Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, 6981–7023, 2014 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/14/6981/2014/ doi:10.5194/acpd-14-6981-2014 © Author(s) 2014. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ACP if available.

Climatology of stratocumulus cloud morphologies: microphysical properties and radiative effects

A. Muhlbauer¹, I. L. McCoy², and R. Wood³

¹Joint Institute for the Study of the Atmosphere and Ocean, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

²New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology, Socorro, New Mexico, USA

³Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA

Received: 5 February 2014 - Accepted: 2 March 2014 - Published: 14 March 2014

Correspondence to: A. Muhlbauer (andreasm@atmos.washington.edu)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.



Abstract

10

An artificial neural network cloud classification scheme is combined with A-Train observations to characterize the physical properties and radiative effects of marine low clouds based on their morphology and type of mesoscale cellular convection (MCC)

on a global scale. The cloud morphological categories are (i) organized closed MCC,
 (ii) organized open MCC and (iii) cellular but disorganized MCC.

Global distributions of the frequency of occurrence of MCC types show clear regional signatures. Organized closed and open MCCs are most frequently found in subtropical regions and in mid-latitude storm tracks of both hemispheres. Cellular but disorganized MCC are the predominant type of marine low clouds in regions with warmer sea surface temperature such as in the tropics and trade wind zones. All MCC types exhibit

a pronounced seasonal cycle. The physical properties of MCCs such as cloud fraction, radar reflectivity, drizzle rates and cloud top heights as well as the radiative effects of MCCs are found highly

- variable and a function of the type of MCC. On a global scale, the cloud fraction is largest for closed MCC with mean cloud fractions of about 90 % whereas cloud fractions of open and cellular but disorganized MCC are only about 51 % and 40 %, respectively. Probability density functions (PDFs) of cloud fractions are heavily skewed and exhibit modest regional variability.
- PDFs of column maximum radar reflectivities and inferred cloud base drizzle rates indicate fundamental differences in the cloud and precipitation characteristics of different MCC types. Similarly, the radiative effects of MCCs differ substantially from each other in terms of shortwave reflectance and transmissivity. These differences highlight the importance of low cloud morphologies and their associated cloudiness on the shortwave cloud forcing.



1 Introduction

Marine stratocumulus (Sc) clouds are an important component of the climate system by covering vast areas of the earth's ocean surface and affecting the radiation balance of the earth. Owing to their high albedo, Sc reflect incoming solar radiation back to space thereby exerting a strong negative shortwave cloud radiative effect (Hartmann and Short, 1980). Similar to other low cloud types in the marine boundary layer (MBL), the impact of Sc clouds on the outgoing longwave radiation (OLR) is marginal due to the lack of contrast between the temperature of Sc cloud tops and the temperature of the sea surface over which they form. Thus, the net radiative effect of Sc clouds
¹⁰ is primarily controlled by factors influencing their shortwave cloud forcing such as the cloud albedo and the cloud coverage.

Analyses of satellite imagery testify that marine Sc clouds exhibit different morphologies each resembling different types and features of embedded mesoscale cellular convection (MCC). The type of MCC is important because it modulates the overall

- ¹⁵ cloud coverage and albedo of Sc cloud fields and introduces considerable mesoscale variability of the microphysical (e.g., cloud droplet number concentrations, effective radius, precipitation rate) and macrophysical (e.g., cloud albedo, cloud coverage) properties and associated radiative impacts of Sc clouds (Wood and Hartmann, 2006; Wood et al., 2011). Marine Sc may be grouped into four general morphological categories been able at their callularity and level of mesoscale categories.
- ²⁰ based on their cellularity and level of mesoscale organization. These four morphological types are (i) homogeneous overcast Sc sheets without cellularity on the mesoscale, (ii) organized closed MCC, (iii) organized open MCC and (iv) inhomogeneous disorganized cells (Wood and Hartmann, 2006; Wood, 2012).

Over subtropical eastern oceans, the types of Sc morphologies represent different stages of the Sc-topped MBL as airmasses transition from shallow marine stratus forming over cold and upwelling near-coastal waters to cumulus over the warmer sea surface temperatures in trade wind regions. Homogeneous overcast marine stratus decks are dominant over near-coastal waters whereas broken sheets of Sc with organized



open or closed mesoscale cellular structure are more frequently observed further offshore. Transitions from organized open or closed mesoscale cells to larger disorganized cells of Sc and cumulii are observed further westwards as Sc clouds transit the subtropics equatorwards into the trade wind regions (Wood and Hartmann, 2006; Wood ⁵ et al., 2008; Sandu et al., 2010; Wood, 2012).

Recent satellite observations suggest that approximately 65% of Sc clouds in the Southeast Pacific exhibit mesoscale cellular structures with remarkably large regional and temporal variability (Painemal et al., 2010). In many cases, observations show pockets of open cells (POCs) or cloud rifts¹ forming within and surrounded by otherwise

- overcast sheets of Sc (e.g., Stevens et al., 2005; Sharon et al., 2006). POCS and 10 cloud rifts are prominent examples of Sc with open MCC characteristics and contribute considerably to the cloud coverage of open cellular clouds. Observations suggest that the distibution of cloud cover contributed by Sc with open MCC is heavily skewed with occasional contributions as large as 80% (Wood et al., 2008). Since the cloud fraction
- and albedo is considerably lower within POCs (50-80% cloud fraction during VOCALS 15 REx; Terai et al., 2014) than in the surrounding overcast Sc (approximately 100 % cloud fraction), POCs, Sc cloud rifts and other marine low cloud fields featuring open MCC are important modulators of the planetary albedo and the earth's radiation balance. Yet, a systematic evaluation of the radiative impacts of MCC is still lacking.
- Different types of Sc morphologies exist not only in the subtropical eastern oceans 20 but also at mid- and high latitudes within the extratropical storm tracks and the Arctic regions. For example, sheets of Sc clouds are often found in cold sectors of midlatitude cyclones (e.g., Field and Wood, 2007) and transient Sc clouds with open or closed MCC are frequently observed in cold-air outbreaks over oceans (Atkinson and Zhang, 1996; Agee, 1987).

25

The major objective of this study is to conduct a global investigation of the microphysical, macrophysical and precipitation characteristics of different Sc cloud morphologies

¹Cloud rifts are characterized as a large-scale, persistent area of broken, low-reflectivity stratocumulus clouds usually surrounded by a solid deck of stratocumulus.



as well as to assess the radiative impact of Sc clouds based on their types of MCC for various regions at subtropics and midlatitudes. To achieve this goal we combine space-borne cloud and radiation observations from active and passive remote sensors aboard the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) A-train satellite 5 constellation with a cloud classification scheme for a full year of observations.

The paper is organized as follows: Sect. 2 introduces the cloud classification scheme and the observations used throughout this study. Section 3 discusses the climatology of Sc morphologies including their spatial and temporal variability determined from space-borne observations. A case study is introduced in Sect. 4 and statistics of the physical properties and radiative effects of Sc cloud morphologies are discussed in Sect. 5 and Sect. 6, respectively. Conclusions are presented in Sect. 7.

