1 2 3	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, polychlorinated biphenyls, and chlorinated pesticides in background air in central Europe - investigating parameters affecting wet scavenging of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
4	
5 6	Pourya Shahpoury, ¹ Gerhard Lammel, ^{1,2} Adéla Holubová Šmejkalová, ^{3,4} Jana Klánová, ² Petra Přibylová, ² Milan Váňa ^{3,4}
7	
8	¹ Max-Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz, Germany
9 10	² Research Centre for Toxic Compounds in the Environment, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic
11	³ Czech Hydrometeorological Institute, Košetice, Czech Republic
12	⁴ Global Change Research Centre, ASCR, Prague, Czech Republic
13	
14	
15	Abstract
16	Concentrations of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs),
17	and chlorinated pesticides (CPs) were measured in air and precipitation at a background site in
18	central Europe. \sum PAH concentrations in air and rainwater ranged from 0.7 to 327.9 ng m ⁻³ and
19	below analytical method detection limit ($<$ MDL) to 2.1 \times 10 ³ ng L ⁻¹ . The concentrations of PCBs
20	and CPs in rainwater were <mdl. <math="">\sum PCB and \sum CP concentrations in air ranged from <mdl td="" to<=""></mdl></mdl.>
21	44.6 and $<$ MDL to 351.7 pg m ⁻³ , respectively. The potential relationships between PAH wet
22	scavenging and particulate matter and rainwater properties were investigated. The concentrations
23	of ionic species in particulate matter and rainwater were significantly correlated, highlighting the
24	importance of particle scavenging process. Overall, higher scavenging efficiencies were found
25	for relatively less volatile PAHs, underlining the effect of analyte gas-particle partitioning on
26	scavenging process. The PAH wet scavenging was more effective when the concentrations of

ionic species were high. In addition, the elemental and organic carbon contents of the particulatematter were found to influence the PAH scavenging.

29

30 **1 Introduction**

Semi-volatile organic compounds (SOCs), such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), 31 32 polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and chlorinated pesticides (CPs), can reach remote and background regions through atmospheric transport and deposition processes (Blais, 2005; 33 Hageman et al., 2006; Grimalt et al., 2001). Wet scavenging is an important mechanism through 34 35 which atmospheric SOCs enter terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems (van Ry et al., 2002). Non-36 reactive gaseous SOCs are removed from the atmosphere by gas scavenging process, which is 37 controlled by the SOC equilibrium partitioning with falling raindrops, as described by Henry's 38 law. In contrast, particle-associated species are removed from the atmosphere mainly through 39 particle scavenging (Ligocki et al., 1985), a process controlled by physical parameters including particulate matter (PM) characteristics, cloud microphysics, and meteorological conditions 40 41 (Poster and Baker, 1996). Therefore, factors that affect SOC gas-particle partitioning, such as ambient temperature, relative humidity, PM chemical composition and surface characteristics, 42 and SOC vapor pressure (Lohmann and Lammel, 2004), may play an important role in 43 44 determining the relative contributions of gas and particle scavenging processes. It was suggested that SOC scavenging efficiencies would be between one to three orders of magnitude higher in 45 case of in-cloud scavenging compared to that of below-cloud scavenging (Ligocki et al., 1985). 46 Potential variability in scavenging efficiencies has also been suggested between rain events 47 originated from warm and cold clouds (Bidleman, 1988). A number of studies observed 48

49	variations in scavenging efficiencies of SOCs between different precipitation events (Offenberg
50	and Baker, 2002; Agrell et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2013; Kaupp and McLachlan, 2000; McLachlan
51	and Sellström, 2009; Atlas and Giam, 1988). To this date, studies have mainly focused on
52	determining the relative importance of SOC gas and particle scavenging processes. However, to
53	the best of our knowledge, no comprehensive study has been conducted to understand the causes
54	behind the variability in scavenging efficiencies. Therefore, in this study we aimed at filling this
55	gap by investigating the relationships between a set of aerosol and rainwater properties and SOC
56	scavenging. The present study was conducted at a background site in central Europe, as a follow
57	up with a previously published research (Škrdlíková et al., 2011) in which PAH scavenging
58	efficiencies were determined at that site. The specific objectives of the present study were to (1)
59	measure the concentrations of PAHs, PCBs, and CPs in air and rainwater samples, (2) estimate
60	wet depositional fluxes of target compounds in the study area, (3) determine wet scavenging
61	efficiencies and scavenged mass fractions of the analytes, and (4) investigate the potential
62	relationships between analyte scavenging and meteorological parameters as well as aerosol and
63	rain characteristics. PAHs, PCBs, and CPs were selected because they are widespread
64	atmospheric contaminants and have been found in air at background locations across Europe
65	(Dvorská et al., 2009; Gioia et al., 2007; Roots et al., 2010; Halse et al., 2011). In addition, these
66	chemicals can persist in the environment due to their resistance to photolytic, chemical, and
67	biological degradation (Yolsal et al., 2014).

71 2 Experimental

72 2.1 Sampling site

The sampling was conducted at Košetice observatory located in an open land about 70 km 73 southeast of Prague in the Czech Republic (Fig. S1 in the Supporting Information (SI)). The 74 75 observatory is classified as a European background site (Dvorská et al., 2008). It is managed by 76 the Czech Hydrometeorological Institute (CHMI) and is part of the European Monitoring and 77 Evaluation Program. The area is covered with snow two to three months per year with the mean 78 annual temperature and precipitation of 7.1 °C and 625 mm. The potential source of pollution in the region is the nearby highway (~ 7 km west of the observatory) connecting Prague to Brno. In 79 addition, due to having dominant westerly winds, atmospherically transported contaminants from 80 the industrial and urban areas of the Czech Republic, notably Prague, may contribute to the 81 82 pollution in the area.

83

84 2.2 Sampling procedure

Rainwater and air samples were collected during the period of December 2011 to January 2014 85 (Table S1 in the SI). A total of 231 rain samples were collected using an automatic precipitation 86 sampler (Baghira, Czech Republic) equipped with a 1-m² stainless steel collection funnel, a lid, 87 and a 5-L glass collection bottle. The opening and closing of the lid is triggered by a 88 precipitation sensor mounted on the sampler. During sampling, rain drains from the funnel and 89 90 accumulates in the collection bottle. The collected sample volumes were between 0.5 and 1 L, 91 depending on the amount of rainfall for each event. Larger or smaller volumes were discarded -92 the latter was not deemed to provide enough analyte mass for trace analysis.

93	Air samples were collected on quartz fiber filters (QFF) (Grade QM-A, 10.1 cm ID, Whatman,
94	UK) and in polyurethane foam (PUF) plugs (55 cm ID, 50 cm height, Organika, Poland) using a
95	Graseby Andersen PS-1 high-volume sampler (GA, USA) with a flow rate of 17 m ³ h ⁻¹ . For each
96	sampling period, two consecutive PUF plugs and one filter paper were deployed. A total of 162
97	gaseous and particulate air samples were collected during the period of study. Nevertheless, only
98	samples from 54 events were considered for determining scavenging ratios because only these
99	were followed by rain events. The collection of these samples started between 6 to 35hrs prior to
100	the onset of rain (predicted by CHMI) and stopped when rainfall started (Table S2 in the SI).
101	This sampling approach was chosen in order to sample rainwater from the same air mass as that
102	of PM. In addition, PM has been suggested to be removed from a travelling air parcel by up to 8
103	and 23% in the first 24 and 72hrs after the onset of rain, respectively (Wiman et al., 1990;
104	Škrdlíková et al., 2011). This means that prolonging the air sampling beyond the onset of rain
105	would potentially lead to underestimating contaminant concentrations in air and, therefore,
106	overestimating scavenging ratios and washed-out mass fractions. In addition, relative humidity
107	substantially increases during rainfall and this would potentially affect gas-particle partitioning
108	of SOCs in the air leading to underestimation or overestimation of gaseous and particulate
109	fraction of contaminants.
110	Field blanks for air samples were prepared at the site following the standard protocol for

mounting QFF and PUF plugs onto the sampler without turning on the sampler. No field blankswere generated for rain samples.

115 2.3 Meteorological and other supporting data

116	Cloud top heights were estimated from radiosonde measurements over Prague (Station ID.
117	11520) (IGRA, 2014) (Table S2 in the SI). Meteorological parameters - i.e. near-ground
118	temperature, precipitation type and intensity, cloud base heights (determined through on-site
119	ceilometer measurements), concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} , and their ionic species (i.e. SO_4^{2-} ,
120	NO_3^- , NH_4^+), elemental carbon (EC), and organic carbon (OC) contents - were obtained from
121	CHMI (Table S3 in the SI). Aerosol number size distribution data, used for calculating the
122	aerosol surface area, was obtained from the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic.
123	Analyte physico-chemical properties were obtained from Estimation Programs Interface Suite
124	4.11 (USEPA, 2012). The weather charts used to determine the occurrence of frontal passage

125 over the study site were obtained from *Berliner Wetterkarte* (BWK, 2013).

