

1 **1 Introduction**

2
3 More than half of the Earth's land surface has been affected by land use and land cover change
4 (LULCC) activities over the last 300 years, largely from the expansion of agriculture (Hurt et al., 2011),
5 leading to numerous climate impacts (Foley et al., 2005). Conversion of land from natural vegetation to
6 agriculture or pasturage releases carbon from vegetation and soils into the atmosphere (Houghton et al.,
7 1983), often quickly through fires, which emit carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), ozone (O₃)-
8 producing compounds and aerosols (Randerson et al., 2006). Deforested areas have a diminished
9 capacity to act as a CO₂ sink as atmospheric CO₂ concentrations increase (Arora and Boer, 2010;
10 Strassmann et al., 2008). Furthermore, agriculture and pasturage emits CH₄ and nitrous oxide (N₂O),
11 accelerates soil carbon loss (Lal, 2004), and changes aerosol emissions (Foley et al., 2011). For
12 instance, land management can enhance mineral dust aerosol emission by modifying surface sediments
13 and soil moisture (Ginoux et al., 2012), but reduces fire aerosol emissions (Kloster et al., 2012) and
14 emissions of low-volatility products of oxidized biogenic organic compounds that condense to form
15 secondary organic aerosols (SOA; Heald et al., 2008). Changes in the abundance of these atmospheric
16 constituents generate forcings onto the climate system (Fig. 1), quantified in this study as radiative
17 forcings (RF).

18
19 The global RF and associated climate response attributable to LULCC are often portrayed as a balance
20 between cooling biogeophysical effects (changes in surface energy and water balance) and the warming
21 biogeochemical effect of increases in atmospheric CO₂ (e.g. Claussen et al., 2001; Brovkin et al., 2004;
22 Foley et al., 2005; Bala et al., 2007; Cherubini et al., 2012). Claussen et al. (2001) found that the
23 cooling from biogeophysical effects of land cover change dominated over the warming from associated
24 CO₂ emissions in high-latitude regions where the land may be snow covered for part of the year,
25 whereas tropical LULCC leads to a warming due to a weaker albedo forcing. This regional contrast in
26 the dominant forcing from deforestation also applies to natural forest disturbances (O'Halloran et al.,
27 2011). On a global scale, model estimates have shown both canceling climate responses to historical
28 land cover change biogeophysical effects and CO₂ emissions (Brovkin et al., 2004; Sitch et al., 2005)
29 and a net warming (0.15°C) from the same effects (Matthews et al., 2004).

30

1 Additional LULCC forcings are often grouped together with fossil fuel burning and other activities for
2 assessment of the total anthropogenic RF (e.g. Forster et al., 2007; Myhre et al., 2013). Nevertheless,
3 there is some recognition of the importance of evaluating emissions of non-CO₂ greenhouse gases
4 attributable to LULCC separately from fossil fuel emissions for targeting emission reduction policies
5 (Tubiello et al., 2013). Less attention is given to forcings from short-lived atmospheric species that are
6 affected by LULCC. Foley et al. (2005) acknowledge that changes in the concentrations of short-lived
7 species, aerosols and O₃, attributable to LULCC are important for air quality assessment but do not
8 estimate the impacts of these species on climate. Unger et al. (2010) partition sources of global,
9 anthropogenic RF into economic sectors, including agriculture. They consider non-CO₂ greenhouse gas
10 and aerosol forcing agents but only for present day land use emissions and they do not include land
11 cover change. The full contribution of LULCC to global RF compared to the contribution from other
12 anthropogenic activities remains unquantified.

13
14 Here we compute the CO₂ and albedo RF attributable to global LULCC and compare to previous
15 estimates of these values, but we also compute the forcings from non-CO₂ greenhouse gases (CH₄, N₂O,
16 O₃), and aerosol effects (direct, indirect, deposition on snow and ice surfaces). Individual forcings are
17 computed from the results of terrestrial model simulations forced with historical land cover changes and
18 wood harvesting, and projected land cover changes from five future scenarios. Because the land model
19 used here includes a carbon model, fire module and emissions of volatile organic compounds, we can
20 uniquely account for the complicated interplay between land use and fire (e.g. Marlon et al., 2008,
21 Kloster et al., 2010; Ward et al., 2012). Four of the future scenarios of land cover change correspond to
22 the four Representative Concentration Pathways (RCP) that were developed for the Climate Model
23 Intercomparison Project in preparation for the IPCC 5th assessment report (AR5) (Lawrence et al., 2012;
24 Hurtt et al., 2011; van Vuuren et al., 2011). The low emissions scenario, RCP2.6, includes widespread
25 proliferation of bioenergy crops, while RCP4.5 is characterized by global reforestation as a result of
26 carbon credit trading and emission penalties (Hurtt et al., 2011). The higher emissions scenarios include
27 expansion of crop area at the expense of existing grasslands (RCP6.0; Fujino et al., 2006) or forests
28 (RCP8.5; Riahl et al., 2007) (Hurtt et al., 2011). We introduce a fifth, more extreme scenario, in which
29 all arable and pasturable land is converted to agricultural land, either for crops or pasture, by the year
30 2100. This scenario, hereafter referred to as the theoretical extreme case (TEC), was not developed
31 within an integrated modeling framework and, therefore, its likelihood of occurrence given economical

1 and additional environmental constraints is difficult to judge. Instead, this scenario gives a theoretical
2 upper bound on LULCC impacts over this century. The range in outcomes for the RF attributable to
3 LULCC based on these five projections strengthens our understanding of the role that LULCC decision-
4 making will play in future climate.

6 **2 Overview of methods**

8 Our approach for computing the RFs begins with estimating emissions of trace gases and aerosols from
9 a diverse set of LULCC activities, many of which are illustrated schematically in Fig. 1. For several
10 forcing agents, including CO₂, we isolate the LULCC emissions by comparing global transient
11 simulations of the terrestrial biosphere including LULCC to simulations without LULCC that are
12 otherwise identical, and attribute the difference in emissions between these simulations to LULCC. This
13 general approach, attributing the differences between the LULCC and no-LULCC environment to the
14 impacts of LULCC, also applies to our calculations of RFs. Our methods for computing these and other
15 emissions from LULCC activities, as well as the calculations of changes in atmospheric constituent
16 concentrations and RFs are summarized in this section and schematically in Fig. 2.

