

1 Variability of air ion concentrations in urban Paris

2

3 V. N. Dos Santos¹, E. Herrmann^{1,2}, H. E. Manninen¹, T. Hussein¹, J. Hakala¹, T.
4 Nieminen^{1,4}, P. P. Aalto¹, M. Merkel³, A. Wiedensohler³, M. Kulmala¹, T. Petäjä¹ and K.
5 Hämeri¹

6 [1]{University of Helsinki, Department of Physics, P.O. Box 48, FI 00560 Helsinki, Finland}

7 [2]{Laboratory of Atmospheric Chemistry, Paul Scherrer Institute, Villigen, Switzerland}

8 [3]{Leibniz Institute for Tropospheric Research, Permoserstraße 15 04318 Leipzig, Germany}

9 [4]{Helsinki Institute of Physics, P.O. Box 64, FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland}

10 Correspondences to: V. N. dos Santos (vanessa.dossantos-juusela@helsinki.fi)

11

12

13 **Abstract.** Air ion concentrations influence new particle formation and consequently the global
14 aerosol as potential cloud condensation nuclei. We aimed to evaluate air ion concentrations and
15 characteristics of new particle formation events (NPF) in the megacity Paris, France, within the
16 Megapoli project. We measured air ion number size distributions (0.8–42 nm) with an Air Ion
17 Spectrometer and fine particle number concentrations (> 6 nm) with a Twin Differential Mobility
18 Particle Sizer in an urban site of Paris between 26 June 2009 and 4 October 2010. Air ions were size
19 classified as small (0.8–2 nm), intermediate (2–7 nm) and large (7–20 nm). The median
20 concentrations of small and large ions were 670 and 680 cm⁻³ respectively (sum of positive and
21 negative polarities) whereas the median concentration of intermediate ions was only 20 cm⁻³, as
22 these ions were mostly present during new particle formation bursts, i.e. when gas-to-particle
23 conversion produced fresh aerosol particles from gas phase precursors. During peaks in traffic-
24 related particle number, the concentrations of small and intermediate ions decreased whereas the
25 concentrations of large ions increased. Seasonal variations affected the ion population differently,
26 with respect to their size and polarity. NPF was observed in 13% of the days, being most frequent in
27 spring and late summer (April, May, July and August). The results also suggest that NPF was
28 favoured on the weekends in comparison to workdays, likely due to the lower levels of
29 condensation sinks in the mornings of weekends (CS weekdays 09:00: $18 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$; CS weekend
30 09:00: $8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$). The median growth rates (GR) of ions during the NPF events varied between

1 3–7 nm h⁻¹, increasing with the ion size and being higher on workdays than on weekends for
2 intermediate and large ions. The median GR of small ions on the other hand were rather similar on
3 workdays and weekends. In general, NPF bursts changed the diurnal cycle of particle number,
4 intermediate and large ions by causing an extra peak between 09:00 and 14:00. On average, during
5 the NPF bursts the concentrations of intermediate ions were 8.5–10 times higher than on NPF non-
6 event days, depending on the polarity, and the concentrations of large ions and particles were 1.5–
7 1.8 and 1.2 times higher, respectively. Because the median concentrations of intermediate ions were
8 considerably higher on NPF event days in comparison to NPF non-event days, the results indicate
9 that intermediate ion concentrations could be used as an indication for NPF in Paris. The results
10 suggest that NPF was a source of ions and aerosol particles in Paris and therefore contributed to
11 both air quality degradation and climatic effects, especially in the spring and summer.

12

13 **1 Introduction**

14 In the last decade, with the threat of climate change, a growing number of researchers have focused
15 on understanding the association between aerosol particles and the climate. Aerosol particles are
16 either directly emitted into the atmosphere (primary particles) or formed in the atmosphere
17 (secondary particles). Freshly formed secondary aerosol particles may grow within a day or two up
18 to sizes where they can act as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) and affect the radiation budget of
19 the Earth (Makkonen et al., 2012; Kerminen et al., 2012; Wiedensohler et al., 2009). Merikanto et
20 al. (2009) estimated that 45% of the global tropospheric CCN at 0.2% super saturation are
21 originated from secondary particle formation. In addition to the climatic effects, the formation and
22 growth of secondary aerosol particles contributes to the deterioration of the air quality as aerosol
23 particles are associated to adverse health effects (Oberdörster et al., 2005). Despite its importance
24 the mechanisms underlying secondary new particle formation are not yet fully understood (see
25 Kulmala et al., 2014).

26 In the atmosphere, new particle formation (NPF) occurs in different steps including formation of
27 low volatile vapours, clustering of vapour molecules and subsequent growth (see Kulmala et al.,
28 2014). The presence of air ions can facilitate the formation and growth of new particles by aiding the
29 stabilization of the molecular clusters during the initial stages of nucleation (so called ion-induced
30 nucleation) (e.g. Yu and Turco, 2000). The magnitude of the contribution of ions to atmospheric
31 NPF however is still under investigation. On one hand, several studies reported a rather low
32 contribution of ion-induced nucleation to the total NPF events, 10 – 30% (Hirsikko et al., 2011 and
33 references therein), with even lower values observed in urban areas, 0.2 – 1.3% (Gagné et al., 2012;

1 Iida et al., 2006; Herrmann et al., 2014). On the other hand, some models and chamber studies
2 suggest that ion-mediated nucleation (which considers ion-ion recombination) may be a significant
3 path for NPF (Yu and Turco, 2011; Yu, 2010; Svensmark et al., 2007; Nagato and Nakauchi, 2014).
4 Chamber studies in the CLOUD project have shown that in low temperatures and at low precursor
5 species concentrations ion-induced nucleation can have a significant contribution to the total
6 nucleation rates (Kirkby et al., 2011; Riccobono et al., 2014). Based on earlier urban studies by
7 Gagné et al. (2012), Iida et al. (2006) and Herrmann et al. (2014), we assume that ions and charged
8 particles detected in Paris are the naturally charged fraction of total aerosol particles.

9 In this study, the air ions were mobility-classified as small or cluster ions ($3.2 - 0.5 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$),
10 intermediate ($0.5 - 0.034 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$) and large ions ($0.034 - 0.0042 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$), which correspond
11 to mobility diameters of 0.8 – 2 nm, 2 – 7 nm and 7 – 20 nm, respectively. Small ions are always
12 present in the air and are responsible for the atmospheric electrical conductivity (e.g. Harrison and
13 Carslaw, 2003; Hirsikko et al., 2011). They are mainly formed from ionizing radiation of decaying
14 radon, gamma radiation and galactic cosmic radiation. Thunderstorms, water splashing, and rain
15 and snow storms also contribute to the formation of air ions in the atmosphere (Virkkula et al.,
16 2007; D'Alessandro, 2009; Tammet et al., 2009; Kolarž et al., 2012). Increased small ion
17 concentrations have been observed in the vicinity of power lines (Jayaratne et al., 2008). Small ion
18 emissions in fuel burning processes in engines or via nucleation from exhaust gas have been studied
19 theoretically and experimentally (e.g. Yu and Turco, 1997; Haverkamp et al., 2004; Gopalakrishnan
20 et al., 2005; Lähde et al., 2009; Jayatane et al., 2010; Ling et al., 2013). The most important sinks
21 for ions are ion-ion recombination to form neutral particles, and attachment to pre-existing aerosol
22 particles (Hoppel, 1985; Hoppel and Frick, 1986).).

23 Urban areas are important sources for global aerosol and CCN load because they emit both primary
24 particles and precursors for secondary particle formation. Nevertheless, the number of studies
25 focusing on the behaviour of air ions and particularly its association to NPF in urban areas around
26 the world is still somewhat limited (e.g. Tiitta et al., 2007; Hirsikko et al., 2007b; Retalis et al.,
27 2009; Tammet et al., 2014; Gagné et al., 2012; Herrmann et al., 2014; Backman et al., 2012; Crilley
28 et al., 2014; Jayaratne et al., 2010, 2014; Ling et al., 2013; Ling et al., 2010; Siingh et al., 2013; Lee
29 et al., 2012; Iida et al., 2006; Iida et al., 2008; Pikridas et al., 2015), and actually only some of them
30 measured ion size distributions. The main aim of this study was to determine the frequency and
31 seasonal variations of NPF events in a megacity based on ion number size distribution
32 measurements. Our research was developed within the framework of the project: Megacities:
33 Emissions, urban, regional and Global Atmospheric Pollution and climate effects, and Integrated

1 tools for assessment and mitigation (MEGAPOLI), which aimed to improve the understanding of
2 the impacts of megacities on the climate. In this context, Paris, one of the largest cities in Europe,
3 was chosen as case study. Although some publications on aerosol particles in Paris already exist
4 (e.g. Crippa et al., 2013; Freutel et al., 2013; Freney et al., 2013; Sciare et al., 2010 and Pikridas et
5 al., 2015), only Pikridas et al. (2015) considered air ion number size distributions (> 0.8 nm), which
6 allows the evaluation of ion number concentrations in early stages of NPF. Pikridas et al. (2015)
7 provided valuable information on the spatial variation of NPF events and particle number
8 concentrations as well as on factors affecting NPF in Paris and surrounding areas. However, their
9 study was based on rather short campaigns (about two months of data) and air ion number size
10 distributions were used only to classify NPF events and to determine their duration and frequency.
11 Our study complements Pikridas et al. (2015) by providing detailed information on the behaviour of
12 air ion concentrations of both polarities in three different size ranges, and particle number
13 concentrations in Paris for over one year.

14 **2 Materials and Methods**

15 We measured air ion size distributions (0.8 – 42 nm) and aerosol particle number (6 – 740 nm) at an
16 urban background site in Paris from 26 June 2009 to 04 October 2010, using an Air Ion
17 Spectrometer (AIS), and a combination of a Twin Differential Mobility Particle Sizer (TDMPMS) and
18 condensation particle counter (CPC). In addition to seasonal variations and frequency of NPF
19 events, we also analysed seasonal variations and diurnal cycles of air ions and aerosol particles on
20 workdays and weekends, and on NPF event and NPF non-event days. Furthermore, we estimated
21 the average condensation sinks, and the growth rates of ions on workdays and weekends, and
22 provided a statistical summary of air ions and aerosol particle number concentrations in Paris.

23 **2.1 Description of the site**

24 Paris is a megacity with 12.2 million inhabitants in its urban area (2.2 million in the centre
25 alone)(INSEE, 2010). Our measurements of air ion size distributions and particle total number
26 concentrations were located at the Laboratoire d' Hygiène de la Ville de Paris building (LHVP) on
27 13th Arrondissement (latitude 48.83°; longitude 2.36°) in Paris (Fig.1), from July 2009 to October
28 2010. Particle number size distributions were measured from a container on the ground of the
29 LHVP building whereas air ion size distributions were measured on top of the building (about 15 m
30 high). LHVP is located about 400 m away from busy intersections and is considered an urban
31 background site (Sciare et al., 2010; Favez et al., 2007). The site was surrounded by a small street, a
32 park and restaurants (Freutel et al., 2013). According to Crippa et al. (2013), important

1 anthropogenic sources of particles in the site are traffic, cooking (from restaurants around noon and
2 evenings), and biomass burning in general, whereas an important natural source is secondary
3 particle formation.

4 *Fig.1 here*

5 **2.2 Description of the instruments**

6 **2.2.1 Air ion number size distributions**

7 We used an Air Ion Spectrometer (AIS, Airel Ltd.) (Mirme et al., 2007) to measure the size
8 distributions of naturally charged particles and ions of both polarities simultaneously during 26 June
9 2009 – 04 October 2010 in Paris, France. The AIS comprises of two identical Differential Mobility
10 Analysers (DMA), one for each polarity. Particle size is determined based on the electrical mobility
11 of the particle in the electric field, and particle number concentration is calculated based on the
12 intensity of the currents measured by the electrometers at an outer cylinder of the DMA. The AIS
13 measures electrical mobilities varying from 3.2 to 0.0013 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹, which is equivalent to
14 mobility diameters of 0.8 – 42 nm (Mäkelä et al., 2006).

