediate ions. On weekends, with the decrease in the number of
20 aerosol particles, the number concentrations of intermediate ions remained elevated for several
21 hours. Thus, NPF along with the decrease of particle number concentrations (condensation sinks) in
22 the afternoon enhanced concentrations of intermediate ions around 12:00 – 13:00. As intermediate
23 ions are directly associated to NPF, the results indicate that NPF was more likely to occur on
24 weekends than on workdays in LHVP. Negative intermediate ions showed a similar diurnal cycle as
25 the positive intermediate ions, only with lower concentrations. Despite the effects of traffic on the
26 ion number concentrations, traffic intensity did not seem to influence the median ion size
27 distribution (appendix B) in agreement with Tiitta et al. (2007).

28 Studies near busy roads (10 – 100 m away) in Finland reported that traffic emissions caused a
29 decrease in small ion concentrations and an increase in both intermediate and large ions (Hirsikko et
30 al., 2007b; Tiitta et al., 2007) which agrees with our results for small and large ions but disagree for
31 intermediate ions. In Helsinki, the weekday diurnal peak concentrations of small, intermediate and
32 large ions were roughly $750 - 900 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $80 - 90 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and $950 - 1000 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, respectively per polarity.
33 The number concentrations were thus higher in Helsinki despite similar CS range between the sites

1 (Helsinki: $1-50 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$, LHVP: $1.7-51 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$). The discrepancy is likely caused by higher
2 radon decay and gamma radiation emissions rates from soils in Helsinki. The World Health
3 Organization estimates higher levels of indoor radon emissions in Finland (120 Bq m^{-3}) than in
4 several other European countries, including France (89 Bq m^{-3}) (WHO, 2009). In addition to
5 differences in radon emission rates, the size-classification of intermediate and large ions in Hirsikko
6 et al. (2007b) (3 – 10 nm and 10 – 40 nm, respectively) was different than our classification, which
7 could explain the larger concentrations. Also the study in Helsinki was developed in the summer
8 while ours represents an average of all seasons.

9 **3.3 Seasonal variations of ions and particles**

10 The number concentrations of small ions of both polarities (Fig 3a) were the highest in the summer
11 and autumn (maxima between July and September, depending on the polarity, appendix D) and
12 lowest in the spring. Concentrations in January and February were also relatively high. Lopez et al.
13 (2012) measured concentrations of ^{222}Rn for eight years in Gif-sur-Yvette, 20 km away from the
14 LHVP site, and reported the highest radon concentrations in autumn and the lowest in the
15 summer/spring. As radon and gamma radiations are major sources of small ions in continental areas
16 (Hirsikko et al., 2011), the seasonality of small ions is partially associated to the seasonality of
17 radon exhalation, which depends for instance on boundary layer mixing height, presence of fog,
18 snow coverage and soil humidity (Lopez et al., 2012). Despite the differences in altitude, Rose et al.
19 (2013) also observed the lowest concentrations of small ions in spring in Puy de Dôme, a mountain
20 in central France (1465 m above sea level). In Athens, the highest concentrations of small ions were
21 observed in the summer (Retalis et al., 2009). As radon emissions depend on several factors,
22 concentrations of small ions are expected to vary ~~among~~between sites. In addition to radon
23 concentrations, in spring the higher frequency of NPF may also have increased the scavenging of
24 small ions.

25 *Fig. 3 here*

26 The median number concentrations of positive intermediate ions (Fig. 3b) varied with season
27 showing the highest median number concentrations in spring, whereas the median number
28 concentrations of the negative intermediate ions were lower ($< 10 \text{ cm}^{-3}$) and more stable throughout
29 the year. For positive intermediate ions, the highest monthly median concentrations were observed
30 in February, March and May (peak), while for the negative polarity, the highest were observed in
31 February, March (peak) and November (appendix D). The concentrations of positive intermediate
32 ions were highly variable in July (appendix D), with 75th percentile reaching nearly 200 cm^{-3} .

1 Because intermediate ions are mostly observed during NPF events (Tammet et al., 2014) and these
2 events have been observed to occur more often in the spring/summer around Europe (Manninen et
3 al., 2010), high number concentrations of intermediate ions during these seasons were expected. In
4 general, the results suggest that positive intermediate ions were more affected by seasonality than
5 the negative intermediate ions.

6 The number concentrations of positive large ions were also fairly stable throughout the seasons
7 (between 400 – 450 cm⁻³), whereas the number concentrations of negative large ions were less
8 stable (between 230 cm⁻³ and 310 cm⁻³) showing lowest in the summer and highest in the winter and
9 autumn (Fig. 3c), resembling the seasonal variations of particle number (Fig. 3d). Aalto et al. (2005)
10 showed that in several European cities particle number concentrations were highest in the winter
11 and lowest in the summer, in agreement with our study. Pikridas et al. (2015), also reported this
12 pattern for Paris and surrounding areas. The lower mixing height of the boundary layer and the need
13 for heating are possible drivers for the increase in particle number concentrations in the winter.

14 **3.4 Frequency of NPF events**

15 To analyse new particle formation events we classified days into NPF event, NPF non-event and
16 undefined as described in Hirsikko et al. (2007a). The monthly frequency of NPF events in LHVP is
17 shown in Fig. 4 as percentage of NPF events per number of days. On average, NPF events occurred
18 between February and October, being most frequent in the spring and summer (highest in May and
19 July) and least frequent in the winter. Undefined and NPF non-event days on the other hand
20 occurred throughout the year. Manninen et al. (2010) analysed NPF based on ion concentrations in
21 twelve European sites and reported that several sites showed highest frequency of NPF event days
22 in spring/summer and minimum in the winter, in agreement with our study. Studies from urban
23 areas such as Helsinki, Budapest, Beijing and Pittsburgh also reported high incidence of NPF in
24 spring (Salma et al., 2011; Hussein et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2007; Stanier et al., 2004). Pikridas et al.
25 (2015) also observed considerably higher frequency of NPF events in the summer than in the winter
26 in Paris and in two surrounding suburban sites.

27 The higher incidence of solar radiation favours photochemical reactions in the atmosphere in spring
28 and summer which may consequently increase the frequency of NPF, as observed by Pikridas et al.,
29 (2015). In addition to meteorological conditions, the air in LHVP and in several other sites in
30 Europe is cleaner in the summer than in the winter (Aalto et al., 2005; Pikridas et al., 2015). Thus,
31 NPF was likely favoured by fewer aerosol particles acting as condensation sinks (Salma et al.,
32 2011; Wu et al., 2007; Stanier et al., 2004; Pikridas et al., 2015) in the summer.

1 In our study air ions were monitored for a total of 442 days, out of which 57 days were NPF events
2 (about 13%), 94 were undefined days and 291 were NPF non-event days. In non-urban
3 environments, NPF was observed to occur somewhere between 21 and 57% of the days depending
4 on the site (Manninen et al., 2010). In urban areas, however, NPF is expected to be less frequent
5 due to the higher number of condensation sinks competing for condensing vapours (Hussein et al.,
6 2008). In cities such as Nanjing (China), São Paulo (Brazil), Helsinki (Finland), Shanghai (China),
7 Pune and Kanpur (India), Birmingham (UK) and Budapest (Hungary) the frequency of NPF events
8 was between 5 – 27% (Herrmann et al., 2014; Backman et al., 2012; Hussein et al., 2008; Du et al.,
9 2012; [Leng et al., 2014](#); [Xiao et al., 2015](#); Kanawade et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2004; Salma et al.,
10 2011) which is within range of the observations in Paris (13%). However, NPF frequencies as high
11 as 40 – 55% were observed in Beijing ([China](#)), Pittsburgh ([USA](#)), ~~and~~ Brisbane ([Australia](#)), and
12 [Nanjing](#) (Wu et al., 2007, 2008; Stanier et al., 2004; Crilley et al., 2014; [Yu et al., 2015](#)), although
13 not all the studies comprised an entire year of measurements.