18 **2.1 LULCC activities**

20 We model the following LULCC activities with a global terrestrial model; wood harvesting, land cover
21 change, and changes in fire activity, including deforestation fires. Changes in the terrestrial model
22 carbon cycle driven by the historical and projected LULCC are used to derive the RF of surface albedo
23 change, and emissions of CO₂, SOA, smoke, and mineral dust from LULCC (Fig. 2). We assemble
24 emissions from additional LULCC activities; agricultural waste burning, rice cultivation, fertilizer
25 applications, and livestock pasturage, from available datasets corresponding to the RCP LULCC
26 projections.

28 Future land cover changes and wood harvesting rates projections have been developed as part of the
29 Coupled Model Intercomparison Project phase 5 (CMIP5) (Taylor et al., 2012) with projections
30 corresponding to each of the four RCP scenarios (Hurtt et al., 2011; van Vuuren et al., 2011). These
31 projections have since been joined to historical reconstructions of land use (Hurtt et al., 2011) and

1 expressed as changes in fractional plant functional types (PFTs) which we use in this study with recently
2 amended wood harvesting rates for RCP6.0 and RCP8.5 (Lawrence et al., 2012). Global forest area
3 decreases in all projections between 2010 and 2100 except for RCP4.5, which projects large
4 reforestation efforts (Fig. A1). The loss in forests is accompanied by increases in global crop area in all
5 scenarios except RCP4.5 in which crop area decreases to a level not seen since the 1930s (Fig. A1).
6 Development of PFT changes for the TEC is described in Appendix A.

7
8 While we consider this list of activities to be highly inclusive, several LULCC activities and processes
9 are not included in this study, either because they are difficult to properly model or represent as a
10 forcing, or because of a poor level of current understanding of the process. We exclude the impacts of
11 anthropogenic water use, mainly irrigation, on global water vapor concentrations and the associated RF
12 (Boucher et al., 2004). Changes in water use and land use have numerous other implications for the
13 hydrological cycle including impacts on evapotranspiration, runoff, and wetland extent (Sterling et al.,
14 2013). Related to these effects, the impact of land surface albedo changes may be further moderated by
15 changes in cloudiness (Lawrence and Chase, 2010), which we did not consider in this analysis. Also,
16 emissions of CH₄ are tied to the global extent of wetlands, which have likely changed since preindustrial
17 times (Lehner and Doll, 2004), but the scale and distribution of the change is not yet known well enough
18 to be included in our model setup. We assume that natural CH₄ emissions remain unchanged from 1850
19 through 2100 for all scenarios. Finally, there is a source of CO₂ from deforestation and forest
20 degradation in tropical peat swamp forests that has only recently been widely recognized (Hergoualc'h
21 and Verhot, 2011), although it is thought that contributions from this source to current global CO₂
22 concentrations are small (Frolking et al, 2011).

23 24 **2.2 LULCC emissions (computed from CLM)**

25
26 Changes in terrestrial carbon storage, fire activity and biogenic trace gas emissions due to dynamic land
27 cover are simulated using version 3.5 of the Community Land Model (CLM) (Oleson et al., 2008;
28 Stockli et al., 2008) with active carbon and nitrogen cycles (CN) (Thornton et al., 2009) coupled to a
29 process-based fire model (Kloster et al., 2010). This configuration of CLM simulates the complicated
30 interplay between land use, land use change, fires, land carbon uptake and loss, and emissions of volatile
31 organic compounds (Thornton et al., 2009; Kloster et al., 2010; Guenther et al., 2006). To isolate the

1 impacts of LULCC we perform separate simulations for each of the LULCC dynamic PFT scenarios and
2 compare it to an identical simulation with no PFT changes. All CLM simulations use 1.9-degree latitude
3 by 2.5-degree longitude spatial resolution and a 30 minute timestep.

4
5 **Spin-up of CLM is carried out with year 1850 land cover, which includes some anthropogenic changes.**
6 Simulations of historical LULCC run from year 1850 to 2005 and future simulations from year 2006 to
7 2100. We compute forcings in the year 2010 assuming historical LULCC was extended to 2010 with
8 RCP2.6 land cover changes. We follow the methods of Kloster et al. (2012) for historical and future
9 atmospheric forcing, including meteorology, CO₂ concentrations and N deposition. Twelve future CLM
10 simulations are run, two for each future LULCC scenario (RCP2.6, RCP4.5, RCP6.0, RCP8.5,
11 theoretical extreme case, and No-LULCC) forced from the atmosphere with temperature, precipitation,
12 wind, specific humidity, air pressure, and solar radiation data from the results of two fully-coupled
13 CMIP3 simulations. The two sets of atmospheric forcing were selected for their divergent predictions of
14 future temperature and precipitation (Kloster et al., 2012).

16 **2.2.1 Fires**

17
18 Fire area burned in CLM is controlled by available biomass, fuel moisture and ignition events, all
19 expressed as probabilities, and adjusted by surface wind speeds (Kloster et al., 2010). Fire emissions
20 from the area burned are contingent upon the available biomass and are partly determined by PFT-
21 dependent combustion completeness. In addition to wildfires, deforestation fires occur in the model and
22 are represented as an immediate release of a portion of the carbon lost during deforestation. In our
23 analysis, deforestation fires do not impact the overall CO₂ RF but do speed up the timing of the release
24 of carbon that would otherwise occur by decomposition. Deforestation fires do, however, contribute
25 small amounts of CH₄, N₂O, O₃ precursor gases, and aerosols to the atmosphere that would not have
26 been released through decomposition.

27
28 We attribute a reduction in global burned area, both historically and in the future, to LULCC in our
29 simulations (for RCP4.5, which includes large scale reforestation, the reduction is only a few percent).
30 This result matches our current understanding of the impact of LULCC on wildfires (Kloster et al.,
31 2012; Marlon et al., 2008).

1
2 Emissions of trace gases and aerosols by wildfires and deforestation fires are derived from the CLM
3 simulations of global fire activity. We use ten-year annual average fire carbon emission output from
4 CLM, corresponding to each analysis year (1850, 2010, 2100) to reduce the influence of interannual
5 variability in fires. Emission factors are applied to the carbon emissions from fires to determine the
6 contribution of fires to the various chemical species (see Fig. 2) including NMHCs, CH₄, N₂O, NH₃, BC,
7 OC, and SO₂ (Kloster et al., 2010; Ward et al., 2012). The LULCC contribution to global fire emissions
8 of BC and OC is negative in the year 2010 (-13%), in the year 2100 for all scenarios except for RCP4.5,
9 compared to the no-LULCC CLM realization (Table 1).