15 The main sampling line of the AIS was 0.6 m long (inner diameter: 35 mm) with a total inlet flow
16 rate of 60 l min⁻¹ which was equally divided between both DMAs. A metallic grid was used in front
17 of the inlet to prevent for instance large dust particles to enter the system. The sheath air flow of the
18 DMAs was cleaned using corona chargers and electrical filters, and reused in a closed loop at 60 l
19 min⁻¹ (Gagné et al., 2011). The instrumental setup and calibration are described in more details by
20 Mirme et al. (2007) and Asmi et al. (2009), respectively. The accuracy of the particle number
21 concentration of the AIS was estimated to be 10%, which was mainly due to flow rate uncertainties
22 (Mirme et al., 2007). During the campaign the accumulating air pollution inside the instrument
23 causes decreasing flow rates between the maintenance periods. This may further increase the
24 uncertainty of measured particle size and number especially at the larger end of the measured
25 spectra.

26 **2.2.2 Number size distributions and total concentrations of fine aerosol particles**

27 We used a Twin Differential Mobility Particle Sizer (TDMPS) to measure the particle number size
28 distribution (diameter 3 – 740 nm) during July 2009. The instrument comprised of a neutralizer, two
29 Hauke DMAs (lengths: 110 mm and 280 mm; both with inner and outer diameters of 50 mm and 67
30 mm, respectively) and two condensation particle counters (CPC), models TSI 3025A (d₅₀: 3 nm,

1 accuracy $\pm 10\%$ at 10^5 cm^{-3}) and TSI 3010 (d_{50} : 10 nm, accuracy $\pm 10\%$ at 10^4 cm^{-3}). The first DMA
2 classified particles from 3 – 72 nm, while the second DMA classified particles from 25 – 740 nm.
3 The sampling and sheath flow rates were 2 l min^{-1} and 20 l min^{-1} respectively for the first DMA,
4 and 0.5 l min^{-1} and 5 l min^{-1} for the second DMA. The sampled air was dried using an automated
5 diffusion dryer (Tuch et al., 2009). According to Wiedensohler et al. (2012) the drier is estimated to
6 cause about 28% and 8% of losses for particles of 3 and 10 nm, respectively, for the given flow rate
7 through the drier. The TDMPS data was averaged per hour.

8 We also measured the total number concentration of fine aerosol particles by using a condensation
9 particle counter (CPC, TSI 3772, dp_{50} : 6 nm, accuracy $\pm 10\%$ at 10^4 cm^{-3} and $\pm 20\%$ at $5 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$)
10 during 11 August 2009 – 4 October 2010. In order to reduce the cut-off diameter from typical 10
11 nm to 6 nm, the condenser of the CPC was operated at $10 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ instead of the common operational
12 temperature of $22 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. The sampled air was dried using a Nafion dryer.

13 **2.3 Data treatment and definitions**

14 Air ion data containing negative concentrations (positive ions: 0.64% of all data; negative ions:
15 1.18%), concentrations measured during unstable flow rates (optimum range: $1000 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \pm 8\%$)
16 and very noisy data were considered invalid. A three-point median filter was applied to the ion
17 concentrations to reduce noise as suggested by Kulmala et al. (2012). The air ions were mobility-
18 classified as small or cluster ions ($3.2 - 0.5 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$), intermediate ($0.5 - 0.034 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) and
19 large ions ($0.034 - 0.0042 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$), which correspond to mobility diameters of 0.8 – 2 nm, 2 – 7
20 nm and 7 – 20 nm, respectively. In these size ranges charged particles are assumed to be single
21 charged. In this study, we apply the mobility diameter, i.e. Millikan diameter, when converting the
22 measured mobility to particle diameter (see Mäkelä et al., 1996).

23 The particle total number concentrations for the entire campaign were obtained by combining the
24 total concentrations measured by the TDMPS (calculated from 6 nm to 740 nm, 1h means, period:
25 01 – 31 July 2009) with the concentrations measured by the CPC ($> 6 \text{ nm}$, 1h means, period: 11
26 August 2009 – 04 October 2010). Total concentrations below 100 cm^{-3} were considered invalid as
27 these values are unrealistic for urban areas.

28 To analyse the behaviour of the ion population during NPF we plotted air ion size distributions as a
29 function of time, from 27 June 2009 to 03 October 2010. Based on the plots we classified the days
30 into NPF events, NPF non-events or undefined days according to the procedure described by
31 Hirsikko et al. (2007a). NPF event days referred to days where new particle formation and growth

1 was clearly observed for several hours; NPF non-event days comprised days of no particle
2 formation, and undefined days referred to days in which the occurrence of NPF was unclear.

3 The growth rates (GR) of ions were calculated based on the maximum-concentration method
4 described in Kulmala et al. (2012): (1) we manually selected the time of peak concentrations during
5 NPF for each particle size range, (2) applied a Gaussian fit to the manually selected peak to
6 determine the time of maximum concentration of that particle size range, and (3) calculated the GR
7 by linear regression (least-squares fit) to the data points of particle size versus time of maximum
8 concentration.

9 Condensation sink (CS) was calculated based on the equations described by Dal Maso et al. (2005)
10 using dry particle number size distributions. The approach estimates the loss rate of the condensable
11 vapours during the change from the gas-to-particle phase (Kulmala et al., 2001). A high CS
12 indicates the presence of large number of aerosol particles acting as both condensing nuclei for
13 vapours and coagulation surfaces for particles.

14 Months were classified into seasons as follows: winter: December, January and February; spring:
15 March, April and May; summer: June, July and August; autumn: September, October and
16 November. The air ion data was originally averaged every 3-min, however, as the particle number
17 data from the TDMPS was provided as hourly means, to facilitate comparison the air ion data and
18 the particle number concentration data from the CPC were also presented as hourly means. The only
19 exceptions were Figure 6 (a, b, c, d) and Appendix B, where the air ion data was shown in the
20 original format (3-min means). Moreover, all the data in this study was presented as UTC (Paris
21 local time: UTC+1h in the winter, and UTC+2h in the summer), and when calculating
22 concentrations on workdays and weekends, national holidays were classified as weekends.

23 **3 Results and discussion**

24 **3.1 Concentrations of ions and particles at the LHVP site**

25 The median of the daily means, and the median of the hourly means of particle number
26 concentration in the LHVP were $12\,900\text{ cm}^{-3}$ (data not shown) and $12\,500\text{ cm}^{-3}$ (Table 1),
27 respectively. Aalto et al. (2005) and Puustinen et al. (2007) observed daily medians of particle
28 number concentrations ranging from $9\,000 - 38\,500\text{ cm}^{-3}$ (both studies combined) in urban
29 background sites of European cities, including Augsburg, Stockholm, Helsinki, Amsterdam,
30 Birmingham, Athens, Barcelona and Rome. The mean particle number concentrations in urban and
31 suburban areas of São Paulo, Nanjing and Beijing were $23\,500\text{ cm}^{-3}$, $23\,000\text{ cm}^{-3}$ and $23\,900 - 32$
32 800 cm^{-3} (combined studies), respectively (Backman et al., 2012; Herrmann et al., 2014; Wu et al.,

1 2008; Wang et al., 2013). Thus, particle number concentrations in Paris (LHVP) were in range with
2 the daily medians of other European cities and were lower roughly by a factor of two (mean: 13 700
3 cm^{-3}) compared to busy cities of other continents. Pikridas et al. (2015) evaluated mean particle
4 number concentrations during the summer and winter in the LHVP site and reported similar
5 concentration for particles of 10 – 500 nm (mean of both seasons: 13 500 cm^{-3}). In general, particle
6 number concentrations tend to vary considerably among cities due to differences in meteorology,
7 spatial and temporal distribution of local sources, emission-cleaning technologies and air quality
8 regulations.

9 *Table 1 here*

10 The mean number concentrations of small ions at the LHVP site were 330 cm^{-3} and 390 cm^{-3} for
11 positive and negative polarities, and are close to the lower range reported in the review by Hirsikko
12 et al. (2011) for sites around the world, 200 – 2500 cm^{-3} . Shortly after their formation the small ions
13 are removed from the air by ion-recombination and by coagulation with larger particles. Thus in
14 polluted environments, where the load of aerosol particles is high (leading to high condensation
15 sink), the concentrations of small ions are often lower than in cleaner environments (Hirsikko et al.,
16 2011 and references therein; Tiitta et al., 2007; Hirsikko et al., 2007b).

17 In Nanjing, China, the total concentration of small ions, aerosol particles and CS were 840 cm^{-3}
18 (sum of polarities), 23 000 cm^{-3} and $5.4 \times 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively (Herrmann et al., 2014). Considering
19 only the period of July 2009 and 15 Jan/15 Feb 2010, when CS calculations were possible, the mean
20 small ion concentrations, particle number and CS in LHVP were 800 cm^{-3} , 14 460 cm^{-3} and
21 $1.43 \times 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively. The small ion concentrations in Nanjing were similar to that of the
22 LHVP despite the considerably higher particle total number and CS in Nanjing. The large particle
23 surface area acting as coagulation and condensation sinks in Nanjing should result in lower
24 concentrations of small ions in comparison to LHVP. Since this was not observed, the results
25 suggest that Nanjing may have a higher production rate of small ions than Paris. Other studies
26 around the world reported mean and median concentrations of small ions to be in the same range
27 with those observed in LHVP, varying from 183 – 860 cm^{-3} for positive and 151 – 720 cm^{-3} for
28 negative ions near traffic and in urban background of cities such as Athens (Greece), Kuopio
29 (Finland), Helsinki (Finland) and Brisbane (Australia) (Retalis et al., 2009; Tiitta et al., 2007;
30 Hirsikko et al., 2007b; Ling et al., 2013).

31 The concentrations of intermediate ions were in general very low. Intermediate ions were mostly
32 present on NPF event days in comparison to NPF non-event days (section 3.5). The mean
33 concentrations of intermediate ions during the whole campaign were 20 – 30 cm^{-3} per polarity, and

1 were similar to the annual mean observed by Tammet et al. (2014) in the city of Tartu, Estonia, 35 –
2 40 cm⁻³ (per polarity), but roughly half of that observed by Tiitta et al. (2007) (40 – 70 cm⁻³ per
3 polarity) near a road in Kuopio, Finland. One explanation for the higher concentrations in Kuopio
4 could be the proximity of the road, as some studies (Jayaratne et al., 2010; Ling et al., 2013; Ling et
5 al., 2010; Lee et al., 2012) reported ion concentrations near traffic to be higher than in sites away
6 from traffic.

7 The median concentrations of positive and negative large ions were 410 cm³ and 270 cm³,
8 respectively. In Helsinki, Hirsikko et al. (2007b) reported weekday median concentrations of large
9 ions (10 – 40 nm) of 510 and 540 cm⁻³ for positive and negative polarities, respectively. When the
10 hourly data was segregated into workdays and weekends the weekday concentrations of large ions
11 (10 – 40 nm) were 1 220 cm⁻³ and 850 cm⁻³, for positive and negative polarity, thus considerably
12 higher than the observations in Helsinki. As the concentrations of large ions are influenced by
13 traffic related aerosols (Hirsikko et al., 2007b; Tiitta et al., 2007), the difference in large ion
14 concentrations could be due to a larger number of sources of aerosol particles, i.e. vehicle
15 emissions, in LHVP surroundings in comparison to Helsinki.

