

[Interactive
Comment](#)

***Interactive comment on* “Observations and modeling of air quality trends over 1990–2010 across the Northern Hemisphere: China, the United States and Europe” by J. Xing et al.**

J. Xing et al.

xingjia@tsinghua.org.cn

Received and published: 14 January 2015

We would like to thank the referee for a very thoughtful and detailed review of our manuscript that helped to improve the paper. Below we provide a point-by-point response to the reviewer’s comments and how we have addressed them in the revised manuscript.

[Comment]: The limitations of this paper, e.g., O₃ chemistry over China is discussed without evaluation due to the unavailability of O₃ data, should be discussed in the conclusion section.

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

[Discussion Paper](#)



[Response]: we agree with the reviewer and have provided additional description about this limitation in the revised manuscript (P26 L4-6), as below: “The lack of long-term observations in Asia, particularly over China and India limits a robust model performance evaluation as well as O3 and PM chemistry assessment in these polluted areas”

[Comment]: Seven cities are selected in CN-API network in model evaluation. It is better to add an explanation of the reasons to choose only those seven cities for China.

[Response]: we have added the reason for choosing only those seven cities in the revised manuscript (P8 L21-P9 L3) as below:

“CN-API is the average of observed air pollutant concentrations from urban monitoring sites in each city and represents records in 7 Chinese cities (i.e., Beijing, Shanghai, Guangzhou, Xi’an, Wuhan, Guiyang, Guilin which are located in north China plain, Yangtze-river delta, Pearl-river delta, northwest China, central China and south China respectively) where long-term observations are available starting from 2005.”

[Comment]: The heading rows of Table 3 are confusing (% , emission, and concentration). Please revise it into a more readable format.

[Response]: we thank the reviewer for pointing this out; more detailed heading rows of Table 3 are provided in the revised manuscript.

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

<http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/14/C11210/2015/acpd-14-C11210-2015-supplement.pdf>

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, 25453, 2014.

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)