

[Interactive
Comment](#)

Interactive comment on “Contribution of ship traffic to aerosol particle concentrations downwind of a major shipping lane” by N. Kivekäs et al.

M. Kaasik (Referee)

marko.kaasik@ut.ee

Received and published: 1 June 2014

I still don't understand, why double ship counts should be avoided (subsec. 3.2, lines 27 - 28, see also my first review), as any ship that passes and then returns, makes a new plume that naturally contributes to daily pollution statistics. However, this peculiarity of method is explained and should be understood by any reader, who then can then ask authors, why they did that. This is a minor issue and I don't see any obstacles to publish the paper as it is.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, 8419, 2014.

C3018

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

[Discussion Paper](#)