14 *Fig. 4 here*

15 **3.5 Diurnal cycle of ions during new particle formation**

16 Figure 5 shows the differences in diurnal cycles of ions and particles on NPF events and NPF non-
17 event days. In this section, only strong NPF events were considered (21 NPF event days). On NPF
18 event days, a clear peak was observed between 09:00 and 11:00 (UTC) for intermediate ions and at
19 12:00 – 14:00 for large ions and particle number, whereas on NPF non-event days these “noon”
20 peaks were completely absent. As NPF is often observed at noon, an increase in concentrations
21 around this time was expected. The time-lag in peak concentrations [between intermediate and large](#)
22 [ions](#) was likely caused by growth of intermediate ions. During NPF, the highest increase in
23 concentrations occurred for intermediate ions, with median maxima of 50 – 80 cm⁻³ (10:00 –
24 11:00), about 8.5 – 10 times higher than on the same hour of NPF non-event days, depending on the
25 polarity. Because the median concentrations of intermediate ions were very low on NPF non-event
26 days (< 12 cm⁻³), the results suggest that intermediate ion concentrations may be used as indicator
27 for NPF events in Paris. The median particle number and large ion concentrations increased 1.2
28 times (12:00) and 1.5 – 1.8 times (12:00 – 13:00), respectively, on NPF event days in comparison to
29 the same hour on NPF non-event days. Thus, despite its relatively low frequency (13%), NPF was
30 an important source for intermediate ions, large ions and particles in Paris around noon. ~~The~~
31 ~~increase in particle number during NPF days contributes to climatic effects and deterioration of the~~
32 ~~urban air quality.~~ In cities such as Pittsburgh, USA, where the frequency of NPF events is high

1 (50%) (Stanier et al., 2004), particle number concentrations roughly doubled during NPF bursts
2 ($45\,000\text{ cm}^{-3}$) in comparison to the morning rush hours of workdays ($23\,000\text{ cm}^{-3}$). The authors
3 estimate that the particle number daily averages on NPF event days was about 40% higher than on
4 NPF non-event days. In LHVP, the mean of the daily mean concentrations on event days ($11\,744$
5 cm^{-3}) was in fact lower than on NPF non-event days ($14\,259\text{ cm}^{-3}$) probably due to the lower
6 concentrations of aerosol particles in the mornings of NPF event days.

7 As mentioned, in the morning of event days the concentrations of large ions and especially aerosol
8 particles (Fig. 5 e – g) were lower than on NPF non-event days, which may have favoured NPF.
9 This result is consistent with the idea that NPF can be favoured on weekends due to the lower ~~load~~
10 ~~of~~ condensation sinks. The cleaner atmospheric conditions illustrated in Fig. 5 could have been
11 caused for instance by enhanced turbulent vertical mixing on NPF days (Nilsson et al., 2001).
12 According to Wehner et al. (2010) and Nilsson et al. (2001) a higher vertical mixing could favour
13 NPF not only by increasing the dilution of condensation sinks ~~into~~ the atmosphere, but also by
14 mixing condensable vapours with cooler air from higher altitudes, thus increasing supersaturation,
15 or even by transporting clusters formed at higher altitudes downwards.

16 New particle formation did not affect the small ion concentrations as much as it did the other
17 particle sizes. On event days, the concentrations of positive small ions decreased roughly around
18 noon in comparison to NPF non-event days, indicating scavenging of these ions by the newly
19 formed particles. This decrease around noon was also observed for negative small ions, however,
20 the number concentrations of these ions were in general slightly lower on NPF event days in
21 comparison to NPF non-event days. Winkler et al. (2008) indicates that ion-induced nucleation is
22 formed preferably onto negative ions, thus, the decrease in negative small ion concentrations could
23 indicate that part of these ions were used during ion-induced nucleation. Yet, we only observed a
24 weak positive correlation ($r = 0.10$ to 0.25 , $p < 0.01$) between intermediate and small ions
25 (Appendix E). In general, no clear correlation between NPF (intermediate ion/small ions) and small
26 ions or particle number was observed (Appendix F).

27 *Fig. 5 here*

28 **4 Case study of four NPF event days**

29 We selected four NPF event days of various intensities and duration to observe the behaviour of
30 ions and aerosol particles during the bursts (Fig. 6). In all the four days, a “banana” shaped NPF
31 event was observed. This type of NPF event is likely of regional nature as it requires uniform air
32 masses to last for at least a few hours (Manninen et al., 2010). Thus, the gaps in the “bananas” (Fig.
33 6 b – c) could be caused by some degree of heterogeneity in the regional air masses. According to

1 Hussein et al. (2009), regional NPF events may spread for over 200 km and the newly formed
2 particles may be traced for as long as 30h before they merge into background levels. Pikridas et al.
3 (2015) analysed NPF events in LHVP and in two suburban sites near Paris, GOLF and SIRT A (20
4 km NE and 20 km SE from Paris, respectively). The authors measured particle number size
5 distributions in all the three sites during the summer of 2009 and winter 2010. The results showed
6 that nearly all the NPF events observed in SIRT A in the summer were also observed in LHVP, and
7 roughly half of these events (6 event days) were also observed at GOLF, thus covering at least 40
8 km in extension. The results by Pikridas et al. (2015) indicate that at least half of the NPF events
9 observed in LHVP in the summer were regional in nature.

10 The diurnal behaviour of ions varied considerably among the four days. ~~In most of the~~ On example
11 days, NPF started between 08:00 and 12:00 (UTC) (Fig. 6). A “pool” of small ions was observed in
12 all the four days suggesting the constant presence of these ions, in agreement with previous studies
13 (Manninen et al., 2009). No significant changes in small ion number concentrations were observed
14 during the bursts (Fig. 6 e – h). The number concentrations of intermediate ions (both polarities)
15 however increased 4 – 15 times (depending on the day) during the bursts in comparison to the
16 number concentrations immediately before the bursts, reaching mean values as high as 420 cm^{-3}
17 (positive polarity) on the 31 March 2010. For large ions the concentrations were 1.8 – 6 times
18 higher during the bursts, and for particle number concentrations it was 1.3 – 2 times higher
19 (depending on the day). On the 19 May 2010, particle number reached $28\,600 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ during the NPF
20 burst (13:00), a value considerably higher than the mean concentrations observed in the morning
21 rush hours of workdays (Fig. 2 g: $19\,500 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, 08:00). Once again, during the NPF events the
22 concentrations of large ions and particle number peaked about 1h later than that of intermediate
23 ions, indicating growth. Hence, the results confirm that NPF events can considerably increase the
24 number concentration of intermediate ions (2 – 7 nm), large ions (7 – 20 nm) and aerosol particles
25 in the urban air.

26 *Fig. 6 here*

27 ~~Crippa et al. (2013) analysed the composition of aerosol particles during the winter in LHVP and~~
28 ~~reported that PM_{10} particles (particulate matter, $d_p < 1 \mu\text{m}$) were composed of organics (33%),~~
29 ~~nitrate (28.1%), sulphate (15.9%), ammonium (13.6%), chlorine (1.0%) and BC (8.3%). Organic~~
30 ~~vapours (Kulmala et al., 2013), ammonium and sulphate precursors (Crilley et al., 2014) were~~
31 ~~associated to NPF and growth of particles and thus may have aided the NPF events in LHVP.~~

1 **5 Growth rates of ions**

2 Particles growth rate (GR) is proportional to the concentrations of condensing vapours in the air.
3 We calculated GR for ions in diameter of 1.9 – 3 nm; 3 – 7 nm and 7 – 20 nm. A total of 21 strong
4 NPF events were used in the calculations, 9 of which were workdays and 12 were weekends. Thus,
5 the results once again suggest that NPF (in this case strong NPF events) may be favoured on
6 weekends due to the lower load of condensation sinks. In general, the GR of ions (table 2) increased
7 with ion size (median: 1.9 – 3 nm: 3.4 nm h⁻¹; 3 – 7 nm: 5.9 nm h⁻¹; 7 – 20 nm: 6.9 nm h⁻¹) in
8 agreement with previous studies, including urban areas (Yli-Juuti et al., 2011; Manninen et al.,
9 2010; Kulmala et al., 2012; Kulmala et al., 2004b; Backman et al., 2012; Herrmann et al., 2014).
10 The results support the theory that condensing vapours aiding the growth of ions from 3 – 20 nm
11 may differ in composition from vapours aiding the growth of smaller ions, as suggested by previous
12 studies (Manninen et al., 2010). In addition to different chemical composition, Kulmala et al.
13 (2004b) suggests that the increase in GR with particle size could also relate to the diurnal
14 availability of condensing vapours and Nano-Köhler effect (Kulmala et al., 2004a). If the diurnal
15 peak in vapour concentration occurred after NPF, there would be less vapours available to grow the
16 smaller particles in comparison to the larger ones (growing later). The Kelvin effect (Kulmala et al.,
17 2004a; Kulmala et al., 2004b; Yli-Juuti et al., 2011) and the Nano-Köhler effect (Kulmala et al.,
18 2004a) may also influence the GR as they favour evaporation of small particles and growth of larger
19 ones. Moreover, the median GR was higher on workdays than on weekends for ions from 3 – 7 nm
20 and 7 – 20 nm. This pattern was not as evident for ions from 1.9 – 3 nm nor for mean GR values.