2 Cloud classification and observations

10

Our classification scheme of marine low clouds is based on previous work by Wood and Hartmann (2006) (hereafter referred to as WH06), and only some fundamental aspects of the algorithm are reviewed here to elucidate the concepts and limitations of this study. The cloud classifier is essentially a cluster analysis technique based on a three-layer back propagation artificial neural network (ANN) design, which uses power spectra and probability density functions (PDFs) of liquid water path (LWP) as a measure for distinguishing various types of marine Sc clouds by their morphology. The ANN classifier has been trained on a large set of cases identified by human observer as discussed in Wood and Hartmann (2006). The Sc cloud morphology is a direct result of the type and associated features of MCC and the level of mesoscale organization within the cloud field.

The definitions of MCC types are adopted from WH06 and are organized MCC with closed cellular structure, organized MCC with open cellular structure, and disorganized MCC exhibiting cell-type features but lacking organization. However, in practice the latter category may also contain cloud scenes that are disorganized without clear cellular



features. Example scenes of marine low clouds each representing one of the above MCC types are shown in Fig. 1. We note that the original classifier of WH06 contains a fourth MCC type, namely homogeneous Sc clouds without cellular characteristics and lacking organization. However, throughout this study we merged the homogeneous

without MCC Sc cloud category with the closed MCC category because we find very little contribution to marine low cloud fields that stem purely from the homogeneous and no-MCC category.

The input to the ANN algorithm is provided by a full year of visible and near-infrared irradiances at 1 km horizontal resolution, cloud mask and LWP retrievals from the Mod-

- erate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS), which is carried aboard NASA's sun-synchronous Aqua satellite. MODIS Aqua flies as part of the polar orbiting A-train satellite constellation and crosses the equator at about 1:30 p.m. local time. LWP is estimated from cloud effective radius and optical thickness retrievals assuming linearly increasing cloud liquid water content (LWC) and constant cloud droplet number con-
- centration above cloud base. The MODIS retrievals are organized as instantaneous cloud scenes and each cloud scence constitutes a 256 km × 256 km portion of the MODIS swath oversampled at increments of 128 km in each direction. The classifier then utilizes PDFs of LWP and the spatial variability of LWP obtained from spectral analysis to classify the low cloud scenes into one of three Sc cloud categories based
- on the type of MCC. Further details of the cloud-type classification scheme are given in WH06 and references therein. We note that only MODIS scenes with marine low clouds not obscured by mid- and high-level clouds are included in the categorization procedure. Low cloud types over land are excluded from this study.

Throughout this study, we use the output of this classification scheme as the basis for compositing A-train satellite observations by MCC type. In particular, we use radar backscatter data from the cloud profiling radar (CPR; Im et al., 2006) aboard Cloud-Sat and returns from the Cloud-Aerosol Lidar with Orthogonal Polarization (CALIOP; Winker et al., 2007) aboard the CALIPSO satellite. The cloud fraction of Sc clouds is determined by combining the CPR cloud mask with the cloud fraction within each CPR



sampling volume as determined by the lidar. The lidar cloud fraction within the CPR footprint is provided by the 2B-GEOPROF-LIDAR product (Mace et al., 2009). The combination of radar and lidar observations for cloud detection and the computation of cloud fraction has significant benefits as it exploits the capabilities of both instruments

- in a synergistic way; that is the ability of the CPR to probe optically thick clouds and drizzle with the higher sensitivity of the lidar system in detecting optically thin clouds and tenuous cloud tops that are below the detection threshold of the CPR. Thus, the combination of cloud radar and lidar provides the best possible estimate of the occurrence of hydrometeor layers in the vertical column. Furthermore, the higher horizontal
 and vertical resolution of the lidar allows for an improved estimate of cloud fraction
 - within the observed radar volume.

25

Because many partially cloudy radar volumes have a reflectivity near or below the detection threshold of the CPR of about $-30 \, dBZ$ (Tanelli et al., 2008), the radar cloud mask is not simply a binary variable but includes confidence levels reflecting the degree

- of certainty that, for a given radar volume, the radar return is different from instrument noise (Marchand et al., 2008; Mace et al., 2009). Thus, for computing a radar-lidar cloud mask we closely follow Mace et al. (2009) and define a radar volume as cloudy if the CPR cloud mask is greater or equal than 20 or the lidar cloud fraction within the CPR sampling volume is greater or equal 50 %. CPR volumes containing bad or miss-
- ing data, ground clutter or weak returns with high probability of false positive detection are excluded. This approach yields an estimated probability for a false positive cloud detection of about 5 % (Marchand et al., 2008).

We also use observations of shortwave and longwave irradiances provided by the Clouds and the Earth's Radiant Energy System (CERES) instrument aboard the Aqua satellite to estimate the cloud radiative effect of marine Sc clouds as a function of

MCC type. In particular, we use the integrated CALIPSO CloudSat CERES and MODIS (CCCM) merged dataset, which provides collocated instantaneous irradiance profiles along the CloudSat track. The CCCM dataset contains CERES derived top-of-the-atmosphere (TOA) irradiances and vertical shortwave and longwave irradiance profiles



that allow for computations of the radiative effect of low clouds. Further details of the CCCM product are given in Kato et al. (2010, 2011).

3 Variability of marine low clouds and their morphologies

15

The global distribution of annual mean low cloud fraction determined from 5 years
 (2006–2011) of day and night time observations from active remote sensors aboard
 A-Train satellites is shown in Fig. 2. Throughout this study, low clouds are defined as clouds with cloud top heights less than 3 km, which is comparable to the 680 hPa cloud top pressure threshold used to define low clouds in the International Satellite Cloud Climatology Project (ISCCP Rossow and Schiffer, 1991). Cloud top heights are inferred
 from combined CPR and CALIOP lidar range gates.

The largest contributions to low cloud fraction are found in subtropical regions in the eastern parts of oceans, west of continents and are typically associated with persistent decks of subtropical marine stratus (e.g., Klein and Hartmann, 1993). These subtropical regions are characterized by upwelling of cold ocean waters near the coast, strong subsidence in subtropical high-pressure systems and large values of lower tropospheric stability (LTS) caused by relatively cold sea surface temperatures (SSTs) and strong and sharp inversions at the top of the MBL.

However, considerable contributions to low cloudiness can also be found in the midlatitude storm tracks of both hemispheres and in the Arctic oceans east of Greenland.

- The rectangular boxes in Fig. 5 identify subtropical and mid-latitude regions with high occurrences of low clouds. The geographical locations of these regions are adopted from Klein and Hartmann (1993) but modified such that the geographical boundaries now describe 20° × 20 ° areas and better align with the approximate locations of low clouds in the 5 year CloudSat/CALIPSO climatology. The only exception is the Circumpolar Southern Oceans (CSO) region, which is a 20° broad strip around the global.
- Details of the chosen study regions are given in Table 1.



