Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, 5445–5494, 2014 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/14/5445/2014/ doi:10.5194/acpd-14-5445-2014 © Author(s) 2014. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ACP if available.

Reactive bromine chemistry in Mt. Etna's volcanic plume: the influence of total Br, high temperature processing, aerosol loading and plume-air mixing

T. J. Roberts¹, R. S. Martin², and L. Jourdain¹

¹LPC2E, UMR 7328, CNRS-Université d'Orléans, 3A Avenue de la Recherche Scientifique, 45071 Orleans, Cedex 2, France ²Department of Geography, University of Cambridge, Downing Place, CB2 3EN, UK

Received: 4 December 2013 - Accepted: 31 January 2014 - Published: 3 March 2014

Correspondence to: T. J. Roberts (tjarda.roberts@cnrs-orleans.fr)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.



Abstract

Volcanic emissions present a source of reactive halogens to the troposphere, through rapid plume chemistry that converts the emitted HBr to more reactive forms such as BrO. The nature of this process is poorly quantified, yet is of interest to understand volcanic impacts on the troposphere, and infer volcanic activity from volcanic gas mea-5 surements (i.e. BrO/SO₂ ratios). Recent observations from Etna report an initial increase and subsequent plateau or decline in BrO/SO₂ ratios with distance downwind. We present daytime PlumeChem model simulations that reproduce and explain the reported trend in BrO/SO₂ at Etna including the initial rise and subsequent plateau. Through suites of model simulations we also investigate the influences of volcanic 10 aerosol loading, bromine emission, and plume-air mixing rate on the downwind plume chemistry. Emitted volcanic HBr is converted into reactive bromine by autocatalytic bromine chemistry cycles whose onset is accelerated by the model high-temperature initialisation. These rapid chemistry cycles also impact the reactive bromine speciation through inter-conversion of Br, Br₂, BrO, BrONO₂, BrCl, HOBr. Formation of BrNO₂ is 15 also discussed. We predict a new evolution of Br-speciation in the plume, with BrO, Br₂, Br and HBr as the main plume species in the near downwind plume whilst BrO, and HOBr are present in significant quantities further downwind (where BrONO₂ and BrCl also make up a minor fraction). The initial rise in BrO/SO₂ occurs as ozone is entrained into the plume whose reaction with Br promotes net formation of BrO. Aerosol has a modest impact on BrO/SO_2 near-downwind (< 6 km) at the relatively high loadings considered. The subsequent decline in BrO/SO₂ occurs as entrainment of oxidants HO₂ and NO₂ promotes net formation of HOBr and BrONO₂, whilst the plume dispersion dilutes volcanic aerosol so slows the heterogeneous loss rates of these species.

A higher volcanic aerosol loading enhances BrO/SO₂ in the (> 6 km) downwind plume. Simulations assuming low/medium and high Etna bromine emissions scenarios show the bromine emission has a greater influence on BrO/SO₂ further downwind and a modest impact near downwind, and show either complete or partial conversion of





HBr into reactive bromine, respectively, yielding BrO contents that reach up to $\sim 50 \%$ or $\sim 20 \%$ of total bromine (over a timescale of a few 10's of minutes).

Plume-air mixing (which in our model with fixed plume dimensions is inversely related to the volcanic emission flux) non-linearly impacts the downwind BrO/SO_2 . A slower

rate of plume-air mixing (or greater volcanic emission flux) leads to lower BrO/SO₂ ratios near downwind, but also delays the subsequent decline in BrO/SO₂, thus yields higher BrO/SO₂ ratios further downwind. We highlight the important role of plume chemistry models for the interpretation of observed changes in BrO/SO₂ during/prior to volcanic eruptions, as well as for quantifying volcanic plume impacts on atmospheric
 chemistry. Simulated plume impacts include ozone, HO_x and NO_x depletion, the latter converted into HNO₃. Partial recovery of ozone concentrations occurs with distance

downwind (as BrO concentrations decline), although cumulative ozone loss is ongoing over the 3 h simulations.

We suggest plume $BrNO_2$ may be less prevalent than previous model predictions. ¹⁵ We highlight additional reactions for $BrNO_2$ (and alternative pathways via BrONO) which likely reduce in-plume $BrNO_2$ prevalence. We also highlight uncertainty in volcanic NO_x emissions that might be lower than previously assumed (i.e., equilibrium NO_x), due to the slow rate of N_2 oxidation. The atmospheric: magmatic gas ratio, $V_A : V_M$, in equilibrium model representations of the near vent plume is presently poorly defined. Using a revised equilibrium model methodology, lower $V_A : V_M$ become suitable (e.g. $V_A : V_M = 98 : 2, 95 : 5$), which also yield a lower estimate for volcanic NO_x ,

although uncertainties to such equilibrium model representations of near-vent plume chemistry and especially NO_x formation are emphasized.

1 Introduction

The discovery of volcanic BrO (Bobrowski et al., 2003), and its subsequent observation in many volcanic plumes globally (e.g. Oppenheimer et al., 2006; Bobrowski et al., 2007; Bobrowski and Platt, 2007; Kern et al., 2009; Bani et al., 2009; Louban





et al., 2009; Theys et al., 2009; Boichu et al., 2011; Heue et al., 2011; Bobrowski and Giuffrida, 2012; Rix et al., 2012; Hörmann et al., 2013; Kelly et al., 2013; Lübcke et al., 2013), demonstrates the reactivity of volcanic halogen emissions in the troposphere. Notably, DOAS (Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy) measurements
⁵ show BrO forms at 100's pptv to ppbv concentrations just minutes downwind, an order of magnitude higher than that found in the Arctic, where BrO episodes of up to 10's pptv cause significant ozone depletion and mercury deposition events (Simpson et al., 2007). Additionally, there is potential to use long-term BrO monitoring at volcanoes as an indicator of volcanic activity (Bobrowski and Giuffrida, 2012). Thus there is strong interest in developing models to simulate the formation of reactive bromine (and chlorine) in volcanic plumes, and to predict the downwind impacts from both quiescently degassing volcanoes and episodic eruptions to the troposphere. Studies to date have used equilibrium models to predict the high-temperature chemistry of the near vent plume, which is then used to initialise kinetic atmospheric chemistry models of the plume.

the downwind reactive halogen chemistry (Bobrowski et al., 2007; Roberts et al., 2009; von Glasow, 2010; Kelly et al., 2013). See von Glasow et al. (2009) for an overview.

This study uses a purpose-built kinetic model, *PlumeChem* (Roberts et al., 2009), to investigate the volcanic plume reactive halogen chemistry, focusing here on bromine. We include a revised methodology (Martin et al., 2009) for equilibrium calculations used to represent the near-vent high-temperature chemistry, and discuss uncertainties in the use of thermodynamic equilibrium models. Below, we outline the progression

of recent research on using equilibrium models for high-temperature near-vent plume chemistry and the development of kinetic models for volcanic plume reactive halogen (BrO) chemistry. We then describe the new findings of this study specifically regarding

20

the in-plume reactive bromine evolution presented by the model, and to highlight uncertainties in model high-temperature initialisation and the influence of total bromine, aerosol and plume-air mixing on the plume chemistry.





1.1 Application of the HSC equilibrium model to the near-vent plume

HSC is a commercially-available model (Outokumpo, Finland) that predicts the thermodynamic equilibrium composition of a gas mixture at a defined temperature, pressure and atomic composition. Such models are used to represent the composition of the near-vent volcano plume (e.g. Gerlach, 2004; Martin et al., 2006), predicting a vast

- ⁵ near-vent volcano plume (e.g. Gerlach, 2004; Martin et al., 2006), predicting a vast array (≥ 100) of chemical species. An overview of the input and outputs to HSC is provided in Table 1. The atomic composition of the mixture is determined by combining magmatic (comprising of H₂O, CO₂, CO, SO₂, H₂S, H₂, HF, HCl, HBr, HI, Hg, typically at around 800–1100 °C) and air (N₂, O₂, Ar, typically around 0–20 °C) components.
- The magmatic gas composition varies between volcanoes and may be estimated from crater-rim measurements. It is also possible to predict the abundance of gases that are missing from measurements as the magmatic gas H₂O-H₂, CO₂-CO, SO₂-H₂S equilibria are functions of oxygen fugacity, pressure of degassing and temperature (e.g. Giggenbach, 1987). The resulting HSC output composition depends critically on the assumed ratio of air to magmatic gases in the near-vent plume. It is the the second second
- assumed ratio of air to magmatic gases in the near-vent plume, $V_A : V_M$. However, this ratio is poorly defined, an issue we examine further in this study.

The HSC output is then used to initialise low-temperature kinetic models (such as *PlumeChem*, Roberts et al., 2009, *MISTRA*, von Glasow, 2010) of the volcanic plume reactive halogen chemistry including formation of BrO. These models show that ele-

- ²⁰ vated radicals in the HSC output accelerate the onset of autocatalytic BrO chemistry, leading to very rapid BrO formation. BrO formation occurs more slowly in kinetic models that are not initialised with high temperature chemistry. For the interest of atmospheric modellers, we simplify the complex HSC output (\geq 100 species) in Table 1, following Roberts et al. (2009) who identified impacts of HO_x, NO_x Br_x and Cl_x on the
- ²⁵ downwind plume halogen chemistry. The key species are further identified to be OH, NO, Br, Cl and Cl₂, noting NO₂ \ll NO and HO₂ \ll OH, Br₂ \ll Br in the HSC output. These species act to accelerate autocatalytic reactive bromine formation (see Fig. 4 of Roberts et al., 2009). High-temperature near-vent formation of SO₃ (a precursor to





 $\rm H_2SO_4)$ also influences the volcanic plume halogen chemistry by providing a source of aerosol surface area.

However, the thermodynamic assumption behind equilibrium models such as HSC may not always be appropriate for volcanic plume applications: Martin et al. (2009) ⁵ noted that the re-equilibration (i.e., oxidation) of H₂S within HSC is in disagreement with the widespread observed presence of H₂S in volcanic plumes (exception: Erebus), and suggested a revised operation of HSC in which H₂S is removed prior to re-equilibration. Furthermore, recent measurements confirming volcanic H₂ (Aiuppa et al., 2011; Roberts et al., 2012) indicate this argument also applies to H₂, as well as CO (although CO is typically present in very trace concentrations, with some exceptions e.g. Mt. Erebus, see e.g. Gerlach, 2004 for various collated compositions). Here, the influence of this revised methodology on HSC output is evaluated, and uncertainties on downwind BrO chemistry investigated, including the effect of the assumed near-vent plume atmospheric to magmatic gas ratio ($V_A : V_M$).

15 1.2 Kinetic models of downwind volcanic plume reactive halogen chemistry

Atmospheric chemistry models have been developed in an effort to simulate the reactive halogen chemistry of volcanic plumes, explain observed BrO formation and predict impacts of this chemistry. To date, two models: *MISTRA* (that simulates an advected column of air) and *PlumeChem* (in an expanding box or multi-grid box modes)

- ²⁰ have been developed for this purpose. Initialisation of these models includes the high-temperature chemistry of the near-vent plume, as represented by HSC. Calculations by Oppenheimer et al. (2006) showed BrO formation to be too slow if high-temperature near-vent radical formation is ignored. Bobrowski et al. (2007) performed the first kinetic model simulations of volcanic plume reactive halogen chemistry, using a model
- ²⁵ initialised with HSC at $V_A : V_M$ of 0:100, 15:85, 40:60 finding the 40:60 simulation yielded highest downwind BrO/SO₂. Roberts et al. (2009) queried the use of such high $V_A : V_M$ of 40:60 which yields rather high SO₃:SO₂ ratios, that implies volcanic sulfate emissions would exceed volcanic SO₂. Roberts et al. (2009) presented model





simulations initialised with HSC at $V_A : V_M$ of 10 : 90 that reproduced the rapid formation of BrO/SO₂ at a range of Arc (subduction zone) volcanoes for the first time (including Etna, Soufriere Hills, Villarrica), and suggested the higher BrO/SO₂ observed in the Soufriere Hills volcano plume may be fundamentally due to higher Br/S in the semission. A model study by von Glasow (2010) with simulations initialised at $V_A : V_M$ of 15 : 85 demonstrated good agreement to both reported column abundances of SO₂

and BrO/SO_2 ratios downwind of Etna.

All of the abovementioned simulations (Bobrowski et al., 2007; Roberts et al., 2009; von Glasow, 2010) predict substantial in-plume depletion of oxidants, including ozone, although to varving extents, and predict contracting plume holegon evolution.