21 The GR of ions from 3 – 20 nm were higher on workdays likely due to the higher availability of
22 traffic-emitted condensable vapours. In cities such as São Paulo, Nanjing and Helsinki, the reported
23 mean GR for ions were 2.1 – 5.3 nm h⁻¹, 6.3 – 9.7 nm h⁻¹ and 8.0 – 11.4 nm h⁻¹ for size ranges of 1 –
24 3 nm, 3 – 7 nm and 7 – 20 nm (7 – 30 nm in Nanjing), respectively, and were in range with the GR
25 observed in the LHVP site (table 2). Manninen et al. (2010) reported median GR of ions in
26 European sites (mostly rural and coastal sites) to be 2.8 nm h⁻¹ for particles of 1.5 – 3 nm; 4.3 nm h⁻¹
27 for particles of 7 – 20 nm, and 5.4 nm h⁻¹ for particles of 7 – 20 nm, which are mostly lower than
28 the values observed in the urban areas. Hussein et al. (2008) compared NPF characteristics between
29 Helsinki and Hyytiälä, a rural area in Finland. The authors observed higher GR in Helsinki and
30 concluded that the higher availability of condensing vapours and the large number of aerosol
31 particles in Helsinki probably enhanced growth by condensation and coagulation in comparison to
32 Hyytiälä. Note that, as Hussein et al. (2008) and Yli-Juuti et al. (2011) pointed out, the GR

1 calculation method is somewhat subjective and thus also influences GR values. Moreover, GR can
2 also vary ~~among depending on the~~ instruments used (Yli-Juuti et al., 2011).

3 The median CS concentrations were only slightly higher on workdays in comparison to weekends
4 (table 2) indicating that part of the particle surface area may also originate from long range
5 transport. Sciare et al. (2010) analysed the composition of PM_{2.5} in Paris and reported that the city
6 receives polluted air masses (PM_{2.5}) from North-Western and Central Europe. Note that CS
7 calculations were based on roughly two months of data, and thus are not representatives for the
8 entire campaign.

9 *Table 2 here*

10 **6 Summary and Conclusions**

11 ~~Atmospheric ion number size distributions (0.8–42 nm) were measured in an urban background~~
12 ~~site of Paris, France, using an Air Ion Spectrometer (AIS) from 26 June 2009 to 04 October 2010.~~
13 ~~Aerosol particles were counted simultaneously using a combination of TDMPS (6–740 nm) and~~
14 ~~CPC (> 6 nm). The ions were size segregated as small or clusters (0.8–2 nm), intermediate (2–7~~
15 ~~nm) and large ions (7–20 nm).~~ We analysed frequency and seasonal variations of NPF events,
16 diurnal and seasonal cycles of ions and aerosol particles, as well as the behaviour of ions and their
17 growth rates during NPF events in an urban background site of Paris, France. Condensation sinks
18 were also calculated. Our measurement period extended over 16 months: June 2009 – October
19 2010. We were especially focusing on atmospheric ions: small (0.8 – 2 nm), intermediate (2 – 7 nm)
20 and large ions (7 – 20 nm).

21 On workdays, particle number concentrations peaked in the mornings and evenings, reflecting the
22 traffic rush hours. During the morning peak, the concentrations of small and intermediate ions
23 decreased whereas the concentrations of large ions increased. This indicates that aerosol particles
24 from traffic acted as scavengers for small and intermediate ions. Both ions and aerosol particle
25 concentrations varied with season, and these variations differed with ion polarities. Number
26 concentrations of small ions were lowest in the spring, when number concentrations of positive
27 intermediate ions were highest. The results thus indicate that when comparing ion concentrations
28 from different studies, one should consider the season in which the study was ~~developed~~ conducted
29 and also the polarity regarded.

30 ~~New particle formation~~ NPF was ~~occurred~~ present on 13% of the days (34 weekdays and 23
31 weekends), ~~which is a low frequency compared to cleaner sites but is in range with the reported in~~
32 ~~several other busy cities~~. Seasonally, NPF occurred mainly in late the spring and summer, and were

1 completely absent from November to January. Undefined days, however, occurred throughout the
2 year. Higher frequency of photochemical reactions along with lower number concentrations of
3 aerosol particles may have enhanced the frequency of NPF in the summer. The growth rates of ions
4 during NPF events increased with ion size and had median values varying between 3 – 7 nm h⁻¹ in
5 Paris. ~~Previous studies suggest the Kelvin and the Nano-Köhler effects (Kulmala et al., 2004a) as~~
6 ~~well as the diurnal cycle and composition of condensing vapours as possible factors influencing the~~
7 ~~growth pattern.~~ Moreover, the median ~~growth rate~~GR's of ions were higher on workdays than on
8 weekends for ions from 3 to 20 nm, but this pattern was unclear for ions from 1.9 to 3 nm and for
9 mean GR values. A higher GR during workdays suggests higher availability of condensing vapours
10 in comparison to weekends.

11 The diurnal cycle of ions and particles during NPF events and NPF non-event days suggest that
12 NPF was an important contributor for both ions and aerosol particles in Paris. On average, the NPF
13 bursts caused an extra peak between 09:00 and 14:00 in the diurnal cycles of intermediate ions,
14 large ions and particle number. The intermediate ions were by far the most affected by NPF, with
15 median concentrations increasing 8.5 to 10 times during the bursts in comparison to the same hour
16 on NPF non-event days. Because the median number concentrations of intermediate ions were so
17 low on NPF non-event days (< 12 cm⁻³) in comparison to NPF event days (50 – 80 cm⁻³), the results
18 suggest that intermediate ion number concentrations could be used as an indicator for NPF in Paris.
19 The intermediate ions produced during the bursts grew to larger sizes on average within a few
20 hours, increasing the median number concentrations of large ions and aerosol particles by a factor
21 of 1.5 –1.8 (depending on the polarity) and 1.2, respectively, in comparison to NPF non-event days.
22 ~~The diurnal cycles also showed that on average the particle number concentrations were lower in~~
23 ~~the morning of NPF event days in comparison to NPF non-event days, and that concentrations of~~
24 ~~intermediate ions were higher in the mornings of weekends in comparison to workdays.~~ These
25 results indicate that NPF in Paris was favoured on weekends, when the load of aerosol particles was
26 lower. This idea was reinforced by the statistics of strong NPF events. Out of the 21 strong NPF
27 events, 9 were observed on workdays and 12 were on weekends.

28 In general, as aerosol particles are associated to adverse health effects, the results suggest that NPF
29 events influenced the air quality in Paris around noon (increasing the total particle number
30 concentration, not so much the total particle mass as these are nucleation mode particle), especially
31 during the spring and summer, when the frequency of NPF was highest.

32

33

1 **Captions of appendices:**

2 Appendix A: Correlation between particle number concentrations and ions (small: 0.8 – 2 nm;
3 intermediate: 2 – 7 nm; large: 7 – 20 nm).

4

5 Appendix B: Median size distribution of ion on workdays: early morning (02:00 – 04:00), rush
6 hours (07:00 – 09:00) and noon (12:00 – 14:00).

7

8 Appendix C: Diurnal cycle of condensation sink (CS) based on data from 01 – 31 July 2009 and 15
9 Jan – 15 Feb 2010 (1h resolution) and particle number concentrations. The markers represents
10 median of hourly means.