The seasonal cycle of low cloud fraction is shown in Fig. 3 for the various regions defined in Table 1. All subtropical low cloud regions exhibit a pronounced seasonal cycle. The seasonal cycle tends to be stronger in the subtropical regions west of continents that have strong subtropical high-pressure systems and considerable upwelling

- of cold oceanic waters such as in the northeast Pacific (NEP), southeast Pacific (SEP), southeast Atlantic (SEA) and southeast Indian (SEI) Ocean. In contrast, the seasonal cycle of low cloud fraction is damped in the midlatitude storm track regions of the North Atlantic (NA) and North Pacific (NP) and almost absent in the Circumpolar Southern Oceans (CSO).
- Low cloud fraction peaks during boreal summer (JJA) in the NEP and NEA regions but in austral spring (SON) in the SEP and SEA regions. Some of the differences in the seasonal cycle of low cloud fraction may be explained by the response of the subtropical flow field to the coastal orography and its regional feedbacks on LTS (Richter and Mechoso, 2004). However, in the SEP there is considerable amount of low clouds also
- ¹⁵ during the boreal summer months (JJA) preceding the fall peak with seasonally averaged low cloud fraction just about 2 % lower than during the fall season from September through November (SON). In the SEI, maximum low cloud coverage is found during the boreal winter months (DJF). Overall, the seasonal cycle of low cloud fraction in subtropical regions is in good agreement with the climatology of marine stratus compiled
 ²⁰ from ship-based observations by Klein and Hartmann (1993).

At northern mid-latitudes, the seasonal cycle is considerably damped but exhibits slightly higher amounts of low cloudiness during boreal spring and summer than during the fall and winter months. Over the CSO, low cloud cover is almost constant at around 54 %. Overall, the largest amounts of low clouds, up to approximately 70–75 % cloud

²⁵ fraction, are found in the subtropics, in particular in the NEP during boreal summer months and in the SEP and SEA during austral spring. The highest annually averaged low cloud fractions are on the order of about 60% and are found in the subtropical marine stratus regions of the SEP, NEP and NEA as well as at mid-latitudes within the



CSO. In contrast, the lowest annually averaged low cloud fractions are found in the NEA with only about 37 %.

The cloud fraction contributed by low clouds with light and heavy drizzle is tracking the overall low cloud fraction in all regions as shown in Fig. 3. The classification of low clouds with significant amount of drizzle is based on the column maximum radar reflectivity Z_{max} observed by the CPR. Here, lightly and heavily drizzling clouds are defined as low clouds with column maximum radar reflectivity in the range of $-15 \text{ dBZ} \le Z_{max} < 0 \text{ dBZ}$ and $Z_{max} \ge 0 \text{ dBZ}$, respectively. The regions with the largest contributions of low and drizzling clouds are again the NEP, SEP and SEA with drizzling low cloud fractions up to almost 40 %, which is in reasonable agreement with previous satellitebased estimates (Leon et al., 2008).

Previous studies have suggested that the occurrence and persistence of marine low clouds is fundamentally linked to the static stability of the lower troposphere (e.g., Klein and Hartmann, 1993; Wood and Bretherton, 2006). The seasonal cycle of lower tropospheric stability (LTS) is shown in Fig. 4 for each region. LTS is defined as the difference in potential temperature between the 700 hPa pressure level and the surface

15

such that LTS = $\theta(p_{700}, T_{700}) - \theta(p_s, T_s)$ with (p_{700}, p_{sl}) and (T_{700}, T_{sl}) the pressure and temperature at the 700 hPa pressure level and sea level, respectively, provided by the ECMWF analysis. Generally, there is a good correlation between LTS and the amount

- of low clouds in the subtropics although for some regions the correlation exhibits a considerable lag. For example in the NEP, LTS peaks out in June whereas the maximum amount of low cloudiness is reached in July. This lagged correlation suggests that high LTS is a necessary condition for maintaining low clouds at the subtropics, but it is not the only component controlling the seasonal variability of marine low cloud fraction.
- Also, the correlation between LTS and low cloudiness is weaker at mid-latitudes and even breaks down in the CSO. A possible explanation for the low correlations between LTS and low cloud amount at midlatitudes is that the interannual variability is controlled by both SST and free-tropospheric temperature, and there is evidence suggesting that the free-tropospheric interannual variability may be the dominant driver in some regions



(Stevens et al., 2007). Also, it has been shown by Wood and Bretherton (2006) that the estimated inversion strength (EIS) is a more regime-independent predictor of Sc cloud amount and better explains the relationships between inversion strength and cloud cover under a wider range of climatological conditions. However, the limited validity of these relationships can, at least in part, also be explained by the different morphologies

these relationships can, at least in part, also be explained by the different morphologies of marine low clouds (e.g., open MCC, closed MCC) that can coexist under very similar environmental conditions but considerably affect the overall cloud fraction of regions, which is discussed next.

Figure 5 shows global distributions of the frequency of occurrence of MCC types for a full year of MODIS Aqua observations both annually averaged and as a function of season. Generally, the frequency of occurrence of closed MCC tends to increase towards higher latitudes whereas cellular but disorganized MCC types tend to increase at lower latitudes. In contrast, organized open MCC types exhibit less latitudinal dependence as the other MCC types but tend to maximize in subtropical regions of both

- ¹⁵ hemispheres. Frequency of occurrences of closed MCC types exhibit maxima at midlatitude storm tracks between 45–60° N in the Northern Hemisphere and between 45– 65° S in the Southern Hemisphere. Secondary maxima are found in subtropical regions roughly between 10–30° S in the eastern parts of the Pacific and Atlantic oceans and between about 20–40° N in the Northeast Pacific. Interestingly, there is also clear indi-
- ²⁰ cation of closed MCC types over the equatorial cold tongue in the eastern equatorial Pacific especially during boreal winter (SON). Open MCC types exhibit the lowest frequency of occurrence of all low cloud morphologies. In subtropical regions, the closed MCC types occur most frequently in near-coastal waters whereas open MCCs are more likely to occur further off shore (e.g., west of 90° W in the SEP and west of 135° W in the
- NEP). The higher frequency of occurrence of open MCCs maximizes west of subtropical high-pressure systems as these regions feature warmer SSTs and a deeper and less stable MBL (Stevens, 2000; Wyant et al., 1997). Cellular but disorganized MCCs are the predominant type of marine low clouds in regions with warm SST in particular in the tropics and trade wind zones.



A considerable seasonal cycle is found for closed and open MCC whereas the occurrence frequency of cellular but disorganized MCCs shows relatively little inter-seasonal variability. At mid-latitudes a maximum in the frequency of occurrence of closed MCC is found during boreal summer (JJA) in the Northern Hemisphere and austral summer (DJF) in Southern Hemisphere. Similarly, in the subtropics, closed MCC occurrences exhibit a clear seasonal cycle with occurrences peaking in summer (NEP) and fall

- exhibit a clear seasonal cycle with occurrences peaking in summer (NEP) and fall (SEP), respectively. Open MCCs tend to peak in boreal winter at mid-latitudes, in particular over the western parts of the Pacific, and over vast parts of midlatitude southern oceans during boreal summer. The seasonality in the open MCC occurrence may be
- ¹⁰ linked to the frequency of occurrence of cold air outbreaks and the associated advection of cold continental airmasses over warmer ocean surfaces in the wake of cyclones, which are more likely during winter months. However, there is also a clear peak in the frequency of occurrence of open MCC in the SEP region west of about 90° W during boreal summer (JJA). Cellular but disorganized MCCs show a considerably lower seasonal cycle as they are most frequently found over tropical oceans and trade wind
 - regions, which exhibit lower inter-annual variability.