16 In July 2009, 41% of the total particles in the size range of 3 – 23 nm comprised of naturally
17 charged particles (sum of positive and negative polarities). The month-to-month median
18 concentrations of ions from 0.8 to 42 nm varied between 1000 cm⁻³ – 2000 cm⁻³ per polarity (data
19 not shown).

20

21 Appendix A shows correlations between particle number and ions. Particle number correlated the
22 highest with large ions of both polarities ($r = 0.58 - 0.61$, $p < 0.01$) as expected, since it is very
23 likely that these aerosol particles were in charge balance most of the time (aerosol particles quickly
24 attach to ions forming large ions). Weak or no correlation was found between particle number and
25 small or intermediate ions ($r < |0.18|$, $p < 0.01$). Accumulation mode aerosol particles that have
26 large surface area (thus creating high condensation sinks) and small ions are often negatively
27 associated as the first act as sink for the latter. Aerosol particles in urban areas are mainly originated
28 from human activities such as traffic, while small ions are originated from natural ionizing
29 radiation, hence the weak correlation.

1 **3.2 Diurnal cycles and number size distributions of ions**

2 Figure 2 shows the diurnal variations of ions and particle number concentrations. On workdays,
3 particle number concentrations peaked in the morning (07:00 – 08:00) and in the evening (19:00 –
4 20:00) (Fig 2g) reflecting traffic rush hours. This pattern was consistent with the findings of
5 Pikridas et al. (2015) in Paris during summer and winter. The evening peak was fairly constant
6 regardless of the day, whereas the morning peak on workdays was about 50 – 60% higher than on
7 the weekends, when traffic intensity is generally lower. The constant presence of an evening peak
8 suggests constant nocturnal activities in the area, e.g. traffic and/or cooking emissions from
9 restaurants as suggested by Freutel et al. (2013). A decrease in boundary layer mixing height also
10 plays a role in accumulating air pollutants in the evening due to poor dilution, as suggested by
11 Pikridas et al. (2015). Cimini et al. (2013) shows that the mixing height of the boundary layer in 15
12 August 2011 in SIRTAs, a site 20 km away from LHVP, increased at 08:00 and decreased at 18:00
13 (UTC), roughly the time when the evening peak begins.

14 Large ions had maximum median concentrations of 400 – 600 cm⁻³ per polarity and a diurnal cycle
15 very similar to that of particle number (Fig 2 e – f), undergoing an abrupt increase from the night to
16 the morning rush hours and from weekends to workdays. As traffic produces aerosol particles, the
17 concentrations of large ions are likely resulting from the coagulation between neutral aerosol
18 particles and small or intermediate ions. Because busy intersections were located about 400 m
19 away, it is possible that particulate traffic emissions from the intersections enhanced concentrations
20 of large ions in LHVP. Note that as the instruments measuring particle number and ions overlap
21 from 6 – 20 nm, some of the intermediate and all of the large ions were also detected by the CPC. If
22 we compare the diurnal cycle of particle number concentrations to that of large ions (sum of
23 polarities), the latter comprised about 5.5% of the total particle number concentrations (in 6- 20 nm
24 size range) in the morning of workdays.

25 Small ion number concentrations of both polarities peaked early in the morning (Fig. 2 a – b) and
26 decreased during the day in agreement with some studies reviewed by Hirsikko et al. (2011). The
27 higher concentrations on early mornings may be attributed to both the accumulation of ionizing
28 radiation from radon decay, as the boundary layer mixing height is usually lower before sunrise
29 (Hirsikko et al., 2011), and the lower condensation sinks early in the mornings (appendix C), which
30 decrease the removal rate of small ions.

31 *Fig. 2 here*

1 On workdays, the peak median number concentrations of small ion were between 380 cm^{-3} – 430
2 cm^{-3} per polarity. On weekends, the number concentrations of small ions were slightly higher (400
3 cm^{-3} – 490 cm^{-3}) and the elevated concentrations of positive small ions lasted a few hours longer than on
4 workdays, indicating that the production rate of small ions (i.e. from radon radiation) was similar
5 throughout the week but the removal rates were lower on weekends (lower level of coagulation
6 sink). The simultaneous decrease in small ion concentrations and increase in large ion
7 concentrations and particle number (Fig. 2 e – g) suggests that part of the small ion population was
8 lost by attachment to aerosol particles as observed in previous studies (Hirsikko et al., 2007b;
9 Jayaratne et al., 2014). The concentrations of positive small ions in the mornings of workdays
10 (07:00) were about 26% lower in comparison to the mornings of weekends (07:00) indicating that
11 this fraction may have been lost by coagulation to pre-existing aerosol particles.

12 The median number concentrations of intermediate ions (Fig. 2 c – d) were low and were
13 considerably different from the mean indicating a large variability. On workdays, the median
14 concentrations of positive intermediate ions showed two peaks (04:00 – 05:00 and 12:00 – 13:00),
15 while in the weekends only one shallow peak was observed. The decrease in concentrations of
16 intermediate ions in the mornings of workdays between 06:00 and 08:00 coincided with the peak in
17 particle number and CS (Fig. 2 and appendix C), indicating that coagulation sinks from traffic
18 emissions scavenged the intermediate ions. On weekends, with the decrease in the number of
19 aerosol particles, the number concentrations of intermediate ions remained elevated for several
20 hours. Thus, NPF along with the decrease of particle number concentrations (condensation sinks) in
21 the afternoon enhanced concentrations of intermediate ions around 12:00 – 13:00. As intermediate
22 ions are directly associated to NPF, the results indicate that NPF was more likely to occur on
23 weekends than on workdays in LHVP. Negative intermediate ions showed a similar diurnal cycle as
24 the positive intermediate ions, only with lower concentrations. Despite the effects of traffic on the
25 ion number concentrations, traffic intensity did not seem to influence the median ion size
26 distribution (appendix B) in agreement with Tiitta et al. (2007).

27 Studies near busy roads (10 – 100 m away) in Finland reported that traffic emissions caused a
28 decrease in small ion concentrations and an increase in both intermediate and large ions (Hirsikko et
29 al., 2007b; Tiitta et al., 2007) which agrees with our results for small and large ions but disagree for
30 intermediate ions. In Helsinki, the weekday diurnal peak concentrations of small, intermediate and
31 large ions were roughly $750 - 900 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $80 - 90 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and $950 - 1000 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, respectively per polarity.
32 The number concentrations were thus higher in Helsinki despite similar CS range between the sites
33 (Helsinki: $1 - 50 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$, LHVP: $1.7 - 51 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$). The discrepancy is likely caused by higher

1 radon decay and gamma radiation emissions rates from soils in Helsinki. The World Health
2 Organization estimates higher levels of indoor radon emissions in Finland (120 Bq m^{-3}) than in
3 several other European countries, including France (89 Bq m^{-3}) (WHO, 2009). In addition to
4 differences in radon emission rates, the size-classification of intermediate and large ions in Hirsikko
5 et al. (2007b) (3 – 10 nm and 10 – 40 nm, respectively) was different than our classification, which
6 could explain the larger concentrations. Also the study in Helsinki was developed in the summer
7 while ours represents an average of all seasons.

8 **3.3 Seasonal variations of ions and particles**

9 The number concentrations of small ions of both polarities (Fig 3a) were the highest in the summer
10 and autumn (maxima between July and September, depending on the polarity, appendix D) and
11 lowest in the spring. Concentrations in January and February were also relatively high. Lopez et al.
12 (2012) measured concentrations of ^{222}Rn for eight years in Gif-sur-Yvette, 20 km away from the
13 LHVP site, and reported the highest radon concentrations in autumn and the lowest in the
14 summer/spring. As radon and gamma radiations are major sources of small ions in continental areas
15 (Hirsikko et al., 2011), the seasonality of small ions is partially associated to the seasonality of
16 radon exhalation, which depends for instance on boundary layer mixing height, presence of fog,
17 snow coverage and soil humidity (Lopez et al., 2012). Despite the differences in altitude, Rose et al.
18 (2013) also observed the lowest concentrations of small ions in spring in Puy de Dôme, a mountain
19 in central France (1465 m above sea level). In Athens, the highest concentrations of small ions were
20 observed in the summer (Retalis et al., 2009). As radon emissions depend on several factors,
21 concentrations of small ions are expected to vary between sites. In addition to radon concentrations,
22 in spring the higher frequency of NPF may also have increased the scavenging of small ions.

23 *Fig. 3 here*

24 The median number concentrations of positive intermediate ions (Fig. 3b) varied with season
25 showing the highest median number concentrations in spring, whereas the median number
26 concentrations of the negative intermediate ions were lower ($< 10 \text{ cm}^{-3}$) and more stable throughout
27 the year. For positive intermediate ions, the highest monthly median concentrations were observed
28 in February, March and May (peak), while for the negative polarity, the highest were observed in
29 February, March (peak) and November (appendix D). The concentrations of positive intermediate
30 ions were highly variable in July (appendix D), with 75th percentile reaching nearly 200 cm^{-3} .
31 Because intermediate ions are mostly observed during NPF events (Tammet et al., 2014) and these
32 events have been observed to occur more often in the spring/summer around Europe (Manninen et

1 al., 2010), high number concentrations of intermediate ions during these seasons were expected. In
2 general, the results suggest that positive intermediate ions were more affected by seasonality than
3 the negative intermediate ions.

4 The number concentrations of positive large ions were also fairly stable throughout the seasons
5 (between 400 – 450 cm⁻³), whereas the number concentrations of negative large ions were less
6 stable (between 230 cm⁻³ and 310 cm⁻³) showing lowest in the summer and highest in the winter and
7 autumn (Fig. 3c), resembling the seasonal variations of particle number (Fig. 3d). Aalto et al. (2005)
8 showed that in several European cities particle number concentrations were highest in the winter
9 and lowest in the summer, in agreement with our study. Pikridas et al. (2015), also reported this
10 pattern for Paris and surrounding areas. The lower mixing height of the boundary layer and the need
11 for heating are possible drivers for the increase in particle number concentrations in the winter.

12 **3.4 Frequency of NPF events**

13 To analyse new particle formation events we classified days into NPF event, NPF non-event and
14 undefined as described in Hirsikko et al. (2007a). The monthly frequency of NPF events in LHVP is
15 shown in Fig. 4 as percentage of NPF events per number of days. On average, NPF events occurred
16 between February and October, being most frequent in the spring and summer (highest in May and
17 July) and least frequent in the winter. Undefined and NPF non-event days on the other hand
18 occurred throughout the year. Manninen et al. (2010) analysed NPF based on ion concentrations in
19 twelve European sites and reported that several sites showed highest frequency of NPF event days
20 in spring/summer and minimum in the winter, in agreement with our study. Studies from urban
21 areas such as Helsinki, Budapest, Beijing and Pittsburgh also reported high incidence of NPF in
22 spring (Salma et al., 2011; Hussein et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2007; Stanier et al., 2004). Pikridas et al.
23 (2015) also observed considerably higher frequency of NPF events in the summer than in the winter
24 in Paris and in two surrounding suburban sites.

25 The higher incidence of solar radiation favours photochemical reactions in the atmosphere in spring
26 and summer which may consequently increase the frequency of NPF, as observed by Pikridas et al.
27 (2015). In addition to meteorological conditions, the air in LHVP and in several other sites in
28 Europe is cleaner in the summer than in the winter (Aalto et al., 2005; Pikridas et al., 2015). Thus,
29 NPF was likely favoured by fewer aerosol particles acting as condensation sinks (Salma et al.,
30 2011; Wu et al., 2007; Stanier et al., 2004; Pikridas et al., 2015) in the summer.