- ¹⁰ although to varying extents, and predict contrasting plume halogen evolution. Roberts et al. (2009) also demonstrated conversion of NO_x into nitric acid via $BrONO_2$, and proposed this mechanism can explain reported elevated HNO_3 in volcano plumes. Von Glasow (2010) simulated the impacts of volcanic reactive halogen chemistry on mercury speciation predicting significant conversion to Hg^{II} in the plume.
- A number of observations of ozone abundance in volcanic plumes have recently been reported: Vance et al. (2010) observed ozone depletion in the Eyjfajallajokull plume, and at ground-level on Etna's flanks (by passive sampling). Schumann et al. (2012) presented multiple measurements of the downwind plume of Eyjafjallajökull that observed ozone depletion to variable degrees. There exist also observations
- of depleted ozone in Mt. St. Helen's plume (Hobbs et al., 1982) that are now believed to be likely due to BrO chemistry. A systematic instrumented aircraft investigation of ozone depletion in a volcano plume (where emissions are also quantified) is presented by Kelly et al. (2013), and compared to *PlumeChem* model simulations over 2 h of plume evolution, finding good spatial agreement in the modelled and observed ozone concentrations. However, Baker et al. (2010) did not detect an ozone depletion signal upon the (variable) background.

A number of modelling discrepancies exist. For example, the model studies of Roberts et al. (2009), von Glasow (2010), and Kelly et al. (2013), predict contrasting Br-speciation (and consequently contrast in predicted impacts on ozone and other





oxidants). These may reflect differences in the model representations and modelling uncertainties or demonstrate volcano-specific differences in the plume chemistry. Navigating the vast model parameter space of volcanic plume chemistry is challenging due to the non-linear controls on the plume chemistry of multiple inter-dependent parameter

- ters including volcanic aerosol, rate of horizontal dispersion, rate of vertical dispersion, wind-speed, volcanic gas flux, bromine in the emission, and high-temperature radical formation. Limited observational datasets are available to compare to the models, and the available data do not fully constrain the high- and low-temperature plume chemistry. To provide further insight, this study presents new *PlumeChem* model simulations to compare to the gas and the available to compare to the simulations to compare to the second the second temperature of temperature
- ¹⁰ compare to recently reported trends in BrO/SO₂ ratios, and illustrates several of the major controls and uncertainties in the reactive halogen chemistry of volcanic plumes.

2 Methods

2.1 HSC: equilibrium modelling of near-vent plume chemistry

The use of HSC for calculating the composition of the near-vent plume is described by Gerlach (2004) and Martin et al. (2006), with method modification proposed by Martin 15 et al. (2009). This study uses HSC thermodynamic model version 7.1, and applying the modifications which were proposed by Martin et al. (2009). Inputs to HSC include the temperature, pressure and input gas composition, which is calculated from a mixture of magmatic gases and air, at specified ratio $V_A : V_M$. For the HSC calculations a simple background atmosphere of N₂ (78%), O₂ (21%) and Ar (1%) is assumed. 20 The magmatic composition used for Etna follows that of Bagnato et al. (2007), with gas mixing ratios for H₂O, CO₂, SO₂, H₂, HCl, H₂S, CO, of 0.86, 9.6×10^{-2} , 2.9×10^{-2} , 5×10^{-3} , 1.4×10^{-2} , 1.5×10^{-3} and 3.5×10^{-4} respectively. Hg and CO are excluded for the purposes of this study due to their trace quantities. The bromine content as HBr, was set to be either medium, high or low: "medium" bromine (molar mixing ratio 25 of 2.16×10^{-5} , equivalent to a total bromine to SO₂ ratio (Br_{tot}/SO₂) in the emission of



 7.4×10^{-4}) corresponds to the average Br/S molar ratio at Etna NEC crater determined from filter-pack measurements over 2004, Aiuppa et al. (2005). "High" bromine (mixing ratio of 7.03×10^{-5} , equivalent to Br_{tot}/SO_2 in the emission of 2.4×10^{-3}) corresponds to that assumed in a previous model study of Etna (von Glasow, 2010), and is in the upper range (within one standard deviation) of the observations of Aiuppa et al. (2005). Simulations are also performed at a "lower" $Br_{tot}/SO_2 = 4.8 \times 10^{-4}$ which corresponds

to a filterpack Br/S measurement reported by Oppenheimer et al. (2006).

The magmatic temperature is set to 1050°C in order to match that prescribed by von Glasow (2010), although we note Metrich and Rutherford (1998) estimated Etna

- ¹⁰ magmatic temperature to be 1100°C. For the near-vent plume mixture input to HSC, ambient air temperature was set to 20°C. This is somewhat high considering Etna's elevation (3 km), but this has a minor influence on the HSC output (especially considering 50°C difference in the magmatic temperature estimates outlined above). For the actual *PlumeChem* atmospheric chemistry model runs, the atmospheric temperature
- ¹⁵ was a more realistic 285 K. The equilibrium composition was calculated for standard operation of HSC (in which H₂ and H₂S are allowed to re-equilibriate) and in a revised (Martin et al., 2009) operation of HSC (in which H₂ and H₂S are replaced by inert Ar such that they do not re-equilibrate). The HSC calculations were performed over 16 different $V_A : V_M$ ranging from 0 : 100 to 15 : 85.

20 2.2 PlumeChem: kinetic model of downwind BrO chemistry

The *PlumeChem* model simulates the reactive halogen chemistry of volcanic plume, as described by Roberts et al. (2009). It can be run in single-box (Roberts et al., 2009) or multi-box (Kelly et al., 2013) modes; with single-box used here. *PlumeChem* includes a background atmospheric chemistry scheme and bromine and chlorine re-

active halogen chemistry, including photolysis, gas-phase and heterogeneous (gasaerosol) phase reactions. Reactive bromine formation occurs via the autocatalytic BrO formation cycles. BrO is formed from the reaction of Br with ozone (Reaction R1), with



repeated cycling between BrO and Br (Reactions R1 and R2) causing rapid ozone loss (10's ppbv, i.e. loss is orders of magnitude larger than BrO concentration). Autocatalytic formation of BrO occurs through cycles involving reaction of BrO with oxidants, (HO₂, NO₂), (Reactions R3 and R4), aerosol-phase heterogeneous chemistry (Reactions R5 5 and R6) to release a halogen dimer, whose photolysis generates two halogen radicals (Reaction R7). Aqueous-phase equilibria (Wang et al., 1994) determine that the halogen dimer produced is Br₂. Formation of reactive chlorine is promoted if aerosol becomes Br⁻ depleted such that aqueous-phase equilibria begin to favour BrCl (Wang et al., 1994), as the product from (Reactions R5 and R6), which photolyses, to generate reactive chlorine (albeit not autocatalytic), and re-generating reactive bromine.

$$Br + O_3 \rightarrow BrO + O_2 \tag{R1}$$

$$BrO + BrO \rightarrow 2Br + O_2$$

$$BrO + HO_2 \rightarrow HOBr + O_2$$
(R2)
(R2)

$$BrO + NO_2 \rightarrow BrONO_2$$

$$Br_2 \xrightarrow{hv} 2Br$$

10

The background atmosphere chemistry scheme used here is identical to that of Roberts et al. (2009), assuming a somewhat polluted atmosphere. For the model simulations initialised around midday, background ozone is $\sim 60 \text{ ppbv}$, HO_v and NO_v are 20 around 0.17 ppbv and 30 pptv respectively, with an ambient temperature of 285 K and 60% relative humidity (RH). The plume dispersion parameterisation used in this study is identical to that of Roberts et al. (2009), based on Pasquill-Gifford case 4, with a SO₂ gas flux of 10 kg s^{-1} (unless otherwise stated) at a wind-speed of 10 m s^{-1} . Volcanic aerosol loading in the model is investigated as part of the study, and for the majority of 25 simulations is set to be a factor of 10 lower than that of Roberts et al. (2009), following the PlumeChem model set-up used in Kelly et al. (2013).

Discussion Paper **ACPD** 14, 5445–5494, 2014 **Reactive bromine** chemistry in Mt Etna's volcanic **Discussion** Paper plume T. J. Roberts et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Discussion Conclusions References Figures Tables Paper Back Close **Discussion** Pape Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

(R4)

(R5)

(R6)

(R7)



The reaction of Br with BrONO₂ to form $Br_2 + NO_3$ (Orlando and Tyndall, 1996) was added to *PlumeChem* model in this study. This reaction provides an additional loss pathway for BrONO₂, other than reactive uptake onto aerosol and its photolysis. In this manner, the reaction modifies the rapid conversion of volcanic HBr into reactive bromine compared to an earlier HSC-initialised *PlumeChem* model (Roberts et al., 2009) that predicted extremely rapid (within ~ 2 min) conversion of HBr into reactive bromine. This rapid rate of HBr conversion is somewhat slowed by the inclusion of the reaction Br + BrONO₂ in the *PlumeChem* model chemistry scheme used here, which nevertheless remains fast due to the HSC initialisation whose radicals accelerate the onset of the reactive bromine cycles. It is noted that this reaction is neither included in the IUPAC Kinetics nor JPL Data evaluation databases, thus is not necessarily included "as standard" in all atmospheric models of reactive halogen chemistry.

BrNO₂ was suggested by von Glasow (2010) to be an important reservoir for Br in the near-downwind plume, because formation of BrNO₂ from volcanic NO_x and Br radicals
exceeds the rate of BrNO₂ loss via photolysis. Formation of BrNO₂ was not included in previous *PlumeChem* model studies (Roberts et al., 2009; Kelly et al., 2013). Here, we use two chemistry schemes that either include BrNO₂ formation and its photolytic loss (using a two reaction chemistry scheme following von Glasow, 2010), or exclude BrNO₂, to illustrate its effect on plume Br-speciation and discuss uncertainties in the BrNO₂ chemistry.

3 Results

25

3.1 Model SO₂ column abundance, and variability in simulated BrO/SO₂

The formation of volcanic BrO is typically reported relative to SO_2 , which, given slow in-plume oxidation, acts as a plume tracer on the observation time-scales (typically minutes to hours). Therefore, prior to comparing *PlumeChem* model output to the observed BrO/SO₂, a comparison is made between the simulated and reported SO₂ column



abundances. Figure 1a shows slant SO₂ column abundance in Mt. Etna's plume over 2004–2005, reported from DOAS (Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy) observations from Oppenheimer et al. (2006) and Bobrowski et al. (2007). The data show a general decline with distance downwind, with the exception of two very near source measurements, which may have been underestimated in the very strong near-source plume, see Bobrowski and Guiffrida (2012) for improved SO₂ evaluation. Also shown in Fig. 1a is the model downwind plume SO₂ column abundance calculated for the plume in the vertical. The decline in modelled SO₂ column abundance with distance

- (or time) downwind is largely due to dispersion, given the slow rate of in-plume SO₂
 oxidation. The rate of dispersion depends on plume depth, width, gas flux and wind-speed during each DOAS measurement, which are not fully constrained by available observations. Nevetherless, the broad agreement between model and observations indicates a suitable model parameterisation of plume-air mixing (namely, an SO₂ flux of 10 kg s⁻¹, wind-speed of 10 m s⁻¹ and dispersion constrained to Pasquill-Gifford case
 D, see Roberts et al., 2009). This supports the use of further simulations to investigate the plume balagen chamitry using this plume-air mixing parameterisation scenario.
- the plume halogen chemistry using this plume-air mixing parameterisation scenario, for comparison to reported BrO/SO₂ observations.

Figure 1b shows formation of BrO (relative to plume tracer SO_2) from a range of model simulations presented later in this study, all using this same plume-air mixing parameterisation, but where the other parameters (volcanic aerosol loading, total plume

20

- bromine, initialisation using thermodynamic model output, and halogen chemistry scheme) are varied. Clearly, these variables can have a strong influence on the downwind plume halogen chemistry. Not all of the model simulations in Fig. 1b conform to the BrO/SO₂ observations from Oppenheimer (2006) and Bobrowski et al. (2007), in-
- deed simulations whose initialisations assume no plume-air mixing at high-temperature typically underestimate downwind BrO/SO₂ (see Sects. 3.3–4 for further discussion). Nevertheless, highlighted in red are the simulations which demonstrate broad agreement to the BrO/SO₂ measurements and provide an explanation for the observed rise and subsequent plateau or decline in BrO/SO₂ with distance downwind reported





by Bobrowski and Giuffrida (2012). These model runs are discussed further in terms of the revised thermodynamic model output in Sects. 3.3–4, and model chemistry in Sects. 3.5–6.