126

127 **2.4 Chemical analysis and quality control**

128 QFF and PUF samples were extracted with dichloromethane using an automatic extraction 129 system (Büchi B-811, Switzerland). PUF plugs (n = 2) related to each sampling period were 130 extracted together. Field blanks were extracted along with each set of 10 samples. The extracts were concentrated under a gentle stream of nitrogen in ambient temperature and fractionated 131 using a silica column for PAHs and a sulfuric acid modified silica column for PCBs and CPs. 132 The method performance was tested prior to sample analysis and no degradation of target 133 analytes due to acid treatment was detected. Analytes in rainwater were extracted using solid-134 phase extraction (C18 Speedisks, Bakerbond, the Netherlands). The analytes were later eluted 135 136 using 40 ml of (1:1) dichloromethane: *n*-hexane, concentrated under a gentle stream of nitrogen,

Comment [P1]: Response to comment No. 1 from Reviewer 1

137	and passed through a glass column packed with anhydrous sodium sulfate (1cm ID, 3 cm height)
138	to remove residual water. Prior to extraction, all air and rainwater samples and blanks were
139	spiked with a solution containing d_8 -naphthalene, d_{10} -phenanthrene, d_{12} -perylene, PCB 30, and
140	PCB 185, which were used as recovery standards.
141	Samples were analyzed for 26 parent PAHs (i.e. naphthalene (NAP), acenaphthylene (ACY),
142	acenaphthene (ACE), fluorene (FLN), phenanthrene (PHE), anthracene (ANT), fluoranthene

- 143 (FLT), pyrene (PYR), benzo(*a*)anthracene (BAA), chrysene (CHR), benzo(*b*)fluoranthene
- 144 (BBF), benzo(k)fluoranthene (BKF), benzo(a)pyrene (BAP), indeno(123-cd)pyrene (IPY),
- 145 dibenz(*a*,*h*)anthracene (DHA), benzo(*g*,*h*,*i*)perylene (BPE), benzo(*b*)fluorene (BFN),
- 146 benzo(g, h, i)fluoranthene (BGF), cyclopenta(c, d)pyrene (CPP), triphenylene (TPH),
- 147 benzo(*j*)fluoranthene (BJF), benzo(*e*)pyrene (BEP), perylene (PER), dibenz(*a*,*c*)anthracene
- 148 (DCA), anthanthrene (ATT), coronene (COR)), one heterocyclic PAH (i.e. benzo-naphtho-
- 149 thiophene (BNT)), and one alkylated PAH (i.e. retene (RET)) using a Hewlett-Packard gas
- 150 chromatograph (GC 6890) interfaced to a Hewlett-Packard mass selective detector (MS 5973).
- 151 Seven PCBs (i.e. PCB 28, PCB 52, PCB 101, PCB 118, PCB 153, PCB 138, PCB 180) and 13
- 152 CPs, namely α -hexachlorocyclohexane (HCH), β -HCH, γ -HCH, δ -HCH, ε -HCH, o, p'-
- 153 dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene (DDE), *p*,*p* ⁻-DDE, *o*,*p* ⁻-dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane
- 154 (DDD), *p*,*p* -DDD, *o*,*p* -dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT), *p*,*p* -DDT, pentachlorobenzene
- 155 (PeCB), hexachlorobenzene (HCB), were analyzed using an Agilent GC (7890) coupled with an
- 156 Agilent Triple Quadrupole MS/MS (7000B). All analytes were separated on a J&W Scientific
- 157 capillary column (HP-5ms, 0.25 mm ID, 0.25μm film thickness). D₁₄-*p*-terphenyl and PCB 121
- 158 were used as internal standards for PAH and PCB/CP analysis, respectively. The analytical

159	method recoveries for PCBs/CPs and PAHs ranged from 88 to 100% and 72 to 102%,
160	respectively. The measured analyte concentrations were not recovery corrected.
161	Limits of quantification (LOQ) for analytes were calculated based on instrument detection limits,
162	which in turn are determined using three times the chromatogram baseline noise level. The
163	median LOQs for individual PAHs and PCBs/CPs in air samples were 0.006 and 0.0005 ng m ⁻³ ,
164	respectively. The median LOQs in rainwater samples were 0.05 and 0.45 ng L ⁻¹ , respectively.
165	LOQ values were used in cases where analyte concentrations in field blanks were <loq. td="" the<=""></loq.>
166	mean concentrations of analytes in five field blanks were subtracted from those in the
167	corresponding samples. The concentrations that were lower than mean + 3 standard deviations of
168	those in field blanks were considered below method detection limit (<mdl) and="" td="" were<=""></mdl)>
169	substituted with LOQ/2 for calculation of averages.
170	Analysis of ionic species in rainwater was done using a Hewlett-Packard capillary
171	electrophoresis system (HP 3D CE). Measurements were performed using an uncoated silica
172	capillary column (75 μ m ID, 70 cm length). The applied separation voltage was 10kV with
173	hydrodynamic injection of 50 mbar/20 sec. Analytes were detected indirectly at 254 nm
174	wavelength. The electrolyte was composed of 5 mM sodium chromate and boric acid with pH
175	adjusted to 8.3 by adding 0.5 mM cetyltrimethylammonium bromide. Quantification was
176	performed using individual calibration curves. Each quantification was performed three times
177	and mean values were used.

179 2.5 Calculations and data analysis

180 Analyte particulate mass fractions, θ (unit-less), were calculated using equation 1,

Comment [P2]: Response to comment No. 2 from Reviewer 1

$$\theta = C_{ip}/(C_{ig} + C_{ip}) \qquad \text{Eq. (1)}$$
where, C_{ip} is analyte (*i*) air concentration (ng m⁻³) in particulate phase and C_{ig} is that in gas phase.
Daily wet deposition fluxes, F_{wet} (ng m⁻²), were determined using equation 2,
 $F_{wet} = C_{ir} \times P \qquad \text{Eq. (2)}$
where, C_{ir} is analyte concentration in rainwater (ng L⁻¹) and *P* is the rain volume received per
collection area (L m⁻²) in each sampling day. Seasonal fluxes were calculated by summing the
daily fluxes in each season. Total scavenging ratios, W_t (unit-less), were calculated using

equation 3,

$$W_{\rm t} = \frac{C_{\rm ir} \times 10^3}{(C_{\rm ig} + C_{\rm ip})}$$
 Eq. (3)

187 where, multiplication by 10^3 accounts for conversion from ng L⁻¹ to ng m⁻³. The mass fraction of 188 the total analyte burden in air washed out by below- and in-cloud scavenging, c_t (unit-less), is 189 calculated according to Škrdlíková et al. (2011) using equation 4,

$$\varepsilon_{\rm t} = \frac{b_{\rm r}}{b_{\rm a}} = \frac{C_{\rm ir} \times P}{(C_{\rm ig} + C_{\rm ip}) \times h}$$
 Eq. (4)

where, b_r and b_a are analyte burdens (ng m⁻²) in rainwater and air, respectively, and h (m) is the height of the air column subject to precipitation, which corresponds to the cloud height from which precipitation originates.

193

194

195

197 3 Results and Discussion

198 **3.1** Concentrations and distribution of analytes

199 **3.1.1.** Concentrations in air

200 NAP was targeted for analysis but it did not pass our quality control criteria and, therefore, is not 201 discussed hereafter. In addition, only analytes that were detected in >10% of the samples are discussed herein (Table 1 and 2). \sum PAH (i.e. the sum of 27 PAHs) concentrations in gas phase 202 ranged from 0.6 to 138.6 (mean \pm standard deviation (SD): 11.3 \pm 15.7) ng m⁻³ (Table 1). PAHs 203 with molecular mass <228 Da, namely ACY, ACE, FLN, PHE, ANT, FLT, and PYR, were 204 predominant in gas phase and, on average, accounted for 93% of the \sum PAH concentrations. 205 206 PHE, FLN, and FLT showed the highest mean contributions accounting for 42, 24, and 12% of 207 the Σ PAH gaseous concentrations, respectively. BKF, BAP, IPY, DHA, BPE, BNT, CPP, BJF, BEP, PER, DCA, ATT, and COR were detected in <10% of the gaseous samples (n = 162) 208 (Table 1). The \sum PAH concentrations in the particulate phase ranged from 0.1 to 189.3 (mean ± 209 SD: 9.3 \pm 21.2) ng m⁻³ (Table 1). In sum, PAHs with molecular mass > 228 Da were predominant 210 211 in particulate phase and, on average, contributed to 67% of the \sum PAH particulate concentrations. However, FLT and PYR showed the highest individual contributions and, on 212 average, accounted for 13 and 11% of the \sum PAH concentrations, respectively. The sum of the 213 concentrations of gas and particulate phase PAHs ranged from 0.7 to 327.9 ng m⁻³, with the 214 mean \pm SD being 20.6 \pm 35.9 ng m⁻³. The PAH concentration ranges in gas and particulate phase 215 are noticeably higher than those measured by Škrdlíková et al. (2011) (i.e. 0.9 to 34.6 and 0.1 to 216 16.8 ng m⁻³, respectively) for samples collected from the same site during 2007-2008 period, but 217

are slightly lower than those reported by Holoubek et al. (2007) for the period between 1996 and

Comment [P3]: Response to comment No.3 from Reviewer 1

219 2005 (i.e. 0.4 to 208 and 0.1 to 359 ng m^{-3} , respectively).

220	Except for p, p '-DDE, the targeted chlorinated compounds were mainly detected in gas phase (i.e.
221	<10% in particulate phase) (Table 2). The concentrations of p, p '-DDE in particulate phase
222	ranged from <mdl (mean="" 6.8="" <math="" to="">\pm SD: 0.4\pm0.7) pg m⁻³. In gas phase, β-HCH, δ-HCH, ϵ-HCH,</mdl>
223	and o, p '-DDD were detected in <10% of all samples ($n = 162$). The concentrations in gas phase
224	ranged from <mdl (mean="" 43.5="" <math="" to="">\pm SD: 8.1\pm7.2) pg m⁻³ for \sum PCBs (i.e. the sum of the</mdl>
225	concentrations of PCB 28, 52, 101, 118, 138, 153, 180), <mdl (11.0<math="" 73.8="" to="">\pm11.6) pg m⁻³ for \sum</mdl>
226	HCHs (i.e. the sum of the concentrations of <i>a</i> - and γ -HCH), <mdl (23.2±19.8)="" 104.3="" m<sup="" pg="" to="">-3</mdl>
227	for \sum DDTs (i.e. the sum of the concentrations of <i>o</i> , <i>p</i> '-DDE, <i>p</i> , <i>p</i> '-DDE, <i>p</i> , <i>p</i> '-DDD, <i>o</i> , <i>p</i> '-DDT, and
228	<i>p</i> , <i>p</i> '-DDT) and <mdl (96.1±42.0)="" 332.3="" m<sup="" pg="" to="">-3 for \sum CBs (i.e. the sum of the concentrations</mdl>
229	of PeCB and HCB) (Table 2). On average, PCB 28 (40%), γ-HCH (56%), <i>p</i> , <i>p</i> '-DDE (83%), and
230	HCB (90%) predominated \sum PCB, \sum HCH, \sum DDT, and \sum CB concentrations, respectively. The
231	sum of the concentrations of gas and particulate phase PCBs and CPs ranged from <mdl 44.6<="" td="" to=""></mdl>
232	and $<$ MDL to 351.7 pg m ⁻³ , respectively. The measured concentrations in the present study are
233	considerably lower than those reported by Holoubek et al. (2007) for the samples collected from
234	the same location between 1996 and 2005 (i.e. <mdl 390="" m<sup="" pg="" to="">-3 for \sum PCBs, <mdl 771<="" td="" to=""></mdl></mdl>
235	pg m ⁻³ for \sum HCHs, 1 to 207 pg m ⁻³ for \sum DDTs, and <mdl 831="" m<sup="" pg="" to="">-3 for HCB). As</mdl>
236	production and use of these compounds are banned in Europe, the relatively low concentrations
237	in the present study could indicate emission due to volatilization from contaminated soil around
238	Košetice (Fig. S1). This argument is supported by significant correlations found between the
239	ambient temperature at the sampling site and the measured concentrations of PCB 28 ($r = 0.70$, P
240	<0.05, <i>n</i> = 162), γ-HCH (r = 0.74, P <0.05), and <i>p</i> , <i>p</i> '-DDE (r = 0.71, P <0.05). Despite having the