11 **2.2.2 Dust emissions**

12
13 Agricultural activities have been linked to increased wind erosion of soils and greater dust emission in
14 semi-arid regions (Ginoux et al., 2012). To address the impact of LULCC on dust emissions we
15 introduce a modified soil erodibility dataset for each scenario into simulations with the Community
16 Atmosphere Model (CAM) version 5 (Liu et al., 2011). The model protocol for these simulations is
17 identical to that used to compute the aerosol forcings (see Appendix B5). For each model grid box, a
18 new soil erodibility value is set equal to the sum of the original soil erodibility and the fraction of the
19 grid box that is cultivated land. We then introduce a parameter that weights the cultivated fraction in the
20 soil erodibility computation such that the fraction of the dust flux resulting from cultivation in the year
21 2000 for eight regions (N. America, S. America, N. Africa, S. Africa, W. Asia, C. Asia, E. Asia, and
22 Australia) is comparable to recently reported, satellite-derived values for each region (Ginoux et al.,
23 2012). The weighting parameter for cultivated land was tuned with three iterations of four-year global
24 atmospheric model simulations (again using the model setup described in Appendix B5), comparing the
25 results for the tuned and un-tuned soil erodibility to the Ginoux et al. (2012) estimates for each region
26 after each iteration. From this tuning we estimate reasonable weighting parameters for the cultivated
27 fraction of land in each of the eight regions. The weighting parameters are applied to the timeseries of
28 historical and projected crop area to create timeseries of soil erodibility that are modified by cultivation.

29
30 Ginoux et al. (2012) estimate that 25% of present day, global dust emissions are caused by
31 anthropogenic activities. We attribute about 20% of global dust emissions to historical LULCC (Table

1) Once these relationships between land use and dust are developed in the current climate, the natural dust source, along with changes in vegetation and climate are allowed to interact with the prognostic dust scheme to predict changes in dust concentrations (Mahowald et al., 2006; Albani et al., 2014). The extreme expansion of crop and pasture area in the TEC leads to more than a tripling of global dust emissions, from natural and human-impacted sources, by the year 2100 using this methodology (Table 1).

2.2.3 SOA emissions

Biogenic emissions of isoprene, monoterpenes, carbon monoxide (CO) and methanol depend on leaf area index (LAI) and, therefore, also on LULCC. We compute biogenic trace gas emissions using an offline version of the Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature (MEGAN) (Guenther et al., 2006) with a forced diurnal cycle for temperature and solar radiation (Ashworth et al., 2010). The monthly average LAI output from CLM are used for each scenario to produce the biogenic emissions with LAI scaled globally such that predicted year 2000 isoprene emissions match present day global estimates from Heald et al. (2008).

Some biogenic NMHCs, notably monoterpenes and isoprene, can undergo gas to particle phase transitions in the atmosphere after oxidation (Heald et al., 2008) and contribute to changes in aerosol concentrations. The rate of secondary aerosol production depends on the concentrations of the gas precursors, but also the oxidation capacity of the troposphere (Shindell et al., 2009). Both criteria are predicted in our atmospheric chemistry model simulations, described in Appendix B2. On a global average, we estimate a negligible LULCC attributed share of biogenic SOA precursors (mainly isoprene) in the year 2010 and attribute larger reductions to projected changes in land cover for the future RCP between 6 to 16% (Table 1), similar to the results of Wu et al. (2012) for isoprene plus monoterpene emissions (~10% lower with LULCC) between 2000 and 2100 using the IPCC A1B future emissions scenario.

2.2.4 CO₂ emissions

The anthropogenic contribution to the concentration of atmospheric CO₂, used to compute the RF at

1 years 2010 and 2100, depends on the history of anthropogenic CO₂ emissions up to that point. We
2 estimate yearly LULCC emissions to the atmosphere as being equivalent to the global annual change in
3 terrestrial carbon storage due to LULCC. Therefore, sources as well as changes to sinks of CO₂
4 associated with LULCC are accounted for in the CO₂ emissions. This approach is most similar to the
5 “D3” group of studies as defined by Pongratz et al. (2014) in which simulations with and without
6 LULCC are conducted with identical meteorological and atmospheric CO₂ forcing.

7
8 As noted in previous studies (e.g. Strassmann et al., 2008; Arora and Boer, 2010; Pongratz et al., 2009;
9 2014), this methodology does not account for the CO₂-fertilization feedback in which the CO₂ attributed
10 to LULCC leads to greater fertilization of natural and managed vegetation and an enhanced terrestrial
11 carbon sink. Arora and Boer (2010) show that excluding the CO₂-fertilization feedback leads to a form
12 of “double-counting” land carbon storage and can cause overestimates of 20th century LULCC net
13 carbon flux by about 50%. A review of the few studies estimating this feedback gives a range for the
14 overestimate of the net carbon flux from LULCC of 25 to 50% (Pongratz et al., 2014). However, a
15 recent model intercomparison study suggested that including nitrogen (N)-limitation dramatically
16 reduces terrestrial carbon pool sensitivity to changes in CO₂ concentration (Arora et al., 2013). Land
17 carbon uptake in coupled models using the CN version of CLM was only 40% as sensitive to changes in
18 CO₂ concentration and surface temperature increases (known as the climate change feedback) compared
19 to the model used by Arora and Boer (2010). Therefore we adjusted the yearly LULCC net carbon flux
20 downward by 20% to account for the CO₂ fertilization feedback and make our calculations of CO₂
21 concentration increases attributed to LULCC more consistent with the “E2” group of studies as defined
22 by Pongratz et al. (2014), including Arora and Boer (2010), Strassmann et al. (2008) and Pongratz et al.
23 (2009).

24
25 Other model parameters, including aerosol and biogenic NHMC fluxes, depend on LAI, which would
26 also be impacted by the different CO₂ fertilization. However, due to the non-linearity of the aerosol and
27 ozone response we do not apply an adjustment to these RFs but note here that the magnitude of the year
28 2010 aerosol, O₃ and indirect CH₄ RFs may be small overestimates.