In order to provide further insight into the factors controlling volcano plume reactive halogen chemistry, we investigate here the influence of the abovementioned variables, and particularly uncertainties regarding the initialisation by HSC. To do so, suitable values for the volcanic bromine and aerosol loading are first evaluated, as outlined below.

3.2 The effect of aerosol and bromine content on downwind BrO/SO₂

- ¹⁰ Highlighted in black in Fig. 1b are four model runs that assume contrasting aerosol surface area loading and bromine (Br_{tot}/SO_2) content of the volcanic emission. The "medium" and "high" estimates of the volcanic bromine emission refer to the average plume Br/S ratio, 7.4×10^{-4} molmol⁻¹, reported from filter pack measurements in 2004 (Aiuppa et al., 2005) and, 2.4×10^{-3} molmol⁻¹, as assumed in a model study of Etna plume halogen chemistry by von Glasow (2010), which is three times higher than the average, but lies within the reported observational range of Aiuppa et al. (2005). Aerosol surface area in volcanic plumes is poorly constrained by observations to date. Here, the "high" estimate of volcanic aerosol refers to a loading of ~ 10^{-10} µm² molec SO₂⁻¹ equivalent to the 1.5×10^{-5} cm⁻³ ppmv⁻¹ SO₂ estimate 20 used in the model study of volcanic plume BrO chemistry by Roberts et al. (2009),
- which was derived from reported remote sensing measurements of particle surface area and SO_2 in Villarrica plume (Mather et al., 2004b). However, it is possible that this estimate includes surface area from volcanic ash particles, and may not be representative of the Etna plume. Both ash and acid aerosols contribute to measured particle
- fluxes, however reactive uptake of HOBr (and BrONO₂) has to date only been quantified in acid aerosol and on ice (the chemistry is not known to occur on silica particles although the extent that halogen chemistry might occur on acid-coated ash particles is unknown. The simulations using a "medium" estimate of volcanic aerosol assume an





aerosol surface area loading an order of magnitude lower (~ $10^{-11} \mu m^2 molec SO_2^{-1}$) than the "high" estimate. Further discussion on the aerosol surface area loading estimate is provided below.

- Both the volcanic aerosol loading and volcanic bromine content influence the downwind BrO/SO₂ evolution, as discussed further in terms of the time-evolution of plume reactive bromine speciation in Sect. 3.5. Interestingly, whilst the volcanic aerosol and bromine content have a strong impact on the plateau in BrO/SO₂ far downwind (both in terms of value and when it is reached), Fig. 1b indicates that aerosol and bromine content exert a much more limited impact on BrO/SO₂ in the very young plume during the first w 8 min (w 5 km) of plume evolution, at least for the plume dispersion condi-
- ¹⁰ the first ~ 8 min (~ 5 km) of plume evolution, at least for the plume dispersion conditions simulated. For example, at 36 km downwind, the two contrasting aerosol loadings cause the model BrO/SO₂ to vary from 4.2×10^{-4} to 1.4×10^{-3} ("high" bromine scenario) and from 2×10^{-4} to 4×10^{-4} ("medium" bromine scenario), whereas at 6 km downwind all of these model runs predict BrO/SO₂ between 2.5×10^{-4} and
- $_{15}$ 4 × 10⁻⁴. This near-downwind similarity in BrO/SO₂ (despite varying Br_{tot}/SO₂ as well as aerosol loading) is related to the role of oxidants in forming BrO, and differences in the proportion of HBr converted to reactive bromine. This model finding is consistent with the observations of Bobrowski and Giuffrida (2012) at 6 km downwind that showed BrO/SO₂ was independent of relative humidity (a key control on sulphate aerosol vol-
- ²⁰ ume hence surface area). A model explanation (see Sect. 3.5) is that BrO/SO₂ ratios are primarily controlled by Br to BrO partitioning – itself a function of in-plume ozone concentrations – in this region where the plume is still relatively concentrated. In the relatively concentred plume, reactive uptake of HOBr and BrONO₂ on volcanic aerosol is very rapid (exceeding photolytic loss pathways and their formation rates) thus ensures
- these species do not accumulate in this region of the plume. Aerosol surface area becomes a significant control on BrO: HOBr: $BrONO_2$ partitioning hence BrO/SO_2 in the more dilute dispersed plume downwind where HOBr and $BrONO_2$ can begin to accumulate.





In general, a higher Br_{tot}/SO₂ in the emission leads to greater BrO/SO₂ far downwind. This is in accordance with the proposed role of Br/S in the emission to explain order of magnitude variation in BrO/SO₂ ratios across Arc volcances (Roberts et al., 2009). A higher aerosol loading promotes the conversion of HBr into reactive forms, and the occurrence of reactive bromine as BrO in the far downwind plume but has limited impact on BrO/SO₂ levels near to source, as mentioned above. Notably, the simulation with both "high" bromine and the larger aerosol estimate predicts BrO/SO₂ that exceeds reported observations far downwind, and does not reproduce the plateau in BrO/SO₂ beyond ~ 5 km downwind of Etna summit recently reported by Bobrowski and Giuffrida (2012). We acknowledge the Etna bromine emission may vary with time therefore use both medium and high bromine emission scenarios alongside a low Br scenario in the further model simulations of this study (shown by gray and red lines).

However, for the high bromine scenario, only the simulation with "medium" aerosol surface area loading appears consistent with the BrO/SO₂ observations by Bobrowski and Giuffrida (2012). The "medium" aerosol surface area loading is considered as likely being more representative and this estimate, ~ $10^{-11} \,\mu\text{m}^2$ molec SO₂⁻¹, is used in all further model simulations of this study. Further justification is given below.

As mentioned above, few estimates of volcanic aerosol surface area loading exist, particularly in ash-poor plumes, and the available aerosol measurements are not al-

²⁰ ways reported in the context of plume strength (e.g as indicated by a plume "tracer" or quasi-tracer such as SO₂). For Etna plume, Watson and Oppenheimer (2000) report sun-photometer measurements of plume particles, from which a total particle mass flux of between 4.5 and 8.0 kgs⁻¹ is derived. Assuming their end-member densities of sulphate (1.67 × 10³ kgm⁻³) or water (1 × 10³ kgm⁻³) yields volume fluxes of (2.7– 4.8) × 10⁻³m³s⁻¹ or (4.5–8.0) × 10⁻³m³s⁻¹, which, using the reported mean effective radius, $R_{eff} = 0.83 \,\mu\text{m}$, yields a surface area flux range between 550 and 1650 m²s⁻¹. Concurrent SO₂ fluxes are not reported, but the assumption of an Etna SO₂ flux of on the order of thousand of tonnes per day (11.6 kgs⁻¹) results in a surface area to SO₂ ratio of 5 × 10⁻¹²–1.5 × 10⁻¹¹ μm^2 molec⁻¹ SO₂. This estimate is consistent with





our "medium" aerosol loading (although a wider range of values can be obtained if *R*_{eff} is allowed to vary). However, even though Watson and Oppenheimer (2006) report *R*_{eff} values from their sun-photometer measurements, it must be emphasized that the data actually indicate a substantial (majority) proportion of volcanic aerosol surface area to ⁵ be contributed by particles with radii beyond their reported measurement range (see

their Fig. 6b in particular) at either $\geq 5 \,\mu m$ or $\leq 0.1 \,\mu m$ respectively.

10

Spinetti and Buongiorno (2007) report airborne multispectral image observations, from which an aerosol effective radius of ~ 1 μ m is derived for quiescent degassing conditions. Martin et al. (2008) and Allen et al. (2006) report in-situ measurements of Etna aerosol, although in the absence of concurrent in-situ measurements of SO₂ it

- is challenging to derive a value for the total particle surface area loading from these data. We supply the following rough calculation of total aerosol surface area assuming particles of one radius size only. Based on reported sulphate : SO₂ molar ratio of 1 : 100 (Martin et al., 2008), and an estimated sulphate aerosol particle size of ~ 1 µm radius in the Etna Voragine crater emission (i.e. yielding individual particle volume of
- ¹⁵ radius in the Etha Volagine crater emission (i.e. yielding individual particle volume of $\sim 4.2 \,\mu\text{m}^3$ particle⁻¹), combined with an estimated total sulphate aerosol volume from E-AIM yielding $\sim 5 \times 10^{-5} \,\text{cm}^3 \,\text{m}^{-3}$ in a plume containing 0.01 ppmv sulphate (280 K, 50 % RH) i.e. for 1 ppmv ($\sim 10^{13} \,\text{molec cm}^{-3}$) SO₂ (see Roberts et al., 2014), a particle number concentration of $10^7 \,\text{m}^{-3}$ can be calculated, yielding a total particle surface area of $\sim 10^{-11} \,\mu\text{m}^2$ molec SO₂. Ongoing work is attempting to refine these estimates of the volcanic surface area loading using new in-situ size-resolved aerosol surface area loading for Etha to be significantly lower (an order of magnitude) than the $\sim 10^{-10} \,\mu\text{m}^2$ molec SO₂ estimate of Roberts et al. (2009). This "medium" aerosol loading (per molecule SO₂) estimate was also assumed in *PlumeChem* simulations of Redoubt volcano plume (Kelly et al., 2013) that found good agreement between the

observed and modelled BrO-mediated plume ozone depletion.





3.3 Thermodynamic modelling of near-vent volcanic plumes

An important model parameter in the *PlumeChem* model initialisation is the use of output from thermodynamic model HSC to represent the composition of the high-temperature near-vent plume. From the vast HSC output (> 100 species) we identify

- NO, OH, CI and Br and Cl₂ as key species that act to accelerate the onset of autocatalytic BrO formation in the downwind plume, with SO₃ important as a precursor to volcanic H₂SO₄ aerosol. Further, the observed presence of H₂S and H₂ in volcanic plumes leads us to a revised HSC methodology (see Martin et al., 2009). Figure 2 compares the key species in the HSC output for the near-vent plume of Etna ("medium" Br sce-
- ¹⁰ nario), using the standard HSC methodology, in which (a) H_2 and H_2S re-equilibriate, and (b) the modified method whereby H_2S and H_2 do not re-equilibrate. NO, OH, CI and Br and Cl₂ gas mixing ratios are shown for $V_A : V_M$ ranging from 0 : 100 to 15 : 85, where $V_A : V_M$ is the ratio of air to magmatic gases in the near-vent plume (with $V_A : V_M$ plotted as a fraction in Fig. 2), with the HSC temperature varied according to the mixture of ¹⁵ magmatic (1050 °C) and ambient (20 °C) temperatures.

In general, higher mixing ratios of these species occur at higher $V_A : V_M$, with a corresponding decrease in HBr, and other "parent" species unless they are in excess. However, the trend is not linear. NO concentrations are particularly enhanced at high $V_A : V_M$, reflecting the dissociation and partial oxidation of N₂ that originates from the back-

- ²⁰ ground atmosphere. However, we highlight uncertainties in such near-vent plume NO_x production, as discussed in Sect. 3.6.2. The greater proportion of air relative to magmatic gases at high $V_A : V_M$ is also accompanied by a lower temperature, which alters the balance between Cl₂ and Cl radicals (Br₂ remains low over the whole $V_A : V_M$ range). Of particular note is a step increase in radical concentrations in Fig. 2a (in which H₂
- ²⁵ and H₂S re-equilibrate). This is the so-called compositional discontinuity (C.D.) (Gerlach, 2004), which occurs at around $V_A : V_M \sim 0.02$ for Etna's magmatic composition. At the C.D., the reduced magmatic gases (H₂S, H₂, CO, etc.) are essentially fully oxidised (SO₂, H₂O, CO₂), thus addition of further oxidant (increasing V_A/V_M) causes a step





increase in the concentrations of other oxidised species and radicals. However, in the revised HSC methodology (in which H_2 and H_2S do not re-equilibriate) the C.D. has shifted to low $V_A : V_M$, as first shown by Martin et al. (2009). Indeed, it may no longer be relevant to talk of a C.D. at all, as an increase in radicals occurs immediately as $V_A : V_M$

⁵ is increased; this is because the composition of the mixture is no longer buffered by magmatic H_2/H_2O and H_2S/SO_2 ratios. Notably, the new method for operating HSC also changes the composition of the HSC output, particularly at low $V_A : V_M$.

3.4 Effect of $V_A : V_M$ in HSC on the predicted downwind BrO formation

We now investigate how the assumed $V_A : V_M$ in HSC (using the revised methodology) impacts the downwind volcanic plume reactive halogen chemistry. A suite of 1 h simulations predicting downwind BrO/SO₂ evolution are shown in Fig. 3, and compared to reported BrO/SO₂ ratios from Oppenheimer et al. (2006) and Bobrowksi et al. (2007). Model runs using HSC initialisations (2 : 98 and 5 : 95) are highlighted in red.