241	highest mean concentration among the other chlorinated analytes, relatively small correlation
242	was found between the concentrations of HCB and ambient temperature changes ($r = 0.25$). This
243	could be due to the fact that this compound has different source pathways compared to all other
244	chlorinated chemicals investigated in this study. Although banned, HCB could potentially be
245	released to the environment as an unintended byproduct of organic solvent and aluminum
246	manufacturing and waste burning (EPER, 2014). In addition, this compound is present in some
247	pesticide formulations, such as chlorothalonil, which is currently registered for use in Europe.
248	
249	3.1.2. Concentrations in rain
250	\sum PAH concentrations in rainwater ranged from <mdl 2.1×10<sup="" to="">3 (mean ± SD: 173.3±256.1) ng</mdl>
251	L^{-1} (Table 3), predominated (mean: 69%) by congeners with <228 Da molecular mass. FLT,
252	PHE, and PYR showed the highest individual contributions and, on average, accounted for 35,
253	14, and 10% of the \sum PAH concentrations. The \sum PAH concentration range in the present study
254	was higher than the one reported by Škrdlíková et al. (2011) for Košetice (i.e. 7.1 to 485.9 ng L
255	¹) but noticeably lower than that measured by Holoubek et al. (2007) (i.e. 2.4 to 6310 ng L^{-1}).
256	The concentrations of PCBs and CPs, targeted for analysis in rainwater samples, were below
257	LOQs and, therefore, are not discussed hereafter.
258	
259	3.2 Wet deposition fluxes

260	\sum PAH daily wet deposition fluxes ranged from <mdl 5.5×10<sup="" to="">3 (mean ± SD: 632.9±900.1) ng</mdl>
261	m^{-2} (Table 4). \sum PAH seasonal fluxes were noticeably higher during winter and spring compared

262	to summer and autumn (Table 5), which is in agreement with previous observations in Europe
263	(Kiss et al., 2001; Škrdlíková et al., 2011). Seasonal changes in SOC fluxes could be due to
264	different factors, such as variations in atmospheric concentration, precipitation amount
265	(providing that atmospheric concentrations do not change drastically between seasons), and SOC
266	gas-particle partitioning behavior. The increase in PAH fluxes during winter and spring cannot
267	be explained by changes in precipitation amounts, as indicated in Table 5. However, atmospheric
268	concentrations of PAHs were noticeably higher in winter than they were in other seasons (Fig.
269	1), in agreement with a previously published study in Europe (Lammel et al., 2011). This may be
270	due to higher emission rate, lower boundary layer mixing height (Birgül et al., 2011), and lower
271	concentrations of OH radicals in winter (Halsall et al., 2001). This may partly explain the higher
272	fluxes seen during winter periods. Finally, lower temperatures in winter could potentially shift
273	partitioning of the more temperature sensitive SOCs towards particulate phase, and particle
274	scavenging, as opposed to gas scavenging, was suggested to be the dominant wet scavenging
275	mechanism for the removal of PAHs from the atmosphere (Bidleman, 1988; Poster and Baker,
276	1996; Offenberg and Baker, 2002). In the present study, significant negative regressions were
277	found between near-ground temperature and θ for a number of PAHs including PYR (r ² = 0.72,
278	P <0.05, $n = 150$), FLT ($r^2 = 0.69$, $n = 155$), RET ($r^2 = 0.75$, $n = 156$), and TPH ($r^2 = 0.73$, $n = 156$)
279	162) (Table S4 in the SI), which could provide further explanation for higher fluxes during cold
280	seasons.

284 **3.3 Scavenging ratios**

Scavenging ratios were only determined for those events with air and rainwater sampled from the same air mass (n = 54). The rejection criterion was that frontal passage did *not* occur over the site prior (\pm 1 hour uncertainty) to the onset of rain. Out of 54 pair samples, only 32 met the abovementioned criterion (Table S2 in the SI) and, hence, W_t ratios were determined for 32 rain events.

290 The relative importance of gas and particle scavenging can be calculated theoretically using W_t 291 and gas scavenging ratio obtained through dimensionless Henry's law constant (Offenberg and 292 Baker, 2002; Poster and Baker, 1996). However, this approach was avoided in the present study 293 due to the fact that the equilibrium partitioning of SOCs between gas phase and raindrops, and 294 consequently gas scavenging ratios, is greatly affected by temperature, which may change drastically from cloud to ground level. This process is non-linear and a representative 295 296 temperature is unknown. This means that Henry's law constants, which are corrected using 297 ground temperatures, may not necessarily represent the true gas scavenging ratios related to 298 falling raindrops. One should also note that theoretical gas scavenging ratios for SOCs in gas 299 phase, being the inverse of their Henry's law constants, may not entirely reflect the underlying 300 mechanism of the compound removal from the atmosphere. For instance, PAHs with higher 301 vapor pressure normally show higher Henry's law constants, as can be seen from experimental 302 data (Bamford et al., 1999). This would result in relatively lower gas scavenging ratios for 303 gaseous PAHs with higher vapor pressure and solubility, which contradicts the concept of SOC 304 gas scavenging.

305	In the present study, the concentrations of ionic species (i.e. the sum of SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^{-}) in PM and
306	those in adjacent rainwater samples were significantly correlated (r = 0.71, P < 0.05, $n = 23$). This
307	indicates the efficient removal of PM by rainfall and highlights the importance of particle
308	scavenging in the present study. In general, W_t ratios for individual PAHs ranged from 0 (in cases
309	where concentrations in rainwater were $\langle LOQ \rangle$ to 3.5×10^5 , with the exception of FLT for which
310	W_t ranged between 3.7×10 ³ and 1.3×10 ⁶ (median: 1.4×10 ⁴) (Table 6). Overall, W_t values for
311	relatively more volatile PAHs (log K_{oa} between 6.27 and 7.57), namely ACY, ACE, FLN, ANT,
312	and PHE, were up to 10^4 , whereas the values for the rest of PAHs (log $K_{oa} > 8.70$) were up to
313	10^6 , but mainly dominated by the values close to 10^5 (Table 6). Taking into account that SOCs
314	are more efficiently removed from the atmosphere by particle scavenging, the current results
315	may imply that increase in affinity of individual PAHs towards organic phase would overall lead
316	to higher scavenging efficiencies. This, together with other parameters that affect analyte gas-
317	particle partitioning, namely temperature, aerosol surface area and chemical composition (e.g.
318	EC and OC contents) (Junge, 1977; Pankow, 1987; Bidleman, 1988), and factors that affect
319	particle removal rate including rain intensity, aerosol and raindrop size and collision efficiency
320	(Mircea et al., 2000; Poster and Baker, 1996; Slinn et al., 1978), may contribute to the observed
321	variability in measured scavenging ratios.
322	Since chlorinated compounds in the present study were mainly detected in gas phase in the air

(Table 2), it is likely that their removal from the atmosphere was determined by gas scavenging.
The fact that concentrations of these analytes in rainwater were below detection limits may
provide further evidence for this argument, as the magnitude of gas scavenging is negligible.

The upper- and lower-bound scavenged mass fractions of target analytes corresponding to cloudbase and top heights were determined for 32 events, except in one case for which cloud top

328	height was not available (Table S2). \sum PAH upper-bound scavenged mass fractions ranged from
329	1.2×10^{-3} to 0.8 (median: 5.3×10^{-2}), whereas lower-bound mass fractions were between 5.2×10^{-4}
330	and 0.1 (median: 9.7×10^{-3}) (Table 7). The median ratios for the analyte lower-bound mass
331	fractions were nearly an order of magnitude different from those reported by Škrdlíková et al.
332	(2011) for the same site, except for FLN and CHR, which were found to be in agreement (Table
333	7). This could potentially be related to the relatively small number of events considered in that
334	study ($n = 10$). It should be noted that scavenged mass fractions were calculated assuming that
335	analyte concentrations near ground represented those within the air column up to cloud top - i.e.
336	assuming perfect mixing throughout the column. The true scavenged mass fractions could
337	potentially be higher due to a negative vertical concentration gradient (Škrdlíková et al., 2011).

