Dear Editor

Our manuscript acp-2013-860 entitled "Enhancement of aerosols in UTLS over the Tibetan Plateau induced by deep convection during the Asian summer monsoon" has been revised according to the three anonymous referee's comments.

We appreciated reviewer's suggestions and endeavor. Two new figures and more statements about the comparison of MPL with CALIOP and the reason of the continuous lidar observation split into two stages were added to the manuscript to support the conclusion. In particularly, the aerosols in UTLS influenced by Nabro volcano eruption were considered in this study and therefore the title of the manuscript is also changed to "Lidar-observed enhancement of aerosols in UTLS over the Tibetan Plateau during the Asian summer monsoon". Almost all reviewers' suggestions have been incorporated into the revised paper with the major revises highlighted.

In the following, we will give an item by item response to the three reviewers' comments.

Best wishes. Qianshan He

Referee report 1

This paper presents some continuous lidar measurements of aerosol profiles at a meteorological station over the Tibetan Plateau during August 2011. It is found that a maximum aerosol layer persistent above the tropopause and is anti-correlated with the tropopause temperature and satellite-derived OLR values. By this, the authors concluded that the aerosol layer is resulted from deep convection over the Tibetan Plateau during the Asian summer monsoon. This is a very interesting work and should be publishable in ACP if the following issues are addressed in the revised version.

1. The paper (Figure 2) shows that the day-to-day variations of the maximum aerosol extinction coefficient in 12 days are anti-correlated with the tropopause temperature, how are about the data between 12 Aug. and 22 Aug.?

R: We appreciated the reviewer's suggestions and endeavor. More explains are added in the 3rd paragraph of Section 3, as follow,

Between the two stages, the existence of low clouds decayed the lidar signal to the extent that no available aerosol layer observed in UTLS. Additionally, some cases with cirrus in upper troposphere might increase the retrieval error of extinction coefficient of above aerosol layer, which are also removed from the dataset.

2. The authors indicate that OLR values less than 200 Wm \Box 2 are indicative of deep convection, but only OLR values larger than 200 Wm-2 are shown in Figure 4. How can we know that deep convection actually occurred in those days? Please also provide more evidence to show such an aerosol layer is absent if no deep convection occurred.

R: In fact, the aerosol layer was always maintained in UTLS throughout the whole August due to the Nabro stratovolcano erupted on 13 June 2011, which injected plenty of SO2 to the upper troposphere, resulting in a large aerosol enhancement in the stratosphere as pointed out in the newly manuscript. OLR value, as an indicator of the organized deep convective activity in the troposphere, can also characterize the intensity of convective activity. Here we want to indicate that the increasing convective activity lift air of source regions to enhance aerosol layer in UTLS.

3. The aerosol data are obtained in cloud-free days, but OLR data reflect cloud top heights, how these two datasets are correlated?

R:As pointed out in Section 2.3, the horizontal resolution of OLR is 2.5 ° by 2.5 °, while the lidar is located on single point. The clouds in this rectangle region of 2.5 ° by 2.5 ° might provide available OLR data, which can be used to stand for convective activity near the lidar site.

4. The manuscript is generally well rewritten, but there are many wording or typo errors. The authors should check the whole text carefully.

R: We appreciated the reviewer's suggestions and endeavor. We have improved the presentation through the manuscript.

Referee report 2

Summary:

This paper examines lidar measurements at a meteorological station over the Tibetan Plateau during August 2011. A distinct aerosol layer in the UTLS above the tropopause is observed. The temporal variations of the aerosol layers are found to be correlated with the changes of deep convection strength. The authors conclude that deep convective transport is the primary mechanism for the enhancement of aerosols in the UTLS over the Tibetan Plateau.

While the suggested mechanism is very plausible, the supporting evidence based on very limited measurements does not substantiate the conclusion. I suggest the authors address my major concerns listed below before a publication can be considered.

1. Quality of the aerosol measurements by the Micro Pulse Lidar: A comparison of the surface lidar measurements with NASA CALIPSO measurements will help to validate your observations.

R: The reviewer's comments are very valuable. A new figure (Fig.2) and more statement are added in the manuscript (the second paragraph in Section 3) to compares the average extinction coefficient profile of MPL with that of CALIOP with the detailed CALIOP explain added in Section 2.3.

2. The correlation with convective indices: the authors used Tropopause temperature and OLR as two indices for deep convection strength. However, the samples are so limited (~12) that the correlations do not appear robust. For example, Figure 4 breaks up the 12 samples into two groups. The two separate correlations are high but the overall correlation for all 12 cases is very low. The authors explained the separation as two convective events although no evidence is provided how these two events are different. I suggest additional analysis of surface emission and low and mid-tropospheric cloud and aerosol conditions for the two events should be conducted.

R: We appreciated the reviewer's suggestions. We employ the daily variation in plateau monsoon index (PMI) to analyze the Tibetan Plateau monsoon variation, which is an indicator of the daily mean intensity of the Tibetan Plateau monsoon, and find that the two stages might be caused by the different circulation systems due to an apparent time interval of about 10 days with PMI undergoing a substantial oscillation, as shown in Fig.3. More explains about relation of the aerosol layer in UTLS with PMI are also added in the 3rd and 4th paragraph in Section 3.

3. The hourly variations of nigh-time aerosols: the authors showed that the night-time aerosols peak around mid-night and claimed this is a further proof of convective influence on the UTLS aerosols. I found this quite speculative. The paper by Nesbitt and Ziper (2003) discussed the development of MCS through the night over ocean. Over land,

rainfall cycle shows a maximum in the afternoon and a slowly decreasing trend through midnight. I suggest the authors look for further evidence of convective intensity change at night time. A possible source is the 3-hourly brightness temperature data from ISCCP, which will provide a diurnal variation of convective strength. TRMM precipitation may be useful, too, but I am afraid some deep convection systems do not produce rainfall over the Tibet.

R: We completely agree with reviewer's concerns. Indeed, the conclusion of the night-time aerosols peak around mid-night with only several documents support is deficient and farfetched. According to the reviewer's suggestion, we try to collect the 3-hourly brightness temperature data from ISCCP to look for further evidence of convective intensity change at night time, but find the data are only available before June 2008. Therefore, we decide to remove this paragraph and corresponding figure finally. More frequent sondes are planned to launch in the coming field experiment, which aim to provide more useful information about diurnal variation of convective strength.

4. To discount the other mechanism regarding the enlargement of pre-existing aqueous solution droplets, the authors imply there is a threshold value of water vapor for such aerosol growth. What is the threshold value of water vapor? The Vasisala radiosondes have a known low bias of water vapor mixing ratio in the UT. They don't provide a rigorous test of the "growth" theory.