11

12 Appendix D: Monthly variations of ions and particles in Paris. The edges of the boxes represent
13 25th and 75th percentiles, the central line is the median, the whiskers represent the highest
14 concentrations (not considered outliers). The data comprise of the period 01.07.2009 – 30.09.2010.

15

16 Appendix E: Correlation between intermediate ions and small ions.

17

18 Appendix F: Correlation between the ratio intermediate ions/small ions and particle number and
19 small ions.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 **Acknowledgements**

2 This project was partially developed in the frame of the European Union's Seventh Framework
3 Programme FP/2007-2011 within the project MEGAPOLI (grant agreement no.212520). We also
4 gratefully acknowledge the support by the Academy of Finland Centre of Excellence Program
5 (grant no. 1118615 and no. 272041), and the support by the French data centre for atmospheric
6 chemistry, created and co-directed by CNES (the French Space Agency) and INSU-CNRS
7 (National Institute of Sciences of the Universe) of the MEGAPOLI database. We would also like to
8 acknowledge Katrianne Lehtipalo for her contribution on the MEGAPOLI measurements and data
9 processing.

11 **References**

- 12 Aalto, P., Hameri, K., Paatero, P., Kulmala, M., Bellander, T., Berglind, N., Bouso, L., Castano-
13 Vinyals, G., Sunyer, J., Cattani, G., Marconi, A., Cyrus, J., von Klot, S., Peters, A., Zetzsche, K.,
14 Lanki, T., Pekkanen, J., Nyberg, F., Sjoval, B., and Forastiere, F.: Aerosol particle number
15 concentration measurements in five European cities using TSI-3022 condensation particle counter
16 over a three-year period during health effects pollution on susceptible subpopulations, *J Air Waste*
17 *Manage*, 55, 1064-1076, 2005.
- 18 Asmi, E., Sipilä, M., Manninen, H. E., Vanhanen, J., Lehtipalo, K., Gagné, S., Neitola, K., Mirme,
19 A., Mirme, S., Tamm, E., Uin, J., Komsaare, K., Attoui, M., and Kulmala, M.: Results of the first
20 air ion spectrometer calibration and intercomparison workshop, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 141-154,
21 10.5194/acp-9-141-2009, 2009.
- 22 Backman, J., Rizzo, L. V., Hakala, J., Nieminen, T., Manninen, H. E., Morais, F., Aalto, P. P.,
23 Siivola, E., Carbone, S., Hillamo, R., Artaxo, P., Virkkula, A., Petäjä, T., and Kulmala, M.: On the
24 diurnal cycle of urban aerosols, black carbon and the occurrence of new particle formation events in
25 springtime São Paulo, Brazil, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 11733-11751, 10.5194/acp-12-11733-2012,
26 2012.
- 27 Cimini, D., De Angelis, F., Dupont, J. C., Pal, S., and Haeffelin, M.: Mixing layer height retrievals
28 by multichannel microwave radiometer observations, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 6, 2941-2951,
29 10.5194/amt-6-2941-2013, 2013.
- 30 Crilley, L. R., Jayaratne, E. R., Ayoko, G. A., Miljevic, B., Ristovski, Z., and Morawska, L.:
31 Observations on the Formation, Growth and Chemical Composition of Aerosols in an Urban
32 Environment, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 48, 6588-6596, 10.1021/es5019509, 2014.
- 33 Crippa, M., DeCarlo, P. F., Slowik, J. G., Mohr, C., Heringa, M. F., Chirico, R., Poulain, L.,
34 Freutel, F., Sciare, J., Cozic, J., Di Marco, C. F., Elsasser, M., Nicolas, J. B., Marchand, N., Abidi,
35 E., Wiedensohler, A., Drewnick, F., Schneider, J., Borrmann, S., Nemitz, E., Zimmermann, R.,
36 Jaffrezo, J. L., Prévôt, A. S. H., and Baltensperger, U.: Wintertime aerosol chemical composition
37 and source apportionment of the organic fraction in the metropolitan area of Paris, *Atmos. Chem.*
38 *Phys.*, 13, 961-981, 10.5194/acp-13-961-2013, 2013.

- 1 [D'Alessandro, F.: Experimental study of the effect of wind on positive and negative corona from a](#)
2 [sharp point in a thunderstorm, *J. Electrostatics*, 67, 482-487, 2009.](#)
- 3 Dal Maso, M., Kulmala, M., Riipinen, I., Wagner, R., Hussein, T., Aalto, P. P., and Lehtinen, K. E.
4 J.: Formation and growth of fresh atmospheric aerosols: eight years of aerosol size distribution data
5 from SMEAR II, Hyytiälä, Finland, *Boreal Environment Research*, 10, 323-336, 2005.
- 6 Du, J. F., Cheng, T. T., Zhang, M., Chen, J. M., He, Q. S., Wang, X. M., Zhang, R. J., Tao, J.,
7 Huang, G. H., Li, X., and Zha, S. P.: Aerosol Size Spectra and Particle Formation Events at Urban
8 Shanghai in Eastern China, *Aerosol Air Qual Res*, 12, 1362-1372, DOI 10.4209/aaqr.2011.12.0230,
9 2012.
- 10 Favez, O., Cachier, H., Sciare, J., and Le Moullec, Y.: Characterization and contribution to PM_{2.5}
11 of semi-volatile aerosols in Paris (France), *Atmospheric Environment*, 41, 7969-7976,
12 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.09.031>, 2007.
- 13 Freney, E. J., Sellegri, K., Canonaco, F., Colomb, A., Borbon, A., Michoud, V., Doussin, J. F.,
14 Crumeyrolle, S., Amarouch, N., Pichon, J. M., Prévôt, A. S. H., Beekmann, M., and
15 Schwarzenböck, A.: Characterizing the impact of urban emissions on regional aerosol particles;
16 airborne measurements during the MEGAPOLI experiment, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 13,
17 24885-24924, 10.5194/acpd-13-24885-2013, 2013.
- 18 Freutel, F., Schneider, J., Drewnick, F., von der Weiden-Reinmuller, S. L., Crippa, M., Prevot, A.
19 S. H., Baltensperger, U., Poulain, L., Wiedensohler, A., Sciare, J., Sarda-Estève, R., Burkhardt, J. F.,
20 Eckhardt, S., Stohl, A., Gros, V., Colomb, A., Michoud, V., Doussin, J. F., Borbon, A., Haeffelin,
21 M., Morille, Y., Beekmann, M., and Borrmann, S.: Aerosol particle measurements at three
22 stationary sites in the megacity of Paris during summer 2009: meteorology and air mass origin
23 dominate aerosol particle composition and size distribution, *Atmos Chem Phys*, 13, 933-959, DOI
24 10.5194/acp-13-933-2013, 2013.
- 25 Gagné, S., Lehtipalo, K., Manninen, H. E., Nieminen, T., Schobesberger, S., Franchin, A., Yli-
26 Juuti, T., Boulon, J., Sonntag, A., Mirme, S., Mirme, A., Hörrak, U., Petäjä, T., Asmi, E., and
27 Kulmala, M.: Intercomparison of air ion spectrometers: an evaluation of results in varying
28 conditions, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 4, 805-822, 10.5194/amt-4-805-2011, 2011.
- 29 Gagné, S., Leppä, J., Petäjä, T., McGrath, M. J., Vana, M., Kerminen, V. M., Laakso, L., and
30 Kulmala, M.: Aerosol charging state at an urban site: new analytical approach and implications for
31 ion-induced nucleation, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 4647-4666, 10.5194/acp-12-4647-2012, 2012.
- 32 [Gopalakrishnan, V., Pawar, S.D., Siingh, D., and Kamra, A.K.: Intermediate ion formation in the](#)
33 [ship's exhaust. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 32 \(11\), 1-4, 2005.](#)
- 34 [Haverkamp, H., Wilhelm, S., Sorokin, A., and Arnold, F.: Positive and negative ion measurements](#)
35 [in jet aircraft engine exhaust: concentrations, sizes and implications for aerosol formation, *Atmos.*](#)
36 [*Environ.*, 38, 2879-2884, 2004.](#)
- 37 [Harrison, R.G. and Carslaw, K.S.: Ion-aerosol-cloud processes in the lower atmosphere, *Reviews of*](#)
38 [*Geophysics*, 41:3, doi:10.1029/2002RG000114, 2003.](#)
- 39 Herrmann, E., Ding, A. J., Kerminen, V. M., Petäjä, T., Yang, X. Q., Sun, J. N., Qi, X. M.,
40 Manninen, H., Hakala, J., Nieminen, T., Aalto, P. P., Kulmala, M., and Fu, C. B.: Aerosols and

1 nucleation in eastern China: first insights from the new SORPES-NJU station, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*,
2 14, 2169-2183, 10.5194/acp-14-2169-2014, 2014.