5

Figure 6 shows the seasonal cycle of different MCC types for each region shown in Fig. 2. Similar to low cloud fraction and LTS, there is a pronounced seasonal cycle in low cloud morphologies. In general, organized closed-cellular MCC and cellular

- ²⁰ but disorganized MCC are the dominant low cloud morphology for almost all regions and seasons. For the subtropical regions, the amount of low cloudiness is well correlated with the occurrence frequency of closed-cellular MCCs, which peaks in the same season as low cloud fraction. Also, there is an anti-correlation between closed MCCs and disorganized MCCs, which suggest that as LTS declines the low clouds are more
- ²⁵ likely to transition from organized closed-cellular MCC types to cellular but disorganized MCCs. The contributions of open MCC are considerably lower with frequency of occurrences ranging from about 10–30 %. Interestingly, the most prevalent MCC types in the NEA are disorganized and open MCC with little contributions from closed MCC, which in turn explains the overall low value in low cloudiness. The most frequent occurrences



of open MCCs in the subtropics are found in the SEI during boreal summer and during boreal fall and winter in the NA and NP. At midlatitudes, the frequency of occurrence of closed MCCs maximizes during boreal summer in the NP and NA and during austral summer in the CSO. Open MCC contributions peak during boreal winter in the NP and

⁵ NA and during austral winter in the CSO. The seasonality and strong anti-correlation between closed and open MCC types at midlatitudes suggests that open MCC types are more frequently found during winter months when a stronger cyclonic activity leads to more frequent cold-air outbreaks. Details of the MCC statistics for various regions at subtropics and midlatitudes are given in Table 3.

10 4 Case study

15

In order to derive reliable statistics of the effects of different MCC types on the microphysical properties and radiative effects of low clouds, each A-train observation is mapped onto the MCC type classification based on MODIS cloud scene data as discussed in Sect. 2. Here, we briefly explain this mapping process in the context of a case study. The case study is shown Fig. 7 and depicts a field of marine Sc clouds in the SEP sampled by A-Train satellites on 11 October 2008. A wide patch of Sc clouds with closed MCC type stretches out from the near-coastal waters close to the Chilean shore to almost 90° W. The Sc cloud deck with closed MCC transitions to open MCC along

- the A-Train track just south of about 20° S and north of about 15° S.
 For a given cloud scene in the MODIS swath, the mapping of CloudSat and CERES observations is based on the geographical location and time of observation of each MCC type identification. The close flight pattern of A-Train instruments allow us to apply information derived from MODIS Aqua to CloudSat. However, as the CloudSat footprint is much smaller than the full MODIS swath, only identifications near the actual CloudSat
- track are applicable. Using the time and location of CloudSat CPR profiles as a basis, we use a geometric algorithm to associate each observation with the cloud scenes on either side of the A-train track. Because of the over-sampling originally instilled



in the MCC classifications, and because there are cloud scenes located directly on either side of the overpass, any CloudSat point can be identified multiple times. The final identification for each point is assigned based on the frequency of occurrence of the assignments of each cloud type. If the point has two equal frequencies of type
 ⁵ assignment, no overall type will be assigned and, in later processing, the point will be ignored. This procedure addresses situations where cloud scene identifications do not match across the A-Train track and, thus, make the mapping procedure ambiguous. Overall, the MCC type classification and mapping of A-Train observations is reasonably accurate but is limited primarily by a statistical false detection rate of approximately 10–15 % inherent to the neural network algorithm as discussed in WH06.

By examining the A-Train instrument retrievals for this case study, we find that the closed and open MCC regions exhibit striking differences in terms of their microphysical and radiative characteristics as shown in Fig. 8. The closed and open MCC regions exhibit pronounced differences in terms of cloudiness and radar reflectivity. The closed MCC region is characterized by a relatively continuous and almost completely overcast

¹⁵ MCC region is characterized by a relatively continuous and almost completely overcast cloud deck with average cloud fraction close to 100%. In contrast, the open MCC region exhibits cellular cloud patterns intermitted by cloud-free regions and average cloud fractions of about 75%.

The column maximum reflectivities Z_{max} from the CPR and cloud base rain rates RR_{cb} are considerably higher but also more variable in the open MCC region than in the closed MCC region. Here, approximate rain rates at Sc cloud base are computed from the radar backscatter data by inverting the *Z*–*R* power law relationship *Z* = 25*R*^{1.3} appropriate for marine Sc clouds proposed by Comstock et al. (2004) with the rain rate *R* given in units of mmh⁻¹ and *Z* the radar reflectivity factor given in units of mm⁶ m⁻³. The column maximum radar reflectivities are about 10–15 dBZ higher in the boundary cells within regions of open MCC than anywhere else in the closed MCC region. The column maximum radar reflectivities suggest that drizzle rates are higher but also spatially more localized in open MCCs than in closed MCCs and, in fact, cloud base drizzle rates are about an order of magnitude higher in the open cells than in the



closed cells. There is also indication for a boundary cell at the edge of the transition region between closed MCC and open MCC with slightly higher radar reflectivities than the rest of the closed MCC region. These boundary cells are also found in aircraft radar data collected during the VOCALS campaign (Wood et al., 2011).

- ⁵ Besides the differences in the low cloud fraction and precipitation characteristics, the open and closed MCC regions also differ considerably in terms of the instantaneous reflected shortwave radiation and top-of-the-atmosphere (TOA) cloud radiative forcing (CRF). Throughout this study, TOA CRF is defined as the difference in radiative fluxes between clear-sky and cloudy conditions (e.g., Hartmann et al., 1986) and is computed
- from the radiative fluxes observed by CERES. Due to the lower fraction of cloudiness in the open MCC region, the amount of reflected shortwave radiation is lower than in the closed MCC region. As a consequence, the averaged instantaneous shortwave CRF is about twice as high in the closed MCC region (-400 Wm⁻²) than in the open MCC region (-200 Wm⁻²). However, the OLR is about the same for both regions and results in a slightly positive longwave CRF (approximately 15 Wm⁻²), which is typical for low
- ¹⁵ in a slightly positive longwave CRF (approximately 15 Wm⁻²), which is typical for low clouds. As expected, the net CRF is dominated by the shortwave CRF, is strongly negative and larger for the closed MCC region than for the open MCC region.

5 Microphysical, macrophysical and radiative properties

In the subsequent section statistical properties of the cloud and precipitation characteristics of marine low clouds are examined for the various MCC types and regions. All

statistics are based on a full year of combined observations from MODIS and Cloud-Sat/CALIPSO.