In Fig. 3 the *PlumeChem* model is initialised using HSC operated at a range of $V_A : V_M$ varying from 0 : 100, 1 : 99, 2 : 98, 5 : 95, 10 : 90 to 15 : 85 for six different model scenarios: (a) "medium" bromine emission with a chemistry scheme that excludes BrNO₂, (b) "medium" bromine emission with a chemistry scheme that includes BrNO₂, (c) "high" bromine emission with a chemistry scheme that excludes BrNO₂, (d) "high" bromine emission with a chemistry scheme that includes BrNO₂, (d) "high" bromine emission with a chemistry scheme that includes BrNO₂, (e) "low" bromine emission with a chemistry scheme that excludes BrNO₂, (f) "low" bromine emission with a chemistry scheme that includes BrNO₂. The corresponding variation in BrO/SO₂ in each plot indicates that the assumed $V_A : V_M$ has a significant, and rather complex impact on

the downwind chemistry.

Nevertheless, some generalizations can be made: all model runs initialised using HSC with $V_A : V_M$ of 0:100 (i.e. with no air mixed into the near-vent plume) under-

²⁵ HSC with $V_A : V_M$ of 0 : 100 (i.e. with no air mixed into the near-vent plume) underpredict BrO/SO₂ ratios compared to the observations. Inclusion of some air is needed to generate sufficient radicals in the near-vent magmatic-air mixture to significantly accelerate the onset of autocatalytic BrO formation in order that BrO/SO₂ rises to 10⁻⁴



over a timescale of minutes downwind. This has also previously been shown (e.g. Bobrowski et al., 2007; Roberts et al., 2009, von Glasow, 2010) using atmospheric chemistry models. For this reason, previous studies have chosen to initialise atmospheric chemistry models with HSC output using V_A : $V_M > 0$: 100. However, the choice 5 of V_A : V_M in HSC calculations of the near-vent plume is rather poorly defined, and mostly motivated by the need for V_A : V_M to exceed the so-called "compositional discontinuity", e.g. Bobrowski et al. (2007) used $V_A : V_M = 40:60$, Roberts et al. (2009) suggested lower V_A : V_M = 10:90 (as the 40:60 initialisation converts too much SO₂ to sulfate), and Von Glasow (2010) used V_A : $V_M = 15$: 85. Given the revised location of the compositional discontinuity outlined above in Fig. 2, here we suggest an even 10 lower V_A : V_M , e.g. V_A : V_M = 2:98 or V_A : V_M = 5:95 as still suitable. The BrO/SO₂ evolution for these V_{Δ} : V_{M} is highlighted (in red) in Fig. 3, demonstrating generally good agreement to the reported observations, particularly when BrNO₂ is not included in the model chemistry scheme (further discussion on BrNO₂ is given in Sect. 3.6). Lowering the assumed $V_{\rm A}$: $V_{\rm M}$ in HSC yields a lower SO₃/SO₂ ratio, and lowers the volcanic 15 NO_x source, see Fig. 3. As SO_3 is a direct precursor to H_2SO_4 the SO_3/SO_2 ratio in the emission exerts a primary control on the sulphate/SO₂ in the volcanic emission. The lower $V_{\rm A}$: $V_{\rm M}$ proposed here yields SO₃/SO₂ ~ 0.01, in reasonable agreement to sulphate: SO₂ molar ratios reported at Etna Voragine crater emission (~ 0.017) and slightly lower than that of the Etna north-east crater emission (~ 0.05), whose aerosol 20 emission may also have included a volcanic ash component (see Martin et al., 2008). The lower volcanic NO_x emission at lower V_A : V_M is also consistent with our discussion

of uncertainties in volcanic NO_x emissions (Sect. 3.6.2).

25

Figure 3 also highlights the impact of formation of BrNO₂. For the medium and low bromine scenarios with the chemistry scheme that includes BrNO₂ the model tends to underestimate the near downwind formation of BrO relative to the observed BrO/SO₂ ratios at Etna (particularly for HSC initialisations at high V_{Δ} : V_{M}), Fig. 3b. Conversely, the same simulations performed without BrNO₂ in the model chemistry scheme are able to reproduce the reported rapid and immediate rise in downwind BrO/SO₂,



Fig. 3a. We now evaluate more carefully the plume Br-speciation, the volcanic NO_x emission and the chemical fate of $BrNO_2$ in volcanic plumes.

3.5 Speciation of reactive bromine in Etna plume and implications for observations of volcanic BrO

- ⁵ The time evolution of reactive bromine speciation is illustrated in Fig. 4 for simulations initialised using HSC at $V_A : V_M = 5 : 95$ and with varying bromine content (Medium, High, or Low corresponding to Br_{tot}/SO_2 of 7.4×10^{-4} , 2.4×10^{-3} , and 4.8×10^{-4} mol mol⁻¹ respectively) and inclusion/exclusion of BrNO₂. A number of interesting features are identified:
- The proportion of reactive bromine as BrO rises rapidly in the first few minutes, but then stabilises or declines further downwind.
 - HBr is fully converted to reactive bromine in the "medium" and "low" bromine simulations but only partially converted in the "high" bromine simulations. The extent of HBr conversion also depends on other factors as discussed below.
- BrO is formed in the plume at up to 40–50 % ("medium" and "low" Br emission scenario') or 10–20 % (high Br emission scenario) of total bromine. This difference is related to the extent of HBr conversion, as BrO reaches a similar maximum fraction (~ 50 %) of reactive bromine in the three simulations.

20

- An increase in plume BrCl occurs when HBr concentrations are depleted, which is due to the aqueous-phase equilibria producing significant BrCl instead of just Br₂.
- HOBr and BrONO₂ are present in all simulations, and represent an increasing proportion of reactive bromine as the plume disperses downwind, whilst the proportion of BrO declines.



- When BrNO₂ is included in the model chemistry scheme, it is rapidly formed near to the source as a significant proportion (20–30%) of total bromine. The proportion of reactive bromine as BrNO₂ subsequently declines with distance or time downwind.
- When BrNO₂ is included in the model chemistry scheme, the proportion of reactive bromine as Br₂ and Br is lower near to source and formation of BrO is delayed for the "medium" and "low" Br emission' scenarios.

The observed and modelled trend in BrO/SO₂ shown in Figs. 1 and 3 is thus explained as follows: HBr is converted into reactive forms by autocatalytic bromine chemistry cycles involving volcanic aerosol, entrained atmospheric oxidants, sunlight and which are accelerated by radical species present in the high-temperature initialisation. The initial rise in BrO/SO₂ primarily reflects trends in reactive bromine speciation; entrainment of background air containing ozone into the plume, promotes greater partitioning to BrO via the reaction Br + O₃. Plume-air mixing is thus an important control on BrO/SO₂, because the dilution of volcanic components and entrainment of air alter the balance between Br and BrO, e.g. by reducing the rate of BrO loss by the self-reaction BrO+BrO (to form 2Br or Br₂) relative to the formation of BrO by Br + O₃. The subsequent decline or plateau in BrO/SO₂ occurs due to net conversion of reactive bromine from BrO in the forms HOBr (and to a lesser extent BrONO₂) in the downwind, dispersed plume.

- ²⁰ These species are formed at an accelerated rate in the downwind plume as it disperses and entrains background air containing oxidants (HO₂, NO₂) which react with BrO. Further, the heterogeneous loss pathways for these species are slowed in the dispersed downwind plume where volcanic aerosol is diluted. The heterogeneous reactions of HOBr and BrONO₂ with aerosol present a more rapid loss pathway than photolysis in
- the aerosol-rich environment of a volcanic plume. As the plume disperses and dilutes further downwind, net accumulation of HOBr (and BrONO₂) occurs whilst BrO declines (as a fraction of Br_{tot}), although it is emphasized that plume chemistry cycling between these species is ongoing throughout the simulation and is very rapid.





This predicted reactive bromine evolution in the dispersed plume (Fig. 4) is somewhat similar to that of Roberts et al. (2009) but contrasts to the 1 h simulations of von Glasow (2010) that did not predict the in-plume presence of HOBr and BrONO₂. The higher proportion of total bromine as BrO in the "medium and low Br emission scenarios" (40–50%) compared to the high Br emission scenario (10–20%) is related to the extent (complete and partial, respectively) of HBr conversion into reactive bromine species. Predicted BrO/SO₂ and BrO/Br_{tot} trends for the three Br emission scenarios are shown in Fig. 5. The "low" simulation can be compared to observations by Oppenheimer et al. (2006) who reported (using DOAS) BrO/SO₂ ratios reached ~ 2 × 10⁻⁴ within 3–4 min downwind of Etna summit, and used filter-packs to quantify the emitted Br_{tot}/SO₂ to be 4.8 × 10⁻⁴, i.e. implying a BrO/Br_{tot} of ~ 40%. For the "low Br" model run initialised at Br_{tot}/SO₂ = 4.8 × 10⁻⁴, which predicts complete conversion of HBr into reactive forms over 4 min, BrO/SO₂ rises to 10⁻⁴ within 4 min, reaching a maxi-

- mum of 2.5 × 10⁻⁴ at about 18 min downwind (i.e. earlier than the "medium" and "high"
 bromine cases of this study) afterwhich BrO/SO₂ declines, Fig. 5a. BrO/Br_{tot} reaches 25 % within 4 min, and 40 % by about 8 min (Fig. 5b), thus converging towards the observations of Oppenheimer et al. (2006): the agreement is relatively good considering the predicted BrO/Br_{tot} can also be affected by other model parameters kept constant here (e.g. in HSC initialisation, rate of plume-air mixing, aerosol loading), whilst observations of BrO/Br_{tot} are subject to measurement uncertainties (e.g. in filterpack Br/S,
- ²⁰ Validits of BIO/Bi_{tot} are subject to measurement uncertainties (e.g. in interpact BI/S, DOAS measurement of BrO). The non-linearity of HBr conversion to BrO yields the following implications for volcanology: BrO/SO_2 ratios for these simulations (initialised at $V_A : V_M = 95 : 5$) reach maxima of 3.6×10^{-4} and 4.6×10^{-4} and 2.5×10^{-4} for the medium, high and low Br scenarios respectively in the downwind plume, see Fig. 5a.
- Thus, whilst the modelled bromine emission has varied by a factor of three between the "medium" and "high" bromine scenarios, the simulated BrO/SO₂ ratio has varied by less than 30%. This result for small-scale bromine variations contrasts to Roberts et al. (2009) who investigated bromine variations on a large-scale (using an earlier version of *PlumeChem* with higher aerosol loading, and where HBr was fully converted to





reactive bromine). Roberts et al. (2009) suggested order of magnitude differences in BrO/SO_2 between Soufriere Hills volcano ($BrO/SO_2 \sim 10^{-3}$) and other Arc volcanoes like Etna ($BrO/SO_2 \sim 10^{-4}$) could be attributed to order of magnitude differences in the ratio of total bromine to SO_2 in their emissions. However, the non-linear relationship between BrO and emitted HBr, as identified in Figs. 4 and 5 for small-scale bromine variations, presents a complexity to efforts to quantify volcanic bromine emissions using DOAS observations of plume BrO/SO_2 ratios within volcano monitoring programmes, and to modelling efforts to quantify impacts from volcanic halogen emissions to the troposphere. Further understanding of the extent to which volcanic bromine is rapidly converted into reactive forms in the near-downwind plume is needed as part of efforts to evaluate global impacts from volcanic halogen degassing. Further studies of the wider model parameter space can contribute to this aim, although more observations

are also needed to constrain model uncertainty.

3.6 Uncertainties in plume chemistry regarding formation of BrNO₂

- ¹⁵ We now focus attention on the BrNO₂ in volcanic plumes (first suggested by von Glasow, 2010), and highlight uncertainties in its in-plume prevalence. Simulations that include BrNO₂ exhibit a delay in the rise in BrO/SO₂ compared to observations such as Oppenheimer et al. (2006) for the "medium bromine emission scenario", and particularly when initialised at high $V_A : V_M$, see Fig. 3. This is problematic, because the known reaction rate constant for the Br+NO₂ reaction does suggest the rate of reaction should exceed photolytic loss leading to a significant partitioning of reactive bromine as BrNO₂ in the young plume, as proposed by von Glasow (2010). Furthermore, observations elsewhere e.g. Masaya, Nicaragua, have (separately) identified elevated concentra-
- tions of both the reactants NO₂ (Mather et al., 2004a) and BrO (Kern et al., 2009) at that volcano crater-rim. Figure 4b and d further illustrates how formation of BrNO₂ from Br and NO₂ is very rapid in the near-source plume, enabling BrNO₂ to reach up to 20– 30 % of total bromine. As the plume subsequently disperses, the proportion of reactive bromine as BrNO₂ declines as its photolytic loss begins to exceed its formation rate





in the more dilute plume. This trend in BrNO₂ is broadly similar to that predicted by von Glasow (2010). However, as mentioned above, the discrepancy in Fig. 3 between the "medium" and "low" bromine emissions scenario model runs that include BrNO₂ and reported observations of BrO/SO₂ is problematic. We suggest firstly that BrNO₂ prevalence is likely lower than the model predicts due to occurrence of additional and alternative reaction pathways. Secondly we highlight uncertainty surrounding volcanic NO_x emissions (which may be lower than previously assumed) as a further cause of uncertainty in BrNO₂.

