

## ***Interactive comment on “Impact of planetary boundary layer turbulence on model climate and tracer transport” by E. L. McGrath-Spangler et al.***

**Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 30 December 2014

This study shows the sensitivity of the algorithm to calculate the PBL depth in the climate-chemistry model GEOS-5. I found the article interesting, but as it is now written, it is submitted to the wrong journal. In my opinion, this article needs to be submitted to Geophysical Model Development or a similar journal. These journals aim at testing and developing parameterizations and their impact.

The article treats too many subjects and the reader is left with too many open questions. I would like to put three examples in which I think the authors should go deeper in their analysis in order to disentangle the impact of different planetary boundary depth calculations in their results. First, in section 3 there is a description on the differences of PBL depths due to the application of three different criteria method. Nothing is mentioned whether these differences lead to different surface fluxes and entrainment of

C10589

warmer and drier air. At page 31636 it is mentioned that there are differences, but not quantitative explanation is given. A similar comments holds for the surface fluxes. In consequence, it is unclear the reasons of the different PBL calculations. Second, differences in the aerosol optical thickness (AOT) leads to a different vertical distribution of aerosol. Depending on the aerosol absorption and scattering characteristics, the vertical profiles of the thermodynamic variables can have relevant differences that can impact in the performance of the algorithm. In addition, it is also not discussed how the differences in AOT impact the surface forcing and therefore the estimation of parameter related to the turbulence parameterizations. Third, it is mentioned at the end of section 3 that the algorithm 3 leads to more marine low level clouds, that in turn modifies the surface and inversion conditions due to differences in radiation and turbulence conditions How do these interactions between physical parameterizations influence their findings?

In my opinion, if the authors want to submit again the article to Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics they need to analyse in depth one of the subject in order to understand how the different algorithm definition not only impacts the turbulence parameterizations, but also the other key processes related to it.

---

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, 31627, 2014.