

Interactive
Comment

Interactive comment on “Comparison of ice particle characteristics simulated by the Community Atmosphere Model (CAM5) with in-situ observations” by T. Eidhammer et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 17 April 2014

General comments:

In this study, the authors evaluated ice microphysics in CAM5 using aircraft observations. Several parameters about ice size distribution were compared with two different field campaigns. The sensitivity of the ice-snow autoconversion was also evaluated. These detail evaluations are useful for improving cloud microphysics scheme in CAM5.

Specific comments:

Page 7647, the method for calculating the slope parameter from observations has been introduced. Please also introduce how to calculate the intercept parameter from ob-

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



servations.

Page 7648, the authors only consider ice sizes larger than 75 micron, both in the observations and in the model, to be consistent. As far as I know, CAM5 model results show that cirrus clouds at low temperature are dominant by ice particles with size less than 75 micron. Please discuss this issue in detail. It is better to show the fraction of ice particles with size greater than 75 micron based on model output mass concentration and number density.

Interactive comment on *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 14, 7637, 2014.

ACPD

14, C1525–C1526, 2014

Interactive
Comment

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

[Discussion Paper](#)