R: We appreciated the reviewer's suggestions. A further explain about the threshold value of water vapor is added to the manuscript, as follow,

According to the calculated growth curves of liquid solutions as a function of temperature and water vapor, the high H_2O mixing ratios (more than 5 ppmv) are indispensable condition for producing high concentrations of fine particles near the tropopause.

Additionally, more discusses about the accuracy of water vapor mixing ratio from Vasisala radiosondes are added in the Section 2.2 of this manuscript. We compare RS92 RH measurements with simultaneous water vapor measurements from CFH on 13 August 2011. After applying the time-lag and solar radiation bias corrections, corrected RS92 RH measurements show agreement with CFH in the troposphere.

The paper is generally well written, but there are a number of grammar errors, which I

will defer to the second review if a revised manuscript is submitted and all the major

concerns are addressed adequately.

R: We appreciated the reviewer's suggestions and endeavor. We have improved the presentation through the manuscript.

Interactive comment on "Enhancement of aerosols in UTLS over the

Tibetan Plateau induced by deep convection during the Asian

summer monsoon" by P. Seifert

R: We agree completely with reviewer's concerns and more new references and briefly introduction about the Nabro aerosol observations have been cited in Section 1. More importantly, we employ lidar ratio of 50 sr to calculate the extinction coefficient of aerosol layer and discuss further the fact that the aerosol layers wore off gradually with the reducing intensity of the Asian monsoon over the Tibetan Plateau at the end of August.

Lidar-observed enhancement of aerosols in UTLS over 1 Plateau during the the Tibetan Asian 2 summer monsoon 3 4 5 Q. S. He¹, C. C. Li², J. Z. Ma³, H. Q. Wang⁴, X. L.Yan³, Z. R. Liang¹, and G. M. 6 Qi⁵ 7 8 ¹Shanghai Meteorological Service, Shanghai, China ²Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, School of Physics, Peking 9 University, Beijing, China 10 ³Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences, Beijing, China 11 ⁴College of Environmental Science and Engineering, Donghua University, Shanghai, 12 China 13 ⁵Germu Meteorological Bureau, Qinghai, China 14 15 Correspondence to: C. Li (ccli@pku.edu.cn) 16 Abstract. 17 Vertical profiles of aerosol extinction coefficients were measured by an Micro Pulse 18 Lidar at Naqu (31.5 N, 92.1 E, 4508m a.m.s.l.), a meteorological station located on 19 the central part of the Tibetan Plateau during summer 2011. Observations show a 20 persistent maximum in aerosol extinction coefficients in the upper troposphere-lower 21 stratosphere (UTLS). These aerosol layers were generally located at an altitude of 22 18–19 km a.m.s.l., 1–2 km higher than the tropopause, with broad layer depth ranging 23 approximately 3-4 km and scattering ratio of 4-9. The aerosol layers in UTLS wore 24 off gradually with the reducing intensity of the Asian monsoon over the Tibetan 25 Plateau at the end of August. Aerosols in UTLS over the plateau appear to be 26 influenced by Nabro volcano eruption on 13 June 2011. Variations in these aerosols 27 are also found to be closely related to the intensity of underlying deep convection, 28 indicating that deep convection plays an important role in the accumulation of 29 aerosols in UTLS over the Tibetan Plateau. 30 31 **1** Introduction 32

Aerosols in the upper troposphere–lower stratosphere (UTLS) play an important

role in the global/regional climate system and the geochemical cycle (Hanson et al.,

1994; Borrmann et al., 1997; Solomon et al., 1997). They also influence atmospheric 1 ozone budgets through providing surface areas for efficient heterogeneous reactions 2 (Keimet al., 1996; Solomon, 1999). Using the Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas 3 Experiment II(SAGE II) data, Li et al. (2001) found that aerosol concentrations near 4 100 hPa are higher over the Tibetan Plateau than over China's central and northern 5 regions in summer. Recent observations by balloon-borne optical particle counter 6 (Tobo et al., 2007) and aircraft-borne measurements (Keim et al., 1996; Solomon, 7 8 1997) showed that soot-containing liquid aerosols with the major components of fine particles may also affect the aerosol layer near the tropopause. Appearance of cold 9 tropopause in the upper troposphere (possibly in the lower stratosphere also) has been 10 considered as an important factor to explain the enhancement of tropopause aerosols 11 observed in summer over the Plateau (Kim et al., 2003). This observational fact is 12 important from the point view of heterogeneous reactions on aerosol surfaces since 13 gas-to-particle conversion processes are generally more active in low temperature. 14 During summer, the elevated surface heating and rising air associated with persistent 15 16 deep convection over the Tibetan Plateau leads to anticyclonic circulation and divergence in the UTLS(Yanai et al., 1992; Hoskins and Rodwell, 1995; Highwood 17 and Hoskins, 1998), where persistently enhanced pollutants such as aerosols, CO, 18 methane and nitrogen oxides, as well as water vapor, can be linked to the rapid 19 vertical transport of surface air from Asia, India and Indonesia in deep convection and 20 confinement by strong anticyclonic circulation (Rosenlof et al., 1997; Jackson et al., 21 1998; Dethof et al., 1999; Park et al., 2004; Filipiak et al., 2005; Li et al., 2005a; Fu et 22 al., 2006). 23

Volcanic eruption, though as occasional event, can inject amounts of ash and sulfur dioxide (SO₂) into the stratosphere, and the injected SO₂ was oxidized to sulfuric acid particles through homogeneous nucleation (Wu et al., 1994). The Nabro stratovolcano in Eritrea, northeastern Africa, erupted on 13 June 2011, injecting approximately 1.3 teragrams of SO₂ to altitudes of 9 to 14 kilometers in the upper troposphere, which resulted in a large aerosol enhancement in the stratosphere(Bourassa et al., 2012). This event has been observed by lidar networks

such as EARLINET, MPLNET and NDACC with independent lidar groups and 1 satellite Cloud–Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observations 2 (CALIPSO) to track the evolution of the stratospheric aerosol layer in various parts of 3 the globe (Uchino et al., 2012; Sawamura et al., 2013), and other instruments, such as 4 the Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer (Clarisse et al., 2013) and the 5 ground-based spectrometry of twilight sky brightness (Tukiainen et al., 2013). 6 Bourassa et al. (2012) found that the aerosol enhancement built while remaining 7 8 confined for several weeks to the region between central Asia and the Middle East after eruption of Nabro volcano using the limb scanning Optical Spectrograph and 9 Infra-Red Imaging System (OSIRIS) satellite instrument. 10

The aerosols from Nabro eruption might overlap with the background tropopause 11 aerosols in summer, changing their properties and evolvement in UTLS over the 12 **Plateau.** A clarification of the mechanisms that aerosols transport into and disperse 13 out of the UTLS over the Plateau is an important step toward understanding 14 tropospheric influences on hydration and chemical composition in the global 15 16 stratosphere. Knowing the height dependence of the aerosol changes is important for understanding the mechanisms responsible for the transport of aerosols from the 17 troposphere to the stratosphere over the Tibetan Plateau; however, a variety of aerosol 18 vertical distributions and optical properties over the Tibetan Plateau has not been 19 assessed in a satisfactory manner due to lack of continuous direct observations. 20