3.4 Factors affecting the scavenging ratios

340	As can be seen from Tables 6 and S3, W_t ratios for \sum PAHs in the present study ranged from
341	2.4×10^3 to 2.3×10^5 , with noticeable variability between the events (mean \pm SD:
342	$1.7 \times 10^4 \pm 3.8 \times 10^4$). As discussed earlier, different parameters could contribute to the variability
343	seen in scavenging ratios. In this section, we investigate potential relationships between PAH
344	scavenging efficiencies and precipitation intensity, near-ground temperature, the concentrations
345	of $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} , PM ionic species (i.e. the sum of the concentrations of SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^{-} , and
346	$\mathrm{NH_4^+}$), EC and OC contents, PM surface area, and rainwater ionic species (i.e. the sum of $\mathrm{SO_4^{2^-}}$,
347	NO ₃ ⁻ , and Cl ⁻). As can be seen from Table S3, the highest $\sum PAH W_t$ was found for the samples
348	collected on 14 April 2012. The ratio was nearly two orders of magnitude higher compared to
349	that obtained for another event with similar precipitation type and ambient temperature (i.e. 11 –

350	12 October 2012). Apart from the concentrations of PM_{10} and ionic species in PM and rainwater,
351	the rest of the parameters were somewhat similar for these two events (Table S3). The
352	concentrations of PM_{10} as well as those for ionic species in PM and rainwater for the former
353	event were 1.4, 1.8, and 11 times the values found for the latter event. This observation suggests
354	that PM removal by rain, and consequently wet scavenging of PAHs that are sorbed to PM, is
355	enhanced in conditions where PM contains high quantities of soluble species. This argument is
356	supported by considerably higher scavenging ratios found for particulate PAHs (a factor of 50 on
357	average) in the former event. This difference was much smaller for gaseous species (a factor of
358	five on average).
359	In order to explore the relative importance of the abovementioned parameters in relation to

360 scavenging ratios, correlation analysis was performed between these variables. Among all 361 parameters, aerosol surface area was only available for 2012. In addition, aerosol EC and OC 362 contents could only be obtained for 15 rain events out of 32, as they were recorded once every 363 six days (Table S3). Owing to the fact that EC and OC have high importance in SOC gas-particle partitioning (Lohmann and Lammel, 2004), and in order to enhance the comparability of results, 364 365 for all other parameters, correlation analysis was only performed for the corresponding rain events. The results showed that the concentrations of rainwater ionic species were significantly 366 367 correlated with \sum PAH scavenging ratios (r = 0.70, P <0.05, n = 15), whereas weak correlations 368 were found for all other parameters, except the ratio of PM ionic species/PM₁₀, for which a 369 moderate correlation was found (r = 0.34, P >0.05). However, when the data related to 14 April 2012 (with exceptionally high W_t ratio) was excluded from the analysis, the suggested 370 371 importance of rainwater and PM ionic species decreased (r = 0.14 and 0.07, respectively, P 372 >0.05, n = 14). This indicates that the initial analysis may have been overpowered by

Comment [P4]: Response to comment No.6 from Reviewer 1

373	significantly high values of ionic species related to a single event. Without the data point related
374	to 14 April 2012, moderate correlations were obtained between \sum PAH W_t ratios and EC/PM ₁₀ (r
375	= 0.34, P >0.05) and OC/PM ₁₀ (r = 0.37, P >0.05). Although not statistically significant, the
376	current results suggest that the aerosol EC and OC contents are important parameters in
377	determining PAH scavenging efficiencies. This may reflect the affinity of PAHs towards EC and
378	OC, representing adsorption and absorption processes (Lohmann and Lammel, 2004). However,
379	the magnitude of these effects could be different when considering individual PAHs. For
380	instance, the coefficients of correlation between W_t and EC/PM ₁₀ ratios for PAHs with moderate
381	to high particulate mass fractions, namely PYR (mean $\theta = 0.40$), CHR (mean $\theta = 0.74$), and
382	BBF (mean $\theta = 0.97$), were 0.38, 0.20, and 0.33 ($n = 10$), whereas the coefficients for
383	correlation with OC/PM $_{10}$ ratios were 0.51, 0.53, and 0.03, respectively. Despite not having
384	statistical significance, the results may indicate that the aerosol OC content was more effective
385	than EC for wet scavenging of PYR and CHR. It should be noted that precipitation type (i.e.
386	snow vs. rain) was only available for eight events out of 15. These were mainly identified as
387	rainfall (Table S3), which ruled out the potential impact of precipitation type on scavenging
388	efficiencies. The lack of significant linear relationships between scavenging ratios and aerosol
389	and rainwater properties indicates that the process is not controlled by a single factor but rather
390	by a combination of parameters. The findings of the present study highlight the need for
391	incorporating other PM components, such as EC and OC, in air pollution models for more
392	accurate estimation of particulate PAH scavenging.

395 4 Conclusions

396 The results suggest that volatilization from contaminated soil was the main source of chlorinated compounds in the air over Košetice. Higher \sum PAH fluxes in winter were possibly related to 397 higher PAH atmospheric concentrations and particulate mass fractions in that season. Particle 398 scavenging was found to be significant in the present study, as indicated by the strong correlation 399 between rainwater and PM ionic species. In sum, greater scavenging ratios were found for less 400 401 volatile PAHs, highlighting the importance of SOC gas-particle partitioning in determining their 402 scavenging efficiencies. The results indicated that PAH wet scavenging could be more efficient in cases where the concentrations of ionic species in PM and rainwater are high. In addition, the 403 404 high affinity of PAHs towards EC and OC were found to be reflected in the scavenging efficiency. In most model applications which study PAHs, particulates are scavenged either as in 405 406 conventional air pollution modeling - i.e. accounting for PM ionic composition, but no other PM 407 components (parameterization based on Köhler theory or empirical approaches based on cloud droplet number) (Abdul-Razzak and Ghan, 2000; Gong et al., 2003) - or with insoluble aerosols 408 or aerosol modes (Sehili and Lammel, 2007; Friedman and Selin, 2012). Future studies would 409 410 need to focus on in-depth analysis of PM chemical composition with the aim to include OC and 411 EC in the parameterizations of particulate PAH wet deposition.

412

413 Acknowledgment

- 414 We thank Miroslava Bittová and Jan Kuta (Masaryk University) for ion analyses, Jaroslav
- 415 Pekarek (CHMI) for providing meteorological data, and Vladimir Zdimal (Institute for Chemical
- 416 Process Fundamentals, the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic) for providing aerosol

- 417 number size distribution data. This research was supported by the Granting Agency of the Czech
- 418 Republic (GACR project No. P503/11/1230) and has been co-funded by the European Social
- 419 Fund and the state budget of the Czech Republic. The research infrastructure (i.e. RECETOX)
- 420 has been supported by the Czech Ministry of Education (LO1214 and LM2011028).

422 **References**

- Abdul-Razzak, H., and Ghan, S. J.: A parameterization of aerosol activation 2. Multiple aerosol
 types, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 105, 6837-6844, 2000.
- Agrell, C., Larsson, P., Okla, L., and Agrell, J.: PCB congeners in precipitation, wash out ratios
 and depositional fluxes within the Baltic Sea region, Europe, Atmos. Environ., 36, 371-383,
- 427 2002.
- Atlas, E., and Giam, C. S.: Ambient concentration and precipitation scavenging of atmospheric
 organic pollutants, Water Air Soil Pollut., 38, 19-36, 1988.
- 430 Bamford, H. A., Poster, D. L., and Baker, J. E.: Temperature dependence of Henry's law
- 431 constants of thirteen polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons between 4°C and 31°C, Environ. Toxicol.
 432 Chem., 18, 1905-1912, 1999.
- 433 Bidleman, T. F.: Atmospheric processes, Environ. Sci. Technol., 22, 361-367, 1988.
- Birgül, A., Tasdemir, Y., and Cindoruk, S. S.: Atmospheric wet and dry deposition of polycyclic
 aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) determined using a modified sampler, Atmos. Res., 101, 341353, 2011.
- Blais, J. M.: Biogeochemistry of persistent bioaccumulative toxicants: Processes affecting the
 transport of contaminants to remote areas, Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 62, 236-243, 2005.
- BWK: Verein Berliner Wetterkarte, e.V. (Ed.), <u>www.berliner-wetterkarte.de</u>, accessed 16.02.14,
 2013.
- 441 Dvorská, A., Lammel, G., Klánová, J., and Holoubek, I.: Košetice, Czech Republic ten years of
- 442 air pollution monitoring and four years of evaluating the origin of persistent organic pollutants,
- 443 Environ. Pollut., 156, 403-408, 2008.
- 444 Dvorská, A., Lammel, G., and Holoubek, I.: Recent trends of persistent organic pollutants in air
- 445 in central Europe Air monitoring in combination with air mass trajectory statistics as a tool to
- study the effectivity of regional chemical policy, Atmos. Environ., 43, 1280-1287, 2009.

- 447 EPER: European Pollutant Emission Register, <u>http://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-</u>
- 448 <u>maps/data/eper-the-european-pollutant-emission-register-4</u>, accessed 04.03.14, 2014.
- 449 Friedman, C. L., and Selin, N. E.: Long-range atmospheric transport of polycyclic aromatic
- 450 hydrocarbons: A global 3-D model analysis including evaluation of arctic sources, Environ. Sci.
- 451 Technol., 46, 9501-9510, 2012.
- 452 Gioia, R., Sweetman, A. J., and Jones, K. C.: Coupling passive air sampling with emission
- estimates and chemical fate modeling for persistent organic pollutants (POPs): A feasibility
 study for northern Europe, Environ. Sci. Technol., 41, 2165-2171, 2007.
- 455 Gong, S. L., Barrie, L. A., Blanchet, J. P., von Salzen, K., Lohmann, U., Lesins, G., Spacek, L.,
- 456 Zhang, L. M., Girard, E., Lin, H., Leaitch, R., Leighton, H., Chylek, P., and Huang, P.: Canadian
- 457 Aerosol Module: A size-segregated simulation of atmospheric aerosol processes for climate and
- 458 air quality models 1. Module development, Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres,
 459 108, AAC 3-1 AAC 3-16, 2003.
- 460 Grimalt, J. O., Fernandez, P., Berdie, L., Vilanova, R. M., Catalan, J., Psenner, R., Hofer, R.,
- Appleby, P. G., Rosseland, B. O., Lien, L., Massabuau, J. C., and Battarbee, R. W.: Selective
 trapping of organochlorine compounds in mountain lakes of temperate areas, Environ. Sci.
 Tashnel, 25, 2600, 2607, 2001
- 463 Technol., 35, 2690-2697, 2001.
- 464 Hageman, K. J., Simonich, S. L., Campbell, D. H., Wilson, G. R., and Landers, D. H.:
- Atmospheric deposition of current-use and historic-use pesticides in snow at National Parks in
 the Western United States, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 3174-3180, 2006.
- Halsall, C. J., Sweetman, A. J., Barrie, L. A., and Jones, K. C.: Modelling the behaviour of PAHs
 during atmospheric transport from the UK to the Arctic, Atmos. Environ., 35, 255-267, 2001.
- Halse, A. K., Schlabach, M., Eckhardt, S., Sweetman, A., Jones, K. C., and Breivik, K.: Spatial
 variability of POPs in European background air, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 1549-1564, 2011.
- 471 Holoubek, I., Klánová, J., Jarkovský, J., and Kohoutek, J.: Trends in background levels of
- persistent organic pollutants at Kosetice observatory, Czech Republic. Part I. Ambient air and
 wet deposition 1996-2005, J. Environ. Monit., 9, 557-563, 2007.
- IGRA: Integrated Global Radiosonde Archive, <u>ftp://ftp.ncdc.noaa.gov/pub/data/igra</u>, accessed
 23.02.14, 2014.
- Junge, C. E.: Fate of Pollutants in the Air and Water Environments, edited by: Suffett, I. H., John
 Wiley and Sons, New York, 1977.
- 478 Kaupp, H., and McLachlan, M. S.: Distribution of polychlorinated dibenzo-P-dioxins and
- dibenzofurans (PCDD/Fs) and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) within the full size
 range of atmospheric particles, Atmos. Environ., 34, 73-83, 2000.
- 481 Kiss, G., Varga-Puchony, Z., Tolnai, B., Varga, B., Gelencsér, A., Krivácsy, Z., and Hlavay, J.:
- The seasonal changes in the concentration of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in precipitation and aerosol near Lake Balaton, Hungary, Environ. Pollut., 114, 55-61, 2001.

