Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, C12827–C12828, 2015 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/14/C12827/2015/

© Author(s) 2015. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



ACPD

14, C12827–C12828, 2015

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Origin of springtime ozone enhancements in the lower troposphere over Beijing: in situ measurements and model analysis" by J. Huang et al.

J. Huang et al.

hongyu.liu-1@nasa.gov

Received and published: 25 March 2015

Thank Referee #1 for helpful comments.

1. "Section 3, Page 32592, lines 4-5: Awkward sentence. Change to 'Measurements were made by both platforms on four days: 1, 3, 11, and 15 May.' "

Reply - Changed accordingly.

2. "Section 3, Page 32594: Lines 1-5: Please be specific how "enhanced" is defined. Was there a threshold?"

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Reply - We have revised the text to "The O3 concentrations (\sim 65 ppbv) at \sim 1.5 km in the average profile reflects O3 enhancements (> 70 ppbv) frequently observed in individual profiles during April-May, 2005."

3. "Section 4, Page 32596, Lines 1-5: Is there another meteorological scale that could be missing and is important? Is that what is implied here?"

Reply - The original text was not clear. We have revised it to: "The distribution and variability of model relative humidity (RH) are generally similar to those observed by ozonesondes (not shown), suggesting that convective transport and large-scale ascending and descending motions in the study region are reasonably represented in the GEOS-4 and GEOS-5 meteorology."

4. "Section 5.1, Page 32598, line 8 and others: The word "suppressed" is not a good choice here. If the emissions were completely turned off in the model, as I suspect they were, then do not use the word "suppressed" throughout this paragraph. Instead say the emissions were not included."

Reply - We have replaced "suppressed" with "turned off".

5. "Section 5.2, Page 32600, Lines 14 – 15: "Followed by a trend of decreasing with altitude" is awkward and should be reworded."

Reply - We have rewritten the sentence to "The ozone mixing ratio was lowest near the surface and increased with altitude below \sim 1.5km where it reached a maximum (94.7ppbv and 90ppbv in ozonesonde and aircraft measurements, respectively), and then decreased with altitude from \sim 1.5km to \sim 4km."

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, 32583, 2014.

ACPD

14, C12827–C12828, 2015

> Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