31 In our study air ions were monitored for a total of 442 days, out of which 57 days were NPF events
32 (about 13%), 94 were undefined days and 291 were NPF non-event days. In non-urban

1 environments, NPF was observed to occur somewhere between 21 and 57% of the days depending
2 on the site (Manninen et al., 2010). In urban areas, however, NPF is expected to be less frequent
3 due to the higher number of condensation sinks competing for condensing vapours (Hussein et al.,
4 2008). In cities such as Nanjing (China), São Paulo (Brazil), Helsinki (Finland), Shanghai (China),
5 Pune and Kanpur (India), Birmingham (UK) and Budapest (Hungary) the frequency of NPF events
6 was between 5 – 27% (Herrmann et al., 2014; Backman et al., 2012; Hussein et al., 2008; Du et al.,
7 2012; Leng et al., 2014; Xiao et al., 2015; Kanawade et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2004; Salma et al.,
8 2011) which is within range of the observations in Paris (13%). However, NPF frequencies as high
9 as 40 – 55% were observed in Beijing (China), Pittsburgh (USA), Brisbane (Australia), and Nanjing
10 (Wu et al., 2007, 2008; Stanier et al., 2004; Crilley et al., 2014; Yu et al., 2015), although not all the
11 studies comprised an entire year of measurements.

12 *Fig. 4 here*

13 **3.5 Diurnal cycle of ions during new particle formation**

14 Figure 5 shows the differences in diurnal cycles of ions and particles on NPF events and NPF non-
15 event days. In this section, only strong NPF events were considered (21 NPF event days). On NPF
16 event days, a clear peak was observed between 09:00 and 11:00 (UTC) for intermediate ions and at
17 12:00 – 14:00 for large ions and particle number, whereas on NPF non-event days these “noon”
18 peaks were completely absent. As NPF is often observed at noon, an increase in concentrations
19 around this time was expected. The time-lag in peak concentrations between intermediate and large
20 ions was likely caused by growth of intermediate ions. During NPF, the highest increase in
21 concentrations occurred for intermediate ions, with median maxima of $50 - 80 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ (10:00 –
22 11:00), about 8.5 – 10 times higher than on the same hour of NPF non-event days, depending on the
23 polarity. Because the median concentrations of intermediate ions were very low on NPF non-event
24 days ($< 12 \text{ cm}^{-3}$), the results suggest that intermediate ion concentrations may be used as indicator
25 for NPF events in Paris. The median particle number and large ion concentrations increased 1.2
26 times (12:00) and 1.5 – 1.8 times (12:00 – 13:00), respectively, on NPF event days in comparison to
27 the same hour on NPF non-event days. Thus, despite its relatively low frequency (13%), NPF was
28 an important source for intermediate ions, large ions and particles in Paris around noon. In cities
29 such as Pittsburgh, USA, where the frequency of NPF events is high (50%) (Stanier et al., 2004),
30 particle number concentrations roughly doubled during NPF bursts ($45\,000 \text{ cm}^{-3}$) in comparison to
31 the morning rush hours of workdays ($23\,000 \text{ cm}^{-3}$). The authors estimate that the particle number
32 daily averages on NPF event days was about 40% higher than on NPF non-event days. In LHVP,

1 the mean of the daily mean concentrations on event days ($11\,744\text{ cm}^{-3}$) was in fact lower than on
2 NPF non-event days ($14\,259\text{ cm}^{-3}$) probably due to the lower concentrations of aerosol particles in
3 the mornings of NPF event days.

4 As mentioned, in the morning of event days the concentrations of large ions and especially aerosol
5 particles (Fig. 5 e – g) were lower than on NPF non-event days, which may have favoured NPF.
6 This result is consistent with the idea that NPF can be favoured on weekends due to the lower
7 condensation sink. The cleaner atmospheric conditions illustrated in Fig. 5 could have been caused
8 for instance by enhanced turbulent vertical mixing on NPF days (Nilsson et al., 2001). According to
9 Wehner et al. (2010) and Nilsson et al. (2001) a higher vertical mixing could favour NPF not only
10 by increasing the dilution of condensation sinks in the atmosphere, but also by mixing condensable
11 vapours with cooler air from higher altitudes, thus increasing supersaturation, or even by
12 transporting clusters formed at higher altitudes downwards.

13 New particle formation did not affect the small ion concentrations as much as it did the other
14 particle sizes. On event days, the concentrations of positive small ions decreased roughly around
15 noon in comparison to NPF non-event days, indicating scavenging of these ions by the newly
16 formed particles. This decrease around noon was also observed for negative small ions, however,
17 the number concentrations of these ions were in general slightly lower on NPF event days in
18 comparison to NPF non-event days. Winkler et al. (2008) indicates that ion-induced nucleation is
19 formed preferably onto negative ions, thus, the decrease in negative small ion concentrations could
20 indicate that part of these ions were used during ion-induced nucleation. Yet, we only observed a
21 weak positive correlation ($r = 0.10$ to 0.25 , $p < 0.01$) between intermediate and small ions
22 (Appendix E). In general, no clear correlation between NPF (intermediate ion/small ions) and small
23 ions or particle number was observed (Appendix F).

24 *Fig. 5 here*

25 **4 Case study of four NPF event days**

26 We selected four NPF event days of various intensities and duration to observe the behaviour of
27 ions and aerosol particles during the bursts (Fig. 6). In all the four days, a “banana” shaped NPF
28 event was observed. This type of NPF event is likely of regional nature as it requires uniform air
29 masses to last for at least a few hours (Manninen et al., 2010). Thus, the gaps in the “bananas” (Fig.
30 6 b – c) could be caused by some degree of heterogeneity in the regional air masses. According to
31 Hussein et al. (2009), regional NPF events may spread for over 200 km and the newly formed
32 particles may be traced for as long as 30h before they merge into background levels. Pikridas et al.
33 (2015) analysed NPF events in LHVP and in two suburban sites near Paris, GOLF and SIRT A (20

1 km NE and 20 km SE from Paris, respectively). The authors measured particle number size
2 distributions in all the three sites during the summer of 2009 and winter 2010. The results showed
3 that nearly all the NPF events observed in SIRTa in the summer were also observed in LHVP, and
4 roughly half of these events (6 event days) were also observed at GOLF, thus covering at least 40
5 km in extension. The results by Pikridas et al. (2015) indicate that at least half of the NPF events
6 observed in LHVP in the summer were regional in nature.

7 The diurnal behaviour of ions varied considerably among the four days. On example days, NPF
8 started between 08:00 and 12:00 (UTC) (Fig. 6). A “pool” of small ions was observed in all the four
9 days suggesting the constant presence of these ions, in agreement with previous studies (Manninen
10 et al., 2009). No significant changes in small ion number concentrations were observed during the
11 bursts (Fig. 6 e – h). The number concentrations of intermediate ions (both polarities) however
12 increased 4 – 15 times (depending on the day) during the bursts in comparison to the number
13 concentrations immediately before the bursts, reaching mean values as high as 420 cm^{-3} (positive
14 polarity) on the 31 March 2010. For large ions the concentrations were 1.8 – 6 times higher during
15 the bursts, and for particle number concentrations it was 1.3 – 2 times higher (depending on the
16 day). On the 19 May 2010, particle number reached $28\,600 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ during the NPF burst (13:00), a
17 value considerably higher than the mean concentrations observed in the morning rush hours of
18 workdays (Fig. 2 g: $19\,500 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, 08:00). Once again, during the NPF events the concentrations of
19 large ions and particle number peaked about 1h later than that of intermediate ions, indicating
20 growth. Hence, the results confirm that NPF events can considerably increase the number
21 concentration of intermediate ions (2 – 7 nm), large ions (7 – 20 nm) and aerosol particles in the
22 urban air.

23 *Fig. 6 here*

24 **5 Growth rates of ions**

25 Particles growth rate (GR) is proportional to the concentrations of condensing vapours in the air.
26 We calculated GR for ions in diameter of 1.9 – 3 nm; 3 – 7 nm and 7 – 20 nm. A total of 21 strong
27 NPF events were used in the calculations, 9 of which were workdays and 12 were weekends. Thus,
28 the results once again suggest that NPF (in this case strong NPF events) may be favoured on
29 weekends due to the lower load of condensation sinks. In general, the GR of ions (table 2) increased
30 with ion size (median: 1.9 – 3 nm: 3.4 nm h^{-1} ; 3 – 7 nm: 5.9 nm h^{-1} ; 7 – 20 nm: 6.9 nm h^{-1}) in
31 agreement with previous studies, including urban areas (Yli-Juuti et al., 2011; Manninen et al.,
32 2010; Kulmala et al., 2012; Kulmala et al., 2004b; Backman et al., 2012; Herrmann et al., 2014).
33 The results support the theory that condensing vapours aiding the growth of ions from 3 – 20 nm

1 may differ in composition from vapours aiding the growth of smaller ions, as suggested by previous
2 studies (Manninen et al., 2010). In addition to different chemical composition, Kulmala et al.
3 (2004b) suggests that the increase in GR with particle size could also relate to the diurnal
4 availability of condensing vapours and Nano-Köhler effect (Kulmala et al., 2004a). If the diurnal
5 peak in vapour concentration occurred after NPF, there would be less vapours available to grow the
6 smaller particles in comparison to the larger ones (growing later). The Kelvin effect (Kulmala et al.,
7 2004a; Kulmala et al., 2004b; Yli-Juuti et al., 2011) and the Nano-Köhler effect (Kulmala et al.,
8 2004a) may also influence the GR as they favour evaporation of small particles and growth of larger
9 ones. Moreover, the median GR was higher on workdays than on weekends for ions from 3 – 7 nm
10 and 7 – 20 nm. This pattern was not as evident for ions from 1.9 – 3 nm nor for mean GR values.

11 The GR of ions from 3 – 20 nm were higher on workdays likely due to the higher availability of
12 traffic-emitted condensable vapours. In cities such as São Paulo, Nanjing and Helsinki, the reported
13 mean GR for ions were 2.1 – 5.3 nm h⁻¹, 6.3 – 9.7 nm h⁻¹ and 8.0 – 11.4 nm h⁻¹ for size ranges of 1 –
14 3 nm, 3 – 7 nm and 7 – 20 nm (7 – 30 nm in Nanjing), respectively, and were in range with the GR
15 observed in the LHVP site (table 2). Manninen et al. (2010) reported median GR of ions in
16 European sites (mostly rural and coastal sites) to be 2.8 nm h⁻¹ for particles of 1.5 – 3 nm; 4.3 nm h⁻¹
17 for particles of 7 – 20 nm, and 5.4 nm h⁻¹ for particles of 7 – 20 nm, which are mostly lower than
18 the values observed in the urban areas. Hussein et al. (2008) compared NPF characteristics between
19 Helsinki and Hyytiälä, a rural area in Finland. The authors observed higher GR in Helsinki and
20 concluded that the higher availability of condensing vapours and the large number of aerosol
21 particles in Helsinki probably enhanced growth by condensation and coagulation in comparison to
22 Hyytiälä. Note that, as Hussein et al. (2008) and Yli-Juuti et al. (2011) pointed out, the GR
23 calculation method is somewhat subjective and thus also influences GR values. Moreover, GR can
24 also vary depending on the instruments used (Yli-Juuti et al., 2011).

25 The median CS concentrations were only slightly higher on workdays in comparison to weekends
26 (table 2) indicating that part of the particle surface area may also originate from long range
27 transport. Sciare et al. (2010) analysed the composition of PM_{2.5} in Paris and reported that the city
28 receives polluted air masses (PM_{2.5}) from North-Western and Central Europe. Note that CS
29 calculations were based on roughly two months of data, and thus are not representatives for the
30 entire campaign.

31 *Table 2 here*

1 **6 Conclusions**

2 We analysed frequency and seasonal variations of NPF events, diurnal and seasonal cycles of ions
3 and aerosol particles, as well as the behaviour of ions and their growth rates during NPF events in
4 an urban background site of Paris, France. Condensation sinks were also calculated. Our
5 measurement period extended over 16 months: June 2009 – October 2010. We were especially
6 focusing on atmospheric ions: small (0.8 – 2 nm), intermediate (2 – 7 nm) and large ions (7 – 20
7 nm).