The vertical distributions of aerosol extinction coefficients were measured over 21 the Tibetan Plateau in the summer of 2011, as part of the project "Tibetan Ozone, 22 Aerosol and Radiation" (TOAR). In this study, the lidar and radiosonde measurement 23 results are presented and compared with satellite data. We find a persistent maximum 24 in aerosol extinction coefficients in the UTLS within the anticyclone, and show that 25 such aerosol accumulation can be linked to the eruption of Nabro volcano and the 26 development of the Tibetan deep convective systems. These results indicate that 27 volcanic aerosol dispersed with the weakening of Tibetan anticyclonic circulation and 28 deep convection could primarily affect aerosol and hence radiation properties near the 29 tropopause over the Tibetan Plateau. 30

2 2 Measurements and Data

3 2.1 Micro Pulse Lidar

4 An Micro Pulse Lidar (MPL-4B, Sigma Space Corp., USA) was operated at the Naqu Meteorological Bureau (31.5 N, 92.1 E, 4508m a.m.s.l.) on the central part of 5 the Tibetan Plateau. The MPL is a backscatter lidar which uses an Nd:YLF laser with 6 an output power of 12 µJ at 532nm and 2500 Hz repetition rate. The diameter of the 7 8 receiving telescope is 20 cm, and the field of view is 0.1 mrad. The vertical resolution of the lidar observation is 30m, and the integration time is 30 s. Data obtained on the 9 cloud-free days during nighttime were selected in order to avoid the disturbance of 10 cloud and/or rain to column-averaged lidar ratio and solar noise. 11

In general, the inversion of the LIDAR profile is based on the solution of thesingle scattering LIDAR equation:

14
$$P(r) = O_c(r)CE\frac{\beta(r)}{r^2}\exp[-2\int_0^r \sigma(z)dz]$$
 (1)

15 where r is the range, C is the LIDAR constant, which incorporates the transmission and the detection efficiency, and E is the laser pulse energy. $\beta(r)$ represents the total 16 backscattering coefficient $\beta(r) = \beta_m(r) + \beta_a(r)$, $\sigma(r)$ is the total extinction coefficient 17 $\sigma(r) = \sigma_m(r) + \sigma_a(r)$, $\beta_a(r)$ and $\sigma_a(r)$ are aerosol backscattering and extinction 18 coefficients, respectively. $\beta_m(r)$ and $\sigma_m(r)$ are molecular contributions to the 19 backscattering and the extinction coefficients, respectively. They can be evaluated by 20 the Rayleigh-scattering theory from the Standard Atmosphere 1976 (NASA, 1976). 21 But here the molecular extinction coefficients are evaluated using temperature and 22 23 pressure from the radiosondes released at the lidar field site twice a day. $O_{\rm c}(r)$ is the overlap correction as a function of the range caused by field-of-view conflicts in the 24 transceiver system. Systematic errors of P(r) were mainly observed in the lowest 25 altitudes where an incomplete overlap between the emitted laser beam and the 26 telescope field-of-view can led to an underestimation of aerosol backscatter and 27 extinction coefficients. Since the majority of aerosols are contained in the first several 28 kilometers of the atmosphere, the overlap problem must be solved. Overlap is 29

typically solved experimentally, using techniques outlined by Campbell et al. (2002).
The starting point is an averaged data sample where the system is pointed horizontally
with no obscuration. By choosing a time when the atmosphere is well mixed, such as
late afternoon, or, even better, when the aerosol loading is low, backscattering through
the layer is roughly assumed to be constant with range (i.e., the target layer is
assumed to be homogeneous). The similar overlap calibration was carried out at the
beginning of this field experiment.

8 The vertical profile of aerosol extinction coefficient σ_a is determined by a near end approach in solving the lidar equation as proposed by Fernald (1984). Considered 9 the period of TOAR campaign was only two months after eruption of Nabro volcano, 10 volcanic aerosols were still freshly nucleated particles with small size. The lidar ratios 11 should therefore feature rather high (Müller et al., 2007). Sawamura et al. (2013) 12 employed the mean lidar ratio value of 50 sr at 532 nm for most groups of global lidar 13 networks to trace the evolution of the stratospheric aerosol layer from Nabro volcano 14 eruption. Therefore, a column averaged lidar ratio of 50 sr is assumed for all 15 measurement examples in this study. 16

17 We identify the boundaries of aerosol layer in the UTLS from the lidar extinction 18 coefficient profiles. The lowest bin with $\sigma_a=0.002 \text{ km}^{-1}$ above 18 km is identified as 19 the top of aerosol layer H_t and the bin with minimum value of σ_s between 10 km and 20 16 km as the layer base H_b. The visible optical depth of the aerosol layer is derived by 21 integrating the values of σ_a between H_b and H_t.

22 **2.**

2.2 Radiosonde Observations

During the field campaigns, 76 L-band (GTS1) electronic radiosondes (Nanjing Bridge Machinery Co., Ltd., China) were launched to provide vertical profiles of pressure, temperature, and humidity up to 25 km to 30 km high. The radiosondes were released at the lidar field site in Naqu twice a day at 0000 and 1200 UTC.

Eleven weather balloons with Vaisala RS92 radiosondes (Vömel et al., 2007) have been launched to provide profiles of air temperature, relative humidity RH, wind speed and wind direction usually up to the mid stratosphere. The RH can be measured between 0 and 100% with a resolution of 1% and an accuracy of 5% at -50 °C

(Miloshevich et al., 2006; Währn et al., 2004). While Miloshevich et al. (2009) found 1 that the RH measured by RS92 has a moist bias in the lower stratosphere (LS) and a 2 dry bias in the upper troposphere (UT). The Cryogenic Frostpoint Hygrometer (CFH) 3 is a lightweight (400 g) microprocessor-controlled instrument and operates on the 4 chilled-mirror principle using a cryogenic liquid as cooling agent. It includes several 5 improvements over the similar NOAA/CMD instrument. It is currently designed to be 6 combined with ozone sondes to provide simultaneous profiles of water vapor and 7 8 ozone(V ömel et al., 2007). CFH has been taken in many inter comparison experiment as an absolute reference for water vapor measurements, including the validation of 9 Aura MLS water vapor products. We compared RS92 RH measurements with 10 simultaneous water vapor measurements from CFH on 13 August 2011. After 11 applying the time-lag and solar radiation bias corrections, corrected RS92 RH 12 13 measurements show agreement with CFH in the troposphere. The mean difference between corrected RS92 RH measurements and CFH is a dry bias of 2.9% in the 14 ground layer, while the mean differences in 5-10 km, 10-15 km and tropopause 15 transition layer region are 1%, 0.6% and 1.4% moist bias, respectively. Therefore, the 16 accuracy of corrected RS92 RH measurements is comparable to the accuracy of CFH 17 in the UTLS (Yan, 2012). 18 19 2.3 Satellite Observations The Cloud–Aerosol Lidar with Orthogonal Polarization (CALIOP) onboard 20