3.6.1 Alternative Br + NO₂ products and additional BrNO₂ loss pathways

- ¹⁰ Prior to considering the chemistry $BrNO_2$, we highlight the contrasting example of $BrONO_2$, which is included in all the simulations of this study. The rate of formation of $BrONO_2$ in the volcanic plume is rapid, especially in the near-downwind concentrated plume where BrO and NO_2 abundances are high. However, $BrONO_2$ is simulated to undergo a heterogeneous loss pathway on volcanic aerosol ($\tau \sim s$ to 10 min further downwind) and loss via gaseous reaction with Br ($\tau \sim s$ to 10 min further downwind), as well as a photolytic loss (\sim 10's min). The fast loss pathways ensure that $BrONO_2$ does not accumulate to a large extent in the near downwind plume environment, occuring as small fraction of total bromine in the downwind dispersed plume (where Br and aerosol are diluted).
- In contrast, the simulations of BrNO₂ by von Glasow (2010) and in Fig. 4b, d, and f predict rapid near-downwind formation of BrNO₂, but include photolysis of BrNO₂ as the only loss mechanism (timescale ~ few min, von Glasow, 2010). We suggest BrNO₂ life-time hence its near source formation might be reduced by additional loss mechanisms (e.g. Reactions R13–R15): BrNO₂ may react with Br to produce Br₂ and NO₂ (Reaction R13) or with NO to form BrNO and NO₂ (Reaction R14), with BrNO
- reaction with NO to form BinO and NO₂ (Reaction R14), with BinO reaction R15), Bröske and Zabel (1998). Furthermore, Orlando and Burkholder (2000) and Bröske and Zabel (1998) find that the reaction of Br with NO₂ results primarily in an alternative product BrONO (Reaction R9)





that is likely shorter-lived in terms of chemistry (see Reactions R10–R12) as well as thermal decomposition. According to Bröske and Zabel (1998), and references therein, reaction of Br with NO₂ produces BrNO₂ only as a minor product (8%) (Reaction R8), with BrONO as the major product (92%) (Reaction R9). The latter undergoes rapid thermal decomposition (Reaction R12), $\tau \sim 1$ s, thus is much shorter lived compared to BrNO₂. BrONO can also react with Br to form Br₂ and NO₂ (Reaction R10), or with NO₂ to form BrNO₂ and NO₂ (Reaction R11).

	$Br + NO_2 + M \rightarrow BrNO_2 + M$	(R8)
	$Br + NO_2 + M \rightarrow BrONO + M$	(R9)
10	$Br + BrONO \rightarrow Br_2 + NO_2$	(R10)
	$BrONO + NO_2 \rightarrow BrNO_2 + NO_2$	(R11)
	$BrONO \rightarrow Br + NO_2$	(R12)
	$BrNO_2 + Br \rightarrow Br_2 + NO_2$	(R13)
	$BrNO_2 + NO \rightarrow BrNO + NO_2$	(R14)
15	$BrNO + Br \rightarrow Br_2 + NO$	(R15)

Evaluation of the net impact of these reactions requires further investigation through numerical modelling. Nevertheless, the likely net effect is for much less $BrNO_2$ to be formed in the plume. The reaction $Br + NO_2$ primarily forms the less stable BrONO which will undergo fast thermal dissociation. The lifetime of any $BrNO_2$ (or BrONO) that

- ²⁰ is formed is likely shortened by the additional chemistry (Reactions R8–R15). Consequently a lower in-plume prevalence of BrNO₂ or BrONO is expected. We also note (data not shown) that in-plume ozone depletion predicted by the simulations that include BrNO₂ is generally lower than that predicted by the simulations that exclude BrNO₂ (see Fig. 7). For example, for the medium Br scenario, a maximum of ~ 16 ppbv
- ²⁵ in-situ depletion is predicted which seems rather modest compared to reported observations of ozone loss at Etna (Vance et al., 2010), whilst the "medium Br" simulation





without $BrNO_2$ predicts loss of 30 ppbv, see Fig. 7). Below, we highlight further uncertainty in Etna $BrNO_2$ chemistry arises from uncertainty in the volcanic NO_x emission.

3.6.2 Uncertainty in volcanic NO_x emissions

It is emphasized that the rapid initial formation of BrNO₂ is critically dependent on the high-temperature volcanic source of NO₂ and Br, thus is subject to uncertainties in 5 the near vent plume composition (represented by HSC). It is possible that volcanic NO_v emissions may be lower than previously assumed using the HSC thermodynamic equilibrium model, thereby further limiting the rate of formation of BrNO₂. We suggest that formation of NO_x via thermal N₂ dissociation is likely kinetics (rather than thermodynamics) limited in the near-vent plume, given the very high bond-strength of N₂ 10 (945 kJmol^{-1}) . Thus near-vent formation of NO is likely limited due to the short (~ 10's seconds) timescales involved as the near-vent plume disperses and thereby cools. Under these conditions, formation of large quantities of volcanic NO_v as predicted by HSC assuming thermodynamic equilibrium for high $V_A : V_M$ may not necessarily be valid. Indeed, this has recently been shown using a high-T kinetic model across a range of 15 assumed V_{A} : V_{M} (Martin et al., 2012), who speculated other NO_x production pathways must instead occur to generate volcanic NO_x.

Here, we alternatively suggest that NO_x emissions from non lava-lake quiescently degassing (i.e. non-explosive) volcanoes such as Etna may simply be lower than previously assumed from HSC studies. We also emphasize that HNO₃ (or nitrate) can be rapidly (on a seconds-minutes time-scale) formed within the plume from available NO_x , through formation of BrONO₂ and its heterogeneous reactive uptake on aerosol. This low-temperature oxidation of NO_x to HNO_3 was demonstrated by plume chemistry modelling of Roberts et al. (2009) and proposed as a mechanism for volcanic

²⁵ HNO₃, although was not considered in the study of Martin et al. (2012). Importantly, elevated "volcanic" HNO₃ produced by this mechanism can originate either from NO_x of volcanic origin, and/or from NO_x from background air entrained into the plume. Furthermore, acidification of nitrate aerosol from background air entrained into the plume can





also lead to gas partitioning therefore enhance the "volcanic" HNO_{3(g)} signature. This acid-displacement of HNO_{3(g)} by H₂SO_{4(aq)} has been observed by Satsumabayashi et al. (2004). The observations collated by Martin et al. (2012) require consideration in the context of these two mechanisms. For Etna in particular the reported HNO₃/SO₂ ratios are somewhat inconsistent and show large variability (-2.3×10^{-4} , 7.8×10^{-6} , 4.2×10^{-3}), which in itself might be indicative of a role of plume chemistry processing. Further investigation of the reported HNO₃/SO₂ ratios as a function of plume concentration and background NO_x (and nitrate aerosol) is required to ascertain to what extent volcanic HNO₃ measurements reflect a volcanic NO_x emission under different volcanic degassing scenarios.

3.7 Influence of plume-air mixing (volcanic gas flux) on BrO formation and ozone depletion

Here we investigate the role of plume-air mixing on the (low-temperature) halogen chemistry evolution of the downwind plume. This is achieved by keeping the plume dimensions constant, but increasing the volcanic gas and aerosol emission (by a factor of $\times 5$ and $\times 10$). For a constant specified volcanic plume dimensions (and emission composition), an increased volcanic emission results in a relatively slower rate of plume-air mixing, hence a lower oxidant:bromine ratio, but with volcanic components sustained at higher concentrations in the downwind plume. In a real plume environment, a change in degaasing rate will likely also alter the plume dimensions composition are plume to be a plume the plume dimensions of the downwind plume.

²⁰ in degassing rate will likely also alter the plume dimensions somewhat, but a higher degassing rate will nevertheless generally lead to stronger, more concentrated plumes.

Simulations of 3 h duration (equivalent to 108 km downwind assuming 10 m s^{-1} windspeed) with volcanic gas and aerosol emission increased from the basic run to $\times 5$ and $\times 10$ are shown in Fig. 6, for both the "medium" and "high" bromine emission scenarios.

²⁵ Results are shown for HSC initialisation at $V_A : V_M = 5 : 95$, and $BrNO_2$ is excluded from the plume chemistry according to discussion above, and the volcanic NO_x emission from HSC is assumed although abovementioned uncertainties are acknowledged. The rate of plume-air mixing (inversely proportional to volcanic emission flux in the model)



has a linear impact on in-plume SO_2 as expected, but a non-linear effect on the plume chemistry and impacts.

In particular, the greater volcanic emission (lower plume-air mixing) leads to a later onset and slower decline in BrO/SO_2 . Far downwind (> 2 h for specific simulation conditions), high BrO/SO_2 is sustained for longer in plumes with high gas flux (low rate of plume-air mixing). Conversely, in the near downwind (several 10's of mins), plumes with lowest gas flux exhibit the fastest initial rise and highest BrO/SO_2 ratios. This non-linear effect of the volcanic emission flux (inversely related to plume-air mixing) on volcanic BrO_2/SO_2 can be explained as follows: a more rapid entrainment of oxidants in the low gas flux plumes leads to a high BrO/SO_2 ratio due to more rapid initial rate of BrO formation via $Br + O_3$ and slower BrO loss via the self-reaction (due to the greater rate of dilution of plume components). The subsequent decline in BrO/SO_2 occurs as

BrO is converted into reservoirs HOBr and BrONO₂. The onset and magnitude of this decline is greatest for plumes with a high rate of plume-air mixing (low gas flux) due to the entrainment of air containing HO_x and NO_x (promoting HOBr and BrONO₂ formation) and dilution of volcanic aerosol (slowing HOBr and BrONO₂ heterogeneous loss rates).

Ozone, HO_x and NO_x are depleted in the downwind plume, Fig. 7. For HO_x and NO_x the HSC initialisations used assumed a volcanic source of these species (elevated in-plume concentrations relative to background values of around 30 pptv and 0.17 ppbv for HO_x and NO_x respectively), which then become depleted downwind due to plume chemistry. NO_x is converted into HNO₃ by BrONO₂ chemistry, such that inplume HNO₃ is elevated above background. Ozone depletion in the plume reaches a maximum depletion around 10 min downwind, coincident with the highest in-situ BrO abundances that reach ~ 1 ppbv (Fig. 6). For the base run, the maximum local ozone depletion is 30 or 45 ppbv for the medium and high bromine emission scenarios respectively. Greater in-plume ozone loss occurs at higher emissions flux (lower plume-







concentrations begin to recover as the plume disperses (Fig. 7), entraining background air, and BrO concentrations decline (Fig. 6), albeit at a slower rate than the SO₂ plume tracer. Ozone recovery is greater for the base run than the higher volcanic flux cases due to both physical and chemical consequences of enhanced plume-air mixing. Thus

- ⁵ presence of a detectable ozone depletion signature at distances far downwind depends on the emission flux and plume-dispersion. It is emphasized, however, that ozone depleting BrO chemistry is in fact ongoing despite the in-plume ozone trend towards recovery, as shown by the continuing negative trend in the area-integrated ozone loss. Thus Fig. 7 indicates that the plume atmospheric impacts extend beyond the one to
- ¹⁰ 3 h simulations presented in this study. Simulations over the lifetime of volcanic plumes under different volcanological and meteorological conditions are therefore required to quantify the global tropospheric impact from volcanic halogen emissions.