5.1 Cloud fraction

20

Figure 9 shows the variability of low cloud fraction determined from the CPR as a function of the MCC type and annually averaged values of cloud fraction for the different



MCC types in each study region are given in Table 3. As expected from previous studies and our case study from Sect. 4, the cloud fraction of low cloud fields is highly variable and a function of the MCC type. On a global scale, the cloud fraction is largest for closed MCC with a mean cloud fraction of about 90 %. The cloud fractions are lower for

- open MCCs and lowest for cellular but disorganized MCCs with mean cloud fractions of about 51 % and 40 %, respectively (see Table 3). However, it is noted that the distributions of cloud fractions are heavily skewed in all cases with modest regional variability. For example, in most study regions, the median annually averaged cloud fraction for low clouds with closed MCC characteristics is close to 100 % whereas the mean value
- is only about 90 %. Cloud fractions for closed MCCs tend to be highest in the NEP and lowest in the NEA. The differences in mean cloud fraction for closed MCC in the NEP and NEA are statistically significant based on the nonparametric Wilcoxon rank sum test with 95 % confidence level. Similarly, mean cloud fractions for open MCCs and cellular but disorganized MCCs are quite variable depending on the region with aver aged values broadly ranging from 40–60 % for open MCCs and 40–50 % for cellular
- but disorganized MCCs (see Table 3).

5.2 Radar reflectivities and cloud base rain rates

PDFs of column maximum radar reflectivity Z_{max} in marine Sc clouds seen by the CPR are shown in Fig. 10 for the global data and in Fig. 12 for the various subtropical and midlatitude regions defined in Table 1. The PDFs of Z_{max} indicate fundamental differences in the cloud and precipitation characteristics of Sc clouds depending on the type of MCC. Since the column maximum radar reflectivity Z_{max} for drizzling clouds (i.e., $Z_{max} \ge -15$ dBZ) is typically found close to the cloud base (Comstock et al., 2004), Z_{max} is a good indicator for the precipitation rate at cloud base RR_{cb}. A Z–R power law relationship appropriate for marine Sc clouds is used to infer cloud base rain rates RR_{cb} from Z_{max} using a threshold radar reflectivity of $Z_{max} = -15$ dBZ for the definition of drizzle as detailed in Sect. 4.



In most regions, the probability to observe no significant ($Z_{max} < -15 \text{ dBZ}$) or light drizzle ($-15 \text{ dBZ} \le Z_{\text{max}} < 0 \text{ dBZ}$) is higher in clouds with closed MCC than in Sc clouds with open or disorganized MCC types. In contrast, the probability of observing moderate or heavy drizzle ($Z_{max} \ge 0 \text{ dBZ}$) is greater for clouds with open MCC than for clouds with closed or disorganized MCCs. About 70% of the columns sampled by the CPR in regions with closed MCC have $Z_{max} > -15 \text{ dBZ}$ and, thus, have significant amounts of drizzle whereas about 40 % of the columns with closed MCCs have $Z_{max} \ge 0$ dBZ and, therefore, are moderately to heavily drizzling. The fraction of closed MCC columns with significant amount of drizzle exhibits regional variability and is somewhat higher in subtropical regions (e.g., NEP, SEP, SEA) than at midlatitudes. For regions with open 10 (disorganized) MCC about 40% (30%) of the columns have maximum radar echoes above $Z_{max} > -15 \, dBZ$ and approximately 30 % (20 %) contain $Z_{max} \ge 0 \, dBZ$. In most regions the PDFs of Z_{max} decrease monotonically with increasing Z_{max} with exceptions in the North Atlantic (NA) and the North Pacific (NP) where Z_{max} exhibits a local maxima somewhere between 0 and -10 dBZ. The occurrence of a peak in the PDFs 15 of Z_{max} at midlatitudes is not entirely clear but a possible explanation may be that at midlatitudes a considerable amount of low clouds are mixed phase with melting ice and snow particles contributing considerably to the CPR radar returns.

Regarding cloud base precipitation rates, Sc clouds with open MCC tend to have higher probabilities of moderate to heavy drizzle than Sc clouds with either closed MCC or disorganized MCC. The difference between drizzle rates in closed and open MCCs is more pronounced at midlatitudes than in the subtropics. Given that the average cloud fraction for Sc clouds with open MCC is lower than for low clouds with closed MCC implies that the drizzle rates in open MCCs tend to be stronger but also more localized

²⁵ than in closed MCCs. On the other hand, it is evident that a majority of the Sc clouds with closed MCC produce a significant amount of drizzle, which is agreement with findings from aircraft studies during the VOCALS Regional Experiment (REx) Wood et al. (2011).



5.3 Cloud top heights

A fundamental question is whether the differences in the cloud and precipitation charactersitics of different Sc cloud morphologies are caused by differences in the number concentrations of aerosols and cloud droplets or by differences in the dynamics and

- ⁵ structure of the MBL as indicated by the cloud top height. Figure 10 shows PDFs of cloud top height (CTH) for the global data whereas Fig. 13 shows PDFs of CTH for the various subtropical and midlatitude regions defined in Table 1. On a global scale, CTH is highest for open MCC and lowest for cellular but disorganized MCCs. However, the distribution of the latter is also more heavily skewed than the CTH distributions of
- ¹⁰ low clouds in any other MCC category. The difference in averaged CTH is about 100 m between the closed and open MCC and about 150 m between the closed and the cellular but disorganized MCC categories. However, based on our global dataset, the CTH differences among the MCC categories are not statistically significant.

In subtropical regions such as the SEP, the difference in mean cloud top heights between open and closed MCCs is about 10 m and, thus, similar to the 15 m cloud top height difference between overcast Sc cloud regions and POCS observed during VOCALS-REx (Wood et al., 2011). The little difference in cloud top height between Sc cloud regions with closed and open-cellular character suggests that the inversion heights capping the MBL are virtually the same in both regions. However, there is indication that the distribution of cloud top heights is broader in the case of open MCCs

than closed MCCs with higher probability of both high and low cloud tops.

Figure 11 shows box and whisker plots of column maximum radar reflectivity of Sc clouds grouped by low and high CTH, respectively. The separation of the observations into the two groups is based on the 25th and 75th percentiles of the underlying CTH

²⁵ distribution. For all MCC categories, the majority of low clouds with high CTH have substantially larger column maximum radar reflectivities and, thus, stronger cloud base drizzle rates than low clouds with low CTH.



6 Radiative effects

In the following section, we discuss the radiative impact of Sc cloud morphologies on the shortwave reflectance and transmissivity of the cloud field. As discussed in Sect. 2 all radiative flux measurements are taken from CERES observations and are interpo-

- Iated to the CloudSat/CALIPSO ground track to estimate the radiative effect of marine Sc clouds as a function of the MCC type. The shortwave reflectance is computed as the fraction of shortwave upwelling radiative fluxes at the top-of-the-atmosphere (TOA) and the total downwelling radiative flux at the TOA. The shortwave transmissivity is computed as the fraction of downwelling flux at the surface to the total incoming shortupus radiative flux at the TOA. Since the factorist of CEDES is shout 20 km and they
- wave radiative flux at the TOA. Since the footprint of CERES is about 20 km and, thus, considerably larger than the CloudSat footprint, the inferred statistics for reflectance and transmissivity are representative of the cloud field on the scale of several tens of kilometers rather than on the scale of individual clouds contributing to the cloud field.

Previous studies by McFarlane et al. (2013) estimated the radiative impacts of var-

- ious cloud types on the shortwave transmissivity and longwave cloud radiative effect on the surface for the Atmospheric Radiation Measurement (ARM) Tropical Western Pacific (TWP) sites and found broad distributions of radiative effects with cloud type and cloud cover being the two major parameters controlling the variability. Similarly, we also find broad distributions for the shortwave reflectance and transmissivity as well as
- ²⁰ pronounced differences of the radiative effect of Sc cloud fields depending on the cloud morphology and considerable regional variability. PDFs of shortwave reflectance and transmissivity as a function of region and MCC type are shown in Fig. 14 and Fig. 15, respectively.