29
30 Our simulated net carbon flux from LULCC does not include the impacts of cultivation on soil carbon
31 amounts. Model estimates of carbon emissions from soils that have been disrupted by land use are

1 poorly constrained (Houghton, 2010) and introduce major uncertainty into estimates of the net LULCC
2 carbon flux (House et al., 2002). In a review of field studies, Guo and Gifford (2002) conclude that soil
3 carbon is increased following most conversions of natural land to pasture, and decreased following
4 conversions to cropland. Lal (2004) estimates that cultivation has caused the loss of 78 ± 12 PgC from
5 soils since 1850. Modeling studies suggest that LULCC can contribute a net loss of soil carbon
6 globally, from ~13% of total LULCC carbon emitted (Strassmann et al., 2008) to ~37% (Shevliakova et
7 al., 2009), or a net gain as in Arora and Boer (2010). **Recently, Levis et al. (2014) implemented a**
8 **cultivation parameterization that includes impacts on soil carbon and found an additional global flux of**
9 **0.4 PgC yr^{-1} from soils due to crop management in recent decades.**

11 **2.3 LULCC emissions (not computed from CLM)**

13 This section describes the sources and accompanying computations for LULCC emissions of all relevant
14 trace gas and aerosol species not derived from the CLM simulations in this study (Fig. 2). For non-
15 LULCC related emissions (such as those from fossil fuel burning) we use the emission inventories from
16 the Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP) (Lamarque et al.,
17 2010) for historical time periods, with future emissions from RCP4.5 (Wise et al., 2009). These datasets
18 include emissions of non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHCs), NO, NH₃, SO₂, and organic carbon (OC)
19 and black carbon (BC) aerosols.

21 **2.3.1 Agricultural emissions**

23 Agricultural emissions of important trace gas species, such as NH₃ and N₂O, are not simulated by CLM.
24 Therefore, additional emissions from LULCC activities associated with agriculture were taken from the
25 integrated assessment model emissions for the different RCPs (e.g. van Vuuren et al., 2011). These
26 activities are fertilizer application, soil modification, livestock pasturage, rice cultivation and
27 agricultural waste burning, and we include global, emissions of NMHCs, NO_x, CH₄, NH₃, BC, OC, and
28 SO₂ from LULCC sources are from these activities. N₂O emissions are not reported by sector for the
29 RCPs and we compute these separately (Sect. 2.3.2). The four Integrated Assessment Models (IAMs)
30 associated with the RCPs for the fifth IPCC assessment report simulate the expansion and contraction of
31 agriculture driven by the demand for food and projected land use policies, such as carbon credits for

1 reforestation or support of expanded biofuel crops (van Vuuren et al., 2011). The area under cultivation
2 and type of agricultural activities jointly determine the future distribution of agricultural emissions for
3 each projection (van Vuuren et al., 2007; Wise et al., 2009; Fujino et al., 2006; Riahi et al., 2007). We
4 use historical agricultural emissions from ACCMIP (Lamarque et al., 2010), which covers the time
5 period of 1850-2005 and extend the historical emissions with RCP2.6 projected emissions through year
6 2010 for computing LULCC RFs in the year 2010.

7
8 For the TEC, agricultural emissions are derived by scaling the RCP8.5 emissions by the difference in
9 cultivated area between the two scenarios in year 2100. First, three latitude band average (-90° to -30°, -
10 30° to 30°, and 30° to 90° latitude) values of emissions of each species per unit cultivated area are
11 computed for RCP8.5, year 2100. Next, the latitude band averages are applied to the theoretical extreme
12 case cultivated area in the year 2100, requiring the assumption that the practices and intensity of
13 agriculture in the TEC are the same as in RCP8.5, and only the cultivated area changes.

14 15 **2.3.2 N₂O emissions**

16
17 N₂O has both industrial and agricultural sources, in addition to a large natural source from soils and
18 oceans. Total anthropogenic N₂O emissions have been estimated for the historical time period and
19 projected for RCP4.5 (Meinshausen et al., 2011a). Additional information regarding natural emissions
20 and also agricultural emissions are needed to partition the anthropogenic N₂O emissions into LULCC
21 and non-LULCC components and estimate the associated RFs. We follow the methodology of
22 Meinshausen et al. (2011b) in which the N₂O budget is balanced for a historical time period to extract
23 the natural emissions from the total anthropogenic emissions. Natural emissions of N₂O decrease from
24 about 11 to 9 TgN (N₂O) yr⁻¹ using this method between the years 1850 and 2000. We maintain the year
25 2000 emissions, 9 TgN (N₂O) yr⁻¹, for the years 2000 to 2100. Future land cover change, particularly the
26 theoretical extreme case, could lead to further reductions in natural N₂O emissions through the year
27 2100. However, not enough is known about global natural N₂O emissions to justify changing the future
28 emission rate for this analysis (Syakila and Kroeze, 2011).

29
30 Anthropogenic emissions of N₂O have been partitioned into agricultural (LULCC) and other
31 anthropogenic (primarily fossil fuel) sources, which have been further partitioned into animal production

1 and cultivation sources for years prior to 2006 (Syakila and Kroeze, 2011). We compute the global N₂O
2 emitted per area covered by crop or pasture in the year 2000 using these estimates. Our estimate for
3 year 2010 N₂O emissions from agriculture, 4.3 TgN(N₂O)yr⁻¹, is at the lower end of previously reported
4 values compiled by Reay et al. (2012), ranging from 4.2 to 7 TgN(N₂O)yr⁻¹. The year 2000 ratios of
5 emission per area are applied to future changes in crop or pasture area to compute future LULCC N₂O
6 emissions for all scenarios. This assumes no future trends in the rates per cultivated land area of the
7 major agricultural N sources: N fertilizer application and animal waste management (Syakila and
8 Kroeze, 2011). Our approach results in increased N₂O emissions from agriculture between years 2010
9 and 2100 for RCP2.6, RCP8.5, and the theoretical extreme case (Table 1). Emissions decrease during
10 the 21st century in the RCP4.5 scenario and are about the same in 2100 as in 2010 for RCP6.0.

11

12 **2.4 Radiative forcing calculations**

13

14 Radiative forcing (RF) is the change in energy balance at the top of the atmosphere due to a change in a
15 forcing agent, such as an atmospheric greenhouse gas. It is a commonly used metric for comparison of a
16 diverse set of climate forcings and can be used to approximate a global surface temperature response
17 (Forster et al., 2007). The different atmospheric lifetimes of the relevant trace gas and aerosol species
18 (listed in Fig. 2) means that a single model approach cannot easily capture changes in all the forcing
19 agents (Unger et al., 2010) and, therefore, a combination of models and methodologies are used here
20 (Fig. 2). Here we summarize the different methodologies for computing the RFs, while detailed
21 descriptions are given in Appendix B.