3 Hirsikko, A., Bergman, T., Laakso, L., Dal Maso, M., Riipinen, I., Horrak, U., and Kulmala, M.:
4 Identification and classification of the formation of intermediate ions measured in boreal forest,
5 *Atmos Chem Phys*, 7, 201-210, 2007a.

6 Hirsikko, A., Yli-Juuti, T., Nieminen, T., Vartiainen, E., Laakso, L., Hussein, T., and Kulmala, M.:
7 Indoor and outdoor air ions and aerosol particles in the urban atmosphere of Helsinki:
8 characteristics, sources and formation, *Boreal Environment Research*, 12, 295-310, 2007b.

9 Hirsikko, A., Nieminen, T., Gagne, S., Lehtipalo, K., Manninen, H. E., Ehn, M., Horrak, U.,
10 Kerminen, V. M., Laakso, L., McMurry, P. H., Mirme, A., Mirme, S., Petaja, T., Tammet, H.,
11 Vakkari, V., Vana, M., and Kulmala, M.: Atmospheric ions and nucleation: a review of
12 observations, *Atmos Chem Phys*, 11, 767-798, DOI 10.5194/acp-11-767-2011, 2011.

13 [Hoppel, W.A.: Ion-Aerosol Attachment Coefficients, Ion Depletion, and the Charge Distribution](#)
14 [on Aerosols, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 90, 5917-5923, 1985.](#)

15 [Hoppel, W.A., and Frick, G.M.: Ion-attachment coefficients and the steady-state charge distribution](#)
16 [on aerosols in a bipolar ion environment, *Aerosol Sci. Tech.*, 5, 1-21, 1986.](#)

17 Hussein, T., Martikainen, J., Junninen, H., Sogacheva, L., Wagner, R., Dal Maso, M., Riipinen, I.,
18 Aalto, P. P., and Kulmala, M.: Observation of regional new particle formation in the urban
19 atmosphere, *Tellus B*, 60, 509-521, DOI 10.1111/j.1600-0889.2008.00365.x, 2008.

20 Hussein, T., Junninen, H., Tunved, P., Kristensson, A., Dal Maso, M., Riipinen, I., Aalto, P. P.,
21 Hansson, H. C., Swietlicki, E., and Kulmala, M.: Time span and spatial scale of regional new
22 particle formation events over Finland and Southern Sweden, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 4699-4716,
23 10.5194/acp-9-4699-2009, 2009.

24 Iida, K., Stolzenburg, M., McMurry, P., Dunn, M. J., Smith, J. N., Eisele, F., and Keady, P.:
25 Contribution of ion-induced nucleation to new particle formation: Methodology and its application
26 to atmospheric observations in Boulder, Colorado, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*,
27 111, D23201, 10.1029/2006JD007167, 2006.

28 Iida, K., Stolzenburg, M. R., McMurry, P. H., and Smith, J. N.: Estimating nanoparticle growth
29 rates from size-dependent charged fractions: Analysis of new particle formation events in Mexico
30 City, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 113, D05207, 10.1029/2007JD009260, 2008.

31 Populations légales 2011 de la commune:
32 [http://www.insee.fr/fr/themes/tableau_local.asp?ref_id=POP&millesime=2010&typegeo=DEP&cod](http://www.insee.fr/fr/themes/tableau_local.asp?ref_id=POP&millesime=2010&typegeo=DEP&codgeo=75)
33 [geo=75](http://www.insee.fr/fr/themes/tableau_local.asp?ref_id=POP&millesime=2010&typegeo=DEP&codgeo=75)

34 [http://www.insee.fr/fr/themes/tableau_local.asp?ref_id=POP&millesime=2010&typegeo=AU2010&](http://www.insee.fr/fr/themes/tableau_local.asp?ref_id=POP&millesime=2010&typegeo=AU2010&codgeo=001)
35 [codgeo=001](http://www.insee.fr/fr/themes/tableau_local.asp?ref_id=POP&millesime=2010&typegeo=AU2010&codgeo=001), access: 04.06, 2010.

36 [Jayaratne, E.R., J-Fatokun F.O., and Morawska, L.: Air ion concentrations under overhead high-](#)
37 [voltage transmission lines, *Atmos. Environ.*, 42, 1846-1856, 2008.](#)

- 1 Jayaratne, E. R., Ling, X., and Morawska, L.: Ions in motor vehicle exhaust and their dispersion
2 near busy roads, *Atmospheric Environment*, 44, 3644-3650,
3 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.06.043>, 2010.
- 4 Jayaratne, E. R., Ling, X., and Morawska, L.: Observation of ions and particles near busy roads
5 using a neutral cluster and air ion spectrometer (NAIS), *Atmospheric Environment*, 84, 198-203,
6 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.11.045>, 2014.
- 7 ~~Kamsali, N., Pawar, S. D., Murugavel, P., and Gopalakrishnan, V.: Estimation of small ion
8 concentration near the Earth's surface, *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar Terrestrial Physics*, 73,
9 2345-2351, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2011.07.011>, 2011.~~
- 10 Kanawade, V. P., Tripathi, S. N., Siingh, D., Gautam, A. S., Srivastava, A. K., Kamra, A. K., Soni,
11 V. K., and Sethi, V.: Observations of new particle formation at two distinct Indian subcontinental
12 urban locations, *Atmospheric Environment*, 96, 370-379,
13 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.08.001>, 2014.
- 14 Kerminen, V. M., Paramonov, M., Anttila, T., Riipinen, I., Fountoukis, C., Korhonen, H., Asmi, E.,
15 Laakso, L., Lihavainen, H., Swietlicki, E., Svenningsson, B., Asmi, A., Pandis, S. N., Kulmala, M.,
16 and Petäjä, T.: Cloud condensation nuclei production associated with atmospheric nucleation: a
17 synthesis based on existing literature and new results, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 12037-12059,
18 [10.5194/acp-12-12037-2012](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-12037-2012), 2012.
- 19 Kirkby, J., Curtius, J., Almeida, J., Dunne, E., Duplissy, J., Ehrhart, S., Franchin, A., Gagne, S.,
20 Ickes, L., Kurten, A., Kupc, A., Metzger, A., Riccobono, F., Rondo, L., Schobesberger, S.,
21 Tsagkogeorgas, G., Wimmer, D., Amorim, A., Bianchi, F., Breitenlechner, M., David, A.,
22 Dommen, J., Downard, A., Ehn, M., Flagan, R. C., Haider, S., Hansel, A., Hauser, D., Jud, W.,
23 Junninen, H., Kreissl, F., Kvashin, A., Laaksonen, A., Lehtipalo, K., Lima, J., Lovejoy, E. R.,
24 Makhmutov, V., Mathot, S., Mikkila, J., Minginette, P., Mogo, S., Nieminen, T., Onnela, A.,
25 Pereira, P., Petaja, T., Schnitzhofer, R., Seinfeld, J. H., Sipila, M., Stozhkov, Y., Stratmann, F.,
26 Tome, A., Vanhanen, J., Viisanen, Y., Vrtala, A., Wagner, P. E., Walther, H., Weingartner, E.,
27 Wex, H., Winkler, P. M., Carslaw, K. S., Worsnop, D. R., Baltensperger, U., and Kulmala, M.:
28 Role of sulphuric acid, ammonia and galactic cosmic rays in atmospheric aerosol nucleation,
29 *Nature*, 476, 429-433,
30 [http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v476/n7361/abs/nature10343.html#supplementary-](http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v476/n7361/abs/nature10343.html#supplementary-information)
31 [information](http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v476/n7361/abs/nature10343.html#supplementary-information), 2011.
- 32 ~~[Kolarž, P., Gaisberger, M., Madl, P., Hofmann, W., Ritter, M., and Hartl, A.: Characterization of](#)
33 [ions at Alpine waterfalls, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 3687-3697, doi:10.5194/acp-12-3687-2012,](#)
34 [2012.](#)~~
- 35 Kulmala, M., maso, M. D., Mäkelä, J. M., Pirjola, L., Väkevä, M., Aalto, P., Mikkulainen, P.,
36 Hämeri, K., #039, and dowd, C. D.: On the formation, growth and composition of nucleation mode
37 particles, *Tellus B*, 53, 2001.
- 38 Kulmala, M., Kerminen, V.-M., Anttila, T., Laaksonen, A., and O'Dowd, C. D.: Organic aerosol
39 formation via sulphate cluster activation, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 109,
40 D04205, [10.1029/2003jd003961](https://doi.org/10.1029/2003jd003961), 2004a.