- 484 Lammel, G., Novák, J., Landlová, L., Dvorská, A., Klánová, J., Čupr, P., Kohoutek, J., Reimer,
- 485 E., and Škrdlíková, L.: Sources and Distributions of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons and
- 486 Toxicity of Polluted Atmosphere Aerosols, in: Urban Airborne Particulate Matter, edited by:
- 487 Zereini, F., and Wiseman, C. L. S., Environmental Science and Engineering, Springer Berlin
- 488 Heidelberg, 39-62, 2011.
- Ligocki, M. P., Leuenberger, C., and Pankow, J. F.: Trace organic compounds in rain. III.
 Particle scavenging of neutral organic compounds, Atmos. Environ., 19, 1619-1626, 1985.
- 491 Liu, F., Xu, Y., Liu, J., Liu, D., Li, J., Zhang, G., Li, X., Zou, S., and Lai, S.: Atmospheric
- deposition of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) to a coastal site of Hong Kong, South
- 493 China, Atmos. Environ., 69, 265-272, 2013.
- 494 Lohmann, R., and Lammel, G.: Adsorptive and Absorptive Contributions to the Gas-Particle
- Partitioning of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons: State of Knowledge and Recommended
 Parametrization for Modeling, Environ. Sci. Technol., 38, 3793-3803, 2004.
- McLachlan, M. S., and Sellström, U.: Precipitation scavenging of particle-bound contaminants –
 A case study of PCDD/Fs, Atmos. Environ., 43, 6084-6090, 2009.
- Mircea, M., Stefan, S., and Fuzzi, S.: Precipitation scavenging coefficient: influence of measured
 aerosol and raindrop size distributions, Atmos. Environ., 34, 5169-5174, 2000.
- 501 Offenberg, J. H., and Baker, J. E.: Precipitation Scavenging of Polychlorinated Biphenyls and
- Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons along an Urban to Over-water Transect, Environ. Sci.
 Technol., 36, 3763-3771, 2002.
- Pankow, J. F.: Review and comparative analysis of the theories on partitioning between the gas
 and aerosol particulate phases in the atmosphere, Atmos. Environ., 21, 2275-2283, 1987.
- 506 Poster, D. L., and Baker, J. E.: Influence of Submicron Particles on Hydrophobic Organic
- 507 Contaminants in Precipitation. 2. Scavenging of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons by Rain,
 508 Environ. Sci. Technol., 30, 349-354, 1996.
- 509 Roots, O., Roose, A., Kull, A., Holoubek, I., Čupr, P., and Klánová, J.: Distribution pattern of
- PCBs, HCB and PeCB using passive air and soil sampling in Estonia, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.,
 17, 740-749, 2010.
- Sehili, A. M., and Lammel, G.: Global fate and distribution of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
 emitted from Europe and Russia, Atmos. Environ., 41, 8301-8315, 2007.
- 514 Škrdlíková, L., Landlová, L., Klánová, J., and Lammel, G.: Wet deposition and scavenging
- efficiency of gaseous and particulate phase polycyclic aromatic compounds at a central European
 suburban site, Atmos. Environ., 45, 4305-4312, 2011.
- 517 Slinn, W. G. N., Hasse, L., Hicks, B. B., Hogan, A. W., Lal, D., Liss, P. S., Munnich, K. O.,
- Sehmel, G. A., and Vittori, O.: Some aspects of the transfer of atmospheric trace constituents
 past the air-sea interface, Atmos. Environ., 12, 2055-2087, 1978.

- USEPA: United States Environmental Protection Agency, Estimation Programs Interface Suite[™]
 for Microsoft® Windows, v 4.11, 2012.
- van Ry, D. A., Gigliotti, C. L., Glenn, Nelson, E. D., Totten, L. A., and Eisenreich, S. J.: Wet
- 523 Deposition of Polychlorinated Biphenyls in Urban and Background Areas of the Mid-Atlantic
- 524 States, Environ. Sci. Technol., 36, 3201-3209, 2002.
- 525 Wiman, B. L. B., Unsworth, M. H., Lindberg, S. E., Bergkvist, B., Jaenicke, R., and Hansson, H.
- 526 C.: Perspectives on aerosol deposition to natural surfaces: interactions between aerosol residence
- 527 times, removal processes, the biosphere and global environmental change, J. Aerosol Sci., 21,
- 528 313-338, 1990.
- 529 Yolsal, D., Salihoglu, G., and Tasdemir, Y.: Air-soil exchange of PCBs: Levels and temporal
- variations at two sites in Turkey, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res., 21, 3920-3935, 2014.
- 531