Generally, Sc clouds with closed MCC exhibit higher values of shortwave reflectance ²⁵ compared to the other MCC types, which is primarily due to the larger cloud fractions. The mean values for shortwave reflectance for open and cellular but disorganized MCC types are much lower than for closed MCC types but the the PDFs are also more skewed towards higher values. The skewness in the reflectance distribution



is caused by the higher variability of cloud fraction for the open and cellular but disorganized MCC categories. The differences in shortwave reflectance demonstrate the importance of low cloud morphologies and their associated cloudiness on the reflected solar radiation. The mean shortwave reflectance of Sc clouds with closed MCC is about

- ⁵ 0.3 for subtropical regions and on the order of about 0.4 at midlatitudes. The highest values for the shortwave reflectance are found in the CSO and the lowest are found in the NEA. These results highlight the importance of low clouds for the shortwave radiative energy budget and the shortwave cloud forcing at midlatitudes and, in particular, in the CSO region.
- Similar to the reflectance, the PDFs of shortwave transmissivity show a clear dependence on the type of MCC. Owing to their larger cloud fraction, Sc clouds with closed MCC are considerably less transmissive than Sc clouds with open or cellular but disorganized MCC characteristics. Again, the PDFs of transmissivity are more skewed for open MCC and cellular but disorganized MCC than for closed MCC.

15 7 Conclusions

20

25

In this study, we use a low cloud classification scheme based on an artifical neural network to identify and distinguish marine Sc clouds by MCC type. A-Train data is used to derive global statistics for the frequency of occurrence and seasonal variability of MCC types. Statistics of the physical properties and radiative effects of Sc cloud morphologies are derived globally and for selected regions at subtropics and midlatitudes based on a full year of combined CloudSat/CALIPSO and CERES observations. The findings are summarized as follows:

 In agreement with shipborne observations analyzed by Klein and Hartmann (1993), we find that the largest contributions to low cloud fraction determined from CloudSat/CALIPSO are found in subtropical regions characterized by upwelling of cold ocean waters and strong subsidence resulting in cold sea surface



temperatures and strong and sharp inversions at the top of the MBL. However, considerable contributions to low cloudiness are also found at mid-latitude storm tracks of both hemispheres and in the Arctic oceans east of Greenland. At sub-tropics, cloud fraction exhibits a pronounced seasonal cycle wherease the seasonal cycle of low cloud fraction is damped in midlatitude storm tracks and almost absent in the Circumpolar Southern Oceans.

- 2. Global distributions of the frequency of occurrence of MCC types show an increased frequency of occurrence of closed MCC towards higher latitudes with maxima at mid-latitude storm tracks of both hemispheres. Open MCC types exhibit the lowest frequency of occurrence of all low cloud morphologies, exhibit less latitudinal dependence as the other MCC types but tend to maximize in sub-tropical regions. Within subtropical regions, the closed MCC types occur most frequently in near-coastal waters whereas open MCCs are more likely to occur further off shore. Cellular but disorganized MCC types tend to increase at lower latitudes and are the predominant type of marine low clouds in regions with warm SST in particular in the tropics and trade wind zones.
- 3. A considerable seasonal cycle is found for all MCC types. At mid-latitudes a maximum in the frequency of occurrence of closed MCC is found during boreal summer (JJA) in the Northern Hemisphere and austral summer (DJF) in Southern Hemisphere. Similarly, in the subtropics, closed MCC occurrences exhibit a clear seasonal cycle which is well correlated with the seasonal cycle of cloud fraction. Also, there is an anti-correlation between closed MCCs and disorganized MCCs, which suggest that as LTS declines low clouds are more likely to transition from organized closed-cellular MCC types to cellular but disorganized MCCs. Open MCCs tend to peak in boreal winter at mid-latitudes, in particular over the western parts of the Pacific, and over vast parts of midlatitude southern oceans during boreal summer. The seasonality in the open MCC occurrence may be linked to the frequency of occurrence of cold air outbreaks and the associated advection of



cold continental airmasses over warmer ocean surfaces in the wake of cyclones, which are more likely during winter months.

4. The cloud fraction of marine Sc cloud fields is highly variable and a function of the MCC type. On a global scale, the cloud fraction is largest for closed MCC with a mean cloud fraction of about 90%. The cloud fractions are lower for open MCCs and lowest for cellular but disorganized MCCs with mean cloud fractions of about 51% and 40%, respectively. PDFs of cloud fractions are heavily skewed in particular for organized open and cellular but disorganized MCC types and exhibit modest regional variability.

5

- 5. PDFs of column maximum CPR reflectivities and inferred cloud base drizzle rates indicate fundamental differences in the cloud and precipitation characteristics of Sc clouds that strongly depend on the MCC type. About 70% of the columns sampled by the CPR in regions with closed MCC are lightly drizzling whereas about 40% of the columns with closed MCCs are moderately to heavily drizzling. Within organized open (cellular but disorganized) MCCs, about 40% (30%) of the columns have light drizzle and 30% (20%) are moderatly or heavily drizzling. Given that the average cloud fraction for Sc clouds with open MCC is substantially lower than for closed MCC implies that the drizzle rates in open MCCs tend to be stronger but also more localized than in closed MCCs.
- 6. Global cloud top height distributions vary according to MCC type by about 100–200 m but the differences are not found to be statistically significant. However, the low clouds with high cloud top heights also have substantially larger column maximum radar reflectivities and, thus, stronger cloud base drizzle rates than low clouds with low CTH.
- PDFs of shortwave reflectance and transmissivity reveal pronounced differences of the radiative effect of Sc cloud fields depending on the cloud morphology and considerable regional variability. Generally, Sc clouds with closed MCC exhibit



higher values of shortwave reflectance compared to the other MCC types, which is primarily due to the larger cloud fractions. The differences in shortwave reflectance demonstrate the importance of low cloud morphologies and their associated cloudiness on the reflected solar radiation.

- Acknowledgements. The authors thank the NASA CloudSat project and the NASA Langley Research Center Atmospheric Science Data Center for providing data. S. Kato is acknowledged for his support with the CCCM dataset. A. Muhlbauer and R. Wood acknowledge funding received from the National Science Foundation (NSF) under grant 1102505. Isabel McCoy acknowledges funding received from the Joint Institute for the Study of the Atmosphere and Ocean (JISAO) during the 2012 JISAO Internship Program. This publication is partially funded
- by JISAO under NOAA Cooperative Agreement no. NA10OAR4320148, Contribution no. 2204.