8 On workdays, particle number concentrations peaked in the mornings and evenings, reflecting the
9 traffic rush hours. During the morning peak, the concentrations of small and intermediate ions
10 decreased whereas the concentrations of large ions increased. This indicates that aerosol particles
11 from traffic acted as scavengers for small and intermediate ions. Both ions and aerosol particle
12 concentrations varied with season, and these variations differed with ion polarities. Number
13 concentrations of small ions were lowest in the spring, when number concentrations of positive
14 intermediate ions were highest. The results thus indicate that when comparing ion concentrations
15 from different studies, one should consider the season in which the study was conducted and also
16 the polarity regarded.

17 NPF was occurred on 13% of the days (34 weekdays and 23 weekends). Seasonally, NPF occurred
18 mainly in late the spring and summer, and were completely absent from November to January.
19 Undefined days, however, occurred throughout the year. Higher frequency of photochemical
20 reactions along with lower number concentrations of aerosol particles may have enhanced the
21 frequency of NPF in the summer. The growth rates of ions during NPF events increased with ion
22 size and had median values varying between 3 – 7 nm h⁻¹ in Paris. Moreover, the median GR's of
23 ions were higher on workdays than on weekends for ions from 3 to 20 nm, but this pattern was
24 unclear for ions from 1.9 to 3 nm and for mean GR values. A higher GR during workdays suggests
25 higher availability of condensing vapours in comparison to weekends.

26 The diurnal cycle of ions and particles during NPF events and NPF non-event days suggest that
27 NPF was an important contributor for both ions and aerosol particles in Paris. On average, the NPF
28 bursts caused an extra peak between 09:00 and 14:00 in the diurnal cycles of intermediate ions,
29 large ions and particle number. The intermediate ions were by far the most affected by NPF, with
30 median concentrations increasing 8.5 to 10 times during the bursts in comparison to the same hour
31 on NPF non-event days. Because the median number concentrations of intermediate ions were so
32 low on NPF non-event days (< 12 cm⁻³) in comparison to NPF event days (50 – 80 cm⁻³), the results
33 suggest that intermediate ion number concentrations could be used as an indicator for NPF in Paris.

1 The intermediate ions produced during the bursts grew to larger sizes on average within a few
2 hours, increasing the median number concentrations of large ions and aerosol particles by a factor
3 of 1.5 –1.8 (depending on the polarity) and 1.2, respectively, in comparison to NPF non-event days.
4 The results indicate that NPF in Paris was favoured on weekends, when the load of aerosol particles
5 was lower. This idea was reinforced by the statistics of strong NPF events. Out of the 21 strong
6 NPF events, 9 were observed on workdays and 12 were on weekends.

7 In general, as aerosol particles are associated to adverse health effects, the results suggest that NPF
8 events influenced the air quality in Paris around noon (increasing the total particle number
9 concentration, not so much the total particle mass as these are nucleation mode particle), especially
10 during the spring and summer, when the frequency of NPF was highest.

11

12

13 **Captions of appendices:**

14 Appendix A: Correlation between particle number concentrations and ions (small: 0.8 – 2 nm;
15 intermediate: 2 – 7 nm; large: 7 – 20 nm).

16

17 Appendix B: Median size distribution of ion on workdays: early morning (02:00 – 04:00), rush
18 hours (07:00 – 09:00) and noon (12:00 – 14:00).

19

20 Appendix C: Diurnal cycle of condensation sink (CS) based on data from 01 – 31 July 2009 and 15
21 Jan – 15 Feb 2010 (1h resolution) and particle number concentrations. The markers represents
22 median of hourly means.

23

24 Appendix D: Monthly variations of ions and particles in Paris. The edges of the boxes represent
25 25th and 75th percentiles, the central line is the median, the whiskers represent the highest
26 concentrations (not considered outliers). The data comprise of the period 01.07.2009 – 30.09.2010.

27

28 Appendix E: Correlation between intermediate ions and small ions.

29

1 Appendix F: Correlation between the ratio intermediate ions/small ions and particle number and
2 small ions.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 **Acknowledgements**

13 This project was partially developed in the frame of the European Union's Seventh Framework
14 Programme FP/2007-2011 within the project MEGAPOLI (grant agreement no.212520). We also
15 gratefully acknowledge the support by the Academy of Finland Centre of Excellence Program
16 (grant no. 1118615 and no. 272041), and the support by the French data centre for atmospheric
17 chemistry, created and co-directed by CNES (the French Space Agency) and INSU-CNRS
18 (National Institute of Sciences of the Universe) of the MEGAPOLI database. We would also like to
19 acknowledge Katrianne Lehtipalo for her contribution on the MEGAPOLI measurements and data
20 processing.

21

22

References

23 Aalto, P., Hameri, K., Paatero, P., Kulmala, M., Bellander, T., Berglind, N., Bouso, L., Castano-
24 Vinyals, G., Sunyer, J., Cattani, G., Marconi, A., Cyrys, J., von Klot, S., Peters, A., Zetzsche, K.,
25 Lanki, T., Pekkanen, J., Nyberg, F., Sjoval, B., and Forastiere, F.: Aerosol particle number
26 concentration measurements in five European cities using TSI-3022 condensation particle counter
27 over a three-year period during health effects pollution on susceptible subpopulations, *J Air Waste*
28 *Manage*, 55, 1064-1076, 2005.

29 Asmi, E., Sipilä, M., Manninen, H. E., Vanhanen, J., Lehtipalo, K., Gagné, S., Neitola, K., Mirme,
30 A., Mirme, S., Tamm, E., Uin, J., Komsaare, K., Attoui, M., and Kulmala, M.: Results of the first
31 air ion spectrometer calibration and intercomparison workshop, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 141-154,
32 10.5194/acp-9-141-2009, 2009.

- 1 Backman, J., Rizzo, L. V., Hakala, J., Nieminen, T., Manninen, H. E., Morais, F., Aalto, P. P.,
2 Siivola, E., Carbone, S., Hillamo, R., Artaxo, P., Virkkula, A., Petäjä, T., and Kulmala, M.: On the
3 diurnal cycle of urban aerosols, black carbon and the occurrence of new particle formation events in
4 springtime São Paulo, Brazil, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 11733-11751, 10.5194/acp-12-11733-2012,
5 2012.
- 6 Cimini, D., De Angelis, F., Dupont, J. C., Pal, S., and Haeffelin, M.: Mixing layer height retrievals
7 by multichannel microwave radiometer observations, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 6, 2941-2951,
8 10.5194/amt-6-2941-2013, 2013.
- 9 Crilley, L. R., Jayaratne, E. R., Ayoko, G. A., Miljevic, B., Ristovski, Z., and Morawska, L.:
10 Observations on the Formation, Growth and Chemical Composition of Aerosols in an Urban
11 Environment, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 48, 6588-6596, 10.1021/es5019509, 2014.
- 12 Crippa, M., DeCarlo, P. F., Slowik, J. G., Mohr, C., Heringa, M. F., Chirico, R., Poulain, L.,
13 Freutel, F., Sciare, J., Cozic, J., Di Marco, C. F., Elsasser, M., Nicolas, J. B., Marchand, N., Abidi,
14 E., Wiedensohler, A., Drewnick, F., Schneider, J., Borrmann, S., Nemitz, E., Zimmermann, R.,
15 Jaffrezo, J. L., Prévôt, A. S. H., and Baltensperger, U.: Wintertime aerosol chemical composition
16 and source apportionment of the organic fraction in the metropolitan area of Paris, *Atmos. Chem.*
17 *Phys.*, 13, 961-981, 10.5194/acp-13-961-2013, 2013.
- 18 D'Alessandro, F.: Experimental study of the effect of wind on positive and negative corona from a
19 sharp point in a thunderstorm, *J. Electrostatics*, 67, 482-487, 2009.
- 20 Dal Maso, M., Kulmala, M., Riipinen, I., Wagner, R., Hussein, T., Aalto, P. P., and Lehtinen, K. E.
21 J.: Formation and growth of fresh atmospheric aerosols: eight years of aerosol size distribution data
22 from SMEAR II, Hyytiälä, Finland, *Boreal Environment Research*, 10, 323-336, 2005.
- 23 Du, J. F., Cheng, T. T., Zhang, M., Chen, J. M., He, Q. S., Wang, X. M., Zhang, R. J., Tao, J.,
24 Huang, G. H., Li, X., and Zha, S. P.: Aerosol Size Spectra and Particle Formation Events at Urban
25 Shanghai in Eastern China, *Aerosol Air Qual Res*, 12, 1362-1372, DOI 10.4209/aaqr.2011.12.0230,
26 2012.
- 27 Favez, O., Cachier, H., Sciare, J., and Le Moullec, Y.: Characterization and contribution to PM_{2.5}
28 of semi-volatile aerosols in Paris (France), *Atmospheric Environment*, 41, 7969-7976,
29 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.09.031>, 2007.
- 30 Freney, E. J., Sellegri, K., Canonaco, F., Colomb, A., Borbon, A., Michoud, V., Doussin, J. F.,
31 Crumeyrolle, S., Amarouch, N., Pichon, J. M., Prévôt, A. S. H., Beekmann, M., and
32 Schwarzenböck, A.: Characterizing the impact of urban emissions on regional aerosol particles;
33 airborne measurements during the MEGAPOLI experiment, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 13,
34 24885-24924, 10.5194/acpd-13-24885-2013, 2013.
- 35 Freutel, F., Schneider, J., Drewnick, F., von der Weiden-Reinmuller, S. L., Crippa, M., Prevot, A.
36 S. H., Baltensperger, U., Poulain, L., Wiedensohler, A., Sciare, J., Sarda-Estève, R., Burkhart, J. F.,
37 Eckhardt, S., Stohl, A., Gros, V., Colomb, A., Michoud, V., Doussin, J. F., Borbon, A., Haeffelin,
38 M., Morille, Y., Beekmann, M., and Borrmann, S.: Aerosol particle measurements at three
39 stationary sites in the megacity of Paris during summer 2009: meteorology and air mass origin
40 dominate aerosol particle composition and size distribution, *Atmos Chem Phys*, 13, 933-959, DOI
41 10.5194/acp-13-933-2013, 2013.