22

23 We adopt the IPCC AR5 (Myhre et al., 2013) definitions of adjusted RF and effective RF (ERF) and
24 calculate the adjusted RFs for each forcing agent (ERFs for aerosol forcings), relative to a preindustrial
25 state (year 1850), with modeled radiative transfer or previously published expressions. Our choice of
26 preindustrial reference year is constrained by the available land cover change datasets, which start in
27 1850. However, large-scale anthropogenic land cover change began centuries before 1850, and
28 preindustrial changes could have an additional impact on present day climate, perhaps accounting for
29 nearly 10% of historical anthropogenic global surface temperature change (Pongratz and Caldiera,
30 2012). In our study, the RF of LULCC relative to the year 1850 is then compared to the RFs of other
31 anthropogenic activities, dominated by fossil fuel burning. RFs due to non-LULCC activities are

1 calculated in this study for RCP4.5 non-LULCC emissions with identical methodology to that used for
2 LULCC emissions. All future LULCC RFs are calculated assuming background concentrations of trace
3 gases and aerosols characteristic of RCP4.5. With this approach we can examine the impacts of the
4 range in projected LULCC on RF independent of other anthropogenic activities. Although we are not
5 able to report, for example, the RF of projected LULCC from the RCP8.5 scenario in the context of
6 RCP8.5 fossil fuel emissions. Using a different projection to provide the background concentrations
7 would modify the resulting LULCC RFs.

8
9 The RFs of greenhouse gases from LULCC are easily computed from changes in their atmospheric
10 concentrations since the preindustrial period. Time-dependent changes in CO₂ and N₂O concentrations,
11 which are long-lived in the atmosphere, are calculated with simple, pulse-response function and box-
12 model approaches, respectively. To model changes in O₃ concentrations from LULCC, which has a
13 relatively short atmospheric lifetime, we use the CAM version 4 (Hurrell et al., 2013; Gent et al., 2011)
14 with online chemistry from the Model for Ozone and Related chemical Tracers (MOZART) (Emmons et
15 al., 2010) which simulates all major processes in the photochemical production and loss of O₃. Our
16 model setup also includes changes in O₃ deposition rate due to LULCC impacts on LAI through the
17 vegetation dependence of the dry deposition rate. Results from these simulations also determine
18 changes in the lifetime of CH₄ due to LULCC emissions of NMHCs and NO_x.

19
20 Aerosol chemistry and dynamics are simulated on a global scale using CAM version 5 (Liu et al., 2011)
21 with the three-mode Modal Aerosol Model (MAM3) (Liu et al., 2012), including the two-moment
22 microphysical scheme (Morrison and Gettelman, 2008) and aerosol/cloud interactions for stratiform
23 clouds. Since models generally disagree on the magnitude of the aerosol effects we use the IPCC-AR5
24 central estimate aerosol direct and indirect ERFs for the year 2011 to estimate the total anthropogenic
25 aerosol forcing in the year 2010 and use our model results to determine the proportion of the total
26 anthropogenic aerosols effects due to LULCC. We then apply the same scaling to the aerosol effects in
27 all future scenarios. The impacts of the LULCC aerosol emissions, both direct effects and indirect
28 effects on clouds, are diagnosed online within CAM5. We do not attempt to isolate the RF of aerosols
29 from quick-responding cloud feedbacks within the model and the computed forcings that include these
30 feedbacks are more appropriately referred to as effective radiative forcings (ERF). For computing a
31 total forcing from LULCC we include the aerosol ERFs with the RFs of the remaining forcing agents.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

LULCC activities change vegetation cover and type, affect forest canopy coverage, and alter wildfire activity, all of which impact land surface albedo. We compute these impacts using output from the CLM simulations with and without LULCC (Sect. 2.2). Monthly averages for solar radiation incident upon the surface (after accounting for attenuation by monthly average cloud cover) are multiplied by the surface albedo with LULCC and without LULCC for each model grid point. The RF equals the global annual average difference between the outgoing solar radiation with LULCC and without LULCC.

2.4.1 Uncertainties

The uncertainty in these RF estimates arises largely from the uncertainty in modeling the effects of aerosols and modeling the impacts of climate, CO₂ changes, and LULCC on the carbon cycle. Our model predicts less uptake of anthropogenic carbon in natural land ecosystems compared to other land models, and thus could be underestimating the impact of land use on these regions (C. Jones et al., 2013). We compute the uncertainty in the total anthropogenic RF for each forcing agent with additional uncertainty associated with the partitioning of each RF into LULCC and other anthropogenic contributions, and with future fire emissions (Appendix C). For emissions from the theoretical extreme case we assume that our scaling assumptions (Sect. 2.3.1) are valid and do not introduce additional uncertainty, although the level of understanding of how emissions would scale under such an extreme scenario is low.

In addition to the uncertainties, there are a few shortcomings inherent in our approach. We do not include many biogeophysical effects of LULCC, such as changes to surface latent and sensible heat fluxes and to the hydrological cycle, that impact climate (DeFries et al., 2002; Feddema et al., 2005; Brovkin et al., 2006; Pitman et al., 2009; Lawrence and Chase, 2010). In general, while important for local or regional climate especially in the tropics (Strengers et al., 2010), these effects are considered minor on a global scale (Lawrence and Chase, 2010) and are difficult to quantify using the RF concept (Pielke et al., 2002). For the calculation of the many forcing agents that we do consider, our approach is to treat each forcing separately, which could lead to differences in RFs between agents that are due partly to methodology. For example, land cover changes and agricultural emissions were developed jointly for each of the RCPs, but for use in terrestrial models, including CLM, the land cover change

1 projections were altered (Di Vittorio et al., 2014). This leads to inconsistent storylines between future
2 emissions computed by CLM (Sect. 2.2) and those taken directly from the RCP integrated assessment
3 model output (Sect. 2.3.1). Therefore, it is important to view the future RFs computed here as
4 comprising a broad range in possible outcomes, extended with the TEC, as opposed to precise results
5 corresponding to specific storylines for the future. Finally, the inhomogeneous distribution of forcing
6 from surface albedo changes and short-lived trace gas and aerosol species could lead to non-additive (A.
7 Jones et al., 2013), and highly variable local climate responses (Lawrence et al., 2012). Therefore, we
8 use the RF for our assessment of global-scale climate impacts and acknowledge the limits of the RF
9 concept for predicting the diverse and often local impacts of land use (Betts, 2008; Runyan et al., 2012).