	Gaseous Cons.(ng m ⁻³)				Particulate Cons.(ng m ⁻³)				
	Min.	Max.	Mean ± SD	DF %	Min.	Max.	Mean ± SD	DF %	
ACY	<mdl< td=""><td>34.5</td><td>$1.0{\pm}3.0$</td><td>87</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>1.9</td><td>$7.8 \times 10^{-2} \pm 2.4 \times 10^{-1}$</td><td>53</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	34.5	$1.0{\pm}3.0$	87	<mdl< td=""><td>1.9</td><td>$7.8 \times 10^{-2} \pm 2.4 \times 10^{-1}$</td><td>53</td></mdl<>	1.9	$7.8 \times 10^{-2} \pm 2.4 \times 10^{-1}$	53	
ACE	<mdl< td=""><td>3.2</td><td>0.3 ± 0.5</td><td>90</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>0.2</td><td>$1.1 \times 10^{-2} \pm 3.1 \times 10^{-2}$</td><td>22</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	3.2	0.3 ± 0.5	90	<mdl< td=""><td>0.2</td><td>$1.1 \times 10^{-2} \pm 3.1 \times 10^{-2}$</td><td>22</td></mdl<>	0.2	$1.1 \times 10^{-2} \pm 3.1 \times 10^{-2}$	22	
FLN	<mdl< td=""><td>26.3</td><td>2.7 ± 4.0</td><td>98</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>2.5</td><td>$1.1 \times 10^{-1} \pm 3.4 \times 10^{-1}$</td><td>49</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	26.3	2.7 ± 4.0	98	<mdl< td=""><td>2.5</td><td>$1.1 \times 10^{-1} \pm 3.4 \times 10^{-1}$</td><td>49</td></mdl<>	2.5	$1.1 \times 10^{-1} \pm 3.4 \times 10^{-1}$	49	
PHE	<mdl< td=""><td>45.0</td><td>4.7 ± 5.9</td><td>98</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>14.6</td><td>0.8 ± 2.2</td><td>72</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	45.0	4.7 ± 5.9	98	<mdl< td=""><td>14.6</td><td>0.8 ± 2.2</td><td>72</td></mdl<>	14.6	0.8 ± 2.2	72	
ANT	<mdl< td=""><td>4.7</td><td>$1.3 \times 10^{-1} \pm 4.0 \times 10^{-1}$</td><td>81</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>1.5</td><td>$6.3 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1.9 \times 10^{-1}$</td><td>53</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	4.7	$1.3 \times 10^{-1} \pm 4.0 \times 10^{-1}$	81	<mdl< td=""><td>1.5</td><td>$6.3 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1.9 \times 10^{-1}$</td><td>53</td></mdl<>	1.5	$6.3 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1.9 \times 10^{-1}$	53	
FLT	7.0×10^{-2}	13.8	1.3±1.6	100	3.0×10 ⁻³	26.1	1.3±3.3	99	
PYR	3.9×10 ⁻³	7.7	0.6 ± 0.8	93	3.5×10 ⁻³	20.7	1.1 ± 2.5	99	
BAA	<mdl< td=""><td>0.3</td><td>$1.4 \times 10^{-2} \pm 3.7 \times 10^{-2}$</td><td>38</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>11.1</td><td>0.5 ± 1.2</td><td>87</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	0.3	$1.4 \times 10^{-2} \pm 3.7 \times 10^{-2}$	38	<mdl< td=""><td>11.1</td><td>0.5 ± 1.2</td><td>87</td></mdl<>	11.1	0.5 ± 1.2	87	
CHR	<mdl< td=""><td>0.4</td><td>$6.0 \times 10^{-2} \pm 6.8 \times 10^{-2}$</td><td>95</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>15.8</td><td>$0.7{\pm}1.7$</td><td>97</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	0.4	$6.0 \times 10^{-2} \pm 6.8 \times 10^{-2}$	95	<mdl< td=""><td>15.8</td><td>$0.7{\pm}1.7$</td><td>97</td></mdl<>	15.8	$0.7{\pm}1.7$	97	
BBF	<mdl< td=""><td>0.9</td><td>$1.3 \times 10^{-2} \pm 8.2 \times 10^{-2}$</td><td>14</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>13.6</td><td>$0.7{\pm}1.5$</td><td>96</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	0.9	$1.3 \times 10^{-2} \pm 8.2 \times 10^{-2}$	14	<mdl< td=""><td>13.6</td><td>$0.7{\pm}1.5$</td><td>96</td></mdl<>	13.6	$0.7{\pm}1.5$	96	
BKF	<mdl< td=""><td>0.3</td><td>$6.2 \times 10^{-3} \pm 2.6 \times 10^{-2}$</td><td>4</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>5.0</td><td>0.3±0.6</td><td>88</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	0.3	$6.2 \times 10^{-3} \pm 2.6 \times 10^{-2}$	4	<mdl< td=""><td>5.0</td><td>0.3±0.6</td><td>88</td></mdl<>	5.0	0.3±0.6	88	
BAP	<mdl< td=""><td>0.5</td><td>$8.7 \times 10^{-3} \pm 4.2 \times 10^{-2}$</td><td>3</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>9.1</td><td>0.4 ± 0.9</td><td>83</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	0.5	$8.7 \times 10^{-3} \pm 4.2 \times 10^{-2}$	3	<mdl< td=""><td>9.1</td><td>0.4 ± 0.9</td><td>83</td></mdl<>	9.1	0.4 ± 0.9	83	
IPY	<mdl< td=""><td>0.5</td><td>$7.2 \times 10^{-3} \pm 4.6 \times 10^{-2}$</td><td>3</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>10.4</td><td>0.5 ± 1.0</td><td>81</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	0.5	$7.2 \times 10^{-3} \pm 4.6 \times 10^{-2}$	3	<mdl< td=""><td>10.4</td><td>0.5 ± 1.0</td><td>81</td></mdl<>	10.4	0.5 ± 1.0	81	
DHA				2	<mdl< td=""><td>1.2</td><td>$4.1 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1.1 \times 10^{-1}$</td><td>56</td></mdl<>	1.2	$4.1 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1.1 \times 10^{-1}$	56	
BPE	<mdl< td=""><td>0.5</td><td>$7.4 \times 10^{-3} \pm 4.2 \times 10^{-2}$</td><td>3</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>6.6</td><td>0.4 ± 0.7</td><td>90</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	0.5	$7.4 \times 10^{-3} \pm 4.2 \times 10^{-2}$	3	<mdl< td=""><td>6.6</td><td>0.4 ± 0.7</td><td>90</td></mdl<>	6.6	0.4 ± 0.7	90	
RET	6.5×10 ⁻³	5.0	0.2 ± 0.4	100	<mdl< td=""><td>4.0</td><td>0.2 ± 0.5</td><td>73</td></mdl<>	4.0	0.2 ± 0.5	73	
BFN	<mdl< td=""><td>0.8</td><td>$5.2 \times 10^{-2} \pm 8.2 \times 10^{-2}$</td><td>80</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>4.7</td><td>0.2±0.5</td><td>72</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	0.8	$5.2 \times 10^{-2} \pm 8.2 \times 10^{-2}$	80	<mdl< td=""><td>4.7</td><td>0.2±0.5</td><td>72</td></mdl<>	4.7	0.2±0.5	72	
BNT	<mdl< td=""><td>2.1×10^{-2}</td><td>$2.4 \times 10^{-3} \pm 2.2 \times 10^{-3}$</td><td>6</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>0.5</td><td>$2.3 \times 10^{-2} \pm 6.2 \times 10^{-2}$</td><td>46</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	2.1×10^{-2}	$2.4 \times 10^{-3} \pm 2.2 \times 10^{-3}$	6	<mdl< td=""><td>0.5</td><td>$2.3 \times 10^{-2} \pm 6.2 \times 10^{-2}$</td><td>46</td></mdl<>	0.5	$2.3 \times 10^{-2} \pm 6.2 \times 10^{-2}$	46	
BGF	<mdl< td=""><td>0.4</td><td>$5.1 \times 10^{-2} \pm 5.4 \times 10^{-2}$</td><td>93</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>7.7</td><td>0.3±0.8</td><td>92</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	0.4	$5.1 \times 10^{-2} \pm 5.4 \times 10^{-2}$	93	<mdl< td=""><td>7.7</td><td>0.3±0.8</td><td>92</td></mdl<>	7.7	0.3±0.8	92	
CPP	<mdl< td=""><td>0.2</td><td>$5.0 \times 10^{-3} \pm 2.2 \times 10^{-2}$</td><td>3</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>10.3</td><td>0.3±0.9</td><td>73</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	0.2	$5.0 \times 10^{-3} \pm 2.2 \times 10^{-2}$	3	<mdl< td=""><td>10.3</td><td>0.3±0.9</td><td>73</td></mdl<>	10.3	0.3±0.9	73	
TPH	<mdl< td=""><td>0.1</td><td>$1.5 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1.5 \times 10^{-2}$</td><td>87</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>2.8</td><td>$1.4 \times 10^{-1} \pm 3.2 \times 10^{-1}$</td><td>86</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	0.1	$1.5 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1.5 \times 10^{-2}$	87	<mdl< td=""><td>2.8</td><td>$1.4 \times 10^{-1} \pm 3.2 \times 10^{-1}$</td><td>86</td></mdl<>	2.8	$1.4 \times 10^{-1} \pm 3.2 \times 10^{-1}$	86	
BJF	<mdl< td=""><td>0.4</td><td>$8.4 \times 10^{-3} \pm 3.9 \times 10^{-2}$</td><td>6</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>7.5</td><td>0.4 ± 0.9</td><td>91</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	0.4	$8.4 \times 10^{-3} \pm 3.9 \times 10^{-2}$	6	<mdl< td=""><td>7.5</td><td>0.4 ± 0.9</td><td>91</td></mdl<>	7.5	0.4 ± 0.9	91	
BEP	<mdl< td=""><td>0.5</td><td>$1.0 \times 10^{-2} \pm 4.6 \times 10^{-2}$</td><td>5</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>7.2</td><td>0.4 ± 0.8</td><td>87</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	0.5	$1.0 \times 10^{-2} \pm 4.6 \times 10^{-2}$	5	<mdl< td=""><td>7.2</td><td>0.4 ± 0.8</td><td>87</td></mdl<>	7.2	0.4 ± 0.8	87	
PER				2	<mdl< td=""><td>1.5</td><td>$6.9 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1.5 \times 10^{-1}$</td><td>61</td></mdl<>	1.5	$6.9 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1.5 \times 10^{-1}$	61	
DCA				2	<mdl< td=""><td>0.6</td><td>$2.9 \times 10^{-2} \pm 6.7 \times 10^{-2}$</td><td>55</td></mdl<>	0.6	$2.9 \times 10^{-2} \pm 6.7 \times 10^{-2}$	55	
ATT				2	<mdl< td=""><td>1.7</td><td>$4.7 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1.5 \times 10^{-1}$</td><td>48</td></mdl<>	1.7	$4.7 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1.5 \times 10^{-1}$	48	
COR				2	<mdl< td=""><td>3.3</td><td>$1.3 \times 10^{-1} \pm 3.2 \times 10^{-1}$</td><td>64</td></mdl<>	3.3	$1.3 \times 10^{-1} \pm 3.2 \times 10^{-1}$	64	
∑ PAHs	0.6	138.6	11.3 ± 15.7		0.1	189.3	9.3±21.2		

 Table 1. PAH concentrations and detection frequencies in air.

SD: standard deviation; DF: detection frequency (n = 162); <MDL: below method detection limit; \sum PAHs: the sum of the concentrations of individual PAHs with DF >10% in either gas or particulate phase. Concentration ranges and means are only reported for analytes with DF >2%.