- 1 Gagné, S., Lehtipalo, K., Manninen, H. E., Nieminen, T., Schobesberger, S., Franchin, A., Yli-
2 Juuti, T., Boulon, J., Sonntag, A., Mirme, S., Mirme, A., Hörrak, U., Petäjä, T., Asmi, E., and
3 Kulmala, M.: Intercomparison of air ion spectrometers: an evaluation of results in varying
4 conditions, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 4, 805-822, 10.5194/amt-4-805-2011, 2011.
- 5 Gagné, S., Leppä, J., Petäjä, T., McGrath, M. J., Vana, M., Kerminen, V. M., Laakso, L., and
6 Kulmala, M.: Aerosol charging state at an urban site: new analytical approach and implications for
7 ion-induced nucleation, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 4647-4666, 10.5194/acp-12-4647-2012, 2012.
- 8 Gopalakrishnan, V., Pawar, S.D., Siingh, D., and Kamra, A.K.: Intermediate ion formation in the
9 ship's exhaust. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 32 (11), 1-4, 2005.
- 10 Haverkamp, H., Wilhelm, S., Sorokin, A., and Arnold, F.: Positive and negative ion measurements
11 in jet aircraft engine exhaust: concentrations, sizes and implications for aerosol formation, *Atmos.*
12 *Environ.*, 38, 2879-2884, 2004.
- 13 Harrison, R.G. and Carslaw, K.S.: Ion-aerosol-cloud processes in the lower atmosphere, *Reviews of*
14 *Geophysics*, 41:3, doi:10.1029/2002RG000114, 2003.
- 15 Herrmann, E., Ding, A. J., Kerminen, V. M., Petäjä, T., Yang, X. Q., Sun, J. N., Qi, X. M.,
16 Manninen, H., Hakala, J., Nieminen, T., Aalto, P. P., Kulmala, M., and Fu, C. B.: Aerosols and
17 nucleation in eastern China: first insights from the new SORPES-NJU station, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*,
18 14, 2169-2183, 10.5194/acp-14-2169-2014, 2014.
- 19 Hirsikko, A., Bergman, T., Laakso, L., Dal Maso, M., Riipinen, I., Horrak, U., and Kulmala, M.:
20 Identification and classification of the formation of intermediate ions measured in boreal forest,
21 *Atmos Chem Phys*, 7, 201-210, 2007a.
- 22 Hirsikko, A., Yli-Juuti, T., Nieminen, T., Vartiainen, E., Laakso, L., Hussein, T., and Kulmala, M.:
23 Indoor and outdoor air ions and aerosol particles in the urban atmosphere of Helsinki:
24 characteristics, sources and formation, *Boreal Environment Research*, 12, 295-310, 2007b.
- 25 Hirsikko, A., Nieminen, T., Gagne, S., Lehtipalo, K., Manninen, H. E., Ehn, M., Horrak, U.,
26 Kerminen, V. M., Laakso, L., McMurry, P. H., Mirme, A., Mirme, S., Petaja, T., Tammet, H.,
27 Vakkari, V., Vana, M., and Kulmala, M.: Atmospheric ions and nucleation: a review of
28 observations, *Atmos Chem Phys*, 11, 767-798, DOI 10.5194/acp-11-767-2011, 2011.
- 29 Hoppel, W.A.: Ion-Aerosol Attachment Coefficients, Ion Depletion, and the Charge Distribution
30 on Aerosols, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 90, 5917-5923, 1985.
- 31 Hoppel, W.A., and Frick, G.M.: Ion-attachment coefficients and the steady-state charge distribution
32 on aerosols in a bipolar ion environment, *Aerosol Sci. Tech.*, 5, 1-21, 1986.
- 33 Hussein, T., Martikainen, J., Junninen, H., Sogacheva, L., Wagner, R., Dal Maso, M., Riipinen, I.,
34 Aalto, P. P., and Kulmala, M.: Observation of regional new particle formation in the urban
35 atmosphere, *Tellus B*, 60, 509-521, DOI 10.1111/j.1600-0889.2008.00365.x, 2008.
- 36 Hussein, T., Junninen, H., Tunved, P., Kristensson, A., Dal Maso, M., Riipinen, I., Aalto, P. P.,
37 Hansson, H. C., Swietlicki, E., and Kulmala, M.: Time span and spatial scale of regional new
38 particle formation events over Finland and Southern Sweden, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 4699-4716,
39 10.5194/acp-9-4699-2009, 2009.

1 Iida, K., Stolzenburg, M., McMurry, P., Dunn, M. J., Smith, J. N., Eisele, F., and Keady, P.:
2 Contribution of ion-induced nucleation to new particle formation: Methodology and its application
3 to atmospheric observations in Boulder, Colorado, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*,
4 111, D23201, 10.1029/2006JD007167, 2006.

5 Iida, K., Stolzenburg, M. R., McMurry, P. H., and Smith, J. N.: Estimating nanoparticle growth
6 rates from size-dependent charged fractions: Analysis of new particle formation events in Mexico
7 City, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 113, D05207, 10.1029/2007JD009260, 2008.

8 Populations légales 2011 de la commune:
9 [http://www.insee.fr/fr/themes/tableau_local.asp?ref_id=POP&millesime=2010&typgeo=DEP&cod](http://www.insee.fr/fr/themes/tableau_local.asp?ref_id=POP&millesime=2010&typgeo=DEP&codgeo=75)
10 [geo=75](http://www.insee.fr/fr/themes/tableau_local.asp?ref_id=POP&millesime=2010&typgeo=AU2010&codgeo=001)
11 [http://www.insee.fr/fr/themes/tableau_local.asp?ref_id=POP&millesime=2010&typgeo=AU2010&](http://www.insee.fr/fr/themes/tableau_local.asp?ref_id=POP&millesime=2010&typgeo=AU2010&codgeo=001)
12 [codgeo=001](http://www.insee.fr/fr/themes/tableau_local.asp?ref_id=POP&millesime=2010&typgeo=AU2010&codgeo=001), access: 04.06, 2010.

13 Jayaratne, E.R., J-Fatokun F.O., and Morawska, L.: Air ion concentrations under overhead high-
14 voltage transmission lines, *Atmos. Environ.*, 42, 1846-1856, 2008. Jayaratne, E. R., Ling, X., and
15 Morawska, L.: Ions in motor vehicle exhaust and their dispersion near busy roads, *Atmospheric*
16 *Environment*, 44, 3644-3650, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.06.043>, 2010.

17 Jayaratne, E. R., Ling, X., and Morawska, L.: Observation of ions and particles near busy roads
18 using a neutral cluster and air ion spectrometer (NAIS), *Atmospheric Environment*, 84, 198-203,
19 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.11.045>, 2014.

20 Kanawade, V. P., Tripathi, S. N., Siingh, D., Gautam, A. S., Srivastava, A. K., Kamra, A. K., Soni,
21 V. K., and Sethi, V.: Observations of new particle formation at two distinct Indian subcontinental
22 urban locations, *Atmospheric Environment*, 96, 370-379,
23 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.08.001>, 2014.

24 Kerminen, V. M., Paramonov, M., Anttila, T., Riipinen, I., Fountoukis, C., Korhonen, H., Asmi, E.,
25 Laakso, L., Lihavainen, H., Swietlicki, E., Svenningsson, B., Asmi, A., Pandis, S. N., Kulmala, M.,
26 and Petäjä, T.: Cloud condensation nuclei production associated with atmospheric nucleation: a
27 synthesis based on existing literature and new results, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 12037-12059,
28 10.5194/acp-12-12037-2012, 2012.

29 Kirkby, J., Curtius, J., Almeida, J., Dunne, E., Duplissy, J., Ehrhart, S., Franchin, A., Gagne, S.,
30 Ickes, L., Kurten, A., Kupc, A., Metzger, A., Riccobono, F., Rondo, L., Schobesberger, S.,
31 Tsagkogeorgas, G., Wimmer, D., Amorim, A., Bianchi, F., Breitenlechner, M., David, A.,
32 Dommen, J., Downard, A., Ehn, M., Flagan, R. C., Haider, S., Hansel, A., Hauser, D., Jud, W.,
33 Junninen, H., Kreissl, F., Kvashin, A., Laaksonen, A., Lehtipalo, K., Lima, J., Lovejoy, E. R.,
34 Makhmutov, V., Mathot, S., Mikkila, J., Minginette, P., Mogo, S., Nieminen, T., Onnela, A.,
35 Pereira, P., Petaja, T., Schnitzhofer, R., Seinfeld, J. H., Sipila, M., Stozhkov, Y., Stratmann, F.,
36 Tome, A., Vanhanen, J., Viisanen, Y., Vrtala, A., Wagner, P. E., Walther, H., Weingartner, E.,
37 Wex, H., Winkler, P. M., Carslaw, K. S., Worsnop, D. R., Baltensperger, U., and Kulmala, M.:
38 Role of sulphuric acid, ammonia and galactic cosmic rays in atmospheric aerosol nucleation,
39 *Nature*, 476, 429-433,
40 [http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v476/n7361/abs/nature10343.html#supplementary-](http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v476/n7361/abs/nature10343.html#supplementary-information)
41 [information](http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v476/n7361/abs/nature10343.html#supplementary-information), 2011.

- 1 Kolarž, P., Gaisberger, M., Madl, P., Hofmann, W., Ritter, M., and Hartl, A.: Characterization of
2 ions at Alpine waterfalls, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 3687-3697, doi:10.5194/acp-12-3687-2012,
3 2012.
- 4 Kulmala, M., maso, M. D., Mäkelä, J. M., Pirjola, L., Väkevä, M., Aalto, P., Miikkulainen, P.,
5 Hämeri, K., #039, and dowd, C. D.: On the formation, growth and composition of nucleation mode
6 particles, *Tellus B*, 53, 2001.
- 7 Kulmala, M., Kerminen, V.-M., Anttila, T., Laaksonen, A., and O'Dowd, C. D.: Organic aerosol
8 formation via sulphate cluster activation, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 109,
9 D04205, 10.1029/2003jd003961, 2004a.
- 10 Kulmala, M., Laakso, L., Lehtinen, K. E. J., Riipinen, I., Dal Maso, M., Anttila, T., Kerminen, V.
11 M., Hörrak, U., Vana, M., and Tammet, H.: Initial steps of aerosol growth, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 4,
12 2553-2560, 10.5194/acp-4-2553-2004, 2004b.
- 13 Kulmala, M., Petäjä, T., Nieminen, T., Sipilä, M., Manninen, H. E., Lehtipalo, K., Dal Maso, M.,
14 Aalto, P. P., Junninen, H., Paasonen, P., Riipinen, I., Lehtinen, K. E. J., Laaksonen, A., and
15 Kerminen, V.-M.: Measurement of the nucleation of atmospheric aerosol particles, *Nat. Protocols*,
16 7, 1651-1667, [http://www.nature.com/nprot/journal/v7/n9/abs/nprot.2012.091.html#supplementary-](http://www.nature.com/nprot/journal/v7/n9/abs/nprot.2012.091.html#supplementary-information)
17 [information](http://www.nature.com/nprot/journal/v7/n9/abs/nprot.2012.091.html#supplementary-information), 2012.
- 18 Kulmala, M., Kontkanen, J., Junninen, H., Lehtipalo, K., Manninen, H. E., Nieminen, T., Petaja, T.,
19 Sipilä, M., Schobesberger, S., Rantala, P., Franchin, A., Jokinen, T., Jarvinen, E., Aijala, M.,
20 Kangasluoma, J., Hakala, J., Aalto, P. P., Paasonen, P., Mikkilä, J., Vanhanen, J., Aalto, J., Hakola,
21 H., Makkonen, U., Ruuskanen, T., Mauldin, R. L., Duplissy, J., Vehkamäki, H., Back, J.,
22 Kortelainen, A., Riipinen, I., Kurten, T., Johnston, M. V., Smith, J. N., Ehn, M., Mentel, T. F.,
23 Lehtinen, K. E. J., Laaksonen, A., Kerminen, V. M., and Worsnop, D. R.: Direct Observations of
24 Atmospheric Aerosol Nucleation, *Science*, 339, 943-946, DOI 10.1126/science.1227385, 2013.
- 25 Kulmala, M., Petäjä, T., Ehn, M., Thornton, J., Sipilä, M., Worsnop, D. R., and Kerminen, V.-M.:
26 Chemistry of Atmospheric Nucleation: On the Recent Advances on Precursor Characterization and
27 Atmospheric Cluster Composition in Connection with Atmospheric New Particle Formation,
28 *Annual Review of Physical Chemistry*, 65, 21-37, doi:10.1146/annurev-physchem-040412-110014,
29 2014.
- 30 Lee, E. S., Xu, B., and Zhu, Y.: Measurements of ultrafine particles carrying different number of
31 charges in on- and near-freeway environments, *Atmospheric Environment*, 60, 564-572,
32 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.06.085>, 2012.
- 33 Leng, C., Zhang, Q., Tao, J., Zhang, H., Zhang, D., Xu, C., Li, X., Kong, L., Cheng, T., Zhang, R.,
34 Yang, X., Chen, J., Qiao, L., Lou, S., Wang, H., and Chen, C.: Impacts of new particle formation on
35 aerosol cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) activity in Shanghai: case study, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14,
36 11353-11365, doi:10.5194/acp-14-11353-2014, 2014.
- 37 Ling, X., Jayaratne, R., and Morawska, L.: The relationship between airborne small ions and
38 particles in urban environments, *Atmospheric Environment*, 79, 1-6, DOI
39 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.06.006, 2013.
- 40 Ling, X. A., Jayaratne, R., and Morawska, L.: Air ion concentrations in various urban outdoor
41 environments, *Atmospheric Environment*, 44, 2186-2193, DOI 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.03.026,
42 2010.