3.8 Implications for interpretation of volcanic BrO observations

We emphasize the complex role of plume chemistry in the interpretation of volcano flank DOAS measurements of BrO/SO₂. Bobrowski and Giuffrida (2012) recently reported variation in BrO/SO₂ ratios at Etna related to the onset of eruption activity, for example with increasing BrO/SO₂ shortly prior to an eruptive event, and lower BrO/SO₂ during the eruption event, according to DOAS measurements 6 km downwind from the summit. These observations have been interpreted in the context of vari-

- able bromine and SO₂ emissions, related to subsurface magmatic processes. Lübcke et al. (2013) identified a decrease in BrO/SO₂ observed using a DOAS instrument prior to an eruption event at Nevado del Ruiz, Colombia (in a period whilst SO₂ emissions were increasing). However, we emphasize that a variation in plume BrO/SO₂ can also result from differences in the plume chemistry for varying volcanic emission
- flux magnitudes. Figure 6 shows that changes in volcanic gas flux (for a fixed plume dimension) can yield substantial changes in plume BrO/SO₂ ratio, even for a fixed Br_{tot}: SO₂ ratio in the emission. In the near-downwind plume, a key control on BrO formation is the entrainment of oxidants, therefore an increase in volcanic emission flux





causes a decrease in BrO/SO₂. On the < 60 min timescale of volcano flank DOAS observations, an enhanced rate of volcanic degassing generally leads to lower plume BrO/SO₂ ratios in more concentrated plumes. Potentially, the variations in BrO/SO₂ identified by Bobrowski and Giuffrida (2012), and Lübcke et al. (2013) may result from a combination of volcanological and plume chemistry factors. This example highlights the complexity surrounding interpretation of volcanic BrO and shows the role of plume chemistry modelling in the effort to use volcanic BrO observations to monitor and predict volcanic activity.

5

- We also highlight that the plume chemical evolution causes a decline in BrO/SO₂ ratios in the dispersed plume further downwind through net conversion of BrO into reservoirs such as HOBr and BrONO₂. This plume chemical evolution acts to reduce the BrO column abundance, contributing additional limitations to its possible detection in dispersed plumes, and is the model explanation for the plateau in BrO/SO₂ downwind of Etna reported by Bobrowski and Guiffrida (2012). Detection of volcanic BrO
- ¹⁵ by satellite is primarily constrained to large volcanic emissions (Theys et al., 2009; Fix et al., 2012; Hörmann et al., 2013). Smaller volcanic emissions that generate high but localised BrO concentrations at lower altitudes are less readily detected particularly due to dilution effects across the satellite measurement pixel (Afe et al., 2004). The modelled plume chemical evolution adds to this limitation for satellite detection of
- BrO in dispersed volcanic plumes (even at higher resolution). Importantly, however, the model Br-speciation shows that an absence of detectable BrO in dispersed volcanic plumes does not preclude the occurrence of continued rapid in-plume reactive bromine chemistry as predicted by the model.

3.9 Recommendations for future plume chemistry modelling

²⁵ The parameter space governing volcanic plume reactive halogen chemistry is vast. Of particular importance in controlling the reactive bromine formation and downwind plume bromine speciation are: Br_{tot}/SO_2 in the emission, the volcanic aerosol loading, and the rate of plume-air mixing (itself a function of the volcanic emission flux,



wind-speed and the plume dimensions) as the plume disperses, which dilutes the volcanic components but entrains oxidants. These exert non-linear influences on the plume reactive bromine formation from emitted HBr and its speciation through interconversion of BrO, Br, Br₂, BrCl, HOBr, BrONO₂. Radicals in the high-temperature model initialisation (Br, Cl, NO_x, HO_x) act to accelerate the onset of the autocatalytic reactive bromine formation. The representation of high-temperature plume environment using thermodynamic models such as HSC is highlighted as a major area of model uncertainty, particularly regarding choice of atmospheric magmatic gas ratio ($V_A : V_M$) and the volcanic NO_x emission. Also highlighted are uncertainties in the in-plume preva-

¹⁰ lence of $BrNO_2$.

Available observational datasets only partially constrain the volcano plume chemistry model parameter space. Few simultaneous observations exist to both constrain plume conditions and quantify BrO formation and plume impacts e.g. on ozone under specific volcanological and meteorological conditions. Extremely few observations are available

to constrain the near-vent plume composition. The study has shown that more than one model solution can exist that reproduces reported volcanic plume BrO/SO₂. Whilst acknowledging these limitations, we summarize the following recommendations based on the parameter space investigated in this study:

The volcanic aerosol loading at Etna is likely significantly lower than that suggested ²⁰ by Roberts et al. (2009), and the simulations here found ~ $10^{-11} \,\mu\text{m}^2$ molec SO₂⁻¹ to be suitable. Volcanic aerosol becomes an important control on BrO as the plume disperses downwind but seems to have a more modest influence on the BrO/SO₂ ratio closer to source, where the rate of plume-air mixing has a stronger control. Further insitu measurements alongside SO₂ are needed to better constrain the aerosol surface

area: SO₂ ratio, and future studies should also consider uncertainties in the kinetics of the heterogeneous chemistry on volcanic aerosol as recently highlighted by Roberts et al. (2014).

For the volcanic bromine emission, we presented simulations using the average Br/S ratio for NEC crater reported from filter-pack measurements by Aiuppa et al. (2005),





Br_{tot}/SO₂ = 7.4 × 10⁻⁴, a higher bromine emission, Br_{tot}/SO₂ = 2.4 × 10⁻³, as used by von Glasow (2010), as well as a lower Br_{tot}/SO₂ = 4.8 × 10⁻⁴ corresponding to a measurement of Oppenheimer et al. (2006). Bromine emissions from volcanoes may exhibit variability with time (see Aiuppa et al., 2005, and a later study by Martin et al. (2008)
⁵ reported a higher bromine emission (10⁻²). Likely the variability in measured Br/S reflects a combination of varying volcanic conditions and measurement error. Nevertheless, near-downwind BrO/SO₂ ratios for the modelled "medium" and "high" bromine emission scenarios were found to be similar, whilst a proportionally greater conversion of emitted Hbr into reactive bromine forms was simulated for the low and medium Br compared to the high Br scenarios. In other words, the extent to which volcanic emissions of HBr are converted to reactive forms is non-linearly dependent on the de-

emissions of HBr are converted to reactive forms is non-linearly dependent on the degassing scenario. This finding is important for future efforts to predict BrO-mediated atmospheric impacts arising from volcanic halogen degassing.

The model parameterisation for plume-air mixing used in this study (10 kg s⁻¹ SO₂, 10 m s⁻¹ and Pasquill-Gifford case D dispersion) provides a plausible dispersion scheme for Etna, and broadly reproduces the reported SO₂ column abundance downwind, although the model simulations are not fully constrained by available observational data. Improved quantification of plume width, depth, gas flux and wind-speed (simultaneous to the plume chemistry measurements) are needed, which might be provided by aircraft observations, as has been recently demonstrated in the model-observation study of Redoubt eruption plume, Kelly et al. (2013). Here we showed that

- the rate of plume-air mixing (related to plume size and the volcanic emission flux) has a strong non-linear impact on BrO/SO_2 ratios in the downwind plume, with implications for the interpretation of volcanic plume BrO/SO_2 ratios as described above
- ²⁵ When BrNO₂ is included in the model (following von Glasow, 2010) it acts as a reservoir for reactive bromine, causing a delay in the downwind rise in BrO/SO₂ that appears inconsistent with observations under the model conditions simulated. We suggest volcanic plume BrNO₂ is likely less prevalent than previously assumed, highlighting additional chemical pathways for BrNO₂ and alternative pathways via BrONO in the plume.





Formation of $BrNO_2$ is also dependent on the volcanic NO_x emission, which is rather uncertain.

We emphasize the uncertainties in the use of thermodynamic models such as HSC to represent the high-temperature near-vent plume composition. A new HSC methodology that does not allow H₂, CO and H₂S to oxidise yields plausible initialisations using lower atmospheric : magmatic gas ratio, V_A : V_M (e.g. 5 : 95 or 2 : 98 compared to 10 : 90, 15 : 85, 40 : 60) and also predicts lower volcanic NO_x. Alternatively higher V_A : V_M ratios (e.g. 10 : 90) might still be used although very high V_A : V_M can cause excessive SO₂ conversion to SO₃ (relative to reported volcanic sulphate : SO₂ observations, see Roberts et al., 2009). High V_A : V_M also yields higher equilibrium NO_x concentrations in HSC (via thermal dissociation of air-N₂) even though this process is believed to be kinetics limited in the near-vent plume. Given the limitations of using a thermodynamic equilibrium model to represent kinetic processes in the near-vent plume chemistry, the development of high-temperature kinetic models is to be encouraged.

15 4 Conclusion

We present a *PlumeChem* model study of the reactive halogen chemistry of Mt. Etna volcano plume that reproduces the recently reported trends in BrO/SO₂; namely a rapid increase in the near-downwind followed by stability or decline in the fardownwind. A new in-plume evolution of Br-speciation is predicted: BrO Br₂, Br and HBr are the main plume species in the near downwind plume whilst BrO, HOBr (and BrONO₂) are present in significant quantities further downwind. Emitted volcanic HBr is converted into reactive bromine by autocatalytic bromine chemistry cycles whose onset is accelerated by the model high-temperature initialisation. The initial rise in BrO/SO₂ is primarily due to entrainment of ozone through plume dispersion that promotes BrO formation from Br radicals. A subsequent decline or plateau in BrO/SO₂ occurs upon plume dispersion, which both dilutes the volcanic aerosol (slowing HOBr and





atmosphere (promoting their formation from BrO). This promotes net accumulation of reservoirs HOBr and BrONO₂ and a reduction in BrO in the dispersed downwind plume. Thus the model can explain the reported BrO/SO_2 trend at Etna.

We demonstrate the role of plume chemistry models to interpret volcanic BrO/SO₂
 observations as well as quantify atmospheric impacts on HO_x, NO_x, HNO₃ and ozone. A number of volcanological and meteorological factors can influence plume BrO/SO₂ ratios, and we illustrate simulations with contrasting total bromine content, volcanic aerosol loading, and rate of plume-air mixing (inversely related to volcanic emission flux in the model). BrO contents reach up to 20 % and ~ 50 % of total bromine (over a timescale of a few 10's of minutes), for the high and medium/low bromine emission scenarios, respectively. The latter agrees well with observations that report BrO (at

3–5 min downwind) can reach up to 40% of the total bromine emission at Etna (Oppenheimer et al., 2006).

Partial (up to ~ 50 %) or complete (100 %) conversion of HBr to reactive forms is ¹⁵ predicted over the 1 h simulations, depending on bromine content (high or medium/low, respectively) as well as other the plume conditions (e.g. aerosol, dispersion, HSC initialisation). Simulations using the two volcanic aerosol loadings significantly differ in the downwind plume chemistry but result in a similar initial rise in BrO/SO₂ near-downwind (up to 6 km), a finding that is in agreement with the reported low RH dependence of BrO/SO₂ (Represent at al. 2007). The influence of plume air mixing is illustrated

- of BrO/SO₂ (Bobrowski et al., 2007). The influence of plume-air mixing is illustrated through simulations with a fixed plume dimension but varying volcanic emission flux. A higher emission flux hence relative decrease in rate of in plume-air mixing causes a slower rise in BrO/SO₂ in the near downwind plume (< 40 min) and a slower and delayed onset of the decrease in BrO/SO₂ in the far downwind plume (> 2 h, for the
- volcanic conditions simulated). This simulated dependence of BrO/SO₂ on volcanic emission flux is particularly relevant for the interpretation of changes in BrO/SO₂ during/prior to eruptive events (e.g. Bobrowski and Giuffrida, 2012).

Model uncertainties are also highlighted, particularly regarding $BrNO_2$, volcanic NO_x and the high-temperature model initialisation. Simulations excluding $BrNO_2$ reproduced





the observed rapid formation of BrO (within minutes), whereas the rise in BrO/SO_2 was delayed in simulations that included BrNO₂, with lesser ozone depletion. We suggest possible additional BrNO₂ loss reactions and alternative Br+NO₂ chemical pathways. It is also possible that NO_x emissions from passively degassing (non lava lake) volcanoes might be lower than previously assumed (i.e., equilibrium NO_v), due to the slow rate of 5 N_2 oxidation. The use of thermodynamic equilibrium models to initialise atmospheric chemistry models is highlighted as a major area of uncertainty in modelled downwind plume BrO/SO₂. The atmospheric magmatic gas ratio, $V_{\rm A}$: $V_{\rm M}$, in equilibrium model representations of the near vent plume is presently poorly defined. We show that using a revised equilibrium model methodology, lower V_A : V_M become suitable (e.g. V_A : V_M = 98:2, 95:5), which also yield a lower estimate for volcanic NO_x. However, not all

species may be under equilibrium control. Development of high-temperature kinetic models is encouraged for progress in this area, as well as further coordinated field observations, given the range of parameters that influence volcanic BrO as highlighted

by this study, combined with further model sensitivity studies. 15

Acknowledgements. This study was financed by LABEX VOLTAIRE (VOLatils - Terre Atmosphère Interactions - Ressources et Environnement) ANR-10-LABX-100-01 (2011-20) and an NSINK career development allowance that enabled HSC software purchase. RSM acknowledges Christ's College, Cambridge for a research fellowship. Contributions of authors: TJR designed and performed the *PlumeChem* model experiments and HSC calculations and wrote 20 the manuscript. RSM advised on HSC methodology and contributed to manuscript writing. LJ advised on scientific scope and contributed to manuscript writing.