	Gase	ous Con	$(pg m^{-3})$	_	Particulate Cons. (pg m ⁻³)			3)
	Min.	Max.	Mean ± SD	DF %	Min.	Max.	Mean ± SD	DF %
PCB 28	<mdl< td=""><td>14.3</td><td>3.2±2.4</td><td>88</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td></mdl<>	14.3	3.2±2.4	88				1
PCB 52	<mdl< td=""><td>8.4</td><td>1.5 ± 1.4</td><td>68</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td></mdl<>	8.4	1.5 ± 1.4	68				1
PCB 101	<mdl< td=""><td>13.9</td><td>1.2 ± 1.8</td><td>60</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td></mdl<>	13.9	1.2 ± 1.8	60				1
PCB 118	<mdl< td=""><td>4.0</td><td>0.3±0.5</td><td>10</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td><mdl< td=""></mdl<></td></mdl<>	4.0	0.3±0.5	10				<mdl< td=""></mdl<>
PCB 138	<mdl< td=""><td>3.9</td><td>0.5 ± 0.5</td><td>24</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2</td></mdl<>	3.9	0.5 ± 0.5	24				2
PCB 153	<mdl< td=""><td>8.0</td><td>$1.0{\pm}1.2$</td><td>62</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>3.1</td><td>0.3±0.3</td><td>4</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	8.0	$1.0{\pm}1.2$	62	<mdl< td=""><td>3.1</td><td>0.3±0.3</td><td>4</td></mdl<>	3.1	0.3±0.3	4
PCB 180	<mdl< td=""><td>2.1</td><td>0.3±0.2</td><td>14</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>3.3</td><td>0.2 ± 0.3</td><td>6</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	2.1	0.3±0.2	14	<mdl< td=""><td>3.3</td><td>0.2 ± 0.3</td><td>6</td></mdl<>	3.3	0.2 ± 0.3	6
α -HCH	<mdl< td=""><td>44.5</td><td>4.4 ± 6.0</td><td>57</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td></mdl<>	44.5	4.4 ± 6.0	57				1
β -HCH				2				<mdl< td=""></mdl<>
γ-HCH	<mdl< td=""><td>31.4</td><td>6.6 ± 6.5</td><td>75</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td></mdl<>	31.4	6.6 ± 6.5	75				1
δ -HCH	<mdl< td=""><td>2.0</td><td>0.4 ± 0.3</td><td>5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td></mdl<>	2.0	0.4 ± 0.3	5				1
ε-HCH				1				<mdl< td=""></mdl<>
<i>o</i> , <i>p'</i> -DDE	<mdl< td=""><td>1.9</td><td>0.4 ± 0.3</td><td>27</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td><mdl< td=""></mdl<></td></mdl<>	1.9	0.4 ± 0.3	27				<mdl< td=""></mdl<>
<i>p,p'</i> -DDE	<mdl< td=""><td>87.3</td><td>19.5±15.9</td><td>98</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>6.8</td><td>0.4 ± 0.7</td><td>31</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	87.3	19.5±15.9	98	<mdl< td=""><td>6.8</td><td>0.4 ± 0.7</td><td>31</td></mdl<>	6.8	0.4 ± 0.7	31
o,p'-DDD	<mdl< td=""><td>2.2</td><td>0.3 ± 0.2</td><td>6</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td><mdl< td=""></mdl<></td></mdl<>	2.2	0.3 ± 0.2	6				<mdl< td=""></mdl<>
<i>p,p'</i> -DDD	<mdl< td=""><td>2.4</td><td>0.4 ± 0.4</td><td>17</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td></mdl<>	2.4	0.4 ± 0.4	17				1
<i>o,p'-</i> DDT	<mdl< td=""><td>8.9</td><td>1.5 ± 1.9</td><td>45</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td><mdl< td=""></mdl<></td></mdl<>	8.9	1.5 ± 1.9	45				<mdl< td=""></mdl<>
<i>p,p'</i> -DDT	<mdl< td=""><td>11.2</td><td>1.6 ± 2.3</td><td>36</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td></mdl<>	11.2	1.6 ± 2.3	36				1
PeCB	<mdl< td=""><td>52.8</td><td>9.6±7.3</td><td>99</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2</td></mdl<>	52.8	9.6±7.3	99				2
HCB	<mdl< td=""><td>279.5</td><td>86.5 ± 40.4</td><td>99</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>62.7</td><td>0.9 ± 6.2</td><td>7</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	279.5	86.5 ± 40.4	99	<mdl< td=""><td>62.7</td><td>0.9 ± 6.2</td><td>7</td></mdl<>	62.7	0.9 ± 6.2	7
$\sum PCBs$	<mdl< td=""><td>43.5</td><td>8.1±7.2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></mdl<>	43.5	8.1±7.2					
\sum HCHs	<mdl< td=""><td>73.8</td><td>$11.0{\pm}11.6$</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></mdl<>	73.8	$11.0{\pm}11.6$					
$\sum DDTs$	<mdl< td=""><td>104.3</td><td>23.2±19.8</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></mdl<>	104.3	23.2±19.8					
$\sum CBs$	<mdl< td=""><td>332.3</td><td>96.1±42.0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></mdl<>	332.3	96.1±42.0					

Table 2. PCB and CP concentrations and detection frequencies in air.

SD: standard deviation; DF: detection frequency (n = 162); <MDL: below method detection limit; \sum PCBs : the sum of the concentrations of PCB 28, 52, 101, 118, 138, 153, and 180; \sum HCHs: the sum of the concentrations of α - and γ -HCH; \sum DDTs: the sum of the concentrations of o,p'-DDE, p,p'-DDE, p,p'-DDD, o,p'-DDT, and p,p'-DDT; \sum CBs: the sum of the concentrations of PCB and HCB. Note that only analytes with DF>10% in either gas or particulate phase were considered for calculating the sums. Concentration ranges and means are only reported for analytes with DF>2%.

	Concentrations (ng L ⁻¹)							
	Min.	Max.	Mean ± SD	DF %				
ACY	<mdl< td=""><td>23.7</td><td>2.1 ± 2.8</td><td>67</td></mdl<>	23.7	2.1 ± 2.8	67				
ACE	<mdl< td=""><td>7.0</td><td>1.0 ± 1.2</td><td>54</td></mdl<>	7.0	1.0 ± 1.2	54				
FLN	<mdl< td=""><td>41.6</td><td>6.0 ± 5.8</td><td>99</td></mdl<>	41.6	6.0 ± 5.8	99				
PHE	<mdl< td=""><td>268.0</td><td>23.5 ± 29.2</td><td>99</td></mdl<>	268.0	23.5 ± 29.2	99				
ANT	<mdl< td=""><td>41.2</td><td>1.1 ± 3.2</td><td>56</td></mdl<>	41.2	1.1 ± 3.2	56				
FLT	<mdl< td=""><td>1.1×10^{3}</td><td>61.4±144.6</td><td>99</td></mdl<>	1.1×10^{3}	61.4±144.6	99				
PYR	<mdl< td=""><td>282.5</td><td>17.7 ± 27.0</td><td>91</td></mdl<>	282.5	17.7 ± 27.0	91				
BAA	<mdl< td=""><td>76.9</td><td>3.1±6.7</td><td>63</td></mdl<>	76.9	3.1±6.7	63				
CHR	<mdl< td=""><td>141.8</td><td>9.1±14.9</td><td>75</td></mdl<>	141.8	9.1±14.9	75				
BBF	<mdl< td=""><td>85.2</td><td>6.5±13.0</td><td>69</td></mdl<>	85.2	6.5±13.0	69				
BKF	<mdl< td=""><td>42.3</td><td>2.8 ± 4.8</td><td>63</td></mdl<>	42.3	2.8 ± 4.8	63				
BAP	<mdl< td=""><td>50.0</td><td>2.3 ± 4.9</td><td>55</td></mdl<>	50.0	2.3 ± 4.9	55				
IPY	<mdl< td=""><td>58.2</td><td>3.6 ± 7.1</td><td>60</td></mdl<>	58.2	3.6 ± 7.1	60				
DHA	<mdl< td=""><td>3.9</td><td>0.3 ± 0.4</td><td>25</td></mdl<>	3.9	0.3 ± 0.4	25				
BPE	<mdl< td=""><td>50.9</td><td>3.6 ± 6.5</td><td>59</td></mdl<>	50.9	3.6 ± 6.5	59				
RET	<mdl< td=""><td>44.0</td><td>3.1±4.6</td><td>73</td></mdl<>	44.0	3.1±4.6	73				
BFN	<mdl< td=""><td>67.2</td><td>3.1 ± 6.0</td><td>62</td></mdl<>	67.2	3.1 ± 6.0	62				
BNT	<mdl< td=""><td>6.7</td><td>0.5 ± 0.7</td><td>43</td></mdl<>	6.7	0.5 ± 0.7	43				
BGF	<mdl< td=""><td>70.8</td><td>4.9 ± 7.7</td><td>70</td></mdl<>	70.8	4.9 ± 7.7	70				
CPP	<mdl< td=""><td>17.4</td><td>1.1 ± 2.2</td><td>48</td></mdl<>	17.4	1.1 ± 2.2	48				
TPH	<mdl< td=""><td>44.2</td><td>3.5 ± 5.4</td><td>67</td></mdl<>	44.2	3.5 ± 5.4	67				
BJF	<mdl< td=""><td>63.0</td><td>5.6 ± 9.7</td><td>64</td></mdl<>	63.0	5.6 ± 9.7	64				
BEP	<mdl< td=""><td>60.0</td><td>4.8 ± 7.6</td><td>73</td></mdl<>	60.0	4.8 ± 7.6	73				
PER	<mdl< td=""><td>7.8</td><td>0.5 ± 0.9</td><td>39</td></mdl<>	7.8	0.5 ± 0.9	39				
DCA	<mdl< td=""><td>7.7</td><td>0.4 ± 0.7</td><td>27</td></mdl<>	7.7	0.4 ± 0.7	27				
ATT	<mdl< td=""><td>8.0</td><td>0.3 ± 0.7</td><td>18</td></mdl<>	8.0	0.3 ± 0.7	18				
COR	<mdl< td=""><td>22.1</td><td>1.5 ± 2.9</td><td>52</td></mdl<>	22.1	1.5 ± 2.9	52				
∑ PAHs	<mdl< td=""><td>2.1×10^{3}</td><td>173.3 ± 256.1</td><td></td></mdl<>	2.1×10^{3}	173.3 ± 256.1					

Table 3. PAH concentrations and detectionfrequencies in rainwater.

SD: standard deviation; DF: detection frequency (n = 231); <MDL: below method detection limit; \sum PAHs: the sum of the concentrations of 27 individual PAHs listed on the table.