CALIPSO (Winker et al., 2003), is used to characterize aerosol extinction profiles in 21 the UTLS, which is a three-channel (532 nm parallel, 532 nm perpendicular, 1064 nm) 22 elastic lidar receiving light at the same wavelength as the emitted laser frequency. 23 24 CALIOP sends short and intense pulses (1064 and 532 nm) of linearly polarized laser light downward towards the Earth. The atmospheric backscatter profile is retrieved at 25 60 m vertical resolution from 8–20 km with a horizontal resolution of 1 km. The 26 Level 2 aerosol extinctions at 532nm of CALIOP (version 3.0) were used to compared 27 with the ground based MPL on the Plateau, which can be obtained at 28 http://www-calipso.larc.nasa.gov/tools/data_avail/. CALIOP data are selected over a 29

30 300 km x 300 km square with a MPL location in its center.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) satellites provide an 1 outgoing longwave radiation (OLR) product for the top of the atmosphere. OLR data 2 are calculated on a daily basis by the Climate Diagnostic Center (CDC), a division of 3 NOAA (Liebmann and Smith 1996). The horizontal resolution is 2.5° by 2.5°. 4 Missing values are computed by applying spatial and temporal interpolations. The 5 OLR in this data set is calculated by converting 10 µm to 12 µm channel radiances 6 measured by the Advanced High Resolution Radiometer aboard the NOAA 7 8 operational polar orbiting satellites. The daily mean is the average of one daytime and 9 one nighttime measurement. The OLR emitted by high, cold, deep convective clouds is much lower than that by warm low clouds or by the Earth's surface. Usually, values 10 of less than 200 W m⁻² indicate deep convection (Fujiwara et al., 2009). Deep 11 convection, in turn, indicates the regions with extensive lifting of air that may act as 12 source regions for aerosol layer. 13

We used the water vapor profiles observations (version 3.3) from the Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) on the NASA Aura satellite (Waters et al., 2006). Aura MLS measurements include water vapor, ozone and carbon monoxide that are useful tracers of tropospheric and stratospheric air; these data have been used to document enhanced levels of carbon monoxide in the upper troposphere over the Asian monsoon (Li et al., 2005a; Filipiak et al., 2005) and also over the North American summer monsoon (Li et al., 2005b).

21

22 3 Results

Fig.1 show the vertical profiles of aerosol Scattering Ratios (SR) measured at 23 Naqu during 6–26 August 2011, along with the daily mean profiles of temperature. 24 The measurements display relatively high aerosol extinction coefficients in the UTLS, 25 which are 4-9 factors higher than those at altitudes below and close to (even higher 26 than, such as on 6 and 12 August) molecular scattering coefficients at the same 27 altitude. Compared with SR profiles of Nabro volcanic aerosol from MPLNET, 28 EARLINET, NDACC and Hefei stations during June and July (Sawamura et al., 29 2013), the maximum SR of aerosol layers in UTLS over the Tibetan Plateau are 30

1	similar to that over Universitat Polit enica de Catalunya, Barcelona, Spain (41.39 N,
2	2.11 °E) as one of EARLINET stations but larger than the other observations. Table 1
3	listed some statistical parameters of aerosol layer over Tibet and Shanghai (31.23 N,
4	121.53 °E) for the same period. The highest aerosol extinction coefficients in the
5	UTLS over the Tibetan Plateau generally located at 18-19 km altitudes, which are 1-2
6	km higher than the tropopause. Tropopause temperatures ranged from -70 $^{\circ}{ m C}$ to
7	-80 °C, and the height of the tropopause varied from 80 hPa to 100 hPa (from 17 km
8	to 18 km), during the observational period. Moreover, such relatively high aerosol
9	extinction coefficients could extend over broad layers, ranging approximately 3-4 km.
10	The CALIOP aerosol extinction coefficients are available over UTLS for 12, 13,
11	18 and 20 August. Fig.2 compares the average extinction coefficient profile of MPL
12	with that of CALIOP and shows a good agreement between the two instruments in
13	both aerosol layers altitude and the value of extinction coefficient. In particularly, the
14	MPL profiles show less standard errors at each vertical resolution altitude possibly
15	due to the good signal-to-noise ratio of MPL observed at the high altitude of the lidar
16	station and clear atmospheric environment over the Tibetan Plateau.
17	According to the period of occurrence of aerosol layers in UTLS, the continuous
18	lidar observation can be split into two stages: 6 to 12 (S1) and 22 to 26 (S2) August
19	2011 for the continuous maintenance stages of aerosol layer. Between the two stages,
20	the existence of low clouds decayed the lidar signal to the extent that no available
21	aerosol layer observed in UTLS. Additionally, some cases with cirrus in upper
22	troposphere might increase the retrieval error of extinction coefficient of above
23	aerosol layer, which are also removed from the dataset. Fig.3. shows the daily
24	variation in plateau monsoon index (PMI) and the seven-day averaged PMI time
25	series from 1 July to 31 August 2011, with an overlap of cirrus occurrence (He et al.
26	2013) and aerosol optical depth (AOD) in UTLS. PMI is an indicator of the daily
27	mean intensity of the Tibetan Plateau monsoon. A larger PMI value indicates stronger
28	monsoon in summer, which can be determined as follows (Tang et al. 1984):
29	$\mathbf{PMI} = \mathbf{H}_{1} + \mathbf{H}_{2} + \mathbf{H}_{3} + \mathbf{H}_{4} - 4\mathbf{H}_{0} \textbf{(2)}$

where H is the daily deviation from the monthly mean geo-potential height at 600 hPa.