References

Afe, O. T., Richter, A., Sierk, B., Wittrock, F., and Burrows, J. P.: BrO emission from volcanoes:

A survey using GOME and SCIAMACHY measurements, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, L24113, 25 doi:10.1029/2004GL020994.2004.





Aiuppa, A., Federico, C., Franco, A., Giudice, G., Gurrieri, S., Inguaggiato, S., Liuzzo, M., Mc-Gonigle, A. J. S., and Valenza, M.: Emission of bromine and iodine from Mount Etna volcano, Geochem. Geophy. Geosy., 6, Q08008, doi:10.1029/2005GC000965, 2005.

Aiuppa, A., Shinohara, H., Tamburello, G., Giudice, G., Liuzzo, M., and Moretti, R.: Hydrogen in the gas plume of an open-vent volcano, Mount Etna, Italy, J. Geophys. Res., 116, B10204, 5

doi:10.1029/2011JB008461, 2011.

Bagnato, E., Aiuppa, A., Parello, F., Calabrese, S., D'Alessandro, W., Mather, T. A., McGonigle, A. J. S., Pyle, D. M., and Wangberg, I.: Degassing of gaseous (elemental and reactive) and particulate mercury from Mount Etna volcano (Southern Italy), Atmos. Environ., 41, 7377-7388, 2007.

10

- Bani, P., Oppenheimer, C., Tsanev, V. I., Carn, S. A., Cronin, S. J., Crimp, R., Calkins, J. A., Charley, D., Lardy, M., and Roberts, T. J.: Surge in sulphur and halogen degassing from Ambrym volcano, Vanuatu, B. Volcanol., 71, 1159-1168, doi:10.1007/s00445-009-0293-7, 2009.
- Bobrowski, N. and Giuffrida, G.: Bromine monoxide / sulphur dioxide ratios in relation to vol-15 canological observations at Mt. Etna 2006-2009, Solid Earth, 3, 433-445, doi:10.5194/se-3-433-2012, 2012.
 - Bobrowski, N. and Platt, U.: SO₂/BrO ratios studied in five volcanic plumes, J. Volcanol. Geoth. Res., 166, 147–160, doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2007.07.003, 2007.
- Bobrowski, N., Honniger, G., Galle, B., and Platt, U.: Detection of bromine monoxide in a vol-20 canic plume, Nature, 423, 273-276, doi:10.1038/nature01625, 2003.
 - Bobrowski, N., von Glasow, R., Aiuppa, A., Inguaggiato, S., Louban, I., Ibrahim, O. W., and Platt, U.: Reactive halogen chemistry in volcanic plumes, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D06311, doi:10.1029/2006JD007206, 2007.
- Boichu, M., Oppenheimer, C., Roberts, T. J., Tsanev, V., and Kyle, P. R.: On bromine, nitrogen oxides and ozone depletion in the tropospheric plume of Erebus volcano (Antarctica), Atmos. Environ., 45, 3856–3866, 2011.

Bröske, R. and Zabel, F.: Kinetics of the gas-phase reaction of BrNO₂ with NO, J. Phys. Chem. A. 102. 8626-8631. 1998.

Edmonds, M., Pyle, D. M., and Oppenheimer, C.: HCI emissions at Soufriere Hills volcano, Montserrat, West Indies, during a second phase of dome building: November 1999 to October 2000, B. Volcanol., 64, 21-30, 2002.



Frenzel, A., Scheer, V., Sikorski, R., George, C., Behnke, W., and Zetzsch, C.: Heterogeneous interconversion reactions of BrNO₂, CINO₂, Br₂, and Cl₂, J. Phys. Chem. A, 102, 1329–1337, 1998.

Gerlach, T. M.: Volcanic sources of tropospheric ozone-depleting trace gases, Geochem. Geophy. Geosy., 5, Q09007, doi:10.1029/2004GC000747, 2004.

Giggenbach, W. F.: Redox processes governing the chemistry of fumarolic gas discharges from White Island, New Zeland, Appl. Geochem., 2, 143–161, 1987.

Heue, K.-P., Brenninkmeijer, C. A. M., Baker, A. K., Rauthe-Schöch, A., Walter, D., Wagner, T., Hörmann, C., Sihler, H., Dix, B., Frieß, U., Platt, U., Martinsson, B. G., van Velthoven, P. F. J.,

¹⁰ Zahn, A., and Ebinghaus, R.: SO₂ and BrO observation in the plume of the Eyjafjallajökull volcano 2010: CARIBIC and GOME-2 retrievals, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 2973–2989, doi:10.5194/acp-11-2973-2011, 2011.

Hobbs, P. V., Tuell, J. P., Hegg, D. A., Radke, L. F., and Eltgroth, M. W.: Particles and gases in the emissions from the 1980–1981 volcanic eruptions of Mt. St. Helens, J. Geophys. Res., 07, 11000, 100000, 100000, 10000, 10000, 10000, 10000, 10000, 10000, 10000, 10000, 10000, 100000, 100000, 100000, 100000, 100000, 100000, 100000, 1000000, 100000, 1000000, 1000000, 1000

15 87, 11062–11086, 1982.

5

- Hörmann, C., Sihler, H., Bobrowski, N., Beirle, S., Penning de Vries, M., Platt, U., and Wagner, T.: Systematic investigation of bromine monoxide in volcanic plumes from space by using the GOME-2 instrument, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 4749–4781, doi:10.5194/acp-13-4749-2013, 2013.
- Kelly, P. J., Kern, C., Roberts, T. J., Lopez, T., Werner, C., and Aiuppa, A.: Rapid chemical evolution of tropospheric volcanic emissions from Redoubt Volcano, Alaska, based on observations of ozone and halogen-containing gases, J. Volcanol. Geoth. Res., 259, 317–333, 2013.

Kern, C., Sihler, H., Vogel, L., Rivera, C., Herrera, M., and Platt, U.: Halogen oxide measure-

- 25 ments at Masaya Volcano, Nicaragua using active long path differential optical absorption spectroscopy, B. Volcanol., 71, 659–670, 2009.
 - Louban, I., Bobrowski, N., Rouwet, D., Inguaggiato, S., and Platt, U.: Imaging DOAS for volcanological applications, B. Volcanol., 71, 753–765, 2009.

Lübcke, P., Bobrowski, N., Arellano, S., Galle, B., Garzón, G., Vogel, L., and Platt, U.: BrO/SO2

- 30 molar ratios from scanning DOAS measurements in the NOVAC network, Solid Earth Discuss., 5, 1845–1870, doi:10.5194/sed-5-1845-2013, 2013.
 - Martin, R. S., Mather, T. A., and Pyle, D. M.: High-temperature mixtures of magmatic and atmospheric gases, Geochem. Geophy. Geosy., 7, Q04006, doi:10.1029/2005GC001186, 2006.





- Rix, M., Valks, P. Hao, N., Loyola, D., Schlager, H. Huntrieser, H., Flemming, J., Koehler, U., Schumann, U., and Inness, A.: Volcanic SO₂, BrO and plume height estimations using

- Martin, R. S., Roberts, T. J., Mather, T. A., and Pyle, D. M.: The implications of H₂S and H₂ stability in high-T mixtures of magmatic and atmospheric gases for the production of oxidized trace species (e.g., BrO and NO_x), Chem. Geol., 263, 143–150, 2009.
- Martin, R. S., Mather, T. A., Pyle, D. M., Power, M. Allen, A. G., Aiuppa, A., Horwell, C. J., and Ward, E. P. W.: Composition-resolved size distributions of volcanic aerosols in the Mt. Etna
- plumes, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D17211, doi:10.1029/2007JD009648, 2008.
- Mather, T. A., Pyle, D. M., and Allen, A. G.: Volcanic source for fixed nitrogen in the early Earth's atmosphere, Geology, 32, 905–908, doi:10.1130/G20679.1, 2004a.
- Mather, T. A., Tsanev, V. I., Pyle, D. M., McGonigle, A. J. S., Oppenheimer, C., and Allen, A. G.:
- ¹⁰ Characterization and evolution of tropspheric plumes from Lascar andVillarrica volcanoes, Chile. J. Geophys. Res., 109, D21303, doi:10.1029/2004JD004934, 2004b.
 - Mather, T. A., McCabe, J. R., Rai, V. K., Thiemens, M. H., Pyle, D. M., Heaton, T. H. E., Sloane, H. J., and Fern, G. R.: Oxygen and sulfur isotopic composition of volcanic sulfate aerosol at the point of emission, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D18205, doi:10.1029/2005JD006584, 2006.
- Metrich, N. and Rutherford, M. J.: Low pressure crystallization paths of H₂O-saturated basaltichawaiitic melts from Mt. Etna: implications for open-system degassing of basaltic volcanoes, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 62, 1195–1205, 1998.

Oppenheimer, C., Tsanev, V. I., Braban, C. F., Cox, R. A., Adams, J. W., Aiuppa, A., Bobrowski, N., Delmelle, P., Barclay, J., and McGonigle, A. J. S.: BrO formation in volcanic

plumes, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 70, 2935–2941, 2006.

5

15

20

25

30

- Oppenheimer, C., Kyle, P. Eisele, F., Crawford, J., Huey, G., Tanner, D., Saewung, K., Mauldin, L., Blake, D., Beyersdorf, A., Buhr, M., and Davis, D.: Atmospheric chemistry of an Antarctic volcanic plume, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D04303, doi:10.1029/2009JD011910, 2010.
- Orlando, J. J. and Burkholder, J. B.: Identification of BrONO as the major product in the gasphase reaction of Br with NO₂, J. Phys. Chem. A, 104, 2048–2053, doi:10.1021/jp993713g, 2000.
- Orlando, J. J. and Tyndall, G. S.: Rate coefficients for the thermal decomposition of BrONO₂ and the heat of formation of BrONO₂, J. Phys. Chem., 100, 19398–19405, 1996.

chemistry in Mt Etna's volcanic plume T. J. Roberts et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures Tables** Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

ACPD

14, 5445–5494, 2014

Reactive bromine

Discussion

Paper

Discussion

Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Pape



GOME-2 satellite measurements during the eruption of Eyjafjallajökull in May 2010, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D00U19, doi:10.1029/2011JD016718, 2012.

- Roberts, T. J., Braban, C. F., Martin, R. S., Oppenheimer, C., Adams, J. W., Cox, R. A., Jones, R. L., and Griffiths, P. T.: Modelling reactive halogen formation and ozone depletion in volcanic plumes, Chem. Geol., 263, 151–163, 2009.
- Roberts, T. J., Braban, C. F., Martin, R. S., Oppenheimer, C., Dawson, D. H., Griffiths, P. T. G., Cox, R. A., Saffell, J. R. and Jones, R. L.: Electrochemical sensing of volcanic plumes, Chem. Geol., 332–333, 74–91, 2012.