- 1 Kulmala, M., Laakso, L., Lehtinen, K. E. J., Riipinen, I., Dal Maso, M., Anttila, T., Kerminen, V.
2 M., Hörrak, U., Vana, M., and Tammet, H.: Initial steps of aerosol growth, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 4,
3 2553-2560, 10.5194/acp-4-2553-2004, 2004b.
- 4 Kulmala, M., Petäjä, T., Nieminen, T., Sipilä, M., Manninen, H. E., Lehtipalo, K., Dal Maso, M.,
5 Aalto, P. P., Junninen, H., Paasonen, P., Riipinen, I., Lehtinen, K. E. J., Laaksonen, A., and
6 Kerminen, V.-M.: Measurement of the nucleation of atmospheric aerosol particles, *Nat. Protocols*,
7 7, 1651-1667, [http://www.nature.com/nprot/journal/v7/n9/abs/nprot.2012.091.html#supplementary-](http://www.nature.com/nprot/journal/v7/n9/abs/nprot.2012.091.html#supplementary-information)
8 [information](http://www.nature.com/nprot/journal/v7/n9/abs/nprot.2012.091.html#supplementary-information), 2012.
- 9 Kulmala, M., Kontkanen, J., Junninen, H., Lehtipalo, K., Manninen, H. E., Nieminen, T., Petaja, T.,
10 Sipila, M., Schobesberger, S., Rantala, P., Franchin, A., Jokinen, T., Jarvinen, E., Aijala, M.,
11 Kangasluoma, J., Hakala, J., Aalto, P. P., Paasonen, P., Mikkila, J., Vanhanen, J., Aalto, J., Hakola,
12 H., Makkonen, U., Ruuskanen, T., Mauldin, R. L., Duplissy, J., Vehkamäki, H., Back, J.,
13 Kortelainen, A., Riipinen, I., Kurten, T., Johnston, M. V., Smith, J. N., Ehn, M., Mentel, T. F.,
14 Lehtinen, K. E. J., Laaksonen, A., Kerminen, V. M., and Worsnop, D. R.: Direct Observations of
15 Atmospheric Aerosol Nucleation, *Science*, 339, 943-946, DOI 10.1126/science.1227385, 2013.
- 16 Kulmala, M., Petäjä, T., Ehn, M., Thornton, J., Sipilä, M., Worsnop, D. R., and Kerminen, V.-M.:
17 Chemistry of Atmospheric Nucleation: On the Recent Advances on Precursor Characterization and
18 Atmospheric Cluster Composition in Connection with Atmospheric New Particle Formation,
19 *Annual Review of Physical Chemistry*, 65, 21-37, doi:10.1146/annurev-physchem-040412-110014,
20 2014.
- 21 Lee, E. S., Xu, B., and Zhu, Y.: Measurements of ultrafine particles carrying different number of
22 charges in on- and near-freeway environments, *Atmospheric Environment*, 60, 564-572,
23 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.06.085>, 2012.
- 24 [Leng, C., Zhang, Q., Tao, J., Zhang, H., Zhang, D., Xu, C., Li, X., Kong, L., Cheng, T., Zhang, R.,](#)
25 [Yang, X., Chen, J., Qiao, L., Lou, S., Wang, H., and Chen, C.: Impacts of new particle formation on](#)
26 [aerosol cloud condensation nuclei \(CCN\) activity in Shanghai: case study, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14,](#)
27 [11353-11365, doi:10.5194/acp-14-11353-2014, 2014.](#)
- 28 Ling, X., Jayaratne, R., and Morawska, L.: The relationship between airborne small ions and
29 particles in urban environments, *Atmospheric Environment*, 79, 1-6, DOI
30 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.06.006, 2013.
- 31 Ling, X. A., Jayaratne, R., and Morawska, L.: Air ion concentrations in various urban outdoor
32 environments, *Atmospheric Environment*, 44, 2186-2193, DOI 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.03.026,
33 2010.
- 34 Lopez, M., Schmidt, M., Yver, C., Messenger, C., Worthy, D., Kazan, V., Ramonet, M., Bousquet,
35 P., and Ciais, P.: Seasonal variation of N₂O emissions in France inferred from atmospheric N₂O
36 and ²²²Rn measurements, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 117, D14103,
37 10.1029/2012jd017703, 2012.
- 38 [Lähde, T., Rönkkö, T., Virtanen, A., Schuck, T.J., Pirjola, L., Hämeri, K., Kulmala, M., Arnold, F.,](#)
39 [Rothe, D., and Keskinen, J.: Heavy Duty Diesel Engine Exhaust Aerosol Particle and Ion](#)
40 [Measurements, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 43, 163-168, 2009.](#)

- 1 Makkonen, R., Asmi, A., Kerminen, V. M., Boy, M., Arneth, A., Hari, P., and Kulmala, M.: Air
2 pollution control and decreasing new particle formation lead to strong climate warming, *Atmos.*
3 *Chem. Phys.*, 12, 1515-1524, 10.5194/acp-12-1515-2012, 2012.
- 4 Manninen, H. E., Petäjä, T., Asmi, E., Riipinen, N., Nieminen, T., Mikkilä, J., Hörrak, U., Mirme,
5 A., Mirme, S., Laakso, L., Kerminen, V. M., and Kulmala, M.: Long-term field measurements of
6 charged and neutral clusters using Neutral cluster and Air Ion Spectrometer (NAIS), *Boreal*
7 *Environment Research*, 14, 591-605, 2009.
- 8 Manninen, H. E., Nieminen, T., Asmi, E., Gagné, S., Häkkinen, S., Lehtipalo, K., Aalto, P., Vana,
9 M., Mirme, A., Mirme, S., Hörrak, U., Plass-Dülmer, C., Stange, G., Kiss, G., Hoffer, A., Törö, N.,
10 Moerman, M., Henzing, B., de Leeuw, G., Brinkenberg, M., Kouvarakis, G. N., Bougiatioti, A.,
11 Mihalopoulos, N., O'Dowd, C., Ceburnis, D., Arneth, A., Svenningsson, B., Swietlicki, E., Tarozzi,
12 L., Decesari, S., Facchini, M. C., Birmili, W., Sonntag, A., Wiedensohler, A., Boulon, J., Sellegri,
13 K., Laj, P., Gysel, M., Bukowiecki, N., Weingartner, E., Wehrle, G., Laaksonen, A., Hamed, A.,
14 Joutsensaari, J., Petäjä, T., Kerminen, V. M., and Kulmala, M.: EUCAARI ion spectrometer
15 measurements at 12 European sites – analysis of new particle formation events, *Atmos. Chem.*
16 *Phys.*, 10, 7907-7927, 10.5194/acp-10-7907-2010, 2010.
- 17 Merikanto, J., Spracklen, D. V., Mann, G. W., Pickering, S. J., and Carslaw, K. S.: Impact of
18 nucleation on global CCN, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 8601-8616, 10.5194/acp-9-8601-2009, 2009.
- 19 Mirme, A., Tamm, E., Mordas, G., Vana, M., Uin, J., Mirme, S., Bernotas, T., Laakso, L., Hirsikko,
20 A., and Kulmala, M.: A wide-range multi-channel air ion spectrometer, *Boreal Environment*
21 *Research*, 12, 247-264, 2007.
- 22 Mäkelä, J. M., Riihelä, M., Ukkonen, A., Jokinen, V., and Keskinen, J.: Comparison of mobility
23 equivalent diameter with Kelvin-Thomson diameter using ion mobility data, *The Journal of*
24 *Chemical Physics*, 105, 1562-1571, doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.472017>, 1996.
- 25 Nagato, K., and Nakauchi, M.: Experimental study of particle formation by ion–ion recombination,
26 *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 141, -, doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4898376>, 2014.
- 27 Nilsson, E. D., Paatero, J., and Boy, M.: Effects of air masses and synoptic weather on aerosol
28 formation in the continental boundary layer, *Tellus B*, 53, 2001.
- 29 Oberdörster, G., Oberdörster, E., and Oberdörster, J.: Nanotoxicology: An emerging discipline
30 evolving from studies of ultrafine particles, *Environ Health Persp*, 113, 823-839, Doi
31 10.1289/Ehp.7339, 2005.
- 32 Pikridas, M., Sciare, J., Freutel, F., Crumeyrolle, S., von der Weiden-Reinmüller, S. L., Borbon, A.,
33 Schwarzenboeck, A., Merkel, M., Crippa, M., Kostenidou, E., Psichoudaki, M., Hildebrandt, L.,
34 Engelhart, G. J., Petäjä, T., Prévôt, A. S. H., Drewnick, F., Baltensperger, U., Wiedensohler, A.,
35 Kulmala, M., Beekmann, M., and Pandis, S. N.: Ultrafine particle sources and in-situ formation in a
36 European megacity, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 15, 5663-5712, 10.5194/acpd-15-5663-2015,
37 2015.
- 38 Puustinen, A., Hämeri, K., Pekkanen, J., Kulmala, M., de Hartog, J., Meliefste, K., ten Brink, H.,
39 Kos, G., Katsouyanni, K., Karakatsani, A., Kotronarou, A., Kavouras, I., Meddings, C., Thomas, S.,
40 Harrison, R. M., Ayres, J. G., van der Zee, S., and Hoek, G.: Spatial variation of particle number
41 and mass over four European cities, *Atmospheric Environment*, 41, 6622-6636,
42 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.04.020>, 2007.