(80 E, 32.5 N), South (90 E, 25 N), East (100 E, 32.5 N) and North (90 E, 40 N) of 2 the Plateau, respectively. 3 Many researchers have adopted the PMI to analyze the Tibetan Plateau monsoon 4 variation. It is concluded that the index can reasonably describe the main 5 characteristics of the Tibetan Plateau monsoon (e.g., Bai et al. 2001; Bai et al. 2005; 6 Xun et al. 2011). These two stages might be caused by the different circulation 7 8 systems due to an apparent time interval of about 10 days with PMI undergoing a substantial oscillation. During the first stage (from 6 to 12 August 2011), when the 9 AOD decreased from 6 to 7 August and increased from 8 to 12 August, the values of 10 PMI experienced an increasing trend from -20 on 6 August to 63 on 12 August. The 11 values sharply decreased to below -40 in the second stage with the low and 12 continuous decreasing AOD over UTLS from 22 to 26 August 2011. Two obvious 13 features can be found in the temporal variation of AOD: (i) AOD showed a decreasing 14 trend companied by decreasing PMI during the campaign period, indicating that the 15 aerosol layers wore off gradually with the reducing intensity of the Asian monsoon 16 over the Tibetan Plateau at the end of August. Bourassa et al. (2012) found that the 17 strong Asian monsoon anticyclone, which existed from June through September over 18 Asia and the Middle East, where the Nabro volcanic aerosol was observed with 19 OSIRIS, and the enhanced aerosol dispersed and quickly circulated throughout the 20 Northern Hemisphere at the end of August, when the Asian monsoon anticyclone 21 began to decay. And (ii) when the intensity of the Tibetan Plateau monsoon 22 circulation subsided to PMI less than 0, the AOD in UTLS kept persistent decline 23 regardless of the variation trend of PMI, indicating that confinement of the air in the 24 lower stratosphere induced by Asian monsoon anticyclone were destroyed to benefit 25 the enhanced aerosol dispersing to the whole Northern Hemisphere. 26

The subscript numbers 0 to 4 indicate the location of the Center (90 E, 32.5 N), West

1

In these cases, there were interesting temporal change in maximum extinction coefficients of the aerosol layer and the tropopause temperatures, as shown in Fig.4. The maximum extinction coefficients appear to be anti-correlated with the tropopause temperatures. The aerosol extinction coefficient usually increases with decreasing

tropopause temperature along the time series. The maximum extinction coefficient of
these cases is 12.0 m⁻¹ on 12 August, when the tropopause temperature is -76.0 °C,
being the lowest compared with the other cases. The minimum extinction coefficient
of these cases is 4.4 m⁻¹ on 26 August, corresponding to the highest tropopause
temperature of -72.3 °C.

There are two possible ways for the decreasing tropopause temperatures to affect 6 the enhancement of high aerosol extinction. One way is the low temperatures due to 7 8 adiabatic cooling of ascending air parcels induced by deep convective activities, which result in the direct transportation of natural and/or anthropogenic emissions 9 from the troposphere over the Tibetan Plateau. The decreasing temperature at the 10 tropopause is generally associated with the enhancement in deep convective activities 11 over the Tibetan Plateau. The low OLR has been treated as an indicator of the 12 organized deep convective activity in the troposphere (Fujiwara et al., 2009). Fig.5 13 compares nighttime mean maximum aerosol extinction coefficients in UTLS with the 14 OLR convection proxy over the Tibetan Plateau in August 2011. The enhancement of 15 aerosols near the tropopause appears to be well correlated with the changes in $OLR(r^2)$ 16 = 0.77) without evidence of substantial time lags. Between the two stages, the 17 existence of low clouds in those days might obstruct significantly adiabatic cooling of 18 ascending air parcels and vertical transportation of aerosols in the lower troposphere, 19 20 resulting in different variation of aerosol layer with intensity of deep convective activity in the two continuous maintenance stages of aerosol layer. The relationships 21 22 of the aerosol extinction coefficient and OLR in two continuous stages are also shown in Fig. 5 with correlation coefficient of 0.78 and 0.86 for S1 and S2, respectively, 23 24 suggesting the possibility that the tropopause aerosol enhancement associates with the 25 upward transport of aerosols in deep convective systems over the Tibetan Plateau. The overshooting deep convection could directly influence the aerosol concentration 26 at this level. Using daily NCEP data and monthly SAGE data, Cong et al. (2001) 27 calculated the inter annual change of the aerosol and ozone in 100 hPa, and they 28 proposed that the atmospheric masses passing over the tropopause over the Tibet 29 Plateau and its neighboring areas might possibly carry the aerosol particles of middle 30 10

or lower troposphere into the vicinity of the tropopause and result in an increase of the 1 aerosol loading near the tropopause. Yin et al. (2012) used a cloud resolving model 2 coupled with a spectral bin microphysical scheme to investigate the effects of deep 3 convection on the concentration and size distribution of aerosol particles within the 4 upper troposphere, and found that aerosols originating from the boundary layer can be 5 more efficiently transported upward, as compared to those from the mid-troposphere, 6 due to significantly increased vertical velocity through the reinforced homogeneous 7 freezing of droplets. Bourassa et al. (2012) also found a large stratospheric optical 8 depth enhancement after the Nabro eruption over the Tibetan Plateau located on the 9 eastern side of the Asiatic monsoon circulation, where vertical transport to 10 stratospheric altitudes is particularly effective (Park et al., 2007). 11

The other way for the decreasing tropopause temperatures to affect the 12 enhancement of high aerosol extinction is the enlargement of pre-existing and/or 13 vertically transported aqueous solution droplets induced by adiabatic cooling and 14 hydration of the air associated with deep convection. It has been verified that deep 15 16 convection over the Tibetan Plateau is likely to be a primary pathway for water vapor from the maritime boundary layer (e.g., Indian Ocean, South China Sea). Dessler and 17 Sherwood (2004) have also suggested that convective transport plays a key role for 18 the accumulation of water vapor near the tropopause, resulting in an increase of H₂O 19 mixing ratio by more than 5 ppmv near the tropopause (Gettelman et al., 2002; Park et 20 al., 2004; Fu et al., 2006). But Tobo et al. (2007) used a growth model to calculate the 21 22 possible growth under given atmospheric conditions assuming the existence of liquid solutions at equilibrium with respect to H₂O, H₂SO₄ and HNO₃, and found that 23 aerosol growth is sensitive to H_2O mixing ratios. According to the calculated growth 24 curves of liquid solutions as a function of temperature and water vapor, the high H_2O 25 mixing ratios (more than 5 ppmv) are indispensable condition for producing high 26 concentrations of fine particles near the tropopause. In fact, the H_2O mixing ratios 27 near the tropopause and aerosol layer from Vaisala RS92 radiosondes released in 6, 8 28 11 and 23 August 2011 are not more than 2 ppmv, obviously less than the previous 29 observations, as shown in Fig. 6. In consequence, the effects of gas-to-particle 30 11 conversion from liquid solutions would likely be secondary to the enhancement of
 high tropopause aerosol extinction in these cases.

3 The continuous variation of water vapor distribution observed by satellite, despite lower vertical resolution, might also be used to investigate the contribution of liquid 4 solutions conversion to the enhancement of high tropopause aerosol extinction. Fig.7 5 6 shows the time series of water vapor profile derived from MLS, tropopause level from sounder temperature profiles, and the altitude of daily mean maximum aerosol 7 8 extinction coefficients in this region. It can be clearly seen that almost all the 9 abundant water vapor transported by deep convective systems are concentrated below 120 hPa altitude (about 15 km). Meanwhile, the temporal correlation of extinction 10 coefficients in aerosol layer with water vapor from day to day is weak with correlation 11 coefficient of 0.36, suggesting that it is impossible that the enhanced tropopause 12 13 aerosol is due to the condensation of water vapor.

