Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, C4467–C4468, 2014 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/14/C4467/2014/ © Author(s) 2014. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



ACPD 14, C4467–C4468, 2014

> Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "On direct passive microwave remote sensing of sea spray aerosol production" by I. B. Savelyev et al.

Anonymous Referee #3

Received and published: 3 July 2014

The authors of this paper present a new sea spray source function, based on brightness temperature, which incorporates several aspects of the sea surface conditions (roughness and foam). This function, derived from fitting curves through measurements, is compared to the most well-known sea spray source functions that were based on wind speed only. The approach is original and findings are discussed thoroughly in terms of physical meaning and in terms of the consequences of different approaches. The paper is well written and deserves publication. Still, I have a few questions and remarks.

P15388: I'm a bit confused on which data are used: FLIP or COAMPS meteorology. It is mentioned that in Fig 7 the presence of foam only starts from wind speeds above 7 m/s, but in Fig 1 the FLIP data only show wind speeds >7 m/s for the episodes





with TB measurements. The same holds for the curves for the different wind speeds. COAMPS however has wind speeds around 5 m/s on day 118. Do you use the data for the emissivity model as well? In the meteorology section it is only explicitly mentioned that COAMPS was used for running HYSPLIT. Can you clarify this?

P15395: You suggest to replace U10 by TB in existing relationships. It would be interesting to show an example of the result. In addition, if you replace ΔT in eqns 13 or 14 by the proposed fit (eqn 15) you could comment on the general dependency of your sea spray source function on the wind speed (exponent of U10) and compare that to literature values based on wind speed only (U10³, U10³.41).

P15396: Sea state and resulting TB may vary considerably within a day. What kind of resolution in terms of space and time do these satellites provide? Would that be enough for an assessment of sea spray production for e.g. climate models, for which sea spray concentrations are highly relevant? These models would typically require meteorological/oceanographical fields as input every 3-6 hours.

Technical comment P15373: TBP is already used but only defined op P15374 (eq.7).

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, 15363, 2014.

ACPD 14, C4467–C4468, 2014

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