- 1 Retalis, A., Nastos, P., and Retalis, D.: Study of small ions concentration in the air above Athens,
2 Greece, *Atmospheric Research*, 91, 219-228, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2008.05.011>,
3 2009.
- 4 Riccobono, F., Schobesberger, S., Scott, C. E., Dommen, J., Ortega, I. K., Rondo, L., Almeida, J.,
5 Amorim, A., Bianchi, F., Breitenlechner, M., David, A., Downard, A., Dunne, E. M., Duplissy, J.,
6 Ehrhart, S., Flagan, R. C., Franchin, A., Hansel, A., Junninen, H., Kajos, M., Keskinen, H., Kupc,
7 A., Kürten, A., Kvashin, A. N., Laaksonen, A., Lehtipalo, K., Makhmutov, V., Mathot, S.,
8 Nieminen, T., Onnela, A., Petäjä, T., Praplan, A. P., Santos, F. D., Schallhart, S., Seinfeld, J. H.,
9 Sipilä, M., Spracklen, D. V., Stozhkov, Y., Stratmann, F., Tomé, A., Tsagkogeorgas, G.,
10 Vaattovaara, P., Viisanen, Y., Vrtala, A., Wagner, P. E., Weingartner, E., Wex, H., Wimmer, D.,
11 Carslaw, K. S., Curtius, J., Donahue, N. M., Kirkby, J., Kulmala, M., Worsnop, D. R., and
12 Baltensperger, U.: Oxidation Products of Biogenic Emissions Contribute to Nucleation of
13 Atmospheric Particles, *Science*, 344, 717-721, [10.1126/science.1243527](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1243527), 2014.
- 14 Rose, C., Boulon, J., Hervo, M., Holmgren, H., Asmi, E., Ramonet, M., Laj, P., and Sellegri, K.:
15 Long-term observations of cluster ion concentration, sources and sinks in clear sky conditions at the
16 high-altitude site of the Puy de Dôme, France, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 11573-11594, [10.5194/acp-13-11573-2013](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-11573-2013), 2013.
- 18 Salma, I., Borsós, T., Weidinger, T., Aalto, P., Hussein, T., Dal Maso, M., and Kulmala, M.:
19 Production, growth and properties of ultrafine atmospheric aerosol particles in an urban
20 environment, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 1339-1353, [10.5194/acp-11-1339-2011](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-1339-2011), 2011.
- 21 Sciare, J., d'Argouges, O., Zhang, Q. J., Sarda-Estève, R., Gaimoz, C., Gros, V., Beekmann, M., and
22 Sanchez, O.: Comparison between simulated and observed chemical composition of fine aerosols in
23 Paris (France) during springtime: contribution of regional versus continental emissions, *Atmos.*
24 *Chem. Phys.*, 10, 11987-12004, [10.5194/acp-10-11987-2010](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-11987-2010), 2010.
- 25 Siingh, D., Gautam, A. S., Kamra, A. K., and Komsaare, K.: Nucleation events for the formation of
26 charged aerosol particles at a tropical station — Preliminary results, *Atmospheric Research*, 132–
27 133, 239-252, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2013.05.024>, 2013.
- 28 Stanier, C. O., Khlystov, A. Y., and Pandis, S. N.: Nucleation Events During the Pittsburgh Air
29 Quality Study: Description and Relation to Key Meteorological, Gas Phase, and Aerosol Parameters
30 Special Issue of *Aerosol Science and Technology* on Findings from the Fine Particulate Matter
31 Supersites Program, *Aerosol Science and Technology*, 38, 253-264, [10.1080/02786820390229570](https://doi.org/10.1080/02786820390229570),
32 2004.
- 33 Svensmark, H., Pedersen, J. O. P., Marsh, N. D., Enghoff, M. B., and Uggerhoj, U. I.: Experimental
34 evidence for the role of ions in particle nucleation under atmospheric conditions, *P R Soc A*, 463,
35 385-396, DOI [10.1098/rspa.2006.1773](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2006.1773), 2007.
- 36 Tammet, H., Hörrak, U., and Kulmala, M.: Negatively charged nanoparticles produced by splashing
37 of water, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 357-367, [10.5194/acp-9-357-2009](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-357-2009), 2009.
- 38 Tammet, H., Komsaare, K., and Hörrak, U.: Intermediate ions in the atmosphere, *Atmospheric*
39 *Research*, 135–136, 263-273, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2012.09.009>, 2014.
- 40 Tiitta, P., Miettinen, P., Vaattovaara, P., Laaksonen, A., Joutsensaari, J., Hirsikko, A., Aalto, P., and
41 Kulmala, M.: Road-side measurements of aerosol and ion number size distributions: A comparison
42 with remote site measurements, *Boreal Environment Research*, 12, 311-321, 2007.