14

15 4 Conclusion

In this study, we observed significantly increased aerosol extinction coefficients in UTLS over the Tibetan Plateau by continuous measurements with MPL during summer 2011. The retrieval of MPL showed a good agreement with CALIOP. The maximum SR of aerosol layers, up to 4-9, in the UTLS generally located in 18–19 km m.s.l., 1–2 km higher than the tropopause, with broad layer depth ranging approximately 3–4 km.

Even though the aerosol layers in UTLS wore off gradually with the reducing 22 intensity of the Asian monsoon over the Tibetan Plateau at the end of August, the 23 24 variation of maximum extinction coefficient of aerosol layers were found to be connected to the local OLR convection proxy. In addition to the eruption of Nabro 25 volcano, appearance of deep transport from the most intense convection is considered 26 to be important factors to explain the enhancement of tropopause aerosols observed in 27 summer over the Tibetan Plateau. Deficiency in water vapor in UTLS indicates that 28 the effects of gas-to-particle conversion from liquid solutions would likely be 29 secondary to the enhancement of high tropopause aerosol extinction in these cases. 30

It is must be noted that our interpretations are based on a short time observation. It is difficult to conclude that either one of the two processes is dominant due to lack of observations for trace gases. If further observations with more frequent soundings of water vapor and trace gases can be performed to investigate a correlation of high aerosol extinction with ambient temperatures, water vapor, trace gases, liquid solutions and transport processes, the result will be helpful in validating origination and mechanism of the enhanced aerosol extinction in UTLS.

8

Acknowledgements. This study was supported by Special Funds for Meteorological Research in the
Public Interest (Grant Numbers: GYHY201106023, GYHY201006047), the National Natural Science
Foundation of China (NSFC, Grant Numbers: 40705013, 40975012 and41175020), the Shanghai
Science and Technology Committee Research Special Funds (Grant Number: 10JC1401600). We thank
all TOAR team members and the staff from the Tibet Meteorological Service for assisting our
experiment work. The authors gratefully acknowledge the NOAA/OAR/ESRL PSD, Boulder, Colorado,
USA, for providing the interpolated OLR data on their web site http://www.cdc.noaa.gov/.

16

17 **References**

Bai, H. Z., Xie, J. N., and Li, D. L.: The principal feature of Qinghai-Xizang Plateau monsoon variation in 40
years, Plateau Meteor., 20, 22–27, 2001.

Bai, H. Z., Ma, Z. F., and Dong, W. J.: Relationship between Qinghai-Xizang Plateau region monsoon features and
 abnormal climate in china, Plateau Meteor., 16, 484–491, 2005.

22 Bourassa, A., Robock, A., Randel, W., Deshler, T., Rieger, L., Lloyd, N., Llewellyn, E., and Degenstein, D.: Large

Volcanic Aerosol Load in the Stratosphere Linked to Asian Monsoon Transport, Science, 337,
 doi:10.1126/science.1219371, 2012

- Borrmann, S., Solomon, S., Avallone, L., Toohey, D., and Baumgardner, D.: On the occurrence of CIO in cirrus
 clouds and volcanic aerosol in the t ropopause region, Geophys. Res. Lett., 24(16), 2011- 2014, 1997.
- 27 Campbell, J. R., Hlavka, D. L., Welton, E. J., Flynn, C. J., Turner, D. D., Spinhirne, J. D., Scott, V. S., and
- Hwange, I. H.: Full-time, eve-safe cloud and aerosol lidar observation at Atmospheric Radiation Measurement
- 29 program sites: Instruments and data processing, J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol., 19, 431 442,
- 30
 doi:10.1175/1520-0426(2002)019<0431:FTESCA>2.0.CO;2., 2002.
- Clarisse, L., Coheur, P. F., Theys, N., Hurtmans, D., and Clerbaux, C.: The 2011 Nabro eruption, a SO2 plume
 height analysis using IASI measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 13, 31161-31196,
 doi:10.5194/acpd-13-31161-2013, 2013.
- Cong, C. H., Li, W. L., and Zhou, X. J.: Atmospheric mass exchange between the troposphere stratosphere over
 the Tibetan Plateau and its neighboring regions, Science Bulletin, 46(22), 1914-1918, 2001.
- 36 Dessler, A. E. and Sherwood, S. C.: Effect of convection on the summertime extra tropical lower stratosphere, J.
- **37** Geophys. Res., 109, D23301, doi:10.1029/2004JD005209, 2004.
- 38 Dethof, A., O'Neill, A., Slingo, J. M., and Smit, H. G. J.: A mechanism for moistening the lower stratosphere
- 39 involving the Asian summer monsoon, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 125, 1079–1106, 1999.
- 40 Fernald, F. G.: Analysis of atmospheric lidar observations: Some comments, Appl. Opt., 23(5), 652–653, 1984.
- 41 Filipiak, M. J., Harwood, R. S., Jiang, J. H., Li, Q., Livesey, N. J., Manney, G. L., Read, W. G., Schwartz, M. J.,