11 **3 Results**

13 **3.1 Land use impacts on present day radiative forcing**

15 We estimate a RF in the year 2010 from LULCC of $0.9 \pm 0.5 \text{ W m}^{-2}$, 40% (+/- 16%) of the present day
16 total anthropogenic RF (Fig. 3, Table 2). By separating the total anthropogenic RF (sum of LULCC and
17 other anthropogenic activities) into contributions by forcing agent we can compare our calculations to
18 the central estimates of Myhre et al. (2013) (Fig. 3) and the reported RFs of van Vuuren et al. (2011)
19 (Table 3). Our calculations of the total, present day, anthropogenic RF correspond closely to the van
20 Vuuren et al. (2011) values.

22 The major contributors to the present-day LULCC RF are associated increases in atmospheric CO₂ and
23 CH₄. Deforestation, driven largely by the demand for additional agricultural land, leads to an estimated
24 net decrease in global forest area of roughly 5.5 million km² from 1850 to 2010 (Lawrence et al., 2012;
25 Fig. A1), and a transfer of carbon from the terrestrial biosphere into the atmosphere. Past studies report
26 a LULCC contribution to current CO₂ concentrations (either year 2000 or 2005) of 26 ppm (Matthews et
27 al., 2004), 22 to 43 ppm (Brovkin et al., 2004), ~45 ppm (Strassmann et al., 2008), and 17 ppm (Arora
28 and Boer, 2010). After adjusting for the CO₂ fertilization feedback, we estimate a LULCC contribution
29 of 28 ppm CO₂ in the year 2010. Our approach results in a year 2010 CO₂ concentration of 399 ppm
30 (285 ppm preindustrial, 86 ppm fossil fuels, 28ppm LULCC), which overshoots the observed change in
31 CO₂ over the same period by about 10% but is well within the range of values from the CMIP5 fully

1 coupled climate model experiment, 368 ppm to 403 ppm in 2005 (Friedlingstein et al., 2013). The
2 overestimate is in this case attributable to uncertainty in the total LULCC CO₂ emissions and uncertainty
3 regarding the airborne fraction of historical emissions.

4
5 Present day LULCC and non-LULCC anthropogenic activities each emit close to 150 Tg CH₄ annually
6 (van Vuuren et al., 2007), yet the RF from LULCC CH₄ is roughly double the RF from non-LULCC
7 CH₄ (Fig. 3). The RF of non-LULCC CH₄ is diminished relative to LULCC CH₄ by the concurrent
8 emission of non-LULCC NO_x, which leads to greater tropospheric ozone (O₃) production, an increase in
9 the oxidation capacity of the troposphere, and as a result, a 20% reduction in CH₄ lifetime with respect
10 to removal by reaction with OH (Appendix B3).

11
12 From CAM4 simulations of atmospheric chemistry we find that tropospheric O₃ increases from 192 Tg
13 in 1850 to 304 Tg in 2010, when all anthropogenic activities are included. The O₃ increase of 112 Tg
14 falls within the range of previous estimates (Lamarque et al., 2005). Here we separate the increase in O₃
15 concentrations into a non-LULCC contribution, 87%, and a LULCC contribution, 13%. The large non-
16 LULCC contribution is attributable to additional O₃ formation from NO_x emissions from fossil fuel
17 burning sources. The contribution of LULCC to changes in O₃ combines several competing effects
18 (Ganzeveld et al., 2010) including attributed changes in biogenic emissions of volatile organic
19 compounds (virtually no contribution by historical LULCC on a global average) and reductions in
20 emissions from wildfires (Table 1). The increase in tropospheric O₃ from LULCC is partially
21 compensated for by a slight increase in the dry deposition of O₃ with LULCC (6%) between 1850 and
22 2010 as a result of the LULCC-enhanced O₃ concentration and despite the decrease in O₃ removal
23 efficiency in deforested areas, similar to the findings of Ganzeveld et al. (2010). The small contribution
24 of LULCC to global “short-lived” O₃ concentrations is augmented by additional O₃ (2.5 DU in 2010)
25 produced in response to long-term increases in CH₄ (primary mode response; Appendix B2). The
26 additional O₃ from this response accounts for 60% of the LULCC O₃ RF of 0.12 Wm⁻² in 2010. The
27 primary mode response O₃ is less important for non-LULCC activities because of the smaller CH₄
28 contribution from these activities.

29
30 We assume that long-lived greenhouse gases, CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O, with lifetimes on the order of years to
31 centuries, are sufficiently well-mixed in the atmosphere that the forcing from these gases is spatially

1 homogeneous (Table 4). The lifetime of tropospheric O₃ is considerably shorter, on the order of weeks,
2 meaning concentrations can vary spatially, becoming higher near areas of O₃ production and remaining
3 below the global average in remote regions away from areas of O₃ production. The RF varies in space
4 with the concentration, although, these heterogeneities are moderate for O₃. The RF at 80% of grid
5 points is within $\pm 0.07 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ of the global mean RF (Table 4).

6
7 While the positive RF from non-LULCC greenhouse gas emissions is offset to some extent by
8 concurrent emissions of aerosols, LULCC contributes both increases and decreases in aerosol emissions
9 resulting in nearly neutral aerosol RFs for the present day (Fig. 3). These opposing contributions to
10 aerosol emissions are evident in the spatial variability in AOD attributable to historical LULCC, ranging
11 between -0.18 to 0.29 (Table 4). Global average aerosol optical depth (AOD) is greater in 2010 and in
12 2100 for the RCP4.5, RCP6.0 and TEC scenarios when LULCC emissions are included, and lower for
13 RCP2.6 and RCP8.5 scenarios, but in all cases the attributed share of LULCC is less than 0.01. The RF
14 from aerosol deposition onto snow and ice surfaces is negligible on a global average (0.01 Wm^{-2} for
15 historical LULCC) but exceeds $\pm 1 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ in some locations (Table 4). We also consider the impacts of
16 aerosols and trace gas species on atmospheric CO₂ due to bio-fertilization by deposition of P, Fe and N
17 emitted from fires, and N from agriculture (NH₃, NO_x, N₂O). For present day emissions of these species
18 from LULCC activities (and land cover change impacts on fires), the drawdown of CO₂, enhanced
19 particularly by agricultural emissions of N, leads to a negative RF of -0.10 Wm^{-2} that nearly
20 compensates for the positive RF from the greenhouse effect of agricultural N₂O emissions (0.14 Wm^{-2}),
21 a noteworthy aspect of agricultural emissions that was also suggested by Zaehle et al. (2011).