- 1 Tuch, T. M., Haudek, A., Müller, T., Nowak, A., Wex, H., and Wiedensohler, A.: Design and
2 performance of an automatic regenerating adsorption aerosol dryer for continuous operation at
3 monitoring sites, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 2, 417-422, 10.5194/amt-2-417-2009, 2009.
- 4 Wang, Z. B., Hu, M., Wu, Z. J., Yue, D. L., He, L. Y., Huang, X. F., Liu, X. G., and Wiedensohler,
5 A.: Long-term measurements of particle number size distributions and the relationships with air
6 mass history and source apportionment in the summer of Beijing, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 10159-
7 10170, 10.5194/acp-13-10159-2013, 2013.
- 8 Wehner, B., Siebert, H., Ansmann, A., Ditas, F., Seifert, P., Stratmann, F., Wiedensohler, A.,
9 Apituley, A., Shaw, R. A., Manninen, H. E., and Kulmala, M.: Observations of turbulence-induced
10 new particle formation in the residual layer, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 4319-4330, 10.5194/acp-10-
11 4319-2010, 2010.
- 12 Wiedensohler, A., Cheng, Y. F., Nowak, A., Wehner, B., Achtert, P., Berghof, M., Birmili, W., Wu,
13 Z. J., Hu, M., Zhu, T., Takegawa, N., Kita, K., Kondo, Y., Lou, S. R., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland,
14 F., Wahner, A., Gunthe, S. S., Rose, D., Su, H., and Pöschl, U.: Rapid aerosol particle growth and
15 increase of cloud condensation nucleus activity by secondary aerosol formation and condensation:
16 A case study for regional air pollution in northeastern China, *Journal of Geophysical Research:*
17 *Atmospheres*, 114, D00G08, 10.1029/2008jd010884, 2009.
- 18 Wiedensohler, A., Birmili, W., Nowak, A., Sonntag, A., Weinhold, K., Merkel, M., Wehner, B.,
19 Tuch, T., Pfeifer, S., Fiebig, M., Fjåraa, A. M., Asmi, E., Sellegri, K., Depuy, R., Venzac, H.,
20 Villani, P., Laj, P., Aalto, P., Ogren, J. A., Swietlicki, E., Williams, P., Roldin, P., Quincey, P.,
21 Hüglin, C., Fierz-Schmidhauser, R., Gysel, M., Weingartner, E., Riccobono, F., Santos, S.,
22 Grüning, C., Faloon, K., Beddows, D., Harrison, R., Monahan, C., Jennings, S. G., O'Dowd, C. D.,
23 Marinoni, A., Horn, H. G., Keck, L., Jiang, J., Scheckman, J., McMurry, P. H., Deng, Z., Zhao, C.
24 S., Moerman, M., Henzing, B., de Leeuw, G., Löschau, G., and Bastian, S.: Mobility particle size
25 spectrometers: harmonization of technical standards and data structure to facilitate high quality
26 long-term observations of atmospheric particle number size distributions, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 5,
27 657-685, 10.5194/amt-5-657-2012, 2012.
- 28 [Virkkula, A., Hirsikko, A., Vana, M., Aalto, P. P., Hillamo, R. and Kulmala, M.: Charged particle](#)
29 [size distributions and analysis of particle formation events at the Finnish Antarctic research station](#)
30 [Aboa. Boreal Env. Res. 12: 397–408, 2007.](#)
- 31 World Health Organization (WHO): WHO Handbook on Indoor Radon: A Public Health
32 Perspective, Geneva 978 92 4 154767 3 2009.
- 33 Wu, Z., Hu, M., Liu, S., Wehner, B., Bauer, S., Maßling, A., Wiedensohler, A., Petäjä, T., Dal
34 Maso, M., and Kulmala, M.: New particle formation in Beijing, China: Statistical analysis of a 1-
35 year data set, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 112, D09209,
36 10.1029/2006JD007406, 2007.
- 37 Wu, Z., Hu, M., Lin, P., Liu, S., Wehner, B., and Wiedensohler, A.: Particle number size
38 distribution in the urban atmosphere of Beijing, China, *Atmospheric Environment*, 42, 7967-7980,
39 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.06.022>, 2008.
- 40 Yli-Juuti, T., Nieminen, T., Hirsikko, A., Aalto, P. P., Asmi, E., Hörrak, U., Manninen, H. E.,
41 Patokoski, J., Dal Maso, M., Petäjä, T., Rinne, J., Kulmala, M., and Riipinen, I.: Growth rates of
42 nucleation mode particles in Hyytiälä during 2003–2009: variation with particle size,

1 season, data analysis method and ambient conditions, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 12865-12886,
2 10.5194/acp-11-12865-2011, 2011.

3 Yu, F., and Turco, R. P.: Ultrafine aerosol formation via ion-mediated nucleation, *Geophysical*
4 *Research Letters*, 27, 883-886, 10.1029/1999GL011151, 2000.

5 Yu, F.: Ion-mediated nucleation in the atmosphere: Key controlling parameters, implications, and
6 look-up table, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 115, D03206,
7 10.1029/2009JD012630, 2010.

8 [Yu, F., and Turco, R.P.: The role of ions in the formation and evolution of particles in aircraft](#)
9 [plumes, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 24\(15\), 1927-1930, 1997.](#)

10 Yu, F., and Turco, R. P.: The size-dependent charge fraction of sub-3-nm particles as a key
11 diagnostic of competitive nucleation mechanisms under atmospheric conditions, *Atmos. Chem.*
12 *Phys.*, 11, 9451-9463, 10.5194/acp-11-9451-2011, 2011.

13 [Yu, H., Zhou, L. Y., Dai, L., Shen, W. C., Zheng, J., Ma, Y., and Chen, M. D.: Nucleation and](#)
14 [growth of sub-3 nm particles in the polluted urban atmosphere of a megacity in China, *Atmos.*](#)
15 [*Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 15, 18653-18690, doi:10.5194/acpd-15-18653-2015, 2015.](#)

16 Zhang, Q., Stanier, C. O., Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R., Pandis, S. N., and
17 Jimenez, J. L.: Insights into the chemistry of new particle formation and growth events in Pittsburgh
18 based on aerosol mass spectrometry, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 38, 4797-4809, Doi
19 10.1021/Es035417u, 2004.

20 [Xiao, S., Wang, M. Y., Yao, L., Kulmala, M., Zhou, B., Yang, X., Chen, J. M., Wang, D. F., Fu, Q.](#)
21 [Y., Worsnop, D. R., and Wang, L.: Strong atmospheric new particle formation in winter in urban](#)
22 [Shanghai, China, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 1769-1781, doi:10.5194/acp-15-1769-2015, 2015.](#)

23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

Captions of tables:

Table 1: Statistical summary of particle number concentration (6 – 740 nm), small (0.8 – 2 nm), intermediate (2 – 7 nm) and large ion (7 – 20 nm) number concentrations in Paris for the entire campaign. Total ions represent ions in the size range of 0.8 – 42 nm in size. Concentrations were presented as particles cm^{-3} and were based on 1h means.

Table 2: Growth rates of ions (mean of positive and negative) calculated from 21 NPF event days (9 workdays and 12 weekends). The total growth rates (GR_{tot}) include both workdays and weekends. The unit for GR is nm h^{-1} . The CS calculations were based on TDMPS data from Jul 2009 and Jan/Feb 2010 (hourly means).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

Captions of figures:

Figure 1: Location of the LHVP site in Paris ([on the rooftop of Laboratoire d'Hygiène de la Ville de Paris, Paris 13 arrondissement, 11 Rue George Eastman, 75013 Paris](#)).

Figure 2: Diurnal cycle of particle number concentrations (> 6 nm) (g), and small (0.8 – 2 nm), intermediate (2 – 7 nm) and large ions (7 – 20 nm) (a – f) for workdays and weekends. The markers show the hourly median concentrations and the whiskers show 25th and 75th percentiles. The dashed lines represent mean concentrations, and the rectangles (06:00 – 09:00) indicate the morning peak of particle number.

Figure 3: Seasonal variations of particle number (d) and positive/negative ions (a – c). The bars represent median concentrations, the whiskers represent 25th and 75th percentiles, and n (+ / -) represents the number of hours included in each season (winter / spring / summer / autumn).

Figure 4: Monthly frequency (%) of NPF events, NPF non-events and undefined days. Data collected continuously from July 2009 to September 2010.

Figure 5: Diurnal cycle of aerosol particles and ions (small: 0.8 – 2 nm; intermediate: 2 – 7 nm; large: 7 – 20 nm) on strong NPF event days and NPF non-event days. The markers show the hourly median number concentrations and the whiskers show 25th and 75th percentiles (1-hour data points).

Figure 6: Examples of NPF event days observed in the LHVP site. The first row of figures represent positive ions measured using AIS (dp: 0.8 – 42 nm) with a time resolution of 3 minutes. The second row represents mean number concentrations of particle total number (> 6 nm), small (0.8 – 2 nm), intermediate (2 – 7 nm) and large ions (7 – 20 nm), at a resolution of 1 hour. Note that absolute particle number concentration is obtained by multiplying the concentrations by 10. The black rectangles indicate the NPF bursts.