- 1 Waters, J. W., and Wu, D. L.: Carbon monoxide measured by the EOS Microwave Limb Sounder on Aura: First
- 2 results, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L14825, doi:10.1029/2005GL022765, 2005.
- 3 Fu, R., Hu, Y., Wright, J. S., Jiang, J. H., Dickinson, R. E., Chen, M., Filipiak, M., Read, W. G., Waters, J. W., and
- 4 Wu, D.: Short circuit of water vapor and polluted air to the global stratosphere by convective transport over the
- 5 Tibetan Plateau, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 103,5664–5669, 2006.
- 6 Fujiwara, M., Iwasaki, S., Shimizu, A., Inai, Y., Shiotani, M., Hasebe, F., Matsui, I., Sugimoto, N., Okamoto, H.,
- 7 Nishi, N., Hamada, A., Sakazaki, T., and Yoneyama, K.: Cirrus observations in the tropical tropopause layer over
- 8 the western Pacific, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D09304, doi:10.1029/2008JD011040, 2009.
- 9 Gettelman, A., Salby, M. L., and Sassi, F.: Distribution and influence of convection in the tropical tropopause
- 10 region, J. Geophys. Res., 107(D10), 4080, doi:10.1029/2001JD001048, 2002.
- 11 Hanson, D. R., Ravishankara, A. R., Solomon, S.: Heterogeneous react ions in sulfuric acid aerosols: A framework
- 12 for model calculations, J. Geophys. Res., 99(D2), 3615- 3629, 1994.
- 13 He, Q., C., Li, J., Ma, H., Wang, G., Shi, Z., Liang, Q., Luan, F., Geng, and X., Zhou: The properties and
- 14 formation of cirrus clouds over the Tibetan Plateau based on summertime lidar measurements. J. Atmos. Sci.
- doi:10.1175/JAS-D-12-0171.1, 70, 901-915, 2013.
- 16 Highwood, E. J. and Hoskins, B. J.: The tropical tropopause, Q. J. R. Meterol. Soc., 124, 1579–1604 ,1998.
- Hoskins, B. J. and Rodwell, M. J.: A model of the Asian summer monsoon. Part I: The global scale, J. Atmos. Sci.,
 52, 1329–1340, 1995.
- 19 Jackson, D. R., Driscoll, S. J., Highwood, E. J., Harries, J. E., and Russell, J. M.: Troposphere to stratosphere
- transport at low latitudes as studied using HALOE observations of water vapour 1992–1997, Q. J. R. Meteorol.
 Soc., 124, 169–192, 1998.
- 22 Keim, E. R., Fahey, D. W., Delnegro, L. A., Woodbridge, E. L., Gao, R. S., Wennberg, P. O., Cohen, R. C.,
- 23 Stimpfle, R. M., Kelly, K. K., Hintsa, E. J., Wilson, J. C., Jonsson, H. H., Dye, J. E., Baumgardner, D., Kaw, S. R.,
- 24 Salawitch, R. J., Proffitt, M. H., Loewenstein, M., Podolske, J. R., and Chan, K. R.: Observations of large
- reductions in the NO/NOy ratio near the mid-latitude tropopause and the role of heterogeneous chemistry,
 Geophys. Res. Lett., 23, 3223-3226, 1996.
- 27 Kim, Y. S., Shibata, T., Iwasaka, Y., Shj, G., Zhou, X., Tamuraa, K., and Ohashi, T.: Enhancement of Aerosols
- 28 near The Cold Tropopause in Summer over Tibetan Plateau: Lidar and Balloon-borne measurements in 1999 at
- 29 Lhasa, Tibet, China, in: Lidar Remote Sensing for Industry and Environment Monitoring III, edited by: Singh U.
- 30 N., Itabe, T., and Liu, Z., Proceedings of SPIE, Hangzhou, China, 4893, 496-503, 2003.
- Li, Q., Jiang, J., Wu, D., Read, W., Livesey, N., Waters, J., Zhang, Y., Wang, B., Filipiak, M., Davis,
 C., Turquety, S., and Wu, S.: Convective outflow of South Asian pollution: A global CTM simulation compared
- 33 with EOS MLS observations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L14826, doi:10.1029/2005GL022762, 2005a
- Li, Q., Jacob, D., Park, R., Wang, Y., Heald, C., Hudman, R., Yantosca, R., Martin, R., and Evans, M.: North
- 35 American pollution outflow and the trapping of convectively lifted pollution by upper-level anticyclone, J.
- 36 Geophys. Res., 110, D10301 doi:10.1029/2004JD005039, 2005b.
- Li, W. L. and Yu, S. M.: The characteristics of aerosol spatial and temporal distribution, radiation forcing and
 climate effect by numerical simulation over the Tibetan Plateau, Sci. in China (Series D), 31(Supp.), 300-307,
 2001.
- 40 Liebmann, B. and Smith, C. A.: Description of a complete (interpolated) outgoing longwave radiation dataset, Bull.
- 41 Am. Meteorol. Soc., 77, 1275–1277, 1996.
- 42 Miloshevich, L. M., Vömel, H., Whiteman, D. N., Lesht, B. M., Schmidlin, F. J., and Russo, F.: Absolute accuracy
- 43 of water vapor measurements from six operational radiosonde types launched during AWEX-G and implications
- 44 for AIRS validation, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D09S10, doi: 10.1029/2005JD006083, 2006.

- 1 Miloshevich, L., Vömel, H., Whiteman, D. N., and Leblanc, T.: Accuracy assessment and correction of Vaisala
- 2 RS92 radiosonde water vapor measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 114(D11), doi: 10.1029/2008JD011565, 2009.
- 3 Müller, D., Ansmann, A., Mattis, I., Tesche, M., Wandinger, U., Althausen, D., and Pisani, G.:
- 4 Aerosol-type-dependent lidar ratios observed with Raman lidar, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D16202,
- 5 doi:10.1029/2006JD008292, 2007.
- 6 NASA: U.S. Standard Atmosphere Supplements, U.S. Govt. Print. Off., Washington, D.C., 1976.
- 7 Park, M., Randel, W. J., Gettelman, A., Massie, S. T., and Jiang, J. H.: Transport above the Asian summer
- 8 monsoon anticyclone inferred from Aura Microwave Limb Sounder tracers, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D16309,
- **9** doi:10.1029/2006JD008294, 2007.
- 10 Park, M., Randel, W. J., Kinnison, D. E., Garcia, R. R., and Choi, W.: Seasonal variation of methane, water vapor,
- 11 and nitrogen oxides near the tropopause: Satellite observations and model simulations, J. Geophys. Res., 109,
- 12 D03302, doi:10.1029/2003JD003706, 2004.
- 13 Rosenlof, K. H., Tuck, A. F., Kelly, K. K., Russell III, J. M., and McCormick, M. P.: Hemispheric asymmetries in
- 14 water vapor and inferences about transport in the lower stratosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 13,213–13,234, 1997.
- 15 Sawamura, P., Vernier, J. P., Barnes, J. E., Berkoff, T. A., Welton, E. J., Arboledas, L. A., Guzman, F. N.,
- 16 Pappalardo, G., Mona, L., Madonna, F., Lange, D., Sicard, M., Beekmann, S. G., Payen, G., Wang, Z., Hu, S.,
- 17 Tripathi, S. N., Jabonero, C. C., and Hoff, R. M.: Stratospheric AOD after the 2011 eruption of Nabro volcano
- measured by lidars over the Northern Hemisphere, Environ. Res. Lett., 7(3), doi:10.1088/1748-9326/7/3/034013,
 2013
- 20 Solomon, S.: Stratospheric ozone depletion: a review of concept and history, Rev. Geophys., 37, 275-316, 1999.
- 21 Solomon, S., Borrmann, S., Garcia, R. R., Portmann, R., Thomason, L., Poole, L. R., Winker, D., and McCormick,
- 22 M. P.: Heterogeneous chlorine chemistry in the tropopause region, J. Geophys. Res., 102(D17), 21411- 21429,
- **23** 1997.
- Tang, M. C., Liang, J., Shao, M. J., and Shi, G.: Preliminary analysis on the yearly variation of Tibetan Plateau
 monsoon, Plateau Meteor., 3, 76–82, 1984.
- 26 Tobo, Y., Zhang, D., Iwasaka, Y., Shi, G., Kim, Y., Ohashi, T., Tamura, K., and Zhang, D.: Balloon-borne
- observations of high aerosol concentrations near the summertime tropopause over the Tibetan Plateau, Atmos. Res.,
 84, 233-241, 2007.
- Tukiainen, S., Kujanpää, J., Bingen, C., Robert, C., T dard, C., and Dekemper, E.: Nabro volcano aerosol in the
 stratosphere over Georgia, South Caucasus from ground based spectrometry of twilight sky brightness, Atmos.
- 31 Meas. Tech., 6, 2563-2576, doi:10.5194/amt-6-2563-2013, 2013
- 32 Uchino, O., Sakai, T., Nagai, T., Nakamae, K., Morino, I., Arai, K., Okumura, H., Takubo, S., Kawasaki, T., Mano,
- 33 Y., Matsunaga, T., and Yokota, T.: On recent(2008–2012) stratospheric aerosols observed by lidar over Japan,
- 34 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 11975-11984, doi:10.5194/acp-12-11975-2012, 2012.
- Vönel, H. H., Selkirk, L., Miloshevich, J., Valverde-Canossa, J., Valdes, J., and Diaz, J.: Radiation Dry Bias of
 the Vaisala RS92 Humidity Sensor, J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech., 24, 953–963, 2007.
- 37 Währn, J., Oyj, V., Rekikoski, I., Jauhiainen, H., and Hirvensalo, J.: New Vaisala Radiosonde RS92: Testing and
- 38 Results from the Field, Eighth Symposium on Integrated Observing and Assimilation Systems for Atmosphere,
- 39 Oceans, and Land Surface, Seattle, USA, 13 January 2004, 2004.
- 40 Waters, J. W., Froidevaux, L., Harwood, R. S., Jarnot, R. F., Pickett, H. M., Read, W. G., Siegel, P. H., Cofield, R.
- 41 E., Filipiak, M. J., Flower, D. A., Holden, J. R., Lau, G. K., Livesey, N. J., Manney, G. L., Pumphrey, H. C.,
- 42 Santee, M. L., Wu, D. L., Cuddy, D. T., Lay, R. R., Loo, M. S., Perun, V. S., Schwartz, M. J., Stek, P. C.,
- 43 Thurstans, R. P., Boyles, M. A., Chandra, K. M., Chavez, M. C., Chen, G. S., Chudasama, B. V., Dodge, R., Fuller,
- 44 R. A., Girard, M. A., Jiang, J. H., Jiang, Y., Knosp, B. W., LaBelle, R. C., Lam, J. C., Lee, K. A., Miller, D.,