	$F_{\rm wet}$ (ng m ⁻²)							
	Min.	Max.	Mean ± SD					
ACY	<mdl< td=""><td>94.8</td><td>8.4±14.0</td></mdl<>	94.8	8.4±14.0					
ACE	<mdl< td=""><td>76.1</td><td>4.3 ± 8.8</td></mdl<>	76.1	4.3 ± 8.8					
FLN	<mdl< td=""><td>305.3</td><td>29.8±43.7</td></mdl<>	305.3	29.8±43.7					
PHE	<mdl< td=""><td>816.8</td><td>102.1±133.1</td></mdl<>	816.8	102.1±133.1					
ANT	<mdl< td=""><td>332.4</td><td>4.4 ± 22.6</td></mdl<>	332.4	4.4 ± 22.6					
FLT	<mdl< td=""><td>4.0×10^{3}</td><td>204.1±413.0</td></mdl<>	4.0×10^{3}	204.1±413.0					
PYR	<mdl< td=""><td>753.7</td><td>66.6±106.0</td></mdl<>	753.7	66.6±106.0					
BAA	<mdl< td=""><td>182.7</td><td>10.6 ± 23.1</td></mdl<>	182.7	10.6 ± 23.1					
CHR	<mdl< td=""><td>407.5</td><td>34.0 ± 60.6</td></mdl<>	407.5	34.0 ± 60.6					
BBF	<mdl< td=""><td>569.9</td><td>21.5 ± 51.4</td></mdl<>	569.9	21.5 ± 51.4					
BKF	<mdl< td=""><td>165.7</td><td>9.8 ± 19.0</td></mdl<>	165.7	9.8 ± 19.0					
BAP	<mdl< td=""><td>180.5</td><td>7.1 ± 17.9</td></mdl<>	180.5	7.1 ± 17.9					
IPY	<mdl< td=""><td>178.3</td><td>12.2 ± 25.9</td></mdl<>	178.3	12.2 ± 25.9					
DHA	<mdl< td=""><td>13.5</td><td>0.6 ± 1.8</td></mdl<>	13.5	0.6 ± 1.8					
BPE	<mdl< td=""><td>161.2</td><td>12.1 ± 24.5</td></mdl<>	161.2	12.1 ± 24.5					
RET	<mdl< td=""><td>234.3</td><td>12.7 ± 24.3</td></mdl<>	234.3	12.7 ± 24.3					
BFN	<mdl< td=""><td>163.5</td><td>11.6 ± 23.0</td></mdl<>	163.5	11.6 ± 23.0					
BNT	<mdl< td=""><td>18.1</td><td>1.3 ± 2.7</td></mdl<>	18.1	1.3 ± 2.7					
BGF	<mdl< td=""><td>240.6</td><td>18.4 ± 31.7</td></mdl<>	240.6	18.4 ± 31.7					
CPP	<mdl< td=""><td>94.5</td><td>3.4 ± 9.8</td></mdl<>	94.5	3.4 ± 9.8					
TPH	<mdl< td=""><td>104.1</td><td>12.4 ± 20.0</td></mdl<>	104.1	12.4 ± 20.0					
BJF	<mdl< td=""><td>374.2</td><td>20.6±43.4</td></mdl<>	374.2	20.6±43.4					
BEP	<mdl< td=""><td>249.1</td><td>16.8 ± 29.8</td></mdl<>	249.1	16.8 ± 29.8					
PER	<mdl< td=""><td>34.7</td><td>1.4 ± 3.6</td></mdl<>	34.7	1.4 ± 3.6					
DCA	<mdl< td=""><td>16.7</td><td>0.8 ± 2.3</td></mdl<>	16.7	0.8 ± 2.3					
ATT	<mdl< td=""><td>21.3</td><td>0.7 ± 2.5</td></mdl<>	21.3	0.7 ± 2.5					
COR	<mdl< td=""><td>66.5</td><td>$5.0{\pm}10.5$</td></mdl<>	66.5	$5.0{\pm}10.5$					
∑ PAHs	<mdl< td=""><td>5.5×10^{3}</td><td>632.9±900.1</td></mdl<>	5.5×10^{3}	632.9±900.1					

Table 4. PAH daily wet deposition fluxes (F_{wet}).

SD: standard deviation; <MDL: below method detection limit.

2012						2	013	
Winter Spring Summer Autumn				Winter	Spring	Summer	Autumn	
$\sum PAH F_{wet} (ng m^{-2})$								
3.0×10^4	2.3×10^4	6.3×10^{3}	7.3×10^{3}		4.0×10^4	1.6×10^4	9.4×10^{3}	1.1×10^4
Precipitation (mm)								
147.8	76.9	272.4	107.2		169.8	131.6	315.0	82.3

Table 5. \sum PAH seasonal wet deposition fluxes (F_{wet}) and precipitation amounts.

	Min.	Max.	Median	Log	Log
		4	2	K _{oa}	K _{aw}
ACY	NA	6.9×10^4	4.7×10^{3}	6.27	-2.33
ACE	NA	3.0×10^4	4.5×10^{3}	6.31	-2.12
FLN	863.9	5.0×10^4	4.8×10^{3}	6.79	-2.41
ANT	NA	8.4×10^{4}	2.4×10^{3}	7.55	-2.64
PHE	2.2×10^{3}	2.5×10^4	5.4×10^{3}	7.57	-2.76
RET	NA	3.2×10^4	6.2×10^{3}	8.70	-2.35
PYR	NA	1.1×10^{5}	1.2×10^{4}	8.80	-3.31
FLT	3.7×10^{3}	1.3×10^{6}	1.4×10^{4}	8.88	-3.44
BAA	NA	1.5×10^{5}	4.7×10^{3}	9.07	-3.31
CHR	NA	1.6×10^{5}	1.3×10^{4}	9.48	-3.67
BFN	NA	1.6×10^{5}	8.6×10^{3}	9.57	-3.80
BGF	NA	1.4×10^{5}	1.4×10^{4}	9.78	-4.26
PER	NA	1.5×10^{5}	1.8×10^{3}	10.08	-3.83
CPP	NA	1.8×10^{5}	563	10.15	-4.45
BBF	NA	1.4×10^{5}	7.5×10^{3}	10.35	-4.57
BJF	NA	1.4×10^{5}	9.2×10^{3}	10.59	-4.48
TPH	NA	2.0×10^{5}	1.9×10^{4}	10.69	-5.20
BKF	NA	1.4×10^{5}	5.6×10^{3}	10.73	-4.62
BAP	NA	9.1×10^4	1.6×10^{3}	10.86	-4.73
DCA	NA	1.4×10^{5}	NA	11.11	-4.70
BEP	NA	1.4×10^{5}	1.3×10^{4}	11.35	-4.91
IPY	NA	1.5×10^{5}	7.4×10^{3}	11.55	-4.85
DHA	NA	1.6×10^{5}	NA	11.78	-5.24
BPE	NA	1.4×10^{5}	6.5×10^{3}	11.97	-5.27
COR	NA	1.8×10^{5}	4.3×10^{3}	13.70	-6.06
BNT	NA	3.5×10^{5}	6.8×10^{3}	NA	-4.36
ATT	NA	2.3×10^{5}	NA	NA	NA
\sum PAHs	2.4×10^{3}	2.3×10^{5}	8.8×10^{3}		

Table 6. PAH scavenging ratios (W_t) . Wt

 K_{oa} : analyte octanol-air partitioning coefficient; K_{aw} : analyte airwater partitioning coefficient. For ease of interpretation, analytes are sorted based on their log K_{oa} values. Log K_{oa} and log K_{ow} values were obtained from Estimation Programs Interface Suite 4.11(USEPA, 2012); NA: indicates an event in which analyte concentration in rainwater was <LOQ.

	^{a}U	pper-boun	d E	^b Lower-bound ε			
	Min.	Max.	Median	Min.	Max.	Median	^c Median
ACY	NA	2.2	1.4×10^{-2}	NA	1.7	2.3×10 ⁻³	5.3×10 ⁻⁴
ACE	NA	0.3	8.9×10^{-3}	NA	8.1×10^{-2}	1.8×10^{-3}	5.2×10^{-4}
FLN	5.4×10^{-4}	1.5	1.5×10^{-2}	4.3×10^{-4}	0.3	3.6×10 ⁻³	3.7×10^{-3}
ANT	NA	0.4	1.3×10^{-2}	NA	0.1	2.4×10^{-3}	9.4×10^{-3}
PHE	1.3×10^{-3}	0.3	2.6×10^{-2}	5.4×10^{-4}	5.1×10 ⁻²	5.5×10 ⁻³	1.5×10^{-2}
RET	NA	0.4	1.2×10^{-2}	NA	0.1	2.8×10^{-3}	NA
PYR	NA	1.1	5.2×10^{-2}	NA	0.2	1.0×10^{-2}	7.5×10^{-3}
FLT	2.8×10^{-3}	0.9	8.1×10^{-2}	1.1×10^{-3}	0.2	1.3×10^{-2}	9.9×10^{-3}
BAA	NA	0.9	1.2×10^{-2}	NA	5.7×10^{-2}	1.9×10^{-3}	4.8×10^{-2}
CHR	NA	1.8	5.2×10^{-2}	NA	0.1	1.2×10^{-2}	1.0×10^{-2}
BFN	NA	1.2	3.0×10^{-2}	NA	0.2	4.1×10^{-3}	NA
BGF	NA	2.4	6.1×10^{-2}	NA	0.1	9.1×10^{-3}	NA
PER	NA	1.9	NA	NA	2.7×10^{-2}	NA	NA
CPP	NA	0.5	3.6×10^{-3}	NA	6.8×10^{-2}	4.6×10^{-4}	NA
BBF	NA	3.0	2.4×10^{-2}	NA	5.0×10^{-2}	3.6×10^{-3}	NA
BJF	NA	3.6	2.7×10^{-2}	NA	0.2	1.6×10^{-3}	NA
TPH	NA	3.2	7.4×10^{-2}	NA	0.3	1.4×10^{-2}	NA
BKF	NA	2.0	2.9×10^{-2}	NA	6.8×10^{-2}	1.9×10^{-3}	NA
BAP	NA	1.5	4.1×10^{-3}	NA	3.9×10^{-2}	5.2×10^{-4}	NA
DCA	NA	2.9	NA	NA	0.1	NA	NA
BEP	NA	2.9	4.0×10^{-2}	NA	7.5×10^{-2}	6.5×10^{-3}	NA
IPY	NA	3.5	1.7×10^{-2}	NA	0.3	1.8×10^{-3}	NA
DHA	NA	3.1	NA	NA	3.8×10^{-2}	NA	NA
BPE	NA	3.6	2.3×10^{-2}	NA	0.2	2.5×10^{-3}	NA
COR	NA	4.8	2.2×10^{-3}	NA	0.2	7.2×10^{-4}	NA
BNT	NA	2.8	NA	NA	0.2	NA	NA
ATT	NA	3.8	NA	NA	4.7×10^{-2}	NA	NA
$\sum PAHs 27$	1.2×10^{-3}	0.8	5.3×10^{-2}	5.2×10^{-4}	0.1	9.7×10 ⁻³	NA

Table 7. PAH scavenged mass fractions (ε).

^{*a*} Upper- and ^{*b*} lower-bound ε correspond to cloud base and top height, respectively; ^{*c*} median ε values reported by Skrdlíková et al., (2011) for could top heights (*n* = 10); NA: indicates an event in which analyte concentration in rainwater was <LOQ. Analytes are sorted based on their log K_{oa} values.

Figure 1 caption

Fig. 1. \sum PAH median air concentrations in winter (D.J.F.), spring (M.A.M.), summer (J.J.A.), and autumn (S.O.N.) at Košetice.