5

Roberts, T. J., Jourdain, L., Griffiths, P. T., and Pirre, M.: Re-evaluating the reactive uptake of

- HOBr in the troposphere with implications for the marine boundary layer and volcanic plumes, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, 2717–2771, doi:10.5194/acpd-14-2717-2014, 2014.
 - Schumann, U., Weinzierl, B., Reitebuch, O., Schlager, H., Minikin, A., Forster, C., Baumann, R., Sailer, T., Graf, K., Mannstein, H., Voigt, C., Rahm, S., Simmet, R., Scheibe, M., Lichtenstern, M., Stock, P., Rüba, H., Schäuble, D., Tafferner, A., Rautenhaus, M., Gerz, T., Ziereis, H., Krautstrunk, M., Mallaun, C., Gayet, J.-F., Lieke, K., Kandler, K., Ebert, M., Wein-
- ¹⁵ Ziereis, H., Krautstrunk, M., Mallaun, C., Gayet, J.-F., Lieke, K., Kandler, K., Ebert, M., Weinbruch, S., Stohl, A., Gasteiger, J., Groß, S., Freudenthaler, V., Wiegner, M., Ansmann, A., Tesche, M., Olafsson, H., and Sturm, K.: Airborne observations of the Eyjafjalla volcano ash cloud over Europe during air space closure in April and May 2010, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 2245–2279, doi:10.5194/acp-11-2245-2011, 2011.
- Simpson, W. R., von Glasow, R., Riedel, K., Anderson, P., Ariya, P., Bottenheim, J., Burrows, J., Carpenter, L. J., Frieß, U., Goodsite, M. E., Heard, D., Hutterli, M., Jacobi, H.-W., Kaleschke, L., Neff, B., Plane, J., Platt, U., Richter, A., Roscoe, H., Sander, R., Shepson, P., Sodeau, J., Steffen, A., Wagner, T., and Wolff, E.: Halogens and their role in polar boundary-layer ozone depletion, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 4375–4418, doi:10.5194/acp-7-4375-2007, 2007.
 - Theys, N., Van Roozendael, M., Dils, B., Hendrick, F., Hao, N., and De Mazièrem, M.: First satellite detection of volcanic bromine monoxide emission after the Kasatochi eruption, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L03809, doi:10.1029/2008GL036552, 2009.

Vance, A., McGonigle, A. J. S., Aiuppa, A., Stith, J. L., Turnbull, K., and von Glasow, R.:

³⁰ Ozone depletion in tropospheric volcanic plumes, Geophy. Res. Lett., 37, L22802, doi:10.1029/2010GL044997, 2010.

Von Glasow, R.: Atmospheric Chemistry in Volcanic Plumes, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 107, 6594–6599, 2010.





- Von Glasow, R., Bobrowski, N., and Kern, C.: The effects of volcanic eruptions on atmospheric chemistry, Chem. Geol., 263, 131–142, 2009.
- Wang, T. X., Kelley, M. D., Cooper, J. N., Beckwith, R. C., and Margerum, D. W.: Equilibrium, kinetic and UV-spectral characteristics of aqueous bromine chloride, bromine and chlorine species, Inorg. Chem., 33, 5872–5878, 1994.

5



Table 1. Thermodynamic modelling of the high temperature near vent plume using HSC: overview of inputs and outputs.

HSC Input: Chemical	Comments		
$\begin{array}{c} H_2O, CO_2, SO_2\\ HF, HCI, HBr, HI\\ H_2S, CO, H_2\\ Hg\\ N_2, O_2, Ar \end{array}$	Major Volcanic Gases Halogen Emissions Reduced Gases Trace Metals Air		
HSC Input: Physical			
V _A : V _M Temperature	Atmospheric : Magmatic Gas Ratio Magmatic and Ambient Temperature		
HSC Output:			
Full Matrix of Species	*		
Key Reactive Species in O	ey Reactive Species in Output:		
NO, OH, CI, Br, Cl ₂ SO ₃	Species that act to kick-start BrO chemistry Direct precursor to H_2SO_4 (Sulfate)		
Major Volcanic Gases in O	lajor Volcanic Gases in Output:		
$\begin{array}{c} SO_2, HCI, HBr, CO_2, H_2O \\ H_2S, H_2, CO \end{array}$	Present in plume and in HSC output Present in plume but missing in HSC output		
* Full Matrix of Species typically in H ₂ S, CO, Ar, S ₂ , SO ₃ , SO, NO, HE HF, H, H ₂ SO ₄ , BrCl, NO ₂ , S, ClO, BrO, S ₂ Cl, N ₂ O, NOCl, HSO ₃ Cl, IE CH ₄ HNO ₂ , HCO, BrOO, CS, OCl	Cluded in HSC output: H_2O , N_2 , CO_2 , SO_2 , H_2 , HCI , O_2 , B_7 , COS , HS , OH , CI , Br , S_2O , H_2S_2 , CI_2 , I , $HOCI$, S_3 , HI , O , HO_2 , Br_2 , HIO , H_2O_2 , HNO_2 , $SOCI$, ICI , $HCOOH$, CS_2 , B_7 , SCI , S_4 , IO , $NOBr$, $COOH$, HNO , NH_3 , $CIOO$, S_5 , SCI_2 , O , O_2 , O_2 , CIO_2 , SBr_2 , $HCICO$, $SOCI_2$, $CICIO$, $CIOCI$, NOI		

BrO, S₂Cl, N₂O, NOCI, HSO₃Cl, IBr, SCl, S₄, IO, NOBr, COOH, HNO, NH₃, CIOO, S₅, SCl₂, CH₄, HNO₃, HCO, BrOO, CS, OCIO, O₃, I₂, CIO₂, SBr₂, HCICO, SOCI₂, CICIO, CIOCI, NOI, NO₂Cl, SO₂Cl₂, SOF, IOO, HSO₃F, CIOCI, SN, COCI, NO₃, S₂Cl₂, OBrO, S₆, F, NBr, HOCN, HNCO, BrOBr, CH₃, CIF, HCN, COCI₂, N₂O₂, BrF, NH₂, OIO, IF, N, BrBrO, S₂Br₂, NOF, IIO, N₂O₃, NH₂OH, SO₂CIF, SF









Fig. 1. *PlumeChem* model simulations illustrating **(a)** predicted SO₂ column abundance in the downwind plume according to the model dispersion parameterisation **(b)** simulated downwind BrO/SO₂ ratios for model runs set to an identical dispersion parameterisation but where other variables are varied according to this study, including: bromine in the emission (Br_{tot}/SO₂), volcanic aerosol loading, and variations in the thermodynamic model representation of the near-vent plume chemistry using HSC, and in-plume formation of BrNO₂. The simulations are compared to DOAS SO₂ column abundances and BrO/SO₂ ratios reported by Oppenheimer et al. (2006), and Bobrowski et al. (2007), gray squares and black disks, respectively. Simulations with varying aerosol emission (for two bromine scenarios) are highlighted in black. Medium and High Br refer to Br_{tot}/SO₂ in the emission of 7.4 × 10⁻⁴ and 2.4 × 10⁻³ respectively. Higher and Lower aerosol refers to estimates of the volcanic aerosol loading in the emission of 10⁻¹⁰ µm² molec SO₂⁻¹ and 10⁻¹¹ µm² molec SO₂⁻¹ respectively. For comparison, the suite of model simulations corresponding to the range of model initialisations as described in Figs. 3 and 4 (Sects. 3.4–3.5) is shown in gray, with HSC initialisations (*V*_A : *V*_M = 95 : 5 and 98 : 2) that show broadly good agreement – at least for the parameter space investigated – in red.









Fig. 2. Mixing ratio $(10^6 \text{ mol mol}^{-1})$ of key species (NO, OH, Br, Cl, Cl₂) in the HSC output as a function of V_A/V_M , the assumed magmatic: atmospheric gas ratio in the near-vent plume, ranging from 0 (0.00 : 1.00) to 0.18 (0.15 : 0.85). SO₃ : SO₂ ratios (that prescribe the volcanic sulfate/SO₂ emission) in the HSC output are also shown. **(a)** Standard operation of HSC in which volcanic H₂S and H₂ are allowed to re-equilibrate, yielding near-zero concentration of these gases in the HSC output. The so-called composition discontinuity (C.D.) occurs around $V_A/V_M \sim 0.02$. **(b)** A revised operation of HSC in which volcanic H₂S and H₂ are removed (and temporarily replaced by inert Ar) such that they do not re-equilibrate within HSC. Method modified from Martin et al. (2009) which results in a shift in the C.D. to low $V_A : V_M$. The HSC input composition used is that of Etna, following Martin et al. (2011). Namely: H₂O, CO₂, SO₂, H₂, HCl, H₂S, CO, of 0.86, 9.6 × 10⁻², 2.9 × 10⁻², 5.0 × 10⁻³, 1.4 × 10⁻², 1.5 × 10⁻³ and 3.5 × 10⁻⁴ respectively. HBr is set to 2.16 × 10⁻⁵ equivalent to the "medium" Br_{tot}/SO₂ in the emission of 0.00074, equal to an Etna mean reported by Aiuppa et al. (2005). The HSC temperature is calculated for each $V_A : V_M$ ratio, based on an ambient temperature of 20°C and a magmatic temperature of 1050°C.







Fig. 3. Impact of variations in the representation of the near-vent plume on the downwind BrO/SO_2 evolution simulated over 1 h. Suites of *PlumeChem* model simulations, each model run initialised with HSC output assuming varying atmospheric : magmatic gas ratio in the near-vent plume; $V_A : V_M$ (0:100, 1:99, 2:98, 5:95, 10:90, 15:85). The bromine emission scenario is also varied: (a) and (b) use a "medium" Br_{tot}/SO_2 of 7.4 × 10⁻⁴, which corresponds to the average measured Br/S molar ratio at Etna (Aiuppa et al., 2005), (c) and (d) use a high Br_{tot}/SO_2 of 2.4 × 10⁻³, which corresponds to that used in the model study by von Glasow (2010). (e) and (f) use a low Br_{tot}/SO_2 of 4.8 × 10⁻⁴ reported from a filter-pack measurement of Oppenheimer et al. (2006). The model chemistry is also varied to include (b, d, f) or exclude (a, c, e) formation of BrNO₂. The simulations are compared to BrO/SO₂ ratios reported by Oppenheimer et al. (2006), and Bobrowski et al. (2007); grey and black disks respectively.







Fig. 4. Upper: Bromine speciation as predicted by the *PlumeChem* model. Simulations assume **(a)** "medium" Br_{tot}/SO₂ of 7.4×10^{-4} with formation of BrNO₂ excluded from the model chemistry scheme, **(b)** "medium" Br_{tot}/SO₂ with formation of BrNO₂ from Br and volcanic NO_x included, **(c)** high Br_{tot}/SO₂ of 2.4×10^{-3} , excluding plume BrNO₂ formation, **(d)** high Br_{tot}/SO₂ of 2.4×10^{-3} , excluding plume BrNO₂ formation, **(d)** high Br_{tot}/SO₂ of 2.4×10^{-3} , including plume BrNO₂ formation. **(e)** low Br_{tot}/SO₂ of 4.8×10^{-4} , excluding plume BrNO₂ formation. All simulations are initialised using HSC output at $V_A : V_M$ of 5 : 95, with volcanic aerosol loading of $10^{-11} \,\mu\text{m}^2$ molec⁻¹ SO₂, with plume dispersion paramterisation as stated in the text.







Fig. 5. Predicted BrO/SO₂ and BrO/Br_{tot} ratios over 1 h simulations for three different bromine emission scenarios considered for Mt. Etna. The "medium" (Br_{tot}/SO₂ = 7.4×10^{-4}) and "high" bromine (Br_{tot}/SO₂ = 2.4×10^{-3}) emission scenarios are shown alongside the lower bromine emission scenario of Br_{tot}/SO₂ = 4.8×10^{-4} , corresponding to the observations of Oppenheimer et al. (2006). Model chemistry scheme exludes BrNO₂ in these simulations, ie corresponds to speciation shown in Fig. 4a, c and e.







Fig. 6. Simulated plume chemistry over 3 h of plume evolution with varying volcanic emission flux. The effect of varying the rate of plume-air mixing is illustrated by simulations with varying (total) volcanic emission fluxes (baseline run, and with volcanic gas+aerosol emissions flux ×5 and ×10, shown by full-, long-dashed and short-dashed lines, respectively), whilst keeping the same plume dimensions (based on Pasquill-Gifford case D, at 10 ms⁻¹ windspeed). Thus a greater volcanic emission flux denotes a slower rate of plume-air mixing. Simulations with medium and high bromine emission scenarios with HSC initialisations using $V_A : V_M$ of 5 : 95 are presented. All model runs assume a volcanic aerosol loading of $10^{-11} \,\mu\text{m}^2 \,\text{molec}^{-1} \,\text{SO}_2$, formation of BrNO₂ excluded from the chemistry scheme, (upper) in-plume SO₂ concentration, (middle) BrO concentration, (lower) BrO/SO₂ ratios. Plume SO₂ and BrO (to a lesser extent) abundances increase with greater volcanic emission flux, in contrast to the BrO/SO₂ that shows more complex behaviour. A decrease in near-downwind BrO/SO₂ with increasing volcanic emission flux is highlighted by arrows.







Fig. 7. Simulated impact of plume BrO chemistry on atmospheric oxidants, shown for the model scenarios of Fig. 6. Depletion of oxidants and formation of NO_y is shown through the plume – background concentration difference for HO_x (OH + HO₂), NO_x (NO + NO₂), HNO₃, and ozone, as well as the area-integrated ozone loss across the 3h simulation.