References

- Agee, E. M.: Meso-scale cellular convection over the oceans, Dyn. Atmos. Oceans, 10, 317–341, 1987. 6984
- Atkinson, B. W. and Zhang, J. W.: Mesoscale shallow convection in the atmosphere, Rev. Geophys., 34, 403–431, 1996. 6984
 - Comstock, K. K., Wood, R., Yuter, S. E., and Bretherton, C. S.: Reflectivity and rain rate in and below drizzling stratocumulus, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 130, 2891–2918, 2004. 6994, 6996
 Field, P. R. and Wood, R.: Precipitation and cloud structure in midlatitude cyclones, J. Climate,
- 20 20, 233–254, 2007. 6984
 - Hartmann, D. L. and Short, D.: On the use of earth radiation budget statistics for studies of clouds and climate, J. Atmos. Sci., 37, 1233–1250, 1980. 6983
 - Hartmann, D. L., Ramanathan, V., Berroir, A., and Hunt, G. E.: Earth radiation budget data and climate research, Rev. Geophys., 24, 439–468, 1986. 6995
- Im, E., Durden, S. L., and Wu, C.: Cloud Profiling Radar for the CloudSat mission, IEEE Aerosp. Electron. Syst. Mag., 20, 15–18, 2006. 6986
 - Kato, S., Sun-Mack, S., Miller, W. F., Rose, F. G., Chen, Y., Minnis, P., and Wielicki, B. A.: Relationships among cloud occurrence frequency, overlap, and effective thickness derived from CALIPSO and CloudSat merged cloud vertical profiles, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D00H28, doi:10.1020/2000.JD0120277.2010.0099
- 30 doi:10.1029/2009JD012277, 2010. 6988



- Kato, S., Rose, F. G., Sun-Mack, S., Miller, W. F., Chen, Y., Rutan, D. A., Stephens, G. L., Loeb, N. G., Minnis, P., Wielicki, B. A., Winker, D. M., Charlock, T. P., Stackhouse, P. W., Xu, K.-M., and Collins, W. D.: Improvements of top-of-atmosphere and surface irradiance computations with CALIPSO-, CloudSat-, and MODIS-derived cloud and aerosol properties, J. Geophys. Res., 116, D19209, doi:10.1029/2011JD016050, 2011. 6988
- Res., 116, D19209, doi:10.1029/2011JD016050, 2011. 6988
 Klein, S. A. and Hartmann, D. L.: The Seasonal Cycle of Low Stratiform Clouds, J. Climate, 6, 1587–1606, 1993. 6988, 6989, 6990, 7000, 7007, 7010
 - Leon, D. C., Wang, Z., and Li, D.: Climatology of drizzle in marine boundary layer clouds based on 1 year of data from CloudSat and Cloud-Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satel-
- lite Observations (CALIPSO), J. Geophys. Res., 113, D00A14, doi:10.1029/2008JD009835, 2008. 6990
 - Mace, G. G., Zhang, Q., Vaughan, M., Marchand, R., Stephens, G., Trepte, C., and Winker, D.: A description of hydrometeor layer occurrence statistics derived from the first year of merged Cloudsat and CALIPSO data, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D00A26, doi:10.1029/2007JD009755, 2009. 6987

15

- Marchand, R. T., Mace, G. G., and Ackerman, T. P.: Hydrometeor detection using CloudSat: An Earth orbiting 94 GHz cloud radar, J. Atmos. Oceanic. Technol., 25, 519–533, 2008. 6987
 McFarlane, S. A., Long, C. N., and Flaherty, J.: A Climatology of Surface Cloud Radiative Effects at the ARM Tropical Western Pacific Sites, J. Appl. Meteor. Climatol., 52, 996–1013, 2013.
 6999
 - Painemal, D., Garreaud, R., Rutllant, J., and Zuidema, P.: Southeast Pacific Stratocumulus: High-Frequency Variability and Mesoscale Structures over San Fe´lix Island, J. Appl. Meteor. Climatol., 49, 463–477, 2010. 6984
 - Richter, I. and Mechoso, C. R.: Orographic influences on the annual cycle of Namibian stratocumulus clouds, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, L24108, doi:10.1029/2004GL020814, 2004. 6989
- ²⁵ mulus clouds, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, L24108, doi:10.1029/2004GL020814, 2004. 6989
 Rossow, W. B. and Schiffer, R. A.: Isccp cloud data products, Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 72, 2–20, 1991. 6988
 - Sandu, I., Stevens, B., and Pincus, R.: On the transitions in marine boundary layer cloudiness, Ann. Phys. (NY), 10, 2377–2391, 2010. 6984
- ³⁰ Sharon, T. M., Albrecht, B. A., Jonsson, H. H., Minnis, P., Khaiyer, M. M., Reken, T. M. V., Seinfeld, J., and Flagan, R.: Aerosol and Cloud Microphysical Characteristics of Rifts and Gradients in Maritime Stratocumulus Clouds, J. Atmos. Sci., 63, 983–997, 2006. 6984



- Stevens, B.: Quasi-steady analysis of a PBL model with an eddy-diffusivity profile and nonlocal fluxes, Mon. Weather Rev., 128, 824–836, 2000. 6991
- Stevens, B., Vali, G., Comstock, K., Wood, R., VanZanten, M., Austin, P., Bretherton, C., and Lenschow, D.: Pockets of Open Cells (POCs) and drizzle in marine stratocumulus, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 86, 51–57, 2005. 6984

Meteorol. Soc., 86, 51–57, 2005. 6984 Stevens, B., Beljaars, A., Bordoni, S., Holloway, C., Kohler, M., Kruger, S., Savic-Jovcic, V., and Zhang, Y. Y.: Understanding macrophysical outcomes of microphysical choices in simulations of shallow cumulus convection, Mon. Weather Rev., 135, 985–1005, 2007. 6991

Tanelli, S., Durden, S. L., Im, E., Pak, K. S., Reinke, D. G., Partain, P., Haynes, J. M., and

- Marchand, R. T.: CloudSat's Cloud Profiling Radar After Two Years in Orbit: Performance, Calibration, and Processing, IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 46, 3560–3573, 2008. 6987
 - Terai, C., Bretherton, C. S., Wood, R., and Painter, G.: Aircraft observations of five pockets of open cells sampled during VOCALS REx, Atmos. Chem. Phys., p. in prep., 2014. 6984
- ¹⁵ Winker, D. M., Hunt, W. H., and McGill, M. J.: Initial performance assessment of CALIOP, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L19803, doi:10.1029/2007GL030135, 2007. 6986
 Wood, R.: Stratocumulus Clouds, Mon. Weather Rev., 140, 2373–2423, 2012. 6983, 6984
 Wood, R. and Bretherton, C. S.: On the relationship between stratiform low cloud cover and lower-tropospheric stability, J. Climate, 19, 6425–6432, 2006. 6990, 6991
- Wood, R. and Hartmann, D. L.: Spatial variability of liquid water path in marine low cloud: The importance of mesoscale cellular convection, J. Climate, 19, 1748–1764, 2006. 6983, 6984, 6985
 - Wood, R., Comstock, K. K., Bretherton, C. S., Cornish, C., Tomlinson, J., Collins, D. R., and Fairall, C.: Open cellular structure in marine stratocumulus sheets, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D12207, doi:10.1029/2007JD009371, 2008. 6984
 - Wood, R., Bretherton, S., C., D., L., Clarke, A. D., Zuidema, P., Allen, G., and Coe, H.: An aircraft case study of the spatial transition from closed to open mesoscale cellular convection over the Southeast Pacific, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 2341–2370, 2011, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/11/2341/2011/. 6983, 6995, 6997, 6998

25

Wyant, M. C., Bretherton, C. S., Rand, H. A., and Stevens, D. E.: Numerical simulations and a conceptual model of the stratocumulus to trade cumulus transition, J. Atmos. Sci., 54, 168–192, 1997. 6991



Table 1. Definitions and geographical locations of study regions.