- 1 Lopez, M., Schmidt, M., Yver, C., Messenger, C., Worthy, D., Kazan, V., Ramonet, M., Bousquet,
2 P., and Ciais, P.: Seasonal variation of N₂O emissions in France inferred from atmospheric N₂O
3 and 222Rn measurements, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 117, D14103,
4 10.1029/2012jd017703, 2012.
- 5 Lähde, T., Rönkkö, T., Virtanen, A., Schuck, T.J., Pirjola, L., Hämeri, K., Kulmala, M., Arnold, F.,
6 Rothe, D., and Keskinen, J.: Heavy Duty Diesel Engine Exhaust Aerosol Particle and Ion
7 Measurements, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 43, 163-168, 2009.
- 8 Makkonen, R., Asmi, A., Kerminen, V. M., Boy, M., Arneth, A., Hari, P., and Kulmala, M.: Air
9 pollution control and decreasing new particle formation lead to strong climate warming, *Atmos.*
10 *Chem. Phys.*, 12, 1515-1524, 10.5194/acp-12-1515-2012, 2012.
- 11 Manninen, H. E., Petäjä, T., Asmi, E., Riipinen, N., Nieminen, T., Mikkilä, J., Hörrak, U., Mirme,
12 A., Mirme, S., Laakso, L., Kerminen, V. M., and Kulmala, M.: Long-term field measurements of
13 charged and neutral clusters using Neutral cluster and Air Ion Spectrometer (NAIS), *Boreal*
14 *Environment Research*, 14, 591-605, 2009.
- 15 Manninen, H. E., Nieminen, T., Asmi, E., Gagné, S., Häkkinen, S., Lehtipalo, K., Aalto, P., Vana,
16 M., Mirme, A., Mirme, S., Hörrak, U., Plass-Dülmer, C., Stange, G., Kiss, G., Hoffer, A., Törö, N.,
17 Moerman, M., Henzing, B., de Leeuw, G., Brinkenberg, M., Kouvarakis, G. N., Bougiatioti, A.,
18 Mihalopoulos, N., O'Dowd, C., Ceburnis, D., Arneth, A., Svenningsson, B., Swietlicki, E., Tarozzi,
19 L., Decesari, S., Facchini, M. C., Birmili, W., Sonntag, A., Wiedensohler, A., Boulon, J., Sellegri,
20 K., Laj, P., Gysel, M., Bukowiecki, N., Weingartner, E., Wehrle, G., Laaksonen, A., Hamed, A.,
21 Joutsensaari, J., Petäjä, T., Kerminen, V. M., and Kulmala, M.: EUCAARI ion spectrometer
22 measurements at 12 European sites – analysis of new particle formation events, *Atmos. Chem.*
23 *Phys.*, 10, 7907-7927, 10.5194/acp-10-7907-2010, 2010.
- 24 Merikanto, J., Spracklen, D. V., Mann, G. W., Pickering, S. J., and Carslaw, K. S.: Impact of
25 nucleation on global CCN, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 8601-8616, 10.5194/acp-9-8601-2009, 2009.
- 26 Mirme, A., Tamm, E., Mordas, G., Vana, M., Uin, J., Mirme, S., Bernotas, T., Laakso, L., Hirsikko,
27 A., and Kulmala, M.: A wide-range multi-channel air ion spectrometer, *Boreal Environment*
28 *Research*, 12, 247-264, 2007.
- 29 Mäkelä, J. M., Riihelä, M., Ukkonen, A., Jokinen, V., and Keskinen, J.: Comparison of mobility
30 equivalent diameter with Kelvin-Thomson diameter using ion mobility data, *The Journal of*
31 *Chemical Physics*, 105, 1562-1571, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.472017, 1996.
- 32 Nagato, K., and Nakauchi, M.: Experimental study of particle formation by ion-ion recombination,
33 *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 141, -, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4898376, 2014.
- 34 Nilsson, E. D., Paatero, J., and Boy, M.: Effects of air masses and synoptic weather on aerosol
35 formation in the continental boundary layer, *Tellus B*, 53, 2001.
- 36 Oberdörster, G., Oberdörster, E., and Oberdörster, J.: Nanotoxicology: An emerging discipline
37 evolving from studies of ultrafine particles, *Environ Health Persp*, 113, 823-839, Doi
38 10.1289/Ehp.7339, 2005.
- 39 Pikridas, M., Sciare, J., Freutel, F., Crumeyrolle, S., von der Weiden-Reinmüller, S. L., Borbon, A.,
40 Schwarzenboeck, A., Merkel, M., Crippa, M., Kostenidou, E., Psychoudaki, M., Hildebrandt, L.,
41 Engelhart, G. J., Petäjä, T., Prévôt, A. S. H., Drewnick, F., Baltensperger, U., Wiedensohler, A.,

- 1 Kulmala, M., Beekmann, M., and Pandis, S. N.: Ultrafine particle sources and in-situ formation in a
2 European megacity, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 15, 5663-5712, 10.5194/acpd-15-5663-2015,
3 2015.
- 4 Puustinen, A., Hämeri, K., Pekkanen, J., Kulmala, M., de Hartog, J., Meliefste, K., ten Brink, H.,
5 Kos, G., Katsouyanni, K., Karakatsani, A., Kotronarou, A., Kavouras, I., Meddings, C., Thomas, S.,
6 Harrison, R. M., Ayres, J. G., van der Zee, S., and Hoek, G.: Spatial variation of particle number
7 and mass over four European cities, *Atmospheric Environment*, 41, 6622-6636,
8 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.04.020>, 2007.
- 9 Retalis, A., Nastos, P., and Retalis, D.: Study of small ions concentration in the air above Athens,
10 Greece, *Atmospheric Research*, 91, 219-228, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2008.05.011>,
11 2009.
- 12 Riccobono, F., Schobesberger, S., Scott, C. E., Dommen, J., Ortega, I. K., Rondo, L., Almeida, J.,
13 Amorim, A., Bianchi, F., Breitenlechner, M., David, A., Downard, A., Dunne, E. M., Duplissy, J.,
14 Ehrhart, S., Flagan, R. C., Franchin, A., Hansel, A., Junninen, H., Kajos, M., Keskinen, H., Kupc,
15 A., Kürten, A., Kvashin, A. N., Laaksonen, A., Lehtipalo, K., Makhmutov, V., Mathot, S.,
16 Nieminen, T., Onnela, A., Petäjä, T., Praplan, A. P., Santos, F. D., Schallhart, S., Seinfeld, J. H.,
17 Sipilä, M., Spracklen, D. V., Stozhkov, Y., Stratmann, F., Tomé, A., Tsagkogeorgas, G.,
18 Vaattovaara, P., Viisanen, Y., Virtala, A., Wagner, P. E., Weingartner, E., Wex, H., Wimmer, D.,
19 Carslaw, K. S., Curtius, J., Donahue, N. M., Kirkby, J., Kulmala, M., Worsnop, D. R., and
20 Baltensperger, U.: Oxidation Products of Biogenic Emissions Contribute to Nucleation of
21 Atmospheric Particles, *Science*, 344, 717-721, 10.1126/science.1243527, 2014.
- 22 Rose, C., Boulon, J., Hervo, M., Holmgren, H., Asmi, E., Ramonet, M., Laj, P., and Sellegri, K.:
23 Long-term observations of cluster ion concentration, sources and sinks in clear sky conditions at the
24 high-altitude site of the Puy de Dôme, France, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 11573-11594, 10.5194/acp-
25 13-11573-2013, 2013.
- 26 Salma, I., Borsós, T., Weidinger, T., Aalto, P., Hussein, T., Dal Maso, M., and Kulmala, M.:
27 Production, growth and properties of ultrafine atmospheric aerosol particles in an urban
28 environment, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 1339-1353, 10.5194/acp-11-1339-2011, 2011.
- 29 Sciare, J., d'Argouges, O., Zhang, Q. J., Sarda-Estève, R., Gaimoz, C., Gros, V., Beekmann, M., and
30 Sanchez, O.: Comparison between simulated and observed chemical composition of fine aerosols in
31 Paris (France) during springtime: contribution of regional versus continental emissions, *Atmos.*
32 *Chem. Phys.*, 10, 11987-12004, 10.5194/acp-10-11987-2010, 2010.
- 33 Siingh, D., Gautam, A. S., Kamra, A. K., and Komsaare, K.: Nucleation events for the formation of
34 charged aerosol particles at a tropical station — Preliminary results, *Atmospheric Research*, 132–
35 133, 239-252, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2013.05.024>, 2013.
- 36 Stanier, C. O., Khlystov, A. Y., and Pandis, S. N.: Nucleation Events During the Pittsburgh Air
37 Quality Study: Description and Relation to Key Meteorological, Gas Phase, and Aerosol Parameters
38 Special Issue of *Aerosol Science and Technology* on Findings from the Fine Particulate Matter
39 Supersites Program, *Aerosol Science and Technology*, 38, 253-264, 10.1080/02786820390229570,
40 2004.

1 Svensmark, H., Pedersen, J. O. P., Marsh, N. D., Enghoff, M. B., and Uggerhoj, U. I.: Experimental
2 evidence for the role of ions in particle nucleation under atmospheric conditions, *P R Soc A*, 463,
3 385-396, DOI 10.1098/rspa.2006.1773, 2007.

4 Tammet, H., Hörrak, U., and Kulmala, M.: Negatively charged nanoparticles produced by splashing
5 of water, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 357-367, 10.5194/acp-9-357-2009, 2009.

6 Tammet, H., Komsaare, K., and Hörrak, U.: Intermediate ions in the atmosphere, *Atmospheric
7 Research*, 135–136, 263-273, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2012.09.009>, 2014.

8 Tiitta, P., Miettinen, P., Vaattovaara, P., Laaksonen, A., Joutsensaari, J., Hirsikko, A., Aalto, P., and
9 Kulmala, M.: Road-side measurements of aerosol and ion number size distributions: A comparison
10 with remote site measurements, *Boreal Environment Research*, 12, 311-321, 2007.

11 Tuch, T. M., Haudek, A., Müller, T., Nowak, A., Wex, H., and Wiedensohler, A.: Design and
12 performance of an automatic regenerating adsorption aerosol dryer for continuous operation at
13 monitoring sites, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 2, 417-422, 10.5194/amt-2-417-2009, 2009.

14 Wang, Z. B., Hu, M., Wu, Z. J., Yue, D. L., He, L. Y., Huang, X. F., Liu, X. G., and Wiedensohler,
15 A.: Long-term measurements of particle number size distributions and the relationships with air
16 mass history and source apportionment in the summer of Beijing, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 10159-
17 10170, 10.5194/acp-13-10159-2013, 2013.

18 Wehner, B., Siebert, H., Ansmann, A., Ditas, F., Seifert, P., Stratmann, F., Wiedensohler, A.,
19 Apituley, A., Shaw, R. A., Manninen, H. E., and Kulmala, M.: Observations of turbulence-induced
20 new particle formation in the residual layer, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 4319-4330, 10.5194/acp-10-
21 4319-2010, 2010.