22
23 Estimates for the global RF from albedo changes range from -0.10 (Skeie et al., 2011) to -0.28 W m^{-2}
24 (Lawrence et al., 2012), with a substantial percentage, potentially 25%, caused by preindustrial LULCC
25 (Pongratz et al., 2009). Further estimates (Betts, 2001; Betts et al., 2007; Davin et al., 2007) fall near
26 the IPCC AR5 central estimate of -0.15 Wm^{-2} (Myhre et al., 2013). The RF from albedo changes is near
27 zero in most locations but has a high magnitude, up to 5 Wm^{-2} , in some localities on an annual average
28 (Table 4), similar to the findings of Betts et al. (2007). Our estimate for the global RF from historical
29 land surface albedo change, -0.05 Wm^{-2} , is at the higher end of the range of previously published
30 estimates, yet still within the 90% confidence interval around the central estimate of Myhre et al. (2013).
31 Reductions in fire area burned that result from historical LULCC act to decrease the magnitude of the

1 surface albedo change forcing, although by less than 0.01 Wm^{-2} for the present day. The use of a less
2 altered, more natural background state than our year 1850 landscape would likely increase the
3 magnitude of this forcing (Sitch et al., 2005; Pongratz et al., 2009).

5 **3.2 Future land use impacts on radiative forcing**

6
7 In the year 2100 the RF attributable to anthropogenic LULCC, as projected by the RCPs, ranges
8 between 0.9 to 1.9 Wm^{-2} (Fig. 4), although as a percentage of the projected total anthropogenic RF (as
9 computed for RCP4.5), land use is less important in year 2100 than in 2010 (Table 2). Despite
10 diverging trajectories for forest area and crop area for RCP2.6, RCP4.5 and RCP6.0 in the 21st century
11 (Fig. A1), the year 2100 LULCC RFs are similar between these scenarios (Fig. 4). The RCP8.5 RF is
12 characterized by relatively high contributions from CO_2 and CH_4 resulting in a total LULCC RF that is
13 double the average of the other three RCP scenarios. The difference between RCP8.5 and the other
14 scenarios suggests that decisions regarding global land policy similar to those used to develop the RCPs
15 could reduce or increase global anthropogenic RF by 1 Wm^{-2} by 2100.

16
17 The LULCC projections for all four RCP scenarios include future decreases in global deforestation rates
18 compared to recent historical rates (Fig. 5). A recent satellite assessment of global forest area gain and
19 loss reported a global forest loss rate of 12.5 Mha yr^{-1} between 2000 and 2012 (Hansen et al., 2013),
20 suggesting the census-reported rates for 2000 to 2010 (FAO, 2010) may be estimating less deforestation
21 than is really occurring. If recent rates of observed forest area change persist, the global forest area
22 projected in all four RCP scenarios by Hurtt et al. (2011) will become overestimates in the near future,
23 especially in RCP4.5 and RCP6.0. More extreme land use scenarios are plausible, and would have a
24 larger effect on climate. The theoretical extreme case, in which all arable land is converted to
25 agricultural land and all remaining land that is pasturable is converted to grasses by the year 2100, does
26 not take some important agricultural factors, such as changes in crop yields and per capita caloric intake,
27 into account, but was created to represent a limit to cropland expansion on Earth. Since we designate
28 arable land using a measure of climate suitability (Appendix A), following Ramankutty et al. (2002),
29 crop area could conceivably expand beyond this limit with the use of irrigation. In fact, areas of South
30 Asia currently support more agriculture than estimates of climate suitability suggest they should
31 (Ramankutty et al., 2002).

1
2 In the theoretical extreme case, crop area roughly doubles by the year 2050, and continues to increase at
3 the same rate to 2100. The rate of deforestation required to accommodate the expanded agriculture is
4 three times greater than upper estimates from the RCPs for year 2000-2030 forest loss (Fig. 5), resulting
5 in the near complete removal of tropical forests by the year 2100 (Fig. A2), and a global release of ~500
6 PgC from vegetation to the atmosphere. Loss of soil carbon often accompanies forest conversion to
7 crops or grasses (Lal, 2004) but this process is not well simulated in this generation of terrestrial models.
8 House et al. (2002) estimate terrestrial carbon loss from a complete deforestation to be between 450 to
9 820 PgC, with much of the uncertainty in the range due to different estimates of carbon loss from soils.
10 The version and configuration of CLM used in this study does not include the process of carbon loss
11 from soils from cultivation. Still, loss of carbon from vegetation alone in the theoretical extreme case
12 corresponds to roughly two-thirds of the value of the proven reserves of fossil fuels (760 PgC)
13 (Meinshausen et al., 2009). The substantial loss of terrestrial carbon to the atmosphere in the theoretical
14 extreme case leads to a RF of 1.3 Wm^{-2} for CO_2 (Fig. 4). The magnitudes of all other forcing agents are
15 enhanced in this scenario, leading to a sum RF of $3.9 \pm 0.9 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ at the year 2100.

16

17 **4.3 Enhancement of land use CO_2 radiative forcing**

18

19 On average over all converted land types and land management histories, CO_2 RF from LULCC is
20 enhanced by the accompanying (although not necessarily concurrent) emissions of non- CO_2 greenhouse
21 gases and aerosols, such that the total RF is 2 to 3 times that of the CO_2 alone. For example, we
22 estimate the net carbon flux from LULCC between 1850-2010 to be 140 PgC, leading to a RF from CO_2
23 of $\sim 0.4 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ in 2010, or about half of the total LULCC RF. In contrast, for other anthropogenic
24 activities the RF from CO_2 and the total RF are roughly equal (Fig. 3, Fig. 4). Therefore, while LULCC
25 accounted for about 20% of anthropogenic CO_2 -equivalent emissions in 2010 (Tubiello et al., 2013), its
26 contribution to the anthropogenic RF is 40% (+/- 16%). We can express this enhancement factor as the
27 ratio of the sum RF to the CO_2 RF for LULCC, divided by the same ratio for other anthropogenic
28 activities (FF+), or $E = (\text{RF}_{\text{sum}}/\text{RF}_{\text{CO}_2})_{\text{LULCC}}/(\text{RF}_{\text{sum}}/\text{RF}_{\text{CO}_2})_{\text{FF+}}$. For all future LULCC scenarios the
29 enhancement factor is between 2.0 to 2.9 (Table 5). We compute the maximum enhancement of the CO_2
30 RF for the RCP4.5 scenario ($E = 2.9$). In the development of the RCP4.5 scenario, international carbon
31 trading incentivizes preservation of forests and reforestation, which reduces CO_2 emissions and the

1 resulting CO₂ RF from LULCC, increasing the enhancement factor.