Region	Geographical boundaries		
Northeast Pacific (NEP) Southeast Pacific (SEP) Northeast Atlantic (NEA) Southeast Atlantic (SEA) North Pacific (NP) Southeast Indian (SEI) North Atlantic (NA) Circumpolar Southern Oceans (CSO)	15–35° N, 120–140° W 10–30° S, 75–95° W 10–30° N, 25–45° W 10–30° S, 10° W–10° E 40–60° N, 165–185° E 20–40° S, 90–110° E 45–65° N, 30–50° W 45–65° S, 180° W–180° E		



Table 2. Low cloud statistics derived from 5 years of combined CloudSat/CALIPSO observations. The seasons and cloud fractions given in brackets are taken from Klein and Hartmann (1993) for comparison.

Region	Season & amount of max. cloud fraction	Season & amount of min. cloud fraction
Northeast Pacific (NEP)	JJA, 66 % (JJA, 67 %)	DJF, 54 % (DJF, 45 %)
Southeast Pacific (SEP)	SON, 70% (SON, 72%)	MAM 57 % (DJF, 42 %)
Northeast Atlantic (NEA)	JJA, 42 % (JJA, 35 %)	SON, 29 % (SON, 17 %)
Southeast Atlantic (SEA)	SON, 71 % (SON, 75 %)	MAM, 51 % (MAM, 48 %)
North Pacific (NP)	MAM, 40 % (JJA, 82 %)	DJF, 35 % (DJF, 54 %)
Southeast Indian (SEI)	DJF, 59 % (DJF, 45 %)	MAM, 50 % (JJA, 41 %)
North Atlantic (NA)	JJA, 45 % (JJA, 68 %)	DJF, 42 % (DJF, 51 %)
Circumpolar Southern Oceans (CSO)	MAM, 55 % (DJF, 62 %)	JJA, 53 % (?, ?)



Region		МСС Туре	Cloud Fraction (%)	Frequency of Occurrence (%)
Global		Closed	90	28
		Open	51	15
		Disorg.	40	58
Northea	st Pacific (NEP)	Closed	93	40
		Open	60	15
		Disorg.	49	46
Southea	st Pacific (SEP)	Closed	91	35
		Open	54	19
		Disorg.	43	46
Northea	st Atlantic (NEA)	Closed	84	12
		Open	42	22
		Disorg.	34	66
Southea	st Atlantic (SEA)	Closed	90	30
		Open	55	18
		Disorg.	46	52
North Pa	acific (NP)	Closed	91	21
		Open	61	15
		Disorg.	49	36
Southea	st Indian (SEI)	Closed	91	29
		Open	55	22
		Disorg.	44	49
North At	lantic (NA)	Closed	90	38
		Open	63	16
		Disorg.	55	46
Circump	olar Southern Oceans (CSO)	Closed	91	44
•		Open	58	17
		Disorg.	52	40
		-		

Table 3. Annually averaged cloud fraction and frequency of occurrence of MCC for each regiondefined in Table 1. The statistics are based on one year of data from 2008.





Fig. 1. Example scenes of liquid water path (LWP) from MODIS Aqua for closed MCC (first row), open MCC (second row) and cellular but disorganized MCC (third row). Each scene spans an area of 256 km × 256 km at 1 km horizontal resolution.





Fig. 2. Global distribution of annually averaged low cloud fraction from 5 years of Cloud-Sat/CALIPSO data from 2006–2011. The colored rectangular boxes mark typical regions with persistent low cloud amounts. The regions are northeast Pacific (NEP), southeast Pacific (SEP), northeast Atlantic (NEA), southeast Atlantic (SEA), southeast Indian Ocean (SEI), north Atlantic (NA), north Pacific (NP), and circumpolar southern oceans (CSO). The locations of the displayed regions are adopted from Klein and Hartmann (1993) and are specified in Table 1.





Fig. 3. Seasonal cycle of cloud fraction for all low clouds (solid) and low clouds with light (dashed) and heavy (dash-dotted) drizzle. Light and heavy drizzle conditions are categorized based on column maximum radar reflectivities with radar reflectivities in the range of -15 dBZ to 0 dBZ for light drizzle and above 0 dBZ for heavy drizzle. Each geographical region is shown in Fig. 2 and defined in Table 1.







Fig. 4. Same as Fig. 3 but for lower tropospheric stability (LTS). The numbers in brackets are the annually averaged LTS and the correlation coefficient between LTS and low cloud fraction.









Discussion Paper **ACPD** 14, 6981–7023, 2014 **Climatology of** stratocumulus cloud morphologies **Discussion Paper** A. Muhlbauer et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Discussion** Paper **Tables** Figures < Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Discussion** Paper **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



Fig. 7. Composite of MODIS Aqua visual imagery for the 11 October 2008 case study in the SEP. Shown are the A-train track (dashed red line) and the MCC types identified by the ANN for each 256 km × 256 km cloud scene (colored circles) along the MODIS swath. The colored lines mark the sections along the A-train track classified as closed MCC (cyan) and open MCC (magenta) as an example. The MODIS image resolution is 2 km.







Fig. 8. Vertical profiles of CloudSat CPR reflectivity for a selected region showing a transition from closed to open MCC (a), column maximum radar reflectivity Z_{max} and associated rain rates RR_{cb} at cloud base (b), CERES shortwave cloud radiative forcing (CRF) (c), longwave CRF (d) and net (shortwave and longwave) CRF (e).



Fig. 9. Box and whisker plots of cloud fraction for each MCC category. The median value is shown as a red horizontal line, boxes indicate the interquartile range (25th to 75th percentile), and the whiskers extend to $\pm 2\sigma$ of the standard normal distribution.





Fig. 10. PDFs of column maximum radar reflectivity Z_{max} (left) and cloud top height H_{ct} (right) for low clouds with closed (solid), open (dashed) and cellular but disorganized (dash-dotted) MCC types. All PDFs are based on global data.





Fig. 11. Box and whisker plots of column max. radar reflectivity Z_{max} for each MCC category. The observations are split into two groups with low and high cloud top heights, respectively. The thresholds for dividing the data into two cloud top height groups are based on the 25th and 75th percentiles of the cloud top height distributions. The median value is shown as a red horizontal line, boxes indicate the interquartile range (25th to 75th percentile), and the whiskers extend to $\pm 2\sigma$ of the standard normal distribution.







Fig. 12. PDFs of column maximum radar reflectivity for low clouds with closed (solid), open (dashed) and cellular but disorganized (dash-dotted) MCC types for the regions defined in Table 1.



Fig. 13. Same as Fig. 12 but for cloud top height H_{ct} .



Fig. 14. Same as Fig. 12 but for shortwave reflectance.

Fig. 15. Same as Fig. 12 but for shortwave transmissivity.