22 Wiedensohler, A., Cheng, Y. F., Nowak, A., Wehner, B., Achtert, P., Berghof, M., Birmili, W., Wu,
23 Z. J., Hu, M., Zhu, T., Takegawa, N., Kita, K., Kondo, Y., Lou, S. R., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland,
24 F., Wahner, A., Gunthe, S. S., Rose, D., Su, H., and Pöschl, U.: Rapid aerosol particle growth and
25 increase of cloud condensation nucleus activity by secondary aerosol formation and condensation:
26 A case study for regional air pollution in northeastern China, *Journal of Geophysical Research:
27 Atmospheres*, 114, D00G08, 10.1029/2008jd010884, 2009.

28 Wiedensohler, A., Birmili, W., Nowak, A., Sonntag, A., Weinhold, K., Merkel, M., Wehner, B.,
29 Tuch, T., Pfeifer, S., Fiebig, M., Fjåraa, A. M., Asmi, E., Sellegri, K., Depuy, R., Venzac, H.,
30 Villani, P., Laj, P., Aalto, P., Ogren, J. A., Swietlicki, E., Williams, P., Roldin, P., Quincey, P.,
31 Hüglin, C., Fierz-Schmidhauser, R., Gysel, M., Weingartner, E., Riccobono, F., Santos, S.,
32 Grüning, C., Faloon, K., Beddows, D., Harrison, R., Monahan, C., Jennings, S. G., O'Dowd, C. D.,
33 Marinoni, A., Horn, H. G., Keck, L., Jiang, J., Scheckman, J., McMurry, P. H., Deng, Z., Zhao, C.
34 S., Moerman, M., Henzing, B., de Leeuw, G., Löschau, G., and Bastian, S.: Mobility particle size
35 spectrometers: harmonization of technical standards and data structure to facilitate high quality
36 long-term observations of atmospheric particle number size distributions, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 5,
37 657-685, 10.5194/amt-5-657-2012, 2012.

38 Virkkula, A., Hirsikko, A., Vana, M., Aalto, P. P., Hillamo, R. and Kulmala, M.: Charged particle
39 size distributions and analysis of particle formation events at the Finnish Antarctic research station
40 Aboa. *Boreal Env. Res.* 12: 397–408, 2007.

41 World Health Organization (WHO): WHO Handbook on Indoor Radon: A Public Health
42 Perspective, Geneva 978 92 4 154767 3 2009.

- 1 Wu, Z., Hu, M., Liu, S., Wehner, B., Bauer, S., Maßling, A., Wiedensohler, A., Petäjä, T., Dal
2 Maso, M., and Kulmala, M.: New particle formation in Beijing, China: Statistical analysis of a 1-
3 year data set, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 112, D09209,
4 [10.1029/2006JD007406](https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007406), 2007.
- 5 Wu, Z., Hu, M., Lin, P., Liu, S., Wehner, B., and Wiedensohler, A.: Particle number size
6 distribution in the urban atmosphere of Beijing, China, *Atmospheric Environment*, 42, 7967-7980,
7 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.06.022>, 2008.
- 8 Yli-Juuti, T., Nieminen, T., Hirsikko, A., Aalto, P. P., Asmi, E., Hörrak, U., Manninen, H. E.,
9 Patokoski, J., Dal Maso, M., Petäjä, T., Rinne, J., Kulmala, M., and Riipinen, I.: Growth rates of
10 nucleation mode particles in Hyytiälä during 2003−2009: variation with particle size,
11 season, data analysis method and ambient conditions, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 12865-12886,
12 [10.5194/acp-11-12865-2011](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-12865-2011), 2011.
- 13 Yu, F., and Turco, R. P.: Ultrafine aerosol formation via ion-mediated nucleation, *Geophysical*
14 *Research Letters*, 27, 883-886, [10.1029/1999GL011151](https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GL011151), 2000.
- 15 Yu, F.: Ion-mediated nucleation in the atmosphere: Key controlling parameters, implications, and
16 look-up table, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 115, D03206,
17 [10.1029/2009JD012630](https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD012630), 2010.
- 18 Yu, F., and Turco, R.P.: The role of ions in the formation and evolution of particles in aircraft
19 plumes, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 24(15), 1927-1930, 1997.
- 20 Yu, F., and Turco, R. P.: The size-dependent charge fraction of sub-3-nm particles as a key
21 diagnostic of competitive nucleation mechanisms under atmospheric conditions, *Atmos. Chem.*
22 *Phys.*, 11, 9451-9463, [10.5194/acp-11-9451-2011](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-9451-2011), 2011.
- 23 Yu, H., Zhou, L. Y., Dai, L., Shen, W. C., Zheng, J., Ma, Y., and Chen, M. D.: Nucleation and
24 growth of sub-3 nm particles in the polluted urban atmosphere of a megacity in China, *Atmos.*
25 *Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 15, 18653-18690, [doi:10.5194/acpd-15-18653-2015](https://doi.org/10.5194/acpd-15-18653-2015), 2015.
- 26 Zhang, Q., Stanier, C. O., Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R., Pandis, S. N., and
27 Jimenez, J. L.: Insights into the chemistry of new particle formation and growth events in Pittsburgh
28 based on aerosol mass spectrometry, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 38, 4797-4809, Doi
29 [10.1021/Es035417u](https://doi.org/10.1021/Es035417u), 2004.
- 30 Xiao, S., Wang, M. Y., Yao, L., Kulmala, M., Zhou, B., Yang, X., Chen, J. M., Wang, D. F., Fu, Q.
31 Y., Worsnop, D. R., and Wang, L.: Strong atmospheric new particle formation in winter in urban
32 Shanghai, China, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 1769-1781, [doi:10.5194/acp-15-1769-2015](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-1769-2015), 2015.

33

34

35

1 **Captions of tables:**

2

3 Table 1: Statistical summary of particle number concentration (6 – 740 nm), small (0.8 – 2 nm),
 4 intermediate (2 – 7 nm) and large ion (7 – 20 nm) number concentrations in Paris for the entire
 5 campaign. Total ions represent ions in the size range of 0.8 – 42 nm in size. Concentrations were
 6 presented as particles cm⁻³ and were based on 1h means.

	Mean	Std	5%	25%	50%	75%	95%	Num. of hours (1-h scale)
Small ions (+)	330	150	130	230	310	400	600	7810
Small ions (-)	390	180	160	270	360	470	740	7820
Intermediate ions (+)	30	40	0	0	10	30	100	10310
Intermediate ions (-)	20	70	0	0	10	10	60	10310
Large ions (+)	460	240	160	290	410	590	910	10310
Large ion (-)	310	180	80	180	270	410	650	10310
Total ions (+)	1640	660	780	1180	1530	1980	2880	10310
Total ions (-)	1270	540	590	900	1180	1530	2290	10310
Particle number concentration*	13690	6430	5590	9200	12460	16840	26000	9310
CS (3 – 740 nm) ** (×10 ⁻³ s ⁻¹)	14.3	8.4	4.7	7.9	12.7	18.1	31.3	1520

7 *Particle number: combined TDMPS (6 – 740 nm; 29 June 2009 – 31 July 2009) and CPC (dp₅₀: 6 nm; 11 August 2010 – 04 October 2010)
 8 measurements.

9 ** CS was calculated based on the TDMPS size distribution from 29 June 2009 – 31 July 2009 and 15 Jan 2010 – 19 Feb 2010.

10

11

	Mean	5%	25%	50%	75%	95%	N° of days
GR _{tot} (1.9 – 3 nm)	4.0	1.3	2.5	3.4	5.7	7.4	21
GR _{tot} (3 – 7 nm)	7.6	1.9	3.9	5.9	9.1	24.1	21
GR _{tot} (7 – 20 nm)	8.5	4.0	6.3	6.9	10.8	17.6	21
Workdays							
GR (1.9 – 3 nm)	4.1	2.1	2.8	3.4	5.7	6.9	12
GR (3 – 7 nm)	7.1	3.1	4.4	6.8	9.3	12.2	12
GR (7 – 20 nm)	8.8	6.4	6.8	8.0	9.1	16.5	12
CS _(3–740 nm) ($\times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$)	14.9	5.2	8.5	13.1	18.5	33.2	51
Weekends							
GR (1.9 – 3 nm)	3.9	1.0	2.1	3.3	5.5	7.9	9
GR (3 – 7 nm)	8.0	1.7	3.2	5.0	8.4	28.4	9
GR (7 – 20 nm)	8.3	3.6	4.5	6.5	11.6	18.6	9
CS _(3–740 nm) ($\times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$)	12.7	3.7	7.1	11.1	16.7	26.7	19


1 Table 2: Growth rates of ions (mean of positive and negative) calculated from 21 NPF event days (9
2 workdays and 12 weekends). The total growth rates (GR_{tot}) include both workdays and weekends.
3 The unit for GR is nm h⁻¹. The CS calculations were based on TDMPS data from Jul 2009 and
4 Jan/Feb 2010 (hourly means).

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

1 **Captions of figures:**

2


3 Figure 1: Location of the LHVP site in Paris (on the rooftop of Laboratoire d'Hygiène de la Ville de
4 Paris, Paris 13 arrondissement, 11 Rue George Eastman, 75013 Paris).



5


6

1 Figure 2: Diurnal cycle of particle number concentrations (> 6 nm) (g), and small (0.8 – 2 nm),
 2 intermediate (2 – 7 nm) and large ions (7 – 20 nm) (a – f) for workdays and weekends. The markers
 3 show the hourly median concentrations and the whiskers show 25th and 75th percentiles. The dashed
 4 lines represent mean concentrations, and the rectangles (06:00 – 09:00) indicate the morning peak
 5 of particle number.




6

1 Figure 3: Seasonal variations of particle number (d) and positive/negative ions (a – c). The bars
 2 represent median concentrations, the whiskers represent 25th and 75th percentiles, and n (+ / -)
 3 represents the number of hours included in each season (winter / spring / summer / autumn).




4
5

1 Figure 4: Monthly frequency (%) of NPF events, NPF non-events and undefined days. Data
2 collected continuously from July 2009 to September 2010.




3
4

1 Figure 5: Diurnal cycle of aerosol particles and ions (small: 0.8 – 2 nm; intermediate: 2 – 7 nm;
 2 large: 7 – 20 nm) on strong NPF event days and NPF non-event days. The markers show the hourly
 3 median number concentrations and the whiskers show 25th and 75th percentiles (1-hour data points).



4
5

1 Figure 6: Examples of NPF event days observed in the LHVP site. The first row of figures represent
 2 positive ions measured using AIS (dp: 0.8 – 42 nm) with a time resolution of 3 minutes. The second
 3 row represents mean number concentrations of particle total number (> 6 nm), small (0.8 – 2 nm),
 4 intermediate (2 – 7 nm) and large ions (7 – 20 nm), at a resolution of 1 hour. Note that absolute
 5 particle number concentration is obtained by multiplying the concentrations by 10. The black
 6 rectangles indicate the NPF bursts.




7
 8
 9
 10

1 **Appendix**

2 Figure A1. Correlation between particle number concentrations and ions (small: 0.8–2 nm;


3 intermediate: 2–7 nm; large: 7–20 nm).



4


5

- 1 Figure A2. Median size distribution of ions on workdays: early morning (02:00–04:00), rush
- 2 hours (07:00– 09:00) and noon (12:00–14:00).




- 3
- 4

1 Figure A3. Diurnal cycle of condensation sink (CS) based on data from 1–31 July 2009 and 15
 2 January–15 February 2010 (1 h resolution) and particle number concentrations. The markers
 3 represent median of hourly means.





4
 5 Figure A4. Monthly variations of ions and particles in Paris. The edges of the boxes represent 25th
 6 and 75th percentiles, the central line is the median, the whiskers represent the highest
 7 concentrations (not considered outliers). The data comprise of the period 1 July 2009–30 September
 8 2010.



1
2


1 Figure A5. Correlation between intermediate ions and small ions.



2

3

1 Figure A6. Correlation between the ratio intermediate ions/small ions and particle number and small
2 ions.



3