- 1 Oswald, J. E., Patel, N. C., Pukala, D. M., Quintero, O., Scaff, D. M., Van Snyder, W., Tope, M. C., Wagner, P. A.,
- 2 and Walch, M. J.: The Earth Observing System microwave limb sounder (EOS MLS) on the Aura satellite, IEEE T.
- Geosci. Remote, 44, 1075–1092, 2006.
- 4 Winker, D. M., Pelon, J., and McCormick, M. P.: The CALIPSO mission: Space borne lidar for observation of
- 5 aerosols and clouds, Proc. SPIE, 4893, 1–11, 2003.
- 6 Wu, P.M., Okada, K., Tanaka, T., Sasaki, T., Nagai, T., Fujimoto, T., and Uchino, O.: Balloon observation of
- 7 stratospheric aerosols over Tsukuba, Japan Two years after the Pinatubo volcanic eruption, J. Meteor. Soc. Jpn., 72,
- 475–480, 1994.
- 9 Xun, X. Y., Hu, Z. Y., Cui, G. F., He, H. G., Sun, J., Hao, L., and Gu, L. L.: Change of monsoon in
- 10 Qinghai-Xizang Plateau and its correlation with summer precipitation of Ordos Plateau, J. Arid Land Resour.
- Environ., 25 (4), 79–83, 2011.
- 12 Yan, X. L.: The observation and study on the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere water vapor and ozone
- over Tibetan Plateau and its adjoint regions, master's thesis, Beijing: Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences,2012.
- Yanai, M., Li, C., and Song, Z.: Seasonal heating of the Tibetan Plateau and its effects on the evolution of the
 Asian summer monsoon, J. Meteorol. Sci. Jpn., 70, 319–351, 1992.
- 17 Yin, Y., Chen, Q., Jin, L., Chen, B., Zhu, S., and Zhang, X.: The effects of deep convection on the concentration
- 18 and size distribution of aerosol particles within the upper troposphere: A case study, J. Geophys. Res., 117,
- 19 D22202, doi:10.1029/2012JD017827, 2012.

1 Table 1 Statistical parameters of aerosol layer over Tibet and Shanghai. Maximum extinction

 $\label{eq:coefficient} 2 \qquad \text{coefficient (EC}_{\text{max}}\text{), Averaged extinction coefficient (EC}_{\text{ave}}\text{), aerosol layer depth(ALD), aerosol layer}$

3 height over sea level and aerosol optical depth (AOD) of the aerosol layer from 20:00 to 06:00 local

4 standard time (LST). The numbers in parenthesis correspond to the standard deviations.

		EC _{max} (km ⁻¹)	EC _{ave} (km ⁻¹)	ALD(km)	ALH(km)	AOD
	Tibet	0.007	0.002(0.002)	3.604(1.626)	18.492(0.248)	0.016(0.002)
	Shanghai	0.010	0.006(0.003)	4.380(0.764)	16.860(0.839)	0.027(0.006)
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						



Fig. 1. The nighttime mean aerosol scattering ratio profiles (dashed line) from MPL. The daily mean profiles of temperature (solid line °C) from the two radiosondes each day are overlaid to indicate the altitude of the tropopause (~18 km m.s.l.).





Fig.2. The average extinction coefficient profile of MPL (blue solid line)and the average extinction
coefficient at each layer from CALIOP (red stars) during the whole observation period. The standard
errors are marked as the error bar.



Fig. 3. Daily variation of PMI and the 7-day-averaged PMI time series from 1 Jul to 31 Aug 2011and
AOD in UTLS retrieved from MPL over the Tibetan Plateau. The days with cirrus occurrence are
shaded (He et al. 2013).





Fig. 4. The time series of maximum extinction coefficient in the aerosol layers and temperature at the
tropopause over the Tibetan Plateau day-on-day in August 2011.





Fig. 5. Nighttime mean maximum extinction coefficient in the aerosol layer vs. OLR. The dots
represent the data for 6–12 August (S1) and the pluses for 22–26 August 2011 (S2).



Fig. 6. Vertical profiles of water vapor from Vaisala RS92 radiosondes released in 6, 8, 11 and 23
August 2011, respectively. The black line along *y* axis represents the 5 ppmv of water vapor mixing
ratio. The color lines along *x* axis are the altitudes with the maximum extinction coefficient of aerosol
layers for each day.



Fig. 7. Altitude-time distributions of MLS water vapor (ppmv, color bar in natural logarithm) from 6 to
26 August 2011. Stars indicate the layer with nighttime mean maximum extinction coefficient and
pluses stand for the tropopause level of each day, respectively.