2
3 The uncertainties in this factor (computed using the monte carlo method are described in Appendix C3)
4 are large but suggest that the enhancement is unlikely to be less than 1.3 for the year 2010 or any of the
5 given future scenarios. Values above 4.0 for the enhancement factor are within the uncertainty range for
6 the RCP4.5, RCP8.5 and TEC scenarios. The large enhancement factors for the RCP8.5 and TEC
7 scenarios result mainly from the substantial CH₄ RF relative to the CO₂ RF. For RCP4.5, this is a
8 reflection of the low CO₂ RF attributed to LULCC and relatively high total RF with contributions from
9 all other non-CO₂ greenhouse gases. The aerosol forcings play a minor role in the sum RF attributed to
10 LULCC but impact the enhancement factor by reducing the non-LULCC forcing considerably. The
11 aerosol ERFs are the source of much of the uncertainty surrounding the enhancement factor. Since the
12 RF calculations presented here are within uncertainty estimates across many models and estimates (Fig.
13 3), it is likely that other models or approaches would obtain similar results if the same processes and
14 activities were considered. We do not expect that the LULCC activities and biogeophysical forcings that
15 we exclude from this study would have a substantial impact on the enhancement as these forcings have
16 been shown to be small when considered on a global scale (Lawrence and Chase, 2010). Including
17 model representation of LULCC impacts on soil carbon could increase the CO₂ and total RF attributed
18 to LULCC (Levis et al., 2014) and lead to a small reduction in the enhancement factors compared to the
19 values we report.

20 21 **5. Conclusions**

22
23 Effective strategies for mitigation of human impacts on global climate require an understanding of the
24 major sources of those impacts (Unger et al., 2010). Anthropogenic land use and changes to land cover
25 have long been recognized as important contributors to global climate forcing (Feddema et al., 2005),
26 and yet most studies on this topic focus on either land use (e.g. Unger et al., 2010) or land cover change
27 (e.g. Davin et al., 2007; Pongratz et al., 2009), but not both. In this study we compute the fraction of
28 anthropogenic RF that is attributable to LULCC activities including a more comprehensive range of
29 forcing agents.

30
31 Current estimates of the net LULCC carbon flux between 1850 and 2000 are between 108 PgC and 188

1 PgC (Houghton, 2010), while here we estimate 131 PgC. Estimates from this study using the future
2 scenarios analyzed in the IPCC (the representative concentration scenarios or RCPs) suggest between 20
3 and 210 carbon will be released, consistent with Strassmann et al. (2008), and at the higher end of the
4 model range reported by Brovkin et al. (2013). Our model underpredicts the uptake of land carbon
5 relative to other models (e.g Arora et al., 2013), and unlike other estimates includes the explicit interplay
6 between changes in land use and fires (e.g. Marlon et al., 2008; Kloster et al., 2010). The RCP scenarios
7 were designed to cover a diverse set of pathways and create a broad range in possible outcomes for the
8 next century (Moss et al., 2010). Given that the RCP scenarios all project decreases in global forest area
9 loss rates in the 21st century relative to current rates, these scenarios are likely to be lower bounds on
10 deforestation rates in the future (Fig. 5). To explore higher rates of global forest loss and crop and
11 pasture expansions, we introduce a theoretical extreme case, in which all the arable land is converted to
12 agriculture and pasture usage by 2100. Since the rates of deforestation in this scenario are higher than
13 current rates, this scenario is an upper bound on what could occur. We calculate that with the intense
14 pressures on land inherent to this scenario, between 590 and 700 PgC would be released from LULCC in
15 this century.

16

17 We find that the total RF contributed by LULCC is two to three times the RF from CO₂ alone when
18 additional positive forcings from non-CO₂ greenhouse gases and relatively small forcings from aerosols
19 and surface albedo are considered. The RF of other anthropogenic activities (largely fossil fuels) in
20 2010 and in 2100 (RCP4.5), relative to 1850, includes a large magnitude negative aerosol forcing that
21 offsets enough of the warming contribution from greenhouse gases that the total RF matches closely
22 with the RF from CO₂. The result of this enhancement of the LULCC RF with respect to its CO₂
23 emissions, and lack of enhancement of the other anthropogenic activities RF, is a 40% LULCC
24 contribution to present day anthropogenic RF, a substantially larger percentage that is deduced from
25 greenhouse gas emissions alone (Tubiello et al., 2013). The percentage of anthropogenic RF attributable
26 to LULCC activities is likely to decrease in the future, even as the magnitude of the RF could increase
27 by up to 1.0 Wm⁻² from 2010 to 2100. The lifetime and distribution of short-lived species makes
28 simplification difficult in terms of equating CO₂ RF to other constituents (Shine et al., 2007), but simple
29 approaches of controlling cumulative carbon (Allen et al., 2009) should account for the two to three
30 times enhancement of the LULCC RF over long time periods per unit CO₂ emitted relative to other
31 sources of CO₂.

1

2 Including forcings from aerosols in our assessment, while only slightly affecting the mean estimate of
3 the total LULCC RF, greatly increases the uncertainty in the estimate. Much of the uncertainty arises
4 from the simulation of aerosol/cloud interactions and the indirect effect for which very little model
5 consensus exists on a global scale (Forster et al., 2007). In addition to these uncertainties, the
6 perturbations of natural aerosol emissions by LULCC activities (mineral dust, SOA, wildfire smoke) are
7 only beginning to be better understood on a global scale (Ginoux et al., 2012; Ganzeveld et al., 2010).
8 Further research into the sources and lifetimes of natural aerosols, and anthropogenic impacts on their
9 emissions, could efficiently reduce our uncertainty in the contribution of LULCC to global RF.

10

11 While it is likely that advances in, and proliferation of, agricultural technologies will be sufficient to
12 meet global food demand without such an extreme increase in crop and pasture area, investment in
13 foreign lands for agriculture, as a cost-effective alternative to intensification of existing agriculture, may
14 be hastening the conversion of unprotected natural lands (Rulli et al., 2013). Given the huge potential
15 for climate impacts from LULCC in this century, estimated here to be $3.9 \pm 0.9 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ at the maximum,
16 similar to some estimates of future climate impacts from fossil fuels (e.g. Van Vuuren et al., 2011), our
17 study substantiates that not only energy usage but land use and land cover change needs to remain a
18 focus of climate change mitigation.